

NONLINEAR MAPS PRESERVING JORDAN η -*-n-PRODUCTS

WENHUI LIN

ABSTRACT. Let $\eta \neq -1$ be a non-zero complex number, and let ϕ be a not necessarily linear bijection between two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections preserving the Jordan η -*- n -product. It is showed that ϕ is a linear $*$ -isomorphism if η is not real and ϕ is the sum of a linear $*$ -isomorphism and a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism if η is real.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. For a non-zero scalar $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, the Jordan η -*-product of two elements $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $A \diamond_{\eta} B = AB + \eta BA^*$. In recent years, an intense research activity has been addressed to study not necessarily linear mappings between von Neumann algebras preserving the η -*-product or some of its variants. The origins of the Jordan η -*-product go back to [1], where P. Semrl introduced and studied the Jordan (-1) -*-product in relation to quadratic functionals. More recently, Z. Bai and S.P. Du [2] established that any bijective map between von Neumann algebras without central abelian projections preserving the Jordan (-1) -*-product is a sum of linear and conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphisms. In [3], they proved that a not necessarily linear bijective mapping Φ between von Neumann algebras preserves the Jordan 1 -*-product if and only if it is a $*$ -ring isomorphisms. As a corollary, they observe that if the von Neumann algebras are type I factors, then Φ is a unitary isomorphism or a conjugate unitary isomorphism. In 2014, L. Q. Dai and F. Y. Lu [4] generalized the above mentioned result by Bai and Du, by describing all bijective not necessarily linear maps Φ between two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections, that preserve the Jordan η -*-product. The concrete description shows that one of the following statement holds:

- (a) if $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, then Φ is a sum of a linear $*$ -isomorphism and a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism,
- (b) if $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}$, then Φ is a linear $*$ -isomorphism.

See [5–8] for other related results. In [9], they consider the Jordan triple η -*-product of three element A, B and C in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} defined by $A \diamond_{\eta} B \diamond_{\eta} C = (A \diamond_{\eta} B) \diamond_{\eta} C$. A not necessarily linear map Φ between C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} preserves Jordan triple η -*-product if

$$\Phi(A \diamond_{\eta} B \diamond_{\eta} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\eta} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\eta} \Phi(C)$$

for every $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly a map between C^* -algebra preserving the Jordan η -*-product also preserves the Jordan triple η -*-product. The main result of [9]

Date: November 9, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B47, 46K15.

Key words and phrases. Jordan η -*- n -product, Isomorphism, von Neumann algebras.

proves the following: let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections, let $\eta \neq -1$ be a non-zero complex number, and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a not necessarily linear bijection with $\phi(I) = I$. Then ϕ preserves the Jordan triple η -*-product if and only if one of the following statement holds:

- (a) $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists a central projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(p)$ is a central projection in \mathcal{B} , $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}p} : \mathcal{A}p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\phi(p)$ is a linear *-isomorphism and $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}(I-p)} : \mathcal{A}(I-p) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I-\phi(p))$ a conjugate linear *-isomorphism,
- (b) $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}$ and ϕ is a linear *-isomorphism.

But Huo et al. [9] prove these conclusions heavily depend on the assumption $\phi(I) = I$. In this paper, we not only generalize the corresponding conclusions to n , but also abolish this condition.

Given the consideration of Jordan η -*-product and Jordan triple η -*-product, we can further develop them in one natural way. Suppose that $n \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer. Let us see a sequence of polynomials with Jordan η -* (where we should be aware that \diamond_{η} is not necessarily associative)

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2 = x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1^*, \\
p_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= p_2(x_1, x_2) \diamond_{\eta} x_3 = (x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2) \diamond_{\eta} x_3 \\
&=: x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2 \diamond_{\eta} x_3, \\
p_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= p_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \diamond_{\eta} x_4 = ((x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2) \diamond_{\eta} x_3) \diamond_{\eta} x_4 \\
&=: x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2 \diamond_{\eta} x_3 \diamond_{\eta} x_4, \\
&\dots\dots, \\
p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= p_{n-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \diamond_{\eta} x_n \\
&= \underbrace{(\dots((x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2) \diamond_{\eta} x_3) \diamond_{\eta} \dots \diamond_{\eta} x_{n-1})}_{n-2} \diamond_{\eta} x_n \\
&=: x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2 \diamond_{\eta} \dots \diamond_{\eta} x_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, a *nonlinear map* $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} preserves Jordan η -*- n -products if

$$\phi(x_1 \diamond_{\eta} x_2 \diamond_{\eta} \dots \diamond_{\eta} x_n) = \phi(x_1) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(x_2) \diamond_{\eta} \dots \diamond_{\eta} \phi(x_n)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the following of this paper, we usually choose the notation

$$\phi(p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = p_n(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_n))$$

instead of the above identity. This notion makes the best use of the definition of Jordan η -*- n -products. By the definition, it is clear that every Jordan η -*-product is a η -*-2-product and every Jordan triple η -*-product is a Jordan η -*-3-product.

Motivated by the afore-mentioned works, we will concentrate on giving a description of nonlinear Jordan η -*- n -products on von Neumann algebras. The framework of this paper is as follows. We recall and collect some indispensable facts with respect to Jordan η -*- n -products on von Neumann algebras in the second section 2. The third Section 3 is to provide a detailed proof the additivity of Jordan η -*- n -products on von Neumann algebras 3.1. The fourth Section 4 is to prove our main result 4.1. Let $\eta \neq -1$ be a non-zero complex number, and let ϕ be a not necessarily linear bijection between two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections preserving the Jordan η -*- n -product. It is showed that ϕ is a linear *-isomorphism if η is not real and ϕ is the sum of a linear *-isomorphism

and a conjugate linear $*$ -isomorphism if η is real. The last Section 5 is devoted to certain potential topics in this vein for the future.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Before beginning detailed demonstration and stating our main result, we need to give some notations and preliminaries. Throughout the paper, all algebras and spaces are defined over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is weakly closed and self-adjoint algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} contain the identity operator I . The set $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{S \in \mathcal{A} \mid ST = TS, \forall T \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is called the center of \mathcal{A} . A projection P is called a central abelian projection if $P \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and PAP is abelian. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the central carrier of A , denoted by \overline{A} , is the smallest central projection P with $PA = A$. It is not difficult to see that \overline{A} is the projection onto the closed subspace spanned by $\{BAx : B \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Let Q be a projection in \mathcal{A} . The core of Q , denoted by \underline{Q} , is the biggest central projection P with $P \leq Q$. If $\underline{Q} = 0$, we then call Q a core-free projection. It is easy to verify that $\underline{Q} = 0$ if and only if $\overline{I - Q} = I$, where I is the identity operator. A self-adjoint element A of \mathcal{A} is called positive if its spectrum $\sigma(A)$ consists of non-negative real numbers. Moreover, an element A of \mathcal{A} is called positive if and only if there exists B in \mathcal{A} with $A = B^*B$. Especially, if B is a self-adjoint operator, then $A = B^2$.

Lemma 2.1. [10, Lemma 14] *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections. Then there exists a projection P with $\underline{P} = 0$ and $\overline{P} = I$.*

Lemma 2.2. [4, Lemma 1.2] *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections. Then there exists a projection P with $\underline{P} = 0$ and $\overline{P} = I$.*

- (1) *If $ABP = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A = 0$;*
- (2) *If η is a non-zero scalar and $(PT(I - P)) \diamond_{\eta} A = 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A(I - P) = 0$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and T are in \mathcal{A} with $\phi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(A_i)$. Then for $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(S_1 \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} S_{k-1} \diamond_{\eta} T \diamond_{\eta} S_{k+1} \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} S_n) \\ &= \phi(S_1) \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} \phi(S_{k-1}) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(T) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(S_{k+1}) \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} \phi(S_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(S_1 \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} S_{k-1} \diamond_{\eta} A_i \diamond_{\eta} S_{k+1} \diamond_{\eta} \cdots \diamond_{\eta} S_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and for any positive integer $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) = A.$$

and

$$\phi(A) = p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) \right).$$

Proof. A recursive calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) \\
&= p_{n-1} \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) \\
&= p_{n-2} \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) \\
&= \dots \\
&= A.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

By the definition of Jordan η -*- n -products, we naturally get

$$\phi(A) = p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A}{1+\eta} \right) \right). \tag{2}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *Set $\eta = 1$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A^* = -A$ and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have*

$$p_n(x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}, A, Z) = 0$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. For each $x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}, A \in \mathcal{A}$, $A^* = -A$ and $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$p_n(x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}, A, Z) = p_{n-2}(x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}) \diamond_{\eta} A \diamond_{\eta} Z.$$

Now we note $p_{n-2}(x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}) = M$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n(x_1, x_2 \cdots, x_{n-2}, A, Z) &= M \diamond A \diamond Z \\
&= (MA + AM^*) \diamond Z \\
&= (MA + AM^*)Z + Z(A^*M^* + MA^*) \\
&= M(A + A^*)Z + (A + A^*)M^*Z \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Set $\eta = 1$. If we note $M_n = p_n(\phi(I), \dots, \phi(I))$, then we have $M_n + M_n^* = 2^n I$ for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since ϕ is injective, there exist $B \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\phi(B) = I$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2^n I &= 2^n \phi(B) = \phi(p_{n+1}(I, \dots, I, B)) \\
&= p_{n+1}(\phi(I), \dots, \phi(I), \phi(B)) \\
&= p_{n+1}(\phi(I), \dots, \phi(I), I) \\
&= p_n(\phi(I), \dots, \phi(I)) \diamond I \\
&= M_n + M_n^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If $n = 1$, we have

$$\phi(I) + \phi(I)^* = 2I. \tag{5}$$

□

We now choose a projection $P_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $P_2 = I - P_1$. Let us write $\mathcal{A}_{jk} = P_j \mathcal{A} P_k$ for all $j, k = 1, 2$. Then we have the Peirce decomposition of \mathcal{A} as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21} + \mathcal{A}_{22}$. Thus an arbitrary operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written as $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$, where $A_{jk} \in \mathcal{A}_{jk}$ and $A_{jk}^* \in \mathcal{A}_{kj}$.

3. ADDITIVITY

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections and \mathcal{B} be a $*$ -algebra. Let η be a non-zero scalar with $\eta \neq -1$. Suppose that ϕ is a bijective map from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} with*

$$\phi(p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = p_n(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2), \dots, \phi(x_n))$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{A}$, then ϕ is additive.

Proof. The proof of this theorem will be laid out nicely in several claims.

Claim 1. $\phi(0) = 0$.

Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi(A) = 0$, which implies that

$$\phi(0) = \phi(p_n(0, 0, \dots, 0, A)) = p_n(\phi(0), \dots, \phi(0), \dots, \phi(A)) = 0.$$

In order to continue our discussions, we need the Peirce decomposition of \mathcal{A} as $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} + \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21} + \mathcal{A}_{22}$. Then for any operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we may write $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$ for any $A_{jk} \in \mathcal{A}_{jk}$ ($j, k = 1, 2$).

Claim 2. For any $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, we have $\phi(A_{11} + D_{22}) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(D_{22})$.

Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find an element $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 T_{ij}$ with $\phi(T) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(D_{22})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1+\eta}, D_{22}\right) = 0$. Applying Lemma 2.3 and Claim 1 in Section 3, we have

$$\phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1+\eta}, T\right)\right) = \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1+\eta}, A_{11}\right)\right).$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1+\eta}, T\right) = p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_1}{1+\eta}, A_{11}\right).$$

By Eq.(1), we get

$$\lambda P_1 \diamond_{\eta} T = \lambda P_1 \diamond_{\eta} A_{11},$$

which implies that

$$(\lambda + \eta \bar{\lambda}) T_{11} + \lambda T_{12} + \eta \bar{\lambda} T_{21} = (\lambda + \eta \bar{\lambda}) A_{11}.$$

Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda + \eta \bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, we get $T_{11} = A_{11}, T_{12} = T_{21} = 0$.

Similarly, we have $T_{22} = D_{22}$.

Claim 3. For any $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}, C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, we have $\phi(B_{12} + C_{21}) = \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(C_{21})$.

Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find an element $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 T_{ij}$ with $\phi(T) = \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(C_{21})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, by Eq.(1), since

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12}\right) \\ &= (\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2) \diamond_{\eta} B_{12} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and Claim 1 in Section 3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) = p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2) \diamond_{\eta} T = (\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2) \diamond_{\eta} C_{21}$$

by Eq.(1). Then we obtain that

$$(\lambda\eta + \bar{\lambda}|\eta|^2)T_{11} - (\lambda\eta + \bar{\lambda})T_{22} + (\bar{\lambda}|\eta|^2 - \bar{\lambda})T_{21} = (\bar{\lambda}|\eta|^2 - \bar{\lambda})C_{21},$$

for all that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus we get $T_{11} = T_{22} = 0$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, since

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda B_{12}}{1+\eta}, P_1 \right) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and Claim 1 in Section 3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda T}{1+\eta}, P_1 \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda C_{21}}{1+\eta}, P_1 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda T}{1+\eta}, P_1 \right) = p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda C_{21}}{1+\eta}, P_1 \right),$$

which implies that

$$\lambda T_{21} + \bar{\lambda}\eta T_{21}^* = \lambda C_{21} + \bar{\lambda}\eta C_{21}^*.$$

Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\eta\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, then we get $T_{21} = C_{21}$.

Similarly, we have $T_{12} = B_{12}$.

Claim 4. For $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$, if $i \neq j$, $A_{kk} \in \mathcal{A}_{kk}$ and $B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have $\phi(A_{kk} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{kk}) + \phi(B_{ij})$.

We only prove the case $i = k = 1, j = 2$. The proof of other cases is similar. Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find an element $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 T_{ij}$ with $\phi(T) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(B_{12})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, since

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and Claim 1 in Section 3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) = p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right),$$

which implies that

$$(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta)T_{22} + \lambda T_{21} + \bar{\lambda}\eta T_{12} = \bar{\lambda}\eta B_{12},$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Thus we get $T_{21} = T_{22} = 0$ and $T_{12} = B_{12}$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, since

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and Claim 1 in Section 3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) = p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda\eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda}P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right).$$

A similar discussion as the above, we get $T_{11} = A_{11}$.

Claim 5. For any $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $C_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $D_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, we have

$$\phi(A_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(C_{21})$$

and

$$\phi(B_{12} + C_{21} + D_{22}) = \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(C_{21}) + \phi(D_{22}).$$

We just prove the first identity, the second identity can be proved by the similar method.

Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find an element $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 T_{ij}$ with $\phi(T) = \phi(A_{11}) + \phi(B_{12}) + \phi(C_{21})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, applying Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \\ &= p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) \\ & \quad + p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \\ & \quad + p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right). \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lambda P_2 \diamond_{\eta} T = \lambda P_2 \diamond_{\eta} A_{11} + \lambda P_2 \diamond_{\eta} B_{12} + \lambda P_2 \diamond_{\eta} C_{21}$$

by Eq.(1), so we have

$$(\lambda + \eta \bar{\lambda}) T_{22} + \lambda T_{21} + \eta \bar{\lambda} T_{12} = \eta \bar{\lambda} B_{12} + \lambda C_{21},$$

which implies that $T_{22} = 0, T_{12} = B_{12}, T_{21} = C_{21}$. Thus we get $T = T_{11} + B_{12} + C_{21}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, T \right) \\ &= p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{11} \right) \\ & \quad + p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \\ & \quad + p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2}{1+\eta}, C_{21} \right). \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2) \diamond_{\eta} T = (\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2) \diamond_{\eta} A_{11} + (\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2) \diamond_{\eta} B_{12} + (\lambda \eta P_1 - \bar{\lambda} P_2) \diamond_{\eta} C_{21},$$

by Eq.(1), so we have

$$(\lambda \eta + \bar{\lambda} |\eta|^2) T_{11} - \bar{\lambda} (1 - |\eta|^2) T_{12} = (\lambda \eta + \bar{\lambda} |\eta|^2) A_{11} - \bar{\lambda} (1 - |\eta|^2) B_{12},$$

then we get $T_{11} = A_{11}$.

Claim 6. For any $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij} (1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2)$, we have $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1+\eta}, P_j + B_{ij} \right) \\ &= (P_i + A_{ij}) \diamond_{\eta} (P_j + B_{ij}) \\ &= A_{ij} + B_{ij} + \eta(A_{ij}^* + B_{ij}A_{ij}^*). \end{aligned}$$

By Claim 4 and Claim 5 in Section 3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) + \phi(\eta A_{ij}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1+\eta}, P_j + B_{ij} \right) \right) \\ &= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{P_i + A_{ij}}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(P_j + B_{ij}) \right) \\ &= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{P_i}{1+\eta} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A_{ij}}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(P_j) + \phi(B_{ij}) \right) \\ &= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{P_i}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(P_j) \right) \\ &\quad + p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{P_i}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(B_{ij}) \right) \\ &\quad + p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A_{ij}}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(P_j) \right) \\ &\quad + p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A_{ij}}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(B_{ij}) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{P_i}{1+\eta}, P_j \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{P_i}{1+\eta}, B_{ij} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A_{ij}}{1+\eta}, P_j \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A_{ij}}{1+\eta}, B_{ij} \right) \right) \\ &= \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij}) + \phi(\eta A_{ij}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{ij} A_{ij}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \phi(A_{ij}) + \phi(B_{ij})$.

Claim 7. For every $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$, we have $\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii})$.

Since ϕ is a surjective, we can find an element $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 T_{ij}$ with $\phi(T) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii})$. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1 \leq i \neq k \leq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_k}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_k}{1+\eta}, A_{ii} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_k}{1+\eta}, B_{ii} \right) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of ϕ , we have

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_k}{1+\eta}, T \right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\eta)T_{kk} + \lambda T_{ki} + \bar{\lambda}\eta T_{ik} = 0,$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Thus we get $T_{kk} = T_{ki} = T_{ik} = 0$. Now we get $T = T_{ii}$.

For every $C_{ik} \in \mathcal{A}_{ik}$, $i \neq k$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 and Claim 6 in Section 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((\lambda + \eta\bar{\lambda})T_{ii}C_{ik}) &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_i}{1+\eta}, T, C_{ik} \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_i}{1+\eta}, A_{ii}, C_{ik} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\lambda P_i}{1+\eta}, B_{ii}, C_{ik} \right) \right) \\ &= \phi((\lambda + \eta\bar{\lambda})(A_{ii}C_{ik} + B_{ii}C_{ik})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(T_{ii} - A_{ii} - B_{ii})C_{ik} = 0$$

for all $C_{ik} \in \mathcal{A}_{ik}$, that is, $(T_{ii} - A_{ii} - B_{ii})C_{ik} = 0$ for all $C \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma ?, we get that $T_{ii} = A_{ii} + B_{ii}$. Consequently,

$$\phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \phi(A_{ii}) + \phi(B_{ii}).$$

Claim 8. For any $T_{12}, A_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ and $A_{21}, B_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, we have

$$\phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) = \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*).$$

By Claim 3 and Claim 6 in Section 3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A_{21} + B_{21} + A_{12} + B_{12}\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{21} + B_{21} + A_{12} + B_{12})\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{21}) + \phi(B_{21}) + \phi(A_{12}) + \phi(B_{12})\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{21})\right) \\
&\quad + p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(B_{21})\right) \\
&\quad + p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{12})\right) \\
&\quad + p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(B_{12})\right) \\
&= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A_{21}\right)\right) + \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, B_{21}\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A_{12}\right)\right) + \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, B_{12}\right)\right) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 9. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A + B\right)\right) \\
&= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A\right)\right) + \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, B\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We can write A and B as $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}$ and $B = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 B_{ij}$. By Claims 5, 6 and 8 in Section 3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A + B\right)\right) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^* + T_{12}A_{22} + T_{12}B_{22} + \eta A_{22}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + T_{12}B_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(T_{12}A_{22} + T_{12}B_{22}) + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^* + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21}) + \phi(\eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(T_{12}A_{22}) + \phi(T_{12}B_{22}) \\
&\quad + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^*) + \phi(\eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + \phi(T_{12}A_{22}) + \phi(\eta A_{22}T_{12}^*) + \phi(T_{12}B_{21} + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^*) \\
&\quad + \phi(T_{12}B_{22}) + \phi(\eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi(T_{12}A_{21} + \eta A_{12}T_{12}^*) + T_{12}A_{22} + \eta A_{22}T_{12}^* + \phi(T_{12}B_{21} + \eta B_{12}T_{12}^* + T_{12}B_{22}) + \eta B_{22}T_{12}^*) \\
&= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, A\right)\right) + \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{T_{12}}{1+\eta}, B\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now ready to prove Theorem 3.1. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we can find $T \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(T) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a projection P with $\underline{P} = 0$ and $\overline{P} = I$. For any $S \in \mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 2.3 and Claim 9 in Section 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, T \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, A \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, B \right) \right) \\ &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, A+B \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, T \right) = p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{PS(I-P)}{1+\eta}, A+B \right).$$

Thus we have $T(I-P) = (A+B)(I-P)$ by Lemma 2.2.

Similarly, we have $\overline{T-P} = I$ and $\underline{I-P} = 0$, and the above argument implies that $TP = (A+B)P$. Consequently, we have $T = A+B$, which completes the proof. \square

4. LINEARITY

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two von Neumann algebras, one of which has no central abelian projections, let $\eta \neq -1$ be a non-zero complex number, and let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a not necessarily linear bijection. Then ϕ preverves the Jordan η -*- n -product if and only if one of the following statements holds:*

- (a) $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and there exists a central projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(p)$ is a central projection in \mathcal{B} , $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}p} : \mathcal{A}p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\phi(p)$ is a linear *-isomorphism and $\phi|_{\mathcal{A}(I-p)} : \mathcal{A}(I-p) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I-\phi(p))$ a conjugate linear *-isomorphism,
- (b) $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}$ and ϕ is a linear *-isomorphism.

Proof. The proof will be organized in the following lemmas. First we not that ϕ is additive. In fact, if \mathcal{A} has no central abelian projection, then Theorem 3.1 shows that ϕ is additive. If \mathcal{B} has no central abelian projections, then $\phi^{-1} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is not necessarily linear bijection which preserves the Jordan η -*- n -product. Applying Theorem 3.1 to ϕ^{-1} , we know that ϕ^{-1} is additive and thus ϕ is additive. Without loss of generality, we assume that \mathcal{B} has no central abelian projections in the following.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a non-zero scalar α satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is a projection \mathcal{B} if and only if P is a projection \mathcal{A} ;
- (b) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A)^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}A$;
- (c) $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$.

Further, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$ when $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We prove the result in three cases.

Case 1. $\eta = 1$.

Choosing $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0$. Since ϕ is surjective, there exists $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\phi(B) = I$ and $\phi(C) = \frac{I}{2}$ (In the following paper, B, C always satisfy their corresponding ability). Then for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(p_n(\lambda I, A, B, C \cdots, C)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(\lambda I), \phi(A), \phi(B), \phi(C) \cdots, \phi(C)) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(\lambda I), \phi(A), I, \frac{I}{2} \cdots, \frac{I}{2}\right) \\ &= \phi(\lambda I)(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*) + (\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*)\phi(\lambda I)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $A = B$ in the above equation, we have $\phi(\lambda I)^* = -\phi(\lambda I)$, which implies that $\phi(\lambda I)D = D\phi(\lambda I)$ for all $D = D^* \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $D_1 = \frac{D+D^*}{2}$ and $D_2 = \frac{D-D^*}{2i}$. Since $D = D_1 + iD_2$ for all $D \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $\phi(\lambda I)D = D\phi(\lambda I)$. Thus $\phi(\lambda I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$. Similarly, we have $\phi^{-1}(\lambda I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$.

Claim 1.1. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A)^* = -\phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = -A$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A^* = -A$. Then by Eq.(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(p_n(B, C \cdots, C, A, \phi^{-1}(\lambda I))) \\ &= p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{2} \cdots, \frac{I}{2}, \phi(A), \lambda I\right) \\ &= 2\lambda(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\phi(A)^* = -\phi(A)$, which proves the sufficiency.

To prove the necessity, we note that ϕ^{-1} also preserves the Jordan 1-*-n-product. Since ϕ is injective, there exists $B', C' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(B') = I$ and $\phi^{-1}(C') = \frac{I}{2}$ (In the following paper, B', C' always satisfy their corresponding ability). If $\phi(A)^* = -\phi(A)$, then by Eq.(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi^{-1}(p_n(B', C' \cdots, C', \phi(A), \phi(\lambda I))) \\ &= p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{2} \cdots, \frac{I}{2}, A, \lambda I\right) \\ &= 2\lambda(A + A^*), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $A^* = -A$.

Claim 1.2. $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ be arbitrary. For every $A^* = -A \in \mathcal{A}$, by Eq.(3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(p_n(B, C \cdots, C, A, Z)) \\ &= p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{2} \cdots, \frac{I}{2}, \phi(A), \phi(Z)\right) \\ &= \phi(A)\phi(Z) + \phi(Z)\phi(A)^*. \end{aligned}$$

That is $\phi(A)\phi(Z) = -\phi(Z)\phi(A)^*$ holds true for all $A^* = -A \in \mathcal{A}$. Since ϕ preserves conjugate self-adjoint elements, it follows that $D\phi(Z) = \phi(Z)D$ holds true for all $D = -D^* \in \mathcal{B}$. Since for every $D \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $D = D_1 + iD_2$, where $D_1 = \frac{D+D^*}{2}$ and $D_2 = \frac{D-D^*}{2i}$ are self-conjugate self-adjoint elementd. Hence $D\phi(Z) = \phi(Z)D$ holds true for all $D \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\phi(Z) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$, which implies that $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$. Thus $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ by considering ϕ^{-1} .

In the following we assume $\alpha = 1$.

Claim 1.3. Let P be a projection in \mathcal{A} and set $Q_P = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(P) + \phi(P)^*)$. Then Q_P is a projection in \mathcal{B} with $\phi(P) = \phi(I)Q_P$.

Let P be a projection in \mathcal{A} . Then by Claim 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-1}\phi(P) &= \phi(p_n(I \cdots, I, P, I)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(I), \cdots, \phi(I), \phi(P), \phi(I)) \\ &= M_{n-2} \diamond \phi(P) \diamond \phi(I) \\ &= (M_{n-2}\phi(P) + \phi(P)M_{n-2}^*) \diamond \phi(I) \\ &= \phi(I)(M_{n-2} + M_{n-2}^*)(\phi(P) + \phi(P)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we should notice that $M_{n-2} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ if $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ and the additivity of ϕ .

By Eq.(4), we obtain

$$2\phi(P) = \phi(I)(\phi(P) + \phi(P)^*) = 2\phi(I)Q_P,$$

that is

$$\phi(P) = \phi(I)Q_P, \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, considering $M_{n-2} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ and using Eq.(4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-1}\phi(P) &= \phi(p_n(I \cdots, I, P, P)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(I), \cdots, \phi(I), \phi(P), \phi(P)) \\ &= M_{n-2} \diamond \phi(P) \diamond \phi(P) \\ &= (M_{n-2}\phi(P) + \phi(P)M_{n-2}^*) \diamond \phi(P) \\ &= (M_{n-2} + M_{n-2}^*)\phi(P)(\phi(P) + \phi(P)^*) \\ &= 2^{n-1}\phi(P)Q_P \end{aligned}$$

Substituting Eq.(6) into the above identity, we have

$$\phi(P) = \phi(I)Q_P^2.$$

This together with the previous result implies that $Q_P = Q_P^2$. Since Q_P is self-adjoint, Q_P is a projection.

Claim 1.4. Let P be a projection in \mathcal{A} . Suppose that A in \mathcal{A} is such that $A = PA(I - P)$. Then $\phi(A) = Q_P\phi(A) + \phi(A)Q_P$.

Noticing $\phi(P) = \phi(I)Q_P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-2}\phi(A) &= \phi(p_n(I \cdots, I, P, A)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(I), \cdots, \phi(I), \phi(P), \phi(A)) \\ &= M_{n-2} \diamond \phi(P) \diamond \phi(A) \\ &= (M_{n-2}\phi(P) + \phi(P)M_{n-2}^*) \diamond \phi(A) \\ &= (M_{n-2} + M_{n-2}^*)(\phi(P)\phi(A) + \phi(A)\phi(P)^*) \\ &= 2^{n-2}(\phi(I)Q_P\phi(A) + \phi(A)Q_P\phi(I)^*). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\phi(A) = \phi(I)Q_P\phi(A) + \phi(A)Q_P\phi(I)^*.$$

Since $\phi(I) + \phi(I)^* = 2I$ by Eq.(5) and $\phi(I), \phi(I)^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ by Claim 1.2, multiplying both sides of the above equation by Q_P from the left and right respectively, we get that $Q_P\phi(A)Q_P = 0$. Multiplying both sides of the above equation by $I - Q_P$ from

the left and right respectively, we get that $(I - Q_P)\phi(A)(I - Q_P) = 0$. Then we obtain $\phi(A) = Q_P\phi(A) + \phi(A)Q_P$.

Claim 1.5. $\phi(I) = I$.

Since \mathcal{B} has no central abelian projections, by Lemma 2.1, we can choose a projection $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $\underline{Q} = 0$ and $\overline{Q} = I$. Let B be in \mathcal{B} such that $B = QB(I - Q)$. Let $P = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{-1}(Q) + \phi^{-1}(Q)^*)$. Applying the previous two claims to ϕ^{-1} , we know that P is a projection and $\phi^{-1}(B) = P\phi^{-1}(B) + \phi^{-1}(B)P^*$. Moreover,

$$\phi(P) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(\phi^{-1}(Q)I + I\phi^{-1}(Q)^*) = \phi(I)Q.$$

Hence

$$B = \phi(P\phi^{-1}(B) + \phi^{-1}(B)P^*) = \phi(I)QB + B(\phi(I)Q)^* = \phi(I)B.$$

Since such B is arbitrary and $\overline{I - Q} = I$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(I - \phi(I))Q = 0$. Hence since $I - \phi(I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\overline{Q} = I$, it follows that $I - \phi(I) = 0$, proving the claim.

Claim 1.6. $\phi(A) = \phi(A)^*$.

By Claim 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-2}\phi(A + A^*) &= \phi(p_n(I \cdots, I, A, I)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(I), \dots, \phi(I), \phi(A), \phi(I),) \\ &= p_n(I, \dots, I, \phi(A), I,) \\ &= 2^{n-3}I \diamond \phi(A) \diamond I \\ &= 2^{n-2}(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*). \end{aligned}$$

We have $\phi(A)^* = \phi(A^*) = \phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = A$.

Case 2. $|\eta| = 1$ but $\eta \neq 1$.

Since $|\eta| = 1$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\alpha + \eta\bar{\alpha} = 0$. Take for example α a real multiple of $ie^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}$, where $\eta = e^{i\theta}$. So we can choose such α such that its real part is an entire number provided $\eta \neq 1$.

Note that $\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} = -\bar{\eta}$.

Claim 2.1. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = -\bar{\eta}A$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(p_n(\alpha I, A, B, \dots, B)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(\alpha I), \phi(A), \phi(B), \dots, \phi(B)) \\ &= p_n(\phi(\alpha I), \phi(A), I, \dots, I) \\ &= p_{n-1}(\phi(\alpha I)\phi(A) + \eta\phi(A)\phi(\alpha I)^*, I, \dots, I) \\ &= p_{n-2}(\phi(\alpha I)(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*) + \eta(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*)\phi(\alpha I)^*, I, \dots, I) \\ &= (n-2)(\phi(\alpha I)(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*) + \eta(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*)\phi(\alpha I)^*). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Taking $A = B$ in the above equation, we have $\phi(\alpha I)^* = -\frac{1}{\eta}\phi(\alpha I) = -\bar{\eta}\phi(\alpha I)$. Then Eq.(7) becomes

$$\phi(\alpha I)(\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*) - (\phi(A) + \phi(A)^*)\phi(\alpha I) = 0,$$

which implies that $\phi(\alpha I)D = D\phi(\alpha I)$ for all $D = D^* \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus we have $\phi(\alpha I)D = D\phi(\alpha I)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. So $\phi(\alpha I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$.

Similarly, we have $\phi^{-1}(\alpha I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A^* = -\bar{\eta}A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi(p_n(A, \phi^{-1}(\alpha I), B, \dots, B)) \\
&= p_n(\phi(A), \alpha I, I \cdots, I) \\
&= p_{n-1}(\alpha\phi(A) + \eta\alpha\phi(A)^*, I, \dots, I) \\
&= p_{n-2}((\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\phi(A) + \eta(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\phi(A)^*, I, \dots, I) \\
&= (n-2)(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})(\phi(A) + \eta\phi(A)^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta \neq 1$, we have $\alpha + \bar{\alpha} \neq 0$. Thus we have $\phi(A)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi(A)$, which proves the sufficiency.

To prove the necessity, we note that ϕ^{-1} also preserves the Jordan η - n -products. Since ϕ is injective, there exists $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(I) = B'$. If $\phi(A)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi(A)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi^{-1}(p_n(\phi(A), \phi(\alpha I), B', \dots, B')) \\
&= p_n(A, \alpha I, I \cdots, I) \\
&= p_{n-1}(\alpha A + \eta\alpha A^*, I, \dots, I) \\
&= p_{n-2}((\alpha + \bar{\alpha})A + \eta(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})A^*, I, \dots, I) \\
&= (n-2)(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})(A + \eta A^*).
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $A^* = -\bar{\eta}A$.

Claim 2.2. $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ be arbitrary. Suppose that D is a selfadjoint element in \mathcal{B} . Then $(\alpha D)^* = -\bar{\eta}(\alpha D)$ and hence $\phi^{-1}(\alpha D)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi^{-1}(\alpha D)$ by Claim 2.1. Therefore by Eq.(2),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\phi^{-1}(\alpha D)}{1+\eta}, Z\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\alpha D)}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(Z)\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(\alpha D)}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(Z) \\
&= \alpha D \diamond_{\eta} \phi(Z) \\
&= \alpha(D\phi(Z) - \phi(Z)D)
\end{aligned}$$

for all selfadjoint elements D . It follows that $\phi(Z) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. So $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$. hence $\phi(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ by considering ϕ^{-1} .

Claim 2.3. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A)^* = -\bar{\eta}^2\phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = -\bar{\eta}^2A$.

Now we choose $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A^* = -\bar{\eta}^2 A$. Then by Eq.(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\phi(A) &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)}, \alpha I \right) \right) \\
&= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right), \phi(\alpha I) \right) \\
&= p_{n-2} \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right) \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha I) \\
&= \phi(I) \diamond_{\eta} \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha I) \\
&= \phi(\alpha I) \phi(I) \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \\
&\quad + \eta \phi(\alpha I) \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \phi(I)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the adjoint and noting that $\phi(\alpha I)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi(\alpha I)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2\phi(A)^* &= -\bar{\eta}\phi(\alpha I) \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \phi(I)^* \\
&\quad - \bar{\eta}^2 \phi(\alpha I) \phi(I) \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \\
&= -\bar{\eta}\phi(\alpha I) \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\eta \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \right) \phi(I)^* \\
&\quad - \bar{\eta}^2 \phi(\alpha I) \left(\phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right) + \phi \left(\frac{A}{\alpha(1+\eta)} \right)^* \right) \\
&= -2\bar{\eta}^2 \phi(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\phi(A)^* = -\bar{\eta}^2 \phi(A)$. By considering ϕ^{-1} , we establish the claim.

Claim 2.4. $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$.

By a recursion calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_n \left(A, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}}, \dots, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right) \\
&= p_{n-1} \left(A, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}}, \dots, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right) \\
&= p_{n-2} \left(A, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}}, \dots, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right) \\
&= \dots \\
&= A.
\end{aligned}$$

At the same time, by the definition of ϕ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(A) &= \phi \left(p_n \left(A, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}}, \dots, \frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right) \right) \\
&= p_n \left(\phi(A), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1-\bar{\eta}} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We assume $A = \phi^{-1}(i\alpha^2 I)$. Since $(i\alpha^2 I)^* = -\bar{\eta}^2(i\alpha^2 I)$, we obtain from Claim 2.2 that $A^* = -\bar{\eta}^2 A$. Therefore, noting that $(1 - \bar{\eta})\alpha = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ is rational, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \bar{\eta})\alpha(i\alpha^2 I) &= \phi((1 - \bar{\eta})\alpha A) \\
&= \phi\left(p_n\left(A, \frac{I}{1 - \bar{\eta}}, \dots, \frac{I}{1 - \bar{\eta}}, \alpha I\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(A), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 - \bar{\eta}}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 - \bar{\eta}}\right), \phi(\alpha I)\right) \\
&= \phi(A) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha I) \\
&= (1 - \bar{\eta})\phi(A)\phi(\alpha I) \\
&= (1 - \bar{\eta})(i\alpha^2 I)\phi(\alpha I).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta \neq 1$, it follows that $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$.

Claim 2.5. Let P be in \mathcal{A} , then $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is a projection in \mathcal{B} if and only if P is a projection in \mathcal{A} .

To prove the sufficiency. We suppose that P is a projection in \mathcal{A} . Since $(\alpha P)^* = -\bar{\eta}(\alpha P)$, it follows Claim 2.1 that $\phi(\alpha P)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi((\alpha P))$. Hence $(\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P))^* = -\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$, i.e., $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is selfadjoint.

It remains to show that $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is idempotent. Since $(\alpha P^\perp)^* = -\bar{\eta}(\alpha P^\perp)$, where $P^\perp = I - P$, we have $\phi(\alpha P^\perp)^* = -\bar{\eta}\phi((\alpha P^\perp))$. Hence by Eq.(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1 + \eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1 + \eta}, \frac{\alpha P^\perp}{1 + \eta}, P\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P^\perp}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi(P)\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P^\perp}{1 + \eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(P) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P^\perp) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(P) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P^\perp)\phi(P) - \phi(P)\phi(\alpha P^\perp).
\end{aligned}$$

So $\phi(\alpha P^\perp)\phi(P) = \phi(P)\phi(\alpha P^\perp)$. Taking the adjoint, we get $\phi(P)^*\phi(\alpha P^\perp) = \phi(\alpha P^\perp)\phi(P)^*$. Hence by Eq.(2),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1 + \eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1 + \eta}, \frac{P}{1 + \eta}, \alpha P^\perp\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{P}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi(\alpha P^\perp)\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1 + \eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{P}{1 + \eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P^\perp) \quad (8) \\
&= \phi(P) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P^\perp) \\
&= \phi(P)\phi(\alpha P^\perp) + \eta\phi(\alpha P^\perp)\phi(P)^* \\
&= \phi(\alpha P^\perp)(\phi(P) + \phi(P)^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta \neq -1$, we can set $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{1+\eta}$. Then noting $\phi(\beta I) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$, by Eq.(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha P) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{P}{1+\eta}, \beta I\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{P}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(\beta I)\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{P}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\beta I) \\ &= \phi(P) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\beta I) \\ &= \phi(\beta I)(\phi(P) + \eta\phi(P)^*).\end{aligned}$$

This together with Eq.(8) implies that $\phi(\alpha P^{\perp})\phi(\alpha P) = 0$. Hence

$$\phi(\alpha P)^2 = (\phi(\alpha P) + \phi(\alpha P^{\perp}))\phi(\alpha P) = \phi(\alpha I)\phi(\alpha P) = \alpha\phi(\alpha P).$$

So $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is idempotent.

So far we have established the sufficiency. Note that the preceding proof does not use the condition that \mathcal{B} has no central abelian projection. Therefore the previous result can apply to ϕ^{-1} . Now, if $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is a projection, then $P = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi^{-1}(\alpha(\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)))$ is a projection, proving the necessity.

Case 3. $|\eta| \neq 1$.

Take $\alpha = \frac{1-\eta}{1-|\eta|^2}$, then $\alpha + \eta\bar{\alpha} = 1$.

Claim 3.1. $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$.

By Eq.(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}I = \phi(B) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\alpha I}{1+\eta}, B\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(B)\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha I}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B) \quad (9) \\ &= \phi(\alpha I) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B) \\ &= \phi(\alpha I) \diamond_{\eta} I \\ &= \phi(\alpha I) + \eta\phi(\alpha I)^*.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\phi(\alpha I) + \eta\phi(\alpha I)^*$ is selfadjoint. So

$$\phi(\alpha I) + \eta\phi(\alpha I)^* = (\phi(\alpha I) + \eta\phi(\alpha I)^*)^* = \phi(\alpha I)^* + \bar{\eta}\phi(\alpha I).$$

Therefore

$$\phi(\alpha I)^* = \frac{1-\bar{\eta}}{1-\eta}\phi(\alpha I) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha I).$$

Putting this in Eq.(9), we get that $\phi(\alpha I) = \alpha I$.

Claim 3.2. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A)^* = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(A)$ if and only if $A^* = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha}A$.

Let A be in \mathcal{A} such that $A^* = \overline{\alpha}A$. Noting that $1 + \eta\overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta}, \alpha I\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(\alpha I)\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha I) \\ &= \phi(A) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha I) \\ &= \alpha(\phi(A) + \eta\phi(A)^*).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\phi(A)^* = \frac{1-\alpha}{\eta\alpha}\phi(A) = \frac{\eta\overline{\alpha}}{\eta\alpha}\phi(A) = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(A).$$

This proves the sufficiency. The necessity can be obtain by considering ϕ^{-1} .

Claim 3.3. Let P be in \mathcal{A} , then $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is a projection in \mathcal{B} if and only if P is a projection in \mathcal{A} .

To prove the sufficiency. We suppose that P is a projection in \mathcal{A} . Since $(\alpha P)^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}(\alpha P)$, it follows Claim 3.2 that $\phi(\alpha P)^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$. Hence $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is selfadjoint. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha P) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\alpha P}{1+\eta}, \alpha P\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(\alpha P)\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P) \\ &= \phi(\alpha P) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P) \\ &= \phi(\alpha P)\phi(\alpha P) + \eta\phi(\alpha P)\phi(\alpha P)^* \\ &= \phi(\alpha P)^2 + \eta\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)^2\end{aligned}$$

and then $(\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P))^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$. So $\frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P)$ is a projection. This proves the sufficiency. The necessity can be showed by considering ϕ^{-1} . \square

Lemma 4.3. ϕ is multiplicative and hence $\phi(I) = I$.

Proof. Suppose that ϕ is multiplicative. Taking A form \mathcal{A} such that $\phi(A) = I$, we have that $\phi(I) = \phi(I)\phi(A) = \phi(A) = I$.

Now we show that ϕ is multiplicative. To do this, we fix a projection Q_1 in \mathcal{B} with $\underline{Q}_1 = 0$ and $\overline{Q}_1 = I$. Then by (1) of Lemma 4.2, $P_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi^{-1}(\alpha Q_1)$ is projection in \mathcal{A} . It is easy to see that $Q_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P_1)$. Let $P_2 = I - P_1$ and $Q_2 = I - Q_1$. Then we obtain from Lemma 4.2 that $Q_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha P_2)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \mathcal{B}_{ij}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ij} = Q_i \mathcal{B} Q_j$.

Claim 1. $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ij}) = \mathcal{B}_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2$.

Let A_{12} be an arbitrary element in \mathcal{A}_{12} . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\alpha A_{12}) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\alpha P_1}{1+\eta}, A_{12}\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P_1}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{12})\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P_1}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{12}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_1) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{12}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_1) \phi(A_{12}) + \eta \phi(A_{12}) \phi(\alpha P_1)^* \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_1) \phi(A_{12}) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}\eta}{\alpha} \phi(A_{12}) \phi(\alpha P_1) \\
&= \alpha Q_1 \phi(A_{12}) + \eta \bar{\alpha} \phi(A_{12}) Q_1,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $Q_2 \phi(\alpha A_{12}) Q_2 = 0$. Similarly, we obtain from

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\eta \bar{\alpha} A_{12}) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\alpha P_2}{1+\eta}, A_{12}\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P_2}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(A_{12})\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{\alpha P_2}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{12}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_2) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{12}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_2) \phi(A_{12}) + \eta \phi(A_{12}) \phi(\alpha P_2)^* \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_2) \phi(A_{12}) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}\eta}{\alpha} \phi(A_{12}) \phi(\alpha P_2) \\
&= \alpha Q_2 \phi(A_{12}) + \eta \bar{\alpha} \phi(A_{12}) Q_2,
\end{aligned}$$

we get that $Q_1 \phi(\eta \bar{\alpha} A_{12}) Q_1 = 0$. Since A_{12} is arbitrary, we have $\phi(A_{12}) = B_{12} + B_{21}$ for some $B_{12} \in \mathcal{B}_{12}$ and $B_{21} \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$.

To prove $\phi(A_{12}) \in \mathcal{B}_{12}$, we have to show that $B_{21} = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}, \alpha P_1\right)\right) \\
&= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(\alpha P_1)\right) \\
&= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P_1) \\
&= \phi(A_{12}) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(\alpha P_1) \\
&= \phi(A_{12})(\alpha Q_1) + \eta(\alpha Q_1) \phi(A_{12})^* \\
&= \alpha(B_{12} + \eta B_{21}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $B_{21} = 0$, which implies $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{12}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{12}$. By considering ϕ^{-1} , we can get $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{12}) = \mathcal{B}_{12}$.

Similarly, we have $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{21}) = \mathcal{B}_{21}$.

Claim 2. $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{ii}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ii} (i = 1, 2)$.

Let A_{ii} be an arbitrary element in \mathcal{A}_{ii} . Then for $j \neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\alpha P_j}{1+\eta}, A_{ii} \right) \right) \\
&= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{\alpha P_j}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(A_{ii}) \right) \\
&= p_{n-1} \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{\alpha P_j}{1+\eta} \right) \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{ii}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_j) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{ii}) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_j) \phi(A_{ii} + \eta \phi(A_{ii} \phi(\alpha P_j))^*) \\
&= \phi(\alpha P_j) \phi(A_{ii} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} \eta}{\alpha} \phi(A_{ii} \phi(\alpha P_j))) \\
&= \alpha Q_j \phi(A_{ii}) + \eta \bar{\alpha} \phi(A_{ii}) Q_j.
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $Q_j \phi(A_{ii}) Q_i = Q_i \phi(A_{ii}) Q_j = 0$ and $\phi(A_{ii}) = B_{11} + B_{22}$ for some $B_{11} \in \mathcal{B}_{11}$ and $B_{22} \in \mathcal{B}_{22}$.

For $j \neq i$ and $C_{ij} \in B_{ij}$, we obtain from Claim 1 that $\phi^{-1}(C_{ij}) \in A_{ij}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{\phi^{-1}(C_{ij})}{1+\eta}, A_{ii} \right) \right) \\
&= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(C_{ij})}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(A_{ii}) \right) \\
&= p_{n-1} \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{\phi^{-1}(C_{ij})}{1+\eta} \right) \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{ii}) \\
&= C_{ij} \diamond_{\eta} \phi(A_{ii}) \\
&= C_{ij} \phi(A_{ii} + \eta \phi(A_{ii} C_{ij}^*)) \\
&= C_{ij} B_{jj} + \eta B_{jj} C_{ij}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (1) that $B_{jj} = 0$. So we have $\phi(A_{ii}) = B_{ii} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{ii}$.

Claim 3. ϕ is multiplicative.

Since ϕ is additive and $\phi(I) = I$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we write them as $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 A_{ij}$ and $B = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 B_{ij}$, where $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. Since ϕ is additive, to prove $\phi(AB) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$, it suffices to show that $\phi(A_{ij}B_{kl}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{kl})$ for any $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$. If $j \neq k$, then we obtain from Claims 1 and 2 in Section 4 that $\phi(A_{ij}B_{kl}) = \phi(A_{ij})\phi(B_{kl}) = 0$, thus we just need to consider the cases with $j = k$.

By the above two claims, we have $\phi(B_{12})\phi(A_{11})^* = 0$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(A_{11}B_{12}) &= \phi \left(p_n \left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A_{11}}{1+\eta}, B_{12} \right) \right) \\
&= p_n \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A_{11}}{1+\eta} \right), \phi(B_{12}) \right) \\
&= p_{n-1} \left(\phi(I), \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \dots, \phi \left(\frac{I}{1+\eta} \right), \phi \left(\frac{A_{11}}{1+\eta} \right) \right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B_{12}) \\
&= \phi(A_{11}) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B_{12}) \\
&= \phi(A_{11}) \phi(B_{12}) + \eta \phi(B_{12}) \phi(A_{11})^* \\
&= \phi(A_{11}) \phi(B_{12}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\phi(A_{22}B_{21}) = \phi(A_{22})\phi(B_{21})$.

For $D_{12} \in \mathcal{B}_{12}$, we have $C_{12} = \phi^{-1}(D_{12}) \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ by Claim 1. Therefore

$$\phi(A_{11}B_{11})D_{12} = \phi(A_{11}B_{11}C_{12}) = \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11}C_{12}) = \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})D_{12}.$$

for all $D_{12} \in \mathcal{B}_{12}$. Since $\underline{Q}_1 = 0$ and $\overline{Q}_1 = I$, we obtain from Lemma 2.2 and Claim 2 in Section 4 that $\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) = \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})$.

Similarly, we have $\phi(A_{22}B_{22}) = \phi(A_{22})\phi(B_{22})$.

Since $\phi(B_{21})\phi(A_{12})^* = 0$ by Claim 1 in Section 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A_{12}B_{21}) &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}, B_{21}\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(B_{21})\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A_{12}}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B_{21}) \\ &= \phi(A_{12}) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(B_{21}) \\ &= \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{21}) + \eta\phi(B_{21})\phi(A_{12})^* \\ &= \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{21}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $\phi(A_{21}B_{12}) = \phi(A_{21})\phi(B_{12})$.

For $D_{21} \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$, we have $C_{21} = \phi^{-1}(D_{21}) \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ by Claim 1 in Section 4. Therefore

$$\phi(A_{12}B_{22})D_{21} = \phi(A_{12}B_{22}C_{21}) = \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{22}C_{21}) = \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{22})D_{21}.$$

for all $D_{21} \in \mathcal{B}_{21}$. Since $\underline{Q}_1 = 0$ and $\overline{Q}_1 = I$, we know by Lemma 2.2 and Claim 2 in Section 4 that $\phi(A_{12}B_{22}) = \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{22})$.

Similarly, we have $\phi(A_{22}B_{21}) = \phi(A_{22})\phi(B_{21})$. □

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

- (1) $\phi(\alpha A) = \alpha\phi(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (2) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is selfadjoint, then $\phi(A)$ is selfadjoint;
- (3) ϕ is real linear.

Proof. (1) For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we know by the above two lemmas that

$$\phi(\alpha A) = \phi((\alpha I)A) = \phi(\alpha I)\phi(A) = \alpha\phi(A).$$

(2) Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is selfadjoint, then $(\alpha A)^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}(\alpha A)$. Thus we know from (1) and Lemma 4.2 (2) that

$$\overline{\alpha}\phi(A)^* = (\alpha(\phi(A)))^* = \phi(\alpha A)^* = \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{\alpha}\phi(\alpha A) = \overline{\alpha}\phi(A).$$

so $\phi(A)$ is selfadjoint.

(3) Let A be a positive element in \mathcal{A} . Then we have $A = C^2$ for some selfadjoint element $C \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\phi(A) = \phi(C)^2$. Since $\phi(C)$ is selfadjoint, $\phi(A)$ is positive, which implies that ϕ preserves positive elements.

Now let a be a real number. Choose sequences $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ of rational numbers such that $b_n \leq a \leq c_n$ for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = a$. Since $b_n I \leq a I \leq c_n I$ and ϕ preserves positive elements, we know that $b_n I \leq \phi(a I) \leq c_n I$. Since \mathcal{A}

is a von Neumann algebra, after taking the limit, we know that $\phi(aI) = aI$. Hence for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\phi(aA) = \phi((aI)A) = \phi(aI)\phi(A) = a\phi(A)$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\eta \notin \mathbb{R}$. Then ϕ is linear.*

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 4.2, we know that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$. Let $\alpha = a + bi$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $b \neq 0$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we obtain from Lemma 4.4 (3) that

$$a\phi(A) + b\phi(iA) = \phi((a + bi)A) = (a + bi)\phi(A).$$

Thus we have $\phi(iA) = i\phi(A)$. This together with Lemma 4.4 shows that ϕ is linear. \square

Lemma 4.6. *For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^*$.*

Proof. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we know by the above two lemmas and the additivity of ϕ that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) + \eta\phi(A^*) &= \phi(A) + \phi(\eta A^*) \\ &= \phi(AI + \eta IA^*) \\ &= \phi\left(p_n\left(I, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \dots, \frac{I}{1+\eta}, \frac{A}{1+\eta}, I\right)\right) \\ &= p_n\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A}{1+\eta}\right), \phi(I)\right) \\ &= p_{n-1}\left(\phi(I), \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \dots, \phi\left(\frac{I}{1+\eta}\right), \phi\left(\frac{A}{1+\eta}\right)\right) \diamond_{\eta} \phi(I) \\ &= \phi(A) \diamond_{\eta} I \\ &= \phi(A) + \eta\phi(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\phi(A^*) = \phi(A)^*$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *$\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and there is a central projection $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{A}E$ is linear and the restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{A}(I - E)$ is conjugate linear.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $\phi(iI)^2 = \phi(iI^2) = -\phi(I) = -I$. By Lemma 4.6, $\phi(iI)^* = \phi(iI^*) = -\phi(iI)$. Let $F = \frac{I - i\phi(iI)}{2}$. Then it is easy to verify that F is a central projection in \mathcal{B} . Let $E = \phi(F)^{-1}$. From Lemma 4.4 (2) show that $E^* = E$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3

$$E^2 = (\phi^{-1}(F))^2 = \phi^{-1}(F)\phi^{-1}(F) = \phi^{-1}(F^2) = \phi^{-1}(F) = E.$$

So E is a projection. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $BF = FB$, then $\phi^{-1}(BF) = \phi^{-1}(FB)$. This together with Lemma 4.3 shows that $E \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. So E is a central projection in \mathcal{A} . Moreover, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\phi(iAE) = \phi(A)\phi(E)\phi(iI) = i\phi(A)F = i\phi(AE),$$

and

$$\phi(iA(I - E)) = \phi(A)\phi(I - E)\phi(iI) = i\phi(A)(I - F) = -i\phi(A(I - E)).$$

Thus the restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{A}E$ is linear and the restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{A}(I - E)$ is conjugate linear. \square

The proof of Theorem 4.1 follows now from the above results. \square

5. POTENTIAL TOPICS FOR THE FUTURE RESEARCH

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Šemrl, *Quadratic and quasi-quadratic functions*, Proc. Amer. Soc., **119** (1993), 1105-1113.
- [2] Z.F.Bai and S.P.Du, *Maps preserving products $XY - YX^*$ on von Neumann algebras*, J. math. Anal. Appl., **386** (2012), 103-109.
- [3] C. J. Li, F. Y. Lu and X. C. Fang, *Nonlinear mappings preserving product $XY + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 2239-2345.
- [4] L. Q. Dai and F. Y. Lu, *Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan $*$ -products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **409** (2014), 180-188.
- [5] J.-L. Cui and C.-K. Li, *Maps preserving product $XY - YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **431** (2009), 833-842.
- [6] D.H. Huo, B.D. Zheng, J. L. Xu and H.Y. Liu, *Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan multiple $*$ -product on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **63** (2015), 1026-1036.
- [7] P. S. Ji and Z. Y. Liu, *Additivity of Jordan maps on standard Jordan operator algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **430** (2009), 335-343.
- [8] F. Y. Lu, *Additivity of Jordan maps on standard operator algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **357** (2002), 123-131.
- [9] D.H. Huo, B.D. Zheng and H.Y. Liu, *Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple η - $*$ -products*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **430** (2015), 830-844.
- [10] C. R. Miers, *Lie homomorphisms of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **38** (1971), 717-735.

LIN: COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, CHINA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, 100083, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: whlin@cau.edu.cn