

**THE CONTINUITY OF ADDITIVE AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS,
WHICH ARE UPPER BOUNDED ON NON-FLAT CONTINUA IN \mathbb{R}^n**

TARAS BANAKH, ELIZA JABŁOŃSKA, WOJCIECH JABŁOŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We prove that for a continuum $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the sum K^{+n} of n copies of K has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n if and only if K is not flat in the sense that the affine hull of K coincides with \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, if K is locally connected and each non-empty open subset of K is not flat, then for any (analytic) non-meager subset $A \subset K$ the sum A^{+n} of n copies of A is not meager in \mathbb{R}^n (and then the sum A^{+2n} of $2n$ copies of the analytic set A has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n and the set $(A - A)^{+n}$ is a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n). This implies that a mid-convex function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined on an open convex subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous if it is upper bounded on some non-flat continuum in D or on a non-meager analytic subset of a locally connected nowhere flat subset of D .

Let X be a linear topological space over the field of real numbers. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *additive* if $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a convex subset $D \subset X$ is called *mid-convex* if $f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}$ for all $x, y \in D$.

Many classical results concerning additive or mid-convex functions state that the boundedness of such functions on “sufficiently large” sets implies their continuity. That is why Ger and Kuczma [8] introduced the following three families of sets in X :

- the family $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of all subsets $T \subset X$ such that any mid-convex function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a convex open subset $D \subset X$ containing T is continuous if $\sup f(T) < \infty$;
- the family $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all subsets $T \subset X$ such that any additive function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\sup f(T) < \infty$ is continuous;
- the family $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of all subsets $T \subset X$ such that any additive function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous if the set $f(T)$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} .

It is clear that

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(X).$$

By the example of Erdős [4] (discussed in [8]) the classes $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ are not equal even if $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, Ger and Kominek [7] proved that $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X)$ for any Baire topological vector space X . In particular, $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [14]).

There are lots of papers devoted to the problem of recognizing sets in the families $\mathcal{A}(X)$, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ or $\mathcal{C}(X)$, see e.g. [1], [5], [16], [18], [19]. The classical results concerning mid-convex functions (namely, Bernstein-Doetsch Theorem [2] and its generalizations, see e.g. [22]) imply that a subset T with non-empty interior in a topological vector space X belongs to the families $\mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$. By (the proofs) of Lemma 9.2.1 and Theorem 9.2.5 in [13], a subset T of a topological vector space X belongs to a family $\mathcal{K} \in \{\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{C}(X)\}$ if and only if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ its n -fold sum

$$T^{+n} = \underbrace{T + \dots + T}_{n \text{ times}}$$

belongs to the family \mathcal{K} . Combining these two facts, we obtain the following well-known folklore result.

Theorem 1. *A subset T of a topological vector space X belongs to the families $\mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$ if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ its n -fold sum T^{+n} has non-empty interior in X .*

Theorem 1 has been used many times to show that various “thin” sets actually belong to the class $\mathcal{A}(X)$, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ or $\mathcal{C}(X)$. In this respect let us mention the following result of Ger [6].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26B05, 26B25, 54C05, 54C30, 54D05.

Key words and phrases. Euclidean space, additive function, mid-convex function, continuity, continuum, analytic set, Ger-Kuczma classes.

Theorem 2 (Ger). *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a nontrivial interval, $n \geq 2$ and let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 -smooth function defining in \mathbb{R}^n a curve which does not lie entirely in an $(n-1)$ dimensional affine hyperplane. Let $Z \subset I$ be a set of positive Lebesgue measure. If one of the conditions is fulfilled:*

- (i) *the Lebesgue measure of $Z \cap (a, b)$ is positive for every nontrivial interval $(a, b) \subset I$,*
- (ii) *the determinant*

$$d(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi'_1(x_1) & \dots & \varphi'_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi'_n(x_1) & \dots & \varphi'_n(x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

is non-zero for almost every (x_1, \dots, x_n) in I^n ,

then the image $\varphi(Z)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper we prove a topological counterpart of Ger's Theorem 2.

A subset A of a topological vector space X is defined to be

- *flat* if the affine hull of A is nowhere dense in X ;
- *nowhere flat* if each non-empty relatively open subset $U \subset A$ is not flat in X .

By a *continuum* we understand a connected compact metrizable space.

Theorem 3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any non-flat continuum $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ its n -fold sum K^{+n} has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n and hence K belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if K is locally connected and nowhere flat in \mathbb{R}^n , then for any non-meager analytic subspace A of K the $2n$ -fold sum A^{+2n} has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n , which implies that $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 1. The first part of Theorem 3 answers a problem posed by the last author in [10].

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall apply a non-trivial result of Holsztyński [9] and Lifanov [17] on the dimension properties of products of continua. Let us recall that a closed subset S of a topological space X is called a *separator* between subsets A and B of X if A and B are contained in distinct connected components of the complement $X \setminus S$.

The following proposition is due to Holsztyński [9] and Lifanov [17] and is discussed by Engelking in [3, 1.8.K].

Proposition 1 (Holsztyński, Lifanov). *Let K_1, \dots, K_n be continua and $K := \prod_{i=1}^n K_i$ be their product. For every positive integer $i \leq n$ let a_i^-, a_i^+ be two distinct points in K_i and let S_i be a separator between the sets $A_i^- := \{(x_k)_{k=1}^n \in K : x_i = a_i^-\}$ and $A_i^+ := \{(x_k)_{k=1}^n \in K : x_i = a_i^+\}$ in K . Then the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$ is not empty.*

The principal ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3 is the following result, which can have an independent value.

Theorem 4. *Let K_1, \dots, K_n be continua in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin of \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that each continuum K_i contains a point e_i such that the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n are linearly independent. Then the sum $K := K_1 + \dots + K_n$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n and the Lebesgue measure of K is not smaller than the volume of the parallelotope $P := [0, 1] \cdot e_1 + \dots + [0, 1] \cdot e_n$.*

Proof. After a suitable linear transformation of \mathbb{R}^n , we can assume that e_1, \dots, e_n coincide with the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , which means that $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, \dots , $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. In this case we should prove that the sum $K = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n and the Lebesgue measure $\lambda(K)$ of K is not smaller than the volume $\lambda(P) = 1$ of the cube $P = [0, 1]^n$.

On the space \mathbb{R}^n we consider the sup-norm $\|x\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$. Let $\delta := \max\{\|x\| : x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_i\}$. Choose numbers $s, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l > s + (n-1)\delta$. Moreover, if $\lambda(K) < 1$, then we can replace s and l by larger numbers and additionally assume that $(\frac{2s}{2l+1})^n > \lambda(K)$.

For every positive integer $i \leq n$, consider the finite set $Z_i = \{k \cdot e_i : k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \leq l\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and observe that the sum $\tilde{K}_i := K_i + Z_i$ is a continuum containing the set Z_i (as K_i contains zero). Let $Z := Z_1 + \dots + Z_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and observe that

$$K + Z = (K_1 + \dots + K_n) + (Z_1 + \dots + Z_n) = (K_1 + Z_1) + \dots + (K_n + Z_n) = \tilde{K}_1 + \dots + \tilde{K}_n.$$

Claim 1. $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$.

Proof. To derive a contradiction, suppose that $[-s, s]^n \not\subset K + Z$ and fix a point $(y_i)_{i=1}^n \in [-s, s]^n \setminus (K + Z)$. For every positive integer $k \leq n$ denote by $\text{pr}_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\text{pr}_k : (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto x_k$, the coordinate projection.

Also let

$$Y_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) = y_k\}, \quad Y_k^- := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) < y_k\}, \quad Y_k^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{pr}_k(x) > y_k\}.$$

Consider the continuous map $\Sigma : \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i \rightarrow K + Z$, $\Sigma : (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. For every positive integer $k \leq n$ let $p_k : \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i \rightarrow \tilde{K}_k$, $p_k : (x_i)_{i=1}^n \mapsto x_k$, be the coordinate projection. The sets $F_k^- := p_k^{-1}(-l \cdot e_k)$ and $F_k^+ := p_k^{-1}(l \cdot e_k)$ will be called the negative and positive k -faces of the ‘‘cube’’ $\tilde{K} := \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_i$.

We claim that $\Sigma(F_k^-) \subset Y_k^-$ and $\Sigma(F_k^+) \subset Y_k^+$. Indeed, for any $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n \in F_k^+ \subset \tilde{K}$ we can find sequences $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in \prod_{i=1}^n K_i$ and $(z_i)_{i=1}^n \in \prod_{i=1}^n Z_i$ such that $(\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n = (x_i + z_i)_{i=1}^n$.

Taking into account that $\text{pr}_k(z_i) = 0$ for all $i \neq k$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_k \circ \Sigma(\tilde{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_i) = \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(\tilde{x}_i) = \text{pr}_k(l \cdot e_k) + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(x_i + z_i) = \\ &= l + \sum_{i \neq k} \text{pr}_k(x_i) \geq l - \sum_{i \neq k} \|x_i\| \geq l - (n-1)\delta > s \geq y_k \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\Sigma(\tilde{x}) \in Y_k^+$ and finally $\Sigma(F_k^+) \subset Y_k^+$.

By analogy we can prove that $\Sigma(F_k^-) \subset Y_k^-$. Then $\Sigma^{-1}(Y_k)$ is a separator between the k -faces F_k^- and F_k^+ of the ‘‘cube’’ \tilde{K} .

Since $\bigcap_{k=1}^n Y_k = (y_k)_{k=1}^n \notin K + Z = \Sigma(\tilde{K})$, the intersection $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \Sigma^{-1}(Y_k)$ is empty, which contradicts Proposition 1. \square

Now we continue the proof of Theorem 4. By Claim 1, $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$. Taking into account that the set Z is finite, we conclude that the set $K = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, $[-s, s]^n \subset K + Z$ implies $(2s)^n = \lambda([-s, s]^n) \leq \lambda(K + Z) \leq \lambda(K) \cdot |Z| = \lambda(K) \cdot (2l + 1)^n$ and hence $(\frac{2s}{2l+1})^n \leq \lambda(K)$. Then $\lambda(K) \geq 1$ by the choice of the numbers l and s . \square

The following corollary of Theorem 4 implies the first part of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. *For a continuum $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and its n -fold sum K^{+n} the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) K^{+n} has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (2) K^{+n} has positive Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (3) K is not flat in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (4) for any non-zero linear continuous functional $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the image $f(K)$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R} ;
- (5) K belongs to the family $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (6) K belongs to the family $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are trivial. To prove that (3) \Rightarrow (1), assume that K is not flat in \mathbb{R}^n . After a suitable shift, we can assume that K contains the origin of the vector space \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $E \subset K$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of K . Assuming that $|E| < n$ we would conclude that K is contained in the linear hull of the set E and hence is flat. So, $|E| = n$ and we can write E as $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \subset K$. Applying Theorem 4 to the continua $K_i := K$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we conclude that the sum $K^{+n} = K_1 + \dots + K_n$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n .

The equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is proved in Lemma 1 below and the implication (1) \Rightarrow (5) follows from Proposition 1 and (5) \Rightarrow (6) is trivial. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that (6) \Rightarrow (3), which is equivalent to $\neg(3) \Rightarrow \neg(6)$. So, assume that the continuum K is flat in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $K \subset x + L$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $\dim(L) = n - 1$. Let \mathbb{R}^n/L be the quotient space and $q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/L$ be the quotient linear operator.

Since the quotient space \mathbb{R}^n/L is topologically isomorphic to \mathbb{R} , it admits a discontinuous additive function $a : \mathbb{R}^n/L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = a \circ q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a discontinuous additive function such that $f(K) \subset f(x + L) = \{f(x)\}$, which means that $K \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Lemma 1. *A connected subset K of a locally convex topological vector space X is not flat if and only if for any non-zero linear continuous functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the image $f(K)$ has non-empty interior in X .*

Proof. If A is flat, then the affine hull of A in X is nowhere dense and hence $A \subset a + L$ for some $a \in A$ and some nowhere dense closed linear subspace of X . Using the Hahn-Banach Theorem, choose a non-zero linear continuous functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L \subset f^{-1}(0)$. Then the image $f(A) \subset f(L + a) = \{f(a)\}$ is a singleton (which has empty interior in the real line).

Now assuming that A is not flat, we shall prove that for any non-zero linear continuous functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the image $f(A)$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R} . Since A is not flat, its affine hull is dense in X and hence the affine hull of $f(A)$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . This implies that $f(A)$ contains two distinct points $a < b$. By the connectedness of $f(A)$ (which follows from the connectedness of A and the continuity of f), the set $f(A)$ contains the interval $[a, b]$ and hence has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R} . \square

Problem 1. *Is there a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $K + K$ has empty interior in \mathbb{R}^2 but for any non-zero linear continuous functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the image $f(K)$ has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R} ?*

Subsets A_1, \dots, A_n of \mathbb{R}^n will be called *collectively nowhere flat in \mathbb{R}^n* if any non-empty relatively open subsets $U_1 \subset A_1, \dots, U_n \subset A_n$ contain points $a_1, b_1 \in U_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in U_n$ such that the vectors $b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_n - a_n$ form a basis of the linear space \mathbb{R}^n .

For example, for a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$ the closed intervals $[0, 1] \cdot e_1, \dots, [0, 1] \cdot e_n$ are collectively nowhere flat; yet each set $[0, 1] \cdot e_i$ separately is flat.

It is easy to see that a subspace $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is nowhere flat in \mathbb{R}^n if and only if the sequence of n its copies $A_1 = A, \dots, A_n = A$ is collectively nowhere flat in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 5. *Let K_1, \dots, K_n be collectively nowhere flat locally connected subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . For every non-meager subsets B_1, \dots, B_n in K_1, \dots, K_n the algebraic sum $B_1 + \dots + B_n$ is non-meager in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. To derive a contradiction, assume that the sum $B_1 + \dots + B_n$ is meager and hence is contained in the countable union $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} F_i$ of closed nowhere dense subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the continuous map $\Sigma : (\mathbb{R}^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Sigma : (x_k)_{k=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$. Taking into account that for every $i \leq n$ the subset B_i is not meager in K_i , we can apply a classical result of Banach [15, §10.V] and find a non-empty open set $W_i \subset K_i$ such that the intersection $W_i \cap B_i$ is a dense Baire subspace of W_i . Replacing W_i by a smaller open subset of W_i , we can assume that the set W_i is bounded in \mathbb{R}^n . Replacing B_i by $B_i \cap W_i$, we can assume that B_i is a dense Baire space in W_i .

By [11, 8.44], the product $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k$ of second countable Baire spaces B_k is Baire. Since $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k \subset \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \Sigma^{-1}(F_i)$, we can apply Baire Theorem and find $i \in \omega$ such that the set $\Sigma^{-1}(F_i) \cap \prod_{k=1}^n B_k$ has non-empty interior in $\prod_{k=1}^n B_k$. Then we can find non-empty open sets $V_1 \subset W_1, \dots, V_n \subset W_n$ such that $\prod_{k=1}^n (B_k \cap V_k) \subset \Sigma^{-1}(F_i)$. Since the spaces K_1, \dots, K_n are locally connected, we can additionally assume that each set V_k is connected and hence has compact connected closure \overline{V}_k in \mathbb{R}^n . The set $\Sigma^{-1}(F_i)$ is closed and hence contains the closure $\prod_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k$ of the set $\prod_{k=1}^n (V_k \cap B_k)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$. Then the set $\overline{V}_1 + \dots + \overline{V}_n = \Sigma(\prod_{k=1}^n \overline{V}_k) \subset F_i$ is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^n .

On the other hand, taking into account that the sets K_1, \dots, K_n are collectively nowhere flat in \mathbb{R}^n , in each set \overline{V}_k we can choose two points a_k, b_k such that the vectors $b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_n - a_n$ form a basis of the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . Applying Theorem 4, we can conclude that the set $\overline{V}_1 + \dots + \overline{V}_n$ has non-empty interior and hence cannot be contained in the nowhere dense set F_i . This contradiction completes the proof. \square

The following corollary of Theorem 5 yields the second part of Theorem 3.

Corollary 2. *Let K be a nowhere flat locally connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n and A be a non-meager analytic subspace of K . Then the n -fold sum A^{+n} of A is a non-meager analytic subset of \mathbb{R}^n , the $2n$ -fold sum A^{+2n} has non-empty interior and the set $(A - A)^{+n}$ is a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, the set A belongs to the families $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Since the set A is non-meager in K , by [15, §10.V], there exists a non-empty open set $V \subset K$ such that $V \cap A$ is a dense Baire subspace of V . Since K is locally connected, we can assume that V is connected and so is its closure \overline{V} in K . Replacing K by \overline{V} and A by $A \cap V$, we can assume that A is a dense Baire subspace of K . By Theorem 5, the n -fold sum A^{+n} of A is a non-meager subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The subspace A^{+n} is analytic, being a continuous image of the analytic space A^n . Applying Pettis-Piccard Theorem [20, Corollary 5], [21] (also [12, Theorem 1]), we can conclude that the sum $A^{+n} + A^{+n} = A^{+2n}$ has non-empty interior and the difference $A^{+n} - A^{+n}$ is a neighborhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^n .

Since A^{+2n} has non-empty interior in \mathbb{R}^n , we can apply Proposition 1 and conclude that $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Writing down the definition of the class $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and applying Corollaries 1 and 2, we obtain the following characterization.

Corollary 3. For a mid-convex function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a non-empty open convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) f is continuous;
- (2) f is upper bounded on some non-flat continuum $K \subset D$;
- (3) f is upper bounded on some non-meager analytic subspace of a nowhere flat locally connected subset of D .

Now we present an example showing that the condition of (collective) nowhere flatness in Corollaries 2, 3 (and Theorem 5) is essential.

Example 1. Let $C = \{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x_n}{3^n} : (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \}$ be the standard Cantor set in the interval $[0,1]$. Let $f : C \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the continuous map assigning to each point $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x_n}{3^n}$ of the Cantor set C the real number $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$. Let $\bar{f} : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be the unique monotone function extending f . The function \bar{f} is known as *Cantor ladders*. It is uniquely defined by the condition $\bar{f}^{-1}(y) = \text{conv}(f^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in [0,1]$. Let $\Gamma_f := \{(x, f(x)) : x \in C\}$ and $\Gamma_{\bar{f}} := \{(x, \bar{f}(x)) : x \in [0,1]\}$ be the graphs of the functions f and \bar{f} . The set Γ_f is nowhere flat and zero-dimensional, and the set $\Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ is connected but not nowhere flat in the plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

It is easy to see that $A := \Gamma_{\bar{f}} \setminus \Gamma_f$ is an open dense subset of $\Gamma_{\bar{f}}$. By Corollary 1 (see also the proof of Theorem 9.5.2 in [13]), the sum $S := \Gamma_{\bar{f}} + \Gamma_{\bar{f}}$ has non-empty interior in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . On the other hand, the sum $A + A$ is meager in \mathbb{R}^2 . Moreover, since $A + A$ is contained in the union of countably many parallel lines in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, the \mathbb{Q} -linear hull of A has uncountable codimension in \mathbb{R}^2 , which allows us to construct a discontinuous additive function $a : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a(A) = \{0\}$, witnessing that $A \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach, E. Jabłońska, *Null-finite sets in metric groups and their applications*, preprint (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.08155>).
- [2] F. Bernstein, G. Doetsch, *Zur Theorie der konvexen Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **76** (1915), 514–526.
- [3] R. Engelking, *Theory of Dimensions, Finite and Infinite*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, **10**. Lemgo: Heldermann Verlag, 1995.
- [4] P. Erdős, *On some properties of Hamel bases*, Colloquium Math. **10** (1963), 267–269.
- [5] R. Ger, *Some remarks on convex functions*, Fund. Math. **66** (1969), 255–262.
- [6] R. Ger, *Thin sets and convex functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **21** (1973), 413–416.
- [7] R. Ger, Z. Kominek, *Boundedness and continuity of additive and convex functionals*, Aequationes Math. **37** (1989), 252–258.
- [8] R. Ger, M. Kuczma, *On the boundedness and continuity of convex functions and additive functions*, Aequationes Math. **4** (1970), 157–162.
- [9] W. Holsztyński, *Universality of mappings onto the products of snake-like spaces. Relation with dimension*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **16** (1968), 161–167.
- [10] W. Jabłoński, *Steinhaus-type property for a boundary of a convex body*, preprint (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.01710>).
- [11] A.S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York 1998.
- [12] Z. Kominek, *On the sum and difference of two sets in topological vector spaces*, Fund. Math. **71** (1971), 165–169.
- [13] M. Kuczma, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities. Cauchy's equation and Jensen's inequality*. In: Gilányi, A. (ed.) 2nd edn. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2009.
- [14] M.E. Kuczma, *On discontinuous additive functions*, Fund. Math. **66** (1969/1970), 383–392.
- [15] K. Kuratowski, *Topology, I*, Academic Press, 1966.
- [16] S. Kurepa, *Convex functions*, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. (2) **11** (1956), 89–93.
- [17] I.K. Lifanov, *The dimension of a product of one-dimensional bicomcompact (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **180** (1968), 534–537; (Engl. translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **9** (1968), 648–651).
- [18] M.R. Mehdi, *On convex functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1964), 321–326.
- [19] A. Ostrowski, *Über die Funktionalgleichung der Exponentialfunktion und verwandte Funktionalgleichungen*, Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein **38** (1929), 54–62.
- [20] B.J. Pettis, *Remarks on a theorem of E.J. McShane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 166–171.
- [21] S. Piccard, *Sur les ensembles de distances des ensembles de points d'un espace Euclidien*, Mem. Univ. Neuchâtel, **13**, Secrétariat Univ., Neuchâtel, 1939.
- [22] Ja. Tabor, Jo. Tabor, M. Żołdak, *Approximately convex functions on topological vector spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **77** (2010), 115–123.

T. BANACH: IVAN FRANKO UNIVERSITY OF LVIV (UKRAINE) AND JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE (POLAND)
E-mail address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com

E. JABŁOŃSKA: DEPARTMENT OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, POWSTANCÓW WARSZAWY 12, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: elizapie@prz.edu.pl

W. JABŁOŃSKI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL MODELING, RZESZÓW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, POWSTANCÓW WARSZAWY 12, 35-959 RZESZÓW, POLAND
E-mail address: wojtek@prz.edu.pl