Grand Lebesgue Spaces norm estimates

for multivariate functional operations

Ostrovsky E., Sirota L.

ISRAEL, Ramat Gan, Bar-Ilan University,

department of Mathematic and Statistics, 59200.

e-mails: eugostrovsky@list.ru sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract

We intend to derive the moment and exponential tail estimates for the so-called bivariate or more generally multivariate functional operations, not necessary to be linear or even multilinear. We will show also the strong or at last weak (i.e. up to multiplicative constant) exactness of obtained estimates.

Key words and phrases.

Binary and multivariate operators and operations; Banach, Lebesgue-Riesz, Orlicz and Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS); moment and tail estimates, ordinary convolution and infimal convolution, examples, exact or weak exact value of constants, upper and lower ordinary and exponential estimates, generating function, tail function, singular integral operators, multivariate multiplicative, tensor, Haussdorf, Hilbert, maximal, pseudo-differential, Hardy-Littlewood and other operations.

1 Introduction. Statement of problem.

Let (X, F, μ) , (X_i, F_i, μ_i) , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ be measurable spaces equipped with non - trivial measures μ, μ_i , not necessary to be probabilistic or bounded. We denote by $L(p, x_i)$ the classical Lebesgue-Riesz spaces of measurable functions $f_i: X_i \to R$ having a finite norm

$$
|f_i|L(p, X_i) = |f_i|_p := \left[\int_{X_i} |f_i(x_i)|^p \ \mu_i(dx_i) \right]^{1/p}, \ p \in [1, \infty).
$$
 (1.0)

Let also $V : \otimes_{i=1}^d L(q_i, X_i) \to L(p, X)$ be multivariate functional *operation* which maps the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^d L(q_i, X_i)$, $p_i \in [1, \infty)$ into the Lebesgue-Riesz space $L(p, X)$:

$$
g = g(x) = V[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d](x) \in L(p, X). \tag{1.1}
$$

It will be presumed more precisely that there exists a non-trivial set $D \subset R_+^d$ such that

$$
\forall \ \vec{q} \in D \ \Rightarrow g(\cdot) \in L(p, X).
$$

Let $\tau_i = \tau_i(q_i)$ be some continuous inside its domain of definition functions and strictly monotonically increasing functions, for instance

$$
\tau_i(q_i) = \beta_i(q_i)^{\gamma_i}, \ \beta_i, \gamma_i = \text{const} \in (0, \infty), \ \mathbf{q_i} \in [1, \infty).
$$

Denote $\vec{q} := (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_d) = \{q_i\}, q_i \in [1, \infty)$ and define analogously a vector $\vec{\alpha} := {\alpha(i)} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d), \ \alpha_i \geq 0;$

$$
\bar{q}^{\vec{\alpha}} \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{i=1}^d (q_i)^{\alpha_i}, \quad |\vec{f}|_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}} \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{i=1}^d (|f_i|_{q_i})^{\alpha_i},
$$

or more generally

$$
\vec{\tau}(\vec{q}) \stackrel{def}{=} \{\tau_i(q_i) \}, \ \vec{\tau}(\vec{q})^{\vec{\alpha}} \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{i=1}^d [\tau_i(q_i)]^{\alpha_i},
$$

$$
|\ \vec{f} \mid_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^{\vec{\alpha}} \stackrel{def}{=} \prod_{i=1}^d (|f_i|_{\tau_i(q_i)})^{\alpha_i},
$$

We assume in the sequel that this operation satisfies the following condition.

Condition 1.1. There exists a non-trivial domain $D \subset \otimes_{i=1}^d [1, \infty) \subset R^d_+$ and a certain function $\Theta = \Theta(\vec{q}) \in [1,\infty), \ \vec{q} \in D$ such that for the value $p =$ $\Theta(\vec{q}) \in [1, \infty)$ the following inequality holds true

$$
|g|_p = |V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)|_{\Theta(\vec{q})} \leq K(\vec{q}) \cdot | \vec{f} |_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^{\vec{\alpha}},
$$

where

$$
K(\vec{q}) = K_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau}(\vec{q}) = \text{const} < \infty \iff \vec{q} \in D. \tag{1.2}
$$

One can extend formally the definition this function as follows:

$$
K_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau}(\vec{q}) := +\infty, \ \vec{q} \notin D.
$$

As for the function $K(\vec{q})$: it may consists on the factors of the form for instance

$$
K_{1,i}(q_i) := \beta_i(q_i)^{\gamma_i}, \ \beta_i, \gamma_i = \text{const} \in (0, \infty), \ \mathbf{q_i} \in [1, \infty);
$$

$$
K_{2,j}(q_j) := \frac{\beta_j(q_j)^{\gamma_j}}{(q_j-1)^{\delta_j}}, \ \beta_j, \gamma_j, \delta_j = \text{const} \in (0, \infty), \ \mathbf{q}_j \in (1, \infty);
$$

or at last

$$
K_{3,l}(q_l) = C (q_l - a_l)^{-c_l} (b_l - q_l)^{-s_l}, q_l \in (a_l, b_l),
$$

 $1 \leq a_l < b_l < \infty; \ c_l, \ s_l = \text{const} \geq 0;$

and so one.

An example:

$$
K(q_1, q_2, q_3) = C(q_1)^{\gamma_1} \cdot \frac{(q_2)^{\gamma_2}}{(q_2 - 1)^{\delta_2}} \cdot (q_3 - a_3)^{-c_3} (b_3 - q_3)^{-s_3},
$$

where

$$
C \in (0, \infty), \ \gamma_1, \delta_2, c_3, s_3 > 0, \ \gamma_2 \ge 0, \ 1 \le a_3 < b_3 < \infty,
$$

and

$$
q_1 \in [1, \infty); q_2 \in (1, \infty); q_2 \in (a_3, b_3).
$$

One can choose as the function $K(\vec{q})$ its minimal value:

$$
|g|_p = |V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)|_{\Theta(\vec{q})} \le \overline{K}(\vec{q}) \cdot | \vec{f} |_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^{\vec{\alpha}}, \qquad (1.3)
$$

so that

$$
\overline{K}(\vec{q}) = \overline{K}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\tau}(\vec{q}) := \sup_{\vec{f} \neq 0} \sup_{\vec{q} \in D} \left\{ \frac{|V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)|_{\Theta(\vec{q})}}{|\vec{f}|_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^2} \right\}.
$$
(1.3a)

Our goal in this preprint is a generalization of the estimate (1.2) into the more general spaces, namely, into a so-called Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS).

HEREAFTER WE WILL DENOTE BY $c_k = c_k()$, $C_k = C_k()$, $k = 1, 2, ...,$ with or without subscript, some positive finite non-essentially constructive constants, non necessarily at the same at each appearance.

WE WILL DENOTE ALSO BY THE SYMBOLS $K_j = K_j(d, n, p, p_i q, ...)$ ESsentially positive finite functions depending only on the variables $d, n, p, q, \ldots,$

Let us bring some examples.

Example 1. Multiplicative bilinear operator.

In this example $X = X_1 = X_2$, $\mu = \mu_1 = \mu_2$ and

$$
g(x) = f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x). \tag{1.4}
$$

One can apply the classical Hölder's inequality

$$
|g|_p \le |f_1|_{\alpha \ p} \cdot |f_2|_{\beta \ p}, \ p \ge 1,
$$
\n(1.4a)

where

$$
\alpha, \beta > 1, \ 1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1.
$$

Therefore

$$
|g|_p \le \inf_{\alpha, \beta} \left[|f_1|_{\alpha \ p} |f_2|_{\beta \ p} \right], \ p \ge 1,
$$
\n(1.4b)

where inf is calculated over all the values α , $\beta > 1$, and such that $1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1.$

Example 2. Tensor product.

Here
$$
(X, F, \mu) = (X_1, F_1, \mu_1) \otimes (X_2, F_2, \mu_2)
$$
 and

$$
g = g(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1) \cdot f_2(x_2).
$$
 (1.5)

On the other words, both the cofactors f_1 , f_2 are independent in the probabilistic sense.

We conclude

$$
|g|_p = |f_1|_p \cdot |f_2|_p,\tag{1.5a}
$$

but the last relation is true even for all the non-negative values $p; p \geq 0$.

Example 3. Integral bilinear operator.

Let us consider now the following integral bilinear (regular) operator

$$
g(x) := V_L[f_1, f_2](x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{X_1} \int_{X_2} L(x, x_1, x_2) f_1(x_1) f_2(x_2) \mu_1(dx_1) \mu_2(dx_2), \quad (1.6)
$$

 $p, p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$. Put as ordinary $p' = p/(p-1), p'_j = p_j/(p_j-1), j =$ 1, 2. Denote also by $l(p, p_1, p_2) = l[L](p, p_1, p_2)$ the following mixed, or equally *anisotropic* norm of the kernel $L(\cdot)$:

$$
l[L](p, p_1, p_2) := | \ | \ | L |_{p_2, X_2} |_{p_1, X_1} |_{p, X} \stackrel{def}{=}
$$

$$
\left\{\int_X\left[\int_{X_2}\left(\int_{X_1}|L(x,x_1,x_2)|^{p'_1}\mu_1(dx_1)\right)^{p'_2/p'_1}\mu_2(dx_2)\right]^{p/p'_2}\mu(dx)\right\}^{1/p}.
$$

This notion was introduced at first by Benedek A. and Panzone R. [3]; see a detail investigation and applications in [5], [31], [32] etc.

It is not hard to obtain by means of Hölder's inequality

$$
|g|_p \leq l[L](p, p_1, p_2) \cdot |f_1|_{p_1} |f_2|_{p_2}.
$$

On the other words, in this example

$$
K_L(p, p_1, p_2) = l[L](p, p_1, p_2),
$$

of course, for all the values of the parameters (p, p_1, p_2) for which the right - hand side is finite.

Example 4. Classical convolution.

Let $X, X_i = R^n, i = 1, 2; d = 2$ and μ , μ_i be as before Lebesgue measures. Consider a classical convolution operation

$$
g = f_1 * f_2, \iff g(x) = \int_{R^n} f_1(x - y) f_2(y) dy. \tag{1.7}
$$

Let also the values $p_1, p_2 = \text{const} > 1$, and $r = \text{const} \in (1, \infty)$ are such that

$$
1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}.\tag{1.7a}
$$

It will be presumed of course that (here and in the sequel) in this operation

$$
\forall (p_1, p_2) \in D \Rightarrow 1 \le \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \le 2.
$$

The classical Young's inequality tell us that

$$
|g|_r \leq G(r, p_1, p_2) |f_1|_{p_1} |f_2|_{p_2}, G(r, p_1, p_2) = \text{const} \leq 1.
$$

The exact value of the "constant" $G(r, p_1, p_2)$ was obtained at first by W.Beckner [2]; see also H.J.Brascamp and E.H.Lieb [6]:

$$
G(r, p_1, p_2) = \left[\frac{v(p_1) v(p_2)}{v(r)} \right]^n, \tag{1.7b}
$$

where

$$
v(p) := [p^{1/p} (p')^{-1/p'}]^{1/2}, p' = p/(p-1).
$$

This fact may be easily generalized onto the unimodular local compact topological group. Let us consider the case $X = X_1 = X_2 = [0, 2\pi]^d$ with ordinary Lebesgue measure. The convolution may be defined alike:

$$
g(x) = f_1 * f_2(x) := (2 \pi)^{-d} \int_X f_1(x - y) f_2(y) dy, \qquad (1.7c)
$$

where all the algebraic operations in (1.7b) are understood $mod(2 \pi)$. The estimates (1.7a) and (1.7b) remains true.

Example 5. Infimal convolution.

Here $x, y \in R^d$; μ, μ_1, μ_2 are usually Lebesgue measures.

$$
g = f_1 \Box f_2 \iff g(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \inf_{y \in R^d} (f_1(x - y) + f_2(y)). \tag{1.8}
$$

This operation appears in the theory of optimization, convex analysis etc.

Let p be arbitrary number from the set $[1,\infty)$; define the value

$$
K(d,p) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{|f_1|_p + |f_2|_p \in (0,\infty)} \left\{ \frac{|f_1 \Box f_2|_p}{|f_1|_p + |f_2|_p} \right\}.
$$
 (1.8a)

Theorem 1.

$$
K(d, p) = 2^{d/p}.\tag{1.8b}
$$

Proof. I. Upper bound.

We can and will suppose without loss of generality that both the function f_1 and f_2 are non-negative, as well as the "common" one g. We derive choosing $y := x/2$:

$$
g(x) \le f_1(x/2) + f_2(x/2).
$$

Define as ordinary the so - called dilation operator

$$
T_{\lambda}[f](x) \stackrel{def}{=} f(\lambda \ x), \ \lambda = \text{const} > 0;
$$

then

$$
|T_{\lambda}[f](\cdot)|_p = \left[\int_{R^d} |f(\lambda x)|^p \ dx \ \right]^{1/p} = \left[\int_{R^d} |f(z)|^p \ \lambda^{-d} \ dz \ \right]^{1/p} = \lambda^{-d/p} \ |f|_p.
$$

We have applying the last relation for the value $\lambda = 1/2$:

$$
|g|_p \le 2^{d/p} \left[|f_1|_p + |f_2|_p \right],
$$

therefore $K(d, p) \leq 2^{d/p}$.

II. Lower estimate. We choose $f_1 = f_2 =: f$, where $f = f(x)$, $x \in R$, is certain non-negative smooth with smooth strictly increasing on some finite nontrivial interval derivative function. We conclude

$$
t := \text{argmin}_y [[f(x - y) + f(y)] = x/2;
$$

then

$$
g(x) = [f \Box f](x) = 2f(x/2),
$$

following

$$
|g|_p = 2 |T_{0.5} f(\cdot)|_p = 2 \cdot 2^{d/p} |f|_p = 2^{d/p} [|f_1|_p + |f_2|_p].
$$

Thus, $K(d, p) \geq 2^{d/p}$.

The multidimensional case $d \geq 2$ may explored analogously: $f_0(x_1, x_2) :=$ $f(x_1) \cdot f(x_2)$, $d = 2$.

The multivariate case

$$
g_m(x) := [f_1 \Box f_2 \Box \dots f_m](x) = [\Box_{j=1}^m f_j](x)
$$

may be investigated quite analogously:

$$
|g_m|_p \le m^{d/p} \sum_{j=1}^m |f_i|_p, \ p \ge 1,
$$

herewith the constant $m^{d/p}$ is the best possible.

As far as we know, see for example a recent review of Thomas Strömberg [38], this proposition is new.

Example 6. Pseudo-differential product.

A so - called pseudo-differential product (PDP) may be defined as follows. $X = X_1 = X_2 = R$, and as above $\mu(dx) = \mu_1(dx) = \mu_2(dx) = dx$;

$$
g(x) = PD[f_1, f_2](x) := \int \int_R e^{ix(\alpha+\beta)} \sigma(x,\alpha,\beta) \tilde{f}_1(\alpha) \tilde{f}_2(\beta) d\alpha d\beta, \qquad (1.9)
$$

where the notation $\tilde{f}(\cdot)$ stands for the Fourier transform:

$$
\tilde{f}(\cdot)(\gamma) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_R e^{i \ \gamma \ y} f(y) \ dy.
$$

We impose on the "kernel - symbol" function $\sigma = \sigma(x, \alpha, \beta)$ the classical Hörmanders condition $[4].$

Here

$$
K(p, p_1, p_2) < \infty \iff \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} < \frac{3}{2}, \ 1 < p, p_1, p_2 \leq \infty,\tag{1.9a}
$$

see [4] etc.

The case of another pseudo - differential bilinear operators, for instance, Bochner-Riesz average, may be found in [28], [29].

Example 7. Hilbert's bilinear operator.

In this example under at the same restrictions as in previous considerations

$$
g(x) = H_{\lambda(1), \lambda(2)}[f_1, f_2](x) := v.p. \int_R f_1(x - \lambda_1 \ y) f_2(x - \lambda_2 \ y) dy/y, \qquad (1.10)
$$

 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}.$

This Hilbert's bilinear operator is the particular case of the last one, namely

$$
\sigma(x,\alpha,\beta) = i \pi \operatorname{sign}(\lambda_1 \alpha + \lambda_2 \beta).
$$

Example 8. Maximal operator.

Define the following maximal multilinear operator

$$
g(x) = M_R[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d](x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{R: x \in R} \prod_{i=1}^d \left\{ \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f_i(y_i)| dy_i \right\},
$$
(1.11)

where $x \in R^d$ and R denotes the family of all rectangles in R^d with sides parallel to the axes.

Here $p_i \in (1,\infty)$,

$$
\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{p_i},\tag{1.11a}
$$

$$
K_R(p, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d) := C^d \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1},
$$
\n(1.11b)

so that

$$
|g(\cdot)|_p = |M_R[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d](\cdot)|_p \le K_R(p, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d) \prod_{i=1}^d |f_i|_{p_i},
$$
 (1.11*c*)

see [28], [29]; see also the reference therein.

Example 9. Hausdorff 's operation.

The operator of a form

$$
g(x) = H_{\Phi, \vec{A}}[\vec{f}](x) := \int_{R^n} \frac{\Phi(t)}{|t|^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i(t)) \ dt, \ x \in R^n \tag{1.12}
$$

is said to be Hausdorff operator.

It is bounded under some natural conditions, see [12], [13], [7]; and as before

$$
K_H(p, \vec{p}) < \infty \iff \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i}, \ p, p_1 \in (1, \infty).
$$

More precisely, under these conditions

$$
K_H(p, \vec{p}) \le C(\Phi, \vec{A}, m, n) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{p_j^2}{p_j - 1}.
$$
 (1.12a)

Example 10. Bounded multiplicative Toeplitz operators on sequence spaces.

The so - called Toeplitz operator acting on the numerical infinite sequences ${x_1, x_1, \ldots}$ and in the values also in ones by the formulae

$$
g_n = M[f](x) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) x_k.
$$
 (1.13)

Here the function $f(.)$ is defined on the set of all positive rational numbers Q_{+} , equipped with usually uniform measure: $\mu_1\{l/m\}=1$; the correspondent measures μ ; μ_2 defined on the set of all positive natural numbers is ordinary countable measure with unit value of each number.

Nicola Thorn in the recent article [39] has proved the following bilateral estimate

$$
|y|_p \leq "1" \cdot |f|_{p_2} \cdot |x|_{p_1},\tag{1.13a}
$$

iff

$$
\frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}, \ p, p_1, \ p_2 \in (1, \infty).
$$
 (1.13b)

Herewith the constant "1" in the Thorn estimate is in general case the best possible [39].

Alike assertions holds true for the multilinear fractional operator, Bochner-Riesz averages, multiple Riesz transform and so one.

2 Grand Lebesgue Spaces.

We recall here for reader convenience some used further facts about the so-called Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS); more information about this GLS may be found in articles and monographs [35], [25], [26], [8], [9], [10], [15], [16], [20], [22], [23], [34], and so one.

Let (Z, B, ν) be certain measure space with some non - trivial measure ν . Let also $\psi = \psi(p)$, $p \in (a, b)$, $\exists a \geq 1$, $\exists b = \text{const} \in (a, \infty)$ (or $p \in [a, b)$) be bounded from below: inf $\psi(p) > 0$ continuous inside the *semi* - *open* interval $p \in (a, b)$ numerical valued function such that the auxiliary function

$$
h(p) = h[\psi](p) \stackrel{def}{=} p \ln \psi(p) \tag{2.0}
$$

is convex. The set of all such a functions will be denoted by Ψ ; $\Psi =$ $\cup_{a,b: 1 \leq a < b < \infty}$ Ψ (a, b) .

As ordinary, in this section for arbitrary measurable function $f: Z \to R$

$$
|f|_p = |f|_{p,\nu} \stackrel{def}{=} \left[\int_Z |f(z)|^p \ \nu(dz) \right]^{1/p}, \ p \in [1, \ \infty).
$$

An important example. Let η be a measurable function such that there exists $b = \text{const} > 1$ so that $|\xi|_b < \infty$. The so-called *natural* $G\Psi_\eta$ function $\psi_\eta = \psi^{(\eta)}(p)$ for the r.v. η is defined by a formula

$$
\psi^{(\eta)}(p) \stackrel{def}{=} |\eta|_p.
$$

Then $\eta \in G\psi_n$ and

$$
||\eta||G\psi_{\eta}=1.
$$

We can and will suppose $a = \inf\{p, \psi(p) < \infty \text{ and correspondingly } b =$ $\sup\{p, \psi(p) < \infty\}$, so that $\sup p \psi = [a, b]$ or $\sup p \psi = [a, b]$ or $\sup p \psi = (a, b]$ or at last supp $\psi = (a, b)$. The set of all such a functions will be denoted by $\Psi(a, b) = {\psi(\cdot)}; \Psi := \Psi(1, \infty).$

By definition, the (Banach) Grand Lebesque Space (GLS) space $G\psi = G\psi(a, b)$ consists on all the numerical valued (real or complex) measurable functions ζ defined on our measurable space $Z = (Z, B, \nu)$ and having a finite norm

$$
||\zeta|| = ||\zeta||G\psi \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{p \in (a,b)} \left\{ \frac{|\zeta|_p}{\psi(p)} \right\}.
$$
 (2.1)

The function $\psi = \psi(p)$ is named as a *generating function* for this Grand Lebesgue Spaces.

These spaces are Banach functional space, are complete, and rearrangement invariant in the classical sense, and were investigated in particular in many works, see the aforementioned works.

We refer here some used in the sequel facts about these spaces and supplement more.

Define as usually for any measurable function $\zeta : Z \to R$ its tail function

$$
T_{\zeta}(y) \stackrel{def}{=} \max \{ \nu\{z : f(z) > y\}, \ \nu\{z : f(z) < -y\} \}, \ y > 0.
$$

It is known that by virtue of Tchebychev - Markov inequality: if $\zeta \neq 0$, and $\zeta \in G\psi(a, b)$, then

$$
T_{\zeta}(y) \le \exp\left(-h_{\psi}^*(\ln(y/||\zeta||))\right), \ y \ge ||\zeta||,\tag{2.2}
$$

where

$$
h(p) = h[\psi](p) \stackrel{def}{=} p \ln \psi(p), \ a \le p < b;
$$

and $h^*(\cdot)$ denotes a famous Young-Fenchel, or Legendre transform for the function $h(\cdot):$

$$
h^*(v) \stackrel{def}{=} \sup_{p \in (a,b)} (pv - h(p)).
$$

This assertion is alike to the famous Chernoff's estimate. It allows us to deduce the exponential tails bounds for the function $\zeta = \zeta(z)$.

Let us introduce a very popular example. Let $\gamma = \text{constin}(0, \infty)$; define the following Ψ function

$$
\psi_{\gamma}(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{\gamma}, \ p \in [1, \infty).
$$

If $f \in G\psi_{\gamma}$: $||f||G\psi_{\gamma} = K \in (0, \infty)$, i.e.

$$
\sup_{p \ge 1} \left\{ \frac{|f|_p}{p^{\gamma}} \right\} = K < \infty,
$$

then

$$
T_f(y) \le \exp\left\{-\gamma e^{-1} \left(y/K\right)^{1/\gamma}\right\}, \ y \ge K. \tag{2.3}
$$

For instance, the case $\gamma = 1/2$ correspondent with classical subgaussian functions.

If the measure ν is bounded, for instance $\nu(Z) = 1$, then the inverse conclusion to the (2.3) is also true: the any (measurable) function $f: Z \to R$ satisfies the estimate (2.3), then $f \in G\psi_{\gamma}$ and moreover $||f||G\psi_{\gamma} = C(\gamma) \cdot K \in [0, \infty)$.

These Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS) are also closely related under simple natural conditions with the so-called exponential Orlicz ones. Namely, introduce the following exponential Young-Orlicz function

$$
N_{\psi}(u) = \exp\left(h_{\psi}^*(\ln|u|)\right), \ |u| \ge 1; \ N_{\psi}(u) = Cu^2, \ |u| < 1,
$$

and the correspondent Orlicz norm will be denoted by $|| \cdot ||L(N_{\psi}) = || \cdot ||L(N)$. It was done

$$
||\zeta||G\psi \le C_1||\zeta||L(N) \le C_2||\zeta||G\psi, \ 0 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty.
$$
 (2.4)

Note for instance that for the ψ_{γ} function the correspondent Young-Orlicz one has a form

$$
N_{\psi_{\gamma}}(u) = \exp(|C| |u|^{1/\gamma}), |u| \ge 1.
$$

Let us introduce the following example, with a following *degenerate* ψ – function. Define

$$
\psi_{(r)}(p) := 1, \ p = r, \ \psi_{(r)}(p) = +\infty, \ p \neq r. \tag{2.5}
$$

Here $r = \text{const} \in [1, \infty)$.

The classical Lebesgue - Riesz norm $|f|_r$ coincides with GLS one relative the $\psi(r)(p)$ function:

$$
|f|_r = ||f||G\psi_{(r)},
$$

if we take of course $C/(+\infty) = 0$.

Thus, the classical theory of Lebesgue - Riesz spaces may be embedded onto GLS one.

3 Main result. Upper estimate in the GLS norm. Exactness.

Let us suppose that each function $f_i(\cdot)$ belongs to some $G\psi_i$ space:

$$
\exists (a_i, b_i), 1 \le a_i < b_i \le \infty \ \forall q_i \in (a_i, b_i) \Rightarrow
$$
\n
$$
|f_i|L(q_i, X_i) \le \psi_i(q_i) \ ||f_i||G\psi_i, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, d. \tag{3.0}
$$

Of course, each these Ψ function $\psi_i(q_i)$ be choosed as a natural ones:

$$
\psi_i(q_i) := |f_i|_{q_i},
$$

if they are finite still for some values $q_i \in (1,\infty)$; then they are finite inside certain non-trivial interval $(a_i, b_i); 1 \leq a_i < b_i \leq \infty$.

Define

$$
G(\vec{q}) \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{K}(\vec{q}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} \psi_i^{\alpha_i}(q_i), \qquad (3.1)
$$

and

$$
F_{\vec{\alpha}}[\vec{f}](\vec{q}) \stackrel{def}{=} |\vec{f}|_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^{\vec{\alpha}}.
$$
\n(3.2)

We will proceed from the obtained before estimate

$$
|g|_p = |V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d)|_{\Theta(\vec{q})} \le \overline{K}(\vec{q}) \cdot | \vec{f} |_{\vec{\tau}(\vec{q})}^{\vec{\alpha}}.
$$
\n(3.3)

We derive after substituting

$$
|g|_p \le G(\vec{q}) \cdot F_{\vec{\alpha}}[\vec{f}](\vec{q}),
$$

if of course $p = \Theta(\vec{q})$.

Let us introduce the set of "layers"

 \overline{a}

$$
R(p) \stackrel{def}{=} \{ \vec{q}; \vec{q} \in D; \ \Theta(\vec{q}) = p \ \}, \ p \in (a, b), \ 1 \le a < b \le \infty. \tag{3.4}
$$

and define

$$
\kappa(p) = \kappa[\vec{f}, V(\cdot)](p) \stackrel{def}{=} \inf_{\vec{q} \in R(p)} \left[G(\vec{q}) \cdot F_{\vec{\alpha}}[\vec{f}](\vec{q}) \right]. \tag{3.5}
$$

We proved really the following main result of this report.

Theorem 3.1. We assert in fact that under formulated above restrictions and notations

$$
||g[\vec{f}, V]||G\kappa \le 1,\tag{3.6}
$$

with correspondent exponential tail estimation.

Let us discuss now the exactness of the estimate of theorem 3.1. It is true still in the so-called "one - dimensional case" $d = 1$, see [32], [33], [34].

Note that the exactness of our estimates holds true if for instance the each function $\psi_i(p)$ coincides correspondingly with natural function for the function $f_i: \psi_i(p) = |f_i|_p.$

The multivariate case $d \geq 2$ may be investigated quite analogously. In detail, denote alike in [33]

$$
U(\psi, f) = \left[\frac{||V[f]||G\psi}{||g||G\kappa} \right];
$$

and

$$
\overline{U} = \sup_{\psi \in \Psi} \sup_{0 \neq f \in G\psi} U(\psi, f); \tag{3.7}
$$

then

$$
\overline{U} = 1. \tag{3.8}
$$

4 Examples.

We will use the following auxiliary facts.

Lemma 4.1.

$$
\min_{\alpha,\beta} [\alpha^{\gamma_1} \beta^{\gamma_2} : \alpha, \beta > 0, 1/\alpha + 1/\beta = 1] = \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}}{\gamma_1^{\gamma_1} \gamma_2^{\gamma_2}}.
$$

Here γ_1 , $\gamma_2 = \text{const} > 0$.

Lemma 4.2.

$$
\min_{p_1, p_2 \ge 1} \left[p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} : p_1^{-1} + p_2^{-1} = p^{-1} \right] = p^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} \cdot \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}}{\gamma_1^{\gamma_1} \gamma_2^{\gamma_2}}.
$$

As before, γ_1 , γ_2 = const > 0.

We return to the considered examples (1 - 10). In each cases we assume that

$$
f_i \in G\psi_{\gamma_i}, \ \exists \gamma_i \in (0, \infty), \tag{4.0}
$$

and that $d \geq 2$; the case $d = 1$ is investigated, e.g. in [32], [33].

Example 4.1. Suppose $f_1(\cdot) \in G\psi_{\gamma_1}, f_2(\cdot) \in G\psi_{\gamma_2}$, and as in the example 1 $g(x) = f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x)$. Denote

$$
\theta:=\gamma_1+\gamma_2.
$$

We deduce by virtue of Lemma 4.1 that $g(\cdot) \in G\psi_{\theta}$ and herewith

$$
||g||G\psi_{\theta} \le \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}}{\gamma_1^{\gamma_1} \gamma_2^{\gamma_2}} \cdot ||f_1||G\psi_{\gamma_1} ||f_2||G\psi_{\gamma_2}.
$$
\n(4.1)

Example 4.2. Tensor product. Suppose that $f_1(\cdot) \in G\psi^{\gamma_1}$, $f_2(\cdot) \in G\psi^{\gamma_2}$, and that as in the example 2 $g(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1) \cdot f_2(x_2), x_1 \in X_1, x_2 \in X_2$. We apply the relations (1.5), (1.5a) and deduce again $g(\cdot) \in G\psi_{\theta}$ and furthermore

$$
||g||G\psi_{\theta} \le ||f_1||G\psi_{\gamma_1} ||f_2||G\psi_{\gamma_2}
$$
\n(4.2)

with the exact value of the coefficient "1."

More generally, if for certain Ψ – functions $\nu_1 = \nu_1(p)$, $\nu_2 = \nu_2(p) \Rightarrow f_i \in$ $G\nu_i, i = 1, 2, \text{ then}$

$$
||g||G(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2) \le ||f_1||G\nu_1 \cdot ||f_2||G\nu_2. \tag{4.2a}
$$

Note that the equality in the last estimate (4.2a) may be attained if for instance both the Ψ − functions are correspondingly the natural functions for f_1 , f_2 :

$$
\nu_1(p) = |f_1|_p, \ \nu_2(p) = |f_2|_p.
$$

Example 4.3. Integral bilinear operator.

We return to the integral bilinear (regular) operator (1.6) . Suppose for simplicity that $\mu(X) = \mu_j(X_j) = 1$ and that

$$
\overline{L} := \text{vraising}_{x,x_1,x_2} |L(x,x_1,x_2)| < \infty;
$$

then

$$
|g|_p \le \overline{L} \cdot |f_1|_p |f_2|_p.
$$

One can repeat the previous considerations: if for certain $\Psi -$ functions $\nu_1 = \nu_1(p), \ \nu_2 = \nu_2(p) \ \Rightarrow f_i \in G \nu_i, \ i = 1, 2, \text{ then}$

$$
||g||G(\nu_1 \cdot \nu_2) \le \overline{L} \cdot ||f_1||G\nu_1 \cdot ||f_2||G\nu_2. \tag{4.3}
$$

Example 4.4. Classical convolution.

Let $f_1 \in G\psi_{\gamma_1}, f_2 \in G\psi_{\gamma_2}, X = X_1 = X_2 = R^n$ or $X = X_1 = X_2 = [0, 2\pi]^n$ and $g = f_1 * f_2$. One can apply the proposition of Lemma 4.2 and Beckner's estimate:

$$
||g||G\psi_{\theta} \le \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}}{\gamma_1^{\gamma_1} \gamma_2^{\gamma_2}} ||f_1||G\psi_{\gamma_1} ||f_2||G\psi_{\gamma_2}.
$$
\n(4.4)

A slight generalization: let $f_1 \in G\zeta_1$, $f_2 \in G\zeta_2$ for some Ψ – functions ζ_1 , ζ_2 ; define a new such a function

$$
\zeta(p) = \zeta[\zeta_1, \ \zeta_2](p) :=
$$

$$
\inf \left\{ G(p, p_1, p_2) \zeta_1(p_1) \zeta_2(p_2) : p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty), 1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1 + 1/p \right\}.
$$

We deduce a non - refined up to multiplicative constant in general case convolution estimate in the GLS terms

$$
||g||G\zeta \le ||f_1||G\zeta_1||f_2||G\zeta_2. \tag{4.4a}
$$

Recall that in this example

$$
1 \le \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \le 2.
$$

Example 4.5. Infimal convolution, see example 5.

A very simple estimate:

$$
|g(x)| = |f_1 \square f_2|(x) \le |f_1(x/2)| + |f_2(x/2)|, \ x \in R^d. \tag{4.5}
$$

Further, suppose $f_1, f_2 \in G\psi$ for some $\psi \in \Psi$. It follows immediately from (1.8a) and (1.8b) that

$$
|g|_p \le 2^{d/p} \psi(p) \left[||f_1||G\psi + ||f_2||G\psi \right] \le 2^d \psi(p) \left[||f_1||G\psi + ||f_2||G\psi \right].
$$

Thus, $g(\cdot) \in G\psi$ and herewith

$$
||g||G\psi \le 2^d [||f_1||G\psi + ||f_2||G\psi]. \tag{4.5a}
$$

Examples 4.6, 4.7. Pseudo - differential product and Hilbert operation.

These cases may be investigated quite analogously to the one for ordinary convolution operation and may be omitted.

Example 4.8. Maximal operator, see (1.11).

Suppose

$$
\exists \gamma \in (0, \infty) \Rightarrow f_i \in G\psi_\gamma,\tag{4.8a}
$$

and recall that here $d \geq 2$.

We deduce solving the following extremal problem

$$
\prod_{i=1}^d \left\{ \frac{p_i^{\gamma+1}}{p_i-1} \right\} \to \min
$$

subject to the limitation

$$
l: \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{p}:
$$

$$
Z := \min_{(l)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left\{ \frac{p_i^{\gamma+1}}{p_i - 1} \right\} = \left(\frac{dp}{dp - 1} \right)^{d(\gamma+1)} \le C_1(d) \ p^{d(\gamma+1)},
$$

so that

$$
|g|_{p} \le C_{2}(d) \ p^{d(\gamma+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{d} ||f_{i}||G\psi_{\gamma}, \qquad (4.8b)
$$

or equally

$$
||g||G\psi_{d(\gamma+1)} \le C_2(d) \prod_{i=1}^d ||f_i||G\psi_\gamma.
$$
\n(4.8)

Example 4.9. Hausdorff 's operation.

We find repeating the considerations and notations of the previous example that under conditions of the example 9 for the function of the form

$$
g(x) = H_{\Phi, \vec{A}}[\vec{f}](x) := \int_{R^n} \frac{\Phi(t)}{|t|^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(A_i(t)) dt, \ x \in R^n
$$

we have

$$
|g|_p \leq C_3(d,\Phi,\vec{A}) \ p^{d(\gamma+2)} \ \prod_{i=1}^d ||f_i||G\psi_\gamma,
$$

or equally

$$
||g||G\psi_{d(\gamma+2)} \le C_3(d,\Phi,\vec{A}) \prod_{i=1}^d ||f_i||G\psi_\gamma.
$$
 (4.9)

Example 4.10. Toeplitz operators on sequence spaces. See 1.13.

Suppose as above that $f \in G\psi_{\gamma_1}, x \in G\psi_{\gamma_2}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 = \text{const} > 0$, and that

$$
\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p'}, \ p' := \frac{p}{p-1} > 1.
$$

Introduce a new $\Psi -$ function

$$
\tau_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}}{\gamma_1^{\gamma_1} \gamma_2^{\gamma_2}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{p}{p-1} \right\}^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}.
$$

We conclude on the basis of Lemma 4.2 and theorem 1

$$
||g||G\tau_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} \le ||f||G\psi_1 \cdot ||x||G\psi_2. \tag{4.10}
$$

5 Tail description of obtained results.

Many of obtained estimates may be expressed in the terms of tail behavior of used functions. Namely, suppose for simplicity in this section that all our measures are bounded: $\mu_i(X_i) = \mu(X) = 1$.

Let us return at first to the example 4.1, i.e. to the multiplicative operation; assume that

$$
T_{f_i}(u) \le \exp\left[-(u/S_i)^{1/\gamma_i}\right], \ u \ge 1, \ S_i = \text{const} > 0,
$$

 $i = 1, 2, ..., d, \ \gamma_i \in (0, \infty);$ (5.0)

then

$$
T_{f_1 f_2}(u) \le \exp\left\{-C(\gamma_1, \ \gamma_2) \left[\ u/(S_1 S_2) \right]^{\gamma_1 \ \gamma_2/(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)} \right\}, u \ge 1. \tag{5.1}
$$

At the same estimation (5.1) holds true also in the examples (4.2) , (4.3) and (4.4), of course with another constants instead $C(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.

Let us pay our attention to the example 4.8, devoting to the maximal operations. The assumption (4.8a) may be rewritten in the case when $\mu_i(X_i) = 1$ as follows

$$
\exists \gamma \in (0, \infty), \ \exists k_i \in (0, \infty) \ \Rightarrow T_{f_i}(u) \le \exp(-\left(\frac{u}{k_i}\right)^{1/\gamma}), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, d; \quad (5.2)
$$

and proposition of this example - as follows

$$
T_g(u) \le \exp\left\{-C_2(\vec{k}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \; u^{1/d(\gamma+1)}\right\}, \; u \ge 1. \tag{5.3}
$$

Alike result holds true for the Haussdorf operation, see example 4.9. Indeed, impose on the functions $\{f_i\}$ again the condition (5.2) as well as the conditions of boundedness of our measures μ_i , μ . Then

$$
T_g(u) \le \exp\left\{-C_2(\vec{k}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \; u^{1/d(\gamma+2)}\right\}.
$$
 (5.3)

6 Concluding remarks.

A. It is interest by our opinion to investigate the feature of compactness of these multivariate operations, as well as to obtain the exact value of appeared constants in the Grand Lebesgue Spaces setting.

B. Analogous research may be provided for another *singular* multivariate operations, say, for the bilinear fractional Riesz operation [19]

$$
R[f_1, f_2](x) = \int_{R^{2n}} \frac{f_1(y) \ f_2(z) \ dy \ dz}{\left(|x - y|^2 + |x - z|^2 \right)^{n - \beta}}, \ \beta \in (0, n)
$$

as well as oscillator multilinear integral operations [17]; commutators of singular integral operations [17], [40]; bilinear Bochner-Riesz means [24], [28], and so one.

C. One of interest application of the estimates for multivariate operation to a system of quadratic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations is represented in a recent article [21].

7 Acknowledgement.

Authors are grateful to prof. E. Liflyand (Bar-Ilan University, Israel) for the statement of the considered in this report problem and fruitful discussions.

References.

1. J.A.Barrionevo, Loucas Grafacos, Danqing He, Petr Honzik, and Lucas Oliveira. Bilinear spherical maximal function. arXiv:1704.03586v1 [math.CA] 12 Apr 2017

2. W.Beckner. Inequalities in Fourier analysis. Annals of Math., 102 , (1975) , 159-182.

3. Benedek A. and Panzone R. The space $L(p)$ with mixed norm. Duke Math. J., 28, (1961), 301-324.

4. Bernicot Frederic. Local estimates and global continuities in Lebesgue spaces for bilinear operators. arXiv:0801.4088v3 [math.FA] 8 Feb 2008

5. Besov O.V., Ilin V.P., Nikolskii S.M. Integral representation of functions and imbedding theorems. Vol.1; Scripta Series in Math., V.H.Winston and Sons, (1979), New York, Toronto, Ontario, London.

6. H.J.Brascamp and E.H.Lieb. Best constants in Youngs inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions. Adv. Math. 20 (1976), 151 - 173.

7. G.Brown, F.Moricz. Multivariate Hausdorff operators on the spaces $L_p(R^n)$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 271 (2002), 443-454.

8. Buldygin V.V., Kozachenko Yu.V. About subgaussian random variables. Ukrainian Math. Journal, 1980, 32, No 6, 723-730, (in Russian).

9. Buldygin V.V., Kozachenko Yu.V. Metric Characterization of Random Variables and Random Processes. 1998, Translations of Mathematics Monograph, AMS, v.188..

10. Capone C., Fiorenza A., Krbec M. On the Extrapolation Blowups in the Lp Scale. Manuscripta Math., 99(4), 1999, p. 485-507.

11. Wei Chena, Chunxiang Zhub. Weighted estimates for the multilinear maximal function on the upper half-spaces. arXiv:1705.04939v1 [math.AP] 14 May 2017

12. Nguen Minh Chuong, Dao Van Duong. Multilinear Haussdorf operators on some function spaces with variable exponent. arXiv:1709.08185v1 [math.CA] 24 Sep 2017

13. Wet Dai and Guozhen Lu. $L(p)$ Estimates for the bilinear Hilbert transform for $1/1 < p < 2/3$: a counterexample and generalization to non - smooth symbol.

arXiv:1409.3875v2 [math.CA] 24 Oct 2014

14. Wang Ding-huai and Zhou Jiang. Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of commutators of bilinear Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. arXiv:1708.09549v1 [math.FA] 31 Aug 2017.

15. A.Fiorenza. Duality and reflexivity in grand Lebesgue spaces. Collectanea Mathematica, (electronic version), 51, 2, (2000), 131-148.

16. A. Fiorenza and G.E.Karadzhov. Grand and small Lebesgue spaces and their analogs. 2005, Consiglio Nationale Delle Ricerche, Instituto per le Applicazioni del Calcoto Mauro Picone, Sezione di Napoli, Rapporto tecnico n., 272/03.

17. Maxim Gilula, Philip T.Gressman, and Lecao Xitao. $Higher \ decay$ Inequalities for multilinear oscillatory integrals. arXiv:1612.00050v1 [math.CA] 30 Nov 2016

18. Loukas Grafakos, Danqing He, Lenka Slavnikov. $L_2 \times L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ Boundedness criteria. arXiv:1802.09400v1 [math.CA] 26 Februar 2018

19. Jarod Hart, Rodolfo H.Torres, and Xinfeng Wu. Smoothing properties of bilinear operators and Leibnitz - type rules in Lebesgue and mixed Lebesgue spaces.

arXiv:1701.02631v1 [math.CA] 10 Jan 2017

20. Jawerth B., Milman M. Extrapolation Theory with Applications. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 440, (1991).

21. Hiroyuki Hirayama and Shinya Kinoshita. Sharp bilinear estimates and its applications to a system of quadratic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations. arXiv:1802.06563v1 [math.AP] 19 Feb 2018

22. T.Iwaniec and C. Sbordone. On the integrability of the Jacobian under minimal hypotheses. Arch. Rat.Mech. Anal., 119, (1992), 129-143.

23. T.Iwaniec, P. Koskela and J. Onninen. *Mapping of finite distortion:* Monotonicity and Continuity. Invent. Math., 144, (2001), 507-531.

24. Eunhee Jeong, Sanghyuk Lee, and Ana Vargas. Improved bound for the bilinear Bochner-Riesz Operator. arXiv:1711.02425v1 [math.CA] 7 Nov 2017

25. Kozachenko Yu.V., Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. Relations between exponential tails, moments and moment generating functions for random variables and vectors.

arXiv:1701.01901v1 [math.FA] 8 Jan 2017

26. Kozachenko Yu. V., Ostrovsky E.I. (1985). The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian Type. Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, 32, 43-57.

27. Ishwart Kunwar and Yumeng Ou. Two - weight inequalities for multilinear commutators. arXiv:1710.07392v1 [math.CA] 20 Oct 2017

28. Heping Liu and Min Wang. Boundedness oh the bilinear Bochner - Riesz means in the non-Banach triangle case. arXiv:1712.09235v1 [math.FA] 26 Dec 2017

29. Feng Liu, Qingying Xue, and K.Oz.O Yabuta. Regularity and continuity of the multilinear strong maximal operators.

arXiv:1801.09828v1 [math.CA] 30 Jan 2018

30. Akihito Miyachi and Naohito Tomita. Bilinear pseudo - differential operators with exotic symbols. arXiv:1801.06744v1 [math.CA] 21 Jan 2018

31. Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. Central Limit Theorem and exponential tail estimations in mixed Grand Lebesgue Spaces. arXiv:0915.2538v1 [math.Pr] 3 Feb 2015

32. Ostrovsky E., Sirota L., and Rogover E. Integral Operators in bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces. arXiv:0912.2538v1 [math.FA] 13 Dec 2009

33. Ostrovsky E. Boundedness of Operators in Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces with Exact and Weakly Exact Constant Calculation. arXiv:1104.2963v1 [math.FA] 15 Apr 2011

34. Ostrovsky E.I. (1999). Exponential estimations for Random Fields and its applications, (in Russian). Moscow-Obninsk, OINPE.

35. Ostrovsky E.I. (1982). Generalization of Buldygin-Kozachenko norms and CLT in Banach space. Theory Probab. Appl., 27, V.3, p. 617-619, (in Russian).

36. Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. Multidimensional probabilistic rearrangement invariant spaces: a new approach. arXiv:1202.3130v1 [math.PR] 14 Feb 2012

37. Ostrovsky E. and Sirota L. Vector rearrangement invariant Banach spaces of random variables with exponential decreasing tails of distributions. arXiv:1510.04182v1 [math.PR] 22 Sep 2015

38. Thomas Strömberg. A Study of the Operation of Infimal Convolution. DOCTORAL THESIS 1994; 139, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISSN 0348 - 8373, Lulea University of Technology, ISRN HLU - TH - T - -139, Lulea, Sweden.

39. Nicola Thorn. Bounded multiplicative Toeplitz operators on sequence

spaces. arXiv:1801.09478v1 [math.FA] 29 Jan 2018

40. Dinghuai Wang, Jiang Zhouc and Zhidong Teng. Sharp estimates for commutators of bilinear operators on Morrey type spaces. arXiv:1703.06395v1 [math.FA] 19 Mar 2017