

Systems of coupled Schrödinger equations with sign-changing nonlinearities via classical Nehari manifold approach

Bartosz Bieganowski*

Nicolaus Copernicus University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

August 14, 2018

We propose existence and multiplicity results for the system of Schrödinger equations with sign-changing nonlinearities in bounded domains or in the whole space \mathbb{R}^N . In the bounded domain we utilize the classical approach via the Nehari manifold, which is (under our assumptions) a differentiable manifold of class C^1 and the Fountain theorem by Bartsch. In the space \mathbb{R}^N we additionally need to assume the \mathbb{Z}^N -periodicity of potentials and our proofs are based on the concentration-compactness lemma by Lions and the Lusternik-Schnirelmann values.

Keywords: ground state, variational methods, system of Schrödinger equations, Nehari manifold, periodic potential

AMS Subject Classification: Primary: 35Q60; Secondary: 35J20, 35Q55, 58E05, 35J47

1 Introduction

We consider the following system of coupled Schrödinger equations

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V_1(x)u = f_1(u) - |u|^{q-2}u + \lambda(x)v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v + V_2(x)v = f_2(v) - |v|^{q-2}v + \lambda(x)u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Solutions of (1.1) describe standing waves of the following nonlinear time-dependent system

$$\begin{cases} i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \Psi + V_1(x)\Psi - f_1(\Psi) + |\Psi|^{q-2}\Psi + \lambda(x)\Phi & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \\ i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \Phi + V_2(x)\Phi - f_2(\Phi) + |\Phi|^{q-2}\Phi + \lambda(x)\Psi & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Email address: bartoszb@mat.umk.pl

The studying of the existence of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations arises in various branches of mathematical physics and nonlinear topics (see eg. [13, 14, 17, 23, 26, 30] and references therein). Recently many papers have been devoted to the study of standing waves of the Schrödinger equation and of the system of Schrödinger equations (see eg. [2, 3, 6–11, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 29, 34] and references therein).

Recently, J. Peng, S. Chen and X. Tang ([28]) studied semiclassical states of a similar system

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + a(x)u = |u|^{p-2}u + \mu(x)v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\varepsilon^2 \Delta v + b(x)v = |v|^{p-2}v + \mu(x)u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $a, b, \mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. J. M. do Ó and J. C. de Albuquerque considered a similar system to (1.1) in \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{1/2}u + V_1(x)u = f_1(u) + \lambda(x)v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \\ (-\Delta)^{1/2}v + V_2(x)v = f_2(v) + \lambda(x)u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

but with the square root of the Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ and f_i with exponential critical growth (see [12]). Similar systems were also considered in [1, 20, 35], see also references therein.

Our aim is to provide existence and multiplicity results using classical techniques in the presence of external, positive potentials and sign-changing nonlinearities. We show that under classical assumption (V2) on λ and in the presence of nonlinearities like $g(x, u) = |u|^{p-2}u - |u|^{q-2}u$, where $2 < q < p < 2^*$ classical techniques can be applied. We assume that

(F1) for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $f_i \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is such that

$$|f'_i(u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{p-2}) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $2 < q < p < 2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$; in particular the inequality

$$|f_i(u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{p-1}) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

also holds for some $c > 0$;

(F2) $f_i(u) = o(u)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$;

(F3) $\frac{f_i(u)}{|u|^q} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$;

(F4) $\frac{f_i(u)}{|u|^{q-1}}$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$ and on $(0, \infty)$;

(F5) $f_i(-u) = -f_i(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that in view of (F4) we can easily show that

$$0 \leq qF_i(u) \leq f_i(u)u \tag{1.2}$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

We impose the following conditions on potentials

(V1) for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \Omega} V_i(x) > 0$ and $V_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$;

(V2) $\lambda(x) \geq 0$ is measurable and satisfies

$$\lambda(x) \leq \delta \sqrt{V_1(x)V_2(x)}$$

for some $0 < \delta < 1$.

For $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ we assume additionally that

(V3) V_1, V_2, λ are \mathbb{Z}^N -periodic.

Observe that functions $u \mapsto f_1(u) - |u|^{q-2}u$, $u \mapsto f_2(u) - |u|^{q-2}u$ don't need to satisfy the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz-type condition on the whole real line \mathbb{R} , e.g. take $f_1(u) = f_2(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$. However such a condition is satisfied for sufficiently large u , see Lemma 4.2.

We provide the following results in the case of bounded Ω .

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (F1)-(F5) and (V1)-(V2) hold, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. Then there exists a ground state solution (u_0, v_0) of (1.1), i.e. a critical point (u_0, v_0) of the energy functional \mathcal{J} being minimizer on the Nehari manifold*

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(u, v) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v) = 0\},$$

where \mathcal{J} is given by (2.1). Moreover $u_0, v_0 \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (F1)-(F5) and (V1)-(V2) hold, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. Then there is a sequence of solutions (u_n, v_n) such that*

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \mathcal{J} is given by (2.1).

We also obtain the following existence and multiplicity results in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that (F1)-(F4) and (V1)-(V3) hold, and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Then there exists a ground state solution (u_0, v_0) of (1.1), i.e. a critical point (u_0, v_0) of the energy functional \mathcal{J} being minimizer on the Nehari manifold*

$$\mathcal{N} = \{(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v) = 0\},$$

where \mathcal{J} is given by (2.1). Moreover $u, v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there are constants $C, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$|u(x)| + |v(x)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha|x|}.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that (F1)-(F5) and (V1)-(V3) hold, and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Then there are infinitely many pairs $(\pm u, \pm v)$ of solutions which are geometrically distinct.*

We recall that solutions $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are geometrically distinct if $\mathcal{O}(u_1, v_1) \cap \mathcal{O}(u_2, v_2) = \emptyset$, where

$$\mathcal{O}(u, v) := \{(u(\cdot - z), v(\cdot - z)) : z \in \mathbb{Z}^N\}$$

is the orbit of $(u, v) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the action of $(\mathbb{Z}^N, +)$. Obviously, in view of (V3), if (u, v) is a solution then the whole orbit $\mathcal{O}(u, v)$ consists of solutions.

Remark 1.5. *Very similar results to Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 can be obtained in the same way for the system of fractional equations*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u + V_1(x)u = f_1(u) - |u|^{q-2}u + \lambda(x)v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}v + V_2(x)v = f_2(v) - |v|^{q-2}v + \lambda(x)u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (u, v) \in H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

Our paper is organized as follows. The second section contains used notations and some preliminary facts about nonlinearities, potentials and properties of the Nehari manifold. Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 contain proofs of main results - Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 respectively.

2 Notations and preliminary facts

Let

$$E := H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$\|(u, v)\|^2 := \|u\|_1^2 + \|v\|_2^2, \quad (u, v) \in E,$$

where

$$\|u\|_i^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V_i(x)u^2 dx, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Recall that in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ we have $H_0^1(\Omega) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The energy functional $\mathcal{J} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{J}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv dx \right) - \int_{\Omega} F_1(u) + F_2(v) dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q dx. \quad (2.1)$$

It is classical to check that $\mathcal{J} \in C^1(E)$ and critical points of \mathcal{J} are weak solutions of (1.1). Let

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $s \neq 0$ there holds*

$$f'_i(s)s^2 - f_i(s)s > (q-2)f_i(s)s, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_i(s) := \frac{f_i(s)}{|s|^{q-1}}$ for $s > 0$ and in view of (F4) we have

$$\frac{d\varphi_i(s)}{ds} > 0.$$

Hence

$$f'_i(s)s^{q-1} - (q-1)f_i(s)s^{q-2} > 0$$

for $s > 0$. So

$$f'_i(s)s^2 - f_i(s)s - (q-2)f_i(s)s > 0$$

and the conclusion follows for $s > 0$. Suppose now that $s < 0$. Then $-s > 0$ and

$$f'_i(-s)(-s)^2 - f_i(-s)(-s) - (q-2)f_i(-s)(-s) > 0$$

which implies that

$$f'_i(s)s^2 - f_i(s)s - (q-2)f_i(s)s > 0,$$

since (F5) holds. □

Lemma 2.2. *There holds*

$$\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \geq (1 - \delta)\|(u, v)\|^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. For any $(u, v) \in E$ we have

$$-2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \geq -2\delta \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{V_1(x)V_2(x)}|uv| \, dx \geq -\delta \left(\int_{\Omega} V_1(x)u^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} V_2(x)v^2 \, dx \right).$$

Hence

$$\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \geq (1 - \delta)\|(u, v)\|^2.$$

□

Lemma 2.3. $\mathcal{N} \subset E$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -manifold.

Proof. Define

$$\xi(u, v) := \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v) = \|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_2(v)v \, dx + |u|_q^q + |v|_q^q.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{N} = \xi^{-1}(\{0\}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. For $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ one has, using (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'(u, v)(u, v) &= 2\|(u, v)\|^2 - 4 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_1'(u)u^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_2(v)v + f_2'(v)v^2 \, dx + q|u|_q^q + q|v|_q^q \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} f_1'(u)u^2 - f_1(u)u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_2'(v)v^2 - f_2(v)v \, dx + (q-2)|u|_q^q + (q-2)|v|_q^q \\ &< -(q-2) \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u \, dx - (q-2) \int_{\Omega} f_2(v)v \, dx + (q-2)|u|_q^q + (q-2)|v|_q^q \\ &= (q-2) \left(|u|_q^q + |v|_q^q - \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_2(v)v \, dx \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore 0 is a regular value of ξ and $\xi^{-1}(\{0\}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} = \mathcal{N}$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -manifold. □

Lemma 2.4. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$|F_i(s)| + |f_i(s)s| \leq \varepsilon|s|^2 + C_\varepsilon|s|^p,$$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. The inequality follows immediately from (F1), (F2) and (F5). □

Lemma 2.5. *There holds*

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \|(u, v)\| \geq \rho > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}$ is such that

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\|u_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|v_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. In view of (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \delta)\|(u_n, v_n)\|^2 &\leq \|(u_n, v_n)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)u_n v_n dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1(u_n)u_n + f_2(v_n)v_n dx - |u_n|_q^q - |v_n|_q^q \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} f_1(u_n)u_n + f_2(v_n)v_n dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \int_{\Omega} f_1(u_n)u_n + f_2(v_n)v_n dx \leq C (\varepsilon\|u_n\|_1^2 + C_{\varepsilon}\|u_n\|_1^p + \varepsilon\|v_n\|_2^2 + C_{\varepsilon}\|v_n\|_2^p) \\ &= C (\varepsilon\|(u_n, v_n)\|^2 + C_{\varepsilon}\|u_n\|_1^p + C_{\varepsilon}\|v_n\|_2^p). \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $1 - \varepsilon C > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon C) &\leq C_{\varepsilon} \frac{\|u_n\|_1^p + \|v_n\|_2^p}{\|(u_n, v_n)\|^2} = C_{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_1^p}{\|u_n\|_1^2 + \|v_n\|_2^2} + \frac{\|v_n\|_2^p}{\|u_n\|_1^2 + \|v_n\|_2^2} \right) \\ &\leq C_{\varepsilon} (\|u_n\|_1^{p-2} + \|v_n\|_2^{p-2}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

- a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{N}$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let

$$\xi(u, v) := \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v).$$

Since $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{N}$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0) - \mu \xi'(u_0, v_0) = 0.$$

Thus

$$0 = \mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0)(u_0, v_0) = \mu \xi'(u_0, v_0)(u_0, v_0).$$

Taking into account that $\xi'(u_0, v_0)(u_0, v_0) < 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.3) we get $\mu = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0) = \mu \xi'(u_0, v_0) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.7. *For every $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there is a unique $t > 0$ such that*

$$(tu, tv) \in \mathcal{N}$$

and $\mathcal{J}(tu, tv) = \max_{s \geq 0} \mathcal{J}(su, sv)$.

Proof. Take any $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and consider the function

$$\varphi(t) := \mathcal{J}(tu, tv)$$

for $t \geq 0$. Obviously $\varphi(0) = 0$ and

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|(u, v)\|^2 - t^2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv dx - \int_{\Omega} F_1(tu) + F_2(tv) dx + \frac{t^q}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q dx.$$

In view of (F3) we have $\varphi(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Using Lemma 2.4 and (2.3) we get

$$\varphi(t) \geq Ct^2$$

for sufficiently small $t > 0$. Hence there is a maximum point t_{\max} of $t \mapsto \mathcal{J}(tu, tv)$ in the interval $(0, \infty)$. While φ is of \mathcal{C}^1 -class for such t_{\max} we have

$$0 = \varphi'(t_{\max}) = \mathcal{J}'(t_{\max}u, t_{\max}v)(u, v).$$

Hence $(t_{\max}u, t_{\max}v) \in \mathcal{N}$. In order to show the uniqueness it is enough to show that for any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ the point $t = 1$ is the unique maximum of φ . For $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $t > 0$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= t\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2t \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f_1(tu)u + f_2(tv)v \, dx + t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)tu - f_1(tu)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2(v)tv - f_2(tv)v \, dx + (t^{q-1} - t) \int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For $t > 1$ we have $t^{q-1} - t > 0$ and in view of (2.3) we have

$$0 < (1 - \delta)\|(u, v)\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_2(v)v \, dx - |u|_q^q + |v|_q^q$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q \, dx < \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_2(v)v \, dx \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) with (2.5) under assumption that $t > 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &< \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)tu - f_1(tu)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2(v)tv - f_2(tv)v \, dx + (t^{q-1} - t) \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_2(v)v \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} t^{q-1}f_1(u)u - f_1(tu)u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} t^{q-1}f_2(v)v - f_2(tv)v \, dx < 0, \end{aligned}$$

since (F4) holds. Similarly $\varphi'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and the proof is completed. \square

Define the ground state energy level as

$$c := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{J}(u, v).$$

Lemma 2.8. *There holds*

$$c > 0.$$

Proof. Take $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ and taking (1.2) and (2.3) into account, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \right) - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} f_1(u)u + f_2(v)v \, dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q + |v|^q \, dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \, dx \right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q} \right) (1 - \delta)\|(u, v)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the statement follows by Lemma 2.5. \square

Remark 2.9. Observe that from the inequality

$$\mathcal{J}(u, v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) (1 - \delta) \|(u, v)\|^2$$

it follows that \mathcal{J} is coercive, i.e. $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ imply that

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 2.10. In view of the coercivity of \mathcal{J} on \mathcal{N} , any sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c$ is bounded in E .

3 Existence of a ground state in a bounded domain

By Ekeland's variational principle there is a Palais-Smale sequence on \mathcal{N} , i.e. a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\left(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{N}}\right)'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$. Taking $(|u_n|, |v_n|)$ instead of (u_n, v_n) we may assume that $u_n \geq 0$ and $v_n \geq 0$. In view of Remark 2.10 the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is bounded in E .

Arguing as in Lemma 2.6 we see that $\left(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{N}}\right)'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies also $\mathcal{J}'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for the free functional \mathcal{J} . Moreover \mathcal{J} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (see eg. [33, Lemma 2.17]) and $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ has a convergent subsequence, i.e. (up to a subsequence)

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \quad \text{in } E.$$

Hence $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(u_0, v_0)$ and therefore $\mathcal{J}(u_0, v_0) = c$. Thus (u_0, v_0) is a ground state solution and obviously $u_0, v_0 \geq 0$.

4 Multiplicity result in a bounded domain

We will use the following Fountain Theorem provided by T. Bartsch.

Theorem 4.1 ([4], [33, Theorem 3.6]). *Suppose that X is a Banach space, $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{C}^1(X)$ and G is a compact group. Moreover, assume that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that*

(B1) G acts isometrically on

$$X = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} X_j,$$

where X_j are G -invariant, X_j are isomorphic to a finite dimensional space V such that the action of G on V is admissible;

(B2) $a_k := \max_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|_X = \rho_k} \mathcal{J}(u) \leq 0$, where $Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k X_j$;

(B3) $b_k := \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|_X = r_k} \mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $Z_k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_j}$;

(B4) \mathcal{J} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at every level $c > 0$.

Then there exists an unbounded sequence of critical points of \mathcal{J} .

Lemma 4.2. *There is a radius $R > 0$ such that*

$$0 < q \left(F_i(u) - \frac{1}{q}|u|^q \right) \leq f_i(u)u - |u|^q$$

for $|u| \geq R$.

Proof. In view of (F3) we have $F_i(u) > \frac{1}{q}|u|^q$ for sufficiently large $|u| \geq R$. Hence the inequality follows by (1.2). \square

Let (e_j) be an orthonormal basis of $E = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $X_j := \mathbb{R}e_j$. On E we consider the antipodal action of G . In view of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem the condition (B1) is satisfied. From Lemma 4.2, (F3) and (1.2) there is $C > 0$ such that

$$C(|u|^q - 1) \leq F_i(u) - \frac{1}{q}|u|^q.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u, v) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv dx \right) - C(|u|_q^q + |v|_q^q) + 2C|\Omega| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)|u||v| dx \right) - C(|u|_q^q + |v|_q^q) + 2C|\Omega| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 + |\lambda|_{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 dx \right) \right) - C(|u|_q^q + |v|_q^q) + 2C|\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Since on finite dimensional space Y_k all norms are equivalent, we get

$$\mathcal{J}(u, v) \leq C_1 \|(u, v)\|^2 - C_2 \|(u, v)\|^q + C_3 \quad \text{for } (u, v) \in Y_k.$$

Hence the condition (B2) is satisfied for $\rho_k > 0$ large enough. From (F1) there is $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$|F_i(u)| \leq \tilde{C}(1 + |u|^p).$$

Put $\beta_k := \sup_{(u,v) \in Z_k, \|(u,v)\|=1} |u|_p + |v|_p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u, v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(u, v)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \lambda(x)uv \right) - \tilde{C}|u|_p^p - \tilde{C}|v|_p^p - 2\tilde{C}|\Omega| \\ &\geq \frac{1-\delta}{2} \|(u, v)\|^2 - 2\tilde{C}\beta_k^p \|(u, v)\|^p - 2\tilde{C}|\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r_k := (2\tilde{C}\frac{p}{1-\delta}\beta_k^p)^{1/(2-p)}$. Hence for $(u, v) \in Z_k$ and $\|(u, v)\| = r_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u, v) &\geq \frac{1-\delta}{2} \left(2\tilde{C}\frac{p}{1-\delta}\beta_k^p \right)^{2/(2-p)} - 2\tilde{C}\beta_k^p \left(2\tilde{C}\frac{p}{1-\delta}\beta_k^p \right)^{p/(2-p)} - 2\tilde{C}|\Omega| \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\delta}{2} - \frac{1-\delta}{p} \right) \left(2\tilde{C}\frac{p}{1-\delta}\beta_k^p \right)^{2/(2-p)} - 2\tilde{C}|\Omega| \\ &= (1-\delta) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left(2\tilde{C}\frac{p}{1-\delta}\beta_k^p \right)^{2/(2-p)} - 2\tilde{C}|\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show that $\beta_k \rightarrow 0^+$. Clearly $0 \leq \beta_{k+1} \leq \beta_k$. Hence $\beta_k \rightarrow \beta$ and for any $k \geq 0$ there is $(u_k, v_k) \in Z_k$ such that $\|(u_k, v_k)\| = 1$ and $|u_k|_p + |v_k|_p > \frac{\beta_k}{2}$. In view of the definition

of Z_k we have $(u_k, v_k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. In view of Sobolev embeddings we obtain $|u_k|_p + |v_k|_p \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ and (B3) is proved. It is classical to check that (B4) is satisfied, see e.g. [33, Lemma 2.17].

Hence, in view of Theorem 4.1 and coercivity of \mathcal{J} on \mathcal{N} there exists a sequence of solutions (u_n, v_n) such that $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \infty$ and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

5 Existence of a ground state in \mathbb{R}^N

By Ekeland's variational principle there is a Palais-Smale sequence on \mathcal{N} , i.e. a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c$ and $(\mathcal{J}|_{\mathcal{N}})'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0$. In view of Remark 2.10 the sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{N}$ is bounded in E . Passing to a subsequence we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n, v_n) &\rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0) \quad \text{in } E, \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \quad \text{in } L_{loc}^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L_{loc}^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for every } 2 \leq t < 2^*, \\ (u_n(x), v_n(x)) &\rightarrow (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Take any $(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}'(u_n, v_n)(\varphi, \psi) &= \langle (u_n, v_n), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) u_n \psi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) v_n \varphi \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(u_n) \varphi + f_2(v_n) \psi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi + |v_n|^{q-2} v_n \psi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the weak convergence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (u_n, v_n), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle &\rightarrow \langle (u_0, v_0), (\varphi, \psi) \rangle, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) u_n \psi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) u_0 \psi \, dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) v_n \varphi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) v_0 \varphi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{q-2} u_0 \varphi \, dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q-2} v_n \psi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_0|^{q-2} v_0 \psi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a compact set containing supports of φ and ψ . Then

$$(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u_0, v_0) \quad \text{in } L^t(K) \times L^t(K) \text{ for every } 2 \leq t < 2^*.$$

From the continuity of the Nemytskii operator we obtain the convergence

$$\int_K f_1(u_n) \varphi \, dx \rightarrow \int_K f_1(u_0) \varphi \, dx.$$

Similarly

$$\int_K f_2(v_n) \psi \, dx \rightarrow \int_K f_2(v_0) \psi \, dx.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}'(u_n, v_n)(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0)(\varphi, \psi).$$

Similarly we can show that

$$\left(\mathcal{J}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}}\right)'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \left(\mathcal{J}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}}\right)'(u_0, v_0)$$

and therefore $\left(\mathcal{J}\Big|_{\mathcal{N}}\right)'(u_0, v_0) = 0$. In view of Lemma 2.6 we obtain that $\mathcal{J}'(u_0, v_0) = 0$, i.e. (u_0, v_0) is a critical point of \mathcal{J} . If $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$ we are done. Hence assume that $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$. We will use the following concentration-compactness result due to P.-L. Lions.

Lemma 5.1 ([33, Lemma 1.21]). *Let $r > 0$ and $2 \leq s < 2^*$. If $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y, r)} |w_n|^s dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

then $w_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < t < 2^$.*

Assume that

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y, 1)} |u_n|^2 + |v_n|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

In view of Lemma 5.1 we get $u_n \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (2, 2^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \delta) \|(u, v)\|^2 &\leq \|(u_n, v_n)\|^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x) u_n v_n dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(u_n) u_n + f_2(v_n) v_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q + |v_n|^q dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(u_n) u_n + f_2(v_n) v_n dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(u_n) u_n dx \right| \leq \varepsilon |u_n|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon |u_n|_p^p.$$

In view of boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(u_n) u_n dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_2(v_n) v_n dx \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore $\|(u_n, v_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ - a contradiction with Lemma 2.5. Hence (5.2) cannot hold. Hence there is a sequence $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(z_n, 1 + \sqrt{N})} |u_n|^2 + |v_n|^2 dx > 0. \quad (5.3)$$

It is classical to check that that $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover $(u_n(\cdot - z_n), v_n(\cdot - z_n)) \rightharpoonup (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ in H and in view of (5.3) we have $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \neq (0, 0)$. Define $\tilde{u}_n := u_n(\cdot - z_n)$ and $\tilde{v}_n := v_n(\cdot - z_n)$. Then similarly as before

$$\mathcal{J}'(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}'(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})(\varphi, \psi) \quad \text{for all } (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In view of \mathbb{Z}^N -periodicity of V_1, V_2 and λ we also have

$$\mathcal{J}'(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

and therefore, in view of Lemma 2.6, we obtain that (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a nontrivial critical point of \mathcal{J} , in particular $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \geq c$. In view of \mathbb{Z}^N -periodicity of V_1, V_2 and λ we have $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) = \mathcal{J}(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow c$. If

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,1)} |\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{u}|^2 + |\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$$

then in view of Lemma 5.1 we obtain $\tilde{u}_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (2, 2^*)$ and, as before, $(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ and (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is a ground state. Otherwise there are $(\tilde{z}_n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(\tilde{z}_n, 1 + \sqrt{N})} |\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{u}|^2 + |\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}|^2 dx > 0$$

and similarly

$$(\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) := (\tilde{u}_n(\cdot - \tilde{z}_n), \tilde{v}_n(\cdot - \tilde{z}_n)) \rightharpoonup (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \neq (0, 0); \quad \mathcal{J}'(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = 0.$$

Repeating this argument we obtain the following decomposition lemma (for more details see eg. [6, Theorem 4.1]).

Lemma 5.2. *There are $\ell \geq 0$, $(z_n^k) \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $(w_1^k, w_2^k) \in E$, where $k = 1, \dots, \ell$, such that*

- (i) $(w_1^k, w_2^k) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{J}'(w_1^k, w_2^k) = 0$;
- (ii) $\left\| \left(u_n - u_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} w_1^k(\cdot - z_n^k), v_n - v_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} w_2^k(\cdot - z_n^k) \right) \right\| \rightarrow 0$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(u_0, v_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{J}(w_1^k, w_2^k)$.

While we assumed that $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$, from Lemma 5.2(iii) we get

$$c + o(1) = \mathcal{J}(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{J}(w_1^k, w_2^k) \geq \ell c.$$

Hence $c \geq \ell c$ and therefore $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$. While $(u_0, v_0) = 0$ we cannot have $\ell = 0$ and therefore $\ell = 1$, and (w_1^1, w_2^1) is a ground state solution.

[27, Theorem 2] gives the continuity and exponential decay of the solution.

6 Multiplicity of solutions in \mathbb{R}^N

To show Theorem 1.4 we will adapt the argument from [32] to our context. Let τ_k denote the action of $(\mathbb{Z}^N, +)$ on E , i.e. $\tau_k(u, v) := (u(\cdot - k), v(\cdot - k))$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. It is easy to show that

$$\tau_k \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N},$$

i.e. \mathcal{N} is invariant under τ_k . Similarly $\|\tau_k(u, v)\| = \|(u, v)\|$ and $\mathcal{J}(\tau_k(u, v)) = \mathcal{J}(u, v)$. Since \mathcal{J} is invariant, we know that $\nabla \mathcal{J}$ is equivariant.

Fix any $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Let

$$S := \{(u, v) \in E : \|(u, v)\| = 1\}.$$

Then there exists unique $t_{(u,v)} > 0$ such that $(t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v) \in \mathcal{N}$. Define

$$m : S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

by the formula $m(u, v) := (t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v)$. Obviously m is bijection and the inverse is given by

$$m^{-1}(u, v) = \left(\frac{u}{\|(u, v)\|}, \frac{v}{\|(u, v)\|} \right).$$

Lemma 6.1. *The function $m : S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a local diffeomorphism of class \mathcal{C}^1 .*

Proof. Let $\xi : E \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\xi(u, v) := \mathcal{J}'(u, v)(u, v).$$

Fix $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. From the proof of Lemma 2.7 there is a unique $t_{(u,v)}$ such that

$$\xi(t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v) = 0.$$

From the Implicit Function Theorem

$$E \ni (u, v) \mapsto t_{(u,v)} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

is of \mathcal{C}^1 -class and therefore

$$\hat{m} : E \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad \hat{m}(u, v) = (t_{(u,v)}u, t_{(u,v)}v)$$

is of \mathcal{C}^1 -class. Clearly, the restriction $m = \hat{m}|_S$ is a local diffeomorphism. \square

Similarly as in [5, Lemma 5.6] we show that $m : S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $m^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow S$ and $\nabla(\mathcal{J} \circ m) : S \rightarrow E$ are τ_k -equivariant.

Lemma 6.2. *The function $m^{-1} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow S$ is Lipschitz continuous.*

Proof. Fix $(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{N}$. See that

$$\begin{aligned} \|m^{-1}(u, v) - m^{-1}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\| &= \left\| \left(\frac{u}{\|(u, v)\|} - \frac{\tilde{u}}{\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|}, \frac{v}{\|(u, v)\|} - \frac{\tilde{v}}{\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|} \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\frac{u - \tilde{u}}{\|(u, v)\|} + \frac{\tilde{u}\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\| - \tilde{u}\|(u, v)\|}{\|(u, v)\| \cdot \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|}, \frac{v - \tilde{v}}{\|(u, v)\|} + \frac{\tilde{v}\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\| - \tilde{v}\|(u, v)\|}{\|(u, v)\| \cdot \|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\|} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|(u - \tilde{u}, v - \tilde{v})\|}{\|(u, v)\|} + \frac{\|(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\| - \|(u, v)\|}{\|(u, v)\|} \leq 2 \frac{\|(u - \tilde{u}, v - \tilde{v})\|}{\|(u, v)\|} \\ &\leq L \|(u - \tilde{u}, v - \tilde{v})\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $L := \frac{2}{\rho} > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ is given by Lemma 2.5. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(u, v) \in S : (\mathcal{J} \circ m)'(u) = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric set such that for every orbit $\mathcal{O}(u, v)$ there is unique representative $v \in \mathcal{F}$. We want to show that \mathcal{F} is infinite. Assume by contradiction that \mathcal{F} is finite. Then we have that (see [32])

$$\kappa := \inf\{\|(u - \tilde{u}, v - \tilde{v})\| : (u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{C}, (u, v) \neq (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})\} > 0.$$

Hence \mathcal{C} is a discrete set.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $d \geq c = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{J}$. If $(w_n^1, z_n^1), (w_n^2, z_n^2) \subset S$ are Palais-Smale sequences for $\mathcal{J} \circ m$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{J} \circ m)(w_n^i, z_n^i) \leq d, \quad i \in \{1, 2\},$$

then

$$\|(w_n^1 - w_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| \rightarrow 0$$

or

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(w_n^1 - w_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| \geq \rho(d) > 0,$$

where the constant $\rho(d) > 0$ depends only on d , but not on the particular choice of sequences.

Proof. Define $(u_n^i, v_n^i) := m(w_n^i, z_n^i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then a similar reasoning to [32, Corollary 2.10] shows that (u_n^i, v_n^i) are Palais-Smale sequences for \mathcal{J} and

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n^i, v_n^i) \leq d, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

While \mathcal{J} is coercive on \mathcal{N} , the sequences are bounded and in view of the Sobolev embedding, they are bounded also in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, say

$$|u_n^1|_2 + |v_n^1|_2 + |u_n^2|_2 + |v_n^2|_2 \leq M.$$

We will consider two cases.

Case 1. $|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_p + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_p \rightarrow 0$.

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \|^2 = \mathcal{J}'(u_n^1, v_n^1)(u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) - \mathcal{J}'(u_n^2, v_n^2)(u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f_1(u_n^1) - f_1(u_n^2))(u_n^1 - u_n^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f_2(v_n^1) - f_2(v_n^2))(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n^1|^{q-2}u_n^1 - |u_n^2|^{q-2}u_n^2)(u_n^1 - u_n^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v_n^1|^{q-2}v_n^1 - |v_n^2|^{q-2}v_n^2)(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x)(u_n^1 - u_n^2)(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx \\
& \leq \varepsilon \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \| \\
& + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n^1| + |u_n^2|) |u_n^1 - u_n^2| dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n^1|^{p-1} + |u_n^2|^{p-2}) |u_n^1 - u_n^2| dx \\
& + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v_n^1| + |v_n^2|) |v_n^1 - v_n^2| dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v_n^1|^{p-1} + |v_n^2|^{p-2}) |v_n^1 - v_n^2| dx \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n^1|^{q-2}u_n^1 - |u_n^2|^{q-2}u_n^2)(u_n^1 - u_n^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|v_n^1|^{q-2}v_n^1 - |v_n^2|^{q-2}v_n^2)(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x)(u_n^1 - u_n^2)(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx \\
& \leq (1 + C_0)\varepsilon \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \| + D_\varepsilon (|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_p + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_p) \\
& + C_1 (|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_q + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_q) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda(x)(u_n^1 - u_n^2)(v_n^1 - v_n^2) dx
\end{aligned}$$

for $C_0, C_1, D_\varepsilon > 0$. From our assumption we have

$$|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_p + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(u_n^1 - u_n^2)$ and $(v_n^1 - v_n^2)$ are bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $2 < q < p$, it follows from the interpolation inequality that there holds

$$|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_q + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking (2.3) into account we get

$$\| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \|^2 \leq \varepsilon \frac{(1 + C_0)}{1 - \delta} \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \| + o(1)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \|^2 \leq \varepsilon \frac{(1 + C_0)}{1 - \delta} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \|$$

and therefore $\| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \| \rightarrow 0$. From Lemma 6.2 we obtain

$$\| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2) \| = \| m^{-1}(u_n^1, v_n^1) - m^{-1}(u_n^2, v_n^2) \| \leq L \| (u_n^1 - u_n^2, v_n^1 - v_n^2) \| \rightarrow 0.$$

Case 2. $|u_n^1 - u_n^2|_p + |v_n^1 - v_n^2|_p \not\rightarrow 0$.

In view of Lions lemma (see Lemma 5.1) there is a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B(y_n, 1)} |u_n^1 - u_n^2|^2 dx + \int_{B(y_n, 1)} |v_n^1 - v_n^2|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In view of τ_k -invariance of \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{J} , $\mathcal{J} \circ m$ and τ_k -equivariance of $\nabla \mathcal{J}$, $\nabla(\mathcal{J} \circ m)$, m and m^{-1} we can assume that the sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded. We have that, up to a subsequence

$$(u_n^i, v_n^i) \rightharpoonup (u^i, v^i) \quad \text{in } E, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

and $(u_n^1, v_n^1) \neq (u_n^2, v_n^2)$. Moreover $\mathcal{J}'(u^1, v^1) = \mathcal{J}'(u^2, v^2) = 0$ and

$$\|(u_n^i, v_n^i)\| \rightarrow \alpha^i, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

We see that α^i satisfies

$$0 < \beta := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \|(u, v)\| \leq \alpha^i \leq \nu(d) := \sup\{\|(u, v)\| : (u, v) \in \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{J}(u, v) \leq d\}.$$

Suppose that $(u^1, v^1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(u^2, v^2) \neq (0, 0)$. Then $(u^i, v^i) \in \mathcal{N}$, $(w^i, z^i) := m^{-1}(u^i, v^i) \in S$ and $(w^1, z^1) \neq (w^2, z^2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(w_n^1 - w_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{(u_n^1, v_n^1)}{\|(u_n^1, v_n^1)\|} - \frac{(u_n^2, v_n^2)}{\|(u_n^2, v_n^2)\|} \right\| \geq \left\| \frac{(u^1, v^1)}{\alpha^1} - \frac{(u^2, v^2)}{\alpha^2} \right\| \\ &= \|\beta_1(w^1, z^1) - \beta_2(w^2, z^2)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_i = \frac{\|(u^i, v^i)\|}{\alpha^i} \geq \frac{\beta}{\nu(d)}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Moreover

$$\|(w_n^1, z_n^1)\| = \|(w_n^2, z_n^2)\| = 1.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(w_n^1 - w_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| \geq \|\beta_1(w^1, z^1) - \beta_2(w^2, z^2)\| \geq \min_{i \in \{1, 2\}} \{\beta^i\} \|(w^1, z^1) - (w^2, z^2)\| \geq \frac{\beta \kappa}{\nu(d)}.$$

If $(u^2, v^2) = (0, 0)$ we have $(u^1, v^1) \neq (u^2, v^2) = (0, 0)$ and similarly

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(w_n^1 - w_n^2, z_n^1 - z_n^2)\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{(u_n^1, v_n^1)}{\|(u_n^1, v_n^1)\|} - \frac{(u_n^2, v_n^2)}{\|(u_n^2, v_n^2)\|} \right\| \geq \left\| \frac{(u^1, v^1)}{\alpha^1} \right\| \geq \frac{\beta}{\nu(d)}.$$

□

In view of [31, Lemma II.3.9] $\mathcal{J} \circ m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ admits a pseudo-gradient vector field, i.e. there is a Lipschitz continuous function $\mathcal{H} : S \setminus \mathcal{C} \rightarrow TS$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(w) &\in T_w S, \\ \|\mathcal{H}(w)\| &< 2\|\nabla(\mathcal{J} \circ m)(w)\|, \\ \langle \mathcal{H}(w), \nabla(\mathcal{J} \circ m)(w) \rangle &> \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla(\mathcal{J} \circ m)(w)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in S \setminus \mathcal{C}$. Then we can define the flow $\eta : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow S \setminus \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\eta}{dt}(t, w) = -\mathcal{H}(\eta(t, w)), \\ \eta(0, w) = w, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{(t, w) : w \in S \setminus \mathcal{C}, T^-(w) < t < T^+(w)\}$. $T^-(w)$ and $T^+(w)$ are the maximal existence time in negative and positive direction of $t \mapsto \eta(t, w)$. Then we can repeat the arguments

from the proof of [32, Theorem 1.2] and [5, Theorem 1.2]. In fact we show that for any $k \geq 1$ there exists $(w_k, z_k) \in S$ such that

$$(\mathcal{J} \circ m)'(w_k, z_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}(m(w_k, z_k)) = c_k,$$

where

$$c_k := \inf \{d \in \mathbb{R} : \gamma(\{(w, z) \in S : \mathcal{J}(m(w, z)) \leq d\}) \geq k\}$$

and γ denotes the Krasnoselskii genus for closed and symmetric sets. We refer to [31] for basic facts about the Krasnoselskii genus and Lusternik-Schnirelmann values. Moreover $c_k < c_{k+1}$ and we have a contradiction with the assumption that \mathcal{F} is finite.

Acknowledgements. The author was partially supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2017/25/N/ST1/00531) and he would like to thank the referee for many valuable comments helping to improve the paper.

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, G. Cerami, D. Ruiz: *Solitons of linearly coupled systems of semilinear non-autonomous equations on \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Funct. Anal. **254**, (2008) 2816–2845.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado: *Bound and ground states of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I **342**, (2006), 453–458.
- [3] T. Bartsch, Y. Ding: *On a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 1, 15–37.
- [4] T. Bartsch: *Infinitely many solutions of a symmetric Dirichlet problem*, Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. **20**, Issue 10 (1993), p. 1205–1216.
- [5] B. Bieganowski: *Solutions of the fractional Schrödinger equation with a sign-changing nonlinearity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **450** (2017), 461–479.
- [6] B. Bieganowski, J. Mederski: *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with sum of periodic and vanishing potentials and sign-changing nonlinearities*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., Vol. **17**, Issue 1, (2018), p. 143–161.
- [7] V. Benci, C.R. Grisanti, A.M. Micheletti: *Existence and non existence of the ground state solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with $V(\infty) = 0$* , Topol. Methods in Nonlinear Anal. **26**, (2005), 203–219.
- [8] B. Buffoni, L. Jeanjean, C. A. Stuart: *Existence of a nontrivial solution to a strongly indefinite semilinear equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 1, 179–186.
- [9] J. Chabrowski, A. Szulkin: *On a semilinear Schrödinger equation with critical Sobolev exponent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130**, (2002), 85–93.
- [10] Z. Chen, W. Zou: *An optimal constant for the existence of least energy solutions of a coupled Schrödinger system*, Calc. Var. PDE. **48**, (2013), No.3-4, 695–711.
- [11] V. Coti-Zelati, P. Rabinowitz: *Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **45**, (1992), no. 10, 1217–1269.
- [12] J.M. do Ó, J.C. de Albuquerque: *Coupled elliptic systems involving the square root of the Laplacian and Trudinger-Moser critical growth*, Differential Integral Equations, Volume 31, Number 5/6 (2018), 403–434.

- [13] W. Dörfler, A. Lechleiter, M. Plum, G. Schneider, C. Wieners, *Photonic Crystals: Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Approximation*, Springer Basel (2012)
- [14] R.H. Goodman, M.I. Weinstein, P.J. Holmes: *Nonlinear Propagation of Light in One-Dimensional Periodic Structures*, J. Nonlinear Science **11**, (2001), 123–168.
- [15] Q. Guo, J. Mederski: *Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with sum of periodic and inverse-square potentials*, Journal of Differential Equations **260**, (2016), 4180–4202
- [16] N. Ikoma, K. Tanaka: *A local mountain pass type result for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **40**, (2011), 449–480.
- [17] P. Kuchment: *The mathematics of photonic crystals*, Mathematical modeling in optical science, Frontiers Appl. Math., **22**, SIAM, Philadelphia (2001), 207–272.
- [18] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, *Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **3**, (1998), 441–472.
- [19] G. Li, A. Szulkin: *An asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **4**, (2002), no. 4, 763–776.
- [20] G. Li, X.H. Tang: *Nehari-type ground state solutions for Schrödinger equations including critical exponent*, Appl. Math. Lett. **37** (2014), 101–106.
- [21] S. Liu: *On superlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potential*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **45** (2012), no. 1-2, 1–9.
- [22] L.A. Maia, E. Montefusco, B. Pellacci: *Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system*, J. Differential Equations **229** (2), (2006), 743–767.
- [23] B. Malomed: *Multi-component Bose-Einstein condensates: Theory*, In: Emergent Nonlinear Phenomena in Bose-Einstein Condensation, P. G. Kevrekidis et al. (eds.), Atomic, Optical, and Plasma Physics 45, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, 287–305.
- [24] J. Mederski: *Solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and zero on the boundary of the spectrum*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2015), no. 2, 755–771.
- [25] J. Mederski: *Ground states of a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potentials*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **41** (2016), no. 9, 1426–1440.
- [26] A. Pankov: *Periodic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation with Application to Photonic Crystals*, Milan J. Math. **73**, (2005), 259–287.
- [27] A. Pankov: *On decay of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, (2008), 2565–2570.
- [28] J. Peng, S. Chen, X. Tang: *Semiclassical solutions for linearly coupled Schrödinger equations without compactness*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations (2018), DOI: 10.1080/17476933.2018.1450395
- [29] P.H. Rabinowitz: *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43**, (1992), 270–291.
- [30] R. E. Slusher, B. J. Eggleton: *Nonlinear Photonic Crystals*, Springer 2003.
- [31] M. Struwe: *Variational Methods*, Springer 2008.
- [32] A. Szulkin, T. Weth: *Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems*, J. Funct. Anal. **257**, (2009), no. 12, 3802–3822.
- [33] M. Willem: *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser Verlag 1996.

- [34] M. Willem, W. Zou: *On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52**, (2003), no. 1, 109–132.
- [35] H. Zhang, J. Xu, F. Zhang: *Existence of positive ground states for some nonlinear Schrödinger systems*, Bound. Value Probl., (2013), 1–16.