

NONCOMMUTATIVE NOETHER'S PROBLEM
VS
CLASSICAL NOETHER'S PROBLEM

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY AND JOÃO SCHWARZ

ABSTRACT. We address the Noncommutative Noether's Problem on the invariants of Weyl fields for linear actions of finite groups. We prove that if the variety $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{k})/G$ is rational then the Noncommutative Noether's Problem is positively solved for G and any field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero. In particular, this gives positive solution for all pseudo-reflections groups, for the alternating groups ($n = 3, 4, 5$) and for any finite group when $n = 3$ and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed. Alternative proofs are given for the complex field and for all pseudo-reflections groups. In the later case an effective algorithm of finding the Weyl generators is described. We also study birational equivalence for the rings of invariant differential operators on complex affine irreducible varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

We will assume that all algebras are considered over the field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero.

Let G be a finite group acting linearly on the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The ring of invariants $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ is polynomial if and only if G is a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections by the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem. The pseudo-reflection groups were classified by Shephard and Todd. When $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ they are the Weyl groups, the finite Coxeter groups and the unitary reflection groups, respectively. Geometrically, the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem solves the problem of description of all finite groups G acting linearly on the affine space for which the quotient variety $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{k})/G$ is biregular to $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{k})$.

Extend the linear action of G on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ to the action on the field of rational functions $K_n = \mathbb{k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The birational version of the problem above leads to the classical Noether's Problem (CNP for short) related to the 14-th Hilbert's problem, posing a question whether K_n^G is a purely transcendental extension of \mathbb{k} or, equivalently, whether $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{k})/G$ is birational to $\mathbb{A}^m(\mathbb{k})$ for some m . In the latter case we say that the quotient variety $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{k})/G$ is *rational*.

Well known cases with positive solution for the CNP include $n = 1$ (Luroth), $n = 2$ (Miyata) or $n = 3$ and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed (Burnside) and any n when the representation of G is isomorphic to a direct sum of one dimensional representations (Fischer). It also holds for alternating groups $G = A_n$ for $n = 3, 4, 5$ (Maeda). Detailed references including the counter-examples of Swan, Voskresenskii and Saltman can be found in [5] and [14].

Passing to a noncommutative case consider the \mathbb{k} -algebra of differential operators on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, which is the n -th Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbb{k})$, and extend the action of G to a linear action on $A_n(\mathbb{k})$. The algebra $A_n(\mathbb{k})$ is a simple

Ore domain which admits the skew field of fractions which we will denote by $F_n(\mathbf{k})$. The action of G extends naturally to $F_n(\mathbf{k})$.

An analog of the Noether's Problem for the Weyl algebra A_n was first considered by Alev and Dumas in [1], where they asked whether $F_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ is isomorphic to $F_m(L)$ for some m and some purely transcendental extension L of \mathbf{k} . In fact, if such isomorphism holds, then $m = n$ and $L = \mathbf{k}$. This is the case, for instance, for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ and an arbitrary finite group G [1], for any n and any G whose natural representation decomposes into a direct sum of one dimensional representations [1]. In particular, it holds for all $n \geq 1$ if G is abelian and \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed. It was shown in [6] that it also holds for any n and any complex reflection group.

Due to the importance of Weyl algebras and to the fact that they are the simplest noncommutative deformations of polynomial algebras, we call the analog of the Noether's Problem for A_n the *Noncommutative Noether's Problem* (NNP for short).

The cases when the NNP has a positive solution are of special interest in view of the rigidity of the Weyl algebras proven by Alev and Polo [2]: $A_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ is not isomorphic to $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ when \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed, for any non trivial linear action of G . This was recently generalized by Tikaradze [27], who showed that $A_n(\mathbb{C})$ is not isomorphic to any invariant subring D^G for any domain D and any finite group G unless $D \simeq A_n(\mathbb{C})$ and G is trivial. Hence, positive solutions of the NNP give examples for the question posed by Kirkman, Kuzmanovich and Zhang [16], asking for which rigid algebras the skew field of fractions and its skew subfield of invariants are isomorphic. In this case the algebra and its subalgebra of invariants are called birationally equivalent. The Noncommutative Noether's Problem is also connected to the Gelfand-Kirillov Conjecture on the birational equivalence between the universal enveloping algebras and Weyl algebras. It can be used to reprove the Gelfand-Kirillov Conjecture for gl_n and sl_n [8] and show it for all finite W -algebras of type A [10]. For quantum versions of the Noether's Problem and the Gelfand-Kirillov Conjecture we refer to [11] and [13].

We will say that the NNP holds for a group G if it has the positive solution for G . We will also say that the CNP *implies* the NNP if whenever the CNP holds for a group G , the NNP also holds for the extended action of G . The first goal of our paper is to prove the following statement which was first conjectured in less general form in [26]

Theorem 1.1. *For any field \mathbf{k} of zero characteristic and any linear action of a finite group G if the quotient variety $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbf{k})/G$ is rational then the NNC holds for G , that is the CNP implies the NNP.*

This was known to be true for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, for any n when the natural representation of G is isomorphic to a direct sum of one dimensional representations [1] and for any complex reflection group [6].

As an immediate consequence of this result we obtain that the Noncommutative Noether's Problem holds for *all pseudo-reflection groups, alternating groups $\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_5$ and for any finite group when $n = 3$ and \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed.*

We consider separately the case of the complex field. Let X be a complex affine irreducible variety, G a finite group of automorphisms on X and let $D(X)$ be the algebra of differential operators on X . Our second main result is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an affine irreducible variety. If X/G is birationally equivalent to an irreducible affine Y then*

$$\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(Y)).$$

In a particular case of rational varieties we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a complex affine irreducible variety and G a finite group of automorphisms on X . Suppose X/G is rational. Then*

$$(\text{Frac}(D(X)))^G \simeq F_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Using this theorem above we obtain a different proof of Theorem 1.1 for the field of complex numbers.

Next we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 for all pseudo-reflections groups and an arbitrary field of characteristic zero (Theorem 6.12) extending the results of [6] on complex irreducible reflections groups. This approach has an advantage since it allows to find the Weyl generators by a fairly simple algorithmic procedure.

Finally we apply the results to birational equivalence of the cross products (Theorem 7.1).

Acknowledgements. V.F. is supported in part by CNPq grant (304467/2017-0) and by Fapesp grant (2014/09310-5). J.S. is supported in part by Fapesp grant (2014/25612-1). The second author is very grateful to Jacques Alev for attention and fruitful discussion.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Weyl algebras. We will denote by $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ the n -th Weyl algebra over the field \mathbf{k} , which is the unital associative algebra generated over \mathbf{k} by the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ subject to the relations $\partial_i x_j - x_j \partial_i = \delta_{ij}$, $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$, $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ denote $t_i = \partial_i x_i$ and consider $\sigma_i \in \text{Aut } \mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that $\sigma_i(t_j) = t_j - \delta_{ij}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let \mathbb{Z}^n be the free abelian group generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$. Then we have a natural embedding $A_n(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_n] * \mathbb{Z}^n$ where $x_i \mapsto \sigma_i$, $\partial_i \mapsto t_i \sigma_i^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover,

$$A_n[S^{-1}] \simeq \mathbf{k}(t_1, \dots, t_n) * \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where $S = \mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_n] \setminus \{0\}$ [8].

Let X be an affine variety over \mathbf{k} with the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(X)$. The ring of differential operators $D(X)$ on X (and on $\mathcal{O}(X)$) is defined as $D(X) = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} D(X)_n$, where $D(X)_0 = \mathcal{O}(X)$ and

$$D(X)_n = \{d \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{O}(X)) : db - bd \in D(X)_{n-1} \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}(X) \subset D(X)$. For smooth X , $D(X)$ is the subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathcal{O}(X))$ generated by the \mathbf{k} -linear derivations of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ and the scalar multiplications l_f , $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, that sends $g \rightarrow fg$ for any $g \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.

The fact that $D(X)$ is an Ore domain for irreducible and smooth X is well known (e.g., [22]). But in fact this holds without the latter assumption, as the following proposition shows:

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be an affine irreducible variety over \mathbf{k} . Then $D(X)$ is an Ore domain.*

Proof. Call $K := \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}(X)$. We can realize $D(X)$ as a subset of $D(K)$ in the following way ([22], 15.5.5(iii)):

$$D(X) = \{d \in D(K) \mid d(\mathcal{O}(X)) \subset \mathcal{O}(X)\}.$$

Now, since K is a regular ring, $D(K)$ is a non-commutative domain with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension; since $D(X)$ is a subring of it, the same properties hold for it. Hence, $D(X)$ does not contain a subalgebra isomorphic to the free associative algebra in two variables. It follows, then, by a result of Jategaonkar ([18], Prop. 4.13), that $D(X)$ is an Ore domain. \square

The Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ is isomorphic to the the ring of differential operators on the affine space $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ (or, equivalently, on the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(X)$ in n variables).

2.2. Subalgebras of invariants. For a ring R and a group G of automorphisms of R denote by R^G the subring of R consisting of elements fixed by every element of G , so-called the Galois subring corresponding to G . It was shown by Faith [7] that if R is a right Ore domain then any subring R^G corresponding to a finite group G is also right Ore domain. Assuming R to be both right and left Ore domain, it admits the skew field of fractions which we denote by $\mathcal{F}(R)$. Then the action of G on R extends uniquely to an action on $\mathcal{F}(R)$ and $(\mathcal{F}(R))^G \simeq \mathcal{F}(R^G)$. Hence, $\mathcal{F} : R \mapsto \mathcal{F}(R)$ defines a functor from the category of Ore domains with injective homomorphisms to the category of skew fields. If $\phi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is a morphism of domains such that $\mathcal{F}(\phi)$ is an isomorphism of skew fields then we say that R_1 and R_2 are birationally equivalent.

If $\phi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is a G -equivariant morphism then it induces a morphism $\phi^G : R_1^G \rightarrow R_2^G$. If, in addition, $\mathcal{F}(\phi)$ is an isomorphism then R_1^G and R_2^G are birationally equivalent.

Since $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ is a Noetherian Ore domain, it admits the skew field of fractions $F_n(\mathbf{k}) := \mathcal{F}(A_n(\mathbf{k}))$, called *the Weyl field*.

3. ALGEBRAS OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Let X be an affine variety over \mathbf{k} with an action of a finite group G . Then this action can be extended to the ring of differential operators $D(X)$ on X . Indeed, the group G acts by algebra automorphisms on $\mathcal{O}(X)$. The extension of this action to the ring of differential operators $D(X)$ is done as follows: if $d \in D(X)$ then $(g * d) \cdot f = (g \circ d \circ g^{-1}) \cdot f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. The elements of $D(X)$ invariant under the action of G are called *G -invariant differential operators*.

The following result was established by Cannings and Holland [4]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathbf{k} be the field of complex numbers. Let X be an affine irreducible algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} with an action of a finite group G on it.*

- 1) *There exists a maximal open dense G -invariant subset $V \subset X$, on which the induced action of G is free. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ be the canonical projection and $V' = \pi(V)$. Then V' is open dense in X/G and, since V is a complete pre-image, $V = \pi^{-1}(V')$, the map π restricts to the quotient map:*

$$\pi|_V : V \rightarrow V'.$$

- 2) Let V be an open subset of X on which the action of G is free. If (\dagger) X satisfies the Serre condition S_2 (in particular, if it is normal) and

$$\text{codim}_X(X - V) \geq 2$$

then

$$D(X/G) \cong D(X)^G.$$

The same isomorphism holds if (\ddagger) G acts freely.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}(X)$ the coordinate ring of X . Recall that the variety X satisfies the S_2 condition of Serre if $\text{depht } \mathcal{O}(X)_p \geq \inf\{2, \text{height}(p)\}$ for all prime ideals p of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ ([21]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be an irreducible affine variety. Suppose that a finite group G is acting by automorphisms on X and either \dagger or \ddagger hold. If X/G is birationally equivalent to a affine irreducible variety Y then $\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(Y))$.*

Proof. Let S be the set of regular elements in $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$. Since X/G is birational to Y we have

$$\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(X)^G) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(X)_S^G) \cong \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(Y)).$$

Since $\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(X/G))$ by Theorem 3.1, then applying [25], Proposition 1.8, we have

$$\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(X/G)_S) \cong \text{Frac}(D(\mathcal{O}(X)_S^G)) \cong \text{Frac}D(\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(Y))),$$

and hence $\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(Y))$. Note that unlike in a similar statement in [22] we do not assumed the variety X to be smooth. \square

4. CNP IMPLIES NNP

In this section we prove our main result: the CNP implies the NNP for an arbitrary field of characteristic zero and arbitrary linear action of a finite group.

Consider an arbitrary finite group G acting linearly on an n -dimensional k -vector space V and its naturally extended action on $\mathcal{O}(V^*) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. This action extends to the Weyl algebra $A_n(k) \simeq D(\mathcal{O}(V^*))$. Recall that a positive solution for the Classical Noether's Problem for this action means that $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G) \cong k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Denote \mathcal{B} the subalgebra of $A_n(k)^G$ generated by $\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]^G$ and $\mathcal{O}(V)^G = k[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]^G$. Then $\mathcal{B} = A_n(k)^G$ by [19], Theorem 5. We will closely follow the argument in the proof of this fact.

Set $S = \mathcal{O}(V^*)^G \setminus \{0\}$. Since S is ad-nilpotent on $A_n(k)$, and hence on $\mathcal{B} = A_n(k)^G$, it is an Ore set in both algebras ([18], Theorem 4.9).

Denote $F := \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G)$.

Recall the following lemma from [19]:

Lemma 4.1. [19], Lemma 8] *Let L be a finite field extension of k , with $\text{tdg}_k L = l$, and consider the ring of differential operators on L , $D(L)$. Let A be a subalgebra of $D(L)$ containing L , with a filtration induced from that of $D(L)$ (by order of differential operator). If the associated graded algebra of A contains as a subalgebra a finitely generated graded L -algebra B such that $K\dim B = l$, then $A = D(L)$.*

Lemma 4.2. $\text{Frac}(A_n(k)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(F))$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{B} \subset A_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ by definition. On the other hand, $A_n(\mathbf{k})^G \subset D(\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G)$ by restriction of domain. We have

$$D(\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G)_S = D(\mathcal{O}(V^*)_S^G) = D(F)$$

by [25], Proposition 1.8. After localization by S we obtain:

$$\mathcal{B}_S \subset A_n(\mathbf{k})_S^G \subset D(\mathcal{O}(V^*)_S^G) = D(F).$$

Consider the filtration on \mathcal{B}_S induced from $D(F)$. Since $\mathcal{O}(V^*)^W \subset \mathcal{B}$, we have that *gr* \mathcal{B}_S contains $F \otimes \mathcal{O}(V^*)^G$ as a graded F -subalgebra. Since $\mathcal{O}(V^*)$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}(V^*)^G$ then it has the Krull dimension n ([22]). Applying Lemma 4.1 we have $\mathcal{B}_S = D(F)$. We conclude that $\text{Frac}(D(F)) \subset \text{Frac}(A_n(\mathbf{k})^G) \subset \text{Frac}(D(F))$ which implies the desired equality. \square

Remark 4.3. *Note that in fact in the above proof we do not need the equality $\mathcal{B} = A_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ but only the embedding $\mathcal{B} \subset A_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ by [19], Lemma 9.*

As a consequence of Lemma 4.2 we immediately obtain our main result.

Theorem 4.4. *The CNP implies the NNP for any linear representation of a finite group over any field of characteristic zero.*

Proof. Under the condition of the theorem we have that $F \cong \mathbf{k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then $D(F)$ is isomorphic to the localization of A_n by x_1, \dots, x_n and the statement follows. \square

We immediately have the following application of the main result

Corollary 4.5. *The Noncommutative Noether's Problem holds in the following cases or any field of characteristic zero:*

- for all linear representations of all pseudo-reflection groups;
- for alternating groups \mathcal{A}_n with usual permutation action for $n = 3, 4, 5$;
- for any group when $n = 3$ and \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed.

We note that Theorem 4.4 allows to recover all results for finite groups from [1] and all results from [6]. Also it allows us to give a shorter proof of the following fact shown in [1]:

Theorem 4.6 ([1]). *If $F_n(\mathbf{k})^G$ is isomorphic to $F_m(L)$ for some m and some purely transcendental extension L of \mathbf{k} of transcendence degree t , then $m = n$ and $t = 0$.*

Proof. We have that $F_m(L) \simeq \text{Frac}(D(F))$. Now use [5], Lemma 3.2.2. The center of $F_m(L)$ has the transcendence degree t over \mathbf{k} . By the primitive element theorem, $F = \mathbf{k}(y_1, \dots, y_n)(f)$, for certain algebraically independent y_1, \dots, y_n , and by [22] 15.2.4, the second skew-field has center of transcendence degree 0. So $t = 0$ and $m = n$. \square

5. COMPLEX \mathbf{k} CASE

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Suppose X is an affine irreducible variety. Fix a finite group G acting on X and satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. By Theorem 3.1, 1), there exists an open dense subset V on which the action of G is free and such that the quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ restricts to the quotient $\pi : V \rightarrow V'$, where V' is open dense in X/G . By the Hilbert-Noether theorem, the map π is finite, hence affine ([12],

Exercise 5.17). Let W' be a principal open subset of V' . Since π is affine, then $W = \pi^{-1}(W')$ is affine. Since W is a union of orbits, G restricts to a free action on it. We now have a quotient map $\pi : W \rightarrow W'$ with W affine and smooth (hence normal). Also, since $W' \subset X/G$, then W' is birational to Y . Applying Lemma 3.2 we obtain

Lemma 5.1. $\text{Frac}(D(W)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(Y))$.

For $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ denote $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)_f$ the principal open subset. These sets constitute a basis of the Zariski topology, and hence there exists a principal open subset $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)_h \subset W$ for $h \in \Lambda$. Set $f = \prod_{g \in G} g.h$. Then f is G -invariant. Thus we have

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a principal open set $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)_f \subset W$ with G -invariant f .*

Now we generalize the argument given in the proof for unitary reflection groups in [6]. By Lemma 5.2 there exists a principal open set $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)_f \subset W$ with G -invariant f . Then we have the following inclusions of varieties: $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)_f \subset W \subset X$. Let $D(\cdot)$ be the sheaf of differential operators functor which associates the ring of differential operators to a given variety. Functor $D(\cdot)$ is contravariant and we have chain of inclusions

$$D(\mathcal{O}(X)) \subset D(W) \subset D(\mathcal{O}(X)_f) = D(\mathcal{O}(X))_f$$

(cf. [20], Proposition 2.4.18). Taking the field of fractions, and then the G -invariants, we have the following chain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Frac} D(\mathcal{O}(X))^G &\subset \text{Frac}(D(W))^G \subset \text{Frac}(D(\mathcal{O}(X))_f)^G \\ &= \text{Frac}(D(\mathcal{O}(X))_f^G) = \text{Frac}(D(\mathcal{O}(X))^G). \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\text{Frac}(D(X)^G) \simeq \text{Frac}(D(W)^G) \cong \text{Frac}(D(Y)),$$

which implies the statement of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 follows immediately, since in this case we have $Y = \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbf{k})$, $n = \dim X$.

6. NNP FOR PSEUDO-REFLECTION GROUPS

By the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem the CNP holds for all pseudo-reflection groups over any field of zero characteristic. In this section we give an alternative proof that the Noncommutative Noether's Problem has a positive solution for all pseudo-reflection groups over any field of zero characteristic, which is of independent interest. For complex reflection groups this was shown in [6], Theorem 2.

As before Λ denote the polynomial algebra over \mathbf{k} with n variables. Let W be an arbitrary pseudo-reflection group acting by linear automorphisms on Λ . Recall the following statement [[6], Proposition 1] which does not depend on the field \mathbf{k} :

Proposition 6.1. *Let Δ be a W -invariant element of Λ , S a multiplicatively closed set in Λ . Then*

- (1) $(\Lambda_\Delta)^W = (\Lambda^W)_\Delta$;
- (2) $D(\Lambda_S) = D(\Lambda)_S$;
- (3) $(D(\Lambda)_\Delta)^W \cong (D(\Lambda)^W)_\Delta$.

Consider a W -invariant element $\Delta \in \Lambda$, localization Λ_Δ with the induced action of W and the W -invariants Λ_Δ^W in Λ_Δ . We have an embedding $\Lambda_\Delta^W \rightarrow \Lambda_\Delta$. By the restriction of domain we have an induced map

$$\phi_\Delta : D(\Lambda_\Delta)^W \rightarrow D(\Lambda_\Delta^W).$$

Proposition 6.2. *Let Δ be a W -invariant element in Λ . Then the map ϕ_Δ is injective.*

Proof. Note that $D(\Lambda_\Delta)$ is a simple ring and W acts by outer automorphisms. Then $D(\Lambda_\Delta)^W$ is a simple ring, by [24], Corollary 2.6. Since ϕ_Δ is not trivial, it is injective. \square

Our goal now is to find an adequate Δ such that the ϕ_Δ is surjective. The case $W = S_n$ was considered in [9].

6.1. Proof of the NNP for irreducible pseudo-reflection groups. We proceed by considering first irreducible pseudo-reflection groups. Recall that a pseudo-reflection group W is called *irreducible* if its natural representation is irreducible.

We will make use of the following notion of the *field of definition* of G - the smallest subfield where a representation of the group G is defined. More precisely,

Definition 6.3. *Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{k})$ be a linear representation of a finite group G . Let $\mathbf{k}' \subset \mathbf{k}$ be a subfield. Suppose there exists a homomorphism $\rho' : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{k}')$ such that ρ can be obtained from ρ' by the extension of scalars. We say that ρ has \mathbf{k}' as the field of definition if \mathbf{k}' is the smallest subfield with this property.*

Given a linear representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{k})$ denote by χ_ρ the corresponding character function. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ be the field extension of \mathbb{Q} by $Im \chi$.

By [15, Appendix B], we have

Proposition 6.4. *Let W be an irreducible pseudo-reflection group and $\rho : W \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{k})$ a representation of W . Then ρ has $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ as the field of definition.*

We shall also need the following fact from the invariant theory of pseudo-reflection groups. Let M be the $n \times n$ matrix whose ij 's entry is $\partial_{x_j} e_i$, where $\Lambda^W = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^W = \mathbf{k}[e_1, \dots, e_n]$. Let J' be the determinant of M .

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all pseudo-reflections in W . Each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ fixes a hyperplane H_s . Let L_s be a linear form whose kernel is H_s for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$. Set $J = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{S}} L_s$. It has the following properties:

Proposition 6.5. *[15], 20-2, Proposition A and B, 21-1, Proposition A and B] $J \neq 0$ and $w.J = \det(w)J$ for every $w \in W$. Moreover, J is a multiple of J' .*

As in the case of complex reflection groups set $\Delta = J^{|W|}$ ([6], Section 3).

Let E_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ be the column vector, where we have 1 in the i th position and 0 in all others. Let

$$F_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{in} \end{pmatrix}$$

be a solution of the linear system $MF_i = E_i$. By the Kramer's rule, $f_{ij} \in \Lambda_J$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where Λ_J is the localization of Λ by J .

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ set $d_i = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{ik} \partial_k$. Then $d_i \in D(\Lambda_\Delta) = D(\Lambda)_\Delta$ and we have $d_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

We will show that all differential operators d_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are W -invariant. By Theorem 6.4 we can assume that e_i 's, and hence d_i 's, have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$. Observe the following: let $k' \subset k$ be a subfield fixed by W and d a differential operator with coefficients in k' , then the question of W -invariance of d is the same, whether we consider the base field k or k' . As $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$ is fixed by W , to show that the d_i 's are invariant differential operators on Λ_Δ^W , we can replace k by $\mathbb{Q}(\chi)$. Now our field of definition is a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Repeating the above argument we can assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Recall the following result of Knop:

Theorem 6.6. *[17], Theorem 3.1] Let X be a complex affine irreducible normal variety. Then $D(X)^W = \{d \in D(X) \mid d(\mathcal{O}(X)^W) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(X)^W\}$.*

Denote by Δ' the result of replacing every x_i in Δ by e_i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. By the Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem, $\Lambda_\Delta^W \simeq k[e_1, \dots, e_n]_{\Delta'}$. Taking into account the action of operators d_i 's and Theorem 6.6 we obtain the desired invariance of d_i 's under the action of W . Indeed, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ the operator d_i sends every e_j to an element of $k[e_1, \dots, e_n]_{\Delta'}$ and the same holds for Δ' . Since these elements generate $k[e_1, \dots, e_n]_{\Delta'}$ the statement follows.

Proposition 6.7. *The map $\phi_\Delta : D(\Lambda_\Delta)^W \rightarrow D(\Lambda_\Delta^W)$ is surjective.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the images of d_i , e_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ under ϕ_Δ are the Weyl generators of $D(\Lambda_\Delta^W)$. Let $A := \Lambda_\Delta^W$. The A -module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_k(A)$ is freely generated over A with basis d_{e_1}, \dots, d_{e_n} . Then, by [22], 15.1.12, the A -module of derivations $\text{Der}_k(A)$ is freely generated by the unique extensions of ∂_{e_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$ from $k[e_1, \dots, e_n]$ to A . Clearly, $\phi_\Delta(d_i) = \partial_{e_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

Combining Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.7 we conclude

$$D(\Lambda_\Delta)^W \simeq D(\Lambda_\Delta^W).$$

Applying Proposition 6.1 we finally have

Corollary 6.8. *Let k be an arbitrary field of zero characteristic and W an irreducible pseudo-reflection group. Then the NNP holds for W .*

6.2. NNP for general pseudo-reflection groups. In this subsection we consider general pseudo-reflection groups.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. If g is a linear automorphism of V then we set $\text{Fix } g = \{v \in V \mid gv = v\} = \text{Ker}(Id - g)$, and $[V, g] = \text{Im}(Id - g)$.

If g is a pseudo-reflection, $g \neq id$ then $\text{Fix } g$ is a hyperplane and $[V, g]$ is one dimensional. If $a \in V$ generates $[V, g]$ then for every $v \in V$ there exists $\psi(v) \in k$ such that $v - gv = \psi(v)a$. Then ψ is a linear functional on V and $\text{Ker } \psi = \text{Fix } g$.

The following is standard

Lemma 6.9. *If $g, h \in GL(V)$ then $\text{Fix}(ghg^{-1}) = g\text{Fix } h$. If h is a pseudo-reflection with the fixed hyperplane H , then ghg^{-1} is also a pseudo-reflection with the fixed hyperplane gH .*

In the following we collect basic properties of pseudo-reflections.

Lemma 6.10. (1) *Let g be a pseudo-reflection of order $m > 1$, $H = \text{Fix } g$, L_H any linear functional such that $H = \text{Ker } L_H$. Let a be a generator of $[V, g]$. Then there exists an m -th primitive root of unity μ such that $gv = v - (1 - \mu) \frac{L_H(v)}{L_H(a)} a$, for all $v \in V$.*

- (2) Let $r, s \neq id$ be pseudo-reflections, $H = \text{Fix } r$, $J = \text{Fix } s$, x a generator of $[V, r]$, and y a generator of $[V, s]$. If $x \in J$ and $y \in H$ then $rs = sr$.
- (3) A subspace $V' \subset V$ is invariant by a pseudo-reflection $g \neq id$ if and only if $V' \subseteq \text{Fix } g$ or $[V, g] \subseteq V'$.

Proof. Given a pseudo-reflection g consider a linear functional ψ such that $gv = v - \psi(v)a$ and $H = \text{Ker } \psi$, as above. Hence $ga = \mu a$ for a primitive m -th root of unity μ , and hence $\psi(a) = 1 - \mu$. We have $\psi = \lambda L_H$, where $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. This gives $\lambda = (1 - \mu)/L_H(a)$ and implies statement (1). Applying (1),c we have $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{k}$ such that $\forall v \in V$

$$rs(v) = v - (1 - \mu) \frac{L_H(v)}{L_H(a)} a - (1 - \nu) \frac{L_J(v)}{L_J(b)} b + (1 - \mu)(1 - \nu) \frac{L_H(b)L_J(v)}{(L_H(a)L_J(b))} a.$$

If $y \in H$ then $L_H(y) = 0$ and the last term is 0. Analogously, the last term in the expression of $sr(v)$ is 0 and other terms in both expressions are equal. Therefore $rs = sr$.

Finally, if $V' \subseteq \text{Fix } g$ or $[V, g] \subseteq V'$, then V' is invariant by the statement (1). Conversely, if V' is g -invariant and is not contained in $\text{Fix } g$, then $[V', g] \neq 0$, and hence $[V, g] = [V', g] \subseteq V'$. \square

The following is probably well known but we include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 6.11. *Let W be a finite group of pseudo-reflections on V . Consider a decomposition $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$ of the $\mathbf{k}W$ -module V into irreducible submodules and set W_i to be the restriction of W to V_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then W_i is either a pseudo-reflection group or trivial, and $W \simeq W_1 \times \dots \times W_m$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.10, (3), if g is a non-identity pseudo-reflection then $[V, g] \subseteq V_i$ for some i . Let W_i be the subgroup of W generated by the pseudo-reflections g such that $[V, g] \subset V_i$ (if there is no such pseudo-reflections then $W_i = Id$). The subgroup W_i acts trivially on all V_j , $j \neq i$, and by Lemma 6.10, (2), W_i and W_j commute. Therefore, W is the direct product of the subgroups W_i 's, and each W_i is irreducible pseudo-reflection group on V_i , or trivial. \square

Consider now the Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ with a linear action of a pseudo-reflection group W extended from a linear action on n -dimensional vector space V . By Proposition 6.11 we have $W \simeq W_1 \times \dots \times W_m$. Suppose that $n = n_1 + \dots + n_m + k$ and $A_n(\mathbf{k}) = A_{n_1}(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \dots \otimes A_{n_m}(\mathbf{k}) \otimes A_k(\mathbf{k})$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, W_i acts on $A_{n_i}(\mathbf{k})$ and fixes all $A_{n_j}(\mathbf{k})$ with $j \neq i$. The whole group W fixes $A_k(\mathbf{k})$. Then we have

$$A_n(\mathbf{k})^W \simeq A_{n_1}(\mathbf{k})^{W_1} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{n_m}(\mathbf{k})^{W_m} \otimes A_k(\mathbf{k}).$$

Applying Corollary 6.8 we immediately obtain

Theorem 6.12. *The NNP holds for all pseudo-reflection groups over fields of zero characteristic.*

Remark 6.13. *We would like to point that the solution of the NNP for irreducible pseudo-reflection groups in Proposition 6.7 uses an explicit computation of Weyl generators which realized the isomorphism $F_n(\mathbf{k})^W \cong F_n(\mathbf{k})$. Since an algebraic basis of the invariants of the irreducible unitary reflection groups is well known ([15]);*

we can compute these Weyl generators, refining the results in [6]. We consider an example below.

Example 6.14. Assume $n = 3$ and $W = S_n$. Set $J = (x_1 - x_2)(x_2 - x_3)(x_3 - x_1)$. The following elements are the Weyl generators of $F_3(\mathbf{k})^{S_3}$, where S_3 acts by permutations:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \rightarrow X_1, x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 \rightarrow X_2, x_1x_2x_3 \rightarrow X_3;$$

$$\frac{x_1^2(x_2 - x_3)}{J}\partial_1 + \frac{x_2^2(x_3 - x_1)}{J}\partial_2 + \frac{x_3^2(x_1 - x_2)}{J}\partial_3 \rightarrow Y_1;$$

$$\frac{x_1(x_3 - x_2)}{J}\partial_1 + \frac{x_2(x_1 - x_3)}{J}\partial_2 + \frac{x_3(x_2 - x_1)}{J}\partial_3 \rightarrow Y_2;$$

$$\frac{(x_2 - x_3)}{J}\partial_1 + \frac{(x_3 - x_1)}{J}\partial_2 + \frac{(x_1 - x_2)}{J}\partial_3 \rightarrow Y_3.$$

Here, $Y_iX_j - X_jY_i = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

7. INVARIANT CROSS PRODUCTS

In this section we apply the result above to the subalgebras of invariants of cross products.

Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of field L , \mathcal{M} a monoid of automorphisms of L on which G acts by conjugations. Denote by $L * \mathcal{M}$ the cross product, where $(lm)(l'm') = (lm(l'))(mm')$ for $l, l' \in L$ and $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$. We have a well defined action of G on the cross product $L * \mathcal{M}$: $g(lm) = g(l)g(m)$, $g \in G$, $l \in L$, $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the ring of invariants $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ by the action of G .

Suppose $L * \mathcal{M}$ is an Ore domain. Then $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ is an Ore domain and the skew field of fractions $\mathcal{F}((L * \mathcal{M})^G)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{F}(L * \mathcal{M}))^G$ with induced actions of G on the skew field of fractions.

Assume $L \simeq \mathbf{k}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ to be the field of fractions of the symmetric algebra $S(V)$ for some n -dimensional \mathbf{k} -vector space V . If $G < GL_n$ is a finite group then it acts linearly on L . If G normalizes \mathcal{M} then $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ is a *linear Galois order* [6]. Suppose $L = \mathbf{k}(t_1, \dots, t_n; z_1, \dots, z_m)$, for some integers n, m , $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is generated by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, where $\varepsilon_i(t_j) = t_j + \delta_{ij}$, $\varepsilon_i(z_k) = z_k$ $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, \dots, m$ (in this case we say that \mathcal{M} acts by *shifts* on L). It was shown in [6], Theorem 6, that for such cross products and for any complex reflection group G , $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ is birationally equivalent to $A_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$. Applying Theorem 4.4 we can extend this result to other groups.

Theorem 7.1. *Let \mathbf{k} have characteristic zero, $L = \mathbf{k}(t_1, \dots, t_n; z_1, \dots, z_m)$, for some integers n, m , and $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ acting by shifts on L . Then*

- (1) $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ is birationally equivalent to $A_n(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$ for any pseudo-reflection group G ;
- (2) If $L^G \simeq L$ for a given group G then $(L * \mathcal{M})^G$ is birationally equivalent to $A_n(\mathbf{k}) \otimes \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_m]$.

Proof. Indeed, we have an embedding of the Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbf{k})$ to $\mathbf{k}[t_1, \dots, t_n] * \mathbb{Z}^n$ and their skew fields of fractions are isomorphic. Hence, item (1) follows from Theorem 6.12. If $L^G \simeq L$ then the CNP holds and (2) follows from Theorem 4.4. \square

We finish with the following problems:

Problem: Find an example of a linear action of a finite group such that the CNP does not hold but the NNP holds. Find an example of a linear action of a finite group for which the NNP fails.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alev, J.; Dumas, F.; Operateurs différentiels invariants et problème de Noether, *Studies in Lie Theory* (eds. J. Bernstein, V. Hinich and A. Melnikov), Birkhauser, Boston, 2006.
- [2] Alev, J.; Polo, P.; A rigidity theorem for finite group actions on enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 111 (1995), 208-226.
- [3] Burnside, W.; *Theory of groups of finite order*, segunda edição, Cambridge University Press, 1911.
- [4] Cannings, R.; Holland, M. P.; Differential operators on varieties with a quotient subvariety. *J. Algebra* 170 (1994), no. 3, 735-753.
- [5] Dumas, F.; *An Introduction to Non commutative polynomial invariants*, Lecture Notes, Homological methods and representations of non commutative algebras, Mar del Plata, Argentina, March 6-16, 2006.
- [6] Eshmatov, F.; Futorny, V.; Ovsienko, S.; Schwarz, J.; Noncommutative Noether's Problem for Unitary Reflection Groups, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 145 (2017), 5043-5052.
- [7] Faith C., Galois subrings of Ore domains are Ore domains, *Bull. AMS*, 78 (1972), 1077-1080.
- [8] Futorny, V.; Ovsienko, S.; Galois orders in skew monoid rings, *J. of Algebra*, 324 (2010), 598-630.
- [9] Futorny, V.; Schwarz, J.; Galois orders of symmetric differential operators, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, Volume 23 (2017) 35-46.
- [10] Futorny, V.; Molev, A.; Ovsienko, S.; The Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture and Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for finite W-algebras, *Advances in Mathematics* 223 (2010), 773-796.
- [11] Futorny, V.; Hartwig, J. T.; Solution of a q -difference noether problem and the quantum gelfand-kirillov conjecture for gl_n . *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 276 (214), 1-37.
- [12] Hartshorne, R.; *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [13] Hartwig, J.; The q -difference Noether problem for complex reflection groups and quantum OGZ algebras, arxiv 1512.09234.
- [14] Jensen, C. U.; Ledet, A.; Yui, N.; *Generic Polynomials: Constructive Aspects of the Inverse Galois Problem*, volume 45 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [15] Kane, R.; *Reflection Groups and Invariant Theory*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, 2001.
- [16] Kirkman, E.; Kuzmanovich, J.; Zhang, J.; Rigidity of graded regular algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360:6331-6369, 2008.
- [17] Knop, F.; Graded cofinite rings of differential operators, *Michigan Math. J.* 54 (2006), 3-23.
- [18] Krause, G. R.; Lenagan, T. G.; *Growth of Algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*. American Mathematical Society; Revised edition, 1999.
- [19] Lavesseur, T.; Stafford, J. T.; Invariant Differential Operators and an homomorphism of Harish-Chandra, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* Vol. 8, No. 2 (Apr., 1995), pp. 365-372.
- [20] Liu, Q.; *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, v. 6, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [21] Matsumura, H.; *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin-Cummings Pub Co, second edition, 1980.
- [22] McConnell, J.C.; Robson J.C.; *Noncommutative noetherian rings*, revised edition, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001.
- [23] Miyata, T.; Invariants of certain groups I, *Nagoya Math. J.* 41, (1971), p. 68-73.
- [24] Montgomery, S.; *Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 818. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980, 126 pp.

- [25] Muhasky, A. J.; The differential operator ring of an affine curve, Trans. of the American Math. Society, vol 307, 1988, 705-723.
- [26] Schwarz, J.; Some aspects of noncommutative invariant theory and the noether's problem. S. Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 9:62-75, 2015.
- [27] Tikaradze, A.; The Weyl algebra as a fixed ring, arXiv:1708.07923, 2017.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, SÃO PAULO SP,
BRASIL

E-mail address: futorny@ime.usp.br,

E-mail address: jfschwarz.0791@gmail.com