

MODERATE DEVIATIONS FOR THE L_1 -NORM OF KERNEL DENSITY ESTIMATORS

ANDREI YU. ZAITSEV

ABSTRACT. The rate of normal approximation for the integral norm of kernel density estimators is investigated in the case of densities with power-type singularities. The quantities from the formulations of published results by the author are estimated. By assumption, the density tends to zero as a power-type function when the argument tends to infinity. Moreover, the density may have a finite number of power-type zeroes and of points with power-type tending to infinity. For such densities the size of zones of moderate deviations are found.

Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables in \mathbf{R} with density f . Let $\{h_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive constants such that $h_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The classical kernel estimator is defined as

$$f_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where K is a kernel satisfying $K(u) = 0$, for $|u| > 1/2$,

$$\|K\|_\infty = \sup_{u \in \mathbf{R}} |K(u)| = \kappa < \infty$$

and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} K(u) du = 1$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the $L_1(\mathbf{R})$ -norm. Write $\|K^2\| = \int_{\mathbf{R}} K^2(u) du$.

Devroye and Györfi [3] posed the question about the asymptotic distribution of $\|f_n - f\|$.

Hall [6], M. Csörgő and Horváth [2] and Horváth [7] proved the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) for $\|f_n - f\|_p$, the L_p -norm distance, $p \geq 1$, under some additional regularity restrictions on the density f . Horváth [7] introduced a Poissonization technique into the study of CLTs for $\|f_n - f\|_p$. Beirlant and Mason [1] proposed a general method for proving the asymptotic normality of the L_p -norm of empirical functionals. Mason (see Theorem 8.9 in Eggermont and LaRiccia [5]) has applied their method to the special case of the L_1 -norm of the kernel density estimator and proved Theorem 1 below. Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [8] extended the CLT result of Theorem 1 to processes indexed by kernels K . One should mention the papers by Louani [10] and Gao

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60G50; secondary 60F05, 60F15, 60F17, 62G07.

[11] in which the logarithmic asymptotics of the probabilities of moderate deviations is investigated. Similar questions are considered in [4] and [12].

Theorem 1 (Mason (see Theorem 8.9 in Eggermont and LaRiccia [5])). *For any Lebesgue density f and for any sequence of positive constants $\{h_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\frac{\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \mathbf{E} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|)}} \rightarrow_d Z$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \text{Var}(\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|) = \sigma^2,$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|K^2\| \int_{-1}^1 \text{cov} \left(\left| \sqrt{1 - \rho^2(t)} Z + \rho(t) Y \right|, |Y| \right) dt,$$

Z and Y are independent standard normal random variables and, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\rho(t) = \rho(t, K) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}} K(u) K(u+t) du}{\|K^2\|}.$$

It is well-known that $\rho(t)$ is the characteristic function of a symmetric probability distribution (see, e.g., Lukacs [9], Theorem 4.2.4). Clearly, $\rho(t)$ is a continuous function of t , $|\rho(t)| \leq 1$, $\rho(0) = 1$ and $\rho(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. The variance σ^2 has an alternate representation.

$$\text{cov} \left(\left| \sqrt{1 - \rho^2(t)} Z + \rho(t) Y \right|, |Y| \right) = \varphi(\rho(t)),$$

where

$$\varphi(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\rho \arcsin \rho + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} - 1 \right), \quad \rho \in [-1, 1]. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 1 shows that $\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|$ is asymptotically normal under no assumptions at all on the density f . Centering by $\mathbf{E} f_n$ is more natural from a probabilistic point of view. The estimation of $\|f - \mathbf{E} f_n\|$ (if needed) is a purely analytic problem. The main results of Zaitsev [13] (Theorems 2, 3 and 4) provide estimates of the rate of strong approximation and bounds for probabilities of moderate deviations in the CLT of Theorem 1. These results are general and provides estimates for arbitrary densities. Therefore, these results have complicated formulations which are expressed in terms of some sets E_n . Roughly speaking, f_n is close to f on the set E_n . To obtain the rate of approximation in terms of h_n one needs additional considerations. In Zaitsev [13], one can find a number of concrete examples of calculating such a rate.

The aim of this paper is to obtain the rates of approximation for densities with power-type singularities. We provide the bound for the quantities involved in the formulations of the results of Zaitsev [13] assuming that the density $f(x)$ tends to zero as a power-type function as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and has a finite number of power-type zeroes and of the points with power-type tending to infinity. For such density we shall find the size for zones of moderate deviations.

Let us formulate the results of Zaitsev [13]. Set, for any Borel sets B, E ,

$$R_n(B, E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_B \left(\int_{-1}^1 |g_n(x, t, E) - g(x, t, E)| dt \right) dx,$$

where

$$g(x, t, E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_E(x) \text{cov} \left(\left| \sqrt{1 - \rho^2(t)} Z + \rho(t) Y \right|, |Y| \right) f(x),$$

$$g_n(x, t, E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_E(x) \mathbf{1}_E(x + th_n) \mathbb{C}_n(x, x + th_n) \sqrt{f(x) f(x + th_n)},$$

$$\mathbb{C}_n(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{cov} \left(\left| \sqrt{1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2} Z + \rho_{n,x,y} Y \right|, |Y| \right),$$

Z and Y are independent standard normal random variables and

$$\rho_{n,x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{E} \left[K \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) K \left(\frac{y-X}{h_n} \right) \right]}{\sqrt{\mathbf{E} K^2 \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) \mathbf{E} K^2 \left(\frac{y-X}{h_n} \right)}}.$$

The following Lemma 1 is crucial for the formulation of the main results, Theorems 2–4 below.

Lemma 1. *Whenever $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist sequences of Borel sets*

$$E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_n \subset \dots$$

and constants $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that the relations

$$\phi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus E_n} f(x) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$0 < \beta_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{y \in E_n} f(y) \leq f(x) \leq D_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{y \in E_n} f(y) < \infty, \quad \text{for } x \in E_n, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_0} \sup_{x \in E_n} \left| h_n^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(z) H \left(\frac{x-z}{h_n} \right) dz - f(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} H(z) dz \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, are valid, where

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{K, K^2, |K|^3, \mathbf{1}\{x : |x| \leq 1/2\}\}. \quad (5)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_n^{1/2}}{\beta_n^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta_n n h_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n} \right) + R_n(E_n, E_n) + \frac{\lambda(E_n)}{\sqrt{n h_n^2}} + D_n h_n \\ + \frac{D_n^3 P_n}{\beta_n^3} + \mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\lambda(\cdot)$ means the Lebesgue measure,

$$\mathbb{N}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n} f^{3/2}(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad P_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P} \{[x, x + 2 h_n]\}.$$

Theorem 2. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $n h_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, \dots$ satisfying (2)–(6), there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for any fixed $x > 0$ and for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$, one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables X_1, X_2, \dots and a standard normal random variable Z such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P} \left\{ \left| \sqrt{n} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \sqrt{n} \mathbf{E} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \sigma Z \right| \geq y_n + z + x \right\} \\ & \leq A \left(\exp \left\{ -A^{-1} \sigma^{-1} x / \tau_n \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \exp \left\{ -A^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \Omega_n^{-1/2} z \log^* \log^* (z / A \kappa \Omega_n^{1/2}) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbf{P} \{ |\delta_n Z| \geq z/2 \} \right), \quad \text{for any } z > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\log^* b = \max \{1, \log b\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \Psi_n^{3/2} (P_n + \psi_n)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ y_n & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A \lambda(E_n) \|K^3\|}{\|K^2\| \sqrt{n h_n^2}} + \frac{A \mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{\|K^2\|}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \delta_n & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A \|K^2\|}{\sigma h_n} \left(\mathbb{L}_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n \mathbb{M}_n}{\|K^2\|} \right) \\ & \quad + A \kappa \Omega_n^{1/2} + \frac{A}{\sigma} \left(\frac{\|K^3\| \lambda(E_n)}{\|K^2\| \sqrt{n h_n^2}} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \mathbb{L}_n & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n} \int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x - y| \leq h_n\} \sqrt{f(x) f(y)} \mathbb{K}_n(x, y) dx dy, \\ \mathbb{K}_n(x, y) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min \left\{ 1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2, \frac{\|K^3\|}{(1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2)^{3/2} \|K^2\|^{3/2} \sqrt{n h_n f(x)}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n} \int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x-y| \leq h_n\} f^{1/2}(x) f^{-1/2}(y) dx dy, \\ \Omega_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_n + 2P_n + 2\phi_n + \frac{4\|K^2\| R_n(E_n, E_n)}{\sigma^2} + L(n, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \alpha_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1296}{5} \tau_n^2 \log \frac{1}{\tau_n}, \quad \Psi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|K^2\| D_n \beta_n^{-1} \kappa^2 \sigma^{-4}, \\ \psi_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 256 \kappa^2 \sigma^{-2} \min\{P_n, D_n h_n\}, \\ L(n, \mathbf{R}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |h_n^{-1} \mathbf{P}\{X \in [x - h_n/2, x + h_n/2]\} - f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $F\{\cdot\}$ and $\Phi\{\cdot\}$ the distributions of the random variables

$$\sqrt{n} (\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \mathbf{E} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|) / \sigma$$

and Z , respectively. The Prokhorov distance is defined by

$$\pi(F, \Phi) = \inf \{\varepsilon : \pi(F, \Phi, \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon\},$$

where

$$\pi(F, \Phi, \varepsilon) = \sup_X \max \{F\{X\} - \Phi\{X^\varepsilon\}, \Phi\{X\} - F\{X^\varepsilon\}\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

and X^ε is the ε -neighborhood of the Borel set X .

Corollary 1. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, \dots$ satisfying (2)–(6), there exists an $n_0 \in N$ such that, for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi(F, \Phi, 2\varepsilon + y_n/\sigma) \\ &\leq A \left(\exp \left\{ -A^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \Omega_n^{-1/2} \sigma \varepsilon \log^* \log^* (\sigma \varepsilon / A \kappa \Omega_n^{1/2}) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \exp \left\{ -A^{-1} \varepsilon / \tau_n \right\} + \mathbf{P} \{ |\delta_n Z| \geq \sigma \varepsilon / 2 \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(F, \Phi) &\leq y_n/\sigma + A \tau_n \log^* (1/\tau_n) + A \delta_n \sigma^{-1} \sqrt{\log^* (\sigma/\delta_n)} \\ &\quad + A \kappa \Omega_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1} \log^* (\sigma/\kappa \Omega_n^{1/2}) / \log^* \log^* (\sigma/\kappa \Omega_n^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $nh_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n, \dots$ satisfying (2)–(6), there exists an $n_0 \in N$ such that, for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed b satisfying $\tau_n \leq A^{-1}b$, $b \leq 1$, one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables X_1, X_2, \dots and a standard normal random variable Z such that*

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \left| \sqrt{n} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \sqrt{n} \mathbf{E} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \sigma Z \right| \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq A \sigma \exp\{-b^2/72 (\tau_n)^2\} + y_n + z + x\} \\
&\leq A \left(\exp\{-A^{-1} \sigma^{-1} x/\tau_n\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \exp\{-A^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \Omega_n^{-1/2} z \log^* \log^*(z/A \kappa \Omega_n^{1/2})\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbf{P}\{b|Z| > A^{-1} \sigma^{-1} x\} + \mathbf{P}\{|\delta_n Z| \geq z/2\} \right), \quad \text{for any } x, z > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the formulations of Theorems 2 and 3 and Corollary 1, the numbers n_0 depend on $\{h_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, f and K .

Denote now by $F(\cdot)$ and $\Phi(\cdot)$ the distribution functions of random variables $\sqrt{n} (\|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\| - \mathbf{E} \|f_n - \mathbf{E} f_n\|) / \sigma$ and Z , respectively. For example, $\Phi(x) = \Phi\{(-\infty, x]\}$. The following statement about moderate deviations follows from Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, we have*

$$\frac{F(-x)}{\Phi(-x)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

if

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min\left\{\tau_n^{-1/3}, \Omega_n^{-1/6} (\log^* \log^*(1/\Omega_n))^{1/3}, y_n^{-1}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\right\}\right).$$

The choice of sets E_n , which are involved in the formulations of our results, is not unique. Lemma 1 ensures that, for *any* density f , there exist sets E_n such that the quantities τ_n, y_n, Ω_n and δ_n tend to zero. The optimization of the choice of E_n is a separate problem. However, for sufficiently regular densities f , it is not difficult to choose E_n so that the rate of approximation is good enough, see the examples below.

Below we consider a number of examples with calculating the concrete order of dependence of our estimates with respect to h_n . For this we shall need some simplifying restriction on K and f .

We shall assume that the kernel K is such that

$$\rho(t) \leq 1 - (C_0|t|)^d, \quad \text{for } |t| \leq 1, \quad (7)$$

with some $0 < C_0 \leq 1$, $1 \leq d \leq 2$. Using that $\rho(t)$ is a characteristic function of a probability distribution, it is easy to show that each kernel considered here satisfies this condition with $d = 2$ and some C_0 . But for some standard kernels such a condition is valid for smaller d . For example, for $K(x) = \mathbf{1}\{x : |x| \leq 1/2\}$, inequality (7) is valid with $C_0 = 1$ and $d = 1$. Note that there exist kernels satisfying (7) with $0 < d < 1$ but, for the most of natural kernels, (7) is valid with some d such that $1 \leq d \leq 2$.

Denote

$$q_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{|z-x| \leq 3h_n/2} |f(z) - f(x)|. \quad (8)$$

In our examples, the choice of E_n will be such that

$$Q_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{x \in E_n} \frac{q_n(x)}{f(x)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in E_n} \Delta_n(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Delta_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2} \sqrt{n} h_n f(x)}. \quad (11)$$

Note that the sets E_n have to satisfy the conditions (2), (4) and (6) if Theorems 2 and 3 and Corollary 1 are to be applied.

Below we shall estimate the quantities appearing in our theorems using simplifying conditions (7) and (9). Denote

$$G_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{|z-x| \leq h_n} f(z) \quad (12)$$

and

$$B_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{|z-x| \leq h_n} f(z).$$

By (9), we have

$$G_n(x) \leq 2f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in E, \quad (13)$$

for sufficiently large n .

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{E} h_n^{-1} H\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) = h_n^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(z) H\left(\frac{x-z}{h_n}\right) dz = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x-h_n y) H(y) dy \quad (14)$$

and if $H(x) = 0$, for $|x| > 3/2$, then

$$\left| h_n^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(z) H\left(\frac{x-z}{h_n}\right) dz - f(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} H(y) dy \right| \leq q_n(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} |H(y)| dy, \quad (15)$$

This bound allows us to estimate ε_n and $L(n, \mathbf{R})$.

Recall that

$$g(x, t, E) = \mathbf{1}_E(x) \varphi(\rho(t)) f(x),$$

$$g_n(x, t, E) = \mathbf{1}_E(x) \mathbf{1}_E(x + th_n) \varphi(\rho_{n,x,y}) \sqrt{f(x) f(x + th_n)},$$

where $y = x + th_n$. In our treatment of the examples, we shall use the fact that the function $\varphi(\rho)$ in (1) satisfies $0 \leq \varphi(\rho) \leq 1$ and the Lipschitz

condition $|\varphi(\rho_1) - \varphi(\rho_2)| \leq |\rho_1 - \rho_2|$. The most complicated estimation is that of

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(E_n, E_n) &= \int_{E_n} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |g_n(x, t, E_n) - g(x, t, E_n)| dt \right) dx \\ &= \int_{E_n^*} + \int_{E_n \setminus E_n^*} = I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $E_n^* = \{x \in E_n : x + th_n \in E_n \text{ for all } t : |t| \leq 1\}$. The set $E_n \setminus E_n^*$ contains points belonging to E_n and to the h_n -neighborhood of the boundary of E_n . Integrating over $E_n \setminus E_n^*$, we use the trivial inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_n(x, t, E_n) - g(x, t, E_n)| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x) f(x), \mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x) \mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x + th_n) \sqrt{f(x) f(x + th_n)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_2 \leq \lambda(E_n \setminus E_n^*) \max f(y), \quad (17)$$

where the maximum is taken over points of the form $y = x + th_n \in E_n$ such that $x \in E_n \setminus E_n^*$ and $|t| \leq 1$. For $x \in E_n^*$, $|t| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|g_n(x, t, E_n) - g(x, t, E_n)| \\ &\leq |\varphi(\rho(t)) - \varphi(\rho_{n,x,y})| f(x) + \varphi(\rho_{n,x,y}) \left| f(x) - \sqrt{f(x) f(y)} \right| \\ &\leq |\rho(t) - \rho_{n,x,y}| f(x) + \left| f(x) - \sqrt{f(x) f(y)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $y = x + th_n$. Furthermore,

$$\rho_{n,x,y} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \left[K \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) K \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t \right) \right]}{\sqrt{\mathbf{E} K^2 \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) \mathbf{E} K^2 \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t \right)}}.$$

Using (9) and applying (15) for $H(x) = K^2(x)$, $H(x) = K^2(x + t)$ and $H(x) = K(x) K(x + t)$, we obtain

$$|\rho(t) - \rho_{n,x,y}| f(x) \leq 3 \sup_{|z-x| \leq 3h_n/2} |f(z) - f(x)| = 3 q_n(x), \quad (18)$$

for sufficiently large n . Moreover,

$$\left| f(x) - \sqrt{f(x) f(y)} \right| \leq \sup_{|z-x| \leq h_n} |f(z) - f(x)| \leq q_n(x),$$

Thus,

$$I_1 \leq 4 \int_{E_n^*} q_n(x) dx, \quad (19)$$

for sufficiently large n .

By definition,

$$\mathbb{K}_n(x, y) = \min \left\{ 1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2, \frac{\Delta}{(1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2)^{3/2}} \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_n(x)$. When estimating

$$\mathbb{L}_n = h_n \int_{E_n} \left(\int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{1}\{x + th_n \in E_n\} \sqrt{f(x) f(x + th_n)} \mathbb{K}_n(x, x + th_n) dt \right) dx$$

in the examples, we will use the fact that, by (3) and (20), we have

$$\mathbb{K}_n(x, y) \leq \Delta^{2/5}. \quad (21)$$

Let us estimate the integral

$$J = \int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{1}\{x + th_n \in E_n\} \sqrt{f(x) f(x + th_n)} \mathbb{K}_n(x, x + th_n) dt.$$

By (12), is may be bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) \int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{1}\{x + th_n \in E_n\} \mathbb{K}_n(x, x + th_n) dt &= G_n(x) \left(\int_{|t| \leq \delta} + \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq 1} \right) \\ &= G_n(x) (J_1 + J_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = (6 q_n(x)/f(x) + \Delta^{2/5})^{1/d} / C_0$. Using (7), (18) and (21), we see that if $2/3 < d \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 + J_2 &\leq 2 \delta \Delta^{2/5} + \int_{\delta \leq |t| \leq 1} \frac{\Delta dt}{((C_0|t|)^d / 2)^{3/2}} \\ &\leq 2 \delta \Delta^{2/5} + c(d) \Delta^{(2+2d)/5d} / C_0 \leq c(d) \Delta^{2/5} (q_n(x)/f(x) + \Delta^{2/5})^{1/d} / C_0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for sufficiently large n . Hence, taking into account (13), we see that

$$\mathbb{L}_n \leq \frac{c(d) h_n}{C_0} \int_{E_n} \Delta^{2/5} (q_n(x)/f(x) + \Delta^{2/5})^{1/d} f(x) dx, \quad (23)$$

for sufficiently large n .

Considering the examples we assume always that the kernel K satisfies (7) and we shall verify the validity of (2), (4), (6), (9) and (10). We shall denote $l_n = \log \log \frac{1}{h_n}$.

Example 1. Consider the density f satisfying $f(x) = 0$, for $x \notin [0, 1]$,

$$C_1 |x|^\lambda \leq f(x) \leq C_2 |x|^\mu, \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1,$$

and the Lipschitz type condition

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C_3 |x - y|^\gamma, \quad \text{for } x, y \in [0, 1], \quad (24)$$

where $C_1, C_2, C_3, 0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda < \infty$, and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, are constants. Choose

$$E_n = [u_n, 1 - 2h_n],$$

where

$$u_n = \max \left\{ \left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^\alpha, \varkappa_n h_n^{2\gamma/3\lambda}, \varkappa_n (nh_n)^{-2/7\lambda} \right\}, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{1 + 3\lambda + \mu},$$

and $\varkappa_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers which tend to infinity slower than a fixed function of h_n^{-1} . Without loss of generality we assume $h_n \leq 1/8$. Then we estimate $\phi_n = O(u_n^{1+\mu})$, $\beta_n^{-1} = O(u_n^{-\lambda})$, $D_n = O(1)$. Moreover, by (4), (8), (15) and (24), we have $\sup_{x \in E_n} q_n(x) = O(h_n^\gamma)$, $\varepsilon_n = O(h_n^\gamma)$, $Q_n = O(h_n^\gamma u_n^{-\lambda})$. The relations (9) and (10) are thus satisfied. Furthermore, $P_n = O(h_n)$, $\Psi_n = O(u_n^{-\lambda})$, $\psi_n = O(h_n)$, $\lambda(E_n) = O(1)$, $\mathbb{N}_n = O(1)$, $y_n = O\left(1/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + \sqrt{h_n}\right)$, $L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O(h_n^\gamma)$, $\tau_n = O(h_n^{1/2} u_n^{-3\lambda/2})$, $\alpha_n = O\left(u_n^{-3\lambda} h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)$, $\mathbb{M}_n = O(h_n)$. By (16), (17) and (19), we obtain $R_n(E_n, E_n) = O(h_n^\gamma)$. Hence,

$$\Omega_n = O\left(u_n^{-3\lambda} h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} + u_n^{1+\mu} + h_n^\gamma\right).$$

Using (10), we get the rough bounds

$$\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n^{1+\gamma/d} + h_n (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d}\right)$$

and

$$\delta_n = O\left(\Omega_n^{1/2} + h_n^{\gamma/d} + (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} + \frac{1}{nh_n^2}\right).$$

Note that $h_n^{\gamma/d} \leq h_n^{\gamma/2}$ and

$$(nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} \leq (nh_n)^{-3/10} = (h_n^{2/5})^{3/4} \left((nh_n^2)^{-6/5} \right)^{1/4} \leq h_n^{2/5} + (nh_n^2)^{-6/5}. \quad (25)$$

It is easy to verify that the relation (2), (4) and (6) are valid. Then the statement of Theorem 4 is valid for

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min \left\{ \left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{-t_1} l_n^{1/3}, h_n^{-t_2} l_n^{1/3}, (nh_n)^{t_3} (\log \log (nh_n))^{1/3}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. h_n^{-\gamma/6} l_n^{1/3}, (nh_n^2)^{1/2} \right\} \right),$$

where $t_1 = t_1(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1+\mu}{6(1+3\lambda+\mu)}$, $t_2 = t_2(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\gamma(1+\mu)}{9\lambda}$, $t_3 = t_3(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1+\mu}{21\lambda}$.

When estimating the sizes of zones of moderate deviations, we use the fact that the growth of \varkappa_n to infinity can be arbitrarily slow. A similar remark is valid for the next examples too.

Example 2. Consider the density f satisfying $f(x) = 0$, for $x < 1$,

$$C_4 x^{-r} \leq f(x) \leq C_5 x^{-p}, \quad \text{for } x \geq 1, \quad (26)$$

and the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C_6 |x - y|^\gamma, \quad \text{for } x \geq 1, y \geq 1,$$

where $C_4, C_5, C_6, 1 < p \leq r < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, are constants. Choose $E_n = [1 + 2h_n, T_n]$, where

$$T_n = \min \left\{ \left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3r+p-1}}, h_n^{-\gamma/p}, (nh_n^2)^{3/(p+5)}, \varkappa_n h_n^{-2\gamma/3r}, \varkappa_n (nh_n)^{2/7r} \right\},$$

and \varkappa_n is an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers which tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ slower than a fixed function of h_n . Without loss of generality we assume $h_n \leq 1/8$. Then it is easy to estimate (sometimes roughly) $\phi_n = O(T_n^{1-p})$, $\beta_n^{-1} = O(T_n^r)$, $q_n(x) = O(h_n^\gamma)$, $D_n = O(1)$, $\varepsilon_n = O(h_n^\gamma)$, $Q_n = O(h_n^\gamma T_n^r) = o(h_n^{\gamma/3})$. The relations (9) and (10) are thus satisfied. Furthermore, $P_n = O(h_n)$, $\Psi_n = O(T_n^r)$, $\psi_n = O(h_n)$, $\lambda(E_n) \leq T_n$, $\mathbb{N}_n = O(1)$,

$$y_n = O\left(\frac{T_n}{(nh_n^2)^{1/2}} + \sqrt{h_n}\right) = O\left((nh_n^2)^{(1-p)/2(p+5)} + \sqrt{h_n}\right),$$

$$L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O\left(\int_{E_n} q_n(x) dx\right) = O(h_n^\gamma T_n),$$

$$\tau_n = O(T_n^{3r/2} h_n^{1/2}), \quad \alpha_n = O\left(T_n^{3r} h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right),$$

$$R_n(E_n, E_n) = O\left(h_n + \int_{E_n} q_n(x) dx\right) = O(h_n^\gamma T_n),$$

$$\Omega_n = O\left(T_n^{3r} h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} + T_n^{1-p} + h_n^\gamma T_n\right).$$

To estimate \mathbb{L}_n in (23), we begin with estimating (for n large enough) the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_n} \Delta_n^{2/5}(x) (q_n(x)/f(x))^{1/d} f(x) dx &\leq \int_{E_n} \frac{h_n^{\gamma/d}}{(\beta_n nh_n)^{1/5} \beta_n^{1/2}} (f(x))^{1/2-1/d+1} dx \\ &\leq h_n^{\gamma/d} \int_{E_n} (f(x))^{1/2} dx \leq h_n^{\gamma/d} T_n^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

(using $1 \leq d \leq 2$, (6), and (26)). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_n} \Delta_n^{2(d+1)/5d}(x) f(x) dx \\ & \leq \begin{cases} O\left((nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p > 5, \\ O\left((nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p = 5, \\ O\left((nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} T_n^{1-(4d-1)p/5d}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p < 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By (23), (27) and (28), we have

$$\mathbb{L}_n = \begin{cases} O\left(h_n^{1+\gamma/d} T_n^{1/2} + h_n (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p > 5, \\ O\left(h_n^{1+\gamma/d} T_n^{1/2} + h_n (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p = 5, \\ O\left(h_n^{1+\gamma/d} T_n^{1/2} + h_n (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d} T_n^{1-(4d-1)p/5d}\right), & \text{for } (4d-1)p < 5, \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

and $\mathbb{M}_n = O(h_n T_n)$. Applying (29) with $d = 2$ and using (25), we obtain

$$\delta_n = O\left(\Omega_n^{1/2} + h_n^\gamma T_n + h_n^{2/5} + (nh_n^2)^{(1-p)/(p+5)}\right).$$

The relations (2), (4) and (6) are again valid. Then the statement of Theorem 4 is valid for

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min\left\{\left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{-t_4} l_n^{1/3}, h_n^{-t_5} l_n^{1/3}, h_n^{-t_6} l_n^{1/3}, (nh_n^2)^{(p-1)/2(p+5)}\right\}\right), \quad (30)$$

where $t_4 = t_4(p, r) = \frac{p-1}{6(3r+p-1)}$, $t_5 = t_5(p, r, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma(p-1)}{9r}$, $t_6 = t_6(p, r, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma(p-1)}{6p}$.

Example 3. Consider the density f satisfying $f(x) = 0$ for $x \notin [0, 1]$,

$$C_7 \leq f(x) \leq C_8 x^{-v} \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1,$$

and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C_9 (|x - y|^\gamma x^{-v} + |x - y| x^{-1-v}), \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq y \leq 1,$$

where $C_7, C_8, C_9, 0 < \gamma < 1, 0 < v < 1$ are constants. For example, such a condition is satisfied if

$$f(x) = x^{-v} g(x), \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1,$$

where the function g satisfies the Hölder condition with parameter γ . Choose $E_n = [w_n, 1 - 2h_n]$, where

$$w_n = \max\left\{\left(h_n^{1-v} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2v+1}}, h_n^{3\gamma/(1+2v)} l_n^{2/(1+2v)}, h_n^{3/(2v+4)} l_n^{1/(v+2)}, \varkappa_n h_n^{2\gamma/3v}\right\},$$

and $\varkappa_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is an arbitrary sequence of positive numbers which tend to infinity slower than a fixed function of h_n^{-1} . Without loss of generality we assume $h_n \leq 1/8$. Then we estimate $\phi_n = O(w_n^{1-v})$, $\beta_n^{-1} = O(1)$, $D_n = O(w_n^{-v})$, $q_n(x) = O(h_n^\gamma x^{-v} + h_n x^{-v-1})$, for $3h_n/2 < x < 1 - 3h_n/2$, and $Q_n = O(h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1})$. The relations (9) and (10) are thus satisfied. By (15), we have $\varepsilon_n = O(h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1})$. Furthermore, $P_n = O(h_n^{1-v})$, $\Psi_n = O(w_n^{-v})$, $\psi_n = O(h_n w_n^{-v})$ and

$$\mathbb{N}_n = O\left(\mathbf{1}\{v < 2/3\} + \mathbf{1}\{v = 2/3\} \log \frac{1}{h_n} + \mathbf{1}\{v > 2/3\} w_n^{1-3v/2}\right).$$

To estimate $w_n^{1-3v/2}$, we note that $w_n \geq h_n$ and, hence, $w_n^{1-3v/2} \leq h_n^{-1/2} w_n^{1-v}$. Thus,

$$y_n = O\left(1/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + \mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n}\right) = O\left(1/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + w_n^{1-v}\right).$$

To obtain a rough bound for \mathbb{L}_n in (23), we begin with estimating (for n large enough) the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_n} \Delta_n^{2/5}(x) (q_n(x)/f(x))^{1/d} f(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{(O(h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1}))^{1/d}}{(nh_n)^{1/5}} \\ & \leq O(h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1}) + \frac{1}{(nh_n)^{2/5}}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{E_n} \Delta_n^{2(d+1)/5d}(x) f(x) dx = O\left((nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d}\right). \quad (32)$$

Using (23), (31) and (32), we see that

$$\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n \left(h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1} + (nh_n)^{-(d+1)/5d}\right)\right). \quad (33)$$

By (9), $\mathbb{M}_n = O(h_n)$. Furthermore, $\lambda(E_n) = O(1)$, $R_n(E_n, E_n) = O(h_n^\gamma + h_n w_n^{-v})$, $\tau_n = O(w_n^{-3v/2} h_n^{(1-v)/2})$, $\alpha_n = O\left(w_n^{-3v} h_n^{1-v} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)$. By (15), we have $L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O(h_n^\gamma + h_n w_n^{-v})$. Collecting our bounds and using (25), we obtain $\delta_n = O\left(\Omega_n^{1/2} + h_n^\gamma w_n^{-v} + h_n w_n^{-v-1} + h_n^{2/5} + \frac{1}{nh_n^2}\right)$,

$$\Omega_n = O\left(w_n^{-3v} h_n^{1-v} \log \frac{1}{h_n} + w_n^{1-v} + h_n^\gamma\right).$$

Note that

$$\frac{w_n^{-3v}}{nh_n} = \frac{h_n^{1-v}}{w_n^{1+2v}} w_n^{1-v} h_n^v \frac{1}{nh_n^2} = o(1)$$

and, hence, $D_n^{1/2}/(nh_n)^{1/5} = o(1)$. Now it is easy to verify that the relations (2), (4) and (6) are valid.

The statement of Theorem 4 is valid for

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min\left\{\left(h_n^{1-v} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{-t_7} l_n^{1/3}, h_n^{-t_8} l_n^{t_9}, h_n^{-t_{10}} l_n^{t_{11}}, h_n^{-t_{12}} l_n^{1/3}, (nh_n^2)^{1/2}\right\}\right),$$

where $t_7 = \frac{1-v}{6(1+2v)}$, $t_8 = \frac{\gamma(1-v)}{2(1+2v)}$, $t_9 = \frac{v}{1+2v}$, $t_{10} = \frac{1-v}{4(v+2)}$, $t_{11} = \frac{v+1}{2(v+2)}$, $t_{12} = \frac{\gamma(1-v)}{9}$.

We shall say that the density g belongs to the class \mathcal{D}_k if it may be represented in the form

$$g(x) = f(b(x-a)), \quad (34)$$

where a and $b \neq 0$ are real constants and f is a density satisfying the conditions of Example k. It is clear that if we replace the density f by the density g from (34) in Example k, then the formula for $x = x_n$ from the corresponding statement of Theorem 4 will be the same.

Example 4. Consider the density f of the form $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j f_j(x)$, where $0 \leq p_j \leq 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^m p_j = 1$, the functions $f_j = r_j 1_{\mathcal{J}_j}$ are densities belonging to $\cup_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_k$ and $J_j = (x_j, y_j)$, $x_j < y_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, is a finite collection of disjoint intervals. It is obvious that we should choose the sets E_n as $E_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^m E_n^{(j)}$, where $E_n^{(j)}$ is the set E_n which corresponds to the density f_j . Then the statement of Theorem 4 is valid for

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min_{1 \leq j \leq m} x_n^{(j)}\right),$$

where $x_n^{(j)}$ is x_n which corresponds to the density f_j .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Beirlant and D. M. Mason, On the asymptotic normality of L_p -norms of empirical functionals. *Math. Methods Statist.* 4 (1995), 1–19.
- [2] M. Csörgő and L. Horváth, Central limit theorems for L_p -norms of density estimators. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 80 (1988), 269–291.
- [3] L. Devroye and L. Györfi, *Nonparametric Density Estimation: The L_1 View*. Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [4] L. Dümbgen and V. R. Fatalov, Asymptotics of the rate of convergence for nonparametric density estimators: a new approach based on the Laplace method. *Math. Methods Statist.* 11 (2002), 465–476.
- [5] P. P. B. Eggermont and V. N. LaRiccia, *Maximum Penalized Likelihood Estimation, Volume 1; Density Estimation*. Springer, New York, 2000.
- [6] P. Hall, Central limit theorem for integrated square error of multivariate nonparametric density estimators. *J. Multivar. Anal.* 14 (1984), 1–16.

- [7] L. Horváth, On L_p -norms of multivariate density estimators. *Ann. Statist.* 19 (1991), 1933–1949.
- [8] E. Giné, D. M. Mason and A. Yu. Zaitsev, The L_1 -norm density estimator process. *Ann. Probab.* 31 (2003), 719–768.
- [9] E. Lukacs, Characteristic functions. Griffin, London, 1970.
- [10] D. Louani, Large deviations for the L_1 -distance in kernel density estimation. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference.* 90 (2000), 177–182.
- [11] F. Gao, Moderate deviations and large deviations for kernel density estimators. *J. Theoret. Probab.* 16 (2003), 401–418.
- [12] L. Lei, L. Wu and B. Xie, Large deviations and deviation inequality for kernel density estimator in $L_1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ -distance. *Development of modern statistics and related topics. Ser. Biostatist. Vol. 1.* River Edge, NJ: World Sci. Publishing, 2003, pp. 89–97.
- [13] A. Yu. Zaitsev, Estimates of the rate of approximation in the Central Limit Theorem for L_1 -norm of kernel density estimators. In: *High Dimensional Probability. III, Progress in Probability*, v. 53. (E. Giné, M. Marcus, J.A. Wellner Eds.) Basel: Birkhäuser, 2003, pp. 255–292.

E-mail address: zaitsev@pdmi.ras.ru

ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
 FONTANKA 27,
 ST. PETERSBURG, 191023, RUSSIA
 AND
 ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY,
 UNIVERSITETSKAYA NAB. 7/9,
 ST. PETERSBURG, 199034, RUSSIA