

DERIVATION OF CABLE EQUATION BY MULTISCALE ANALYSIS FOR A MODEL OF MYELINATED AXONS

Carlos Jerez-Hanckes¹, Irina Pettersson², and Volodymyr Rybalko³

¹ Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

²University of Gävle, Sweden

³ Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, Ukraine

April 30, 2019

ABSTRACT. The paper concerns the multiscale modeling of a myelinated axon. Taking into account the microstructure with alternating myelinated parts and nodes Ranvier, we derive a nonlinear cable equation describing the potential propagation along the axon. We assume that the myelin is not a perfect insulator, and assign a low (asymptotically vanishing) conductivity in the myelin. Compared with the case when myelin is assumed to have zero conductivity, an additional potential arises in the limit equation. The coefficient in front of the effective potential contains information about the geometry of the myelinated parts.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Problem setup	2
3. A priori estimates	6
4. Auxiliary minimization problem	11
5. Justification of macroscopic model	18
6. Acknowledgements	20
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

A nerve impulse is the movement of action potential along a nerve fiber in response to a stimulus, such as touch, pain, heat or cold. It is the way a nerve cell communicates with another cell and makes it act. For example, a signal from the nerve cell might make a muscle cell to contract. Any disorder in the nervous system can result in a range of symptoms, which include chronic pain, poor coordination, and loss of sensation. Electrical stimulation helps to create neuron activity and to overcome the lost functions of the patients. For example, it is documented that electrical stimulation leads to augmentation of myelin development [6] and helps, for example, people with multiple sclerosis and foot drop walk more normally [7].

The process of excitability of nerve fibers and a mathematical model for the electric current across the axon membrane was presented in the famous work of Hodgkin and Huxley [1]. For their pioneering work in neurophysiology in 1963 the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine was awarded jointly to Sir John Carew Eccles, Alan Lloyd Hodgkin and Andrew Fielding Huxley. A typical nerve contains,

Key words and phrases. Hodgkin-Huxley model, nonlinear cable equation, cellular electrophysiology, multiscale modeling, homogenization.

however, several grouped fascicles, each of them containing many axons. The jump of the potential across the membrane of each individual axon can be modelled in the framework of the Hodgkin-Huxley model, but the alternating myelinated and unmyelinated parts of the membrane present an obvious problem for those attempting to describe its macroscopic response to the electrical stimulation. In order to model and simulate the response of biological tissues to electrical stimulation one needs to know how signals propagate along single neurons and, as the next step, how they influence each other in a bundle of axons.

The signal propagation along a neuron is modelled by a cable equation, usually derived by modeling dendrites and axons as cylinders composed of segments with capacitances and resistances combined in parallel ([1], [8], [9], [11], [10]). The coefficients in such equation depend on the membrane resistances and capacitance of Ranvier nodes and internodes (myelinated parts), as well as on the length of nodes and internodes. There are several works where formal two-scale expansion is applied to a one-dimensional model in order to show that a myelinated neuron can be approximated by a homogeneous cable ([11], [12]), but these results do not take into account the microstructure of the fibers, and the geometry of the myelin sheath in particular, as well as they do not justify the formal approximation.

There are many results where the homogenization is applied to cardiac tissue: [13], [14], [15], [16] Cardiac muscle is however fundamentally different from nerve tissue because the heart is a syncytium. The intracellular space of each cardiac cell is coupled to its neighbor's through intercellular channels. Thus, current can flow from the interior of one cell to the interior of another without crossing a cell membrane.

The present work presents a rigorous derivation of a nonlinear cable equation for signal propagation along a myelinated neuron. We assume that the conductivity of the myelin sheath is small, but not zero, that leads to the appearance of a potential in the limit equation. The potential depends on the geometry of the myelin sheath.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate the problem and present the main result in Theorem 2.1. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. In Sections 3 we derive a priori estimates for the potential u_ε and its jump across the Ranvier nodes. In Section 4 we construct an auxiliary test function which is used when passing to the limit in Section 5.

2. PROBLEM SETUP

Let us consider a myelinated axon sparsely suspended in an extracellular medium. We assume that the axon has a periodic structure, containing myelinated and unmyelinated parts (nodes of Ranvier) as illustrated on Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Simplified geometry of the cross-section of a myelinated axon and the periodicity cell Y .

A periodicity cell will be denoted by $Y = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \times D_{R_0}$ where D_{R_0} is the disk in \mathbf{R}^2 with the radius R_0 (see Figure 1). Y consists of an intracellular part

$Y_i = (0, 1) \times D_{r_0}$, an extracellular medium Y_e , and the myelin sheath Y_m as shown in Figure 1 (a detailed description of the domain is given in Section 4). We denote by Γ_{mi} (Γ_{me}) the interface between Y_m and Y_i (Y_e). $\Gamma_m = \Gamma_{mi} \cup \Gamma_{me}$ is the myelinated part of the interface, and Γ is the unmyelinated one (surface of a Ranvier node). The lateral boundary of Y is denoted by Σ (we will assume periodicity in y_1). We assume that the boundary of the myelin part Γ_m is Lipschitz continuous. The periodicity cell is then scaled by a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and translated along the x_1 -axis to form a thin periodic cylinder (thickness of order ε) suspended in the extracellular medium (thickness of order ε) with alternating myelinated and unmyelinated parts on the lateral boundary.

In what follows we denote $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x')$ points in \mathbf{R}^3 . Let $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} = (0, L) \times (\varepsilon D_{r_0})$ denote the intracellular domain, $\Omega_{e,\varepsilon}$ denote the extracellular domain, $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$ denote the myelin part, Γ_ε be the unmyelinated part of the boundary, and $\Gamma_{m,\varepsilon}$ be the myelinated one. For simplicity L consists of integer number of periods.

The whole domain $\Omega_\varepsilon = (0, L) \times \varepsilon(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^2$ is the union of the extracellular, intracellular and myelin domains, and the Ranvier nodes: $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{m,\varepsilon} \cup \Gamma_\varepsilon$. The lateral part of Ω_ε is denoted by Σ_ε .

Let $u_\varepsilon^i, u_\varepsilon^e, u_\varepsilon^m$ denote the electrical potential in the intracellular, extracellular and myelin domains, respectively. We assume that the electric potential satisfies homogeneous Neuman boundary conditions on the lateral boundary Σ_ε and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on the bases $\Gamma_0 = \{0\} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\Gamma_L = \{L\} \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

The transmembrane potential is the jump of the potential through the axon's membrane. We denote it by $[u_\varepsilon] = u_\varepsilon^i - u_\varepsilon^e$.

Let the conductivity be a piecewise constant function

$$\sigma_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \sigma_e & \text{in } \Omega_{e,\varepsilon}, \\ \sigma_i & \text{in } \Omega_{i,\varepsilon}, \\ \varepsilon^4 & \text{in } \Omega_{m,\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

and u_ε denote the potential $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon^l$ in $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}$, $l = i, e, m$.

The potential distribution in Ω_ε is described by the following system of equations:

$$-\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon \Delta u_\varepsilon) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_e \nabla u_\varepsilon^e \cdot \nu = -\sigma_i \nabla u_\varepsilon^i \cdot \nu, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (\Gamma_\varepsilon \cup \Gamma_{m,\varepsilon}), \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon(c_m \partial_t [u_\varepsilon] + I_{ion}([u_\varepsilon], g_\varepsilon)) = -\sigma_i \nabla u_\varepsilon^i \cdot \nu, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (3)$$

$$\partial_t g_\varepsilon = HH([u_\varepsilon], g_\varepsilon), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (4)$$

$$[u_\varepsilon](x, 0) = 0, \quad g_\varepsilon(x, 0) = G_0(x_1), \quad x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla u_\varepsilon^e \cdot \nu = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Sigma_\varepsilon, \quad (6)$$

$$u_\varepsilon = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times (\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_L). \quad (7)$$

We study the asymptotic behavior of u_ε , as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and derive a one-dimensional effective equation describing the action potential propagation along the axon.

On the Ranvier nodes we assume the continuity of currents (2), and the Hodgkin-Huxley dynamics for the transmembrane potential (3). Following the Hodgkin-Huxley model, the applied current through the membrane is a sum of the capacitive current $c_m \partial_t [u_\varepsilon]$, where c_m is the membrane capacitance per unit area, and the ionic current $I_{ion}([u_\varepsilon], g_\varepsilon)$ through the ion channels. In the classical Hodgkin-Huxley model there are three types of channel: a sodium channel (Na), a potassium channel (K), and a leakage channel. The conductances of the various ionic fluxes are regulated by the vector of gating variables g_ε .

We assume the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for u_ε^e and for u_ε^i on the bases of the domain, when $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$; on the lateral boundary of Ω_ε we assume the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition; ν is the unit normal exterior to $\Omega_{e,\varepsilon}$ on Σ and Γ_{me} , and exterior to $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}$ on Γ_ε and Γ_{mi} . Note that ν on Γ is orthogonal to the x_1 -axes, that is its first component is zero.

We assume that

(H1) The function $I_{ion}(v, g)$ is linear w.r.t v and has a form

$$I_{ion}(v, g) = \sum_{j=1}^m H_j(g_j)(v - v_{r,j}),$$

where g_j is the j th component of g , $v_{r,j}$ is the j th component of the resting potential v_r , and H_j is positive, bounded, and Lipschitz continuous

$$|H_j(g_1) - H_j(g_2)| \leq L_1|g_1 - g_2|.$$

The constant v_r is the reference constant voltage, and g_ε is a gate variable vector with positive components $0 < (g_\varepsilon)_j < 1$, $j = \overline{1, m}$.

(H2) The vector function $HH(g, v) = F(v) - \alpha g$, where F is Lipschitz continuous

$$|F(v_1) - F(v_2)| \leq L_2|v_1 - v_2|.$$

(H3) $G_0 \in C(0, L)^m$ and takes values between 0 and 1 (as the corresponding g_ε).

Remark 1. When measuring the response of a neuron to the external stimulation, one wants to exclude appearance of the action potential in the absence of the external stimulation. To this end one can control the initial state of ionic channels (initial condition for the gate variables) in order to guarantee zero potential at the initial moment. This motivates the choice of zero initial condition for the transmembrane potential v_ε .

We will use test function $\phi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon))$, $\partial_t \phi \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$ such that $\phi = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$. The jump of ϕ across the Ranvier nodes is denoted by $[\phi]$, $[\phi] = (\phi^i - \phi^e)|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}$.

The weak formulation corresponding to (1-7) is given by: Find

$$u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon)), \quad \partial_t[u_\varepsilon] \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$$

such that $u_\varepsilon = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$, for any test functions $\phi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon))$, $\phi = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$, and for almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m \partial_t[u_\varepsilon][\phi] ds + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}([u_\varepsilon], g_\varepsilon)[\phi] ds = 0. \quad (8)$$

The vector of gate variables g_ε solves the following ordinary differential equation

$$\partial_t g_\varepsilon = HH([u_\varepsilon], g_\varepsilon), \quad g_\varepsilon(0, x) = G_0(x_1).$$

Since HH is linear with respect to g_ε , we can solve the last ODE and obtain g_ε as a function (integral functional) of the jump $[u_\varepsilon]$:

$$\langle g_\varepsilon, [u_\varepsilon] \rangle = e^{-\alpha t} (G_0(x) + \int_0^t F([u_\varepsilon](\tau, x)) e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau).$$

Substituting this expression into (15) we obtain the weak formulation of (1)-(7) in terms of the potential u_ε and its jump $v_\varepsilon = [u_\varepsilon]$ across Γ_ε :

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon[\phi] ds + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)[\phi] ds = 0. \quad (9)$$

The main result of the paper is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The transmembrane potential $[u_\varepsilon]$ and the vector of gating variables g_ε converge uniformly with respect to t in $C(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$ to the unique solution (v_0, g_0) of the following one-dimensional problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, g_0) + \bar{\Lambda} v_0 &= a^{\text{eff}} \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 v_0, & (t, x_1) &\in (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ \partial_t g_0 &= HH(v_0, g_0), & (t, x_1) &\in (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v_0(t, 0) = v_0(t, L) &= 0, & t &\in (0, T), \\ v_0(0, x_1) = 0, g_0(0, x_1) &= G_0(x_1), & x_1 &\in (0, L). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The effective coefficient a^{eff} is given by

$$a^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma||Y|} \left((\sigma^e \int_{Y^e} (\partial_{y_1} N + 1) dx)^{-1} + (\sigma^i |Y^i|)^{-1} \right)^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

where the 1-periodic in y_1 function N solves an auxiliary cell problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta N(y) &= 0, & y &\in Y_e, \\ \nabla N \cdot \nu &= -\nu_1, & y &\in \Gamma_m, \\ \nabla N \cdot \nu &= 0, & y &\in \Gamma \cup \Sigma, \\ N(y_1, y') &\text{ is periodic in } y_1. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The constant $\bar{\Lambda}$ depends on the geometry of the myelin sheath (see Figure 4) and the conductivities, and is given by

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{b-a} \left(\left(\frac{\varphi_A}{\sigma_e(\pi - \varphi_A)} + \frac{\varphi_A}{\sigma_i \pi} \right)^{-1/2} + \left(\frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_e(\pi - \varphi_B)} + \frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_i \pi} \right)^{-1/2} \right). \quad (13)$$

Remark 2. The effective coefficient a^{eff} can be interpreted as the conductivity of the bulk medium corresponding to the conductivity of the intra- and extracellular domains connected in series.

The effective potential $\bar{\Lambda}$ is a decreasing function of the angles φ_A, φ_B and it goes to zero when the angles approach π .

Remark 3. Note that, since the equation for g_0 is linear in g_0 , we can solve it explicitly

$$\langle g_0, v \rangle = e^{-\alpha t} \left(G_0(x) + \int_0^t F(v) e^{\alpha \tau} d\tau \right).$$

Since F is Lipschitz, the composition $I_{ion}(v, g[v])$ is also a Lipschitz function. In this way the effective problem is one nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, \langle g_0, v_0 \rangle) + \bar{\Lambda} v_0 &= a^{\text{eff}} \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 v_0, & (t, x_1) &\in (0, T) \times (0, L), \\ v_0(t, 0) = v_0(t, L) &= 0, & t &\in (0, T), \\ v_0(0, x_1) &= 0, & x_1 &\in (0, L). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

To prove Theorem 2.1 we first derive a priori estimates in Section 3 (Lemma 3.2), then we prove the two-scale convergence of u_ε and its gradient (Lemma 3.5) and the convergence of $[u_\varepsilon]$ in appropriate spaces (Lemma 3.6). Finally, in Section 5 we pass to the limit in the weak formulation and derive the limit problem (10). Section 4 is devoted to the construction of an auxiliary function, the main ingredient of the test function used when passing to the limit in the weak formulation.

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a unique*

$$u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon)), \quad \partial_t v_\varepsilon = \partial_t [u_\varepsilon] \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$$

such that $u_\varepsilon = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$, for any test functions $\phi \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon))$, $\phi = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$, and for almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon [\phi] ds + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle) [\phi] ds = 0. \quad (15)$$

Proof. The existence of a mild solution follows from the classical semigroup theory (see, for example, [5]). For the existence of more regular solutions see [4], [3]. We present just an idea of the proof.

Denote $v_\varepsilon = [u_\varepsilon]$ and let us rewrite (1)-(7) in the form

$$\varepsilon(c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon + I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)) = Av_\varepsilon, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (16)$$

$$v_\varepsilon(0, x) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (17)$$

where the operator $A : D(A) \subset L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ maps the jump across the nodes $v_\varepsilon = [u_\varepsilon]$ into the solution u_ε and then to the normal derivative $\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nu$. To construct such an operator we fix $f_\varepsilon = -\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nu \in L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$, define $v_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ as a solution of $\varepsilon(c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon + I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)) = f_\varepsilon$, and then for each v_ε we associate a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon)$ of problem (1)-(7). The trace of u_ε on Γ_ε belongs to $D(A) = H^{3/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$. The operator A is associated with the quadratic form

$$(Av, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = - \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx,$$

is closed and densely defined. Due to the Poincaré inequality, the quadratic form is negative

$$(Av, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = - \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx \leq -C \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}^2 < 0,$$

and thus the resolvent set of A contains \mathbf{R}_+ . Furthermore, for $\lambda > 0$ and $\|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = 1$ we have

$$\lambda < \lambda(v, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} - (Av, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = (\lambda v - Av, v)_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)},$$

that implies that A is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions (see Theorem 3.1 in [5]). Since $I_{ion}(v, \langle g, v \rangle)$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to v , there exists a unique mild solution $v_\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$ of (16). It is left to show that $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon))$ in the bulk domain and $\partial_t v_\varepsilon \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$. This is done by deriving a priori estimates as in Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.2 (A priori estimates). *Let $(u_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon)$ be a solution of (1-7). Denote $v_\varepsilon = [u_\varepsilon]$. Then the following estimates hold:*

$$(i) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |v_\varepsilon|^2 ds \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

$$(ii) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\partial_\tau v_\varepsilon|^2 ds d\tau \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

$$(iii) \quad \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon}} (|u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2) dx \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

$$(iv) \quad \int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} |u_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Proof. Let us multiply (1) by u_ε , integrate by parts over $\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon$ and divide the resulting identity by ε^2 (the scaling factor of the order of measure of the thin domain Ω_ε):

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m v_\varepsilon^2 ds + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle) v_\varepsilon ds + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 0.$$

Integrating the last equality with respect to t we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m v_\varepsilon^2 ds + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle) v_\varepsilon ds d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\tau = 0. \quad (18)$$

Using Lipschitz continuity of I_{ion} and applying Grönwall's inequality we obtain the following estimate for v_ε :

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^2 ds \leq C \varepsilon^{-1} (\|G_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}^2 + 1) e^{\gamma_0 t} \leq C_1(t),$$

for some constants C, C_1 and $\gamma_0 > 0$ in dependent of ε . Estimate (i) is proved.

From (18) and (i) we derive an integral estimate for ∇u_ε :

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\tau \leq C.$$

Let us now multiply (1) by $\partial_t u_\varepsilon$ and integrate by parts over $\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon$:

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m |\partial_t v_\varepsilon|^2 ds + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle) \partial_t v_\varepsilon ds + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 0.$$

Integrating w.r.t. t gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} c_m |\partial_\tau v_\varepsilon|^2 ds d\tau + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle) \partial_\tau v_\varepsilon ds d\tau \\ + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 \Big|_{t=0} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

To find $\nabla w_\varepsilon(x) = \nabla u_\varepsilon|_{t=0}$ we solve the following elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon \nabla w_\varepsilon) &= 0, & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon, \\ [w_\varepsilon] &= v_\varepsilon \Big|_{t=0} = 0, & x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon, \\ [\sigma \nabla w_\varepsilon \cdot \nu] &= 0, & x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon \cup \Gamma_{m,\varepsilon}, \\ \nabla w_\varepsilon^e \cdot \nu &= 0, & x \in \Sigma_\varepsilon, \\ w_\varepsilon &= 0, & x_1 \in \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_L. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\nabla w_\varepsilon = 0$.

The Grönwall's inequality applied in (19) yields (ii).

Estimates (19) and (ii) imply that

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (20)$$

Since u_ε satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for $x_1 = 0$, Friedrichs's inequality is valid for u_ε in $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}$ and $\Omega_{e,\varepsilon}$ which gives us (ii).

In order to obtain an L^2 -bound for u_ε in $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$ we use the Poincaré inequality in each myelin part $\varepsilon Y_{m,k}$ and then sum them up to obtain an estimate

in $\cup_k \varepsilon Y_{m,k} = \Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$. Namely, for $u \in H^1(\varepsilon Y_{m,k})$, let $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^m$ denote the mean value over k th interface between the intracellular domain and myelin $\Gamma_{mi,k}$

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^m = \frac{1}{|\varepsilon \Gamma_{mi}|} \int_{\varepsilon \Gamma_{mi,k}} u \, ds.$$

We derive with the help of the Poincaré $\frac{1}{2}$ inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} |u_\varepsilon^m - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^m|^2 \, dx &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla u_\varepsilon^m\|_{L^2(\varepsilon Y_{m,j})}^2 \\ \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} |u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^m)^2 \, dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Due to the continuity of traces of u_ε ,

$$\int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^m)^2 \, dx = \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^i)^2 \, dx \leq C\varepsilon \|u_\varepsilon^i\|_{L^2(\varepsilon \Gamma_{mi})}^2, \quad (22)$$

$$\varepsilon \|u_\varepsilon^i\|_{L^2(\varepsilon \Gamma_{mi})}^2 \leq C(\|u_\varepsilon^i\|_{L^2(\varepsilon Y_{i,j})}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla u_\varepsilon^i\|_{L^2(\varepsilon Y_{i,j})}^2). \quad (23)$$

Combining (21)-(23) we obtain

$$\int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} |u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx \leq C(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,j}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\varepsilon Y_{i,j}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^i|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon Y_{i,j}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^i|^2 \, dx).$$

Adding up $\varepsilon Y_{m,j}$ and taking into account (20) yields the estimate for the L^2 -norm for u_ε^m

$$\int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} |u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx \leq C(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^m|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}} |u_\varepsilon^i|^2 \, dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^i|^2 \, dx) \leq C,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Let us recall the notion of the two-scale convergence that will be used when passing to the limit.

Definition 3.3. We say that $u_\varepsilon(t, x)$ converges two-scale to $u_0(t, x_1, y)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}))$, $l = i, e$, if

$$(i) \quad \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}} |u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dx \, dt < \infty.$$

(ii) For any $\phi(t, x_1) \in C(0, T; L^2(0, L))$, $\psi(y) \in L^2(Y_l)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon(x) \phi(t, x_1) \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \, dx \, dt = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \int_{Y_l} u_0(t, x_1, y) \phi(t, x_1) \psi(y) \, dy \, dx_1 \, dt,$$

for some function $u_0 \in L^2(0, T; L^2((0, L) \times Y))$.

Definition 3.4. We say that $v_\varepsilon(t, x)$ converges two-scale to $v_0(t, x_1, y)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$ if

$$(i) \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^2 \, ds \, dt < \infty.$$

(ii) For any $\phi(t, x_1) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, L))$, $\psi(y) \in L^2(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon(x) \phi(t, x_1) \psi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \, ds_x \, dt = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \int_\Gamma v_0(t, x_1, y) \phi(t, x_1) \psi(y) \, ds_y \, dx_1 \, dt$$

for some function $v_0 \in L^2(0, T; L^2((0, L) \times \Gamma))$.

Lemma 3.5. Let u_ε be a solution of (1-7). Denote by $\mathbf{I}_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}}$ the characteristic functions of $\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}$, $l = i, e$. Then, up to a subsequence,

(i) $[u_\varepsilon]$ converges two-scale to $v_0(t, x_1, y)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$.

(ii) $\partial_t [u_\varepsilon]$ converges two-scale to $\partial_t v_0(t, x_1, y)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$.

(iii) $\mathbf{I}_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}} u_\varepsilon$ converges two-scale to $\frac{|Y_l|}{|Y|} u_0^l(t, x_1)$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}))$.

(iv) $\mathbf{I}_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}} \nabla u_\varepsilon$ converges two-scale to $\frac{1}{|Y|} (\partial_{x_1} u_0^l(t, x_1) \mathbf{e}_1 + \nabla_y w^l(t, x_1, y))$ in $(L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{l,\varepsilon})))$.

Here $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^3$, $w^l \in L^2(0, T; L^2(0, L) \times H^1(Y))$.

Proof. The proof follows the lines of classical compactness results for two-scale convergence and therefore is omitted. We refer to [17] for two-scale convergence on periodic surfaces (on Γ_ε), to [18] and [19] for two-scale convergence in thin structures and dimension reduction. \square

Lemma 3.6 (Properties of $[u_\varepsilon]$). *Let u_ε be a solution of (1-7). Then there exists a function*

$$\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t, x_1) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, L)) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(0, L))$$

such that

(i) For $t \in (0, T)$, the function \tilde{v}_ε approximates $[u_\varepsilon]$:

$$\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon - [u_\varepsilon]|^2 ds \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx.$$

(ii) There exists $v_0(t, x_1) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, L))$ such that along a subsequence \tilde{v}_ε converges to $v_0(t, x_1)$ uniformly on $[0, T]$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let us cover Ω_ε into a union of overlapping cells εY_k as depicted in Figure 2. We recall that Γ




FIGURE 2. Overlapping cells Y_k covering Ω_ε .

We start by estimating the difference between the mean values of $[u_\varepsilon]$ over $\varepsilon \Gamma_k$ and $\varepsilon \Gamma_{k+1}$. Let

$$\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l = \frac{1}{|\varepsilon \Gamma|} \int_{\varepsilon \Gamma_k} u_\varepsilon^l ds, \quad l = i, e.$$

For each $\varepsilon Y_{l,k}$, $l = i, e$, we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k}} |u_\varepsilon^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^l|^2 dx$$

owing to the Poincaré $\frac{1}{2}$ inequality, with C independent of ε . Considering traces on Γ_k by simple scaling argument one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} |u_\varepsilon^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l|^2 ds &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \left(\int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k}} |u_\varepsilon^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l|^2 dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^l|^2 dx \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^l|^2 dx, \quad l = i, e. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then the difference between two averages $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}$ and $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k+1}$ is estimated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k+1}^l|^2 &\leq \frac{2}{|\varepsilon Y_{l,k} \cap \varepsilon Y_{l,k+1}|} \int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k} \cap \varepsilon Y_{l,k+1}} (|u_\varepsilon^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l|^2 + |u_\varepsilon^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k+1}^l|^2) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon Y_{l,k} \cup \varepsilon Y_{l,k+1}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^l|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Adding up in k the above estimates we obtain an estimate in $\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}$:

$$\sum_k |\bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^l - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k+1}^l|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{l,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^l|^2 dx. \quad (25)$$

Introduce the following notation

$$\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} = \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^i - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,k}^e = \frac{1}{|\varepsilon\Gamma_k|} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} [u_\varepsilon] ds.$$

Then (24) and (25) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} |[u_\varepsilon] - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}|^2 ds &\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\varepsilon Y_{i,k} \cup \varepsilon Y_{e,k}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx, \\ \sum_k |\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}|^2 &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Bounds (26) show that $[u_\varepsilon]$ in each cell εY_k is close to a constant $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}$, and the difference between $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}$ and $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}$ is small.

Now we construct a piecewise linear function $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t, x_1)$ interpolating values $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}$ linearly and show that

$$\int_0^L |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx_1 \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (27)$$

$$\int_0^L |\partial_{x_1} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx_1 \leq C, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (28)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_0^L |\partial_t \tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx_1 dt \leq C. \quad (29)$$

Indeed, (27), (28) follow directly from (26):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx_1 &= \sum_k \int_{-\varepsilon/2}^{\varepsilon/2} \left| \frac{\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} + \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}}{2} + x_1 \frac{\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}}{2\varepsilon} \right|^2 dx_1 \\ &\leq C \sum_k \varepsilon (|\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}|^2 + |\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}|^2) \leq C\varepsilon \frac{1}{|\varepsilon\Gamma|} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} [u_\varepsilon]^2 ds \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Estimate (28) is proved in a similar way using (26):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L |\partial_{x_1} \tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx_1 &\leq C \sum_k \int_{-\varepsilon/2}^{\varepsilon/2} \left| \frac{\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}}{\varepsilon} \right|^2 dx_1 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_k |\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k} - \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k+1}|^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove (29). Differentiating $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}$ with respect to t , using the the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$|\partial_t \bar{v}_{\varepsilon,k}|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{|\varepsilon\Gamma_k|} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} \partial_t [u_\varepsilon] ds \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{|\varepsilon\Gamma_k|} \int_{\varepsilon\Gamma_k} (\partial_t [u_\varepsilon])^2 ds.$$

Similarly to (30), estimate (29) follows from the last bound and (ii) in Lemma 3.2.

Estimate (i) in the current lemma follows from (26).

The uniform convergence on $(0, T)$ of the constructed piecewise linear approximation is given by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

Theorem 3.7 (Arzelà-Ascoli theorem). *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Then a set $\mathcal{F} \subset C_0(X; E)$ is precompact (any sequence has a converging subsequence converging uniformly in X to $f \in C_0(0, T; E)$, not necessarily in \mathcal{F}) provided*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(x)$ in precompact in E , for each $x \in X$.
- (2) \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous at each $x \in X$, that is for all $\gamma > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\gamma, x_0)$ so that

$$(\forall x \in X)[d(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow (\forall f \in \mathcal{F})\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \varepsilon].$$

The first condition is guaranteed for \tilde{v}_ε due to (28), while the equicontinuity property follows from the bounds (29):

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t + \Delta t) - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dx &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} |\partial_\tau \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(\tau) - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 d\tau dx \\ &= 2\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \partial_\tau \tilde{v}_\varepsilon (\tilde{v}_\varepsilon(\tau) - \tilde{v}_\varepsilon(t)) d\tau dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 ds d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} |\partial_\tau \tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 ds d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \sqrt{\Delta t} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} |\partial_\tau \tilde{v}_\varepsilon|^2 ds d\tau \right)^{1/2} \leq C\sqrt{\Delta t}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Arzelà-Ascoli theorem completes the proof. \square

4. AUXILIARY MINIMIZATION PROBLEM

We assume that the domains Y_i, Y_m, Y_e are given in cylindric coordinates (x_1, r, ϕ) by $(x_1, r) \in Y'_i, (x_1, r) \in Y'_m, (x_1, r) \in Y'_e$. Y'_m is a simply connected domain whose boundary is naturally divided into two parts $\Gamma'_{mi} = \partial Y'_m \cap \partial Y'_i$ and $\Gamma'_{me} = \partial Y'_m \cap \partial Y'_e$. The first part is the segment $\{r_0\} \times (a, b)$, while the second one is a smooth curve which never intersects or touches Y'_i except at endpoints $A = (a, r_0)$ and $B = (b, r_0)$, and locally near these points it is given by $r = r_a(x_1)$




FIGURE 3. Cross-section of the periodicity cell in the neighborhood of a Ranvier node.

and $r = r_b(x_1)$. Moreover, we assume that r_a and r_b are C^2 -functions whose derivatives do not vanish at points a and b .

Let σ_δ be given by

$$\sigma_\delta = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{in } Y_i, \\ \delta^2 & \text{in } Y_m, \\ \sigma_e & \text{in } Y_e. \end{cases}$$

Consider the minimization problem

$$\lambda_\delta = \inf_{\theta \in H^1_{\text{per}}(Y \setminus \Gamma)} \frac{\int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \theta|^2 dx}{\int_\Gamma [\theta]^2 ds}, \quad (31)$$

where the infimum is taken over 1-periodic in x_1 -variable functions $\theta \in H^1(Y \setminus \Gamma)$, $[\theta]$ denotes the jump of θ across Γ , $[\theta] = \theta_i - \theta_e$, θ_i and θ_e being limit values (traces) of θ on Γ from Y_i and Y_e , correspondingly. It is easy to see that the infimum in (31) is attained on a function θ_δ which is defined up to a multiplicative and an additive constant, and θ_δ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\delta \nabla \theta_\delta) = 0 \quad \text{in } Y \setminus \Gamma, \quad (32)$$

$$\left(\sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta}{\partial \nu} \right)_i = \left(\sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta}{\partial \nu} \right)_e = \lambda_\delta [\theta_\delta] \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta_\delta}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{when } |x| = R_0. \quad (34)$$

Moreover, thanks to the radial symmetry $\theta_\delta = \theta_\delta(x_1, r)$ and

$$\lambda_\delta = \frac{\int_{Y'} \sigma_\delta |\nabla_{x_1, r} \theta_\delta|^2 r dr dx_1}{\int_{\{r_0\} \times (a, b)} [\theta_\delta]^2 r_0 dx_1}. \quad (35)$$

Lemma 4.1.

(i) The infimum in (31) admits the bound

$$\lambda_\delta \leq \Lambda \delta \quad (36)$$

with $\Lambda > 0$ independent of δ .

(ii) Let θ_δ be normalized by

$$\int_\Gamma [\theta_\delta]^2 ds = |\Gamma|, \quad \int_{Y_e} \theta_\delta dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{Y_i} \theta_\delta dx \geq 0, \quad (37)$$

then

$$\theta_\delta \rightharpoonup 1 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(Y_i), \quad \theta_\delta \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{weakly in } H^1(Y_e), \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (38)$$

and the following uniform in $\delta > 0$ bound holds:

$$|\theta_\delta|_{L^\infty(Y)} \leq C. \quad (39)$$

Proof. (i) We begin by constructing an approximation of θ_δ away from points A and B . There exists a function $\Theta \in C_{\text{loc}}^2(Y' \setminus \Gamma')$ such that

$$0 \leq \Theta \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta = 1 \quad \text{in } Y'_i, \quad \Theta = 0 \quad \text{in } Y'_e,$$

$$|\nabla \Theta(x')| \leq \frac{C}{\text{dist}(x', \{A\} \cup \{B\})}, \quad \|\nabla^2 \Theta(x')\| \leq \frac{C}{\text{dist}^2(x', \{A\} \cup \{B\})},$$

where $\|\nabla^2 \Theta\|$ denotes norm of the Hessian of Θ . Since $|\nabla \Theta|$ blows up at points A and B with the rate $1/\text{dist}(x', \{A\})$ and $1/\text{dist}(x', \{B\})$, any such a function Θ does not belong to $H^1(Y \setminus \Gamma)$, hence it is to be corrected near endpoints A and B of Γ' . For simplicity we assume that in a neighborhood of points A and B the boundary of domain Y'_m is formed by two rays with angles φ_A and φ_B .

Consider the δ -neighborhood $D_\delta(B)$ of the point B and pass to polar coordinates (ρ, φ) with the center at B . Note that for sufficiently small δ the set $Y'_m \cap D_\delta(B)$ is a circular sector given by $0 < \varphi < \varphi_B$ and $0 < \rho < \delta$. We set

$$\theta_\delta^A = \frac{1}{1 - V_\delta} \begin{cases} \rho^{\alpha_\delta} \cos(\alpha_\delta \delta (\varphi + \pi)) / \cos(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) - V_\delta, & -\pi < \varphi \leq 0 \\ \rho^{\alpha_\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_\delta \sigma_i}{\delta} \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) \varphi \right) - V_\delta, & 0 < \varphi \leq \varphi_B \\ V_\delta \left(\rho^{\alpha_\delta} \cos(\alpha_\delta \delta (\varphi - \pi)) / \cos(\alpha_\delta \delta (\varphi_B - \pi)) - 1 \right), & \varphi_B < \varphi < \pi, \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

with

$$V_\delta := 1 - \frac{\alpha_\delta \sigma_i}{\delta} \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) \varphi_B \quad (41)$$

and α_δ solving the transcendental equation

$$\alpha_\delta \sigma_i \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) = \sigma_e \alpha_\delta \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta (\varphi_B - \pi)) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_\delta \sigma_i}{\delta} \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) \varphi_B \right). \quad (42)$$

There is a unique solution α_δ of (42) on $(0, 1/(2\delta))$ and it is asymptotically given by

$$\alpha_\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varphi_B}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sigma_i \pi} + \frac{1}{\sigma_e (\pi - \varphi_B)}} + O(\delta^2), \quad \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that θ_δ^B is continuous on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \mathbf{R}_-$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \pm\pi} \frac{\partial \theta_\delta^B}{\partial \varphi} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow -0} r \sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta^B}{\partial \varphi} = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow +0} r \sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta^B}{\partial \varphi}, \\ \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_B - 0} r \sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta^B}{\partial \varphi} = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_B + 0} r \sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \theta_\delta^B}{\partial \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the δ -neighborhood of the point A and define the function θ_δ^A by replacing φ with $\pi - \varphi$ and φ_B with φ_A in (40)-(42), and redefining α_δ and V_δ

accordingly. To glue Θ , θ_δ^A and θ_δ^B together introduce a cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\chi(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \geq 1$ and $\chi(\rho) = 1$ for $\rho \leq 1/2$. Set

$$\tilde{\theta}_\delta = (1 - \chi(|x' - A|/\delta) - \chi(|x' - B|/\delta))\Theta + \chi(|x' - A|/\delta)\theta_\delta^A + \chi(|x' - B|/\delta)\theta_\delta^B,$$

and use $\tilde{\theta}_\delta$ as a test function in (35). Direct computations yield the bound (36). Indeed, by properties of Θ

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y'} \sigma_\delta |\nabla_{x_1, r} \tilde{\theta}_\delta|^2 r dx_1 dr &= O(\delta^2 \log(1/\delta)) + \int_{D_\delta(A)} \sigma_\delta |\nabla_{x_1, r} \tilde{\theta}_\delta|^2 r dx_1 dr \\ &\quad + \int_{D_\delta(B)} \sigma_\delta |\nabla_{x_1, r} \tilde{\theta}_\delta|^2 r dx_1 dr. \end{aligned}$$

The last two integrals are similar and we consider only the second one:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_\delta(B)} \sigma_\delta |\nabla_{x_1, r} \tilde{\theta}_\delta|^2 r dx_1 dr &= \frac{\sigma_i \pi (r_0 + O(\delta))}{(1 - V_\delta)^2} \int_0^\delta \left(\chi'(\frac{\rho}{\delta}) \rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta} / \delta + \alpha_\delta \delta \chi(\frac{\rho}{\delta}) \rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta - 1} \right)^2 \rho d\rho \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta^2}{\varphi_B} \int_0^\delta \chi^2(\rho/\delta) \rho^{2\alpha_\delta \delta - 1} d\rho \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_e V_\delta^2 (\pi - \varphi_B) (r_0 + O(\delta))}{(1 - V_\delta)^2} \int_0^\delta \left(\chi'(\rho/\delta) (\rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta} / \delta + \alpha_\delta \delta \chi(\rho/\delta) \rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta - 1}) \right)^2 \rho d\rho + O(\delta^2) \\ &= 2r_0 \frac{\delta^2}{\varphi_B} \int_0^{\delta/2} \rho^{2\alpha_\delta \delta - 1} d\rho + O(\delta^2 \log^2(1/\delta)) \\ &= (\varphi_A / (\sigma_e (\pi - \varphi_A)) + \varphi_A / (\sigma_i \pi))^{-1/2} \delta + O(\delta^2 \log^2(1/\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\int_{\Gamma'} [\tilde{\theta}_\delta]^2 dx_1 = (b - a) + O(\delta),$$

thus

$$\lambda_\delta \leq \bar{\Lambda} \delta + O(\delta^2 \log^2(1/\delta)), \quad (43)$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is given by

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{b - a} \left(\left(\frac{\varphi_A}{\sigma_e (\pi - \varphi_A)} + \frac{\varphi_A}{\sigma_i \pi} \right)^{-1/2} + \left(\frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_e (\pi - \varphi_B)} + \frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_i \pi} \right)^{-1/2} \right). \quad (44)$$

(ii) Convergences in (38) easily follow from the bound (36) and the Poincaré inequality. To prove (39) multiply the equation in (34) by $\theta_\delta |\theta_\delta|^{p-2}$, $p \geq 2$, and integrate over $Y \setminus \Gamma$ to find, after integrating by parts,

$$(p - 1) \int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \theta_\delta|^2 |u|^{p-2} = \lambda_\delta \int_\Gamma [\theta_\delta] [\theta_\delta |\theta_\delta|^{p-2}] ds.$$

Therefore we have

$$(p - 1) \int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \theta_\delta|^2 |\theta_\delta|^{p-2} \leq 2\lambda_\delta \int_{\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e} |\theta_\delta|^p ds,$$

where Γ_i and Γ_e denote opposite sides of the surface Γ . Thus for $p \geq 2$ it holds

$$\frac{p-1}{p^2} \left(\int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla |\theta_\delta|^{p/2}|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e} |\theta_\delta|^p \right) \leq C \int_{\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e} |\theta_\delta|^p$$

with C independent of δ and $p \geq 2$. This yields H^1 -bounds for $|\theta_\delta|^{p/2}$ in Y_i and Y_e , that in turn lead to bounds for traces of $|\theta_\delta|^{p/2}$ on Γ_i and Γ_e :

$$\| |\theta_\delta|^{p/2} \|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)}^2 \leq C_1 p \| \theta_\delta \|_{L^p(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)}^p.$$

Since $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{2q}(\Gamma)$ for some $q > 1$ (optimal $q = 2$) we have

$$\|\theta_\delta\|_{L^{2q}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)}^2 \leq C_2 p \|\theta_\delta\|_{L^p(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)}^p$$

or

$$\|\theta_\delta\|_{L^{2q}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)} \leq (C_2 p)^{1/p} \|\theta_\delta\|_{L^p(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)}. \quad (45)$$

It follows from (36) and (37) that

$$\|\theta_\delta\|_{L^2(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)} \leq C_3.$$

Then iterative use of (45) yields

$$\|u\|_{L^{2q^{k+1}}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)} \leq C_3 \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_0^k \log(2C_2 q^j)/q^j\right)$$

for every integer $k \geq 0$. The series $\sum_1^\infty \log(C_2 q^j)/q^j$ converges, hence $\|\theta_\delta\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_e)} \leq C$. Finally by the maximum principle θ_δ satisfies the same L^∞ -bound on $Y \setminus \Gamma$. \square

Next we show that the bound (36) for λ_δ is in fact precise to the leading order.

Lemma 4.2. *The following asymptotic result holds:*

$$\lambda_\delta = \bar{\Lambda} \delta + O\left(\sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)}\right), \quad (46)$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}$ is given by (44).

Proof. We use the test function $\tilde{\theta}_\delta$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Since normal derivatives of $\tilde{\theta}_\delta$ vanish on both sides of Γ' and fluxes $r\sigma_\delta \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}_\delta}{\partial \nu}$ are continuous across $\partial Y'_m$ we have

$$0 = \int_{Y' \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \theta_\delta) \tilde{\theta}_\delta dr dx_1 = \lambda_\delta r_0 \int_{\Gamma'} [\theta_\delta] [\tilde{\theta}_\delta] dx_1 + \int_{Y' \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1. \quad (47)$$

It follows from the bound (36) and normalization conditions (37) that $\|[\theta_\delta] - 1\|_{L^2(\Gamma')}^2 \leq C\delta$, direct calculations also show that $\|[\tilde{\theta}_\delta] - 1\|_{L^2(\Gamma')}^2 \leq C\delta$, thus

$$\int_{\Gamma'} [\theta_\delta] [\tilde{\theta}_\delta] dx_1 = (b - a) + O(\delta^{1/2}) \quad (48)$$

Next we perform asymptotic calculations for the second term in the right hand side of (47). Split the domain Y' into $Z_\delta := Y' \setminus (D_\delta(A) \cup D_\delta(B))$ and two disks $D_\delta(A)$, $D_\delta(B)$. Since $\tilde{\theta}_\delta = \Theta$ in Z_δ , using properties of Θ and the L^∞ -bound (39) for θ_δ we get

$$\int_{Z_\delta \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 = O(\delta^2 \log(1/\delta)). \quad (49)$$

Next we show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_\delta(A) \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 + \int_{D_\delta(B) \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 \\ &= r_0 \delta (\varphi_A / (\sigma_e(\pi - \varphi_A)) + \varphi_A / (\sigma_i \pi))^{-1/2} \\ &+ r_0 \delta (\varphi_B / (\sigma_e(\pi - \varphi_B)) + \varphi_B / (\sigma_i \pi))^{-1/2} + O\left(\sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

It suffices to consider only the integral over $D_\delta(B) \setminus \Gamma'$. We pass to polar coordinates (ρ, φ) with the center at B and split the domain $D_\delta(B) \setminus \Gamma'$ into the five subdomains:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,1} &= \{(\rho, \varphi) : -\pi < \varphi < 0, \delta/2 \leq \rho < \delta\}, & S_{i,2} &= \{(\rho, \varphi) : -\pi < \varphi < 0, \rho < \delta/2\}, \\ S_{e,1} &= \{(\rho, \varphi) : \varphi_B < \varphi < \pi, \delta/2 \leq \rho < \delta\}, & S_{e,2} &= \{(\rho, \varphi) : \varphi_B < \varphi < \pi, \rho < \delta/2\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{m,1} = \{(\rho, \varphi) : 0 < \varphi < \varphi_B, \delta/2 \leq \rho < \delta\}, \quad S_{m,2} = \{(\rho, \varphi) : 0 < \varphi < \varphi_B, \rho < \delta/2\}.$$

The following pointwise bounds hold in these domains:

$$|\operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta)| = \begin{cases} O(\delta^{-1} \log(1/\delta)) & \text{in } S_{i,1} \text{ and } S_{e,1} \\ O(\delta \rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta^{-1}}) & \text{in } S_{i,2} \text{ and } S_{e,2} \\ O(1) & \text{in } S_{m,1} \\ O(\delta^3 \rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta^{-2}}) & \text{in } S_{m,2}. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{D_\delta(B) \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 = \int_{S_{i,1} \cup S_{e,1}} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 + O(\delta^2).$$

Observe that θ_δ on $S_{i,1}$ and $S_{e,1}$ is sufficiently close to its mean values over Y_i and Y_e ,

$$\tau_i := \frac{1}{|Y_i|} \int_{Y_i} \theta_\delta dx = 1 + O(\delta^{1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_e = \frac{1}{|Y_e|} \int_{Y_e} \theta_\delta dx = 0,$$

correspondingly. Namely, by Hardy's inequality

$$\int_{S_{i,1}} |\theta_\delta - \tau_i|^2 dr dx_1 \leq C\delta^2 \log(1/\delta) \int_{Y_i} |\nabla \theta_\delta|^2 dx \leq C_1 \delta^3 \log(1/\delta), \quad (52)$$

$$\int_{S_{e,1}} |\theta_\delta|^2 dr dx_1 \leq C_2 \delta^3 \log(1/\delta). \quad (53)$$

This leads to the following

$$\int_{D_\delta(B) \setminus \Gamma'} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) \theta_\delta dr dx_1 = \tau_i \int_{S_{i,1}} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) dr dx_1 + O\left(\sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)}\right).$$

It remains to calculate the integral in the right hand side integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{i,1}} \operatorname{div}(r\sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta) dr dx_1 &= -\frac{\alpha_\delta \delta \sigma_i}{1 - V_\delta} \int_{-\pi}^0 (\delta/2)^{\alpha_\delta \delta} r \frac{\cos(\alpha_\delta \delta (\varphi + \pi))}{\cos(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi)} d\varphi \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_\delta \delta \sigma_i r_0}{1 - V_\delta} \int_{\delta/2}^\delta \tan(\alpha_\delta \delta \pi) \chi(\rho/\delta) \frac{\rho^{\alpha_\delta \delta} d\rho}{\rho} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$= -\frac{\alpha_\delta \delta \sigma_i}{1 - V_\delta} (\delta/2)^{\alpha_\delta \delta} r_0 \pi + O(\delta^2) \quad (55)$$

$$= -r_0 \delta \left(\frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_e(\pi - \varphi_B)} + \frac{\varphi_B}{\sigma_i \pi} \right)^{-1/2} + O(\delta^2 \log(1/\delta)). \quad (56)$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Next we show that, θ_δ being normalized by (37), one has

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sigma_\delta \nabla \theta_\delta \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Y). \quad (57)$$

To this end we use the test function $\tilde{\theta}_\delta$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.1 to write

$$\int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \theta_\delta|^2 dx - \int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta|^2 dx \leq C \sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)}, \quad (58)$$

where we have used Lemma 4.2 together with the fact that θ_δ minimizes (31), and calculations from the proof of Lemma 4.1. Representing $\tilde{\theta}_\delta$ as $\tilde{\theta}_\delta = (\tilde{\theta}_\delta - \theta_\delta) + \theta_\delta$

and expanding the left hand side of (58) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y \sigma_\delta |\nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta - \nabla \theta_\delta|^2 dx &\leq C \sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)} - 2 \int_Y \sigma_\delta \nabla \theta_\delta \cdot \nabla (\tilde{\theta}_\delta - \theta_\delta) dx \\ &= C \sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)} + 2\lambda_\delta \int_\Gamma [\theta_\delta] [\tilde{\theta}_\delta - \theta_\delta] dx \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$\leq C_1 \sqrt{\delta^3 \log^3(1/\delta)}, \quad (60)$$

where we have used (48) to derive the last inequality. Straightforward calculations show that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sigma_\delta \nabla \tilde{\theta}_\delta \rightharpoonup 0$, which in conjunction with (60) yields (57).

We summarise properties of θ_δ in

Lemma 4.3. *Let the minimizer θ_δ of (31) be normalized by (37) then*

(i) $\|\theta_\delta\|_{L^\infty(Y)} \leq C$.

(ii) $\theta_\delta \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{strongly in } L^2(Y_i) \\ 0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(Y_e). \end{cases}$

(iii) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} \sigma_\delta \nabla \theta_\delta \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^2(Y)$.

(iv) $[\theta_\delta] \rightarrow 1$ strongly in $L^2(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.4. *The rescaled function $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ has the following properties:*

(i) $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ converges to 1 strongly in $L^2(\Omega_{i,\varepsilon})$ and to 0 strongly in $L^2(\Omega_{e,\varepsilon})$:

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{e,\varepsilon}} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})|^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ converges strongly in $L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ to 1:

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) $\varepsilon^{-1} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ converges weakly two-scale in $L^2(\Omega_{i,\varepsilon} \cup \Omega_{e,\varepsilon})$ to 0.

(iv) $\|\nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\|_{L^2(\Omega_{m,\varepsilon})} \leq C$.

Proof. (i) Let us prove the convergence in $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}$. Writing $\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}$ as a union $\cup_k (\varepsilon Y_k)$, rescaling and applying Lemma 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{i,\varepsilon}} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 dx &= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_k \int_{\varepsilon Y_{i,k}} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_k \varepsilon^3 \int_{Y_{i,k}} |\theta_\delta - 1|^2 dy = o(1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence in $\Omega_{e,\varepsilon}$ is proved in the same way.

(ii) Similar arguments as above yield

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 dx &= \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_k \int_{\varepsilon \Gamma_k} |\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) - 1|^2 dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_k \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma_k} |\theta_\delta - 1|^2 dy = o(1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The convergence to zero follows directly from (iii) in Lemma 4.3.

(iv) Combining (31) and (36) one can see that

$$\int_{Y_m} |\nabla \theta_\delta|^2 dy \leq C\delta^{-1}.$$

Writing $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$ as a union $\cup_k(\varepsilon Y_m)$, rescaling and setting $\delta = \varepsilon^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} |\nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})|^2 dx &= \sum_k \int_{\varepsilon Y_{m,k}} |\nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_k \varepsilon^3 \int_{Y_{m,k}} |\nabla \theta_\delta(y)|^2 dy \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. JUSTIFICATION OF MACROSCOPIC MODEL

Let us denote $v_\varepsilon = [u_\varepsilon]$. Using Lemmata 3.5, 3.6 and 4.4, we will pass to the limit in the weak formulation of (1)-(7):

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T (c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon + I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)) [\phi] dx dt + \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt = 0, \quad (61)$$

where $\phi(t, x) \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon))$ such that $\phi = 0$ for $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = L$.

For $U_i(t, x_1), U_e(t, x_1) \in C(0, T; H^1(0, L))$ and $U_1(t, x_1, y) \in C(0, T; H^1((0, L) \times Y))$ we construct the following test function:

$$\phi_\varepsilon(t, x) = (U_i(t, x_1) \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) + (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}))(U_e(t, x_1) + \varepsilon U_1(t, x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})),$$

where $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ is the auxiliary function introduced in Section 4.

Note that due to the strong convergence of the jump of $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ (see (iv) Lemma 4.3), the jump of ϕ_ε on Γ_ε converges strongly in $L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ to $U_i(t, x_1) - U_e(t, x_1)$. Substituting ϕ_ε into (61) we get

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} (c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon + I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)) [\phi_\varepsilon] ds dt \quad (62)$$

$$+ \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot (\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} U_i + \varepsilon^{-1} U_i \nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) dx dt \quad (63)$$

$$+ \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} U_e + \nabla U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) dx dt \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) (U_e + \varepsilon U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) dx dt \\ = I_{1\varepsilon} + I_{2\varepsilon} + I_{3\varepsilon} + I_{4\varepsilon} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Let us pass to the limit, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in each integral $I_{k\varepsilon}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ given by (62)-(65).

Since $[\phi_\varepsilon]$ on Γ_ε converges strongly in $L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ to $U_i(t, x_1) - U_e(t, x_1)$ and $\partial_t v_\varepsilon$ converges two-scale (weakly) in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon))$ and uniformly on $(0, T)$ to $v_0(t, x_1)$, we can pass to the limit in (62) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} (c_m \partial_t v_\varepsilon + I_{ion}(v_\varepsilon, \langle g_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle)) [\phi_\varepsilon] ds dt \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\Gamma| \int_0^T \int_0^L (c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, \langle g_0, v_0 \rangle)) (U_i - U_e) dx_1 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts the second integral in (63) containing $\nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ and using (34) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \partial_{x_1} u_\varepsilon \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_1} U_i \, dx dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \partial_{y_1} \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 U_i \, dx dt \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \lambda_{\varepsilon^2}[\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})] v_\varepsilon U_i \, ds dt \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|Y_i|}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \sigma_i \partial_{x_1} u_0^i \partial_{x_1} U_i \, dx_1 dt + |\Gamma| \int_0^T \int_0^L \bar{\Lambda} v_0 U_i \, dx_1 dt. \end{aligned}$$

To pass to the two-scale limit in (64) we use (iv) in Lemma 3.5 and (i) in Lemma 4.4 and get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot (1 - \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} U_e + \nabla_y U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \partial_{x_1} U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \, dx dt \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \int_{Y_e} \sigma_e (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} u_0^e + \nabla_y w^e) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} U_e(t, x_1) + \nabla_y U_1(t, x_1, y)) \, dy \, dx_1 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts (65), using (iii) in Lemma 4.4, the interface conditions for $\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ on Γ_ε , and Lemma 4.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) (U_e + \varepsilon U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \, dx dt \\ &= -\varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon \sigma_\varepsilon \nabla_y \theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla (U_e + \varepsilon U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \, dx dt \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} \lambda_{\varepsilon^2}[\theta_{\varepsilon^2}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})] v_\varepsilon (U_e + \varepsilon U_1(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \, ds dt \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} -|\Gamma| \int_0^T \int_0^L \bar{\Lambda} v_0 U_e \, dx_1 dt \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain a weak formulation of the effective problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Gamma| \int_0^T \int_0^L (c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, \langle g_0, v_0 \rangle)) (U_i - U_e) \, dx_1 dt \\ &+ |\Gamma| \int_0^T \int_0^L \bar{\Lambda} v_0 (U_i - U_e) \, dx_1 dt \\ &+ \frac{|Y_i|}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \sigma_i \partial_{x_1} u_0^i \partial_{x_1} U_i \, dx_1 dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_0^T \int_0^L \int_{Y_e} \sigma_e (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} u_0^e + \nabla_y w^e) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 \partial_{x_1} U_e(t, x_1) + \nabla_y U_1(t, x_1, y)) \, dy \, dx_1 dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Computing consequently the variation of the left-hand side of the last equality with respect to U_1, U_i and U_e gives the representation $U_1(t, x_1, y) = N(y) \partial_{x_1} U_e(t, x_1)$, the cell problem (12) and the two one-dimensional equations

$$|\Gamma| (c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, \langle g_0, v_0 \rangle) + \bar{\Lambda} v_0) = \frac{|Y_i|}{|Y|} \sigma_i \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 u_0^i, \quad (66)$$

$$|\Gamma| (c_m \partial_t v_0 + I_{ion}(v_0, \langle g_0, v_0 \rangle) + \bar{\Lambda} v_0) = -\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{Y_e} \sigma_e |\mathbf{e}_1 + \nabla_y N|^2 \partial_{x_1 x_1}^2 u_0^e \, dy. \quad (67)$$

Introducing (11) and adding up (67) and (66) yield (14). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education STINT (research grant IB 2017-7370).

REFERENCES

- [1] Hodgkin, Alan L., and Andrew F. Huxley. *A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve*. The Journal of physiology 117.4 (1952): 500-544.
- [2] J. Keener and J. Sneyd. *Mathematical Physiology I: Cellular Physiology*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1998).
- [3] F. Henríquez and C. Jerez-Hanckes. Multiple Traces Formulation and Semi-Implicit Scheme for Modeling Packed Biological Cells under Electrical Stimulation. SAM Report 2017-23, ETH Zürich.
- [4] Matano, Hiroshi, and Yoichiro Mori. Global existence and uniqueness of a three-dimensional model of cellular electrophysiology. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 29.4 (2011): 1573-1636.
- [5] Pazy, Amnon. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*. Vol. 44. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [6] Li, Dan C., and Qun Li. *Electrical stimulation of cortical neurons promotes oligodendrocyte development and remyelination in the injured spinal*. Neural regeneration research 12.10 (2017): 1613.
- [7] Wahls, Terry L., et al. *Rehabilitation with neuromuscular electrical stimulation leads to functional gains in ambulation in patients with secondary progressive and primary progressive multiple sclerosis: A case series report*. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine 16.12 (2010): 1343-1349. APA
- [8] Rall, Wilfrid. "Time constants and electrotonic length of membrane cylinders and neurons." *Biophysical Journal* 9.12 (1969): 1483-1508.
- [9] Rattay, Frank. *Electrical nerve stimulation*. Wien: Springer, 1990.
- [10] Meffin, Hamish, et al. *Modelling extracellular electrical stimulation: III. Derivation and interpretation of neural tissue equations*. Journal of neural engineering 11.6 (2014): 065004.
- [11] Basser, P. J. "Cable equation for a myelinated axon derived from its microstructure." *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 31.1 (1993): S87-S92.
- [12] Meunier, Claude, and Boris Lamotte d'Incamps. *Extending cable theory to heterogeneous dendrites*. Neural computation 20.7 (2008): 1732-1775.
- [13] Neu JC, Krassowska W. , "Homogenization of syncytial tissues". *Critical Reviews of Biomedical Engineering*. 21: 137-199, 1993.
- [14] Pennacchio, Micol, Giuseppe Savaré, and Piero Colli Franzone. "Multiscale modeling for the bioelectric activity of the heart." *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 37.4 (2005): 1333-1370.
- [15] Colli-Franzone, Piero, Luca F. Pavarino, and Simone Scacchi. "Mathematical and numerical methods for reaction-diffusion models in electrocardiology." *Modeling of Physiological flows*. Springer Milan, 2012. 107-141.
- [16] Amar, Micol, et al. "On a hierarchy of models for electrical conduction in biological tissues." *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 29.7 (2006): 767-787.
- [17] Allaire, Grégoire, and Alain Damlamian. *Two-scale convergence on periodic surfaces and applications*. In *Mathematical Modelling of Flow through Porous Media*, Bourgeat AP, Carasso C, Luckhaus S, Mikeli? A (eds). World Scientific. 1995.
- [18] Zhikov, Vasilii Vasil'evich. "On an extension of the method of two-scale convergence and its applications." *Sbornik: Mathematics* 191.7 (2000): 973.
- [19] Pettersson, Irina. *Two-scale convergence in thin domains with locally periodic rapidly oscillating boundary*. *Differential Equations & Applications*, 9(3), 393-412 (2017).