

# The weighted mixed curvature of a foliated manifold

Vladimir Rovenski\*

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the weighted mixed (sectional, Ricci and scalar) curvature of a foliated (and almost-product) Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  equipped with a vector field  $X$ . We define several functions ( $q$ th Ricci type curvatures), which “interpolate” between the weighed sectional and Ricci curvatures. The novel concepts of the “mixed curvature-dimension” condition and “synthetic dimension of a distribution” allow us to update the estimate of the diameter of a compact Riemannian foliation and to prove new splitting theorems for almost-product manifolds of nonnegative/nonpositive weighted mixed scalar curvature. In the case of positive (and nonnegative) weighted mixed sectional curvature we explore the weighted generalization of Toponogov’s conjecture on totally geodesic foliations.

**Keywords:** Riemannian metric, almost product manifold, foliation, weighted mixed curvature, totally geodesic, integral formula, splitting

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010)** Primary 53C12; Secondary 53C21

## Introduction

There are different “weighted” curvatures for a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  endowed with a vector field  $X$ , e.g., when  $X$  is a gradient of a density function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The notion of weighted scalar curvature comes up in Perelman’s work and is related to his functionals for the Ricci flow. The results for weighted Ricci curvature were first proven by Lihnerovicz, and later by Bakry–Emery and many others. The *weighted Ricci tensor* of the triple  $(M, g, X)$ ,

$$\text{Ric}_X^N = \text{Ric} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{N} X^\flat \otimes X^\flat \quad (1)$$

(called also the  $N$ -Bakry–Emery–Ricci tensor) has become important in geometric analysis, for a brief overview see [2, 16]. Here  $\text{Ric}$  is the Ricci tensor,  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lie derivative, and  $N$  is the *synthetic dimension*, that is also allowed to be infinite. The “musical” isomorphisms  $\flat$  and  $\sharp$  “lower” and “raise” indices of tensors. Definition (1) arises for the  $\text{Ric}$  of a warped product of  $M$  of dimension  $N > 0$  with a manifold  $H$ , when the warping function  $\phi = -\frac{1}{N} \log f$  and  $X = \nabla f$ . The study of (1) was motivated by the *curvature-dimension* condition  $\text{CD}(c, N)$ , which requires  $\text{Ric}_X^N \geq c$ , where  $N$  is an upper bound of the “generalized dimension” of the weighted manifold and  $c$  is a lower bound of the  $\text{Ric}$ . Some of comparison results about the curvature bounds extend to the weighted case. In [4], the weighted sectional curvature is related to the torsion free connection  $\nabla^\alpha$  projectively equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection,  $\alpha = d\phi = X^\flat$  being a 1-form; in this case, the Ricci tensor of  $\nabla^\alpha$  is  $\text{Ric}_X^1$ , see (1) with  $N = 1$ .

Distributions on manifolds (i.e., subbundles of the tangent bundle) appear in various situations – e.g. as fields of tangent planes of foliations or kernels of differential forms. *Totally geodesic* and *Riemannian foliations* have the simplest extrinsic geometry of the leaves (respectively, the tangent or orthogonal distribution has zero second fundamental form), and were investigated in a number of works. There is interest of geometers to problems of existence of adapted metrics on foliations and almost product manifolds with given curvature properties.

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 31905 Haifa, Israel  
e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

There are three kinds of sectional curvature for a foliation: *tangential*, *transversal* and *mixed* (denoted by  $K_{\text{mix}}$ ). The mixed curvature is encoded in the Riccati and Jacobi equations along leaf geodesics. For constant  $K_{\text{mix}}$  the solutions of above equations (and the relative behavior of geodesics on nearby leaves) are well-known. Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibers have  $K_{\text{mix}} \geq 0$ . Splitting of foliated manifolds with  $K_{\text{mix}} = 0$  is possible.

In the paper, three types of weighted mixed curvature (sectional,  $q$ th Ricci and scalar) of foliated and almost product manifolds are defined, the notions of “synthetic dimension of a distribution” and the “mixed curvature-dimension” condition are introduced, and natural generalizations of several results (known for the case of  $X = 0$ ) are obtained.

Our main object is  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$  equipped with complementary orthogonal distributions  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  of ranks  $\dim \mathcal{D}^\top = \nu$  and  $\dim \mathcal{D}^\perp = n$ . Let  $^\top$  and  $^\perp$  denote orthogonal projections onto  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , respectively. We define several functions on  $(M, g, X, \mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$ , which “interpolate” between the weighed sectional and Ricci curvatures; such functions on  $(M, g)$  were introduced by H. Wu, and then studied by many geometers, see surveys in [6, 7]. Let  $W^q$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{D}_m^\top$  spanned by  $q \leq \nu$  orthonormal vectors  $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$  at a point  $m \in M$ , and  $y \in \mathcal{D}_m^\perp$  a unit vector. Set  $\text{Ric}_q^\top(y; W) := \sum_{i=1}^q K(y, x_i)$  and  $\text{Ric}^\top := \text{Ric}_\nu^\top$ . The class of Riemannian manifolds with  $\text{Ric}_q^\top > 0$  is larger than class of manifolds with positive mixed sectional curvature.

**Definition 1.** The  $\mathcal{N}$ -weighted mixed  $q$ th Ricci curvature of  $\{y; W\}$  is defined by

$$\text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y; W) = \text{Ric}_q^\top(y; W) + \frac{q}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X/\nu} g(y, y) + \frac{q\nu}{\mathcal{N}} g(X/\nu, y)^2, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{R}$  is the *synthetic dimension of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$* . For  $\mathcal{N} = \nu$ , the LHS of (2) is  $\text{Ric}_{q,X}^\top(y; W)$ , and for  $q = \nu$ , the LHS of (2) is  $\text{Ric}_X^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y, y) := \text{Ric}_{\nu, X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y; \mathcal{D}_m^\top)$ , called the  $\mathcal{N}$ -weighted partial Ricci curvature. By the *mixed curvature-dimension* condition,  $\text{CD}^\top(c, \mathcal{N}, q)$ , we mean the inequality

$$\text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}} \geq c. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, we define  $\text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\perp, \mathcal{N}}(x; W)$  and  $\text{Ric}_q^\perp(x; W)$  for  $W^q \subset \mathcal{D}^\perp$ ,  $\text{Ric}_X^{\perp, \mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\text{Ric}_X^\perp$  and condition  $\text{CD}^\perp(c, \mathcal{N}, q)$ . Notice that  $\text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y; W) < \text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}'}(y; W)$  for  $\mathcal{N} > \mathcal{N}' > 0$ ,

$$\text{Ric}_{q,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y; W) = \text{Ric}_{q,X}^\top(y; W) + q \frac{\mathcal{N} - \nu}{\nu^2} g(X, y)^2. \quad (4)$$

In Section 1, we use (3) to estimate the diameter of Riemannian foliations.

The *weighted mixed sectional curvature* is the weighted sectional curvature of planes that non-trivially intersect each of the distributions, see (2) for  $q = 1$  and  $W = \{x\}$ ,

$$K_X^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y, x) := \text{Ric}_{1,X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}(y; \{x\}) = K(y, x) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X/\nu} g(y, y) + \frac{\nu}{\mathcal{N}} g(X/\nu, y)^2 \right) x^2, \quad (5)$$

in particular,  $K_X^\top(y, x) := K_X^{\top, \nu}(y, x)$ . Similarly we define  $K_X^{\perp, \mathcal{N}}(x, y)$  and  $K_X^\perp := K_X^{\perp, n}$ . The  $x$  and  $y$  in (5) are placed in asymmetric way; generally, we have  $K_X^\perp(x, y) \neq K_X^\top(y, x)$ .

One of the simplest curvature invariants of a pair  $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$  is a function  $S_{\text{mix}} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$S_{\text{mix}} = \text{Tr}_g \text{Ric}^\top = \text{Tr}_g \text{Ric}^\perp, \quad (6)$$

see [8, 10, 14], called the *mixed scalar curvature*, i.e., an averaged mixed sectional curvature. For instance,  $S_{\text{mix}} = \text{Ric}(y, y)$  when  $n = 1$  and a unit vector field  $y$  spans  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  locally. In contrast to scalar curvature,  $S_{\text{mix}}$  has strong relations with the extrinsic geometry, see Section 2, and is involved in such research topics as the mixed Einstein–Hilbert action [9, 11] and prescribing the mixed scalar curvature on Riemann–Cartan (in particular, pseudo-Riemannian) manifolds [12].

Based on (2) and (6), we define the  $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$ -weighted mixed scalar curvature by

$$S_{\text{mix}, X}^{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_g (\text{Ric}_X^{\perp, \mathcal{N}} + \text{Ric}_X^{\top, \mathcal{N}}) = S_{\text{mix}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{div } X + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \|X^\top\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \|X^\perp\|^2, \quad (7)$$

where  $N, \mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{R}$  are *synthetic dimensions of distributions*  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ , respectively. For  $N = n$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \nu$ , the LHS of (7) is  $S_{\text{mix}, X}$ . Notice that

$$S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} = S_{\text{mix}, X} + \frac{\mathcal{N} - \nu}{2\nu\mathcal{N}} \|X^\perp\|^2 + \frac{N - n}{2nN} \|X^\top\|^2.$$

In Section 2 we use the weighted mixed scalar curvature (7) to prove new integral formulas and update splitting theorems for almost-product manifolds.

Let  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  be a totally geodesic foliation of  $(M^{n+\nu}, g)$ , and  $R_x^\perp = (R_{\cdot, x} x)^\perp$  ( $x \in T\mathcal{F}$ ) the Jacobi operator on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ . If the leaves are closed and  $R_x^\perp > 0$  ( $x \neq 0$ ), then  $\nu < n$ ; otherwise, any two of them will intersect. D. Ferus [3] found a topological obstruction for existence of totally geodesic foliations (and applied it to submanifolds with relative nullity): *if  $R_x^\perp \equiv k \text{id}^\perp$  for some  $k = \text{const} > 0$  and all unit  $x \in TL$  on a complete leaf  $L$ , then  $\nu < \rho(n)$* . Here  $\rho(n) - 1$  is the number of linear independent vector fields on a sphere  $S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\rho((\text{odd}) 2^{4b+c}) = 8b + 2^c \text{ for some } b \geq 0, 0 \leq c \leq 3.$$

Notice that  $\rho(n) \leq 2 \log_2 n + 2 \leq n$ . Among Toponogov's many contributions to Riemannian geometry is the following **conjecture** (see survey [6] and the bibliography therein): *The inequality  $\nu < \rho(n)$  holds for totally geodesic foliations of closed Riemannian manifolds with  $K_{\text{mix}} > 0$ .*

We introduce the *weighted  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -Jacobi operator* by

$$R_{X,x}^\perp = R_x^\perp + \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X/n} g(x, x) + g(X/n, x)^2 \right) \text{id}^\perp, \quad x \in \mathcal{D}^\perp,$$

and similarly define the *weighted  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ -Jacobi operator*  $R_{X,y}^\top$  ( $y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ ). Set

$$\text{Ric}_X^\perp(x, x) = \text{Tr}_g R_{X,x}^\perp, \quad \text{Ric}_X^\top(y, y) = \text{Tr}_g R_{X,y}^\top.$$

In Section 3 we explore the following **“weighted” Toponogov’s conjecture**:

*The inequality  $\nu < \rho(n)$  holds for a totally geodesic foliation  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  of a closed manifold  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$  under assumption  $R_{X,x}^\perp > \|X^\top/n\|^2 \text{id}^\perp$  for all unit vectors  $x \in T\mathcal{F}$ .*

Since  $R_{X,x}^\perp > 0$  ( $x \neq 0$ ) yields  $R_x^\perp > -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{X/n} g(x, x) \text{id}^\perp$ , then  $R_x^\perp$  can be negative somewhere.

## 1 The weighted mixed $q$ th Ricci curvature

The weighted curvature appears in the formula for the second variation of energy of a path.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Riemannian foliation of  $(M, g, X)$ , and  $\bar{\gamma} : [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$  be a variation of the geodesic  $\gamma(t) = \bar{\gamma}(t, 0)$  and the variation vector field on  $\gamma$ ,  $x(t) = \partial_s \bar{\gamma}|_{s=0}$  belongs to  $T\mathcal{F}$ . Then we have the following index form on a geodesic  $\gamma$ :*

$$\mathcal{I}(x, x) = \int_a^b (|\dot{x} - g(\dot{\gamma}, X) x|^2 - K_X^\top(\dot{\gamma}, x) |x|^2) dt + g(\dot{\gamma}, X) |x|^2 \Big|_a^b. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* Recall that for a Riemannian foliation any geodesic started orthogonally to a leaf remains to be orthogonal to the leaves. Thus, the proof is similar to the proof of [17, Proposition 5.1].  $\square$

Let  $\text{diam } \mathcal{F}$  be the maximal distance between the leaves of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $(M, g, X)$ .

**Lemma 2** (see [6]). *Let  $V_1, V_2$  are subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2$ . Then there exist orthonormal bases  $\{a_i\} \subset V_1$ ,  $\{b_i\} \subset V_2$  (which correspond to extremal values of angle between given subspaces) with the property  $a_i \perp b_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ).*

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$  be endowed with a Riemannian foliation  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  with compact leaves such that  $\text{CD}^\top(c, \mathcal{N}, q)$ , see (3), holds for some  $\mathcal{N} \leq \nu$ ,  $c > 0$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \nu$ . Then*

$$(\text{diam } \mathcal{F})^2 \leq \frac{2q \|X^\perp\|}{c+q \|X^\perp\|^2} + \begin{cases} \frac{2q}{c} \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\| + \frac{\pi^2}{4} & \text{if } \nu \leq n-1, \\ \frac{2q}{c} \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\| + (q-\nu+n-1)\frac{\pi^2}{4c} & \text{if } n-1 < \nu < n+q-1, \\ \frac{2q}{c} \|h_{\mathcal{F}}\| & \text{if } \nu \geq n+q-1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Consider two leaves  $L_1, L_2$  with distance  $l = \text{dist}(L_1, L_2)$ , which is reached at points  $m_1 \in L_1$  and  $m_2 \in L_2$ . By the first variational formula of arc-length, the shortest geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) with length  $l$  between  $m_1, m_2$  is orthogonal to  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Riemannian foliation,  $\gamma$  intersect the leaves orthogonally for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

Assume the second case:  $n-1 < \nu < n-1+q$ , see (9). Then the parallel displacement of  $T_{m_1}L_1$  along  $\gamma$  will intersect  $T_{m_2}L_2$  by  $q'$ -dimensional subspace  $V_2$ , where  $\nu-n+1 \leq q' < q$ . The inverse image of  $V_2$  in  $T_{m_1}L_1$  we denote by  $V_1$ . For small  $l$ , let  $T_{m_1}L_1 = V_1 \oplus V_1'$  be the orthogonal decomposition where the parallel image of  $V_1'$  is uniquely projected onto  $T_{m_2}L_2$  (denote its orthogonal projection in  $T_{m_2}L_2$  by  $V_2'$ ). Let vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{q'}$  form an orthonormal basis of  $V_1$  and continue them to parallel vector fields  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{q'}$  along  $\gamma$ . Obviously,  $\bar{e}_1(m_2), \dots, \bar{e}_{q'}(m_2)$  belong to  $V_2$ . Let vectors  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  (where  $s = q - q' = \dim V_1'$ ) form an orthonormal basis of  $V_1'$  and vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  form an orthonormal basis of  $V_2'$ , and continue them to parallel vector fields  $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_s$  and  $\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_s$  along  $\gamma$ .

Consider the field of parallel planes  $\sigma_i(t)$  along  $\gamma$ , spanned by vectors  $\bar{a}_i(t), \bar{b}_i(t)$ . Assume, that  $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}$  correspond to extremal angles between  $V_1'$  and parallel image of  $V_2'$ , see Lemma 2. Then  $\sigma_i(t) \perp \sigma_j(t)$  for  $i \neq j$ . We take the unit vector  $\tilde{b}_i(t) \in \sigma_i(t)$  such that  $g(\bar{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i(t)) = 0$ . One may choose  $b_i$  and  $\tilde{b}_i(t)$  with the properties  $g(\bar{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i) \geq 0$  and  $g(\tilde{b}_i, \bar{b}_i(t)) \geq 0$ . Let us introduce the unit vector fields  $x_i(t) = (\cos \theta_i t) \bar{a}_i + (\sin \theta_i t) \tilde{b}_i(t)$  along  $\gamma$ , where  $\theta_i = \arccos(\bar{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i) \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Note that  $g(x_i(t), x_j(t)) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ), and  $g(\dot{x}_i(t), x_i(t)) = 0$ .

We have  $q' + s = q$ . Using the 2nd variation of  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\gamma$ , (8), along  $x_i(t)$  and  $\bar{e}_j$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{x_i}''(0) &= (h_L(b_i, b_i), \dot{\gamma}(1)/l) - (h_L(a_i, a_i), \dot{\gamma}(0)/l) + \theta_i^2 \\ &\quad - l^2 \int_0^1 [K_X^\top(\dot{\gamma}, x_i(t)) + g(\dot{\gamma}/l, X)^2] dt + 2g(\dot{\gamma}/l, X)|_0^1 \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\bar{e}_j}''(0) &= (h_L(\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_j), \dot{\gamma}(1)/l) - (h_L(e_j, e_j), \dot{\gamma}(0)/l) \\ &\quad - l^2 \int_0^1 [K_X^\top(\dot{\gamma}, \bar{e}_j) + g(\dot{\gamma}/l, X)^2] dt + 2g(\dot{\gamma}/l, X)|_0^1 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since  $s = q - q' \leq q - \nu + n - 1$ ,  $\sum_i \theta_i^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{q'} |(h_L(b_i, b_i), \dot{\gamma}(1)/l) - (h_L(a_i, a_i), \dot{\gamma}(0)/l)| &\leq 2q' \|h_L\|, \\ \sum_{j=1}^s |(h_L(\bar{e}_j, \bar{e}_j), \dot{\gamma}(1)/l) - (h_L(e_j, e_j), \dot{\gamma}(0)/l)| &\leq 2s \|h_L\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (4) and condition for  $\text{Ric}_{q, X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}}$  we get  $\text{Ric}_{q, X}^\top(\dot{\gamma}; W) \geq c$  for  $W$  spanned by  $x_i(t)$  and  $\bar{e}_j$ ; hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q'} K_X^\top(\dot{\gamma}, x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{q-q'} K_X^\top(\dot{\gamma}, \bar{e}_j) \geq c.$$

Then from (10) follows

$$l^2(c + q \|X^\perp\|^2) \leq 2q \|h_L\| + (q - \nu + n - 1) \pi^2/4 + 2q \|X^\perp\|,$$

which completes the proof of second formula in (9). The other two cases are similar.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$  be endowed with a compact totally geodesic foliation  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  with condition  $\text{Ric}_{q, X}^{\top, \mathcal{N}} > 0$  for some  $\mathcal{N} \leq \nu$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \nu$  along some leaf, and let  $X$  be tangent to the leaves. Then  $\nu < n + q - 1$ .*

Similar results are true for foliated pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

## 2 The weighted mixed scalar curvature

Define tensors for one of distributions,  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ ; similar tensors for  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  are defined using  $^\perp$  notation. Let  $T^\top, h^\top : \mathcal{D}^\top \times \mathcal{D}^\top \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\perp$  be the integrability tensor and the 2nd fundamental form of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ ,

$$T^\top(u, v) := (1/2)[u, v]^\perp, \quad h^\top(u, v) := (1/2)(\nabla_u v + \nabla_v u)^\perp.$$

Then  $H^\top = \text{Tr}_g h^\top$  is the mean curvature vector of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ . A distribution  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  is called *totally umbilical*, *harmonic*, or *totally geodesic*, if  $h^\top = \frac{1}{\nu} H^\top \cdot g^\top$ ,  $H^\top = 0$  or  $h^\top = 0$ , respectively.

The Weingarten operator  $A^\top$  (of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ ) and the operator  $T^{\top\sharp}$  are defined by

$$g(A_Z^\top u, v) = g(h^\top(u^\top, v^\top), w^\perp), \quad g(T_w^{\top\sharp} u, v) = g(T^\top(u^\top, v^\top), w^\perp).$$

The local adapted orthonormal frame  $\{E_a, \mathcal{E}_i\}$ , where  $\{E_a\} \subset \mathcal{D}^\top$ , always exists on  $M$ . We use inner products of tensors, e.g.

$$\|h^\top\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} g(h^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j), h^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)), \quad \|T^\top\|^2 = \sum_{i,j} g(T^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j), T^\top(\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_j)).$$

### 2.1 Integral formulas

Integral formulae for foliated manifolds relate extrinsic geometry of the leaves and curvature and provide obstructions for existence of foliations with given geometric properties. Following ideas of [15], we consider singular distributions, that is those defined outside a ‘‘singularity set’’  $\Sigma$ , a finite union of pairwise disjoint closed submanifolds of codimension  $\geq k$  under assumption that improper integrals  $\int_M \|\xi\|^s d \text{vol}_g$  converge for suitable vector fields  $\xi$  defined on  $M \setminus \Sigma$ .

**Lemma 3** (see [15]). *If  $(k-1)(s-1) \geq 1$  and  $\xi$  is a vector field on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  such that  $\|\xi\| \in L^s(M, g)$  then (11) holds.*

The Divergence Theorem for a vector field  $\xi$  on  $(M, g)$  states that

$$\int_M (\text{div } \xi) d \text{vol}_g = 0, \quad (11)$$

when either  $\xi$  has compact support or  $M$  is closed. The divergence of the vector field  $H^\top + H^\perp$  on a Riemannian almost product manifold was given explicitly in [14]:

$$\text{div}(H^\top + H^\perp) = S_{\text{mix}} - \|T^\top\|^2 - \|T^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 + \|h^\perp\|^2 - \|H^\top\|^2 - \|H^\perp\|^2. \quad (12)$$

The  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ -divergence of a vector field  $\xi$  is defined by  $\text{div}^\perp \xi = \sum_i g(\nabla_i \xi, \mathcal{E}_i)$ , and we have

$$\text{div}^\top(\xi^\top) = \text{div } \xi^\top + g(\xi, H^\perp), \quad \text{div}^\perp(\xi^\perp) = \text{div } \xi^\perp + g(\xi, H^\top). \quad (13)$$

By (12) and using  $\text{div}(H^\perp) = \text{div}^\top(H^\perp) - \|H^\perp\|^2$  and  $\text{div}(H^\top) = \text{div}^\perp(H^\top) - \|H^\top\|^2$ , we get

$$\text{div}^\top(H^\perp) + \text{div}^\perp(H^\top) = S_{\text{mix}} + \|h^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 - \|T^\perp\|^2 - \|T^\top\|^2. \quad (14)$$

In the next theorems we are based on (12) and (14) and extend results in [14].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold endowed with complementary orthogonal distributions  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  defined on the complement to the ‘‘set of singularities’’  $\Sigma$  with  $\text{codim } \Sigma \geq k$ , and a vector field  $X$  such that  $\|\xi\|_g \in L^s(M, g)$ , where  $\xi = H^\perp + H^\top + \frac{1}{2}X$  and  $(k-1)(s-1) \geq 1$ . Then for all  $N, \mathcal{N} \neq 0$  the following integral formula holds:*

$$\int_M \left\{ S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} - \|T^\top\|^2 - \|T^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 + \|h^\perp\|^2 - \|H^\top\|^2 - \|H^\perp\|^2 - \frac{1}{2N} \|X^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \|X^\perp\|^2 \right\} d \text{vol}_g = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from (12), (7) and Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** We say that  $(M', g')$  is a leaf of a distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $(M, g)$  if  $M'$  is a submanifold of  $M$  with induced metric  $g'$  and  $T_m M' = \mathcal{D}_m$  for any  $m \in M'$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold endowed with complementary orthogonal distributions  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  with  $H^\top = 0$ , defined on the complement to the “set of singularities”  $\Sigma$  with  $\text{codim } \Sigma \geq k$ , and a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\top$  such that  $\|\xi|_{M'}\|_g \in L^s(M', g')$  for all leaves  $(M', g')$  of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ , where  $\xi = H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}X$  and  $(k-1)(s-1) \geq 1$ . Then for all  $N, \mathcal{N} \neq 0$  the following integral formula holds:

$$\int_{M'} \left\{ S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} - \|T^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 + \|h^\perp\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(X, H^\perp) - \frac{1}{2N}\|X\|^2 \right\} d\text{vol}_{g'} = 0.$$

*Proof.* This follows from (14), (7) and Lemma 3.  $\square$

## 2.2 Splitting of almost product manifolds

Applying S.T.Yau version of Stokes’ theorem on a complete open  $(M, g)$  yields the following.

**Lemma 4** (see Proposition 1 in [1]). Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete open Riemannian manifold endowed with a vector field  $\xi$  such that  $\text{div } \xi \geq 0$ . If the norm  $\|\xi\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$  then  $\text{div } \xi \equiv 0$ .

In Section 2.2 we update some splitting theorems to the case of almost-product manifolds of nonnegative/nonpositive weighted mixed scalar curvature.

### 2.2.1 Harmonic distributions

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete open (or closed) Riemannian manifold endowed with complementary orthogonal integrable distributions  $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$  and a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\top$  obeying conditions  $g(X, H^\perp) = 0$  and  $\|X|_{M'}\|_{g'} \in L^1(M', g')$  for all leaves  $(M', g')$  of  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  is harmonic and  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \geq 0$  for some  $N < 0$  and  $\mathcal{N} \neq 0$ . Then  $M$  splits and  $X = 0$ .

*Proof.* By conditions and (7), (13) and (14), we have

$$\text{div}^\top (H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}X) = S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} + \|h^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2N}\|X\|^2. \quad (15)$$

Applying Lemma 4 to each leaf, we get  $\text{div}^\top (H^\perp + \frac{1}{2}X) = 0$  when  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \geq 0$  and  $N < 0$ ; thus,  $h^\top = 0 = h^\perp$  and  $X = 0$ . By de Rham decomposition theorem,  $(M, g)$  splits.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(M, g, X)$  be endowed with two complementary orthogonal integrable distributions  $(\mathcal{D}^\top, \mathcal{D}^\perp)$  and a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}^\top$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is harmonic. Then  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  has no compact harmonic leaves  $M'$  with  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}}|_{M'} > 0$  for some  $N < 0$  and  $\mathcal{N} \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed or a complete open Riemannian manifold endowed with complementary orthogonal harmonic foliations and a vector field  $X$  such that  $\|X\|_g \in L^1(M, g)$ . Suppose that  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \geq 0$  for some  $N, \mathcal{N} < 0$ . Then  $M$  splits and  $X = 0$ .

*Proof.* Under conditions, from (12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{div } X = S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} + \|h^\perp\|^2 + \|h^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2N}\|X^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}}\|X^\perp\|^2.$$

By Lemma 4, we get  $\text{div } X = 0$  when  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \geq 0$  and  $N, \mathcal{N} < 0$ . Thus,  $h^\top = 0 = h^\perp$  and  $X = 0$ . By de Rham decomposition theorem,  $(M, g)$  splits.  $\square$

### 2.2.2 Totally umbilical distributions

If  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is totally umbilical then  $\|h^\perp\|^2 - \|H^\perp\|^2 = -\frac{n-1}{n} \|H^\perp\|^2$ , and similarly, for  $\mathcal{D}^\top$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed (or a complete open) Riemannian manifold endowed with complementary orthogonal totally umbilical distributions  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  and a vector field  $X$  obeying  $\|\xi\|_M \in L^1(M, g)$ , where  $\xi = H^\perp + H^\top + \frac{1}{2}X$ . Suppose that  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \leq 0$  for some  $N, \mathcal{N} > 0$ . Then  $M$  splits and  $X = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Under conditions, from (12) we get

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} - \|T^\top\|^2 - \|T^\perp\|^2 - \frac{n-1}{n} \|H^\perp\|^2 - \frac{\nu-1}{\nu} \|H^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2N} \|X^\top\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}} \|X^\perp\|^2. \quad (16)$$

From (16) and Lemma 4 and since  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \leq 0$  for  $N, \mathcal{N} > 0$ , we get  $\operatorname{div} \xi = 0$ . The above yields vanishing of  $T^\top, T^\perp, H^\top, H^\perp$  and  $X$ . By de Rham decomposition theorem,  $(M, g)$  splits.  $\square$

Umbilical integrable distributions appear on double-twisted products, see [5].

**Definition 3.** A doubly-twisted product  $B \times_{(v,u)} F$  of Riemannian manifolds  $(B, g_B)$  and  $(F, g_F)$  is a manifold  $M = B \times F$  with metric  $g = g^\top + g^\perp$ , where

$$g^\top(X, Y) = v^2 g_B(X^\top, Y^\top), \quad g^\perp(X, Y) = u^2 g_F(X^\perp, Y^\perp),$$

and the warping functions  $u, v \in C^\infty(M)$  are positive.

Let  $\mathcal{D}^\top$  be tangent to the fibers  $\{x\} \times F$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  tangent to the leaves  $B \times \{y\}$ . The second fundamental forms and the mean curvature vectors of  $B \times_{(v,u)} F$  are given by, see [5],

$$h^\perp = -\nabla^\top(\log u) g^\perp, \quad h^\top = -\nabla^\perp(\log v) g^\top, \quad H^\perp = -n \nabla^\top(\log u), \quad H^\top = -\nu \nabla^\perp(\log v).$$

Thus, the leaves and the fibers of  $B \times_{(v,u)} F$  are totally umbilical with respect to  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ .

**Corollary 3** (of Theorem 6). *Let  $M = B \times_{(v,u)} F$  be complete closed (or complete open) and there is a vector field  $X$  such that  $\|\xi\|_M \in L^1(M, g)$ , where  $\xi = H^\perp + H^\top + \frac{1}{2}X$ . Suppose that  $S_{\text{mix}, X}^{N, \mathcal{N}} \leq 0$  for some  $N, \mathcal{N} > 0$ . Then  $M$  is the product and  $X = 0$ .*

## 3 The weighted mixed sectional curvature

Much is known about foliations with  $K_{\text{mix}} = \text{const}$ . Examples are totally geodesic 1) *k-nullity* foliations on manifolds with degenerate curvature tensor, the certain metrics are called partially hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic; 2) *relative nullity* foliations of curvature-invariant submanifolds  $M$  (e.g. of space forms). Submanifolds with positive the relative nullity index  $\mu(M) = \dim \ker h(m)$  (introduced by Chern and Kuiper) have a structure of ruled developable submanifolds.

The proof of Ferus's result is based on analysis of the matrix Riccati equation

$$\dot{B}_\gamma + (B_\gamma)^2 + R_\gamma^\perp = 0, \quad (17)$$

along a leaf geodesic  $\gamma$ , where  $B_x = B(x, \cdot)$  for short, and the *co-nullity tensor* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$B(x, y) = -(\nabla_x y)^\perp \quad (x \in T\mathcal{F}, y \in T^\perp\mathcal{F}).$$

In this section, we introduce weighted modification of the co-nullity tensor of a totally geodesic foliated manifold  $(M, g)$  equipped with a vector field  $X$ ,

$$B_x^X = B_x - g(X/n, x) \operatorname{id}^\perp.$$

Then the following "weighted" Riccati equation holds along leaf geodesics:

$$\dot{B}_\gamma^X + (B_\gamma^X)^2 + 2g(X/n, \dot{\gamma}) B_\gamma^X + R_{X, \dot{\gamma}}^\perp = 0. \quad (18)$$

Next theorem and corollary with constant  $R_{X, x}^\perp > 0$  generalize Ferus's results (with  $X = 0$ ).

**Theorem 7.** Let  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$  be endowed with a totally geodesic foliation  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$ . Suppose that there exist  $k = \text{const} > 0$  and a point  $m \in M$  such that along any leaf geodesic  $\gamma : [0, \pi/\sqrt{k}] \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = m$  the weighted Jacobi operator has the view  $R_{X, \dot{\gamma}}^\perp = k \text{id}^\perp$  and

$$g(X/n, \dot{\gamma})^2 \leq k. \quad (19)$$

Then  $\nu < \rho(n)$ .

*Proof.* Assume the contrary, then there are unit vectors  $x \in T_m \mathcal{F}$  and  $y \in T_m^\perp \mathcal{F}$  and  $\lambda_0 \leq 0$  such that  $B_x^X y = \lambda_0 y$  for a geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  with initial velocity  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = x$ . Let  $\bar{y}(t)$  ( $\bar{y}(0) = y$ ) be a parallel vector field along  $\gamma$ . The eigenvectors of the solution  $B_{\dot{\gamma}}^X$  of (18) with  $R_{X, \dot{\gamma}}^\perp = k \text{id}^\perp$  do not depend on  $t$ . Then  $B_{\dot{\gamma}}^X \bar{y}(t) = \lambda(t) \bar{y}(t)$  for certain eigenfunction  $\lambda(t)$ , which satisfies the scalar Riccati equation

$$\dot{\lambda} + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda g(X/n, \dot{\gamma}) + k = 0. \quad (20)$$

By (19), solution  $\lambda(t)$  of (20) cannot be extended to the segment  $[0, \pi/\sqrt{k}]$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

A submanifold  $M \subset \bar{M}$  is called *curvature-invariant* if the curvature tensor of  $\bar{M}$  obeys

$$\bar{R}_{x,y} z^\perp = 0, \quad (x, y, z \in TM). \quad (21)$$

Such submanifold with positive index of relative nullity  $\mu(M) = \min_{m \in M} \dim \ker h(m)$  has the structure of a ruled developable submanifold. The *relative nullity space* of the second fundamental form  $h$  of  $M \subset \bar{M}$  at  $m \in M$  is given by  $\ker h(m) = \{x \in T_m M : h(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in T_m M\}$ .

The *extrinsic  $q$ th Ricci curvature* is defined by formula

$$\text{Ric}_h^q(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q [g(h(x_0, x_0), h(x_i, x_i)) - g(h(x_0, x_i), h(x_0, x_i))].$$

For  $q = 1$  it is called an *extrinsic sectional curvature*.

**Corollary 4** (for  $X = 0$  see [6]). Let  $M^n$  be a complete curvature-invariant submanifold in  $(M^{n+p}, \bar{g}, X)$ . Suppose that along any geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  starting at  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \in \ker h$ , the weighted Jacobi operator of  $\bar{M}$  obeys  $\bar{R}_{X, \dot{\gamma}}^\perp = k \text{id}^\perp$ , and that (19) holds for some constant  $k > 0$ . Then  $M$  is a totally geodesic submanifold if any of the following requirements are satisfied:

- 1)  $\mu(M) > \nu(n) := \max\{t : t < \rho(n - t)\}$ ,
- 2)  $\text{Ric}_h^q \leq 0$  and  $2p < n - \nu(n) - q + \delta_{1q}$ .

In [6], we examined Toponogov's conjecture for a foliation given near a complete leaf: the necessity of additional assumptions in this case was shown, the conjecture was confirmed for ruled submanifolds of spherical space forms, rigidity and splitting of foliations with nonnegative mixed curvature was proven under suitable assumptions. The geometric construction, used in investigating of the problem, is based on estimates of the length and the volume of associated Jacobi field along an "extremal geodesic" of given length, and it examines conditions when co-nullity tensor of a foliation has no real eigenvectors; hence, the dimension of a leaf is smaller than  $\rho(n)$ . We discovered a variation procedure based on the concept of the "turbulence" of a foliation, that is the rotational component of the co-nullity tensor. In this section we extend the above methods for exploring the "weighted" Toponogov's conjecture.

A smooth  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $Y(t) : T_{\gamma(t)}^\perp \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(t)}^\perp \mathcal{F}$  along a leaf geodesic  $\gamma$  is called a *Jacobi tensor* if it satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$\ddot{Y} + R_{\dot{\gamma}} Y = 0, \quad (22)$$

and  $\ker Y(t) \cap \ker Y'(t) = \{0\}$  for all  $t$ ; hence, the action of  $Y$  on linearly independent parallel sections of  $\gamma^\perp$  gives rise to linearly independent Jacobi vector fields. We have  $B_{\dot{\gamma}} = \dot{Y} Y^{-1}$ .

A solution  $y(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}^\perp \mathcal{F} \approx \mathbb{R}^n$  of the Jacobi equation  $\ddot{y} + R_{\dot{\gamma}} y = 0$  with constant matrix  $R_{\dot{\gamma}} = k \text{id}^\perp > 0$  has the view  $y(t) = y(0) \cos(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{y'(0)}{\sqrt{k}} \sin(\sqrt{k}t)$ . If the initial values  $y(0)$  and  $y'(0)$  are linearly independent, then  $y(t)$  parameterizes an ellipse in the plane  $y(0) \wedge y'(0)$ , moreover, the area of the parallelogram  $y(t) \wedge y'(t)$  is constant.



**Lemma 5** (see [6]). *Let a solution  $y(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of the Jacobi ODE*

$$\ddot{y} + R(t)y = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq \pi/\sqrt{k}), \quad (23)$$

*be written in the form  $y(t) = \bar{y}(t) + u(t)$ , where  $\bar{y}(t) = y(0) \cos(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{y'(0)}{\sqrt{k}} \sin(\sqrt{k}t)$  and the norm  $\|R(t) - k \text{id}\| \leq \varepsilon_1 < k/2$ . Then  $|u(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{k - (1 - \cos(\sqrt{k}t))\varepsilon_1} \int_0^t \sqrt{k} |\bar{y}(s)| \sin(\sqrt{k}(t-s)) ds$ .*

**Definition 4** (see [6]). *The turbulence of a leaf  $L$  of a totally geodesic foliation is defined by*

$$a(L) = \sup\{g(B_x(y), z) : x \in TL, y, z \in TL^\perp, y \perp z, |x| = |y| = |z| = 1\}.$$

For Riemannian submersions, the turbulence was considered by B. O'Neill. Notice that the condition  $a(L) = 0$  for all leaves means that  $T^\perp \mathcal{F}$  is tangent to a totally umbilical foliation.

Next theorem with positive  $R_{X,x}^\perp$  generalizes our result in [6] (when  $X = 0$ ).

**Theorem 8** (Local). *Let  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  be a totally geodesic foliation of  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$ , and there exists a point  $m \in M$  such that along any leaf geodesic  $\gamma : [0, \pi/\sqrt{k}] \rightarrow L$  ( $\gamma(0) = m$ ) we have (19) and*

$$0 < k_1 \text{id}^\perp \leq R_{X,\dot{\gamma}}^\perp \leq k_2 \text{id}^\perp, \quad (24)$$

$$(k_2 - k_1 + 2\varepsilon) \max\{a(L)^2, k\} \leq 0.3k(k_2 + \varepsilon), \quad (25)$$

*where  $k = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2)$  and  $\varepsilon := \|g(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}(X/n), \dot{\gamma}) + g(X/n, \dot{\gamma})^2\| < k_1$ . Then  $\nu < \rho(n)$ .*

*Proof.* This is divided into steps. Notice that  $\varepsilon = 0$  is provided by  $X^\top = 0$ .

**Step 1.** It is sufficient to show that linear operators  $B_x^X : T_m^\perp \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_m^\perp \mathcal{F}$ , ( $x \neq 0$ ), do not have real eigenvalues. Suppose the opposite, i.e., there exist unit vectors  $x_0 \in T_m \mathcal{F}$ ,  $y_0 \in T_m^\perp \mathcal{F}$  and a real  $\lambda \leq 0$  with the property  $B_{x_0}^X(y_0) = \lambda y_0$ . Let  $\gamma(t) : [0, \pi/\sqrt{k}] \rightarrow M$ , ( $\dot{\gamma}(0) = x_0$ ) be a leaf geodesic, and  $y(t) : \gamma \rightarrow T_\gamma^\perp \mathcal{F}$  a Jacobi vector field along  $\gamma$  containing the vector  $y_0$ . Hence (23) holds with  $\|R(t) - k \text{id}\| \leq \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , where we denote  $y' = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} y$  and  $y'' = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} y$ .

The Jacobi vector field  $y(t)$  may be written in a form  $y(t) = (\cos(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}} \sin(\sqrt{k}t))y_0 + u(t)$ , where  $u(0) = u'(0) = 0$ . We will show for (24) and  $k_1 - \varepsilon \geq 0.582(k_2 + \varepsilon)$ , that the function  $|y(t)|$  (the length of the Jacobi vector field  $y(t)$ ) has a local minimum at  $t_m$  in the interval  $(0, \pi/\sqrt{k})$ . The second observation is that the function  $V(t)$  – the area of a parallelogram, whose sides are the vectors  $y(t)$  and  $y'(t)$ , varies “slowly” along a geodesic  $\gamma$ . (This function is constant when  $k_2 = k_1$ .) These will yield a contradiction, because  $V(t)$  cannot increase from zero value  $V(0)$  to a “large” value  $V(t_m)$  on a given interval with length  $t_m < \pi/\sqrt{k}$ .

**Step 2.** We shall prove that the inequality

$$|V(t)'| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1) + \varepsilon\right) |y(t)|^2. \quad (26)$$

The derivative of function  $V^2 = y^2(y')^2 - (y, y')^2$ , in view of (23), is the following:

$$(V^2)' = -2 \begin{vmatrix} g(R(t)y, y') & g(R(t)y, y) \\ g(y, y') & g(y, y) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using linear combinations of columns in this  $2 \times 2$ -determinant, we obtain

$$(V^2)' = -2 g(R(t)y, \widetilde{y}') y^2 = -2 g(\widetilde{R(t)}y, \widetilde{y}') y^2, \quad (27)$$

where  $\widetilde{\phantom{x}}$  denotes the component orthogonal to vector  $y(t)$ . Since

$$(V(t)^2)' = 2V'(t)V(t), \quad V(t) = |y(t)| \cdot |\widetilde{y}'(t)|, \quad (28)$$

then from (27) follows  $|V(t)'| \leq |\widetilde{R(t)}y(t)| \cdot |y(t)|$ . Thus we obtain (26) with the help of

$$|\widetilde{Ry}(t)| \leq |(R(t) - k \text{id})y(t)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1) + \varepsilon\right) |y(t)|.$$

**Step 3.** Assume that the first local minimum  $t_m$  of  $|y(t)|$  for  $t \geq 0$  belongs to  $(0, \pi/\sqrt{k}]$ . Set  $\tilde{m} = \gamma(t_m)$ . Consider (in this step) the opposite parametrization of  $\gamma$  (and objects on it) with parameter  $s = t_m - t$ , i.e.,  $\gamma(0) = \tilde{m}$ ,  $\gamma(t_m) = m$ . Since  $|y(s)|^2$  has a local minimum at  $\tilde{m}$ , then

$$y'(0) \perp y(0), \quad g(y, y)'' \geq 0,$$

and from (25) and equality  $\frac{1}{2}g(y, y)'' = g(y, y'') + g(y', y')$  follows

$$V(0) = |y'(0)| \cdot |y(0)| \geq \sqrt{k_1 - \varepsilon} |y(0)|^2. \quad (29)$$

Moreover,  $g(y'(\tilde{m}), y'(\tilde{m})) = g(B_{\dot{\gamma}}^X(y(\tilde{m})), y'(\tilde{m}))$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{|y'(\tilde{m})|}{|y(\tilde{m})|} \leq |(B_{\dot{\gamma}}^X(\frac{y(\tilde{m})}{|y(\tilde{m})|}), \frac{y'(\tilde{m})}{|y'(\tilde{m})|})| \leq a(L).$$

We can write  $y(s) = Y_1(s) + u_1(s)$ , where  $Y_1(s) = y(0) \cos(\sqrt{k}s) + \frac{y'(0)}{\sqrt{k}} \sin(\sqrt{k}s)$ . By Lemma 5 with  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1) + \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |y(\tilde{m})| \leq |Y_1(t_m)| + |u_1(t_m)| \\ &\leq \max\{a(L)/\sqrt{k}, 1\} |y(\tilde{m})| \left(1 + (1 - \cos(\sqrt{k}t_m)) \frac{k_2 - k_1 + 2\varepsilon}{3k_1 - k_2 - 4\varepsilon}\right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the inequality

$$|y(\tilde{m})| \geq \frac{3k_1 - k_2 - 4\varepsilon}{k_1 + k_2} / \max\{a(L)/\sqrt{k}, 1\}. \quad (30)$$

From (25) follows  $k_1 - \varepsilon \geq 0.7(k_2 + \varepsilon)$ , but at the same time for  $3k_1 - k_2 - 4\varepsilon > 0$  it is sufficient to require  $k_1 - \varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{3}(k_2 + \varepsilon)$ . From eigenvector's condition  $B_{x_0}^X(y_0) = \lambda y_0$  follows  $V(t_m) = 0$ . In view of (26) and the estimate  $|y(s)| \leq 1$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t_m$ , we obtain

$$V(0) \leq \int_0^{t_m} |V'(s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1 + 2\varepsilon) \int_0^{t_m} |y(s)|^2 ds \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1) + \varepsilon\right)t_m.$$

From the above and (29), (30) follows the inequality

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \tau\right)(1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{3\delta - 1}\right)^2 \max\{a(L)^2/k, 1\} \geq \sqrt{2\delta(1 + \delta)}, \quad (31)$$

where  $\tau = \sqrt{k}(t_m - t_0)$ ,  $\delta = \frac{k_1 - \varepsilon}{k_2 + \varepsilon}$  and  $\sqrt{k}t_0 = \text{arctg}(-\lambda/\sqrt{k}) \in (0, \pi/2]$ .

**Step 4.** We now go back to initial parametrization of  $\gamma$  and consider the problem, when  $|y(t)|$  has a local minimum in the interval  $(0, \pi/\sqrt{k}]$ . We can write  $y(t) = \bar{y}(t) + u(t)$ , where  $t \in [t_0, 2t_0]$  and

$$\bar{y}(t) = \left(\cos(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{k}} \sin(\sqrt{k}t)\right)y_0 = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{k}(t_0 - t))}{\sin(\sqrt{k}t_0)} y_0.$$

By Lemma 5 with  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k_2 - k_1) + \varepsilon$ , we obtain

$$|u(t)| \leq \frac{k_2 - k_1 + 2\varepsilon}{3k_1 - k_2 - 4\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\sqrt{k}t_0)} \int_0^t \sqrt{k} \sin(\sqrt{k}(t - s)) \sin(\sqrt{k}|t_0 - s|) ds. \quad (32)$$

Using the trigonometry identity

$$f = \sin(\sqrt{k}(t - s)) \sin(\sqrt{k}(t_0 - s)) = \frac{1}{2}(\cos(\sqrt{k}(t - t_0)) - \cos(\sqrt{k}(t + t_0 - 2s))),$$

and the shortland notation  $\tau = \sqrt{k}(t - t_0)$ ,  $\tau_0 = \sqrt{k}t_0$ ,  $S = \sin$ , and  $C = \cos$ , we transform the integral in RHS of (32):

$$I(t) = \sqrt{k} \left( \int_0^{t_0} f ds - \int_{t_0}^t f ds \right) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_0 - \tau)C(\tau) + \frac{3}{4}S(\tau) - \frac{1}{4}S(\tau + 2\tau_0).$$

Since

$$\tau_0, \tau \in [0, \pi/2], \quad \tau_0 - \tau \geq 0, \quad C(\tau) \geq 0, \quad S(2\tau_0 + \tau) \geq S(\pi + \tau) = -S(\tau),$$

then the function  $I(t)$  has the largest upper value for  $\tau_0 = \pi/2$ :

$$I(t) \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau\right) \frac{C(\tau)}{2} + S(\tau), \quad I(t_0) \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (\text{since } \tau = 0).$$

Consequently, assuming  $\delta = \frac{k_1}{k_2}$ ,

$$|u(t)| \leq \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau\right) \frac{C(\tau)}{2} + S(\tau) \frac{1 - \delta}{(3\delta - 1)S(\tau_0)}, \quad |u(t_0)| \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1 - \delta}{(3\delta - 1)S(\tau_0)}.$$

Note that  $|\bar{y}(t)| = \frac{S(\tau)}{S(\tau_0)}$  and  $\bar{y}(t_0) = 0$ . Since  $|y'(0)| = \lambda \leq 0$ , and in case of  $\lambda = 0$  from (24) follows that  $|y''(0)| < 0$ . Hence,  $|y(t)|$  is decreasing for small values  $t \geq 0$ . Thus for the property that  $|y(t)|$  has a local minimum at some  $t_m \in (0, t]$  it is sufficient to require  $|y(t_0)| \leq |y(t)|$ , i.e.,

$$|u(t_0)| + |u(t)| \leq |\bar{y}(t)|.$$

The last inequality (in view of above estimates) is reduced to

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau\right)C(\tau) + S(\tau)\right) \frac{1 - \delta}{3\delta - 1} \leq S(\tau),$$

that is equivalent to

$$\delta \geq 1 - \frac{2S(\tau)}{\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau\right)C(\tau) + 4S(\tau)}.$$

Notice that (25) yields  $\delta \geq 0.7$ . One can verify that inequality  $\frac{2S(\tau)}{\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \tau\right)C(\tau) + 4S(\tau)} \geq 0.3$  holds for all  $\tau \in [0.5, \pi/2]$ , i.e., for  $\delta \geq 0.7$  the function  $|y(t)|$  has local minimum at  $t_m \in [0, (0.5 + \pi/2)/\sqrt{k}]$ . But for  $\delta \in [0.7, 1]$  and  $\tau = 0.5$  the inequality

$$0.3 \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \tau\right) \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{3\delta - 1}\right)^2 < \sqrt{2\delta(1 + \delta)} \quad (33)$$

is equivalent to

$$f(\delta) = \left(\frac{3\delta - 1}{1 + \delta}\right)^2 \sqrt{2\delta(1 + \delta)} > 0.15(\pi + 1). \quad (34)$$

Since  $\frac{3\delta - 1}{1 + \delta}$  is monotone increasing, then also  $f(\delta)$  is monotone increasing for  $\delta > 1/3$ . It is easy to show  $f(0.7) > 0.63 > 0.15(\pi + 1)$ . Thus (34) and also (33) are true for  $\delta \geq 0.7$ . From (33) and (31) follows the inequality, which contradicts to (25).  $\square$

Next theorem with nonnegative  $R_{X,x}^\perp$  generalizes our result in [6] (when  $X = 0$ ).

**Theorem 9** (Decomposition). *Let  $\mathcal{F}^\nu$  be a compact totally geodesic foliation of  $(M^{n+\nu}, g, X)$ . Suppose that (19) and*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq k_1 \text{id}^\perp \leq R_{X,x}^\perp \leq k_2 \text{id}^\perp \quad (x \in T\mathcal{F}, |x| = 1), \\ (k_2 - k_1 + 2\varepsilon) \cdot \max\{a(L)^2, k\} &\leq 0.337(k_2 + \varepsilon)k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2)$ ,  $\varepsilon := \left\| g(\nabla_x(X/n), x) + g(X/n, x)^2 \right\| < k_1$  for all unit  $x \in T\mathcal{F}$ , and  $L$  is some leaf. If  $\nu \geq \rho(n)$  then  $k_1 = k_2 = 0$  and  $M$  splits along  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## References

- [1] Caminha A. Souza P. and Camargo F. Complete foliations of space forms by hypersurfaces, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series*, 41:3 (2010), 339–353.
- [2] Case J.S. Singularity theorems and the Lorentzian splitting theorem for the Bakry–Emery–Ricci tensor, *J. Geom. Phys.* 60 (2010), 477–490.
- [3] Ferus D. Totally geodesic foliations, *Math. Ann.*, 188 (1970), 313–316.
- [4] Kennard L., Wylie W. and Yeroshkin D. The weighted connection and sectional curvature for manifolds with density, preprint, arXiv:1707.05376.
- [5] Ponge R. and Reckziegel H. Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, *Geom. Dedicata*, 48 (1993), 15–25.
- [6] Rovenski V. *Foliations on Riemannian Manifolds and Submanifolds*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [7] Rovenski V. On the role of partial Ricci curvature in geometry of submanifolds and foliations, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 68:1 (1998), 61–82.
- [8] Rovenski V. On solutions to equations with partial Ricci curvature, *J. Geom. and Physics*, 86, (2014), 370–382.
- [9] Rovenski V. Einstein-Hilbert type action on spacetimes, *Publications de l’Institut Mathématique*, Issue: (N.S.) 103 (117) (2018), 199–210.
- [10] Rovenski V. and Zawadzki T. The mixed scalar curvature of almost product metric-affine manifolds. *Results Math.* 73:23, (2018); <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0778-9>.
- [11] Rovenski V. and Zawadzki T. Variations of the total mixed scalar curvature of a distribution, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 2018, 1–36, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-018-9594-4>.
- [12] Rovenski V. and Zelenko L. Prescribing mixed scalar curvature of foliated Riemann-Cartan spaces, *J. of Geometry and Physics*, 126 (2018), 42–67.
- [13] Stepanov S. Liouville-type theorems for some classes of complete Riemannian almost product manifolds and for special mappings of complete Riemannian manifolds, preprint, arXiv:1607.06266.
- [14] Walczak P. An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions, *Colloq. Math.* 58 (1990), 243–252.
- [15] Walczak P. Integral formulae for foliations with singularities, *Coll. Math.* 150(1) (2017), 141–148
- [16] Wei G. and Wylie W. Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, *J. Diff. Geom.* 83 (2009) 377–405.
- [17] Wylie W. Sectional curvature for Riemannian manifolds with density, *Geom. Dedicata*, 178 (2015), 151–169.