

Prediction of a gene regulatory network from gene expression Profiles with Linear Regression and Pearson Correlation Coefficient

Md Mehedi Hassan Onik

Department of CSE
Islamic University of
Technology
Gazipur, Bangladesh.
onikrcc@gmail.com

Shakhawat Ahmmed
Nobin

Department of CSE
Islamic University of
Technology
Gazipur, Bangladesh.
shakhawat_ahmmed@yahoo.com

Adnan Ferdous Ashrafi

Department of CSE
Stamford University
Bangladesh
Dhaka, Bangladesh.
nazib91@yahoo.com

Tareque Mohmud
Chowdhury

Department of CSE
Islamic University of
Technology
Gazipur, Bangladesh.
tareque@iut-dhaka.edu

Abstract— Reconstruction of gene regulatory networks is the process of identifying gene dependency from gene expression profile through some computation techniques. In our human body, though all cells pose similar genetic material but the activation state may vary. This variation in the activation of genes helps researchers to understand more about the function of the cells. Researchers get insight about diseases like mental illness, infectious disease, cancer disease and heart disease from microarray technology, etc. In this study, a cancer-specific gene regulatory network has been constructed using a simple and novel machine learning approach. In First Step, linear regression algorithm provided us the significant genes those expressed themselves differently. Next, regulatory relationships between the identified genes has been computed using Pearson correlation coefficient. Finally, the obtained results have been validated with the available databases and literatures. We can identify the hub genes and can be targeted for the cancer diagnosis.

Keywords— *Gene Regulatory Network, Gene Dependency, Microarray Technology, Pearson Correlation Coefficient, Hub Genes.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Sequencing the human genome is one of the important accomplishments in the history of System Biology. Human Genome contains valuable information about the traits, characteristics, expressions and also diseases of human beings. Cancer, the most dangerous one of them may be of various types has been the leading cause of death for recent years. According to WHO (World Health Organization) 8.2 million people died from cancer in 2012 and 20% of them could be cured if early detection would possible [1]. As no single gene decides how an organism grows therefore an understanding of gene regulatory network is the key that will open the door to those early detections of those diseases.

In this work we used Machine learning approach (Linear Regression based feature selection) to reduce the dimension of microarray dataset and Modified Pearson Correlation to

reconstruct the GRN of [prostate] cancer. The cause of a disease is reflected in the change in expression level of genes.

Available sample number is very less in comparison to the total gene number [2]. It is very difficult to remove some genes since, we could have lost the important genes. Thus observed data contains a significant amount of noise [2]. Normally, both biological variations and experimental noise are the main cause for the difference in the measured transcript. To correctly interpret the gene expression in microarray data, it is crucial to understand the sources of the experimental noise [3].

Finally obtained network is much complex and large to represent and select the most significant genes [4].

It is difficult to select threshold for Pearson Correlation Technique. Since significant genes could be out due to bad selection of threshold. The threshold for which Maximum validate node is selected is chosen as threshold.

The main features of this work is to construct a gene regulatory network which will help biologist to identify the responsible cancer genes. We have proposed a combined approach which is robust comparing to others. The contributions of this work are:

- Handling the high-dimensionality problem by removing redundant genes without losing any significant information. These are done by measuring expression level of genes in different samples and get rid of those unwanted genes.
- We have reduced the complexity of analyzing the large microarray data.
- Our Proposed Method Used Pearson Correlation technique alone with linear regression.
- We constructed Network with different parameter with different amount of genes in different levels to show the actual network.
- For experimental result we have implemented our proposed method and figured out the performance using graphical and statistical approaches

Comparative analysis of our proposed method with different data set is given to show the strength of this combined technique.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. RELIEF-F

Relief-F is improved version of original Relief algorithm which has three important improvements and they are: less sensitivity to noise, better strategy for coping missing value and handling multiclass data [1].

B. Wrapper and filter approach

Wrapper approach is wrapped within a learning algorithm. The filter approach is based on their information on dependency. Wrapper approach is used to predict the accuracy of a given feature subset. Some forward or backward selection algorithm is applied furthermore for better result. This process is repeated until the goal is achieved. But this method is expensive as it has the bigger run time. In bioinformatics most dataset are very large and it is largely used and then any classifier can be used for evaluate the classification accuracy of the test data which is not possible in wrapper approach.

C. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is a nonparametric measure of statistical dependence between two variables. It describes the relationship between two variables using a monotonic function. Spearman's coefficient is appropriate for both continuous and discrete variables including ordinal variables. For a sample size n , the n row scores X_i, Y_i are converted to ranks x_i, y_i and ρ is [5]:

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{0.6 \sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \quad (1)$$

where $d_i = x_i - y_i$ (difference between ranks)

D. Bayesian network

BNs is linked with one more graphical model structure which is also termed as DAG (Directly Acyclic Graph). Mathematically rigorous and intuitively understandable are two noteworthy properties of BNs. Effective representation and computation of the joint probability distribution (JPD) over a set of random variables [6] are enabled by BNs. Two different ways are there to define Directed Acyclic Graph are: Set of Directed Edges and set of vertices [7]

E. T test and fold change

T-statistic can be a reason of problem as the variance estimates due to genes shows a very low value for variance. These genes are associated to a large t-statistic and can be misjudged as differentially expressed [8]. If we apply it on small sample sizes which implies statistical power which is a great drawback. Thus, Using of T-test along with the importance of variance modeling may not be a reliable thing to use [9]. The fact the test was introduced more than 100 years ago should mean it is limited to some degree. The tests are

based on limited theoretical assumptions and do not take into account all we know about these days. They are not specific over one sample, though it has been suggested over large samples their accuracy is approximately correct.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Flowchart of our proposed method

Identifying Gene Regulatory Relationship between gene pairs with the help of gene expression profile, lots of different procedure have been used in the literature. In this work, for removing the redundant genes linear regression and for dependency among genes Pearson's correlation coefficient has been applied [11] [12].

B. Removing Redundant Gene

Microarray dataset contains a large amount of redundant data, huge noise with very closely expressed value. Our main goal is to remove those closely expressed values, which ultimately gives no significance in gene selection through mean calculation of different genes expressions value. Our training dataset needed to be divide into two subtypes D1 and D2. Where calculation of the values for every gene in one subtypes is done. After that, compare those with another data types for all sample's average. This procedure applicable for all genes of the previous subtypes. We can easily remove those genes which gives similar values, as those will not give any discriminative information about gene's expression value.

C. Linear Regression on Microarray Dataset

Defining an explanatory and a dependent variable is the key works in this regression analysis. We need to apply multiple target variable since our dataset contains multiple genes which is our target variable in this scenario. We need to compute G_n for each of the genes considering as a target variable and all other genes as dependent variable in the base subtype of the dataset to detect the regression model.




Fig. 1. Flowchart of our proposed method

TABLE I. DATASETS USED

Data Type	Class	Samples	Genes	Purpose of Use
All Data	2 Class(one cancer positive & one cancer negative)	128	12625	Stability test
Colon Tumor Data 1	2 Class(one cancer positive & one cancer negative)	62	2000	Stability test
Colon Tumor Data 2	2 Class(one cancer positive & one cancer negative)	20	15552	Accuracy and Validation

Among them two (one all and one colon) are used for checking the strength of the proposed procedure and last dataset (colon) used for validation of our result with accuracy.

B. Performance Analysis

In our study we checked the strength of the proposed procedure and then use to find genes responsible. After getting a set of responsible genes we matched those with validated gene set to get result and accuracy.

1) Stability checking of Proposed Procedure

In our dataset there were total 62 samples. Among them 19 sample was cancer positive and 41 was cancer negative. We divided those into two subgroups and apply our procedure parallels into two sub group to find the result obtained. We have seen that our method was detected almost same genes from subgroup 1 and subgroup 2, which proved stability of our method.



Fig. 2. Identifying Genes Responsible for Cancer




Fig. 3. Comparison analysis Subgroup1 (30 genes)




Fig. 4. Comparison analysis Subgroup2 (30 genes)

In our study, we divide the data set in two part. Individually we apply our method on both. Then we compare each and check if there is any common set of gene in two parts or not. We find a common set of genes having in both result. That satisfy the stability of our method. In table we will show the comparison.

2) Validation and Accuracy:

From literature review and available dataset have got some genes like APC, MUTYH, TP, EPCAM, BMPR are responsible for cancer in a sample [13]. And 5 of them are present in our dataset we took 100 genes for our procedure and 3 of them were also present in our resultant constructed genes shown in table 4.2.

TABLE II. SIMILARITY BETWEEN TWO SUBGROUP

Gene ID	Group-1	Group-2
1	☑	☑
6	☑	☑
20		☑
22	☑	
24	☑	☑
37	☑	☑
97	☑	
1464	☑	
1810	☑	☑




Fig. 5. Final GRN with 100 genes from dataset-1




Fig. 6. Final GRN with 100 genes from dataset-2

From the above table we can see the genes which are mostly responsible and now we will mark those genes which are also available in our study. We applied the method in two datasets. Common genes are marked with red circle along with their number of degree for which they are treating as responsible genes.

Accuracy = (Detected Genes by our Procedure) / (Actual Genes Detected from literature)

$$Accuracy = \frac{3}{5} = 0.60$$

By using this equation, we calculate our estimated accuracy where. From literature we APC, MUTYH, TP, EPCAM, BMPR got genes responsible for cancer in our dataset and among them APC, MUTHY, TP Were present [14]. Finally, we got 3 Genes which match with the genes from those literature and

publications. Thus lastly we got accuracy of 60% on a particular dataset.

Serial No.	Gene ID	Genes name	Top genes with degree
1.	9807	API5	8
2.	6909	SIN3B	7
3.	13690	ATP2A3	7
4.	10023	PAQR3	7
5.	9943	AA495803	6
6.	6703	AA426584	6
7.	426	API53	6
8.	13221	EFCAB10	5
9.	15168	RO083	5
10.	7557	MUTYH4	5
11.	11890	AA420960	5
12.	13690	ATP2A3	5
13.	11239	ADNPC	5

Fig. 7. Highly connected genes involve in network construction for dataset 1

Serial No.	Gene ID	Genes name	Top genes with degree
1.	11326	AKAP6	7
2.	10716	MYH9	7
3.	12090	AA487218	6
4.	10447	TP53BP2	6
5.	106685	MUTYH	6
6.	11578	AA53256	5
7.	9624	SPTBN2	4
8.	13221	PYPN13	4
9.	3489	AP5Z1	4
10.	8356	MUTYH4	4
11.	11890	AA487218	4
12.	11262	MAPKAPK3	4
13.	2244	PTPN	4

Fig. 8. Highly connected genes involve in network construction dataset 2

3) Comparison Analysis

A number of approaches has been followed to identify the genes responsible for cancer. Here this study we will compare only those approaches which is similar with our technique. In the table below we have shown the final genes identified by other method and our method. Here we compared the result with the names found in the different literature and website (biological database). We have shown result of two datasets.

TABLE III. COMPARISON AMONG LITERATURE REVIEWED GENES AND OBTAINED GENE

Name of Genes Found in literature and Biological Database	T-test + Fold change with Pearson Correlation	T-test + Fold change with Mutual Information	Linear Regression with Pearson Correlation (Dataset 1)	Linear Regression with Pearson Correlation (Dataset 2)
APC(Colon)			√	√
TP53(Multiple)		√	√	√
MLH1(Multiple)				
MUTYH(colon)			√	√
POLD1(Colon)	√	√	√	
ACAT2(Multiple)	√	√		√

V. CONCLUSION

A. Summary of Contribution

The complex molecular interaction is for perturbation in the GRN. So, detecting the cancerous genes is a key step for cancer diagnosis. A regulatory network give idea among genes interactions and dependency. In our procedure we took a machine learning approach to find the most significant genes, Pearson correlation between gene-pairs to reconstruction of gene regulatory network. Where we took a sample of 15552 genes and from there after linear regression analysis we got 100 most significant genes after that we apply Pearson correlation model on those 100 Genes to get Pearson factor 'r' value. From those genes we only consider genes which got $r > .85$ and get 56 correlated genes. After reconstruction of those gens we found 12 as a hub nodes and from literature we have found 3 are similar with our procedural result output.

B. Limitation and future work

Due to difficulty in dataset availability, the construction of gene regulatory networks and their validation in a realistic manner is really a difficult task. Our proposed approach can help to identify common molecular interaction in the cancer study not only in colon cancer but other cancer like lung, breast, etc. In future we will try to implement with other dataset for construction of those types of cancer.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] WHO, "Cancer," in World Health Organization, World Health Organization, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.who.int/cancer/en/>. Accessed: Aug. 31, 2016.
- [2] Lee M.-L. T., Kuo, F. C., Whitmore, G. A. & Sklar, I. Importance of replication in microarray gene expression studies: statistical methods and evidence from repetitive cDNA hybridizations. (2000) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 9834.
- [3] J. Quackenbush, "Computational analysis of microarray data." Nat Rev Genet, vol. 2, pp. 418–417, 2001.
- [4] H. W. Renshaw, et al., (2006). "Inference of gene regulatory networks from time course gene expression data using neural networks and swarm intelligence", In Proceeding of IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, pp. 1-8.
- [5] X. Wang and O. Gotoh, "Microarray-based cancer prediction using soft computing approach.," Cancer informatics, vol. 7, pp. 123–39, Jan. 2009
- [6] R. Xu and D. Wunsch II, "Survey of Clustering Algorithm," IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, vol 16, no.3, pp.645-678, 2005
- [7] D'Haeseleer, P., Liang, S. & Somogyi, R., "Genetic Network Inference: from Co-Expression Clustering to Reverse Engineering", Bioinformatics, vol. 16, pp. 707-726, 2000.
- [8] Pearl, J. (1988). Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco.
- [9] Martoglio, A.-M., J. W. Miskin et al, A decomposition model to track gene expression signatures: preview on observer-independent classification of ovarian cancer. Bioinformatics 2002, 18(12):1617-1624
- [10] K. Raza & R. Parveen, (2012). "Soft computing approach for modeling genetic regulatory networks", Advances in Computing and Information Technology, vol. 178, pp. 1-12.
- [11] R. de Matos Simoes and F. Emmert-Streib, "Influence of Statistical Estimators of Mutual Information and Data Heterogeneity on the Inference of Gene Regulatory Networks," PLoS ONE, vol. 6, issue 12, pp. e29279, 2011
- [12] Daley D, Lewis S, Platzer P, et al.: Identification of susceptibility genes for cancer in a genome-wide scan: results from the colon neoplasia sibling study. Am J Hum Genet 82 (3): 723-36, 2008.
- [13] J. Khan, J. Wei, M. Ringner, L. Saal, M. Ladanyi, F. Westermann, F. Berthold, M. Schwab, C. Antonescu, C. Peterson, and P. Meltzer, "Classification and diagnostic prediction of cancer using gene expression profiling and artificial neural networks" Nature Medicine, vol. 7, pp. 973-979, 2001.
- [14] http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/genetics/colorectal/HealthProfessional/page2#Section_257. Accessed: Aug. 31, 2016.
- [15] Z. Wang, M. Gerstein, and M. Snyder, "Rna-seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics," Nat Rev Genet, vol. 10, pp. 57–63, 2009.
- [16] X. H. W. Pan, "Linear regression and two class classification with gene expression data," Bioinformatics, vol. 19(16), pp. 2072–2078, 2003.
- [17] R. D. Smet and K. Marchal, "Advantages and limitations of current network inference methods," Nat Rev Microbiol, vol. 8, pp. 717–729, 2010.
- [18] J. Quackenbush, "Computational analysis of microarray data." Nat Rev Genet, vol. 2, pp. 418–417, 2001
- [19] A. J. Scott, "Illusions in regression analysis," International Journal of Forecasting (forthcoming), 2012
- [20] K. Kira and L. A. Rendell, "The feature selection problem: Traditional methods and a new algorithm," in Proceedings of the AAAI-92, AAAI press, 1992, pp. 129–134.
- [21] Myers, Jerome L.; Well, Arnold D. (2003). Research Design and Statistical Analysis (2nd ss
- [22] S. R. Maetschke, P. B. Madhamshettwar, M. J. Davis, and M. A. Ragan, "Supervised, semi-supervised and unsupervised inference of gene regulatory networks," arXiv:1301.1083v1, 2013.
- [23] A. M. Lesk, Introduction to Bioinformatics, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Oxford Univ. Press, 2005, ch. 6 .
- [24] T. Martin, et al., (2010). "Comparative study of three commonly used continuous deterministic methods for modeling gene regulation networks", BMC Bioinformatics, vol. 11, pp. 459.
- [25] Laken SJ, Petersen GM, Gruber SB, et al.: Familial colorectal cancer in Ashkenazim due to a hypermutable tract in APC. Nat Genet 17 (1): 79-83, 1997
- [26] Teschendorff, A.E., M. Journee, P. A. Absil, et al, Elucidating the altered transcriptional programs in breast cancer using independent component analysis, PLoS Comput Biol., 2007, 3(8), e161.
- [27] Win AK, Jenkins MA, Buchanan DD, et al.: Determining the frequency of de novo germline mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes. J Med Genet 48 (8): 530-4, 2011.