

RADIAL TWO WEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR MAXIMAL BERGMAN PROJECTION INDUCED BY A REGULAR WEIGHT

TANELI KORHONEN, JOSÉ ÁNGEL PELÁEZ, AND JOUNI RÄTTYÄ

ABSTRACT. It is shown in quantitative terms that the maximal Bergman projection

$$P_{\omega}^{+}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) |B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)| \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta),$$

is bounded from L_{ν}^p to L_{η}^p if and only if

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_0^r \frac{\eta(s)}{\left(\int_s^1 \omega(t) dt \right)^p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty,$$

provided ω, ν, η are radial regular weights. A radial weight σ is regular if it satisfies $\sigma(r) \asymp \int_r^1 \sigma(t) dt / (1-r)$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. It is also shown that under an appropriate additional hypothesis involving ω and η , the Bergman projection P_{ω} and P_{ω}^{+} are simultaneously bounded.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

A function $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, integrable over the unit disc \mathbb{D} , is called a weight. It is radial if $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $0 < p < \infty$ and a weight ω , the Lebesgue space L_{ω}^p consists of complex-valued measurable functions f in \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|_{L_{\omega}^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \omega(z) dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where $dA(z) = \frac{dx dy}{\pi}$ denotes the element of the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . The weighted Bergman space A_{ω}^p is the space of analytic functions in L_{ω}^p . If the norm convergence in the Hilbert space A_{ω}^2 implies the uniform convergence on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , the point evaluations are bounded linear functionals on A_{ω}^2 . Therefore there exist reproducing Bergman kernels $B_z^{\omega} \in A_{\omega}^2$ such that

$$f(z) = \langle f, B_z^{\omega} \rangle_{A_{\omega}^2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad f \in A_{\omega}^2.$$

The Hilbert space A_{ω}^2 is a closed subspace of L_{ω}^2 , and hence the orthogonal projection from L_{ω}^2 to A_{ω}^2 is given by

$$P_{\omega}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)} \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The operator P_{ω} is the Bergman projection.

In this paper we will characterize the radial two-weight inequality

$$\|P_{\omega}^{+}(f)\|_{L_{\eta}^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{\nu}^p}, \quad f \in L_{\nu}^p, \quad (1.1)$$

for the maximal Bergman projection $P_{\omega}^{+}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) |B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)| \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta)$ under certain smoothness requirements on the three radial weights involved. The question of when (1.1)

Date: July 5, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Bergman projection, Bergman space, regular weight, two weight inequality.

This research was supported in part by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain, projects MTM2014-52865-P and MTM2015-69323-REDT; La Junta de Andalucía, project FQM210; Academy of Finland project no. 268009.

is satisfied is an open problem even in the very particular case $\omega = \nu = \eta$ if no preliminary hypotheses is imposed on the radial weight.

Two weight inequalities for classical operators have attracted a considerable amount of attention in Complex and Harmonic Analysis, and are closely connected to other interesting questions in the area [2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11]. The most commonly known result on Bergman projection is due to Bekollé and Bonami [3, 4], and concerns the case when $\nu = \eta$ is an arbitrary weight and the inducing weight ω is standard, that is, of the form $\omega(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$ for some $\alpha > -1$; see [1, 11, 13] for recent extensions of this result. In this classical case, the Bergman reproducing kernel $B_z^\omega(\zeta)$ is given by the neat formula $(1 - \bar{z}\zeta)^{-(2+\alpha)}$. However, for a general radial weight ω such explicit formulas for the kernels do not necessarily exist, and that is one of the main obstacles in tackling (1.1). Moreover, kernels induced by radial weights may have zeros, and that of course does not make things any easier. Nonetheless, (1.1) has been recently characterized in the particular case $\nu = \eta$ provided ω and ν are regular weights [10].

For a radial weight ω , we assume throughout the paper that $\hat{\omega}(z) = \int_{|z|}^1 \omega(s) ds > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, for otherwise the Bergman space A_ω^p would contain all analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . A radial weight ω belongs to the class $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\omega) > 1$ such that $\hat{\omega}(r) \leq C\hat{\omega}(\frac{1+r}{2})$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Moreover, if there exist $K = K(\omega) > 1$ and $C = C(\omega) > 1$ such that

$$\hat{\omega}(r) \geq C\hat{\omega}\left(1 - \frac{1-r}{K}\right), \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \quad (1.2)$$

then we write $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$. The intersection $\hat{\mathcal{D}} \cap \check{\mathcal{D}}$ is denoted by \mathcal{D} . A radial weight ω is regular if $\hat{\omega}(r) \asymp \omega(r)(1-r)$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. The class of regular weights is denoted by \mathcal{R} , and $\mathcal{R} \subsetneq \mathcal{D}$. For basic properties of these classes of weights and more, see [8, 9, 12] and the references therein.

The main result of this study is the following theorem, which provides a quantitative description of the boundedness of $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ in terms of a Muckenhoupt-type condition related to weighted Hardy operators.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\eta \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$. Then $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded if and only if*

$$M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_0^r \frac{\eta(s)}{\hat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, $\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \asymp M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta)$.

The key tools in the proof are the precise estimates for the L^p -means and A_ν^p -norms of the Bergman kernel B_z^ω obtained in [10, Theorem 1]. The special case of the said result is repeatedly used in the proof and stated for further reference as follows.

Theorem A. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\omega, \nu \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $M_p^p(r, B_a^\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |B_z^\omega(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \asymp \int_0^{|a|r} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^p}, \quad r, |a| \rightarrow 1^-;$
- (ii) $\|B_a^\omega\|_{A_a^p}^p \asymp \int_0^{|a|} \frac{\hat{\nu}(t)}{\hat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)^p} dt, \quad |a| \rightarrow 1^-.$

The argument used to establish the one weight inequality [10, Theorem 3] for regular weights does not carry over as such to the two weight case. The proof of the sufficiency in Theorem 1 is much more involved due to the presence of the second weight η .

The operators P_ω and P_ω^+ are simultaneously bounded under a natural additional hypothesis. This is the content of the other main result of this study.

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ such that*

$$\int_0^r \frac{\eta(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^p} dt \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^p}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded;
- (ii) $P_\omega : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded;
- (iii) $N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(s)} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty$;
- (iv) $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$.

Moreover,

$$\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \asymp \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \asymp M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \asymp N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta).$$

Although the conditions $N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ and $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ are equivalent for many weights, for example standard weights have this property, the condition $N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ is of course weaker than $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ in general. Indeed, if we pick up an arbitrary $\omega \in \mathcal{R}$, and define $\nu(s) = \omega(s)\widehat{\omega}(s)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left(\log \frac{e}{1-s} \right)^{2\frac{p}{p'}}$ and $\eta(s) = \omega(s)\widehat{\omega}(s)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \left(\log \frac{e}{1-s} \right)^{s\frac{p}{p'}}$, then one can show by using Lemmas B and C below that $N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ but $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) = \infty$.

It is readily seen that the methods used to prove Theorems 1 and 2 carry over to the case $p = 1$. In fact, the proof in this case turns out much more simple for obvious reasons. To be precise, one can show that in the case $p = 1$ the operators P_ω and P_ω^+ are simultaneously bounded, and the uniform boundedness of the quantity

$$\frac{\omega(r)}{\nu(r)} \int_0^1 \frac{\eta(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(tr)} dt$$

is the characterizing condition.

Throughout the paper $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ for $1 < p < \infty$. Further, the letter $C = C(\cdot)$ will denote an absolute constant whose value depends on the parameters indicated in the parenthesis, and may change from one occurrence to another. We will use the notation $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant $C = C(\cdot) > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$, and $a \gtrsim b$ is understood in an analogous manner. In particular, if $a \lesssim b$ and $a \gtrsim b$, then we will write $a \asymp b$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Throughout the proofs we will repeatedly use several basic properties of weights in the classes $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\check{\mathcal{D}}$, gathered in the following two lemmas. For a proof of the first lemma, see [8, Lemma 2.1]; the second one can be proved by similar arguments.

Lemma B. *Let ω be a radial weight. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$;
- (ii) *There exist constants $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\omega}(r) \leq C \left(\frac{1-r}{1-t} \right)^\beta \widehat{\omega}(t), \quad 0 \leq r \leq t < 1;$$

- (iii) *There exists a constant $C = C(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\omega_x = \int_0^1 s^x \omega(s) ds \leq C \widehat{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right), \quad x \in [1, \infty).$$

Lemma C. *Let ω be a radial weight. Then $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ if and only if there exist $C = C(\omega) > 0$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\widehat{\omega}(t) \leq C \left(\frac{1-t}{1-r} \right)^\gamma \widehat{\omega}(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq t < 1.$$

Lemma B(ii) shows that if $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, then there exists $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^\beta}$ is essentially increasing on $[0, 1)$. Similarly, by Lemma C, $\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^\gamma}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ for $\gamma = \gamma(\omega) > 0$ sufficiently small if $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$.

2.1. Necessity. In this section we prove that $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ is a necessary condition for $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ to be bounded under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, and establish the desired upper estimate for the operator norm. This is done under slightly weaker hypotheses than those of the theorem in the following result by using an appropriate family of test functions depending on the weights ω and ν .

Proposition 3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and ν, η radial weights. If $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded, then*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_0^r (J_\omega(s) + 1)^p \eta(s) s ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} s ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim \|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} < \infty,$$

where $J_\omega(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)}$ for all $0 \leq s < 1$.

Proof. Assume that $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) |B_z^\omega(\zeta)| \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right|^p \eta(z) dA(z) \leq \|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^p \|f\|_{L_\nu^p}^p, \quad f \in L_\nu^p, \quad (2.1)$$

with $\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} < \infty$. If ν vanishes on a set $E \subset \mathbb{D}$ of positive measure, then by choosing $f = \chi_E$ the right side of (2.1) is zero. It follows that ω vanishes (almost everywhere) on E or else $\eta \equiv 0$ (almost everywhere) on \mathbb{D} . The latter option being unacceptable as $\widehat{\eta}(r) > 0$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$, we deduce that ωdA is absolutely continuous with respect to νdA . Therefore ω/ν is well defined almost everywhere. Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t < 1$, the function $f_{n,t} = \min \left\{ n, \left(\frac{\omega}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\} \chi_{\mathbb{D} \setminus D(0,t)}$ belongs to L_ν^p . An application of Lemma B(ii) to $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ gives $J_\omega(r) + 1 \asymp J_\omega(r^2) + 1$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Therefore (2.1) and Theorem A(i) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^p \|f_{n,t}\|_{L_\nu^p}^p &\gtrsim \int_0^1 \left(\int_r^1 f_{n,t}(s) \omega(s) s ds \right)^p \eta(r) (J_\omega(r) + 1)^p r dr \\ &\geq \left(\int_0^t \eta(r) (J_\omega(r) + 1)^p r dr \right) \left(\int_t^1 f_{n,t}(s) \omega(s) s ds \right)^p \\ &\gtrsim \left(\int_0^t \eta(r) (J_\omega(r) + 1)^p r dr \right) \|f_{n,t}\|_{L_\nu^p}^{p^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0 \leq t < 1, \end{aligned}$$

because $f_{n,t}^p \nu \leq f_{n,t} \omega$ on \mathbb{D} . This together with the monotone convergence theorem shows that

$$\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^p \gtrsim \left(\int_0^t \eta(r) (J_\omega(r) + 1)^p r dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} s ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad 0 \leq t < 1,$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

If $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, then by Lemma B(ii) there exists $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that $J_\omega(r) \gtrsim \widehat{\omega}(r)^{-1} (1 - (1-r)^\beta)$ for all $0 \leq r < 1$. Therefore, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, we have $\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \gtrsim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta)$, and thus the necessity part is proved.

2.2. Sufficiency. The proof of the sufficiency of $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ for $P_\omega^+ : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ to be bounded is more involved than that of the necessity. We begin with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\nu \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ such that*

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r)}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha(\omega, \nu, p) \in (0, 1)$ to be appropriately fixed later. Then Hölder's inequality and Lemma C yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_0^r \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'(1-\alpha)}(1-t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r)^{(1-\alpha)}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where, by Fubini's theorem and Lemma C,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \\ & = \int_0^r \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \left(\int_0^s \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \right) \frac{ds}{1-s} \\ & \quad + \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \left(\int_0^r \frac{dt}{\hat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \right) \\ & \lesssim \int_0^r \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)^{1-\alpha}}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} + \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}(s)}{\hat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(r)^{p'\alpha}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The latter term is of the desired form. To deal with the first term, observe first that by Lemma B(ii) there exists a constant $\beta = \beta(\omega) > 0$ such that $\frac{\hat{\omega}(r)}{(1-r)^\beta}$ is essentially increasing on $[0, 1)$. Further, for each sufficiently small $\gamma = \gamma(\nu) > 0$ the function $\frac{\hat{\nu}(r)}{(1-r)^\gamma}$ is essentially decreasing on $[0, 1)$ by Lemma C. Pick up such a γ from the interval $(0, p\beta)$, and fix $\alpha \in$

$(1 - \frac{\gamma}{p\beta}, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^r \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)^{1-\alpha}}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} &\lesssim \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-r)^{\beta(1-\alpha)}} \right)^{p'} \int_0^r \left(\frac{(1-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{1-\alpha}}{(1-r)^{\beta(1-\alpha)}} \right)^{p'} \left(\frac{(1-r)^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}}{\widehat{\nu}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \int_0^r \frac{ds}{(1-s)^{1+p'(\frac{\gamma}{p}-\beta(1-\alpha))}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{1-\alpha}}{\widehat{\nu}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \lesssim \left(\int_r^{\frac{1+r}{2}} \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{p'\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{p'\alpha}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.3) gives

$$\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^{p'\alpha}(1-t)} \lesssim \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\widehat{\omega}(s)}{\widehat{\nu}(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} \frac{ds}{1-s} \right) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{p'\alpha}}, \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Finally, by combining the above inequality with (2.2) we obtain the claim. \square

We are now ready to prove the sufficiency part of Theorem 1. To do this, assume $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$, and observe that then the function $h(z) = \nu^{1/p}(z) \left(\int_{|z|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{pp'}}$ is well defined for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence an integration shows that

$$\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{h(s)} \right)^{p'} ds = p' \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad 0 \leq t < 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_{\omega}^+(f)\|_{L_{\eta}^p}^p &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p |B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)| dA(\zeta) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)| \left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{h(\zeta)} \right)^{p'} dA(\zeta) \right)^{p/p'} \eta(z) dA(z),
\end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where, by Theorem A(i), Fubini's theorem and (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_z^{\omega}(\zeta)| \left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{h(\zeta)} \right)^{p'} dA(\zeta) &\lesssim \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{h(s)} \right)^{p'} \left(\int_0^{s|z|} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right) ds + 1 \\
&= \int_0^{|z|} \left(\int_{t/|z|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{h(s)} \right)^{p'} ds \right) \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} + 1 \\
&\leq \int_0^{|z|} \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{h(s)} \right)^{p'} ds \right) \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} + 1 \\
&= p' \int_0^{|z|} \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.5), Fubini's theorem and another application of Theorem A(i) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_\omega^+(f)\|_{L_\eta^p}^p &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p |B_z^\omega(\zeta)| dA(\zeta) \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left(\int_0^{|z|} \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \eta(z) dA(z) + I_1(f) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \left(\int_0^{r|\zeta|} \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r)r dr \right] dA(\zeta) + I_1(f) + I_2(f),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$I_1(f) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p |B_z^\omega(\zeta)| dA(\zeta) \right) \eta(z) dA(z)$$

and

$$I_2(f) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \eta(r)r dr \right) dA(\zeta).$$

By Fubini's theorem, Theorem A(ii) Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p h(\zeta)^p |B_z^\omega(\zeta)| dA(\zeta) \right) \eta(z) dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \nu(\zeta) \left(\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} dt \right) + \|f\|_{L_\nu^p}^p \\
 &\lesssim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\zeta)|^p \nu(\zeta) \left(\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|f\|_{L_\nu^p}^p \\
 &\lesssim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \|f\|_{L_\nu^p}^p
 \end{aligned}$$

because $\widehat{\eta}(r)/(1-|\zeta|)$ is a weight by the hypothesis $\eta \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ and Lemma C. Fubini's theorem and Lemma C for $\omega \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ give

$$\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^p(1-t)} dt \lesssim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)^p} + \int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(t)}{\widehat{\omega}(t)^p} dt, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

But since $\eta \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$ by the hypothesis, there exists a constant $K = K(\eta) > 1$ such that η satisfies (1.2). Hence, by using Lemma B(ii) for $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, we deduce

$$\int_0^r \frac{\eta(s)}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \geq \int_{1-K(1-r)}^r \frac{\eta(s)}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \geq \frac{\int_{1-K(1-r)}^r \eta(s) ds}{\widehat{\omega}(1-K(1-r))^p} \gtrsim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^p}, \quad r \geq 1-K^{-1},$$

and hence

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right)^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows that $I_1(f) \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}^p$. To deal with the remaining terms, we split the integral over $(0, 1)$ in (2.6) into two parts at $|\zeta|$. On one hand, since $\omega, \eta \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, Lemma C and (2.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \left(\int_0^{r|\zeta|} \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r) dr \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)} \int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \eta(r) dr \\
& \lesssim M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)} \int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\int_0^r \frac{dt}{\widehat{\eta}(t)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \eta(r) dr \\
& \asymp M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)} \int_{|\zeta|}^1 \eta(r) \widehat{\eta}(r)^{-\frac{1}{p'}} dr = M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) p \frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, by using (2.7) again we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& h^p(\zeta) \int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \left(\int_0^{r|\zeta|} \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r) r dr \\
& \lesssim M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \nu(\zeta) \left(\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(\zeta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(\zeta)} \lesssim M_p^p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \nu(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{R}$, Lemma 4, Lemma C and the hypothesis $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{|\zeta|} \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \left(\int_0^{r|\zeta|} \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r) r dr \\
& \lesssim \int_0^{|\zeta|} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{p}{(p')^2}} \frac{1}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^{p-1}} \left(\int_0^r \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r) dr \\
& \lesssim M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(r)}{\widehat{\omega}(r)^p \left(\int_0^r \frac{\eta(s)}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}} dr \asymp M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \left(\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(s)}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with the hypothesis $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) < \infty$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& h^p(\zeta) \int_0^{|\zeta|} \left(\int_0^r \left(\int_t^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{dt}{\widehat{\omega}(t)(1-t)} \right)^{p/p'} \left(\int_0^{r|\zeta|} \frac{dx}{\widehat{\omega}(x)(1-x)} \right) \eta(r) r dr \\
& \lesssim M_p^{\frac{p}{p'}}(\omega, \nu, \eta) \nu(\zeta) \left(\int_{|\zeta|}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(s)}{\nu(s)} \right)^{p'} \nu(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_0^{|\zeta|} \frac{\eta(s)}{\widehat{\omega}(s)^p} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim M_p^p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \nu(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by combining the previous estimates, we deduce that the third last term in (2.6) is bounded by a constant times $M_p^p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \|f\|_{L^p}^p$. Essentially the same reasoning shows that $I_2(f)$ obeys the same upper bound. Thus, by putting everything together, we see that $\|P_\omega^+(f)\|_{L_\eta^p} \lesssim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \|f\|_{L^p}$ as claimed.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Trivially (i) implies (ii), and $\|P_\omega^+\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \lesssim M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta)$ by Theorem 1. Since the hypothesis (1.4) guarantees $M_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \lesssim N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta)$, it suffices to show that $N_p(\omega, \nu, \eta) \lesssim \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}$. The following result gives this implication and completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\omega \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{D}$ and ν a radial weight. If $P_\omega : L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p$ is bounded, then*

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\nu(t)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}.$$

Proof. The adjoint of P_ω is defined by

$$\langle P_\omega(f), g \rangle_{L_\eta^2} = \langle f, P_\omega^*(g) \rangle_{L_\nu^2}, \quad f \in L_\nu^p, \quad g \in L_\eta^{p'}.$$

Now [10, Theorem 1(i)] and Lemma C, applied to $\eta \in \check{\mathcal{D}}$, yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |B_\zeta^\omega(z)| \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right) \eta(z) dA(z) \lesssim \int_0^1 \eta(r) \log \frac{e}{1-r} dr = \int_0^1 \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)}{1-r} dr < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

If f and g are bounded functions, then (3.1) shows that we may apply Fubini's theorem to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_\omega(f), g \rangle_{L_\eta^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} P_\omega(f)(z) \overline{g(z)} \eta(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) B_\zeta^\omega(z) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \right) \overline{g(z)} \eta(z) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{g(z)} B_\zeta^\omega(z) \eta(z) dA(z) \right) \omega(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \overline{\left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(z) B_z^\omega(\zeta) \eta(z) dA(z) \right)} \nu(\zeta) dA(\zeta) = \langle f, P_\omega^*(g) \rangle_{L_\nu^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the simple functions are dense in L_σ^p for each $1 \leq p < \infty$ and radial σ , this shows that

$$P_\omega^*(g)(\zeta) = \frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(z) B_z^\omega(\zeta) \eta(z) dA(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D}, \quad g \in L_\eta^{p'}.$$

The adjoint operator $P_\omega^* : L_\eta^{p'} \rightarrow L_\nu^{p'}$ is bounded by the hypothesis, and $\|P_\omega^*\|_{L_\eta^{p'} \rightarrow L_\nu^{p'}} = \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}$. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \right)^{p'} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(z) B_z^\omega(\zeta) \eta(z) dA(z) \right|^{p'} \nu(\zeta) dA(\zeta) \leq \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^{p'} \|g\|_{L_\eta^{p'}}^{p'}, \quad g \in L_\eta^{p'}. \quad (3.2)$$

By considering the standard orthonormal basis $\{z^j / \sqrt{2\omega_{2j+1}}\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, of the Hilbert space A_ω^2 , one deduces

$$B_z^\omega(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta \bar{z})^n}{2\omega_{2n+1}}, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

By testing (3.2) with monomials $g_n(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\eta_{2n+1}}{\omega_{2n+1}} \right)^{p'} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\zeta|^{np'} \left(\frac{\omega(\zeta)}{\nu(\zeta)} \right)^{p'} \nu(\zeta) dA(\zeta) &= \|P_\omega^*(g_n)\|_{L_\nu^{p'}}^{p'} \leq \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^{p'} \|g_n\|_{L_\eta^{p'}}^{p'} \\ &= 2 \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^{p'} \eta_{np'+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

from which Lemma B(ii)(iii), applied to $\omega, \eta \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^{p'} \rightarrow L_\eta^p}^{p'} &\gtrsim \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{\eta_{2n+1}^{p'}}{\omega_{2n+1}^{p'} \eta_{np'+1}} \int_0^1 t^{np'} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\nu(t)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) t dt \right) \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{\widehat{\eta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p'-1}}{\widehat{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p'}} \int_0^1 t^{np'} \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\nu(t)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) t dt \right) \\ &\gtrsim \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}} \left(\frac{\widehat{\eta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p'-1}}{\widehat{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{p'}} \int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^1 \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\nu(t)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) t dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq r < 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$. By applying Lemma B(ii) again we finally deduce

$$\|P_\omega\|_{L_\nu^p \rightarrow L_\eta^p} \gtrsim \frac{\widehat{\eta}(r)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\widehat{\omega}(r)} \left(\int_r^1 \left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\nu(t)} \right)^{p'} \nu(t) t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1.$$

The assertion follows from this inequality because the last integral converges for $r = 0$ by (3.3) with $n = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman and O. Constantin, The Bergman projection on vector-valued L^2 -spaces with operator-valued weights, *J. Funct. Anal.* 262, (2012) no. 5, 2359–2378.
- [2] A. Aleman, S. Pott and M.C. Reguera, Sarason conjecture on the Bergman space, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2017, no. 14, 4320–4349.
- [3] D. Bekollé, Inégalités á poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de \mathbb{C}^n [Weighted inequalities for the Bergman projection in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n], *Studia Math.* 71 (1981/82), no. 3, 305–323.
- [4] D. Bekollé and A. Bonami, Inégalités á poids pour le noyau de Bergman, (French) *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A–B* 286 (1978), no. 18, 775–778.
- [5] T. Hytönen, The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 175 (2012), no. 3, 1473–1506.
- [6] M. Lacey, E. Sawyer, S. Chun-Yen and I. Uriarte-Tuero, Two-weight inequality for the Hilbert transform: a real variable characterization, I. *Duke Math. J.* 163 (2014), no. 15, 2795–2820.
- [7] M. Lacey, E. Sawyer, S. Chun-Yen, I. Uriarte-Tuero and B. D. Wick, Two Weight Inequalities for the Cauchy Transform from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C}^+ , <https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4820>
- [8] J. A. Peláez, Small weighted Bergman spaces, *Proceedings of the summer school in complex and harmonic analysis, and related topics*, (2016).
- [9] J. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Weighted Bergman spaces induced by rapidly increasing weights, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 227 (2014).
- [10] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Two weight inequality for Bergman projection, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 105 (2016), no 1, 102–130.
- [11] J. A. Peláez, J. Rättyä and B. D. Wick, Bergman projection induced by kernel with integral representation, to appear in *J. Anal. Math.*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.00718>
- [12] J. A. Peláez and J. Rättyä, Weighted Bergman projections on L^∞ , preprint.
- [13] S. Pott and M. C. Reguera, Sharp Bekollé estimate for the Bergman projection, *J. Funct. Anal.* 265 (2013), 3233–3244.

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, P.O.BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND
E-mail address: `taneli.korhonen@uef.fi`

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, CAMPUS DE TEATINOS, 29071 MÁLAGA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `japelaez@uma.es`

UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND, P.O.BOX 111, 80101 JOENSUU, FINLAND
E-mail address: `jouni.rattya@uef.fi`