

# THE STOKES PARADOX IN INHOMOGENEOUS ELASTOSTATICS

ADELE FERONE, REMIGIO RUSSO, AND ALFONSINA TARTAGLIONE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the displacement problem of inhomogeneous elastostatics in a two-dimensional exterior Lipschitz domain has a unique solution with finite Dirichlet integral  $\mathbf{u}$ , vanishing uniformly at infinity if and only if the boundary datum satisfies a suitable compatibility condition (Stokes' paradox). Moreover, we prove that it is unique under the sharp condition  $\mathbf{u} = o(\log r)$  and decays uniformly at infinity with a rate depending on the elasticities. In particular, if these last ones tend to a homogeneous state at large distance, then  $\mathbf{u} = O(r^{-\alpha})$ , for every  $\alpha < 1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior Lipschitz domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The displacement problem of plane elastostatics in exterior domains is to find a solution to the equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \hat{\mathbf{u}} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{u}(x) &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{u}$  is the (unknown) displacement field,  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  is an (assigned) boundary displacement,  $\mathbf{C} \equiv [\mathbf{C}_{ijhk}]$  is the (assigned) elasticity tensor, *i.e.*, a map from  $\Omega \times \operatorname{Lin} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}$ , linear on  $\operatorname{Sym}$  and vanishing in  $\Omega \times \operatorname{Skw}$ . We shall assume  $\mathbf{C}$  to be symmetric, *i.e.*,  $\mathbf{C}_{ijhk} = \mathbf{C}_{hki j}$  and positive definite, *i.e.*,

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_0 |\mathbf{E}|^2 \leq \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{E}] \leq \mu_e |\mathbf{E}|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{E} \in \operatorname{Sym}, \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega.$$

By appealing to the principle of virtual work and taking into account that  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is an admissible (or virtual) displacement, we say that  $\mathbf{u} \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,q}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution (variational solution for  $q = 2$ ) to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> provided

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

A weak solution to (1.1) is a weak solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> which satisfies the boundary condition in the sense of the trace in Sobolev's spaces and tends to zero at infinity in a generalized sense. If  $\mathbf{u} \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1,q}(\overline{\Omega})$  is a weak solution to (1.1) the traction field on the boundary

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{n}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 74B05, 35J47, 35J57 Secondary 76D07.

*Key words and phrases.* Inhomogeneous elasticity, two-dimensional exterior domains, existence and uniqueness theorems, Stokes' paradox.

exists as a well defined field of  $W^{-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$  and for  $q = 2$  the following generalized *work and energy relation* [9] holds

$$\int_{\Omega_R} \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) + \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}),$$

for every large  $R$ , where with abuse of notation by  $\int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u})$  we mean the value of the functional  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) \in W^{-1/2,2}(\Sigma)$  at  $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1/2,2}(\Sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the unit outward (with respect to  $\Omega$ ) normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . It will be clear from the context when we shall refer to an ordinary integral or to a functional.

It is a routine to show that under assumption (1.2), (1.1)<sub>1,2</sub> has a unique solution  $\mathbf{u} \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , we shall call *D-solution* (for the notation see at the end of this section). Moreover, it exhibits more regularity provided  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  are more regular. In particular, the following well-known theorem holds [8], [12].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior Lipschitz domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfy (1.2)<sup>1</sup>. If  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ , then (1.1)<sub>1,2</sub> has a unique D-solution  $\mathbf{u}$  which is locally Hölder continuous in  $\Omega$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^k$ ,  $\mathbf{C} \in C_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{k-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$  ( $k \geq 1, q \in (1, +\infty)$ ), then  $\mathbf{u} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{k,q}(\bar{\Omega})$ .*

The main problem left open by Theorem 1.1 is to establish the behavior of the variational solution at large distance: *does  $\mathbf{u}$  converge to a constant vector at infinity and, if so, does (or under what conditions and in what sense)  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies (1.1)<sub>3</sub>?* For constant  $\mathbf{C}$  (homogeneous elasticity) the situation is well understood (see, e.g., [16], [17]), at least in its negative information. Indeed, a solution to (1.1)<sub>1,2</sub> is expressed by a simple layer potential

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \mathbf{v}[\boldsymbol{\psi}](x) + \boldsymbol{\kappa},$$

for some  $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in W^{-1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ , where

$$\mathbf{v}[\boldsymbol{\psi}](x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{U}(x-y)\boldsymbol{\psi}(y)ds_y$$

is the simple layer with density  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(x-y) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_0 \log|x-y| + \boldsymbol{\Phi}(x-y),$$

with  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_0 \in \text{Lin}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{o\} \rightarrow \text{Lin}$  homogeneous of degree zero, is the fundamental solution to equations (1.1) (see, e.g., [10]). The space  $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{C}} = \{\boldsymbol{\psi} \in L^2(\partial\Omega) : \mathbf{v}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{constant}\}$  has dimension two and if  $\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \boldsymbol{\psi}_2\}$  is a basis of  $\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{C}}$ , then  $\{\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\psi}_2\}$  is a basis

<sup>1</sup> For constant  $\mathbf{C}$  (homogeneous elasticity) it is sufficient to assume that  $\mathbf{C}$  is strongly elliptic, i.e., there is  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\lambda_0|\mathbf{a}|^2|\mathbf{b}|^2 \leq \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}]\mathbf{b}$ , for all  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; (1.3) assures that  $\mathbf{u} - \boldsymbol{\kappa} = O(r^{-1})$ , where the constant vector  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$  is determined by the relation

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}' = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi}' \in \mathfrak{C}.$$

Hence it follows

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior Lipschitz domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathbf{C}$  be constant and strongly elliptic. If  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ , then (1.1) has a unique  $D$ -solution, analytic in  $\Omega$ , if and only if*

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}' = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\psi}' \in \mathfrak{C} \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{u}$  is unique in the class

$$(1.5) \quad \{\mathbf{u} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\bar{\Omega}) : \mathbf{u} = o(\log r)\}$$

and modulo a field  $\mathbf{v}[\boldsymbol{\psi}'] - \mathbf{v}[\boldsymbol{\psi}']|_{\partial\Omega}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}' \in \mathfrak{C}$ , in the class

$$\{\mathbf{u} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\bar{\Omega}) : \mathbf{u} = o(r)\}.$$

An immediate consequence of (1.4) is nonexistence of a solution to (1.1) corresponding to a constant boundary data. This phenomenon for the Stokes' equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - \nabla p &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

is popular as *Stokes paradox* and goes back to the pioneering work of G.G. Stokes (1851) on the study of the (slow) translational motions of a ball in an incompressible viscous fluid of viscosity  $\mu$  (see [7] and Ch. V of [6]). Clearly, as it stands, Stokes' paradox can be read only as a *negative result*, unless we are not able to find an analytic expression of the densities of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . As far as we know, this is possible only for the ellipse of equation  $f(\xi) = 1$ . Indeed, in this case it is known that  $\mathfrak{C} = \operatorname{spn}\{\mathbf{e}_1/|\nabla f|, \mathbf{e}_2/|\nabla f|\}$  (see, e.g., [19]) and Theorem 1.2 reads

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be the exterior of an ellipse of equation  $f(\xi) = 1$  and let  $\mathbf{C}$  be constant and strongly elliptic. If  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ , then (1.1) has a unique solution expressed by a simple layer potential, with a density  $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in W^{-1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$  satisfying (1.3), if and only if*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}{|\nabla f|} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The situation is not so clear in inhomogeneous elasticity. In fact, in such a case it is not known whether  $\mathbf{u}$  converges at infinity and even the definition of the space  $\mathfrak{C}$  needs to be clarified.

The purpose of this paper is to show that results similar to those stated in Theorem 1.2 hold in inhomogeneous elasticity, at least in its negative meaning.

By  $\mathfrak{M}$  we shall denote the linear space of variational solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{h}] &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{h} &= \mathbf{0} && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{h} &\in BMO. \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\mathbf{C}$  is *regular at infinity* if there is a constant elasticity tensor  $\mathbf{C}_0$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbf{C}_0.$$

The following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.4.** (Stokes' Paradox of inhomogeneous elastostatics) – *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior Lipschitz domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfy (1.2). It holds:*

(i)  $\dim \mathfrak{M} = 2$  and if  $\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then  $\left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_1), \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_2) \right\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(ii) If  $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1/2,2}(\partial\Omega)$ , then system (1.1) has a unique  $D$ -solution  $\mathbf{u}$  if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

(iii)  $\mathbf{u}$  is unique in the class (1.5) and modulo a field  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{M}$  in the class

$$\{\mathbf{u} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\bar{\Omega}) : \mathbf{u} = o(r^{\gamma/2})\},$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{4\mu_0}{5\mu_0 + 8\mu_e}$$

(iv) there is a positive  $\alpha$  depending on the elasticities such that

$$(1.8) \quad \mathbf{u} = O(r^{-\alpha})$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{C}$  is regular at infinity then (1.8) holds for all  $\alpha < 1$ .

Clearly, (i) – (ii) imply in particular that if  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  is constant, then (1.1) has no solution in  $D^{1,2}(\Omega)$  (Stokes' paradox).

Also, for more particular tensor  $\mathbf{C}$  we prove

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior Lipschitz domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\mathbf{C} : \Omega \times \text{Lin} \rightarrow \text{Lin}$  satisfies*

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda |\mathbf{E}|^2 \leq \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{E}] \leq \Lambda |\mathbf{E}|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{E} \in \text{Lin}.$$

A variational solution to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \hat{\mathbf{u}} && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

is unique in the class

$$(1.10) \quad \{\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} = o(r^{1/\sqrt{L}})\} \setminus \mathfrak{M}, \quad L = \Lambda/\lambda,$$

and if  $\mathbf{u}$  belongs to  $D^{1,2}(\mathfrak{C}S_{R_0})$ , then

$$\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0 = O(r^{\epsilon-1/\sqrt{L}}),$$

for all positive  $\epsilon$ , where  $\mathbf{u}_0$  is the constant vector defined by

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Theorem 1.4, 1.5 are proved in section 3. In section 2 we collect the main tools we shall need to prove them and in Section 4 by means of counter-examples we observe that our results are sharp; for instance, in Theorem 1.5 uniqueness fails in the class defined by (1.10) with  $O$  instead of  $o$ .

NOTATION – Unless otherwise specified, we will essentially use the notation of the classical monograph [9] of M.E. Gurtin. In indicial notation  $(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}])_i = \partial_j (\mathbf{C}_{ijhk} \partial_k u_h)$ .  $\operatorname{Lin}$  is the space of second-order tensors (linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into itself) and  $\operatorname{Sym}$ ,  $\operatorname{Skw}$  are the spaces of the symmetric and skew elements of  $\operatorname{Lin}$  respectively. As is customary, if  $\mathbf{E} \in \operatorname{Lin}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{v}$  is the vector with components  $E_{ij}v_j$  and  $\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}$ ,  $\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{u}$  denote respectively the symmetric and skew parts of  $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ .  $(o, \{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1,2})$ ,  $o \in \Omega'$ , is the standard orthonormal reference frame;  $\mathbf{x} = x - o$ ,  $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ ,  $S_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r < R\}$ ,  $T_R = S_{2R} \setminus S_R$ ;  $\Omega_R = \Omega \cap S_R$ ;  $R_0$  is a large positive constant such that  $S_{R_0} \supset \overline{\Omega'}$ ;  $\mathbf{e}_r = \mathbf{x}/r$ , for all  $x \neq o$ .  $W^{k,q}(\Omega)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ ) denotes the ordinary Sobolev's space [8];  $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k,q}(\Omega)$  and  $W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k,q}(\overline{\Omega})$  are the spaces of all  $\varphi \in W^{k,q}(K)$  such that  $\varphi \in W_{\operatorname{loc}}^{k,q}(K)$  for every compact  $K \subset \Omega$  and  $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$  respectively.  $W^{-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$  is the trace space of  $D^{1,q}(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\Omega) : \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < +\infty\}$  ( $q > 1$ ) and  $W^{-1/q,q}(\partial\Omega)$  is its dual space.  $BMO = BMO(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{\varphi \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \sup_R \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{S_R} |\varphi - \varphi_{S_R}| < +\infty\}$ .  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is the Hardy space. As is usual, if  $f(x)$  and  $\phi(r)$  are functions defined in a neighborhood of infinity  $\mathfrak{C}S_{R_0}$ , then  $f(x) = o(\phi(r))$  and  $f(x) = O(\phi(r))$  mean respectively that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} (f/g) = 0$  and  $f/g$  is bounded in  $\mathfrak{C}S_{R_0}$ . To alleviate notation, we do not distinguish between scalar, vector and second-order tensor space functions;  $c$  will denote a positive constant whose numerical value is not essential to our purposes; also we let  $c(\epsilon)$  denote a positive function of  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c(\epsilon) = 0$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let us collect the main tools we shall need to prove Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 and that have some interest in themselves. By  $\mathcal{I}$  we shall denote the exterior of a ball  $S_{R_0} \ni \mathfrak{C}\Omega$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [5] [12] *Let  $\mathbf{u} \in D^{1,q}(\mathcal{I})$ ,  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ . If  $q > 2$  then  $\mathbf{u}/r \in L^q(\mathcal{I})$  and if  $q < 2$ , then there is a constant vector  $\mathbf{u}_0$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{I}} \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0|^q}{r^q} \leq c \int_{\mathcal{I}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^q \quad \text{Hardy's inequality.}$$

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{u} \in D^{1,q}(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $q$  in a neighborhood of 2, then  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + o(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a variational solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> in  $S_{\bar{R}}$ , then for all  $0 < \rho < R \leq \bar{R}$ ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{S_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^\gamma \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2, \quad \gamma = \frac{4\mu_0}{5\mu_0 + 8\mu_e}.$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\mathbf{u}$  is regular. Taking into account that

$$(2.2) \quad |\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^2 = \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^\top = \operatorname{div} [(\nabla \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}] + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2,$$

a simple computation yields

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_0 G(R) &= \mu_0 \int_{S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) = -\mu_0 \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u} \\ &+ 2\mu_0 \int_{S_R} |\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq 2 \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}]\mathbf{e}_R - \mu_0 \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2\pi} [(\nabla \mathbf{u})^\top \mathbf{e}_R - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{e}_R](R, \theta) d\theta = \mathbf{0}, \\ &\int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}]\mathbf{e}_R(R, \theta) d\theta = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

by Schwarz's inequality, Cauchy's inequality and Wirtinger's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \left( \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{u} \right) \right| &\leq \left\{ \int_{\partial S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \int_{\partial S_R} \left| \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{u} \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq R \int_{\partial S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2, \\ \left| \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}) \left( \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{u} \right) \right| &\leq R \int_{\partial S_R} |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4R} \int_{\partial S_R} \left| \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{u} \right|^2, \\ &\leq R \int_{\partial S_R} |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{R}{4} \int_{\partial S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2, \\ \left| \int_{\partial S_R} \left( \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{u} \right) \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}]\mathbf{e}_R \right| &\leq \mu_e R \int_{\partial S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and taking into account that by the basic calculus

$$G'(R) = \int_{\partial S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2),$$

(2.3) yields

$$\gamma G(R) \leq R G'(R).$$

Hence (2.1) follows by a simple integration. The above argument applies to a variational solution by a classical approximation argument (see, *e.g.*, footnote <sup>(1)</sup> in [13]).  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a variational solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$  and such that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ , then by repeating the steps in the proof of Lemma 2.2, it follows*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\mathbf{u}$  is a variational solution to*

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

*with  $\mathbf{f}$  having compact support, then for large  $R$*

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\Omega_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \sigma(\mathbf{u}) \right\},$$

*where*

$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}) = 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) - \mu_0 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u} + 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(2.7) \quad g_R(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r > 2R, \\ 1, & r < R, \\ R^{-1}(2R - r), & r \in [R, 2R], \end{cases}$$

with  $S_R \supset \operatorname{supp} \mathbf{f}$ . A standard calculation and (2.2) yield

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu_0 \int_{\Omega} g_R^2 (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) = 2\mu_0 \int_{\Omega} g_R \nabla g_R \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u} \\ & + 2\mu_0 \int_{\Omega} g_R^2 |\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^2 - \mu_0 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u} \\ & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} g_R \nabla g_R \cdot (\mu_0 (\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}) - 2\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}])\mathbf{u} + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) \\ & - \mu_0 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{n} \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{u} + 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple application of Cauchy's inequality (2.8) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} g_R^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_R|^2 |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \sigma(\mathbf{u}).$$

Hence (2.6) follows by the properties of the function  $g_R$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Under the stronger assumption  $\mathbf{u}$  is a  $D$ -solution, we can repeat the previous argument to obtain instead of (2.6) the following inequality, for  $R$  sufficiently large

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{G}S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{u}|^2 .$$

In such case instead of the function  $g_R$  we have to consider the function

$$(2.10) \quad \eta_R(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r < R, \\ 1, & r > 2R, \\ R^{-1}(r - R), & r \in [R, 2R], \end{cases}$$

and the thesis follows similarly.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a variational solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> such that

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

If

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbf{u}(x) = o(r^{\gamma/2}),$$

then  $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$  and

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta_R$  be the function defined in (2.10). For large  $\bar{R}$  the field

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{v} = \eta_{\bar{R}} \mathbf{u}$$

is a variational solution to

$$(2.15) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{v}] + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with

$$(2.16) \quad f_i = -C_{ijhk} \partial_k u_h \partial_j \eta_{\bar{R}} - \partial_j (C_{ijhk} u_h \partial_k \eta_{\bar{R}}).$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  be the variational solutions to the systems

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{v}_1] &= 0 & \text{in } S_R, \\ \mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{v} & \text{on } \partial S_R, \end{aligned}$$



and

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{v}_2] + \mathbf{f} &= \mathbf{0} & \text{in } S_R, \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial S_R, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, with  $R > 2\bar{R}$ . By (2.1)

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{S_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_1|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_1|^2.$$

A simple computation and the first Korn inequality

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{v}_2\|_{L^2(S_R)} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}_2\|_{L^2(S_R)}$$

yield

$$\mu_0 \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq 2 \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} \partial_j v_{2i} \mathbf{C}_{ijhk} u_h \partial_k \eta_{\bar{R}} - 2 \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} v_{2i} \mathbf{C}_{ijhk} \partial_k u_h \partial_j \eta_{\bar{R}} = \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2.$$

By Schwarz's inequality

$$|\mathcal{J}_1|^2 \leq c \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2 \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2,$$

and since by (2.11)  $\int_{T_{\bar{R}}} \mathbf{C}_{ijhk} \partial_k u_h \partial_j \eta_{\bar{R}} = 0$ ,

$$|\mathcal{J}_2|^2 \leq c \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} \left| \mathbf{v}_2 - \frac{1}{|T_{\bar{R}}|} \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} \mathbf{v}_2 \right|^2 \int_{T_{\bar{R}}} |\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}]|^2 \leq c \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2.$$

Hence

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2 \leq c_0.$$

By uniqueness  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$  in  $S_R$ . Therefore, putting together (2.19), (2.20), using the inequality  $|a + b|^2 \leq 2|a|^2 + 2|b|^2$  and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 &\leq 2 \int_{S_\rho} (|\nabla \mathbf{v}_1|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2) \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}_1|^2 + c_0 \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + c_0 \leq \frac{c(\rho)}{R^{2+\gamma}} \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account (2.12), letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Let consider now the function (2.7). Multiplying (1.1)<sub>1</sub> scalarly by  $g_R \mathbf{u}$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$(2.22) \quad \int_{\Omega} g_R \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) - \int_{T_R} \nabla g_R \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{u}.$$

From (2.11) it follows that  $\int_{T_R} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{e}_r = \mathbf{0}$ , so that by applying Schwarz's inequality and Poincaré's inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla g_R \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{u} \right| \leq \frac{c}{R} \left( \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{T_R}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{T_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \int_{T_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2.$$

Therefore, (2.13) follows from (2.22) by letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  and taking into account the properties of  $g_R$  and that  $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** *In the previous Lemma we proved, in particular, that a variational solution which satisfies (2.11) and (2.12) is a D-solution. Another sufficient condition to have a D-solution is to assume (2.11) and  $\mathbf{u} \in D^{1,q}(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0})$ , for some  $R_0$  sufficiently large and for some  $q \in \left(2, \frac{4}{2-\gamma}\right)$ . Indeed, by reasoning as in (2.21) and applying Hölder's inequality we obtain*

$$\int_{S_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + c_0 \leq c(\rho) R^{\frac{2(q-2)}{q}-\gamma} \left( \int_{\mathbb{C}S_{R_0}} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^q \right)^{2/q} + c_0.$$

Then we get  $\nabla \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$  on letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Remark 2.4.** *From Lemma 2.4 it follows that up to a constant the homogeneous traction problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] &= \mathbf{0} && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) &= \mathbf{0} && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) &= o(r^{\gamma/2}), \end{aligned}$$

has only the trivial solution.

**Lemma 2.5.** *A D-solution  $\mathbf{u}$  to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> satisfies (2.11) and for all  $R > \rho \gg R_0$ ,*

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^\gamma \int_{\mathbb{C}S_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to assume  $\mathbf{u}$  regular. Multiplying (1.1)<sub>1</sub> by the function (2.7) and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{T_R} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \nabla g_R.$$

Hence (2.11) follows taking into account that by Schwarz's inequality

$$\left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) \right| = \left| \int_{T_R} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \nabla g_R \right| \leq \frac{1}{R} \left\{ \int_{T_R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{T_R} \right\}^{1/2} \leq c \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(T_R)},$$

and letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ .

A standard computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} g_\varrho (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) &= 2\mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} g_\varrho |\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^2 + \mu_0 \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}] \mathbf{u} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_0}{\varrho} \int_{T_\varrho} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot [\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1}] \mathbf{u} \leq - \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot [2\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] - \mu_0(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1})] \mathbf{u} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T_\varrho} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot [2\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] - \mu_0(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1})] \mathbf{u}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\varrho \gg R_0$ . Hence, since by (2.4), Schwarz's inequality and Wirtinger's inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_{T_\varrho} \mathbf{e}_r \cdot [2\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] - \mu_0(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1})] \mathbf{u} \right| \leq c \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(T_\varrho)},$$

letting  $\varrho \rightarrow +\infty$ , it follows

$$(2.24) \quad \mu_0 \int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) \leq - \int_{\partial S_R} \mathbf{e}_R \cdot [2\mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] - \mu_0(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{1})] \mathbf{u}.$$

Now proceeding as we did in the proof of Lemma 2.2, (2.24) yields

$$(2.25) \quad \gamma Q(R) = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2) \leq R \int_{\partial S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2).$$

Since by the basic calculus

$$Q'(R) = - \int_{\partial S_R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}|^2),$$

(2.23) follows from (2.25) by a simple integration.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *There is  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\gamma) > 0$  such that every  $D$ -solution  $\mathbf{u}$  to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> belongs to  $D^{1,q}(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $q \in (2 - \epsilon, 2 + \epsilon)$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{C}$  is regular at infinity, then  $\mathbf{u} \in D^{1,q}(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\eta_{\bar{R}}$  be the function (2.10) for large  $\bar{R}$ . The field  $\mathbf{v} = \eta_{\bar{R}} \mathbf{u}$  is a variational solution to

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}_0[\nabla \mathbf{v}] + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}_0)[\nabla \mathbf{v}] + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $\mathbf{f}$  is defined by (2.16) and  $\mathbf{C}_0$  is a constant elasticity tensor. Let  $\mathcal{U}(x - y)$  be the fundamental solution to the equation  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}_0[\nabla \mathbf{v}] = \mathbf{0}$ , the integral transform

$$\mathcal{Q}[\mathbf{v}](x) = \nabla \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{U}(x - y) (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}_0)[\nabla \mathbf{v}](y) dv_y$$

maps  $D^{1,q}$  into itself for every  $q \in (1, +\infty)$ . Set

$$\mathbf{v}_f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{U}(x-y) \mathbf{f}(y) dv_y \in D^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \forall q \in (1, +\infty),$$

and consider the functional equation

$$(2.26) \quad \mathbf{v}'(x) = \mathbf{v}_f(x) + \mathcal{Q}[\mathbf{v}](x).$$

Choose

$$\mathbf{C}_{0ijk} = \mu_e \delta_{ih} \delta_{jk}.$$

Since [1]

$$\|\mathcal{Q}[\mathbf{v}]\|_{D^{1,q}} \leq c(q) \frac{\mu_e - \mu_0}{\mu_e} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{D^{1,q}}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 2} c(q) = 1,$$

there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that (2.26) is a contraction in  $D^{1,q}$ ,  $q \in (2 - \epsilon, 2 + \epsilon)$ . If  $\mathbf{C}$  is regular at infinity, then, choosing  $\bar{R}$  large as we want, we can do  $|\mathbf{C}(x) - \mathbf{C}_0|$  arbitrarily small and, as a consequence,  $\|\mathcal{Q}[\mathbf{v}]\|_{D^{1,q}} \leq \beta \|\mathbf{v}\|_{D^{1,q}}$ , for every positive  $\beta$  and this is sufficient to conclude the proof.  $\square$

Extend  $\mathbf{C}$  to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by setting  $\mathbf{C} = \bar{\mathbf{C}}$  in  $\mathbb{C}\Omega$  (say), with  $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$  constant and positive definite. Clearly, the new elasticity tensor (we denote by the same symbol) satisfies (1.2) (almost everywhere) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The Hölder regularity of variational solutions to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> is sufficient to prove the unique existence of a fundamental (or Green) function  $\mathbf{G}(x, y)$  to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (see [2], [4], [11], [18]), which satisfies

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \varphi(y) \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{G}(x, y)] da_y,$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . It is a variational solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub> in  $x$  [resp. in  $y$ ] in every domain not containing  $y$  [resp.  $x$ ]. Moreover,  $\mathbf{G}(x, y) = \mathbf{G}^\top(y, x)$  and for  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  the field

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbf{G}(x, y) \mathbf{f}(y) da_y \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

is the unique variational solution to

$$(2.27) \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$\mathbf{G}(x, \cdot)$  belongs to the John–Nirenberg space  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (see, e.g., [8]) and has a logarithm singularity at  $x$  and at infinity. Set  $\mathbf{w}(x) = \mathbf{G}(x, o)\mathbf{e}$ , with  $\mathbf{e}$  constant vector. Let us show

that  $\nabla \mathbf{w} \notin L^2(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0})$  and  $\nabla \mathbf{w} \in L^q(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0})$  for all  $q$  in a right neighborhood of 2. Indeed, if  $\mathbf{w} \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0})$ , then, by applying (2.9) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \leq CR^{-4/q} \left\{ \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{w}|^q \right\}^{2/q}, \quad q > 2.$$

Therefore, from (2.23) it follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}S_R} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \leq \frac{c\rho^{\gamma-4/q}}{R^\gamma} \int_{T_\rho} |\mathbf{w}|^q.$$

Hence, choosing  $q > 4/\gamma$ , letting  $\rho \rightarrow 0$  and taking into account that  $\mathbf{w} \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have the contradiction  $\nabla \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ . The field  $\mathbf{v} = \eta_{R_0} \mathbf{w}$  is a solution to (2.27) where  $\eta_R$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  are defined by (2.10), (2.16), respectively. By well-known estimates [18] and (2.6) for large  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq c \left\{ R^{-1+2/q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}_R} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{\mathbf{f}} \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ R^{-2+2/q} \left( \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_{\mathbf{f}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $q \in (2, \bar{q})$ , with  $\bar{q} > 2$  depending on  $\mu_0$ , where  $c_{\mathbf{f}}$  is a constant depending on  $\mathbf{f}$ .

Hence, letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  and bearing in mind the behavior of  $\mathbf{w}$  at large distance, it follows that  $\nabla \mathbf{w} \in L^q(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0})$ . Collecting the above results we can say that the fundamental function satisfies:

- (i)  $\mathbf{G}(x, y) \notin D^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}S_R(x))$  for all  $R > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbf{G}(x, y) \in D^{1,q}(\mathbb{C}S_{R_0}(x))$ , for all  $q \in (2, \bar{q})$ , with  $\bar{q} > 2$  depending on  $\mu_0$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.4, 1.5

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. (i) – If  $\mathbf{h}(\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , otherwise, bearing in mind that  $\mathbf{h} \in BMO$ , Caccioppoli's inequality writes

$$\int_{S_{R/2}} |\nabla \mathbf{h}|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^2} \int_{S_R} \left| \mathbf{h} - \frac{1}{|S_R|} \int_{S_R} \mathbf{h} \right|^2 \leq c,$$

for some  $c$  independent of  $R$ . Hence  $\mathbf{h}$  should be a  $D$ -solution and so by uniqueness  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_i \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be the solutions to (1.1)<sub>1,2</sub> with  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i = -\mathbf{G}(x, o)\mathbf{e}_i$  and set  $\mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{G}(x, o)\mathbf{e}_i$ . If  $\alpha_i \mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\alpha_i \mathbf{G}(x, o)\mathbf{e}_i \in D^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and this is possible if and only if  $\alpha_i \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{0}$ , *i.e.*  $\alpha_i = 0$  and the system  $\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2\}$  is linearly independent. Therefore  $\dim \mathfrak{M} \geq 2$ . Clearly, for every  $\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_3\} \subset \mathfrak{M}$  the system  $\{\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_i)\}_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$  is linear dependent.

Therefore, there are (not all zero) scalars  $\alpha_i$  such that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{0}$ , with  $\mathbf{h} = \alpha_i \mathbf{h}_i$ . Since this implies that  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ , we conclude that  $\dim \mathfrak{M} = 2$ . It is obvious that if  $\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then  $\left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_1), \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_2) \right\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(ii) – Multiply (1.1)<sub>1</sub> scalarly by  $g_R \mathbf{h}$ , with  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Integrating by parts we get

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} (\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) = -\frac{1}{R} \int_{T_R} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{h}] \mathbf{e}_R + \frac{1}{R} \int_{T_R} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{e}_R.$$

Choosing  $s(< 2)$  very close to 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} \left| \int_{T_R} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{h}] \mathbf{e}_R \right| &\leq c \left\{ \int_{T_R} \frac{|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0|^s}{r^s} \right\}^{1/s} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{h}|^{s'} \right\}^{1/s'} \\ \frac{1}{R} \left| \int_{T_R} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{e}_R \right| &\leq c \left\{ \int_{T_R} \frac{|\mathbf{h}|^{s'}}{r^{s'}} \right\}^{1/s'} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^s \right\}^{1/s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$  in (3.1), in virtue of Lemma 2.1 and 2.6 and the properties of  $\mathbf{G}$ , we see that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{u}_0) \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{M}.$$

Hence it follows that  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  satisfies (1.7).

(iii) – If  $\mathbf{u} = o(r^{\gamma/2})$  is a nonzero variational solution to (1.1)<sub>1</sub>, vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ , then there are scalars  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) = \alpha_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_1) + \alpha_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{h}_2),$$

where  $\{\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Therefore, by (2.5) and (2.6) the field  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \alpha_1 \mathbf{h}_1 - \alpha_2 \mathbf{h}_2$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_\rho} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 \leq \frac{c\rho^\gamma}{R^{2+\gamma}} \int_{T_R} |\mathbf{v}|^2.$$

Hence, letting  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Clearly, if  $\mathbf{u}(x) = o(\log r)$ , then  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ .

(iv) – Let  $R < |\mathbf{x}| < 2R$ ,  $R \gg R_0$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{A}} |\mathbf{u}|^{2q/(2-q)} \right\}^{(2-q)/q} \leq c \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}_{S_R}} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^q \right\}^{2/q},$$

for  $q \in (2 - \epsilon(\gamma), 2)$ . Hence by the classical *convexity inequality*

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{C}_{S_R})} \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^s(\mathbb{C}_{S_R})}^\theta \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}_{S_R})}^{1-\theta},$$

with  $2 - \epsilon(\gamma) < s < q$ ,  $\theta = s(2 - q)/q(2 - s)$ , taking into account Lemma 2.5 and 2.6, it follows

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathcal{A}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq cR^{(\theta-1)\gamma}.$$

Putting together (2.23), (3.2), we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^{2+\gamma}} \int_{S_\rho(x)} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{S_\rho(x)}|^2 \leq cR^{(\theta-1)\gamma}.$$

Hence (1.8) follows taking into account well-known results of S. Campanato (see, e.g., [8] Theorem 2.9) and that  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  for  $q \rightarrow 2$ .

Let now  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfy (1.6) and let  $\mathbf{u}'$ ,  $\mathbf{u}''$  be the variational solutions to the systems

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}_0[\nabla \mathbf{u}'] &= 0 \quad \text{in } S_R(x), \\ \mathbf{u}' &= \mathbf{u} \quad \text{on } \partial S_R(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}_0[\nabla \mathbf{u}''] + \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}_0)[\nabla \mathbf{u}] &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } S_R(x), \\ \mathbf{u}'' &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial S_R(x), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Applying Poincaré's and Caccioppoli's inequalities we have

$$\int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}''|^2 \leq R^2 \int_{S_R(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}''|^2 \leq c(\epsilon)R^2 \int_{S_R(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c(\epsilon) \int_{T_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^2.$$

Hence, taking into account that

$$\int_{S_\rho(x)} |\mathbf{u}'|^2 \leq c \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^2 \int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}'|^2,$$

it follows [1] (see also [15])

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{S_\rho(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2-\epsilon} \int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^2.$$

Putting together (3.3) and Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq cR^{2(s-2)/s} \left\{ \int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^s \right\}^{2/s},$$

for  $s > 2$ , we get

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{S_\rho(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^{4-\epsilon}} \int_{S_\rho(x)} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{S_\rho(x)}|^2 \leq \frac{c}{R^{2-\epsilon}} \int_{S_R(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + cR^{\epsilon-2+2(s-2)/s} \left\{ \int_{S_R(x)} |\mathbf{u}|^s \right\}^{2/s}.$$

Since we can choose  $s(> 2)$  near to 2 as we want, (3.4) yields

$$|\mathbf{u}(x)| \leq \frac{c}{|\mathbf{x}|^{1-\epsilon}},$$

for all positive  $\epsilon$ . □

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5.** If  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the stronger assumption (1.9), by the argument in [14] one shows that a variational solution to  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{C}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{0}$  in  $S_R(x)$  satisfies

$$\int_{S_\rho(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq c \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{2/\sqrt{L}} \int_{S_R(x)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2,$$

for every  $\rho \in (0, R]$  and the Lemmas hold with  $\gamma$  replaced by  $2/\sqrt{L}$ . Hence the desired results follow by repeating the steps in the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

#### 4. A COUNTER-EXAMPLE

The following slight modification of a famous counter-example by E. De Giorgi [3] assures that the uniqueness class in Theorem 1.5 and the rates of decay are sharp.

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  be the symmetric elasticity tensor defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}[\mathbf{L}] = \operatorname{sym} \mathbf{L} + 4\xi^{-2}(\mathbf{e}_r \otimes \mathbf{e}_r)(\mathbf{e}_r \cdot \mathbf{L}\mathbf{e}_r), \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{L} \in \operatorname{Lin}.$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $C^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{o\}$ . Since

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{C}}[\mathbf{L}] = 4\xi^{-2}|\mathbf{e}_r \cdot \mathbf{L}\mathbf{e}_r|^2 + |\mathbf{L}|^2, \quad \forall \mathbf{L} \in \operatorname{Sym},$$

$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$  satisfies (1.2) with  $\mu_0 = 1$  and  $\mu_e = 1 + 4\xi^{-2}$ . A simple computation [3] shows that the equation

$$\operatorname{div} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}[\nabla \mathbf{u}] = \mathbf{0}$$



admits the family of solutions

$$\mathbf{u}' = (c_1 r^\epsilon + c_2 r^{-\epsilon}) \mathbf{e}_r,$$

with

$$\epsilon = \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{4 + \xi^2}},$$

for every  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Of course, for  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1$ ,  $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{0}$  on  $\partial S_1$  and  $\mathbf{u}' \in D^{1,q}(\mathbb{C}S_1)$  for  $q > 2/(1 - \epsilon)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}' \notin D^{1,q}(\mathbb{C}S_1)$  for  $q \leq 2/(1 - \epsilon)$  so that, in particular, bearing in mind the properties of  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}' \notin \mathfrak{M}$ . For differential systems satisfying the stronger assumption (1.9) the above example shows that the decay  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0 = o(r^{1/\sqrt{L}})$  is optimal for  $D$ -solutions and the class  $\{\mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} = o(r^{1/\sqrt{L}})\}$  is borderline for uniqueness of the variational solution to the Dirichlet problem up to a field of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. CAMPANATO: *Sistemi ellittici in forma di divergenza. Regolarità all'interno*, Quaderni Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (1980).
- [2] S. CHANILLO AND Y.Y. LI: Continuity of solutions of uniformly elliptic equations in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , *Manuscripta Math.*, **77** (1992), 415-433.
- [3] E. DE GIORGI: Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico, *Bollettino U.M.I.* (4) **1** (1968), 135–137; English transl. in: *Ennio De Giorgi. Selected papers*, Springer (2006).
- [4] H. DONG AND S. KIM: Greens matrices of second order elliptic systems with measurable coefficients in two dimensional domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 3303-3323.
- [5] G. DUVAUT AND J.L. LIONS: *Inequalities in mechanics and physics*, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [6] G.P. GALDI: *An Introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations. Steady–state problems*, second edition, Springer (2011).
- [7] G.P. GALDI AND C. G. SIMADER, Existence, uniqueness and  $L^q$  estimates for the Stokes problem in an exterior domain, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **112** (1990), 291–318.
- [8] E. GIUSTI: *Metodi diretti nel calcolo delle variazioni*, Unione Matematica Italiana (1994); english translation - *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, World Scientific (2004).
- [9] M.E. GURTIN: The linear theory of elasticity, in *Handbuch der Physik* (ed. C. Truesdell) vol. VIa/2 (1972), Springer-Verlag.
- [10] F. JOHN: *Plane waves and spherical means applied to partial differential equations*, Interscience, New York (1955).
- [11] C.E. KENIG AND W.M. NI: On the elliptic equation  $Lu - k - K\exp[2u] = 0$ , *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4) **12** (1985), 191–224.
- [12] V. A.KONDRAT'EV AND O.A. OLEINIK: Boundary value problems for a system in elasticity theory in unbounded domains. Korn inequalities, (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **43** (1988), 55–98; english translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **43** (1988), 65–119.
- [13] L. PICCININI AND S. SPAGNOLO: On the Hölder continuity of solutions of second order elliptic equations in two variables, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (III) **26** (1972), 391–402; available on line at <http://www.numdam.org>
- [14] L. PICCININI AND S. SPAGNOLO: Una valutazione della regolarità delle soluzioni di sistemi ellittici variazionali in due variabili, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (III) **27** (1973), 417–429; available on line at <http://www.numdam.org>
- [15] A. RUSSO AND A. TARTAGLIONE: Strong uniqueness theorems and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle in nonhomogeneous elastostatics, *Journal of Elasticity* **102** (2) (2011), 133–149.
- [16] R. RUSSO, On Stokes' problem, in *Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, R. Rannacher and A. Sequeira Eds, 473–512, Springer (2010).
- [17] R. RUSSO AND C.G. SIMADER: On the exterior two-dimensional Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations, *Ricerche Mat.* **58** (2009), 315–328.
- [18] J.L. TAYLOR, S. KIM AND R.M. BROWN: The Green function for elliptic systems in two dimensions, *Comm. PDE* **38** (2013), 1574–1600.
- [19] V.A. VASSILIEV: *Applied Picard–Lefschetz Theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs AMS **97** (2002).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELLA CAMPANIA “L. VANVITELLI”, VIALE LINCOLN 5, 81100 CASERTA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `adele.ferone@unicampania.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELLA CAMPANIA “L. VANVITELLI”, VIALE LINCOLN 5, 81100 CASERTA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `remigio.russo@unicampania.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DELLA CAMPANIA “L. VANVITELLI”, VIALE LINCOLN 5, 81100 CASERTA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `alfonsina.tartaglione@unicampania.it`