

FUTURE STABILITY OF THE 1 + 3 MILNE MODEL FOR THE EINSTEIN-KLEIN-GORDON SYSTEM

JINHUA WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the small perturbations of the 1 + 3-dimensional Milne model for the Einstein-Klein-Gordon (EKG) system. We prove the nonlinear future stability, and show that the perturbed spacetimes are future causally geodesically complete. For the proof, we work within the constant mean curvature (CMC) gauge and focus on the 1 + 3 splitting of the Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations. Moreover, we treat the Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations as evolution equations and establish the energy scheme in the sense that we only commute the Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations with the spatially covariant derivatives while the normal derivative is not allowed.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The EKG system	2
1.2. Main result	5
1.3. Strategy of the proof	6
2. Preliminaries	9
2.1. Notations and conventions	9
2.2. The background materials	10
2.3. Local existence	12
3. 1 + 3 splitting and the energy scheme	12
3.1. Commuting identities	13
3.2. Energy identities for the 1 + 3 KG equation	16
3.3. Energy identities for the 1 + 3 Bianchi equations	23
4. Preliminary estimate	27
4.1. The continuity argument	28
4.2. Sobolev inequality	28
4.3. The lapse	29
5. Energy estimate for KG field	36
5.1. The $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for KG field	36
5.2. The lower order terms	37
5.3. The borderline terms and hierarchy of energy	40
6. Energy estimate for Weyl field	45
6.1. The nonlinear error terms	46
6.2. The nonlinear coupling terms	47
7. The global existence	53

7.1. Closing the bootstrap argument	53
Appendix A. Local in time development of CMC data	58
Appendix B. ODE estimate	61
Appendix C. Basic geometric conventions and identities	61
References	68

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The EKG system.** The Einstein-Klein-Gordon (EKG) system takes the form of

$$\check{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\check{R}\check{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\phi), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\phi) = \check{D}_\alpha\phi\check{D}_\beta\phi - \frac{1}{2}\check{g}_{\alpha\beta}\left(\check{D}^\mu\phi\check{D}_\mu\phi + m^2\phi^2\right), \quad (1.1b)$$

where $\check{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ and \check{R} denote the Ricci and scalar curvature of an unknown Lorentzian metric $\check{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ respectively, and $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\phi)$ is the energy momentum tensor for a massive scalar field ϕ . The Bianchi identities imply that the scalar field ϕ satisfies the Klein-Gordon (KG) equation

$$\square_{\check{g}}\phi - m^2\phi = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

\check{D} denotes the covariant derivative associated to $\check{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ and the geometric wave operator is $\square_{\check{g}} = \check{D}_\alpha\check{D}^\alpha$. We use m to denote the mass of the KG field.

Our first motivation for studying the EKG system comes from the problem of the nonlinear stability of the supersymmetric backgrounds posed by S.T. Yau. Consider the Kaluza-Klein spacetime of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \times S^1$. Then the five-dimensional metric depending on S^1 periodically can be Fourier-expanded. The projections of the five-dimensional Einstein equations onto the zero (massless) modes and the non-zero (massive) modes give rise to a wave-Klein-Gordon system. Subject to the zero mode perturbation, both of the work by Wyatt [36], and Branding-Fajman-Kroencke [9] have recently contributed on this aspect. [36] is related to the nonlinear stability of the Kaluza-Klein spacetime with the base on the Minkowski space, while [9] is concerning with the one base on the Milne model. There is also related result by Choquet-Bruhat and Moncrief [27] who establish the stability of $U(1)$ -symmetric spacetimes to 1 + 3-dimensions.

The backgrounds we consider are the following family of cosmological vacuum spacetimes. Let \bar{M} be a 4-manifold of the form $I \times \Sigma$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, Σ is a compact 3-manifold admitting an Einstein metric γ with negative Einstein constant λ . We choose $\lambda = -2$ so that the Einstein metric γ is a hyperbolic metric with sectional curvature -1 . Then $(\bar{M}, \bar{\gamma})$ with $\bar{\gamma}$ given by

$$\bar{\gamma} = -dt^2 + t^2\gamma$$

is a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations and known as a 1 + 3-dimensional *Milne model*. Such model undergoing accelerated expansion in

the future direction is locally isometric to the $k = -1$ vacuum Friedmann-Lemâitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model. Andersson and Moncrief [6] first consider the nonlinear stability of this model (called hyperbolic cone spacetimes there), and show that for the constant mean curvature (CMC) Cauchy data for the vacuum Einstein equations close to the standard data for the hyperbolic cone spacetimes, the maximal future Cauchy development is globally foliated by the CMC Cauchy surfaces and causally geodesically complete to the future. This also motivates the current project. We refer to [1, 2, 3, 17] and references therein for more backgrounds on the existence of CMC foliations.

There are several results concerning the decay of the KG field [19, 20, 30, 31]. In contrast to the wave equation, the KG equation is not conformal invariant and hence does not commute well with the symmetry of scaling. Due to this fact, Klainerman [20] employs the hyperboloid foliations which respect the Lorentz invariance of the KG operator and derives the asymptotic behavior of the KG field by the energy method alone. Furthermore, Katayama [19] somehow overcomes the incompatibility between the wave and KG field. Other method including Fourier analysis for the wave-Klein-Gordon system is established by Ionescu-Pausader [18]. Global solutions for the semilinear KG equations in the FLRW spacetimes are studied by Galstian-Yagdjian [16].

Let us review some nonlinear stability results of the Minkowski spacetime. Christodoulou and Klainerman [13] initiate a covariant proof based on the Bel-Robinson energy. Their proof relies upon the geometric foliations of spacetime, including maximal $t = \text{const}$ slices and a family outgoing null cones, and null condition hidden inside the Bianchi equations. Lindblad and Rodnianski [21, 22] devise another proof based on the wave coordinate gauge, under which the Einstein equations satisfy the weak null condition. This method is extended to the case of massive Einstein-Vlasov system by Lindblad and Taylor [23]. All of these works require the full symmetries of the Minkowski spacetime, including the conformal symmetries, suggesting that these methods can not apply to the EKG system straightforwardly. Recently, inspired by [19] and [21, 22], Ma and LeFloch [25, 24] make use of the hyperboloid method to address an energy argument for both of the wave equation and KG equation uniformly, and then prove the nonlinear stability for the EKG system. In addition to the $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the wave equations [19, 21, 22], Ma and LeFloch [25] also demonstrate an $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the KG equation, which is crucial in dealing with the nonlinear couplings [24]. Via this hyperboloid method, the massive Einstein-Vlasov system is alternatively understood by Fajman, Joudioux and Smulevici [14]. As the KG field and the massless Vlasov field are lack of the conformal symmetries as well. Taylor [32] gives a proof of the stability for the massless Einstein-Vlasov system with compact support assumptions. The proof in fact reduces to a semi global problem since the matter is shown to be supported in a strip going to null infinity.

The works of Andersson and Moncrief [6, 7] also constitute nonlinear stability results for the vacuum Einstein equations. Compared to [6], the backgrounds considered in [7] are extended to a family of $1 + n$ -dimensional Milne model. The proof of [6] is based on the Bel-Robinson energy and its higher-order generalization. Our proof possesses some similarities to that of [6] as far as we both treat the Bianchi equations as evolution equations to derive the energy estimates for the Weyl field. While in [7], the authors turn to the Einstein evolution equations and use a wave equation type of energy introduced in [5] for the energy estimates. Related to this, Andersson and Fajman [4] show the future stability of the $1 + 3$ -dimensional Milne model for the massive Einstein-Vlasov system. We remark that the work of [6, 7, 4] all base on the constant mean curvature, spatially harmonic (CMCSH) gauge, with which the local existence theorem is proved in [5].

In this paper, we are concerning the nonlinear stability of the $1 + 3$ -dim Milne model for the EKG system. We work within the CMC gauge with zero shift. The local existence theorem then follows by adjusting the argument in [13] where the maximal gauge with zero shift is used. Our main difficulty lies in the fact that the KG field is not scale invariant and hence scaling does not qualify as a commutator vector field. We now recast the KG equation in a first order form and focus on the $1 + 3$ form of the Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations. More precisely, we split the normal and tangential derivatives in the formulae of Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations, treating them as evolution equations, and propose an energy argument in which the high order energies contain only high order of spatially covariant derivatives. Practically, we commute only the spatially covariant derivatives with the Bianchi-Klein-Gordon equations in $1 + 3$ from. As a result, the high order derivatives of the KG field along the normal direction possess no good estimates, and hence normal derivative is referred as a bad derivative. Due to this covariant proof, we avoid some unnecessarily nonlinear couplings that will arise when working in coordinates (see [24] for the Minkowski case). Meanwhile, we find that the “genuine” coupling structures admit some cancellations, such that in the Bianchi equations, the nonlinear couplings involve no the high order of bad (normal) derivatives of the KG field. On the other hand, the difficulty does not diminish because if we proceed to the top order energy estimates, some nonlinear couplings become borderline terms. Another difficulty comes from the resulted borderline terms when commuting the spatially covariant derivatives with the KG equation. Fortunately, these borderline terms exhibit linearizable feature. Motivated by [12] (see also [26, 33, 34, 35]), we resolve these difficulties by the method of linearization and the hierarchy of energy. In particular, the $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the KG equation proposed in [25] turns out to be helpful in the procedure of linearization. Besides, we reveal the subtle relation between the lapse and the KG field, with which we manage to improve the estimates step by step and finally reduce the nonlinear borderline terms into linear ones. Alternatively, if we use the CMCSH gauge, no new difficulty occurs and our method works as well.

1.2. Main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Σ be a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold without boundary. Assume that $(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0, \tilde{k}_0, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ is a CMC data set satisfying the constraint equations of the EKG system (1.1a)-(1.1b), (1.2). Let $-3t_0^{-1} = \tau_0 = \text{tr}\tilde{k}_0 = \text{constant} < 0$, with $t_0 > \max\{1, 9m^{-1}\}$. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$, so that if*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{R}_{0ij} + 2t_0^{-2}\tilde{g}_{0ij}\|_{H^3(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0)} + \|\tilde{k}_0 + t_0^{-1}\tilde{g}_{0ij}\|_{H^4(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0)} \leq \varepsilon, \\ & \|\phi_0\|_{H^5(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0)} + \|\phi_1\|_{H^4(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0)} \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where \tilde{R}_{0ij} denotes the Ricci curvature of \tilde{g}_0 , the maximal development (\bar{M}, \check{g}) of the EKG data set $(\Sigma, \tilde{g}_0, \tilde{k}_0, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ has a global CMC foliation in the expanding direction (to the future of (Σ, \tilde{g}_0) in CMC time $\tau = \text{tr}\tilde{k}$) and (\bar{M}, \check{g}) is future causally geodesically complete.

Remark 1.2 (The existence of CMC data). *We also refer to [11] for results concerning the existence of initially CMC data in the current setting. We assume $|\phi_0|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}$ to be small enough, so that $(\frac{2}{3}\tau_0^2 - 2m^2\phi_0^2) > 0$ (that is, $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_0, \phi_0} > 0$ by the convention in [11]). In our case, Σ has negative Yamabe invariant and $\mathcal{B}_{\tau_0, \phi_0} > 0$, then the existence CMC solutions of the constraint equations for the EKG system on such compact manifolds is covered by [11].*

Remark 1.3 (Generalize to non-CMC data). *Considering generalized data, which is not necessarily CMC, but close to the initially CMC data induced by the background solution, the existence of a maximal globally hyperbolic development of this initial data is assured in [29]. Under the smallness condition, the existence of a CMC surface in such a development is shown in the vacuum case by Fajman-Kroencke [15] (Theorem 2.9). Based on these results, we can now generalize our main theorem to the case of non-CMC data.*

The rescaled version of data (non-CMC) (1.3) is $\|R_{0ij} + 2g_0\|_{H^3(\Sigma, g_0)} + \|k_0 + g_0\|_{H^4(\Sigma, g_0)} \lesssim \varepsilon$ (c.f. (1.4)-(1.5) for the rescaling). To match the condition in [15], one needs the smallness for $\|g_0 - \gamma\|_{H^s(\Sigma, \gamma)} + \|k_0 + \gamma\|_{H^{s-1}(\Sigma, \gamma)}$, $s > 3/2 + 1$, where γ is the hyperbolic metric. We take $s = 5$ and choose the harmonic coordinates with respect to g_0 and γ . Hence, in our setting, it involves controlling $\|g_{0ij} - \gamma_{ij}\|_{H^5(\Sigma, \gamma)}$ in terms of $\|R_{0ij} + 2g_{0ij}\|_{H^3(\Sigma, g_0)}$. Denote by $\mathcal{A} = 2\mathbf{D}(R_{0ij} + 2g_{0ij})$, where \mathbf{D} is the Frechet derivative. Recall that from [6, 7], for a TT (transverse and traceless) tensor h ,

$$\mathcal{A}h = -\Delta_\gamma h - 2h.$$

*Let λ be the eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} . It is known that, the hyperbolic metric γ ($n \geq 3$) is strictly stable, i.e. $\lambda > 0$ ([8] § 12 **H**). The operator \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism. Thus the smallness of $\|R_{0ij} + 2g_{0ij}\|_{H^3(\Sigma, g_0)}$ implies smallness for $\|g_{0ij} - \gamma_{ij}\|_{H^5(\Sigma, \gamma)}$ and hence the rescaled version of (1.3) entails that $\|g_{0ij} - \gamma_{ij}\|_{H^5(\Sigma, \gamma)} + \|k_{0ij} + \gamma_{ij}\|_{H^4(\Sigma, \gamma)} \lesssim \varepsilon$. Now we can prove along the lines of the corresponding argument presented in [29, 15] to show the existence of*

a CMC surface in the non vacuum setting. Therefore, the data in Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to be non-CMC.

Before offering an overview for the proof, we introduce some notations. Let (\bar{M}, \check{g}) be the maximal development of the EKG data given above. (\bar{M}, \check{g}) is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, where Σ is a compact Cauchy surface and it is globally foliated by a CMC foliation $\{\Sigma_\tau, \tau \in I\}$. We rescale the CMC time function and define $t = -\frac{3}{\tau}$. Then as $\tau \rightarrow 0^-, t \rightarrow +\infty$. Let ∂_t denote the coordinate vector field corresponding to t , then $\check{g}(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -N^2$, where N is called lapse, and we set $\hat{N} = N - 1$. Let \tilde{g}_{ij} be the induced Riemannian metric on Σ_t , $\tilde{\nabla}$ the corresponding covariant derivative. T is the unit timelike vector field normal to Σ_t , and we have $\partial_t = NT$. The second fundamental form is denoted by \tilde{k}_{ij} , while \hat{k}_{ij} being the traceless part of \tilde{k}_{ij} . In the CMC gauge, $\text{tr}\tilde{k} = \tau = -\frac{3}{t}$.

Let $g_{ij} = t^{-2}\tilde{g}_{ij}$ be the spatially normalized metric, ∇ the corresponding connection. As shown in [6] ((4.20a)-(4.20c)), the following variables are scale-free (the indices refer to a coordinate frame).

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= t^{-2}\tilde{g}_{ij} & g^{ij} &= t^2\tilde{g}^{ij} \\ k_{ij} &= t^{-1}\tilde{k}_{ij} & \text{tr}k &= t\text{tr}\tilde{k} \\ \bar{T} &= tT & d\mu_g &= t^{-3}d\mu_{\tilde{g}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

It is convenient to introduce the logarithmic time $\bar{\tau} = \ln t$, which has the property that $\partial_{\bar{\tau}} = t\partial_t$ is scale free. We also define the rescaled mass of the KG field.

$$\bar{m}(t) = tm. \tag{1.5}$$

We remark that such rescaling for the mass of the KG field is transitional, aiming to make the KG energy well-behaved with respect to the above scale-free variables. Finally, we let ϕ be the KG field, and E_{ij}, H_{ij} be the electric and magnetic parts of the Weyl field $W_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$. Note that, E_{ij}, H_{ij} are scale-free as well.

1.3. Strategy of the proof. Energy scheme. As is explained before, the scaling $\partial_{\bar{\tau}}$ (and hence \bar{T}) does not qualify as a commutator vector field for the KG equation. Therefore, we only commute the KG equation with the spatial covariant derivative ∇ . Technically, we address an 1 + 3 splitting for the KG equation, which takes the form of

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\bar{T}\phi - (\text{tr}kN + 1)\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla^i\nabla_i\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)N\phi = \nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi.$$

\mathcal{L} means taking Lie derivative. Thus in such 1+3 forms, the high order of the KG equation (3.32) can be derived by calculating the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}, \nabla]$ (c.f. Section 3.1), which involves only N, \hat{k} and their spatial derivatives. The Bianchi equation are treated in an analogous fashion. We then establish the energy inequalities for these 1 + 3 evolution equations (c.f. Section

3.2 and 3.3). The energies are defined as below: Fixing an integer I , for $t > t_0 > 3m^{-1}$, the energy norms for ϕ and W (E, H) are

$$E_l(\phi, t) = \|\nabla^l \bar{T}\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2 + \|\nabla^l \nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2 + \|\nabla^l(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2, \quad l \leq I, \quad (1.6)$$

$$E_l(W, t) = \|\nabla^l E\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2 + \|\nabla^l H\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2, \quad l \leq I - 1. \quad (1.7)$$

The notation ∇^l means taking the derivative ∇ l times.

In other words, we give up the standard method of the energy-momentum tensor. Alternatively, we recast the KG equation in a first order form and treat it as a transport equation rather than a wave equation. This turns out to be more compatible with the energy scheme which commuting merely with the spatial derivatives.

The coupling structures. In the strategy of the 1 + 3 splitting, the normal derivative \bar{T} is referred as a bad derivative, while the spatial derivative ∇ is a good derivative. This is implied by the following facts: The energies (1.6) do not control $\bar{T}^2\phi$. However, making use of the KG equation which expresses $\bar{T}^2\phi$ in terms of $\bar{T}\phi$ and $\nabla^l\phi$, $0 \leq l \leq 2$, in turn yields almost $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ growth for $\bar{T}^2\phi$. On the other hand, implied by Sobolev inequalities, terms involving the spatial derivatives $\nabla^i\bar{T}\phi$, $\nabla^j\phi$, $i \leq I - 2$, $j \leq l - 1$ decay almost like $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Meanwhile, $\nabla^l\phi \sim t^{-1}\nabla^l(\bar{m}(t)\phi)$, $l \leq I - 2$ decay almost like $t^{-\frac{3}{2}}$.

One of our key observations is that the nonlinear couplings avoid $\bar{T}^2\phi$, due to some cancellations (see (6.16) and (6.18)). Therefore, we get couplings such as $\nabla\bar{T}\phi\nabla\phi E$ and $\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E$, which generally decay fast enough. Now, let us pay more attention to the estimates for $\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E$ and its higher order versions $\nabla^l(\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E)$, $l \leq I - 1$. Notice that, for the lower order cases: $l \leq I - 2$, $\|\nabla^l\nabla^2\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ is estimated as $t^{-1}\|\nabla^{l+2}(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$. Unfortunately, such estimate is forbidden for the top order case: $l = I - 1$, since it requires one more derivative than our purposes. That is to say, $\|\nabla^{I-1}\nabla^2\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ has no additional t^{-1} factor. As a result, the top order coupling $\nabla^{I-1}(\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E)$ will provide a borderline term $\nabla^{I-1}\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E$ which requires more work.

Hierarchy of energy. We start with some weak bootstrap assumptions (with $\delta(0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6})$ loss in the decay rate of t), and finally close the bootstrap argument by sharpening the estimates (Section 7.1). In particular, the estimate for $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ is retrieved by the transport equation for \hat{k} (Section 7.1.2).

When commuting ∇^l ($1 \leq l \leq I$) with the KG equation, we encounter the borderline terms BL_l , taking the forms of $BL_l = \sum_{a=1}^l BL_l^a$, with $1 \leq a \leq l$ and

$$\begin{aligned} BL_l^a = & \bar{m}(t)\nabla^{l-a+1}N * \nabla^l(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla^{a-1}\bar{T}\phi \\ & \pm \bar{m}(t)\nabla^{l-a+1}N * \nabla^l\bar{T}\phi * \nabla^{a-1}(\bar{m}(t)\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

We confirm the inevitability of these borderline terms in Remark 3.10. Meanwhile, we should notice that BL_l will vanish if the scalar field is massless.

Because of the weak bootstrap assumptions, $BL_l, 1 \leq l \leq I$ bear δ loss in the decay rate. We propose an $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the KG field, which is due to the technical ODE estimate in [25], to improve $\|T\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ and then retrieve the δ loss in $BL_l, 1 \leq l \leq I$. But this costs the regularity: the $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate requires the strongest decay for $\nabla^2\phi$, which further forces us to perform two more orders of energy estimates. That is, in (1.6)-(1.7), we take $I = 4$ rather than $I = 2$.

We observe the linear structure in (1.8): $\nabla^{a-1}\phi$ is of lower order (less than l^{th} order) and also $\nabla^{l-a+1}\hat{N}$ depends mainly on lower order energies of the KG field. In more details, the refined estimates for the lapse (Lemma 4.2) tell that $\|\nabla^a\hat{N}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}, a \leq 2$ relies crucially on the zeroth order energy $E_0(\phi, t)$, and $\|\nabla^a\hat{N}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}, 2 < a \leq l$ is primarily related to $E_{a-2}(\phi, t)$, which is again of lower order. Enlightened by these features, we proceed by an argument of hierarchy of energy estimates, which are carried out in Section 5.3 (for the KG field). The idea is that, the information already obtained for lower order energies will help to sharpen the estimates for $\nabla^{l-a+1}\hat{N}, \nabla^{a-1}\phi$ and reduce the nonlinear structure of (1.8) to a linear one. In addition, this idea of linearization also applies to the borderline terms (like $\nabla^{I-1}\nabla^2\phi\bar{T}\phi E$) that arise in the top order energy estimate for the Weyl field, since we can now take advantage of the improved estimates for ϕ , c.f. (6.27).

We here sketch the linearization in the energy argument. Generically, the energy inequality for the KG field before linearization takes the form of

$$\partial_{\bar{r}}E_l(\phi, t) + E_l(\phi, t) \lesssim t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) + t\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)\mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)E_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t),$$

where the second term on the right hand side is a rough bound for the borderline term (1.8), and $\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$ means the energies of the KG field up to the fourth order. Here the first quadratic term $\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$ is due to the fact that $\nabla^i N$ depends quadratically on ϕ (c.f. Lemma 4.2 and Remark 4.3). We aim to linearize the borderline terms via the hierarchy of energy estimate and the $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the KG field, so that the energy inequality is improved as (c.f. Section 5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}}E_l(\phi, t) + E_l(\phi, t) &\lesssim t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon M\varepsilon I E_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t), \\ &\lesssim (t^{-1} + \varepsilon M) E_l(\phi, t) + \varepsilon^2 I^2 t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here I is a constant depending on the initial data. In particular, the previously quadratic term is now replaced by a linear one. Changing to t , we have

$$\partial_t(tE_l(\phi, t)) \lesssim (t^{-2} + \varepsilon Mt^{-1})(tE_l(\phi, t)) + \varepsilon^2 I^2 t^{-1}.$$

The Grönwall inequality then yields that $tE_l(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I^2 t^{C_M\varepsilon}$, where C_M depends linearly on M . Then the energy argument are closed.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe some conventions and notations, and show the background materials. In Section 3, we conduct the 1 + 3 splitting of the EKG system and establish the energy

scheme. In Section 4, we introduce the bootstrap assumptions, and present some preliminary estimates that follows from the Sobolev inequalities. The energy estimates for the KG field and the Weyl field are carried out in Section 5 and 6. Section 7 is devoted to close the bootstrap argument and prove the global existence theorem, geodesic completeness. Finally, a number of useful definitions, identities and ODE estimates are collected in the appendix.

Acknowledgements: The Einstein-Klein-Gordon project studied in this paper was suggested by L. Andersson. I am grateful to him for many enlightening discussions. I thank V. Moncrief for many helpful discussions on this topic and suggesting the usage of CMC gauge in early 2014. I thank C. Liu and S. Ma for reading and useful comments as well. The author was supported by a Humboldt Foundation post-doctoral fellowship at the Albert Einstein Institute during the period 2014-16, when part of this work was done. She is also supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11701482), NSF of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2018J05010) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 20720170002).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations and conventions. Indices:

- $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \mu, \nu, \dots$: Greek indices (spacetime indices) run over $0, \dots, 3$.
- i, j, \dots, p, q, \dots : Latin indices (spatial indices) run over $1, \dots, 3$.

Geometry related:

- $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, D)$: the spacetime manifold with D the connection.
- $(\Sigma_t, g_{ij}, \nabla)$: the induced spatial manifold with ∇ the connection.
- $\square_{\bar{g}} = D^\alpha D_\alpha$: the spacetime wave operator.
- $\Delta = \nabla^i \nabla_i$: the spatial Laplacian operator.
- $d\mu_{\bar{g}}, d\mu_g$: the volume form with respect to \bar{g} or g .
- $\bar{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}, \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{R}$: the components of the Riemannian, Ricci, scalar curvature tensor of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}_{\mu\nu})$.
- R_{imjn}, R_{ij}, R : the components of the Riemannian, Ricci, scalar curvature tensor of (Σ_t, g_{ij}) .
- $\bar{R}_{imjn}, \bar{R}_{ij}$: projection of $\bar{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}, \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ to (Σ_t, g_{ij}) .

Foliation related:

- $\{T, e_i\}$: T denotes the future directed, unit vector normal to Σ_t , and $\{e_i\}$ an orthonormal frame tangent to Σ_t .
- $\tau, t, \bar{\tau}$: τ is the CMC time $\tau = \text{tr}k$; $t = -\frac{3}{\tau}$; $\bar{\tau} = \ln t$.
- $\partial_t, \partial_{\bar{\tau}}$: coordinate vector field corresponding to $t, \bar{\tau}$.

Simplified conventions

- $\nabla_{I_l} \psi$: the l^{th} order of covariant derivative $\nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_l} \psi$ and the multi index $I_l = \{i_1 \dots i_l\}$ is used.
- $\nabla_{i_1} \dots \overset{j}{\nabla}_p \dots \nabla_{i_l} \psi$: $\nabla_{I_l} \psi$ with the j^{th} ∇_{i_j} being replaced by ∇_p .
- $\mathcal{D}\phi$: any element in $\{\bar{T}\phi, \nabla\phi, \bar{m}(t)\phi\}$.

- $f_1 \lesssim f_2$: $f_1 \leq C f_2$ with some *universal* constant C .
- $f_1 \sim f_2$: f_1, f_2 are equivalent in the sense that $f_1 \lesssim f_2$ and $f_2 \lesssim f_1$.
- C_M : a constant depending linearly on some controlling quantity M .
- $A * B$: any finite sum of products of A and B , with each product being a contraction (with respect to g) between two Σ_t -tensors A and B ¹.

2.2. The background materials. Let Σ be a spacelike hypersurface and let $\bar{M} = I \times \Sigma$ be an $n + 1$ -dim manifold with Lorentz metric \check{g} of signature $- + \cdots +$. We introduce local coordinates $(\tau, x^i, i = 1, \cdots, n)$ on \bar{M} so that τ is a time function and x^i are coordinates on the level sets Σ_τ of τ .

Let $\partial_\tau = \partial/\partial\tau$ be the coordinate vector fields corresponding to τ . The lapse function ${}_\tau N$ and shift vector field X of the foliation $\{\Sigma_\tau\}$ are defined by $\partial_\tau = {}_\tau N T + \tilde{X}_\tau$, where T is the unit timelike vector field normal to Σ_τ . Assume T is future directed so that ${}_\tau N > 0$. We make the gauge choice $\tilde{X}_\tau = 0$, so that the spacetime metric \check{g} with vanishing shift takes the form

$$\check{g}_{\mu\nu} = -{}_\tau N^2 d\tau^2 + \tilde{g}_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \quad (2.1)$$

The second fundamental form \tilde{k}_{ij} of Σ_τ is given by $\tilde{k}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_T \tilde{g}_{ij}$. The CMC gauge is referred to as

$$\text{tr} \tilde{k} = \tau. \quad (2.2)$$

We call τ the CMC time function. For notational convenience, we define a rescaled time function $t := -\frac{3}{\tau}$ so that the corresponding lapse ${}_t N$

$${}_t N = \frac{3}{t^2} {}_\tau N, \quad \partial_t = {}_t N T. \quad (2.3)$$

We will drop the subscript t , and denote the lapse for the t -foliation by N . We also let

$$\hat{N} = N - 1. \quad (2.4)$$

In terms of the t -foliation,

$$\text{tr} \tilde{k} = -\frac{3}{t}, \quad \tilde{k}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{t} \tilde{g}_{ij} + \hat{k}_{ij}, \quad (2.5)$$

where \hat{k}_{ij} is the trace free part of \tilde{k}_{ij} .

Performing the rescaling (1.4), the rescaled curvature is related to the original one by

$$R_{imjn} = t^{-2} \tilde{R}_{imjn}, \quad R_{ij} = \tilde{R}_{ij}, \quad R = t^2 \tilde{R}.$$

And we define the rescaled spacetime metric as well:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = t^{-2} \check{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} = t^2 \check{g}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \bar{T} = tT, \\ \bar{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} = t^{-2} \check{R}_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}, \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \check{R}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \bar{R} = t^2 \check{R}.$$

¹In the estimates, we will only employ the formula $\|A * B\| \lesssim \|A\| \|B\|$ which allows ourselves to ignore the detailed product structure at this point. $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm associated to g .

We remark that, if $\tau \in [\tau_0, 0)$ with $\tau_0 < 0$, then $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, where $t_0 = -\frac{3}{\tau_0}$. Letting m be the mass of the KG field (1.2), we require that $|\tau_0| < m$, i.e. $t_0 > 3m^{-1}$.

2.2.1. *Lorentzian geometric equations.* Recall the first and second variation equations for the rescaled metric

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} g_{ij} = 2\hat{N}g_{ij} - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\hat{k}_{ij} + \hat{k}_{ij} = \hat{N}g_{ij} - \nabla_i \nabla_j N + N \left(\bar{R}_{i\bar{T}j\bar{T}} - \hat{k}_{ip}\hat{k}_j^p \right). \quad (2.6b)$$

Here the indices i, j refer to the frame $\{\partial_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$. And the Gauss-Codazzi equations are

$$R_{imjn} = -(g \odot g)_{imjn} + (g \odot \hat{k})_{imjn} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{k} \odot \hat{k})_{imjn} + \bar{R}_{imjn}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the Kulkarni-Nomizu product \odot is defined by: Let ξ, η be symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensors,

$$(\xi \odot \eta)_{imjn} = \xi_{ij}\eta_{mn} - \xi_{in}\eta_{jm} + \eta_{ij}\xi_{mn} - \eta_{in}\xi_{jm}. \quad (2.8)$$

$$\nabla_i \hat{k}_{jm} - \nabla_j \hat{k}_{im} = \bar{R}_{\bar{T}mij}. \quad (2.9)$$

The trace of the Gauss-Codazzi equations (2.7)-(2.9) are

$$R_{ij} = \hat{k}_i^l \hat{k}_j^l + \hat{k}_{ij} - 2g_{ij} + \bar{R}_{i\bar{T}j\bar{T}} + \bar{R}_{ij}, \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\nabla^i \hat{k}_{ij} - \nabla_j \text{tr} k = -\bar{R}_{\bar{T}j}. \quad (2.10b)$$

The double trace of the Gauss equations (2.7) is

$$R - |\hat{k}|^2 + 6 = 2\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} + \bar{R}, \quad (2.11)$$

2.2.2. *The background spacetime.* Let (Σ, γ) be a compact manifold without boundary which is of hyperbolic type, with γ being the standard hyperbolic metric of sectional curvature -1 . The hyperbolic cone spacetimes (or 1 + 3-dimensional Milne model) $(\bar{M}, \bar{\gamma})$ is the Lorentzian cone over (Σ, γ) , i.e.

$$\bar{M} = (0, \infty) \times \Sigma, \quad \bar{\gamma} = -d\rho^2 + \rho^2\gamma. \quad (2.12)$$

The family of hyperboloids Σ_ρ given by $\rho = \text{constant}$ has normal $T = \partial_\rho$. The vector field $\rho\partial_\rho$ is a timelike homothetic killing field. A calculation shows that $\tilde{k}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\tilde{g}_{ij}$, where $\tilde{g}_{ij} = \rho^2\gamma_{ij}$, and the mean curvature $\text{tr}\tilde{k} = -\frac{3}{\rho}$. The ρ -foliation has lapse $N = 1$.

2.3. Local existence. It is known that in the CMC gauge with zero shift, the Einstein equations are non-strictly hyperbolic. However, following the work of Christodoulou-Klainerman [13], where they work in maximal gauge with zero shift, we can prove the local existence theorem for EKG. The method is also analogous to the proof based on wavelike coordinates [10] or CMCSH gauge [5], in the sense that we will show that to solve the local existence theorem for the original EKG system, it suffices to solve a reduced system. Additionally, this approach is irrelevant to the matter field. We sketch the proof in Appendix A.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume Σ be a compact Riemannian manifold of hyperbolic type. Let $(g_0, k_0, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ be the rescaled CMC data (letting $\text{tr}_{g_0} k_0 = -3$) verifying the constraint equations of EKG system and the following conditions:*

- 1 *The Ricci curvature of g_0 , R_{0ij} satisfies $R_{0ij} + 2g_{0ij} \in H^3(\Sigma, g_0)$,*
- 2 *k_0 is a symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor such that the traceless part verifying $\hat{k}_0 \in H^4(\Sigma, g_0)$,*
- 3 *$(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in H^5(\Sigma, g_0) \times H^4(\Sigma, g_0)$.*

Then there are $0 < t_- < t_0 < t_+$ such that there is a unique, local-in-time smooth development (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) of (g_0, k_0) , foliated by CMC hypersurfaces, and $\bar{M} = (t_-, t_+) \times \Sigma$, $t = t_0$ corresponding to the initial slice Σ .

3. 1 + 3 SPLITTING AND THE ENERGY SCHEME

In the quantitative computations throughout the paper, we employ the conventions: R_{imjn} whose four indices i, m, j, n range over $1, \dots, 3$ is viewed as a $(0, 4)$ -tensor (not the special component) on Σ_t .

Definition 3.1. *A $(0, l)$ -tensor $\Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ is called T -tangent if*

$$T^\beta \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \beta \dots \alpha_l} = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

If $\Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ is T -tangent, then $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ and $\mathcal{L}_T \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ are both T -tangent. But both $D_T \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ and $D_{\partial_t} \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l}$ are no longer T -tangent. Any T -tangent tensors can be viewed as tensors on Σ_t . Besides, we denote $\nabla_k \Psi_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ the projection of $\nabla_k \Psi$ on Σ_t (evaluated on the corresponding components). Suppose Ψ_{I_l} is a $(0, l)$ -tensor on Σ_t , then the zero-extension of Ψ_{I_l} becomes T -tangent tensor on \bar{M} , which we will still denote by Ψ_{I_l} . The following commuting Lemma 3.2 holds for T -tangent tensor as well.

Let Γ_{ij}^a be the connection coefficient of ∇ , $\partial_{\bar{\tau}}$ be the normal vector field defined in Section 2.2. Then the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{ij}^a$ is a tensor field (C.1),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{ij}^a = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} (\nabla_i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} g_{jb} + \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} g_{ib} - \nabla_b \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} g_{ij}). \quad (3.2)$$

Remind that $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} g_{ij} = 2\hat{N}g_{ij} - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}$.

A commuting identity between ∇ and $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}$ is given below.

Lemma 3.2. *Let V be an arbitrary $(0, l)$ -tensor field on (Σ, g_{ij}) . The following commuting formula is true:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_j V_{a_1 \dots a_l} = \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} V_{a_1 \dots a_l} - \sum_{i=1}^l \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{j a_i}^p V_{a_1 \dots p \dots a_l}. \quad (3.3)$$

This lemma can be proved by straightforward calculations. Besides, we have for any T -tangent tensor $V_{a_1 \dots a_l}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\nabla_j V_{a_1 \dots a_l} &= \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} V_{a_1 \dots a_l} + N^{-1} \nabla_j N \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} V_{a_1 \dots a_l} \\ &\quad + N^{-1} (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_j V_{a_1 \dots a_l} - \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} V_{a_1 \dots a_l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

3.1. Commuting identities. We shall introduce a commuting Lemma, based on which we can proceed the energy argument.

An application of Lemma 3.2 to $\nabla_{I_l} \psi$ gives the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (Commuting Lemma for Scalar Field). *Let $l \geq 1$, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\nabla_{I_l} \psi = \nabla_{I_l} \bar{T} \psi + \mathcal{KN} \mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\psi), \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{I_l} \psi = \nabla_{I_l} (\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \psi) + \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\psi), \quad (3.5b)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{I_l}(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\psi)$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\psi) = \pm \sum_{a+2+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} \nabla (N \hat{k} + \hat{N}) * \nabla_{I_b} \nabla \psi, \quad l \geq 2, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\mathcal{KN} \mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\psi) = N^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T} \psi) + N^{-1} \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\psi), \quad l \geq 1, \quad (3.6b)$$

with $\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T} \psi)$ defined as below

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T} \psi) = \sum_{a+b+1=l} \nabla_{I_a} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \psi. \quad (3.7)$$

And when $l = 1$, $\mathcal{KN}_{I_1}(\psi) = 0$.

Proof. We will prove the following commuting identities.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_l} \psi - \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_l} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \psi \\ &= - \sum_{a=0}^{l-2} \sum_{m=a+2}^l \nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_a} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_{a+1} i_m}^p \nabla_{i_{a+2}} \dots \nabla_p^m \dots \nabla_{i_l} \psi \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we use the convention for the right hand side: when $l = 1$, it is identically zero; when $l \geq 2$, the term corresponding to $a = 0$ is interpreted as $-\sum_{m=2}^l \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_1 i_m}^p \nabla_{i_2} \dots \nabla_p^m \dots \nabla_{i_l} \psi$. Namely, the index of $\nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_a}$, $\{i_1 \dots i_a\}$ is always in the proper order. Otherwise, we let inverted one $\nabla_{i_{k+1}} \nabla_{i_k} = 1$.

For $l = 1$, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{i_1} \psi = \nabla_{i_1} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \psi. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence (3.8) is true for $l = 1$. Next, we prove (3.8) by induction. Suppose (3.8) holds for $l \leq n - 1$, we wish to prove that it also holds for $l = n$. By the commuting Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi \\ &= \nabla_{i_1} (\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi) - \sum_{m=2}^n \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{i_1 i_m}^p \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_p^m \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Apply (3.8) to $l = n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi - \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \psi \\ &= - \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \sum_{m=a+2}^n \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_a} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{i_{a+1} i_m}^p \nabla_{i_{a+2}} \cdots \nabla_p^m \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Note that we set the inverted order one $\nabla_{i_2} \nabla_{i_1} = 1$. Substituting (3.11) into (3.13), and making some rearrangements, we prove that (3.8) holds for $l = n$. Noting the formula (3.2) for $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{ij}^a$, we derive (3.5b).

Based on 3.4, we have for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_j \nabla_{I_n} \psi &= \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{I_n} \psi + N^{-1} \nabla_j N \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{I_n} \psi \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \Gamma_{j i_k}^p \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_p \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We will use (3.12) to prove (3.5a), (3.6b) by induction. For $l = 1$, by (3.9) and (3.4), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{i_1} \psi = \nabla_{i_1} \bar{T} \psi + N^{-1} \nabla_{i_1} N * \bar{T} \psi,$$

That is (3.5a), (3.6b) holds for $l = 1$. Suppose (3.5a) holds for $l \leq n - 1$, in views of (3.12), we now consider by induction

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi = \nabla_{i_1} (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi) \\ & \quad + N^{-1} \nabla_{i_1} N \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \psi - N^{-1} \nabla (\hat{N} + N \hat{k}) \nabla^n \psi \\ &= \nabla_{i_1} \left(\nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \psi + \sum_{a+b=n-1, a \geq 1} N^{-1} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \psi + N^{-1} \mathcal{KN}_{I_{n-1}}(\psi) \right) \\ & \quad + N^{-1} \nabla_{i_1} N \left(\nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_n} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \psi + \sum_{a+b=n-1, a \geq 1} N^{-1} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \psi \right) \\ & \quad - N^{-1} \nabla_{i_1} N * N^{-1} \mathcal{KN}_{I_{n-1}}(\psi) - N^{-1} \nabla (\hat{N} + N \hat{k}) \nabla^n \psi. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \nabla_{I_n} \psi &= \nabla_{I_n} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \psi + N^{-1} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_{n-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}} \psi \\ & \quad + \sum_{a+1+b=n-1} N^{-1} \nabla_{i_1} (\nabla_{I_a} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \psi) \\ & \quad + N^{-1} \nabla (\mathcal{KN}_{I_{n-1}}(\psi)) - N^{-1} \nabla (\hat{N} + N \hat{k}) \nabla^n \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

which further implies (3.5a), (3.6b) . \square

Lemma 3.4 (Commuting Lemma for T -Tangent tensor). *Let Ψ_{J_n} be a T -tangent $(0, n)$ -tensor field. Let $l \geq 1$,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{I_l}\Psi_{J_n} = \nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Psi_{J_n} + \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}), \quad (3.14a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\nabla_{I_l}\Psi_{J_n} = \nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\Psi_{J_n} + \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}), \quad (3.14b)$$

where $\mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n})$ and $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n})$ are defined as

$$\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}) = \pm \sum_{a+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_a}\nabla \left(N\hat{k} + \hat{N} \right) * \nabla_{I_b}\Psi_{J_n}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}) = N^{-1}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\Psi_{J_n}) + N^{-1}\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\Psi),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\Psi) = \sum_{a+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_a}\nabla\hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b}\Psi, \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. In fact, we can prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{i_1}\cdots\nabla_{i_l}\Psi_{j_1\cdots j_n} - \nabla_{i_1}\cdots\nabla_{i_l}\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Psi_{j_1\cdots j_n} \\ &= - \sum_{a=0}^{l-2} \sum_{m=a+2}^l \nabla_{i_1}\cdots\nabla_{i_a} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_{a+1}i_m}^p \nabla_{i_{a+2}}\cdots\nabla_{i_p}^m \cdots \nabla_{i_l}\Psi_{j_1\cdots j_n} \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{a=0}^{l-1} \sum_{b=1}^n \nabla_{i_1}\cdots\nabla_{i_a} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_{a+1}j_b}^p \nabla_{i_{a+2}}\cdots\nabla_{i_l}\Psi_{j_1\cdots j_{b-1}j_{b+1}\cdots j_n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

And similar commuting formula between $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}$ and ∇_{I_l} holds as well. Noting the formulae (3.2) for $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{ij}^a$ and (3.4), we can derive the proof for (3.14a)-(3.14b). \square

We additionally present a commuting identity between ∇ and Δ , which can be easily proved by induction.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $l \geq 0$, then for any scalar field ψ ,*

$$\Delta\nabla_{I_l}\psi = \nabla_{I_l}\Delta\psi + \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\psi), \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\psi), l \geq 1$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\psi) = \pm \sum_{a+b=l, a \leq l-1} \nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b}\psi. \quad (3.19)$$

Generally for any T -tangent $(0, n)$ -tensor $\Psi = \Psi_{J_n}$,

$$\Delta\nabla_{I_l}\Psi_{J_n} = \nabla_{I_l}\Delta\Psi_{J_n} + \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}), \quad (3.20)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_l(\Psi_{J_n})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\Psi_{J_n}) = \pm \sum_{a+b=l} \nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b}\Psi. \quad (3.21)$$

We also define that when $l = 0$, $\mathcal{R}_{I_0}(\psi) = \mathcal{R}_{I_0}(\Psi_{J_n}) = 0$.

We collect some definitions which will be used later. For any scalar function or T -tangent tensor A , we define

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(A) = \sum_{a+b+c=l} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_c} A. \quad (3.22)$$

At last, we show an identity that is useful in the calculation follows.

Proposition 3.6. *For any scalar function f , there is a identity*

$$\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \int_{\Sigma_t} f d\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma_t} (\partial_{\bar{\tau}} f + 3\hat{N}f) d\mu_g. \quad (3.23)$$

3.2. Energy identities for the 1 + 3 KG equation. The KG equation can be decomposed in the following 1 + 3 form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\bar{T}\phi - (\text{tr}kN + 1)\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla^i\nabla_i\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)N\phi - \nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Define the i^{th} order energy norm for the KG field ϕ as follows, letting

$$\rho_i^g(\phi) = |\nabla_{I_i}\bar{T}\phi|_g^2 + |\nabla_{I_i}\nabla\phi|_g^2 + \bar{m}^2(t)|\nabla_{I_i}\phi|_g^2, \quad (3.25)$$

where $|\nabla_{I_i}\phi|_g^2 := g^{i_1j_1} \dots g^{i_lj_l} \nabla_{I_i}\phi \nabla_{J_l}\phi$. And

$$E_i(\phi, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \rho_i(\phi) d\mu_g. \quad (3.26)$$

$E_i^{\tilde{g}}(\phi, t)$ is defined via replacing the metric g and rescaled mass $\bar{m}(t)$ in $E_i^g(\phi, t)$ by \tilde{g} and m . Then, we have

$$E_i(\phi, t) = t^{-1+2i} E_i^{\tilde{g}}(\phi, t).$$

Actually, the (i^{th} order) \bar{T} energy of KG field is given by

$$\bar{E}_i(\phi, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} (\rho_i(\phi) - \text{tr}k\nabla_{I_i}\bar{T}\phi\nabla^{I_i}\phi) d\mu_g. \quad (3.27)$$

For $|\text{tr}k| = 3 < \bar{m}(t)$ (or $t_0 > 3m^{-1}$), these two energy norm are indeed equivalent: $\frac{1}{2}E_i(\phi, t) < \bar{E}_i(\phi, t) < \frac{3}{2}E_i(\phi, t)$.

3.2.1. The zero order case.

Theorem 3.7. *Let ϕ be a solution to the KG equation (1.2), then the 0^{th} -order energy admits*

$$\partial_{\bar{\tau}}\tilde{E}_0(\phi, t) + \tilde{E}_0(\phi, t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N|\nabla\phi|^2 + {}_0LK = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

where the error term ${}_0LK$ is given by

$${}_0LK = 3N\phi\bar{T}\phi - 4\hat{N}\phi\bar{T}\phi - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}\nabla^i\phi\nabla^j\phi - 2\nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi. \quad (3.29)$$

Remark 3.8. *Such an energy inequality for the KG equation is also introduced by Galstian-Yagdjian [16] in the FLRW spacetime. However, they pursue global results with low regularity, and hence do not need the high order energy identities.*

Proof. We multiply $2\bar{T}\phi$ on (3.24), noticing that

$$2N\bar{m}^2(t)\phi\bar{T}\phi = \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2) - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -2N\nabla^i\nabla_i\phi\bar{T}\phi &= -\nabla_i(2N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi) + 2\nabla^i\phi\nabla_i(N\bar{T}\phi) \\ &= -\nabla_i(2N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi) + 2\nabla^i\phi\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_i\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality above we have used the commuting identity (3.5a) with $l = 1$. Additionally, making use of the identity $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}g^{ij} = -2\hat{N}g^{ij} + 2N\hat{k}^{ij}$, and hence

$$2\nabla^i\phi\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_i\phi = \partial_{\bar{\tau}}|\nabla\phi|^2 - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}\nabla^i\phi\nabla^j\phi + 2\hat{N}|\nabla\phi|^2,$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\tau}}\rho_0(\phi) - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2 + 4N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 + 2\hat{N}(\bar{T}\phi)^2 + 2\hat{N}|\nabla\phi|^2 \\ - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}\nabla^i\phi\nabla^j\phi - 2\nabla_iN\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi - 2\nabla_i(N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Now let us focus on the quadratic term $4N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2$ in (3.30). We will additionally address an integration by part (or rather extract a divergence form) and use the KG equation to make the sign right. Performing an integration by part,

$$N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 = \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\phi\bar{T}\phi) - \phi\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\bar{T}\phi.$$

We then substitute the KG equation (3.24) into the following formula,

$$\begin{aligned} 4N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2 &= N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 + 3\partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\phi\bar{T}\phi) - 3\phi\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\bar{T}\phi - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\phi^2 \\ &= N(\bar{T}\phi)^2 + \bar{m}^2(t)N\phi^2 + \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(3\phi\bar{T}\phi) + 2\bar{m}^2(t)\hat{N}\phi \\ &\quad + 3\phi\left(2N\bar{T}\phi + \hat{N}\bar{T}\phi - N\Delta\phi - \nabla_iN\nabla^i\phi\right). \end{aligned}$$

The first two term now has a good sign, and the extra quadratic term $-3N\phi\Delta\phi$,

$$-3N\phi\Delta\phi = -3\nabla_i(N\phi\nabla^i\phi) + 3N|\nabla\phi|^2 + 3\phi\nabla^iN\nabla_i\phi.$$

We remark that the error terms arising in this procedure are all of lower order: they indeed have additional t^{-1} factor, for instance, $\phi \cdot N\bar{T}\phi$ can be rewritten as $t^{-1}\bar{m}(t)\phi \cdot N\bar{T}\phi$. Putting the two identities above together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\rho_0(\phi) + 3\phi\bar{T}\phi) + N\rho_0(\phi) + 2N|\nabla\phi|^2 + 2\hat{N}\rho_0(\phi) \\ - 2\nabla_i(N\phi\nabla^i\phi) - 2\nabla_i(N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi) + 6N\phi\bar{T}\phi \\ + 2\hat{N}\phi\bar{T}\phi - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}\nabla^i\phi\nabla^j\phi - 2\nabla_iN\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on Σ_t and making use of the identity (3.23), there is

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \int_{\Sigma_t} (\rho_0(\phi) + 3\phi\bar{T}\phi) d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N|\nabla\phi|^2 d\mu_g \\ & + \int_{\Sigma_t} N(\rho_0(\phi) + 3\phi\bar{T}\phi) + 3N\phi\bar{T}\phi - 7\hat{N}\phi\bar{T}\phi \\ & + \int_{\Sigma_t} -\hat{N}\rho_0(\phi) - 2N\hat{k}_{ij}\nabla^i\phi\nabla^j\phi - 2\nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi\bar{T}\phi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

We then achieve (3.28). \square

As a remark, (3.28) can also be deduced by the method of energy-momentum tensor. However, if we proceed to the higher order case, it will be natural and simpler to commute the spatially covariant derivative ∇ with the KG equation in 1 + 3 form (3.24). And this turns out to be more compatible with the energy with only high order of spatial energy.

3.2.2. The higher order case.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $l \geq 1$, the l^{th} -order KG equation $\nabla_{I_l}(N(\square_{\bar{g}}\phi - \bar{m}^2(t)\phi)) = 0$ can be decomposed in the following 1 + 3 form*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - (N\text{tr}k + 1)\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla_{I_l}\Delta\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)N\nabla_{I_l}\phi \\ & + \bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) - \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi), \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi)$ are defined as (3.16), and $\mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) = \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) + \sum_{a+1+b=l} \text{tr}k\nabla_{I_a}\nabla N * \nabla_{I_b}\bar{T}\phi, \quad (3.33)$$

and $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$ is defined as in (3.5b).

Remark 3.10 (Inevitability of the borderline terms in the high order KG equation). *We first remark that*

$$\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) = \bar{m}^2(t)(\nabla_{I_l}(N\phi) - N\nabla_{I_l}\phi),$$

will be one of the borderline terms in the energy estimate for KG equation.

One may argue that this borderline term is artificial, since if we commuting ∇_{I_l} straightforwardly with the original KG equation, as

$$\nabla_{I_l}(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}\bar{T}\phi - (N^{-1} + \text{tr}k)\bar{T}\phi - \Delta\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)\phi - N^{-1}\nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi) = 0, \quad (3.34)$$

then the aforementioned borderline term $\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$ does not exist. In fact, such borderline term will alternatively arise from the commuting term $[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}]\bar{T}\phi = \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$, c.f. (3.6b). After commuting $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}$ with ∇_{I_l} and multiplying by N on (3.34), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - (N\text{tr}k + 1)\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla_{I_l}(\Delta\phi) + \bar{m}^2(t)N\nabla_{I_l}\phi \\ & = N[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}](\bar{T}\phi) + N\nabla_{I_l}(N^{-1}\nabla_i N\nabla^i\phi). \end{aligned}$$

This almost takes the same form as (3.32) (ignoring the lower order terms), except that the previous $\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$ is now replaced by $-N[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}](\bar{T}\phi)$.

However, taking a close look at $-N[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}](\bar{T}\phi)$, we will find out that this is exactly the missing borderline term, c.f. (3.6b),

$$-N[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}](\bar{T}\phi) = -\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}^2\phi) - \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi), \quad l \geq 1,$$

where

$$-\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}^2\phi) = \sum_{a+b+1=l} \nabla_{I_a} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T}^2\phi.$$

We further make use of the KG equation to substitute $\nabla_{I_b} \bar{T}^2\phi$,

$$-\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}^2\phi) = \sum_{a+b+1=l} \bar{m}^2(t) \nabla_{I_a} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_b} \phi + l.o.t..$$

The first one on the right hand side above is exactly the borderline term $\bar{m}^2(t) \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$. Note that, in the energy argument, this term will be multiplied with $2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi$, and hence the whole term takes the form of $2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$.

Secondly, let us remark that the other borderline term will also originate from something related to $[\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}]\phi$. As in the proof of Corollary 3.11, to establish the energy identity, we multiply $2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi$ on the high order KG equation (3.32). Let us focus on the massive term (3.45) for the moment,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\bar{m}^2(t) N \nabla_{I_l} \phi \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi \\ = & \partial_{\bar{r}} (\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2) - 2\bar{m}^2(t) N \nabla^{I_l} \phi [\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}]\phi \\ & - 2\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2 + 2\bar{m}^2(t) \hat{N} |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t) N \hat{k} * \nabla^{I_l} \psi * \nabla_{I_l} \phi. \end{aligned}$$

In the above identity, $\partial_{\bar{r}} (\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2)$ will contribute to the energy norm, $-2\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2$ will be absorbed (c.f. (3.51)), and the other terms in the 3rd line are cubic lower order terms. While the final one $-2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \phi [\mathcal{L}_{\bar{T}}, \nabla_{I_l}]\phi$, as shown before, leads to the borderline term $-2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$, which can be seen as somehow “dual” to the previous one $2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$.

The terms discussed above are the only two borderline cases. More explicitly, they take the forms of

$$\sum_{a+b+1=l} 2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla_{I_a} \nabla N * (\nabla_{I_b} \phi * \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi - \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla^{I_l} \phi),$$

which can not be cancelled.

Proof. Take the derivative ∇_{i_1} on the KG equation in 1 + 3 form (3.28), by the commuting Lemma 3.3 (with $l = 1$ in (3.5b)),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}} \nabla_{i_1} \bar{T}\phi - \nabla_{i_1} \bar{T}\phi - N \text{tr}k \nabla_{i_1} \bar{T}\phi + \bar{m}^2(t) N \nabla_{i_1} \phi - N \nabla_{i_1} \nabla^p \nabla_p \phi \\ & + \bar{m}^2(t) \nabla_{i_1} N \phi - \text{tr}k \nabla_{i_1} N \bar{T}\phi - (\nabla_{i_1} N \nabla^p \nabla_p \phi + \nabla_{i_1} (\nabla^p N \nabla_p \phi)). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.32)-(3.16) with $l = 1$ follows.

Suppose the commuting Lemma 3.3 (with $l = 1$ in (3.5b)) holds for $l = n - 1$, that is, the $(n - 1)^{\text{th}}$ order KG equation in 1 + 3 form takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\bar{T}\phi - (\text{tr}kN + 1)\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\nabla^p\nabla_p\phi \\ & + \bar{m}^2(t)N\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_{n-1}}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_{n-1}}(\bar{T}\phi) + \mathcal{N}_{I_n}(\nabla\phi) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Now taking the derivative ∇_{i_1} on (3.35), and using the commuting Lemma 3.2 with $l = n - 1$, we have

$$\nabla_{i_1}(\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\bar{T}\phi) = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t}\nabla_{I_n}\bar{T}\phi + \sum_{m=2}^n \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_1 i_m}^p \nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_p\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\phi.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\nabla_{I_n}\bar{T}\phi - (\text{tr}kN + 1)\nabla_{I_n}\bar{T}\phi - N\nabla_{I_n}\nabla^p\nabla_p\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)N\nabla_{I_n}\phi \\ & + \sum_{m=2}^n \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}}\Gamma_{i_1 i_m}^p \nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_p\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\phi - \text{tr}k\nabla_{i_1}N\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\bar{T}\phi \\ & - \nabla_{i_1}N\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\nabla^p\nabla_p\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)\nabla_{i_1}N\nabla_{i_2}\cdots\nabla_{i_n}\phi \\ & + \nabla_{i_1}\left(\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_{n-1}}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_{n-1}}(\bar{T}\phi) + \mathcal{N}_{I_n}(\nabla\phi)\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

In views of the definition for $\mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$, $\mathcal{N}_{l+1}(\nabla\phi)$, $\mathcal{N}_l(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$, we rearrange the 2nd-4th lines in (3.36), thus (3.32)-(3.16) holds for $l = n$. \square

The high order KG equation in 1 + 3 form (3.32)-(3.16) leads to the partial energy identities of high order derivatives.

Corollary 3.11. *Let ϕ be a solution to the KG equation (1.2), and $l \geq 1$, then the l^{th} -order tilde energy $\tilde{E}_l(\phi, t)$ (See definition in (3.27)) admits*

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\bar{\tau}}\tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) + \tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N|\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi|^2 d\mu_g \\ & = \int_{\Sigma_t} (BL_l + {}_lLK_1 + {}_lLK_2 + {}_lLK_3) d\mu_g, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

where the lower order terms ${}_lLK_1, {}_lLK_2, {}_lLK_3$ are given below

$$\begin{aligned} {}_lLK_1 &= \left(\hat{N} + N\hat{k}\right)|\nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 + \left(3N - 3\hat{N} + N\hat{k}\right)\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi \\ & - 2N\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi) - N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi), \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where $\mathcal{D}\phi \in \{\bar{T}\phi, \nabla\phi, \bar{m}(t)\phi\}$, and

$${}_lLK_2 = \nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi\left(\mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\mathcal{D}\phi) + \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi) + \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi) + \mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)\right), \quad (3.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_lLK_3 &= \nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\phi) + \nabla^{I_l}\phi\mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) \\ & + \nabla^{I_l}\phi\left(\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) + \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

and the borderline terms BL_l are given by

$$BL_l = 2\bar{m}^2(t)\left(\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) - \nabla^{I_l}\phi\mathcal{N}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)\right). \quad (3.41)$$

We refer to (3.6a)-(3.6b), (3.7), (3.19), (3.33) and (3.16)-(3.22) for definitions of $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi) \dots$

Remark 3.12. *If working within the CMCSH gauge, $\partial_t = NT + X_t$, $\partial_{\bar{\tau}} = N\bar{T} + X$, where $X = tX_t$ denotes the rescaled shift. And extra terms as $-\nabla_{I_l}(\mathcal{L}_X \bar{T}\phi) + \nabla_{I_l}(X^2 \cdot \bar{T}\phi)$ must be added in the KG equation (3.24). In the energy argument, these terms will yield the leading terms $\nabla_i(X^i |\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi|^2) \pm {}^X\Pi * |\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi|^2 \pm \nabla_{I_{l-2}}({}^X\Pi * \nabla \bar{T}\phi) * \nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi$, where ${}^X\Pi_{ij} = \nabla_i X_j + \nabla_j X_i$ denotes the deformation tensor of X . The first term vanishes after integrating on Σ_t , while the other two terms can never be borderline terms, as we expect that X shares the same (or better) estimates with \hat{N} , c.f. Remark 4.4.*

Proof. The proof is in spirit of that of Theorem 3.7. We multiply $2\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi$ on the l^{th} -order KG equation in 1 + 3 form (3.32)-(3.16). At first, for $2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{\tau}}} \nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi \\ &= \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (|\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi|^2) + 2\hat{N} |\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2N\hat{k} * \nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Secondly, for $-2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N \nabla_{I_l} \nabla^p \nabla_p \phi$, by the commuting identity (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N \nabla_{I_l} \nabla^p \nabla_p \phi = -2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N (\Delta \nabla_{I_l} \phi + \mathcal{R}_l(\phi)) \\ &= -\nabla_i (2N \nabla^i \nabla_{I_l} \phi \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi) + 2N \nabla^{I_{l+1}} \phi \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \bar{T}\phi \\ & \quad + \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla N * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \phi - N \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Applying the commuting identity (3.5a) to $\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \bar{T}\phi$, $2N \nabla^{I_{l+1}} \phi \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \bar{T}\phi$ in (3.43) can be further reduced in an analogous way to (3.42). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N \nabla_{I_l} \nabla^p \nabla_p \phi = -\nabla_i (2N \nabla^i \nabla_{I_l} \phi \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi) \\ & \quad + \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (|\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \phi|^2) + 2\hat{N} |\nabla^{I_{l+1}} \phi|^2 - 2N\hat{k} * \nabla^{I_{l+1}} \phi * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \phi \\ & \quad - 2N \nabla^{I_{l+1}} \phi * \mathcal{KN} \mathcal{T}_{I_{l+1}}(\phi) + \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi (\nabla N * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \phi - N \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Thirdly, $2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N \nabla_{I_l} \phi$ can be treated in the same way, thus

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\bar{m}^2(t) \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi N \nabla_{I_l} \phi \\ &= \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2) - 2\bar{m}^2(t) N \nabla^{I_l} \phi * \mathcal{KN} \mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi) \\ & \quad - 2\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2 + 2\bar{m}^2(t) \hat{N} |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t) N \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_l} \phi * \nabla^{I_l} \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Therefore, putting (3.42)-(3.45) together,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\bar{\tau}} (\rho_l(\phi)) + 4N |\nabla_{I_l} \bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t) |\nabla_{I_l} \phi|^2 \\ & \quad - \nabla_i (2N \nabla^i \nabla_{I_l} \phi \nabla^{I_l} \bar{T}\phi) + \text{error} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the error terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{error} &= 2\hat{N}|\nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 - 2N\hat{k} * |\nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 \\
&\quad + \nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla N * \nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi - N\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi) \\
&\quad - 2N(\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_{l+1}}(\phi) + \bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\phi * \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi)) \\
&\quad + 2\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi(\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) - \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

$\mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi)$ (c.f. (3.6b)) will now split into two parts:

$$\mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi) = N^{-1}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) + N^{-1}\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\phi), \quad l \geq 1,$$

where the definitions of $\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi)$, $\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\phi)$ are shown in (3.16) and (3.6a). Hence, the 3rd line of (3.46) contains

$$-2\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\bar{T}\phi) - 2\bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\phi * \mathcal{N}_l(\bar{T}\phi).$$

We note that $\bar{m}^2(t) = m^2t^2$. The extra t^2 makes $\bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\phi * \mathcal{N}_l(\bar{T}\phi)$ borderline term, while $\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\bar{T}\phi)$ is a lower order term. The other borderline term is $2\bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi)$ which is originated from $\bar{m}^2(t)[\nabla_{I_l}, N]\phi$. We further apply the identity (3.23) to achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{\bar{\tau}}\rho_l(\phi) + 4N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 \\
&\quad - \nabla_i(2N\nabla^i\nabla_{I_l}\phi\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi) - BL_l + \text{l.o.t.}_1 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

where we had separated the error terms into two parts: the borderline terms

$$BL_l = -2\bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) + 2\bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) \tag{3.48}$$

and the lower order terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{l.o.t.}_1 &= 2\hat{N}|\nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 - 2N\hat{k} * |\nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 - 2\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\bar{T}\phi) \\
&\quad - 2(\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{KN}_{I_{l+1}}(\phi) + \bar{m}^2(t)\nabla^{I_l}\phi * \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(\phi)) \\
&\quad - \nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi(\mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) + \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi) + N\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Here we note that $\nabla^{I_l}T\phi\nabla N * \nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi$ in (3.46) has been covered by $\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi * \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\bar{T}\phi)$ in (3.49).

In the second step, we will concentrate on the quadratic terms in (3.47),

$$4N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2. \tag{3.50}$$

We again apply the commuting identity (3.5a) to $\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 &= \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) + \bar{m}^2(t)N|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 + 3\hat{N}\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi \\
&\quad - N\hat{k}\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_l}\phi - N\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi) + 2N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi \\
&\quad + \nabla^{I_l}\phi(-N\nabla_{I_l}\Delta\phi + \bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) - \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the high order KG equation (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 &= N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 + \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) \\
&\quad + \bar{m}^2(t)N|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 + 3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - 3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\Delta\phi + \text{l.o.t.}_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

where the lower order terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.o.t.}_2 &= (3N + 9\hat{N})\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi - N\hat{k}\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_l}\phi \\ &\quad - N\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi * \mathcal{KN}\mathcal{T}_{I_l}(\phi) + 2\bar{m}^2(t)\hat{N}|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 \\ &\quad + \nabla^{I_l}\phi(\bar{m}^2(t)\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) - \mathcal{KN}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) - \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Applying the commuting identity between Δ and ∇_{I_l} (3.18) to $\Delta\nabla_{I_l}\phi$ above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\Delta\phi &= -3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi(\Delta\nabla_{I_l}\phi - \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi)) \\ &= -3\nabla^i(N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_i\nabla_{I_l}\phi) + 3N\nabla^{I_{l+1}}\phi\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi + \text{l.o.t.}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

where the lower order terms are given by

$$\text{l.o.t.}_3 = 3\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla^iN\nabla_i\nabla_{I_l}\phi + 3\nabla^{I_l}\phi N\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi). \quad (3.54)$$

Putting (3.51)-(3.52) and (3.53)-(3.54) together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &4N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 - 2\bar{m}^2(t)|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 \\ &= N|\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi|^2 + \bar{m}^2(t)N|\nabla_{I_l}\phi|^2 + 3N|\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi|^2 + 3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\bar{T}\phi \\ &\quad + \partial_{\bar{\tau}}(3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) - 3\nabla^i(N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_i\nabla_{I_l}\phi) + \text{l.o.t.}_2 + \text{l.o.t.}_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

Combining (3.55) with (3.47)-(3.49), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{\tau}}(\rho_l(\phi) + 3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) + N(\rho_l(\phi) + 3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) \\ &\quad + 2N|\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi|^2 - \nabla^i(3N\nabla^{I_l}\phi\nabla_i\nabla_{I_l}\phi + 2N\nabla^i\nabla_{I_l}\phi\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi) \\ &\quad - BL_l + \text{l.o.t.}_1 + \text{l.o.t.}_2 + \text{l.o.t.}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

where BL_l , $\text{l.o.t.}_1, \dots, \text{l.o.t.}_3$ are defined in (3.48), (3.49), (3.52), (3.54). Integrate (3.56) on Σ_t ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \int_{\Sigma_t} (\rho_l(\phi) + 3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) + \int_{\Sigma_t} (\rho_l(\phi, t) + 3\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\nabla_{I_l}\phi) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N|\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi|^2 - BL_l - {}_lLK_1 - {}_lLK_2 - {}_lLK_3 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_lLK_i$ are defined as in (3.38)-(3.40). And we denote $\mathcal{D}\phi \in \{\bar{T}\phi, \nabla\phi, \bar{m}\phi\}$. As we can see, the $2N|\nabla_{I_{l+1}}\phi|^2$ above has a good sign and it will contribute a positive double integral in the energy estimate. We then achieve (3.37)-(3.40). \square

3.3. Energy identities for the 1 + 3 Bianchi equations.

3.3.1. *The Weyl fields and Bianchi equations.* The Weyl tensor $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ being the traceless part of the curvature tensor is

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{g} \odot Ric(\bar{g}))_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{1}{12}\bar{R}(\bar{g} \odot \bar{g})_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad (3.57)$$

where $Ric(\bar{g})$ is the Ricci tensor of \bar{g} , and \odot is defined as (2.8). We define the left and right Hodge duals of W by

$${}^*W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}{}_{\gamma\delta}, \quad W^*_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}. \quad (3.58)$$

However, by virtue of the algebraic properties of W the two duals coincide: ${}^*W = W^*$. The Bianchi identities entail the divergence equations

$$D^\alpha W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = J_{\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad (3.59a)$$

$$D^\alpha {}^*W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = J^*_{\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad (3.59b)$$

where

$$J_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}(D_\gamma \bar{R}_{\delta\beta} - D_\delta \bar{R}_{\gamma\beta}) - \frac{1}{12}(\bar{g}_{\beta\delta} D_\gamma \bar{R} - \bar{g}_{\beta\gamma} D_\delta \bar{R}), \quad (3.60)$$

and then

$$J^*_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}J_{\beta}{}^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}. \quad (3.61)$$

The source terms $J_{\beta\gamma\delta}, J^*_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ are related to the matter field, since the Ricci tensor $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by the KG field, see (A.5).

The electric and magnetic parts $E(W), H(W)$ of the Weyl fields W , with respect to the foliation Σ_t are defined by

$$E(W)_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}\bar{T}^\mu\bar{T}^\nu, \quad H(W)_{\alpha\beta} = {}^*W_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}\bar{T}^\mu\bar{T}^\nu. \quad (3.62)$$

The tensors E and H are T -tangent, i.e. $E_{\alpha\beta}T^\alpha = H_{\alpha\beta}T^\alpha = 0$ and trace free $\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}E_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. It follows that $g^{ij}E_{ij} = g^{ij}H_{ij} = 0$. The following identities relate $W, {}^*W, E = E(W), H = H(W)$, (c.f. Page 144 in [13]),

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ijp\bar{T}} &= -\epsilon_{ij}{}^m H_{mp}, & {}^*W_{ijp\bar{T}} &= \epsilon_{ij}{}^m E_{mp}, \\ W_{ijpq} &= -\epsilon_{ijm}\epsilon_{pqn}E^{mn}, & {}^*W_{ijpq} &= \epsilon_{ijm}\epsilon_{pqn}H^{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that, the spacetime hodge dual $*$, and $J_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, E_{ij}, H_{ij}$ are all scale-free. The Gauss and Codazzi equations can be written in terms of E and H

$$R_{ij} + 2g_{ij} - \hat{k}_{im}\hat{k}{}^m{}_j - \hat{k}_{ij} = E_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}_{ij} + \frac{1}{6}(3\bar{R}_{TT} + \bar{R})g_{ij}. \quad (3.63a)$$

$$\text{curl}k_{ij} = -H_{ij}. \quad (3.63b)$$

For the energy estimate scheme of the Weyl field, we will focus on the following (rescaled) 1 + 3 splitting of Bianchi equations (3.59a)-(3.59b) (c.f. Proposition 7.2.1 in [13] or Corollary 3.2 in [6])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}}E_{ij} &= N\text{curl}H_{ij} - (\nabla N \wedge H)_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{5N}{2}(E \times k)_{ij} - \frac{2N}{3}(E \cdot k)g_{ij} - \frac{N}{2}\text{tr}kE_{ij} - NJ_{i\bar{T}j}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.64a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}}H_{ij} &= -N\text{curl}E_{ij} + (\nabla N \wedge E)_{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{5N}{2}(H \times k)_{ij} - \frac{2N}{3}(H \cdot k)g_{ij} - \frac{N}{2}\text{tr}kH_{ij} - NJ^*_{i\bar{T}j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.64b)$$

See Appendix for the definition of $\wedge, \times, \text{div}$ and curl .

We shall allow ourselves to use W to represent the electric part E or the magnetic part H . Define the l^{th} -order energy norm for W

$$E_i(W, \tau) = \int_{\Sigma_t} (|\nabla_{I_i} E|_g^2 + |\nabla_{I_i} H|_g^2) d\mu_g. \quad (3.65)$$

Let $E_i^{\tilde{g}}(W, t)$ be the energy norm associated to \tilde{g} , i.e. replacing g by \tilde{g} in (3.65). Then, $t^2 E_i(W, t) = t^{3+2i} E_i^{\tilde{g}}(W, t)$. And define

$$\rho_i(W) = |\nabla_{I_i} E|_g^2 + |\nabla_{I_i} H|_g^2. \quad (3.66)$$

3.3.2. *The zeroth order energy identity.*

Theorem 3.13. *For solutions to the Bianchi equation in 1+3 form (3.64a)-(3.64b), there is the energy identity*

$$\partial_{\bar{\tau}} E_0(W, \bar{\tau}) + 2E_0(W, \bar{\tau}) = E_0(W, \bar{\tau}_0) + \int_{\Sigma_t} ({}_0LW + S_0) d\mu_g, \quad (3.67)$$

where the error terms LW_0 and the source terms S_0 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0LW &= 3\hat{N} (|E|^2 + |H|^2) + \nabla N * E * H \\ &\quad \pm N\hat{k} * (E * E + H * H), \\ S_0 &= -2N \left(J_{i\bar{T}j} E^{ij} + J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.68)$$

Proof. We multiply $2E_{ij}$ on (3.64a) and $2H_{ij}$ on (3.64b) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{\tau}} (|E|^2 + |H|^2) - \frac{2N}{3} \text{tr} k (|E|^2 + |H|^2) \\ &= \text{div} (2N (E \wedge H)) + 6\nabla N \cdot (E \wedge H) \\ &\quad + 4N\hat{k} * (E * E + H * H) - 4\hat{N} (E^2 + H^2) \\ &\quad - 3N (E \times \hat{k}) \cdot E - 3N (H \times \hat{k}) \cdot H \\ &\quad - 2N J_{i\bar{T}j} E^{ij} - 2N J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.69)$$

Here we have used the identity (C.9) relating curl, \cdot , div, \wedge and the splitting,

$$(E \times k)_{ij} = (E \times \hat{k})_{ij} - \frac{\text{tr} k}{3} E_{ij}. \quad (3.70)$$

Integrating on Σ_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \rho_0(W) d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N\rho_0(W) - 3\hat{N}\rho_0(W) d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} 4N\hat{k} * (E * E + H * H) - 4\hat{N} (E^2 + H^2) \\ &\quad + 6\nabla N \cdot (E \wedge H) - 2N J_{i\bar{T}j} E^{ij} - 2N J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

This gives (3.67). \square

3.3.3. Higher order energy identities. In this section, we always require $l \leq 3$. To proceed to the high order case, we need a high order version of the identity (C.9), which relates curl, \cdot , div, \wedge .

Lemma 3.14. *Let $l \geq 0$, and H_{ij}, E_{ij} be any two $(0, 2)$ symmetric and trace free tensors on Σ_t . Then there is the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} H)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} E)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{a \leq l} \nabla_q (\nabla_{I_a} H * \nabla_{I_a} E)^q \pm \left(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(H) * \nabla_{I_l} E + \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(E) * \nabla_{I_l} H \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(A)$ is defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(A) = \sum_{a+b=l-1} \nabla_{I_a} \hat{R}_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b} A, \quad (3.73)$$

with $\hat{R}_{imjn} = R_{imjn} + (g \odot g)_{imjn}$ being the error term in the Riemannian curvature. By virtue of (2.7),

$$\hat{R}_{imjn} = (g \odot \hat{k})_{imjn} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{k} \odot \hat{k})_{imjn} + \bar{R}_{imjn}. \quad (3.74)$$

The proof for this Lemma is presented in the Appendix C.

Theorem 3.13 can be generalized to the high order case as below.

Corollary 3.15. *For solutions to the Bianchi equations in 1 + 3 form (3.64a)-(3.64b) with $l \geq 1$, there is the energy identity*

$$\partial_{\bar{r}} E_l(W, \bar{r}) + 2E_l(W, \bar{r}) = \int_{\Sigma_t} ({}_l L W_1 + {}_l L W_2 + {}_l L W_3 + S_l) d\mu_g, \quad (3.75)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_l L W_1 &= \left(\mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(W) - \hat{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(W) \right) \nabla^{I_l} W, \\ {}_l L W_2 &= -\hat{N} |\nabla_{I_l} W|^2 \pm \sum_{a \leq l} \mathcal{N}_{I_a}(W) \nabla^{I_a} W, \\ {}_l L W_3 &= \pm \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(W) \nabla^{I_l} W, \\ S_l &= -\nabla_{I_l} (N J_{i\bar{T}j}) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l} \left(N J_{i\bar{T}j}^* \right) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

We refer to (3.15), (3.16)-(3.22) and (3.73) for the definition of $\mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij})$, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(E_{ij})$, $\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij})$, $\hat{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij}) \dots$

Proof. Perform ∇_{I_l} on (3.64a)-(3.64b), and apply the commuting identity (3.14a) to $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}} \nabla_{I_l} E_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}} \nabla_{I_l} H_{ij}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}} \nabla_{I_l} E_{ij} &= \nabla_{I_l} \left(N \text{curl} H_{ij} + \frac{N}{3} \text{trk} E_{ij} \right) + \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij}) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{I_l} \left((\nabla N \wedge H)_{ij} + \frac{5N}{2} (E \times \hat{k})_{ij} + \frac{2N}{3} (E \cdot \hat{k}) g_{ij} + N J_{i\bar{T}j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here the splitting (3.70) is used. We rearrange and collect similar terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{\bar{r}}}\nabla_{I_l}E_{ij} &= N\nabla_{I_l}(\text{curl}H_{ij}) + \frac{N}{3}\text{tr}k\nabla_{I_l}E_{ij} - \nabla_{I_l}(NJ_{i\bar{T}j}) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(E_{ij}) \pm \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(H_{ij}) - \hat{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

Multiplying $2\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij}$ on (3.77), we derive the transported equation for $|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{r}}(|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2) - \frac{2N}{3}\text{tr}k|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2 \\ &= 2N\nabla_{I_l}\text{curl}H_{ij}\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l}(NJ_{i\bar{T}j})\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij} - \hat{N}\nabla_{I_l}E * \nabla^{I_l}E \\ &\quad + \left(\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(E_{ij}) \pm \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(H_{ij}) - \hat{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(E_{ij})\right)\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

We had ignore irrelevant constant. Similar argument also applies to (3.64b).

Putting the transported equations for $|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2$ and $|\nabla_{I_l}H|^2$ together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{r}}(|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2 + |\nabla_{I_l}H|^2) - \frac{2N}{3}\text{tr}k(|\nabla_{I_l}E|^2 + |\nabla_{I_l}H|^2) \\ &= 2N(\nabla_{I_l}\text{curl}H_{ij}\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l}\text{curl}E_{ij}\nabla^{I_l}H^{ij}) \\ &\quad + \left(\mathcal{KN}_{I_l}(W) \pm \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(W) - \hat{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{N}_{I_l}(W)\right)\nabla^{I_l}W - \hat{N}\nabla_{I_l}W * \nabla^{I_l}W \\ &\quad - \nabla_{I_l}(NJ_{i\bar{T}j})\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l}(NJ_{i\bar{T}j}^*)\nabla^{I_l}H^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

In views of the identity (3.72) relating curl , \cdot and div , \wedge , the second line above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &N(\nabla_{I_l}\text{curl}H_{ij}\nabla^{I_l}E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l}\text{curl}E_{ij}\nabla^{I_l}H^{ij}) \\ &= \text{div} \pm \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(W) * \nabla^{I_l}W \pm \sum_{a \leq l} \nabla N * \nabla_{I_a}E * \nabla_{I_a}H. \end{aligned}$$

Here div denotes divergence forms. Integrating on Σ_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\bar{r}} \int_{\Sigma_t} \rho_l(W) d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N\rho_l(W) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} ({}_lLW_1 + {}_lLW_2 + {}_lLW_3 + S_l) d\mu_g. \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

where the lower order terms ${}_lLW_1, \dots, {}_lLW_3$ and source terms S_l are defined in (3.76). \square

4. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE

Let us remind the partial energy norm for the KG field $E_i(\phi, t)$ (3.26) and for the Weyl tensor $E_i(W, t)$ (3.65). We also define the energy norm up to l order as follows,

$$\mathcal{E}_l(\phi, t) = \sum_{i \leq l} E_i(\phi, t), \quad \mathcal{E}_l(W, t) = \sum_{i \leq l} E_i(W, t). \quad (4.1)$$

Besides, the energies for \hat{k} are defined by

$$E_i(\hat{k}, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_i} \hat{k}|^2 d\mu_g, \quad \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{k}, t) = \sum_{i \leq l} E_i(\hat{k}, t). \quad (4.2)$$

4.1. The continuity argument. Fix a constant $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$ and let M be a large constant to be determined. We start with the following weak assumptions: The bootstrap assumptions for the KG field ϕ ,

$$tE_i(\phi, t) \leq \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{2\delta}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4; \quad (4.3)$$

The bootstrap assumptions for the Weyl tensor $E(W), H(W)$,

$$t^2 E_i(W, t) \leq \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{2\delta}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 3; \quad (4.4)$$

The bootstrap assumptions for the \hat{k} and $\hat{N} = N - 1$,

$$t^2 E_i(\hat{k}, t) \leq \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{2\delta}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4, \quad t^2 \|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}. \quad (4.5)$$

The smallness condition for KG data (1.3) given in Theorem 1.1 entails that (4.3) holds for $t = t_0$. Conducting the elliptic estimates for \hat{N} (c.f. the second (elliptic) equation in (4.10)) on the initial slice, we further show that the requirement for data (1.3) implies that (4.5) holds on $\{t = t_0\}$. Moreover, in views of the Gauss equations (2.7)-(2.10a) and the Weyl tensor (3.57), (1.3) implies that (4.4) holds for $t = t_0$. Let $[t_0, t']$ be the largest time interval on which (4.3)-(4.5) still holds. We shall show that if ε is sufficiently small (depending on initial data and δ), then on $[t_0, t']$, (4.3)-(4.5) implies the same inequality with the constant $\varepsilon^2 M^2$ being replaced by $\frac{\varepsilon^2 M^2}{2}$, c.f. Section 7.1. It will then follow that the solution and the energy estimate (4.3)-(4.5) can be extended to a larger time interval $[t_0, T']$, thus contradicting the maximality of t' . This will imply that $t' = \infty$ and the solution is global (see the formulation of Theorem (7.3)). We will in fact prove that for a sufficiently small ε , the stronger estimate (7.22) (Theorem (7.3)) holds true on the interval $[0, t']$. From now on, we always assume $t \in [t_0, t']$.

We use the following convention for initial data for ψ ,

$$\varepsilon^2 I_l^2(\psi) := t_0 \mathcal{E}_l(\psi, t_0). \quad (4.6)$$

In application, ψ will be taken as ϕ, \hat{k} or W . At the first stage, we choose M large enough so that $I_4(\phi), I_3(W), I_4(\hat{k}) < 2M$, and ε is chosen small enough such that $\varepsilon M < 1$.

4.2. Sobolev inequality. Recall that $g_{ij} = t^{-2} \tilde{g}_{ij}$ is the scale-free metric, with ∇ the corresponding connection. Throughout the paper, we simplify the notation $L^p(\Sigma, g)$ by $L^p(\Sigma_t)$, $p > 0$, and $\int_\Sigma d\mu_g$ by \int_{Σ_t} . By the Sobolev embedding theorem on (Σ, g) , we derive the following preliminary estimates: for ϕ , (recalling that $\mathcal{D}\phi \in \{\bar{T}\phi, \nabla\phi, \bar{m}(t)\phi\}$)

$$\sum_{j \leq 2} \|\nabla^j \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i \leq 3} \|\nabla^i \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} + \|\nabla^i \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^6(\Sigma_t)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (4.7)$$

For $W \in \{E, H\}$ (4.4),

$$\sum_{j \leq 1} \|\nabla^j W\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i \leq 2} \|\nabla^i W\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} + \|\nabla^i W\|_{L^6(\Sigma_t)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3(W, t). \quad (4.8)$$

The bootstrap assumption for \hat{k} (4.5) leads to

$$\sum_{j \leq 2} \|\nabla^j \hat{k}\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{i \leq 3} \|\nabla^i \hat{k}\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} + \|\nabla^i \hat{k}\|_{L^6(\Sigma_t)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4(\hat{k}, t). \quad (4.9)$$

Remark 4.1. Let $g_0 = t_0^{-2} \tilde{g}_0$ be the initially normalized metric. Using the estimate for $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.5), (4.9) and the evolution equation for g_{ij} (2.6a), we are able to prove that g is close to g_0 : $|g_{ij} - g_{0ij}| \lesssim \varepsilon M$. And the volumes of g_{ij} and g_{0ij} are equivalent: $\exp(-\varepsilon M) \lesssim \frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu_{g_0}} \lesssim \exp(\varepsilon M)$. Thus, there exists a uniform constant bounding all the Sobolev constants for g_{ij} .

4.3. The lapse. In this section, we present the elliptic estimates for the lapse. In particular, we highlight the subtle relation between the lapse and the KG field, which shall server as a key point in establishing the hierarchy of energy estimates for the KG field, c.f. Section 5.3 .

The equation for lapse N can be derived by taking the trace of the transport equation for \hat{k}_{ij} (2.6b). \hat{N} defined in (2.4) should be regarded as small quantity in the small data situation we are considering.

$$\Delta \hat{N} - 3\hat{N} = N \left(\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} + |\hat{k}|^2 \right). \quad (4.10)$$

Let us turn to the elliptic estimates for \hat{N} . Define the energy for \hat{N} :

$$E_i(\hat{N}, t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla^i \hat{N}|_g^2 d\mu_g, \quad \mathcal{E}_i(\hat{N}, t) = \sum_{i \leq l} E_i(\hat{N}, t). \quad (4.11)$$

Again we have $E_i(\hat{N}, t) = t^{-3+2i} E_i^{\tilde{g}}(\hat{N}, t)$, where $E_i^{\tilde{g}}(\hat{N}, t)$ is defined by replacing the g in (4.11) by \tilde{g} .

Lemma 4.2. *With the bootstrap assumptions (4.3)-(4.5), we have*

$$t^2 E_i(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}, \quad i \leq 5. \quad (4.12)$$

Moreover, \hat{N} depends on the KG field in the exact manner as follows: letting

$$\|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty}^2 := r^2(\phi, t), \quad (4.13)$$

we have

$$\mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, t), \quad (4.14)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_3(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_1(\phi, t), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_4(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^2(\phi, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_5(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t). \quad (4.17)$$

Remark 4.3. *If we do not take advantage of the special structure of the source term, the ellipticity of the equation for the lapse only gives (4.14) and*

$$\mathcal{E}_{l+2}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4^2(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t), \quad l \leq 3.$$

Hence, we merely derive $\mathcal{E}_5(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4^2(\phi, t)$, ignoring lower order terms.

Remark 4.4. *If one works within the CMCSH gauge, the elliptic equation for the shift X interacts with the matter current $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{T}}^i(\phi) = \nabla^i \phi \bar{T} \phi$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X^i + R_j^i X^j &= -2\nabla_j N \hat{k}^{ij} - \frac{\text{tr}k}{3} \nabla^i N + 2N \nabla^i \phi \bar{T} \phi \\ &\quad - \left(2N \hat{k}^{pq} - \nabla^p X^q \right) \left(\Gamma_{pq}^i(g) - \Gamma_{pq}^i(\gamma) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Moreover, $\nabla^i \phi \bar{T} \phi \sim t^{-1} \nabla^i (\bar{m}(t)\phi) \bar{T} \phi$. Therefore, the leading term on the right hand side of (4.18) is $\nabla^i N$, and we can expect the following estimate

$$\mathcal{E}_5(X, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4(\hat{N}, t) + t^{-2} \mathcal{E}_4^2(\phi, t) + l.o.t., \quad (4.19)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_5(X, t)$ is defined in the same way as (4.11).

Proof. We multiply \hat{N} on (4.10) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \hat{N}|^2 + 3|\hat{N}|^2 + |\hat{N}|^2 |\hat{k}|^2 \\ &= - \int_{\Sigma_t} \hat{N} \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} \right) + |\hat{N}|^2 \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Making use of the L^∞ estimate for ϕ (4.7), the last term, $\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} = (\bar{T}\phi)^2 - \frac{\bar{m}^2(t)}{2} \phi^2$, admits

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\hat{N}|^2 |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}| \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\hat{N}|^2 (|\bar{T}\phi|^2 + |\bar{m}(t)\phi|^2) \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{-1+2\delta} |\hat{N}|^2.$$

And the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that for some constant c ,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \hat{N} \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}| \right) \leq \int_{\Sigma_t} c |\hat{N}|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_t} c^{-1} \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}| \right)^2.$$

We choose the constant $c < 2$ so that $\int_{\Sigma_t} (c + \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{-1+2\delta}) |\hat{N}|^2$ is absorbed by the left hand side of (4.20).

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \hat{N}|^2 + |\hat{N}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}| \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(\hat{k}, t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} (|\bar{T}\phi|_{L^\infty}^2 + |\bar{m}(t)\phi|_{L^\infty}^2) (|\bar{T}\phi|^2 + |\bar{m}(t)\phi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Substituting the bootstrap assumption for \hat{k} , and the assumed L^2 estimate for $\bar{T}\phi$ and $\bar{m}(t)\phi$, we have proved (4.12) up to 1st order.

Concerning the higher order cases, we aim to prove by induction that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} t^2 |\nabla_{I_i} \hat{N}|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5. \quad (4.22)$$

It has been proved that (4.22) holds for $i = 0, 1$. Suppose (4.22) holds for $i \leq l + 1$, $l \leq 3$, we shall now prove that (4.22) holds for $i = l + 2$ as well.

Applying ∇_{I_l} ($0 \leq l \leq 3$) to the equation for lapse (4.10),

$$\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{N} = 3 \nabla_{I_l} N + \sum_{a+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \left(\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} + |\hat{k}|^2 \right). \quad (4.23)$$

Noting the commuting identity between ∇_{I_l} and Δ (3.18)-(3.19), we integrate by parts to derive that for $0 \leq l \leq 3$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{N}|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{N}|^2 + \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{N}) \nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{N} - \mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{N}) \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{N}.$$

See (3.19) for the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{N})$. Thus,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{N}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{N}|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{N})|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{N}) * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{N}|.$$

By (4.23) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{N}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \hat{N}|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{N})|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{N}) * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{N}| \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{a+b=l} |\nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}|^2 + |\nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\hat{k})|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{N}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \hat{N}|^2 \\ &\quad + SN_1 + SN_2 + SN_3 + RN_1 + RN_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} RN_1 &= \sum_{\substack{a+b=l \\ a \leq l-1}} |\nabla_{I_a} R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{N}|^2, \\ RN_2 &= \sum_{\substack{a+b=l+1 \\ a \leq l}} |\nabla_{I_a} R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{N}|, \\ SN_1 &= \sum_{a+b+c=l} |\nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_c} \bar{T}\phi|^2, \\ SN_2 &= \sum_{a+b+c=l} |\nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_{I_c} (\bar{m}(t)\phi)|^2, \\ SN_3 &= \sum_{a+b+c=l} |\nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_c} \hat{k}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Viewing (2.7)-(2.10a) and (3.57), the expansion for R_{imjn} is rewritten as

$$R_{imjn} = g * g \pm \hat{k} * g \pm \hat{k} * \hat{k} + W_{imjn} \pm \bar{R}_{pq} * g \pm \bar{R} * g * g.$$

In the estimates, we only need the formula $\|A * B\| \lesssim \|A\| \|B\|$, while the detailed product structure and constants are irrelevant. As a $(0, 4)$ -tensor on Σ_t , R_{imjn} can be simplified as

$$R_{imjn} \sim 1 \pm \hat{k} \pm |\hat{k}|^2 + E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2. \quad (4.26)$$

By the assumption, (4.22) holds for $i \leq l + 1, l \leq 3$, namely,

$$t^2 \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}. \quad (4.27)$$

Since SN_1 and SN_2 can be treated in the same way, we only take SN_2 for example.,

- **Case SN_{21} :** $a = 0$. We always apply L^4 to all the four factors in SN_2 , for $l \leq 3$. That is, in this case, SN_2 becomes $(b + c = l)$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |N \nabla_{I_b}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_{I_c}(\bar{m}(t)\phi)|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\phi, t).$$

This estimate will be treated in a more subtle way later.

- **Case SN_{22} :** $a \geq 1$. Then $0 \leq b, c \leq l - 1 \leq 2$. We can apply L^6 to all the six factors in SN_2 ($a + b + c = l, a \geq 1$)

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |(t\nabla)_{I_a} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_{I_c}(\bar{m}(t)\phi)|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_l^2(\phi, t).$$

Similar procedures apply to SN_3 . Roughly speaking, \hat{k} enjoys better estimates than ϕ , and we derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} SN_3 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_l^2(\hat{k}, t). \quad (4.28)$$

We summarize these estimates as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{i=1}^3 |SN_i| &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\hat{k}, t) \\ &+ \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_l^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_l^2(\phi, t) \right) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{-2+4\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

For the terms involving curvature RN_1 ,

- **Case RN_{11} :** $a = 0, b = l$. In views of the expansion for R_{imjn} (4.26), and the L^∞ estimates for \hat{k}, ϕ , RN_{11} is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_t} \left(1 + |\hat{k}|^2 + |\hat{k}|^4 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^4 + |E|^2 \right) |\nabla_{I_l} \hat{N}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_2^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^2(\phi, t) + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

- **Case RN_{12} :** $1 \leq a \leq l - 1$. Then $1 \leq b \leq l - 1$, and RN_{12} becomes ($a + b = l, 1 \leq a, b \leq l - 1, l \leq 3$)

$$|\nabla_{I_a} \left(|\hat{k}| + |\hat{k}|^2 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 + E \right)|^2 |\nabla_{I_b} \hat{N}|^2.$$

We can always apply L^4 to all the four factors or L^6 to all the six factors of each term in RN_{12} , so that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |RN_{12}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_l^2(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_l^2(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{k}, t) \right).$$

For the term involving curvature RN_2 ,

- **Case RN_{21} :** $a = 0, b = l + 1$. Analogous to RN_{11} , RN_{21} shares the estimate

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |RN_{21}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t).$$

- **Case RN_{22} :** $1 \leq a \leq l$. Then $1 \leq b \leq l$, and RN_{22} is ($a + b = l + 1, 1 \leq a, b \leq l, l \leq 3$)

$$\nabla_{I_a} \left(|\hat{k}| + |\hat{k}|^2 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 + |E| \right) * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{N}.$$

- (1) If $a \leq 2$, then similar to RN_{12} , we apply L^4, L^4, L^2 to the three factors or L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 to the four factors in each term of RN_{22} . so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |RN_{22}| &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_{a+1}(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_{a+1}(\hat{k}, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_{a+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_{a+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) If $a = 3$, then $l = 3$ and $b = 1$. We apply L^2, L^∞, L^2 to the three factors or L^4, L^4, L^∞, L^2 to the four factors in each term of RN_{22} to derive (noting that here $l = 3$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |RN_{22}| &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\hat{k}, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, RN_1, RN_2 enjoy the following estimate

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |RN_1| + |RN_2| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \left(1 + \varepsilon M t^{-1+2\delta} \right) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{-2+4\delta}. \quad (4.30)$$

Putting all those estimates (4.29)-(4.30) together, we can close the inductive argument and complete the proof for (4.12). In particular, we have

proved that for $0 \leq l \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{l+2}(\hat{N}, t) &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{b+c=l} |N \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla_{I_c} \bar{T} \phi|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{b+c=l} |N \nabla_{I_b} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla_{I_c} (\bar{m}(t) \phi)|^2 \\
&\quad + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{N}, t) \left(1 + \varepsilon M t^{-1+2\delta}\right) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\hat{k}, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

In the above proof, we find out that when $l = 0$, both RN_1 and RN_{22} are absent. More precisely, we have, in views of (4.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2(\hat{N}, t) &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1(\hat{N}, t) + \mathcal{E}_1^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

When $l = 1$, (4.31) and the estimates for $\mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t)$, (4.21) and (4.32), show that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3(\hat{N}, t) &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, t) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} r^2(\phi, t) (|\nabla \bar{T} \phi|^2 + |\nabla(\bar{m}(t) \phi)|^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

which derives (4.15). When $l = 2$, we combine (4.31) and the estimates for \hat{N} up to three derivatives (4.21), (4.32)-(4.33) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_4(\hat{N}, t) &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_1(\phi, t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \bar{T} \phi|^4 + |\nabla(\bar{m}(t) \phi)|^4 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} r^2(\phi, t) (|\nabla^2 \bar{T} \phi|^2 + |\nabla^2(\bar{m}(t) \phi)|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, we obtain (4.16). When $l = 3$, by (4.31) and the estimates for \hat{N} up to four derivatives (4.21), (4.32)-(4.34), there is

$$\begin{aligned}
E_5(\hat{N}, t) &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_4^2(\hat{k}, t) + r^2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^2(\phi, t) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} (|\nabla \bar{T} \phi * \nabla^2 \bar{T} \phi| + |\nabla(\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla^2(\bar{m}(t) \phi)|)^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} r^2(\phi, t) (|\nabla^3 \bar{T} \phi|^2 + |\nabla^3(\bar{m}(t) \phi)|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

By the Sobolev inequality, we prove (4.17). Note that, we only need the refined estimate up to four order derivatives for \hat{N} ($\mathcal{E}_4(\hat{N}, t)$) in the hierarchy of energy estimate for the KG field. \square

Remark 4.5. *In views of the definition of partial energies (3.25)-(3.26), there is no energy norm involving two normal derivatives, such as $\|\nabla^i \bar{T}^2 \phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$. And hence we lose the control for $\|\bar{T}^2 \phi\|_{L^\infty}$ as well. However, by the KG*

equation in 1 + 3 form (3.24), there is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}^2\phi\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\bar{m}^2(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla^2\phi\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \|N^{-1}\nabla\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Thus, by the bootstrap assumption (4.3) and the L^∞ estimate (4.7) followed, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}^2\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} &\lesssim \bar{m}(t)\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon Mt^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}, \\ \|\bar{T}^2\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t)} &\lesssim \bar{m}(t)\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon Mt^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta} \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

This will be used to derive the preliminary estimates for N' , see Lemma 4.6 below.

From now on, we let

$$\mathcal{E}_i(g, t) = \mathcal{E}_i(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_{1+i}(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_{2+i}(\hat{N}, t). \quad (4.38)$$

The hierarchy of energy estimates for the KG field, namely the idea of linearization, also relies deeply on an $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for the KG field, which indeed retrieves the δ loss for $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|T\phi\|_{L^\infty}$. This will be explained in Section 5.1. To continue these procedures, we first need $\|N'\|_{L^\infty}$. Recall the definition

$$N' = \partial_{\bar{r}}N, \quad (4.39)$$

and the elliptic equation for N'

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta N' - 3N' &= N' \left(\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}(\phi) + |\hat{k}|^2 \right) + N\partial_{\bar{r}} \left(\bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}}(\phi) + |\hat{k}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - |\nabla\hat{N}|^2 - 2\hat{k}^{ij} \left(N\nabla_i\nabla_j\hat{N} + \nabla_i\hat{N}\nabla_j\hat{N} \right) + 2\hat{N}\Delta\hat{N} + 2N\nabla^i\hat{N}\bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *Fixing $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$, and assuming (4.3)-(4.5), we have for N' ,*

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla N'|^2 + |N'|^2 + |\nabla^2 N'|^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}. \quad (4.40)$$

And hence by Sobolev inequality,

$$\|N'\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon M t^{2\delta}. \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. We multiply N' on both hand sides of the elliptic equation for N' , and integrate by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla N'|^2 + 3|N'|^2 &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(|\nabla N|^2 - 2\hat{N}\Delta N \right) N' \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} |N'|^2 \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} \right) + N'N\partial_{\bar{r}} \left(|\hat{k}|^2 + \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2N'\hat{k}^{ij} \left(N\nabla_i\nabla_j\hat{N} + \nabla_i\hat{N}\nabla_j\hat{N} \right) - 2N'N\nabla^i\hat{N}\bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the L^∞ estimate for \hat{k} , $\mathcal{D}\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla N'|^2 + |N'|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\partial_{\bar{\tau}} |\hat{k}|^2 + \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} \right)^2 + |\nabla \hat{N}|^2 |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}|^2 \\ &\quad + |\nabla \hat{N}|^4 (1 + |\hat{k}|^2) + |\nabla^2 \hat{N}|^2 (|\hat{N}|^2 + |\hat{k}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the evolution equation for \hat{k} (c.f. (2.6b)), and substituting the estimates for \hat{N} (c.f. Lemma 4.2) into the above formula, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla N'|^2 + |N'|^2 &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^2(g, t) (1 + \mathcal{E}_1(g, t)) + \mathcal{E}_1(g, t) \mathcal{E}_1^2(\phi, t) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} N |\bar{T}\phi|^2 |\bar{T}^2\phi|^2 + N \bar{m}^2(t) |\bar{m}(t)\phi|^2 |\bar{T}\phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Referring to Remark 4.5 for $\|\bar{T}^2\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$, there is

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla N'|^2 + |N'|^2 \lesssim t^2 \mathcal{E}_2^2(\phi, t) + \text{l.o.t.} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}. \quad (4.44)$$

l.o.t. means lower order terms.

To proceed to the second order derivative, we make use of the Böchner identity, then

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla^2 N'|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\Delta N'|^2 - R_{ij} \nabla^i N' \nabla^j N'.$$

Noting the expansion for R_{imjn} (4.26), R_{ij} can be expanded in the same way. Therefore, with the help of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla^2 N'|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\partial_{\bar{\tau}} \bar{R}_{\bar{T}\bar{T}} + \partial_{\bar{\tau}} |\hat{k}|^2 \right)^2 + |N'|^2 \left(|\bar{T}\phi|^4 + |\bar{m}(t)\phi|^4 + |\hat{k}|^4 \right) \\ &\quad + |\nabla \hat{N}|^2 \left(|\nabla \hat{N}|^2 (1 + |\hat{k}|^2) + |\bar{T}\phi \nabla \phi|^2 \right) + |\nabla^2 N|^2 (|\hat{N}|^2 + |\hat{k}|^2) \\ &\quad + |\nabla N'|^2 \left(1 + |\hat{k}| + |\hat{k}|^2 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 + |E| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the estimates for N (see Lemma 4.2) and the known results (4.44), we get

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla^2 N'|^2 \lesssim t^2 \mathcal{E}_2^2(\phi, t) + \text{l.o.t.} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^{4\delta}.$$

Finally, the L^∞ estimate (4.41) is due to the Sobolev inequality. \square

5. ENERGY ESTIMATE FOR KG FIELD

5.1. The $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimate for KG field. We begin with the L^∞ estimates below which follows from the weak bootstrap assumption for the KG field:

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 \|\nabla^i \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta}.$$

Obviously, there is δ loss in the above estimate. In this section, we will apply the technical ODE estimate (c.f. Lemma 3.5 in [25], of which a specific case for application is exhibited in Lemma B.2 of appendix) to retrieve the δ loss.

Corollary 5.1 (Improved L^∞ estimates of KG field). *With the bootstrap assumption (4.3)-(4.5), we have the improved estimate for ϕ ,*

$$|t^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{m}(t)\phi| + |t^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{T}\phi| \lesssim \varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \varepsilon M. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Set

$$\Phi = t^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi. \quad (5.2)$$

Then a direct calculation with respect to the KG equation in 1 + 3 form (3.24) leads to the second order ODE

$$\begin{aligned} T^2\Phi + m\Phi = & t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta\phi + N^{-1}\nabla_p N \nabla^p \phi) - \frac{3}{2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-3}N't^{-1}\bar{m}(t)\phi \\ & - 3t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-1}\hat{N}\bar{T}\phi + \frac{3}{4}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}N^{-2}t^{-1}\bar{m}(t)\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

We use the L^∞ estimates for $\Delta\phi$,

$$\|\Delta\phi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1}\|\Delta(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t).$$

Then, the right hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |T^2\Phi + m\Phi| \lesssim & t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(N', t)\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \right) \\ & + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t)\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon Mt^{-2+3\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate along the integral curves of T , which are the same as that of ∂_t but parametrized by arc length s , i.e. $T = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$. Along this curve $ds = Ndt$. It follows from the technical ODE estimate [25] (or Lemma B.2) that

$$|\Phi(t)| + |T\Phi(t)| \lesssim \varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \int_{t_0}^t \varepsilon Mt^{-2+3\delta} N dt. \quad (5.4)$$

This further leads to the improved L^∞ estimates of ϕ (5.1). \square

5.2. The lower order terms. In this subsection, we will prove the following estimates for the lower order terms.

Corollary 5.2. *With the bootstrap assumption (4.3)-(4.5), the lower order terms ${}_0LK$ (3.29) and ${}_lLK_1 - {}_lLK_3$ ($l \leq 4$) (3.38)-(3.40) in the energy identities for KG field share the estimates*

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_0LK| \lesssim t^{-1}E_0(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t)E_0(\phi, t), \quad (5.5)$$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{i=1}^3 |{}_lLK_i| \lesssim t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right). \quad (5.6)$$

5.2.1. *The estimate for ${}_0LK$.* The formula for ${}_0LK$ is given in (3.29). We should note that $\phi = m^{-1}t^{-1}\bar{m}(t)\phi$, hence ${}_0LK$ can be rewritten as

$${}_0LK = \left(t^{-1}N + t^{-1}\hat{N} + N\hat{k} + \nabla\hat{N} \right) |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2.$$

Therefore, by $\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.5) and $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.9), we derive (5.5).

5.2.2. *The estimate for ${}_lLK_1$.* Since

$$\nabla^{I_l}\phi = m^{-1}t^{-1}\nabla^{I_l}(\bar{m}(t)\phi),$$

the first line in ${}_lLK_1$ (3.38) can be rewritten as

$${}_lLK_1^1 = \left(\hat{N} + N\hat{k} + t^{-1}N + t^{-1}\hat{N} + t^{-1}N\hat{k} \right) |\nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi|^2,$$

while second line in ${}_lLK_1$ (3.38) is

$${}_lLK_1^2 = -N\nabla^{I_l}\bar{T}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi) - t^{-1}N\nabla^{I_l}(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi).$$

Making use of $\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.5) and $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.9), we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_1^1| \lesssim t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t)E_l(\phi, t). \quad (5.7)$$

For ${}_lLK_1^2$, comparing to the first term, the second term in ${}_lLK_1^2$ taking the form of $t^{-1}N\nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi)$ is of lower order. We now consider the general form $N\nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\phi)$, which can be further rewritten as

$$t^{-1}\nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{a+b=l, a \leq l-1} N\nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b}(\bar{m}(t)\phi). \quad (5.8)$$

- **Case ${}_lLK_1^2$ -I:** $a = 0$. Then $b = l$. In views of the expansion for R_{imjn} (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_t} t^{-1} |\nabla^{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi * NR_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_l}\mathcal{D}\phi| \\ & \lesssim t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) + t^{-1}E_l(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

- **Case ${}_lLK_1^2$ -II:** $a \geq 1$. Then $1 \leq a, b \leq l-1 \leq 3$.

(1) If $a \leq 2$, we can apply L^4, L^4 on $\nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b}\mathcal{D}\phi$. To estimate $\|\nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn}\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)}$, we note that $\nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn} = \nabla_{I_a}(\hat{k} * \hat{k} \pm \hat{k} \pm E \pm \mathcal{D}\phi * \mathcal{D}\phi)$. Furthermore, to estimate $\|\nabla_{I_a}(\mathcal{D}\phi * \mathcal{D}\phi)\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)}$, or $\|\nabla_{I_a}(\hat{k} * \hat{k})\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)}$, noticing that $\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor + 2 \leq \lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \rfloor + 2 \leq 3 < 4$ for ($l \leq 4$), we can apply L^4, L^∞ or L^∞, L^4 to the two factors. Finally, we derive for $1 \leq a \leq 2$,

$$\|\nabla_{I_a}R_{imjn}\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla_{I_b}\mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \lesssim \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t).$$

(2) If $a = 3$, which occurs only when $l = 4$, and therefore $b = 1$. In this case, we apply L^2, L^∞ on $\nabla^3 R_{imjn} * \nabla \mathcal{D}\phi$, and

$$\|\nabla^3 R_{imjn}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t).$$

Thus, it follows that for ${}_l LK_1^2$ -II

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_t} t^{-1} |\nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi * \nabla_{I_a} R_{imjn} * \nabla^{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi| \\ & \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

We deduced for ${}_l LK_1^2$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_l LK_1^2| \lesssim t^{-1} E_l(\phi, t) + t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right).$$

Combine with (5.7),

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_l LK_1| \lesssim t^{-1} E_l(\phi, t) + t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right). \quad (5.11)$$

5.2.3. *The estimate for ${}_l LK_2$.* ${}_l LK_2$ (3.39) can be further written as ${}_l LK_2 = {}_l LK_{21} + {}_l LK_{22}$, where (we have always ignored irrelevant constants) ${}_l LK_{2i}$, $i = 1, 2$ are given as follows: ${}_l LK_{21} = {}_l LK_{21}^1 + {}_l LK_{21}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_l LK_{21}^1 & := \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{a+b+1=l+1} \nabla_{I_a} \nabla \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi, \\ {}_l LK_{21}^2 & := \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{a+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} \nabla \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

which comes from $\nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{N}_{l+1}(\mathcal{D}\phi)$, and $\nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D}\phi)$. And

$${}_l LK_{22} := \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{a+b+1=l} \nabla_{I_{a+1}} \left(N \hat{k} + \hat{N} \right) * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi, \quad (5.13)$$

which is $\nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi)$. We remark that $1 \leq l \leq 4$.

For ${}_l LK_{21}^1, {}_l LK_{21}^2$, $a + b \leq l$, and $[\frac{l}{2}] + 2 \leq 4(l \leq 4)$, we can always apply L^2, L^∞ or L^∞, L^2 to the two factors in $\nabla_{I_a} \nabla \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi$ to derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_l LK_{21}| \lesssim E_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_5^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t), \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2+4\delta}. \quad (5.14)$$

${}_l LK_{22}$ can be further split into the following two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_l LK_{22}^1 & = \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{p+1+q+b=l} \nabla_{I_{p+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_q} \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi, \\ {}_l LK_{22}^2 & = \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{p+b=l, p \geq 1} \left(N \nabla_{I_p} \hat{k} + \nabla_{I_p} \hat{N} \right) * \nabla_{I_b} \mathcal{D}\phi. \end{aligned}$$

For ${}_lLK_{22}^1$, since $b, q \leq l-1$, and $p+1 \leq l$ ($l \leq 4$). Then we can apply L^2, L^6, L^6, L^6 to the four factors, so that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_{22}^1| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_l(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_{l-1}(g, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^4 M^4 t^{-3+5\delta}. \quad (5.15)$$

As ${}_lLK_{22}^2$, $[\frac{l}{2}] + 2 \leq 4$ ($l \leq 4$), we can always apply L^2, L^2, L^∞ or L^2, L^∞, L^2 to the three factors in ${}_lLK_{22}^2$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_{22}^2| \lesssim E_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2+4\delta}. \quad (5.16)$$

In summary, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_{22}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) \quad (5.17)$$

Combining (5.14) with (5.17), we conclude that for ${}_lLK_2$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_2| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right). \quad (5.18)$$

5.2.4. *The estimate for ${}_lLK_3$.* Generally speaking, comparing to ${}_lLK_2$, ${}_lLK_3$ (3.40) has additionally factor t^{-1} , for $\nabla_{I_l} \phi \sim t^{-1} \nabla_{I_l} (\bar{m}(t)\phi)$. To be more specific, the following terms in ${}_lLK_3$ admit the quantitatively equivalence ²:

$$\nabla^{I_l} \bar{T} \phi \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\phi) + \nabla^{I_l} \phi \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}'_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) \sim t^{-1} \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{K} \mathcal{N}'_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi) = t^{-1} {}_lLK_{22}, \quad (5.19a)$$

$$\nabla^{I_l} \phi \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\bar{T}\phi) \sim t^{-1} \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{N}_{I_l}(\mathcal{D}\phi) = t^{-1} {}_lLK_{21}^2, \quad (5.19b)$$

$$\nabla^{I_l} \phi \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\nabla\phi) \sim t^{-1} \nabla^{I_l} \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{N}_{I_{l+1}}(\mathcal{D}\phi) = t^{-1} {}_lLK_{21}^1. \quad (5.19c)$$

Due to the estimates for ${}_lLK_2$ (5.18), ${}_lLK_3$ can be bounded as

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLK_3| \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right). \quad (5.20)$$

5.3. The borderline terms and hierarchy of energy. In this section, we focus on the borderline terms BL_l (3.41). We will take advantage of the relation between lapse and KG field (c.f. Lemma 4.2) and the enhanced L^∞ estimates (5.1) to conduct an hierarchy of energy estimate. Then each order of KG energy and lapse will be refined step by step, base on which the nonlinear terms BL_l are reduced to linear ones. The idea of linearization and hierarchy of energy work together to close the energy argument for KG field.

²Here ψ_1 and ψ_2 are quantitatively equivalent, denoted by $\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$, in the sense that ψ_1 and ψ_2 share the same structures and they can be estimate in the same way.

5.3.1. *The zeroth order energy estimate.*

Lemma 5.3. *We have the improved estimate for the zeroth order energy*

$$tE_0(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\phi). \quad (5.21)$$

Proof. According to the zeroth order energy identity (3.28) (see Theorem 3.7) and the estimate for ${}_0LK$ (5.5), we obtain

$$\partial_{\bar{r}} \tilde{E}_0(\phi, t) + \tilde{E}_0(\phi, t) \lesssim t^{-1} E_0(\phi, t) + E_0(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t).$$

We then change to the t time function to derive that

$$\partial_t(t\tilde{E}_0(\phi, t)) \lesssim t^{-2}(tE_0(\phi, t)) + t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t)(tE_0(\phi, t)). \quad (5.22)$$

We recall the definition for $\mathcal{E}_1(g, t)$. Substitute the bound for $\mathcal{E}_1(g, t)$ (4.4)-(4.5), (4.12) and integrate along t interval, noting that $t\tilde{E}_0(\phi, t) \sim tE_0(\phi, t)$

$$t'E_0(\phi, t') \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\phi) + \int_{t_0}^{t'} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{\varepsilon M}{t^{2-2\delta}} \right) (tE_0(\phi, t)) dt, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}. \quad (5.23)$$

An application of the Grönwall inequality yields (5.21). \square

With the result of Lemma 5.3, we can further sharpen the estimates for lapse up to two derivatives.

Corollary 5.4. *The estimate for $\mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t)$ is improved as*

$$t^2 \mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi). \quad (5.24)$$

Proof. By virtue of (4.14) in Lemma 4.2, we substitute the enhanced $|\bar{m}(t)\phi|$, $|\bar{T}\phi|$ (5.1), $E_0(\phi, t)$ (5.21) and the bootstrap assumption for $\mathcal{E}_1(\hat{k}, t)$ into (4.14),

$$t^2 \mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\phi) (\varepsilon^2 M^2 + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi)) + \varepsilon^4 M^4 t^{-2+4\delta} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi). \quad \square$$

5.3.2. *The high order energy estimates.* Now we make use of the previously improved ϕ, \hat{N} to further refine the estimate for $E_l(\phi, t)$ step by step.

Lemma 5.5. *There is some constant C_M depending linearly on M , such that*

$$tE_l(\phi, t) \lesssim (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{C_M \varepsilon}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 4. \quad (5.25)$$

Meanwhile, the lapse admits the enhanced estimates

$$t^2 \mathcal{E}_{i+2}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim (\varepsilon^2 I_i^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{C_M \varepsilon}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2. \quad (5.26)$$

Proof. After substituting the estimates for the lower order terms ${}_lLK_1 - {}_lLK_3$ (Corollary 5.2) into the energy identity for ϕ (3.37) (Corollary 3.11), we arrive at for $l \geq 1$ (noting that $\tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) \sim E_l(\phi, t)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}} \tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) + \tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) &\lesssim t^{-1} E_l(\phi, t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_l| \\ &+ \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where the borderline terms BL_l (3.41) take the form: $BL_l = BL_l^1 + \dots + BL_l^l$, and BL_l^i , $i = 1, \dots, l$ read

$$BL_l^i = \bar{m}(t) \nabla^{l-i+1} N * \left(\nabla^l(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla^{i-1} \bar{T}\phi \pm \nabla^l \bar{T}\phi * \nabla^{i-1}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) \right). \quad (5.28)$$

The special structure of BL_l^i allows us to refine the estimates step by step.

Step I: the improvement for $E_1(\phi, t)$. With the help of Corollary 5.4 and the enhanced $\|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ (5.1), the borderline term $BL_1 = BL_1^1$, which reads

$$\bar{m}(t) \nabla N * \nabla(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \bar{T}\phi - \bar{m}(t) \nabla N * \nabla \bar{T}\phi * (\bar{m}(t)\phi)$$

is in fact a linear term.

Applying L^2, L^2, L^∞ to the three factors in BL_1 , we have, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_1| &\lesssim t \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla N\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\ &\quad + t \|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla N\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla \bar{T}\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\ &\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon M \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) E_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^2 M I_4(\phi) E_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Hence adding (5.29) to (5.27) with $l = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}} \tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) + \tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) &\lesssim (t^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 M^2) E_l(\phi, t) + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We then change to t function,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(t\tilde{E}_l(\phi, t)) &\lesssim (t^{-2} + \varepsilon M t^{-1}) (tE_l(\phi, t)) + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2+3\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Integrate along ∂_t , $\tilde{E}_l(\phi, t) \sim E_l(\phi, t)$,

$$t' E_1(\phi, t') \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t'^{\varepsilon M} + \varepsilon^3 M^3. \quad (5.31)$$

By Grönwall inequality, there is some constant C_M depending linearly on M , so that (5.25) holds with $l = 1$.

Step II: the improvement for $E_2(\phi, t)$. The borderline term $BL_2 = BL_2^1 + BL_2^2$, where BL_2^1, BL_2^2 read as below

$$\begin{aligned} BL_2^1 &= \bar{m}(t) \nabla^2(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla^2 N * \bar{T}\phi + \bar{m}(t) \nabla^2 \bar{T}\phi * \nabla^2 N * \bar{m}(t)\phi, \\ BL_2^2 &= \bar{m}(t) \nabla^2(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla N * \nabla \bar{T}\phi + \bar{m}(t) \nabla^2 \bar{T}\phi * \nabla N * \nabla(\bar{m}(t)\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Now, in addition to the enhanced $E_0(\phi, t)$ (5.23), $\mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t)$ (5.24), and $\|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ (5.1), we should also utilize the newly improved $E_1(\phi, t)$.

For BL_2^1 , we follow the estimate for of BL_1 (5.29),

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_2^1| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^2 M I_4(\phi) E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_2(\phi, t) + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1}. \quad (5.32)$$

For BL_2^2 , we apply L^2, L^4, L^4 to the three factors. By Sobolev inequalities, $\|\nabla \mathcal{D}\phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)$, and $\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)$ has been improved via Step I. Then, BL_2^2 is indeed a linear term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_2^2| &\lesssim t \|\nabla N\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla \bar{T}\phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla^2(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\
&\quad + t \|\nabla N\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla^2 \bar{T}\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\
&\lesssim t \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \left(\mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \right) E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon I_4(\phi) \left(\left(\varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2} + C_M \varepsilon} + E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \right) E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1 + C_M \varepsilon} + (\varepsilon^3 M^3 + \varepsilon I_4(\phi)) E_2(\phi, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

With (5.32) and (5.33), the energy inequality (5.27) with $l = 2$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\bar{r}} E_2(\phi, t) + E_2(\phi, t) &\lesssim (t^{-1} + \varepsilon M) E_2(\phi, t) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1 + C_M \varepsilon} + \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2 + 3\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Change to t ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t (t E_2(\phi, t)) &\lesssim (t^{-2} + \varepsilon M t^{-1}) (t E_2(\phi, t)) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1 + C_M \varepsilon} + \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2 + 3\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

And the Grönwall inequality yields (5.25) with $l = 2$.

Step III: the improvement for \hat{N} . In general, the refinement for $\mathcal{E}_l(\phi, t)$ leads to improvement for $\mathcal{E}_{l+2}(\hat{N}, t)$. We substitute the previously improved $\mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t)$ and $\|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ (5.1) into the corresponding inequality for \hat{N} (4.15)-(4.17) ($l = 1$ corresponds to (4.15), $l = 2$ corresponds to (4.16)). Then,

$$t^2 E_{l+2}(\hat{N}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2+4\delta} + \varepsilon^2 M^2 (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{C_M \varepsilon}, \quad l \leq 2,$$

where $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$. Hence (5.26) follows.

Step IV: the improvement for $E_3(\phi, t)$. We make use of the refined $E_l(\phi, t), l \leq 2, \mathcal{E}_3(\hat{N}, t)$ (5.24), (5.26), and $\|\bar{m}(t)\phi\|_{L^\infty}, \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ (5.1) to linearize the borderline term BL_3 . Note that $BL_3 = BL_3^1 + BL_3^2 + BL_3^3$, where $BL_3^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are shown in (5.28).

For BL_3^1 , we follow the argument for BL_1 (5.29) and BL_2^1 (5.32) to derive,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_3^1| &\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon M \left(\varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) t^{C_M \varepsilon} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_3(\phi, t) + (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1 + C_M \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

For BL_3^2 , we apply L^2, L^4, L^4 on the three factors, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_3^2| &\lesssim t \|\nabla^2 N\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla \bar{T} \phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla^3(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\
&\quad + t \|\nabla^2 N\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla(\bar{m}(t)\phi)\|_{L^4(\Sigma_t)} \|\nabla^3 \bar{T} \phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\
&\lesssim t \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon M \left(\varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right)^2 t^{C_M \varepsilon} E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \\
&\lesssim (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon} + (\varepsilon^3 M^3 + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi)) E_3(\phi, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

For BL_3^3 , an analogous argument for BL_2^2 (5.33) can be adapted here, noting that $\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)$, and it leads to

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_3^3| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon} + (\varepsilon^3 M^3 + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi)) E_3(\phi, t). \tag{5.37}$$

Combining the above estimates for BL_3 and the energy inequality (5.27) with $l = 3$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\bar{r}} E_3(\phi, t) + E_3(\phi, t) &\lesssim (t^{-1} + \varepsilon M) E_3(\phi, t) \\
&\quad + (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Then (5.25) with $l = 3$ follows by Grönwall inequality.

Step V: the improvement for $E_4(\phi, t)$. Comparing to Step III, we now additionally take advantage of the previously improved $E_3(\phi, t)$ and $E_4(\hat{N}, t)$ to estimate the borderline term BL_4 . It follows from (5.28) that $BL_4 = BL_4^1 + BL_4^2 + BL_4^3 + BL_4^4$.

BL_4^1 can be argued in the same way as BL_1 (5.29), BL_2^1 (5.32), and BL_3^1 (5.35),

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_4^1| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_4(\phi, t) + (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon}. \tag{5.39}$$

Analogous to BL_3^2 (5.36), BL_4^2 and BL_4^3 share the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_4^2| + |BL_4^3| &\lesssim (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon} \\
&\quad + (\varepsilon^3 M^3 + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi)) E_4(\phi, t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Finally, following the argument for BL_2^2 (5.33), BL_3^3 (5.37), and noting that $\mathcal{E}_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) + E_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t)$, we obtain for BL_4^4 ,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |BL_4^4| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon} + (\varepsilon^3 M^3 + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi)) E_4(\phi, t). \tag{5.41}$$

Summarizing the above estimates, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}} E_4(\phi, t) + E_4(\phi, t) &\lesssim (t^{-1} + \varepsilon M) E_4(\phi, t) \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

Making use of the Grönwall inequality, we accomplish the proof for (5.25) with $l = 4$. \square

6. ENERGY ESTIMATE FOR WEYL FIELD

With improved energy for KG field (5.21), (5.25), we are ready to improve energy estimates for Weyl tensor.

Lemma 6.1. *For some constant C_M depending linearly on M , there is,*

$$t^2 E_l(W, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 I_l^2(W) + \varepsilon^3 M^3, \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2. \quad (6.1)$$

$$t^2 E_3(W, t) \lesssim (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^2 I_3^2(W) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{C_M\varepsilon}. \quad (6.2)$$

We start with the following Corollary which will be proved later in subsections 6.1 and subsection 6.2.

Corollary 6.2. *For the nonlinear terms ${}_l LW_1$, ${}_l LW_2$ and source term S_l in the Bianchi identities (3.67) (Lemma 3.13) and (3.75) with $l \leq 3$ (Corollary 3.15), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{l=0}^3 |{}_l LW_1| + |{}_l LW_2| + \sum_{l=0}^2 |S_l| \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t) + \left(t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

And the top order source term S_3 admits

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_3| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_3(W, t) + t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.4)$$

With the help of Corollary 6.2, we now prove Lemma 6.1.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Substituting the results for $S_l, {}_l LW_1, {}_l LW_2$ ($0 \leq l \leq 2$) (6.3) and the bootstrap assumption (4.3)-(4.5), and estimates for $\mathcal{E}_i(\tilde{N}, t)$ Lemma 4.2, into the l^{th} order energy identities (3.75), we derive for $0 \leq l \leq 2$,

$$\partial_{\bar{r}} E_l(W, t) + 2E_l(W, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-3+4\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}. \quad (6.5)$$

We then change to the time function t and multiplying t on both hand side of (6.5) to obtain

$$\partial_t(t^2 E_l(W, t)) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-2+4\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}.$$

Then (6.1) with $0 \leq l \leq 2$ follows from the Grönwall inequality. Substituting the results for $S_{3,3}LW_1, {}_3LW_2$ (6.3), (6.4) and the improved estimates for $\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$ (Lemma 5.5) into the energy identity (3.75) with $l = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau E_3(W, t) + 2E_3(W, t) &\lesssim \varepsilon M E_3(W, t) \\ &+ (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-2+C_M \varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Changing to t and multiplying t on both hand side of (6.6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(t^2 E_3(W, t)) &\lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-1}(t^2 E_3(W, t)) \\ &+ (\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{-1+C_M \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of the Grönwall inequality then yields (6.2). \square

We remark that the energy estimates for the Weyl field are carried out only up to three derivatives, then $l \leq 3$ throughout this section.

6.1. The nonlinear error terms. In this section we present the estimates for the nonlinear error terms ${}_lLW_1, {}_lLW_2$ ($l \leq 3$).

Corollary 6.3. *For the nonlinear error terms ${}_lLW_1, {}_lLW_2$ ($l \leq 3$), we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_0LW| + |{}_lLW_1| + |{}_lLW_2| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(g, t)\mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t). \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. The error terms ${}_lLW_1, {}_lLW_2$ can be rearranged as ${}_lLW_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 {}_lLW_{1i}$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_lLW_{11} &= \sum_{a+b+c=l} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_c} W * \nabla^{I_l} W, \\ {}_lLW_{12} &= \sum_{a+1+b=l+1} \nabla_{I_{a+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} W * \nabla^{I_l} W, \\ {}_lLW_{13} &= \sum_{a+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} W * \nabla^{I_l} W, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

(note that, when $l = 0$, the above ${}_lLW_1$ covers ${}_0LW$ (3.68)), and ${}_lLW_2$

$${}_lLW_2 = \sum_{a+b=l-1} N \nabla_{I_a} \hat{R}_{imjn} * \nabla_{I_b} W * \nabla^{I_l} W. \quad (6.9)$$

For ${}_lLW_{11}$, if $a = 0$, noting that $[\frac{l}{2}] + 2 \leq 3$ ($l \leq 3$), then we can perform L^∞, L^2, L^2 or L^2, L^∞, L^2 on the three factors; If $a \geq 1$, then $b, c \leq l - 1$ and $a \leq l \leq 3$, we can perform L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 on the four factors. Putting these two cases together, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLW_{11}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3^2(g, t). \quad (6.10)$$

For ${}_lLW_{12}$ and ${}_lLW_{13}$, noting that $[\frac{l}{2}] + 2 \leq 3$ ($l \leq 3$) and $[\frac{l}{2}] + 1 + 2 \leq 4$ (to perform L^∞ on $\nabla_{I_{a+1}} \hat{N}$ in ${}_lLW_{12}$), we can always perform L^2, L^∞, L^2 or

L^2, L^∞, L^2 on the three factors in each of ${}_lLW_{12}, {}_lLW_{13}$ so that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLW_{12}| + |{}_lLW_{13}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t). \quad (6.11)$$

Finally, for ${}_lLW_2$, we recall that $\hat{R}_{imjn} = \hat{k} \pm |\hat{k}|^2 \pm E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2$. Since, $a, b \leq l - 1$, we can always perform L^4, L^4, L^2 on the three factors (such as $\nabla_{I_a}|\hat{k}| * \nabla_{I_b}W * \nabla^{I_l}W$) or L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 on the four factors ($\nabla_{I_a}|\hat{k}|^2 * \nabla_{I_b}W * \nabla^{I_l}W$) in ${}_lLW_2$. As a consequence, we derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |{}_lLW_2| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(g, t)\mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t). \quad (6.12)$$

Summarize all these estimates, we obtain (6.7) \square

6.2. The nonlinear coupling terms. In this section, we will prove the following estimate for the nonlinear couplings in the Bianchi equations.

Corollary 6.4. *For the coupling terms $S_l, l \leq 3$, (see (3.67) and (3.75)), we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_l| \lesssim \left(t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t), \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2, \quad (6.13)$$

and the top order case S_3 admits

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_3| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_3(W, t) + t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.14)$$

We postpone the proof to subsection 6.2.2 and explore the structures for the couplings first.

6.2.1. The structures of the couplings. The source terms $S_l, l \leq 3$ can be split into electric and magnetic parts: $S_l = S_{l1} + S_{l2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l1} &= \nabla_{I_l} (N J_{i\bar{T}j}) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij}, \\ S_{l2} &= \nabla_{I_l} (N J_{i\bar{T}j}^*) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Due to the fact that E_{ij} is trace free and T -tangent, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i\bar{T}j} E^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (D_{\bar{T}} \bar{R}_{ij} - D_j \bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}) E^{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (D_{\bar{T}} D_i \phi D_j \phi - D_i D_j \phi \bar{T} \phi) E^{ij} \\ &= \left(\nabla \bar{T} \phi \pm k_i^j * \nabla \phi \right) * \nabla \phi * E \pm \nabla^2 \phi * \bar{T} \phi * E \pm (\bar{T} \phi)^2 \hat{k} * E. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij} &= \frac{1}{4} (D_\mu \bar{R}_{\nu i} - D_\nu \bar{R}_{\mu i}) \cdot \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \bar{T}_j H^{ij} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{24} (g_{i\nu} D_\mu \bar{R} - g_{i\mu} D_\nu \bar{R}) \cdot \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \bar{T}_j H^{ij} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} (D_p D_i \phi D_q \phi - D_q D_i \phi D_p \phi) \epsilon^{pq} H^{ij} + Tr_1 + Tr_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr_1 &= \frac{1}{4} \bar{m}^2(t) \phi D_p \phi \epsilon^{p}_{ij} H^{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{m}^2(t) \phi D_q \phi \epsilon_i^q{}_j H^{ij}, \\
Tr_2 &= -\frac{1}{24} D_p \bar{R} \epsilon^{p}_{ij} H^{ij} + \frac{1}{24} D_q \bar{R} \epsilon_i^q{}_j H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, $\epsilon^{p}_{ij}, \epsilon_i^q{}_j$ are both antisymmetric in i and j , while H_{ij} is symmetric in i, j . Hence, $Tr_1 = Tr_2 = 0$. With the same reason, $J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij}$ can be further reduced as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{i\bar{T}j}^* H^{ij} &= \frac{1}{4} (D_p D_i \phi D_q \phi - D_q D_i \phi D_p \phi) \epsilon^{pq} H^{ij} \\
&= \left(\nabla^2 \phi \pm \hat{k} * \bar{T} \phi \right) * \nabla \phi * H.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

The fact that E_{ij} is trace free and T -tangent can be generalized to the case of high order derivatives. Obviously, $\nabla_{I_l} E_{ij}$, which is projected to Σ_t , is T -tangent. In addition to this, we can derive that $g^{ij} \nabla_{I_l} E_{ij} = 0$. Hence, the results of (6.16) and (6.18) can be generalized as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{I_l} (N J_{i\bar{T}j}^*) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&= \pm \nabla_{I_l} (N \nabla \bar{T} \phi * \nabla \phi \pm N \nabla^2 \phi * \bar{T} \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E \\
&\quad \pm \nabla_{I_l} \left(N \left(k_i^j * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi \pm \hat{k} * (\bar{T} \phi)^2 \right) \right) * \nabla^{I_l} E,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_{I_l} \left(N J_{i\bar{T}j}^* \right) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
&= \nabla_{I_l} \left(N \left(\nabla^2 \phi \pm \hat{k} * \bar{T} \phi \right) * \nabla \phi \right) * \nabla^{I_l} H.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

6.2.2. *The estimate for the coupling terms.* We rewrite (6.19) and (6.20) as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{l1} &= \sum_{a+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla \bar{T} \phi * \nabla \phi) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla^2 \phi * \bar{T} \phi) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla \phi * \nabla \phi * k_i^j) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} ((\bar{T} \phi)^2 * \hat{k}) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{l2} &= \sum_{a+b=l} \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla^2 \phi * \nabla \phi) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
&\quad + \nabla_{I_a} N * \nabla_{I_b} (\hat{k} * \bar{T} \phi * \nabla \phi) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Notice that, then energy estimate argument for the Weyl tensor is done up to three order derivatives, hence in this section, we have $l \leq 3$.

For the electric part S_{l1} ,

- **Case S_{l1} -I:** $a = 0$. Then $b = l$. S_{l1} -I consists

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{l11}^1 &= N \nabla_{I_l} (\nabla \bar{T} \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E, \\
S_{l11}^2 &= N \nabla_{I_l} (\nabla^2 \phi * \bar{T} \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E, \\
S_{l11}^3 &= N \nabla_{I_l} (k_i^j * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E, \\
S_{l11}^4 &= N \nabla_{I_l} ((\bar{T} \phi)^2 \hat{k}) * \nabla^{I_l} E.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

For S_{l11}^1 , we further rewrite it as

$$S_{l11}^1 = \sum_{p+q=l} (mt)^{-1} N \nabla_{I_{p+1}} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla_{I_{q+1}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E. \tag{6.24}$$

Since $[\frac{l}{2}] + 1 + 2 \leq 4(l \leq 3)$, we can apply L^2, L^∞ or L^∞, L^2 to the two factors in $\nabla_{I_{p+1}} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla_{I_{q+1}} \phi$ and derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l11}^1| \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-3+3\delta}. \tag{6.25}$$

For S_{l11}^2 , we further rewrite it as

$$S_{l11}^2 = \sum_{p+q=l} N \nabla_{I_{p+1}} \nabla \phi * \nabla_{I_q} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla^{I_l} E.$$

- (1) In the case of $0 \leq l \leq 2$. We rearrange it as

$$\sum_{p+q=l \leq 2} t^{-1} N \nabla_{I_{p+2}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla_{I_q} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla^{I_l} E.$$

We apply L^4, L^4, L^2 (if $p \leq 1$) or L^2, L^∞, L^2 (if $p = 2$) on the three factors, since $p + q \leq 2$. With the same argument for S_{l11}^1 (6.25), we derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l11}^2| \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t), \quad l \leq 2. \tag{6.26}$$

- (2) In the case of $l = 3$.

- i) If $p \leq l - 1 \leq 2$, then $\nabla_{I_{p+2}} \phi$ will never exceed the top (4) order derivative. We can perform the same argument as in the case of $0 \leq l \leq 2$ (6.26). The same bound $t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$ can be obtained as well.

- ii) If $p = l = 3$, the argument for (6.26) are not valid here. Because of the regularity, $\nabla^5(\bar{m}(t)\phi)$ is not allowed, hence $\nabla^4\nabla\phi$ can not absorb an addition t (or $\bar{m}(t)$) as the previous case, which makes it the borderline case. However, as in the proof that leads to Lemma 5.5, the improved $\|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty}$ and $E_4(\phi, t)$ (5.25) will help to linearize this borderline term, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{311}^2| &= \int_{\Sigma_t} |N\nabla^4\nabla\phi * \bar{T}\phi * \nabla^3 E| \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^4\nabla\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \|\bar{T}\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^3 E\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \\ &\lesssim E_4^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \cdot \varepsilon M t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot E_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_3(W, t) + t^{-1} E_4(\phi, t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

Relative to S_{l11}^1 and S_{l11}^2 , S_{l11}^3 and S_{l11}^4 are in fact lower order terms.

S_{l11}^3 can be rewritten as

$$S_{l11}^3 = \sum_{p+q+h=l} N\nabla_{I_h} k_i^j * \nabla_{I_{p+1}}\phi * \nabla_{I_{q+1}}\phi * \nabla^{I_l} E.$$

If $h = 0$, it becomes $\sum_{p+q=l} Nk_i^j * \nabla_{I_{p+1}}\phi * \nabla_{I_{q+1}}\phi * \nabla^{I_l} E$, which is equivalent to (noting that $p+1, q+1 \leq l+1 \leq 4$)

$$\sum_{p+q=l} t^{-2} N\nabla_{I_{p+1}}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_{I_{q+1}}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E, \quad (6.28)$$

Quantitatively, (6.28) turns out to be equivalent to $t^{-1} S_{l11}^1$ (6.24).

Thus, similar to (6.25), (6.28) can be bounded by $t^{-2} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$. If $h \geq 1$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{p+q+h=l \\ h \geq 1}} N t^{-2} \nabla_{I_h} \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_{p+1}}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_{I_{q+1}}(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.29)$$

Knowing that $1 \leq h \leq l \leq 3$, and $0 \leq p, q \leq l-1 \leq 2$, we can apply L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 (6.29) and derive the bound $t^{-2} \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$, which is of lower order than (6.28). As a summary, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l11}^3| \lesssim t^{-2} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.30)$$

S_{l11}^4 can be further rewritten as

$$S_{l11}^4 = \sum_{p+q+h=l} N\nabla_{I_p} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_q} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_h} \hat{k} * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.31)$$

Noting that $p, q, h \leq l \leq 3$, we can apply L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 to the four factors to derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l11}^4| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.32)$$

- **Case S_{l1} -II:** $a \geq 1$. Letting $a = c + 1, c \geq 0$, S_{l1} -II composes of

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l12}^1 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla \bar{T} \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E \\ S_{l12}^2 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla^2 \phi * \bar{T} \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E \\ S_{l12}^3 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} (k_i^j * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E \\ S_{l12}^4 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} ((\bar{T} \phi)^2 \hat{k}) * \nabla^{I_l} E. \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

In fact, S_{l1} -II (6.33) enjoys better estimate than S_{l1} -I (6.23). This can be roughly verified by comparing N with \hat{N} . S_{l12}^1 can be rearranged as (for $b = b_1 + b_2 \leq l - 1 \leq 2$, then $b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \leq l \leq 3$),

$$\sum_{c+1+b_1+b_2=l} t^{-1} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{b_1+1}} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla_{I_{b_2+1}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.34)$$

Here $c + 1 \leq 3$ and $b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1 \leq 3$, we can apply L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 to the four factors in (6.36) to derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l12}^1| \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_3(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.35)$$

S_{l12}^2 can be rearranged as (for $b = b_1 + b_2 \leq l - 1 \leq 2$, then $b_1 + 2 \leq l + 1 \leq 4$),

$$\sum_{c+1+b_1+b_2=l} t^{-1} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{b_1+2}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla_{I_{b_2}} \bar{T} \phi * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.36)$$

Here $c + 1 \leq 3$ and $b_1, b_2 \leq 2$. If $b_1 \leq 1$, then $b_1 + 2 \leq 3$, and we can apply L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 to the four facts in in (6.36); If $b_1 = 2$, then $c = b_2 = 0$. We can use $L^\infty, L^2, L^\infty, L^2$ alternatively. Finally, S_{l12}^2 admits the estimate

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l12}^2| \lesssim t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_3(g, t) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.37)$$

S_{l12}^3 can be rearranged as ($c + 1 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = l$ and $b_2 + 1, b_3 + 1 \leq l \leq 3$),

$$t^{-2} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{b_1}} k_i^j * \nabla_{I_{b_2+1}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla_{I_{b_3+1}} (\bar{m}(t) \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.38)$$

Here $c + 1, b_2 + 1, b_3 + 1 \leq l \leq 3$. If $b_1 = 0, k_i^j \sim 1$, we apply $L^6, L^\infty, L^6, L^6, L^2$ on the five factors in (6.38) and derive the bound

$t^{-2}\mathcal{E}_3(g, t)\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$; If $1 \leq b_1$, noting that $b_1 \leq l - 1 \leq 2$, we apply $L^6, L^\infty, L^6, L^6, L^2$ on the five factors as well and the bound is replaced by $t^{-2}\mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t)\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t)$ which is of lower order. Finally, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l12}^3| \lesssim t^{-2}\mathcal{E}_3(g, t)\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.39)$$

S_{l12}^4 can be rearranged as $(c + 1 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = l)$,

$$\nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_{b_1}} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_{b_2}} \bar{T}\phi * \nabla_{I_{b_3}} \hat{k} * \nabla^{I_l} E. \quad (6.40)$$

Now that, $c + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = l - 1 \leq 2$, we can always apply $L^2, L^\infty, L^\infty, L^\infty, L^2$ to the five factors of (6.40) and derive that

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l12}^4| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t)\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.41)$$

Putting **Case S_{l1} -I** and **Case S_{l1} -II** together, we have for S_{l1} ,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l1}| \lesssim \left(t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t), \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2, \quad (6.42)$$

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{31}| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 M^2 E_3(W, t) + t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.43)$$

For the magnetic part S_{l2} ,

- **Case S_{l2} -I:** $a = 0$. Then $b = l$. S_{l2} -I composes of

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l21}^1 &= N \nabla_{I_l} (\nabla^2 \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} H, \\ S_{l21}^2 &= N \nabla_{I_l} (\hat{k} * \nabla \phi * \bar{T}\phi) * \nabla^{I_l} H. \end{aligned} \quad (6.44)$$

Note that, S_{l21}^1, S_{l21}^2 possess the same structures as S_{l11}^1, S_{l11}^4 (6.23) respectively if we replace $\nabla^2 \phi$ in S_{l21}^1 by $\nabla \bar{T}\phi$, $\nabla \phi$ in S_{l21}^2 by $\bar{T}\phi$. In fact, the structures in S_{l21}^1, S_{l21}^2 is better, since they both have one more order of spatial derivative than S_{l11}^1, S_{l11}^4 respectively. Anyway, the analogous argument for S_{l11}^1, S_{l11}^4 can be applied to S_{l21}^1, S_{l21}^2 as well. Then

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l21}^1| + |S_{l21}^2| \lesssim \left(t^{-1}\mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.45)$$

- **Case S_{l2} -II:** $a \geq 1$. Letting $a = c + 1, c \geq 0$, S_{l2} -II consists

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l22}^1 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} (\nabla^2 \phi * \nabla \phi) * \nabla^{I_l} H, \\ S_{l22}^2 &= \sum_{c+1+b=l} \nabla_{I_{c+1}} \hat{N} * \nabla_{I_b} (\bar{T}\phi * \nabla \phi * \hat{k}) * \nabla^{I_l} H. \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

Again, if replacing $\nabla^2 \phi$ in S_{l22}^1 , $\nabla \phi$ in S_{l22}^2 by $\nabla \bar{T}\phi, \bar{T}\phi$ respectively, one gets the same structures as S_{l12}^1, S_{l12}^4 . Thus the estimate for

S_{l22}^1, S_{l22}^2 will be just in an analogous to that for S_{l12}^1, S_{l12}^4 . We obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l21}^1| + |S_{l22}^2| \lesssim \left(t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_3(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{3}{2}}(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.47)$$

This is of course lower order than (6.45).

Combining the results for Case S_{l2} -I with Case S_{l2} -II, we have for S_{l2} ,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |S_{l2}| \lesssim \left(t^{-1} \mathcal{E}_l^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_l(g, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t). \quad (6.48)$$

7. THE GLOBAL EXISTENCE

7.1. Closing the bootstrap argument.

7.1.1. *For KG field and Weyl field.* In Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 6.1, we have proven that, for some universal constant C , and some constant C_M depending linearly on M ,

$$\begin{aligned} tE_0(\phi, t) &\leq C\varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\phi), \\ tE_l(\phi, t) &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3 \right) t^{C_M\varepsilon}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 E_l(W, t) &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^2 I_l^2(W) + \varepsilon^3 M^3 \right), \quad 0 \leq l \leq 2. \\ t^2 E_3(W, t) &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^2 I_3^2(W) + \varepsilon^3 M^3 \right) t^{C_M\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we choose M satisfying $C(I_3^2(W) + I_4^2(\phi)) \leq \frac{M^2}{4}$ and ε small enough such that $\varepsilon CM \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $C_M\varepsilon \leq \delta$. Hence, for $0 \leq i \leq 4, 0 \leq j \leq 3$,

$$tE_i(\phi, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 M^2}{2} t^\delta, \quad t^2 E_j(W, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 M^2}{2} t^\delta, \quad (7.1)$$

which improve the bootstrap assumptions (4.3)-(4.4).

7.1.2. *For the second fundamental form.* We will additionally take advantage of the transport equation for the second fundamental form to derive a bound for $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$. This helps to improve the weak bootstrap assumption for \hat{k} (4.5).

Lemma 7.1 (Improvement for \hat{k}). *Fixing $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$, we have for some universal constant C ,*

$$t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t) \leq C\varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\hat{k}) + C \left(\varepsilon I_0(\phi) + \varepsilon I_0(W) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \varepsilon M t^\delta. \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. Reminding the evolution equation for \hat{k}_{ij} (2.6b), and noticing that

$$\bar{R}_{i\bar{T}j\bar{T}} \hat{k}^{ij} = \left(E_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{ij} \right) \hat{k}^{ij} = \left(E_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi \right) \hat{k}^{ij},$$

we multiply \hat{k}^{ij} and integrate on Σ_t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}} E_0(\hat{k}, t) + 2E_0(\hat{k}, t) &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \hat{k}^{ij} (-2\nabla_i \nabla_j N + 2N E_{ij}) \\ &\quad - \hat{N} |\hat{k}|^2 + 2N |\hat{k}|^3 - N \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi \hat{k}^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Terms in the second line of (7.3) are cubic, and hence easier. We make use of $\|\hat{k}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.9) and $\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}$ (4.5), then

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\hat{N}| |\hat{k}|^2 + N |\hat{k}|^3 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) E_0(\hat{k}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-1+2\delta} E_0(\hat{k}, t).$$

Rewrite $\nabla_i \phi * \nabla_j \phi * \hat{k}^{ij}$ as $(mt)^{-2} \nabla_i(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \nabla_j(\bar{m}(t)\phi) * \hat{k}^{ij}$ and perform L^4, L^4, L^2 on the three factors

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi \hat{k}^{ij}| &\lesssim t^{-2} \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-1+\delta} E_0(\hat{k}, t) + \varepsilon^3 M^3 t^{-5+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The first line in (7.3) involves quadratic terms. We will make use of the refined $\mathcal{E}_2(\hat{N}, t)$ (5.24), and $E_0(W, t)$ (6.1) to linearize them:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_i \nabla_j N \hat{k}^{ij}| &\lesssim E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{N}, t) E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon I_0(\phi) t^{-1} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t), \\ \int_{\Sigma_t} |E_{ij} \hat{k}^{ij}| &\lesssim E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \lesssim \left(\varepsilon I_0(W) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) t^{-1} E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we substitute the bootstrap assumption for $E_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t)$ (see (4.5)) to achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar{r}} E_0(\hat{k}, t) + 2E_0(\hat{k}, t) &\lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-1+2\delta} E_0(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\quad + \left(\varepsilon I_0(\phi) + \varepsilon I_0(W) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \varepsilon M t^{-2+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing to t and multiplying t on the inequality, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t)) &\lesssim \varepsilon M t^{-2+2\delta} (t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t)) \\ &\quad + \left(\varepsilon I_0(\phi) + \varepsilon I_0(W) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \varepsilon M t^{-1+\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$. By the Grönwall inequality,

$$t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t) \lesssim \exp(\varepsilon M) \left(\varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\hat{k}) + \left(\varepsilon I_0(\phi) + \varepsilon I_0(W) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \varepsilon M t^\delta \right).$$

ε is chosen small enough such that $\varepsilon M < 1$, thus it implies (7.2). \square

\hat{k}_{ij} being the trace free part of k_{ij} , satisfies the following elliptic system,

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{div} \hat{k})_i &= -\bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}, \\ \operatorname{curl} \hat{k}_{ij} &= -H_{ij}, \\ \operatorname{tr} \hat{k} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

See Appendix for the definition of div and curl.

Lemma 7.2 (Improvement for derivative of \hat{k}). *Fixing $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$, we have for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ and some universal constant C*

$$t^2 E_{i+1}(\hat{k}, t) \leq C \varepsilon^2 I_0^2(\hat{k}) + C \left(\varepsilon I_3(W) + \varepsilon I_4(\phi) + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} M^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \varepsilon M t^\delta. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. The elliptic system (7.5) gives the identity (c.f. Proposition 4.4.1 in [13]),

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \hat{k}|^2 + 3R_{mn} \hat{k}^{im} \hat{k}_i^n - \frac{R}{2} |\hat{k}|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_t} |H_{ij}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\bar{R}_{\bar{T}i}|^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Noticing the formula for R (2.11), and the expansion (4.26) for R_{imjn} , we also get

$$R_{ij} \sim 1 \pm \hat{k} \pm |\hat{k}|^2 + E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2, \quad R \sim 1 \pm |\hat{k}|^2 + E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2. \quad (7.8)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \hat{k}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(1 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|_{L^\infty}^2 + |\hat{k}|_{L^\infty} + |\hat{k}|_{L^\infty}^2 + |E|_{L^\infty} \right) |\hat{k}|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} |H|^2 + |\bar{T}\phi|_{L^\infty}^2 |\nabla \phi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \hat{k}|^2 &\lesssim \left(1 + \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(g, t) \right) E_0(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\quad + E_0(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_2(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_0(\phi, t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the bootstrap assumption (4.3), the improved $E_0(W, t)$ (6.1), we prove (7.6) with $i = 0$, namely,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} t^2 |\nabla \hat{k}|^2 \lesssim t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t) + \varepsilon^2 I_0^2(W) + \varepsilon^3 M^3. \quad (7.10)$$

Proceeding to the higher order cases, we wish to prove that for $0 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} t^2 |\nabla_{I_{i+1}} \hat{k}|^2 \lesssim t^2 E_0(\hat{k}, t) + (\varepsilon^2 I_i^2(W) + \varepsilon^2 I_4^2(\phi) + \varepsilon^3 M^3) t^{C_M \varepsilon}. \quad (7.11)$$

Now (7.10) shows that (7.11) holds for $i = 0$. Suppose (7.11) holds for $i \leq l (l \leq 2)$, we need to prove that (7.11) also holds for $i = l + 1 (l \leq 2)$.

In views of the commuting identity between ∇_{I_l} and Δ (3.20)-(3.21), after an integration by parts, we calculate for $0 \leq l$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{k}|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{k}|^2 \pm \mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{k}_{ij}) * \nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{k} \pm \mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{k}_{ij}) * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}.$$

Apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, then

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{k}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{k}|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{k}_{ij})|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{k}_{ij}) \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}|.$$

Meanwhile,

$$\nabla_{I_l} \Delta \hat{k}_{ij} = \nabla_{I_l} \left(\nabla^m \bar{R}_{mi\bar{T}j} - \nabla_i \bar{R}_{\bar{T}j} + R_i^p \hat{k}_{pj} - R_i^p \hat{k}_{pq} \right)$$

and $\nabla^m \bar{R}_{mi\bar{T}j} = \epsilon_{mi}^p \nabla^m H_{pj} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j \bar{R}_{\bar{T}i} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \nabla^m \bar{R}_{m\bar{T}}$, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{k}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} H|^2 + |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} (\bar{R}_{i\bar{T}})|^2 \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{R}_{I_l}(\hat{k}_{ij})|^2 + |\mathcal{R}_{I_{l+1}}(\hat{k}_{ij}) \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\bar{R}_{\bar{T}j} = \nabla_j \phi \bar{T} \phi + \frac{\bar{m}^2(t)}{2} \phi^2 \bar{g}_{\bar{T}j}$, $\nabla_{I_l} (\bar{T}^\mu \bar{g}_{\mu i}) = 0$, then $\nabla_{I_l} \bar{R}_{\bar{T}j} = \nabla_{I_l} (\nabla_j \phi \bar{T} \phi)$. And in views of the expansion for R_{imjn} (4.26),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{k}|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} H|^2 + \sum_{a+b=l+1} |\nabla_{I_{a+1}} \phi \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \phi|^2 \\ &\quad + RK_1 + RK_2 + RK_3 + RK_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $RK_1 - RK_4$ are defined as below,

$$\begin{aligned} RK_1 &= \left(1 + |\hat{k}|^2 + |\hat{k}|^4 + |E|^2 + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^4 \right) |\nabla_{I_l} \hat{k}|^2, \\ RK_2 &= \sum_{a+1+b=l} |\nabla_{I_{a+1}} \left(|\hat{k}| \pm |\hat{k}|^2 \pm E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 \right)|^2 |\nabla_{I_b} \hat{k}|^2, \\ RK_3 &= \left(1 + |\hat{k}| + |\hat{k}|^2 + |E| + |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 \right) |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}|^2, \\ RK_4 &= \sum_{a+1+b=l+1} |(t\nabla)_{I_{a+1}} \left(|\hat{k}| \pm |\hat{k}|^2 \pm E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 \right)| |\nabla_{I_b} \hat{k} \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $l \leq 2$. First of all,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} H|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(W, t), \quad l \leq 2. \quad (7.12)$$

Viewing $[\frac{l+1}{2}] + 2 \leq 3(l \leq 2)$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} \sum_{a+b=l+1} |\nabla_{I_{a+1}} \phi * \nabla_{I_b} \bar{T} \phi|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\phi, t). \quad (7.13)$$

For RK_1 and RK_3 , we apply L^∞, L^2, L^2 to derive

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} RK_1 + RK_3 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t). \quad (7.14)$$

For RK_2 , since $1 \leq a+1 \leq l \leq 2, b \leq l-1 \leq 1$, we can always apply L^4 on each of the four factors (such as $|\nabla_{I_{a+1}} E|^2 |\nabla_{I_b} \hat{k}|^2$) or L^6 on each of the six factors (such as $|\nabla_{I_{a+1}} (\mathcal{D}\phi)^2|^2 |\nabla_{I_b} \hat{k}|^2$). Then

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} RK_2 \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_{l+1}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^2(\phi, t)) \mathcal{E}_l(\hat{k}, t). \quad (7.15)$$

For RK_4 , the argument is similar to that for RK_2 .

- If $a + 1 = l + 1 \leq 3$, then $b = 0$, we get

$$|\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \left(|\hat{k}| \pm |\hat{k}|^2 \pm E \pm |\mathcal{D}\phi|^2 \right) | * |\hat{k}| |\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}|.$$

For three factors (such as $\nabla_{I_{l+1}} E * \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}$), we apply L^2, L^∞, L^2 ; For four factors (such as $\nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k} * \hat{k} * \nabla_{I_{l+1}} \hat{k}$), we apply $L^2, L^\infty, L^\infty, L^2$ or $L^\infty, L^2, L^\infty, L^2$, since $[\frac{l+1}{2}] + 2 \leq 3(l \leq 2)$. Then we can deduce the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \left(\mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_3^{\frac{1}{2}}(\phi, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) E_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\quad + \left(\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(\hat{k}, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t). \end{aligned}$$

- If $1 \leq a + 1 \leq l \leq 2$, then $1 \leq b \leq l \leq 2$, we apply L^4, L^4, L^2 or L^6, L^6, L^6, L^2 to derive the bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{k}, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\quad + \left(\mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\phi, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} RK_4 &\lesssim \left(\mathcal{E}_{l+1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(g, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\phi, t) \right) \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_3(\phi, t) \mathcal{E}_{l+2}(\phi, t). \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

From (7.12)-(7.16), we have for $l \leq 2$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla_{I_{l+2}} \hat{k}|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(W, t) + \mathcal{E}_{l+1}(\hat{k}, t) + \mathcal{E}_4^2(\phi, t). \quad (7.17)$$

By induction and combining the improvement for W, ϕ with Lemma 7.1, we proved (7.11) and hence (7.6). \square

We choose M additionally satisfying $C \left(I_0(\hat{k}) + I_3(W) + I_4(\phi) \right) \leq M/4$ and ε sufficiently small such that $C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}M^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1/4$, then (7.2) and (7.6) imply

$$t^2 E_i(\hat{k}, t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^2 M^2 t^\delta, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4, \quad (7.18)$$

which improves the bootstrap assumption for \hat{k} in (4.5).

7.1.3. Closing the bootstrap assumption for lapse. By the Sobolev inequalities, the improved energy for \hat{N} (5.24) (see Corollary 5.4) implies that

$$\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon I_0(\phi) t^{-1}, \quad (7.19)$$

for some universal constant C . We choose $C^2 I_0^2(\phi) \leq M^2/2$, then

$$\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 M^2}{2} t^{-2+4\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}, \quad (7.20)$$

which improves the bootstrap assumption for $\|\hat{N}\|_{L^\infty}$ in (4.5).

We are in a position to state the global existence theorem.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $(\Sigma, g_0, k_0, \phi_0, \phi_1)$ be CMC data for the EKG equations (1.1a)-(1.1b), (1.2) (also see the 1 + 3 forms (3.64a)-(3.64b) and (3.24)). Assume that Σ is a compact hyperbolic 3-manifold without boundary and $\text{tr}_{g_0} k_0 = -3$, with initial time $t_0 > \max\{9m^{-1}, 1\}$. Suppose that the initial data verifies the smallness condition: there is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,*

$$t_0 \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t_0) + t_0^2 \mathcal{E}_3(W, t_0) + t_0^2 \mathcal{E}_4(\hat{k}, t_0) \leq \varepsilon^2. \quad (7.21)$$

Then there exists a unique global solution (W, ϕ) for $t \geq t_0$ with the property that for some universal constant C and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{6}$,

$$t \mathcal{E}_4(\phi, t) + t^2 \mathcal{E}_3(W, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 t^{C\varepsilon}, \quad t^2 \mathcal{E}_4(\hat{k}, t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 t^\delta. \quad (7.22)$$

Finally, we can follow the argument presented in [6] (Theorem 6.2) to prove the geodesic completeness.

Remark 7.4. *Let us explore the asymptotic state of the rescaled metric g . As we can see from the Gauss equations (2.7), the decay estimates for $\hat{k}, \mathcal{D}\phi, H$ yield that*

$$|R_{imjn} + \frac{1}{2}(g \odot g)_{imjn}| \lesssim \varepsilon t^{-4+\delta}.$$

This shows that the sectional curvature K of g satisfies $K \rightarrow -1$.

Remark 7.5. *By the Gauss-Codazzi equation on the initial slice, the smallness condition for the data (1.3) given in Theorem 1.1 will enforce (7.21).*

APPENDIX A. LOCAL IN TIME DEVELOPMENT OF CMC DATA

In this section, we stick to the original spacetime $\check{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and spatial metric \check{g}_{ij} , which are denoted by $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, g_{ij}$ (without tilde) as well. These notations (without tilde) applies to $\nabla, k_{ij}, R_{ij}, \bar{R}_{ij}, \dots$ as well.

The EKG system (1.1a)-(1.1b) has the structure equations (2.6a)-(2.10b) taking the following forms: The evolution equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{ij} = -2N k_{ij}, \quad (A.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} k_{ij} = -\nabla_i \nabla_j N + N \left(R_{ij} - 2k_{il} k_j^l + \text{tr} k k_{ij} - \bar{R}_{ij}(\phi) \right). \quad (A.1b)$$

The KG equation

$$\square_{\bar{g}} \phi - m\phi = 0. \quad (A.2)$$

The equation for the lapse N

$$\Delta N + \partial_t \text{tr} k - N \left(\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) + |k|^2 \right) = 0. \quad (A.3)$$

The constraint equations

$$\text{tr} k + 3t^{-1} = 0, \quad (A.4a)$$

$$R - |k|^2 + (\text{tr}k)^2 = 2\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) + \bar{R}(\phi), \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

$$\nabla^i k_{ij} - \nabla_j \text{tr}k = -\bar{R}_{Tj}(\phi). \quad (\text{A.4c})$$

In (A.1a)-(A.4c), the coupling $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}(\phi)$ is indeed the Ricci tensor $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ (associated to \bar{g}), which, via the EKG equation (1.1a)-(1.1b), is related to the KG field by

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta}(\phi) = D_\alpha \phi D_\beta \phi + \frac{m}{2} \phi^2 \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As in [13] (P. 307), we introduce

$$A = \text{tr}k + 3t^{-1}, \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

$$B = R - |k|^2 + (\text{tr}k)^2 - 2\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) - \bar{R}(\phi), \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

$$C_i = \nabla^j k_{ij} - \nabla_i \text{tr}k + \bar{R}_{Ti}(\phi) + 1/2 \nabla_i A, \quad (\text{A.6c})$$

$$D_{ij} = N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} k_{ij} + N^{-1} \nabla_i \nabla_j N - R_{ij} + 2k_{ip} k_j^p - \text{tr}k k_{ij} + \bar{R}_{ij}(\phi). \quad (\text{A.6d})$$

The definition of A, B, C, D are almost identical (except the couplings) to the ones introduced in [13] and [5].

The EKG system (A.1a)-(A.1b), (A.2), (A.3) and the constraint equations (A.4a)-(A.4c) imply $A = B = 0, C_i = 0, D_{ij} = 0$ and the following second-order hyperbolic equations for k_{ij} and ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} - (N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t})^2 k_{ij} + \Delta k_{ij} &= F_{ij}, \\ \square_{\bar{g}} \phi - m\phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{ij} &= 2k_{ij}, \\ \Delta N + \partial_t \text{tr}k - N (\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) + |k|^2) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and $N' = \partial_{\bar{r}} N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta N' - 3t^{-2} N' &= -6t^{-3} \hat{N} + N' \left(\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) + |\hat{k}|^2 \right) + N \partial_t \left(\bar{R}_{TT}(\phi) + |\hat{k}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - t^{-1} |\nabla N|^2 - 2\hat{k}^{ij} (N \nabla_i \nabla_j N + \nabla_i N \nabla_j N) + 2t^{-1} N \Delta N + 2N \nabla^i N \bar{R}_{Ti}(\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The inhomogeneous term F_{ij} is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ij} &= N_{ij} - \nabla_i \bar{R}_{Tj}(\phi) - \nabla_j \bar{R}_{Ti}(\phi) \\ &\quad + N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} (-\text{tr}k k_{ij} + \bar{R}_{ij}(\phi)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where N_{ij} and H_{ij} are the same as that in [13] (Page 308):

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ij} &= L_{ij} - H_{ij}, \\ N^2 L_{ij} &= \nabla_i \nabla_j N' - N^{-1} N' \nabla_i \nabla_j N - \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \Gamma_{ij}^p \nabla_p N \\ &\quad + 2N (k_i^p \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} k_{jp} + k_j^p \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} k_{ip}) + 4N^2 k^{pq} k_{ip} k_{jq}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
NH_{ij} &= NI_{ij} + \nabla^p N (2\nabla_p k_{ij} - \nabla_i k_{pj} - \nabla_j k_{pi}) \\
&\quad - \nabla_i N \nabla^p k_{pj} - \nabla_j N \nabla^p k_{pi} + \nabla_i N \nabla_j \text{tr}k + \nabla_j N \nabla_i \text{tr}k \\
&\quad - k_i^p \nabla_j \nabla_p N - k_j^p \nabla_i \nabla_p N + \text{tr}k \nabla_i \nabla_j N + k_{ij} \Delta N, \\
I_{ij} &= -3(k_i^p R_{jp} + k_j^p R_{ip}) + 2g_{ij} k^{pq} R_{pq} \\
&\quad + 2\text{tr}k R_{ij} + (k_{ij} - g_{ij} \text{tr}k) R.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

By a computation analogous to [13], using the n+1-decomposition of the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor, $D^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, as presented in ([28], P. 17), one derives the following system of evolution equation for A, B, C_i, D_{ij} which holds for any given solution of the system (A.7)-(A.8):

$$N^{-1} \partial_t A = F := \text{tr}D + B, \tag{A.13a}$$

$$N^{-1} \partial_t F = \Delta A + 2N^{-1} \nabla^i N \nabla_i A - 4N^{-1} \nabla^i N C_i, \tag{A.13b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
N^{-1} \partial_t C_i &= \left(\nabla^j D_{ji} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \text{tr}D \right) + N^{-1} \nabla^j N D_{ij} \\
&\quad + \text{tr}k C_i - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}k \nabla_i A - \frac{1}{2} N^{-1} \nabla_i N F,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13c}$$

$$N^{-1} \partial_t D_{ij} = \nabla_i C_j + \nabla_j C_i. \tag{A.13d}$$

Generically, (A.13a)-(A.13d) always holds true and the proof is irrelevant to the matter field.

Therefore, as in [13], one has

Lemma A.1. *Any solution of the coupled elliptic-hyperbolic system (A.7)-(A.8) whose initial data $g_{ij}, k_{ij}, \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} k_{ij}, \phi, T\phi$ verify the original constraint equations $A = 0, B = 0, C_i = 0$ as well as $D_{ij} = 0$ is a solution of the original systems (A.1a)-(A.1b), (A.2), (A.3).*

An existence result for the reduced system can be done roughly in the same way as in the proof of the local existence for the reduced wavelike system [10] (c.f. [13]). The only differences lie in that g_{ij} is tied to k_{ij} and N through the integral equation in (A.8). One can proceed precisely as in [13], estimating k_{ij}, ϕ by energy estimates, g_{ij} by the integral equation in (A.8) and N, N' by elliptic estimates. We also remark that in the elliptic equations for $N - 1, N'$, both of the $\partial_t \text{tr}k$ and $-3t^{-2}N'$ admit good sign. They will contribute to the estimates for $\|t^{-2}(N - 1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$ and $\|t^{-2}N'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}$. This can be seen in Section 4.3. Besides, we are working within the setting of compact manifold without boundary, hence we do not have to care about the asymptotic decay at spatially infinity as in [13], and the regularity we are considering is one order high than that in [13]. In general, the local existence theorem in our setting is easier than that in [13]. Finally, we make the rescaling and Theorem 2.1 follows.

APPENDIX B. ODE ESTIMATE

The following Grönwall inequality is used throughout this paper.

Lemma B.1 (Differential form). *Let $a(s), b(s), \psi(s)$ are non-negative functions, and*

$$\psi'(s) \leq b(s) + a(s)\psi(s),$$

then

$$|\psi(s)| \leq \exp\left(\int_{s_0}^s a(\tau)d\tau\right) \left(\psi(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^s b(\tau)d\tau\right). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The technical ODE estimate (c.f. Lemma 3.5 in [25]) specializes to the situation considered as follows,

Lemma B.2. *Let*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\psi + c^2\psi = F(s),$$

with the initial data

$$\psi|_{s=s_0} = \psi_0, \quad \partial_s\psi|_{s=s_0} = \psi_1.$$

There is the inequality

$$|\psi(s)| + |\partial_s\psi(s)| \lesssim |\psi_0| + |\psi_1| + \int_{s_0}^s |F(\tau)|d\tau. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

APPENDIX C. BASIC GEOMETRIC CONVENTIONS AND IDENTITIES

Curvature. We clarify the conventions for curvature. The Riemann tensor of (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is defined by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for vector fields X, Y, Z . We define in a coordinate system

$$\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \bar{g}(e_\mu, \bar{R}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)e_\nu).$$

The Riemann tensor satisfies the Bianchi identities

$$D_{[\sigma}\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta]\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3}(D_\sigma\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + D_\alpha\bar{R}_{\beta\sigma\mu\nu} + D_\beta\bar{R}_{\sigma\alpha\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

Volume element. Let $\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ be the coefficient of the volume element of an 1 + 3 dimensional Lorentz manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) . Then $\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}$ is a totally anti-symmetric tensor, and satisfies the identities (c.f. Page 136 in [13])

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4} &= -\det(\delta_{\beta_j}^{\alpha_i})_{i,j=2,3,4} \\ \epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\beta_3\beta_4} &= -2\det(\delta_{\beta_j}^{\alpha_i})_{i,j=3,4} \\ \epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\beta_4} &= -6\delta_{\beta_4}^{\alpha_4} \\ \epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} &= -24. \end{aligned}$$

In an O.N. frame adapted to a spacelike hypersurface Σ in (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , the coefficient of the induced volume element is (c.f. Page 144 in [13])

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = \epsilon_{\bar{T}ijk}.$$

Identities. Let (Σ, g_{ij}) be the spatially 3-dimensional Riemann manifold, and ∇ is the covariant derivative with respect to g_{ij} . Let Γ_{ij}^a be the connection coefficient associated to ∇ , the time derivative is a tensorfield:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \Gamma_{ij}^a = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} (\nabla_i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{jb} + \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{ib} - \nabla_b \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} g_{ij}). \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Then for any $(0, 2)$ tensor on Σ

$$\mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \nabla_i A_{ab} = \nabla_i \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} A_{ab} - \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \Gamma_{ia}^p A_{pb} - \mathcal{L}_{\partial_t} \Gamma_{ib}^p A_{ap}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Define the following operations on symmetric 2-tensors on Σ ,

$$A \cdot B = A_{ij} B^{ij}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$(\text{div} A)_i = \nabla^j A_{ij}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$\text{curl} A_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q A_{pj} + \epsilon_j^{pq} \nabla_q A_{pi}). \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$(A \wedge B)_i = \epsilon_i^{jp} A_j^q B_{qp}, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$(v \wedge A)_{ij} = \epsilon_i^{pq} v_p A_{qj} + \epsilon_j^{pq} v_p A_{qi}, \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$(A \times B)_{ij} = \epsilon_i^{ab} \epsilon_j^{pq} A_{ap} B_{bq} + \frac{1}{3} A \cdot B g_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} A \text{tr} B g_{ij}. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

The operation \wedge is skew symmetric, while \times is symmetric.

We get some identities between div and curl . A computation shows that

$$\text{div}(A \wedge B) = -\text{curl} A \cdot B + A \cdot \text{curl} B. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

The $l^{\text{th}} (l \geq 1)$ order analogous of (C.9) is given below (or Lemma 3.14).

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} A)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} B^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} B)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} A^{ij} \\ &= \sum_{a \leq l} \nabla_q (\nabla_{I_a} A * \nabla_{I_a} B)^q \pm \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(A) * \nabla_{I_l} B + \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_{l-1}}(B) * \nabla_{I_l} A. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.10})$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{I_l}(A)$ is defined as in (3.21).

Proof for Lemma 3.14. As far as this paper is concerned, we can restrict our attention to the $l \leq 3$ case for simplicity. Denote

$$C_{I_l} = \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} H)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_l} (\text{curl} E)_{ij} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

We first note that, for any symmetric tensor $H_{ij}, E^{ij}, \nabla^{I_l} H_{ij}$ and $\nabla^{I_l} E^{ij}$ are still symmetric in i, j . Therefore,

$$C_{I_l} = \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{I_l} \nabla_q H_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{I_l} \nabla_q E_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}.$$

To prove the Lemma, it suffice to keep track of the principle part R_{imjn} (without derivatives). Again, the principle part of R_{imjn} is $-(g_{ij} g_{mn} - g_{in} g_{mj})$. In what follows, we introduce the notation \simeq , which means equalling in the principle part. For example, $R_{imjn} \simeq -(g_{ij} g_{mn} - g_{in} g_{mj})$.

Case I: $\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_l} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_l} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_i^{pq} \left(\nabla_q \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \right) \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{ij} \\
& = \nabla_q \left(\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \right) \\
& \quad + \epsilon_i^{piq} \nabla_q \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E_{ij} \cdot \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_p^j \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{ipq} \nabla_q \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H_i^j \\
& = \nabla_q \left(\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we had exchange the indices p and i in the fourth line. Note that, on the right hand side of the first identity, ϵ_i^{ipq} is antisymmetric in i and p , while $\nabla_{I_l} H_{pj} \nabla_q \nabla^{I_l} E_i^j + \nabla_q \nabla_{I_l} E_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} H_i^j$ is symmetric in i and p . The product vanishes.

Case II: $\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}$. In particular, this covers C_{I_1} . Combine with case I, case II reduces to $\epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}$ as we can see below:

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{ij} \\
& = \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_l} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_l} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
& \quad + \epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

where the first line on the right hand side of the last equality is exactly case I. The last two commutators equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
& \simeq \epsilon_i^{pq} \left(R_{i_1 q i}^\lambda \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_l}^\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} + R_{i_1 q p}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{\lambda j} + R_{i_1 q j}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{p \lambda} \right) \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{pq} \left(R_{i_1 q i}^\lambda \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_l}^\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} + R_{i_1 q p}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{\lambda j} + R_{i_1 q j}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{p \lambda} \right) \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute the principle part of R_{imjn} , it equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
& = -\epsilon_i^{pq} \left(g_{i_1 i} g_q^\lambda - g_{i_1}^\lambda g_{q i} \right) \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \nabla_{i_l}^\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \text{dual parts} \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{pq} \left(g_{i_1 p} g_q^\lambda - g_{i_1}^\lambda g_{p q} \right) \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{\lambda j} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \text{dual parts} \\
& \quad - \epsilon_i^{pq} \left(g_{i_1 j} g_q^\lambda - g_{i_1}^\lambda g_{q j} \right) \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{p \lambda} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \text{dual parts}.
\end{aligned}$$

In views of the symmetry, we have that it equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i_i=i_2}^{i_l} -\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_1 i_i} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^p \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_1 i_i} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_i^p \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_{i_1}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{\lambda j} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} + \epsilon_{i_1}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{\lambda j} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

which are denoted by $S_i, i = 1 \cdots 6$. $S_1 + S_4$ equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\nabla_{i_i} \left(\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \right) \\
&\quad + \epsilon_{ip}^\lambda \nabla_{i_i} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_j^p \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_{ip\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_q \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_j^p \nabla^q \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{ij} \\
&= \text{div} + \epsilon_{ip}^\lambda \nabla_{i_i} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_j^p \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_{pi\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_{i_i} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_j^i \nabla^{i_i} \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{pj}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the reason of symmetry, the last two term cancelled. We have

$$S_1 + S_4 = -\nabla_{i_i} \left(\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \right).$$

Look at the $S_2 + S_3$, we change the index i_i to be λ

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \nabla_q \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^q \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{ij} \\
&= \nabla^q \left(\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_q \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E^{ij} \right) \\
&\quad - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla^q \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_q \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_\lambda \cdots \nabla_{i_l} E_{pj} \nabla_q \nabla^{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^q \cdots \nabla^{i_l} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by the symmetric reason, the last two terms cancelled. Thus,

$$S_2 + S_3 = \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}_{i_1} \cdots \nabla_{i_l} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla}^\lambda \cdots \nabla^{i_l} E^{ij}.$$

Finally the last two terms $S_5 + S_6$,

$$= -\epsilon_{iq}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{\lambda j} \nabla^q \nabla^{I_{l-1}} E^{ij} + \epsilon_{iq}^\lambda \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{\lambda j} \nabla^q \nabla^{I_{l-1}} H^{ij},$$

is exactly case I. As a summary, we prove in case II:

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\ & \simeq \nabla_i (\nabla_{I_l} H * \nabla_{I_l} E)^i + \nabla_i (\nabla_{I_{l-1}} H * \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E)^i. \end{aligned}$$

Case III: $\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-2}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-2}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}$. Combined with case II, it reduces to consider $\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_0} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_0} [\nabla_{i_1}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij}$. As calculated in case II (C.12), it has the principle part,

$$\begin{aligned} & \simeq - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_1 i_2} \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla_\lambda} \cdots \nabla_{i_1} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\ & + \epsilon_i^{p i_i} \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla_{i_1}} \cdots \nabla_{i_1} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} \\ & + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_1 i_2} \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla_\lambda} \cdots \nabla_{i_1} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\ & - \epsilon_i^{p i_i} \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{i_2} \cdots \overset{i_i}{\nabla_{i_1}} \cdots \nabla_{i_1} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\ & - \epsilon_{ii_1}^\lambda \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{I_{l-1}} H_{\lambda j} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} + \epsilon_{ii_1}^\lambda \nabla_{i_0} \nabla_{I_{l-1}} E_{\lambda j} \nabla^{i_0} \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

We begin with the subcase **Case III-1:** $l = 2$, i.e. $\nabla_{I_2} (\text{curl} H)_{ij} \cdot \nabla^{I_2} E^{ij} - \nabla_{I_2} (\text{curl} E)_{ij} \cdot \nabla^{I_2} H^{ij}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & = \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^\lambda H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^\lambda E^{ij} \\ & = \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^\lambda \nabla^{i_2} H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^\lambda \nabla^{i_2} E^{ij} \\ & + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} [\nabla^{i_2}, \nabla^\lambda] H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} [\nabla^{i_2}, \nabla^\lambda] E^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The line $\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^\lambda \nabla^{i_2} H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^\lambda \nabla^{i_2} E^{ij}$ is reduced to case II. As calculated in case II (C.12), the principle part of the second line is

$$\simeq \epsilon^{qp\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} H^{\lambda j} - \epsilon^{qp\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_q H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} E^{\lambda j},$$

which reduces to case II with $l = 1$.

As we only need $l \leq 3$ in this paper, we will focus on $l = 3$ (the conclusion will be true for $l = 2$ as well): $\epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} [\nabla_{i_2}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{i_3} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} [\nabla_{i_2}, \nabla_q] \nabla_{i_3} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij}$, whose principle part is

$$\begin{aligned} & \simeq - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_2 i_3} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} + \epsilon_i^{p i_3} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} \\ & + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} g_{i_2 i_3} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p i_3} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij} \\ & - \epsilon_{ii_2}^\lambda \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_3} H_{\lambda j} \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} + \epsilon_{ii_2}^\lambda \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_3} E_{\lambda j} \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\simeq -\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^q \nabla_q E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^\lambda E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^q \nabla_q H^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla^{i_2} \nabla^\lambda H^{ij} \\
&\quad - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_3} H_{\lambda j} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla^p \nabla_{i_3} E^{ij} \\
&\quad + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_3} E_{\lambda j} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla^p \nabla_{i_3} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

We index the above six terms by $-A_1, \dots, -A_6$. Note that $A_5 + A_6$ reduces to case II, while A_1, A_3 can be further manipulated as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^q \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla^q] \nabla_q E^{ij} \\
&= A_{11} + A_{12}; \\
A_3 &= -\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^q \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla^q] \nabla_q H^{ij} \\
&= A_{31} + A_{32}.
\end{aligned}$$

A_{11}, A_{31} are further made into:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{11} &= \nabla^q \left(\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} \right) - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla^q \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} \\
&= \text{div} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla^q \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} [\nabla^q, \nabla_{i_1}] \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} \\
A_{31} &= -\nabla^q \left(\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij} \right) + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla^q \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij} \\
&= \text{div} + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla^q \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij} + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} [\nabla^q, \nabla_{i_1}] \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second term in A_{11} will be cancelled with A_4 and the second term in A_{31} is cancelled with A_2 , hence $A_1 + \dots + A_4$ is equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla^q] \nabla_q E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} [\nabla^q, \nabla_{i_1}] \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q E^{ij} \\
&- \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla^q] \nabla_q H^{ij} + \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} [\nabla^q, \nabla_{i_1}] \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_q H^{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

whose principle part is, after substituting the principle part of curvature R_{imjn} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&+ \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{qj} (\nabla^p \nabla^q - \nabla^q \nabla^p) E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qj} (\nabla^p \nabla^q - \nabla^q \nabla^p) H^{ij} \\
&+ \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pq} (\nabla_j \nabla^q - \nabla^q \nabla_j) E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pq} (\nabla_j \nabla^q - \nabla^q \nabla_j) H^{ij} \\
&+ \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} (\nabla_q \nabla^i - \nabla^i \nabla_q) E^{qj} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} (\nabla_q \nabla^i - \nabla^i \nabla_q) H^{qj} \\
&+ \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} (\nabla_q \nabla^j - \nabla^j \nabla_q) E^{iq} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} (\nabla_q \nabla^j - \nabla^j \nabla_q) H^{iq}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, we calculate the principle part:

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \epsilon_{ip}{}^\lambda \nabla_\lambda H_{ij} E^{pj} - \epsilon_{ip}{}^\lambda \nabla_\lambda E_{ij} H^{pj} \\
& + \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{qp} E^{iq} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{iq} \\
& + \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{qp} E^{qj} - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{qj} \\
& + 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{qp} E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{iq} \\
& + \epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pj} E^{qj} - \epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} H^{qj} \\
& + 2\epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pi} E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pi} H^{iq} \\
& + \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pq} E^{qj} - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pq} H^{qj} \\
& + 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda H_{pq} E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pq} H^{iq}.
\end{aligned}$$

Up to some divergence forms, they are

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \epsilon_{ip}{}^\lambda H_{ij} \nabla_\lambda E^{pj} - \epsilon_{ip}{}^\lambda \nabla_\lambda E_{ij} H^{pj} (= 0) \\
& - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} H_{qp} \nabla_\lambda E^{iq} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{iq} (= 0) \\
& - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} H_{qp} \nabla_\lambda E^{qj} - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{qj} (= 0) \\
& - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} H_{qp} \nabla_\lambda E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{qp} H^{iq} (= 0) \\
& - \epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} H_{pj} \nabla_\lambda E^{qj} - \epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pj} H^{qj} (= 0) \\
& - 2\epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} H_{pi} \nabla_\lambda E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_q{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pi} H^{iq} (= 0) \\
& - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} H_{pq} \nabla_\lambda E^{qj} - \epsilon_j{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pq} H^{qj} (= 0) \\
& - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} H_{pq} \nabla_\lambda E^{iq} - 2\epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_\lambda E_{pq} H^{iq} (= 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we proved in case III ($l \leq 3$):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-2}} H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{i_1} \nabla_{i_2} \nabla_q \nabla_{I_{l-2}} E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_l} H^{ij} \\
& \simeq \sum_{a \leq l} \nabla_i (\nabla_{I_a} H * \nabla_{I_a} E)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us come to the final **Case IV**: $\epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{I_3} \nabla_q H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{I_3} \nabla_q E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij}$. Combined with the previous cases III, it reduces to consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{I_2} [\nabla_{i_3}, \nabla_q] H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{pq} \nabla_{I_2} [\nabla_{i_3}, \nabla_q] E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij} \\
& \simeq \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{I_2} \nabla_p E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{I_2} \nabla_p H^{ij} \\
& = \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_p \nabla^{i_2} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} \nabla_p \nabla^{i_2} H^{ij} \\
& \quad + \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} [\nabla^{i_2}, \nabla_p] E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla^{i_1} [\nabla^{i_2}, \nabla_p] H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last line is already covered by case III. The remaining part equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla_p \nabla^{I_2} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla_p \nabla^{I_2} H^{ij} \\
& \quad + \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla_p] \nabla^{i_2} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i{}^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot [\nabla^{i_1}, \nabla_p] \nabla^{i_2} H^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the last line above is covered by case II. Hence we are left with

$$\epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} H_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla_p \nabla^{I_2} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{p\lambda} \nabla_{I_2} E_{\lambda j} \cdot \nabla_p \nabla^{I_2} H^{ij},$$

which is covered by case I ($l = 2$). Hence, we prove in case IV ($l = 3$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{I_3} \nabla_q H_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} E^{ij} - \epsilon_i^{pq} \nabla_{I_3} \nabla_q E_{pj} \cdot \nabla^{I_3} H^{ij} \\ & \simeq \sum_{a \leq 3} \nabla_i (\nabla_{I_a} H * \nabla_{I_a} E)^i. \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] M. T. Anderson, *On long-time evolution in general relativity and geometrization of 3-manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **222** (2001), 533–567.
- [2] L. Andersson, *Constant mean curvature foliations of flat space-times*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), 1125–1150.
- [3] ———, *Bel-Robinson Energy and Constant Mean Curvature Foliation*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **5** (2004), 235–244.
- [4] L. Andersson and D. Fajman, *Nonlinear stability of the milne model with matter*, arXiv.org:1709.00267.
- [5] L. Andersson and V. Moncrief, *Elliptic-hyperbolic systems and the Einstein equations*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **4** (2003), 1–34.
- [6] ———, *Future complete vacuum spacetimes*, The Einstein equations and the large scale behavior of gravitational fields (P. T. Chruściel et al., ed.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 299–330.
- [7] ———, *Einstein spaces as attractors for the Einstein flow*, J. Differential Geometry **89** (2011), 1–47.
- [8] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [9] V. Branding, D. Fajman, and K. Kroencke, *Stable cosmological Kaluza-Klein Spacetimes*, arXiv.org:1804.04934.
- [10] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 141–225.
- [11] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, J. Isenberg, and D. Pollack, *The constraint equations for the Einstein-scalar field system on compact manifolds*, Class. Quantum Grav. **24** (2007), 809–828.
- [12] D. Christodoulou, *The formation of black holes in general relativity*, Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [13] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 41, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J., 1993.
- [14] D. Fajman, J. Joudioux, and J. Smulevici, *The stability of the Minkowski space for the Einstein-Vlasov system*, arXiv.org:1707.06141v1.
- [15] D. Fajman and K. Kroencke, *Stable fixed points of the Einstein flow with positive cosmological constant*, arXiv.org:1504.00687.
- [16] A. Galstian and K. Yagdjian, *Global solutions for semilinear Klein-Gordon equations in FLRW spacetimes*, arXiv.org:1401.3822.
- [17] C. Gerhardt, *H-surfaces in Lorentzian manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983), no. 4, 523–553.
- [18] A. D. Ionescu and B. Pausader, *On the global regularity for a wave-Klein-Gordon coupled system*, arXiv.org:1703.02846v1.
- [19] S. Katayama, *Global existence for coupled systems of nonlinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations in three space dimensions*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), 487–513.

- [20] S. Klainerman, *Global existence of small amplitude solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in four space-time dimensions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 631–641.
- [21] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, *Global existence for the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates*, Commun. Math. Phys. **256** (2005), 43–100.
- [22] ———, *The global stability of Minkowski space-time in harmonic gauge*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), 1401–1477.
- [23] H. Lindblad and M. Taylor, *Global stability of Minkowski space for the Einstein-Vlasov system in the harmonic gauge*, arXiv.org:1707.06079v1.
- [24] Y. Ma and P. G. LeFloch, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields*, arXiv.org: 1511.03324.
- [25] ———, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields: The wave-Klein-Gordon model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **346** (2016), 603–665.
- [26] S. Miao, L. Pei, and P. Yu, *On classical global solutions of nonlinear wave equations with large data*, To appear in International Mathematics Research Notices.
- [27] V. Moncrief and Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Future Global in Time Einsteinian Spacetimes with $U(1)$ Isometry Group*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **2** (2001), 1007–1064.
- [28] A. Rendall, *Partial differential equations in general relativity*, Oxf. Grad. Texts Math., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [29] H. Ringström, *The Cauchy problem in general relativity*, European Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [30] J. Shatah, *Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 685–696.
- [31] T. Sideris, *Decay estimates for the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation*, Comm. in PDE **14** (1989), 1421–1455.
- [32] M. Taylor, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for the massless Einstein-Vlasov system*, Ann. PDE **3:9** (2017).
- [33] J. Wang and C. Wei, *Global existence of smooth solution to relativistic membrane equation with large data*, arXiv.org:1708.03839.
- [34] J. Wang and P. Yu, *Long time solutions for wave maps with large data*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **10** (2013), 371–414.
- [35] ———, *A large data regime for nonlinear wave equations*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **18** (2016), 575–622.
- [36] Z. Wyatt, *The weak null condition and Kaluza-Klein spacetimes*, arXiv.org:1706.00026.

E-mail address: wangjinhua@xmu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 31005, CHINA