

# NILPOTENT MODULES OVER POLYNOMIAL RINGS

IE.YU.CHAPOVSKYI, A. P. PETRAVCHUK

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero,  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables. The vector space  $T_n = \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module with the action  $x_i \cdot v = v'_{x_i}$  for  $v \in T_n$ . Every finite dimensional submodule  $V$  of  $T_n$  is nilpotent, i.e. every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  with zero constant term acts nilpotently (by multiplication) on  $V$ . We prove that every nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V$  of finite dimension over  $\mathbb{K}$  with one dimensional socle can be isomorphically embedded in the module  $T_n$ . The automorphism groups of the module  $T_n$  and its finite dimensional monomial submodules are found. Similar results are obtained for (non-nilpotent) finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules with one dimensional socle.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic zero,  $\mathbb{K}[X] := \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial algebra in  $n$  variables. Every finite-dimensional module  $V$  over  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  determines  $n$  pairwise commuting matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  defining the action of the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  on  $V$  in some fixed basis of  $V$ . Classification (up to similarity) of such tuples  $(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  of square matrices is a wild problem in case of  $n \geq 2$  (see [2]), and therefore classifying finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules is a wild problem as well. We consider  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules  $V$  with a restriction:  $V$  has only one minimal submodule, i.e.  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Soc}(V) = 1$ . A natural example of such a module is the linear space  $T_n := \mathbb{K}[X]$  over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  with the following action of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  on  $T_n$ :  $x_i f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f)$  for all  $f \in T_n$  (this module is infinite dimensional, and its every nonzero finite dimensional submodule has one dimensional socle). Finite dimensional submodules of the module  $T_2$  were studied in [3] (such modules appeared there as polynomial translation modules). Some properties of modules over polynomial rings were studied in [5, 7]. Note that endomorphisms of modules over the polynomial ring in one variable were studied in [1].

Each finite-dimensional module  $V$  over the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  is also a module over the abelian Lie algebra  $\mathbb{K}\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$  spanned by the linear operators  $S_i$  which define the action of the elements  $x_i$  on the module  $V$  (about connection between Lie algebras and modules over polynomial rings see [6]). Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional module over the Lie algebra  $\mathbb{K}\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$ . Then  $V$  is a direct sum of root submodules  $V = V_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are roots on the Lie algebra  $\mathbb{K}\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$ . Each element  $a$  from  $\mathbb{K}\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$  induces on  $V_{\lambda_i}$  a linear operator  $\bar{a}_i$  with the only eigenvalue  $\lambda_i(a)$ . Hence, it is sufficient to consider root subspaces only and to suppose that the elements of  $\mathbb{K}\langle S_1, \dots, S_n \rangle$  induce linear operators on the module  $V$  with one eigenvalue. First, we consider modules, where this eigenvalue  $\lambda(a)$  is equal to zero. We

---

*Date:* September 12, 2018.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C13; Secondary 13F25, 20F29.

*Key words and phrases.* automorphism group, nilpotent module, polynomial ring, derivation, one dimensional socle.

call such modules (over polynomial rings) nilpotent. In fact, for such a module  $V$  there is a number  $k$  with  $(\mathbb{K}_0[X])^k V = 0$ , where  $\mathbb{K}_0[X]$  is the ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  consisting of polynomials with zero constant term.

The main results of the paper: it is shown that every nilpotent finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module with one dimensional socle is isomorphic to a submodule of the module  $T_n$  (Theorem 1). This result can also be extended on non-nilpotent modules with one dimensional socle. It is proved that every finite dimensional module with one dimensional socle can be isomorphically embedded in the module  $D_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$  for some  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{K}$  (Corollary 1). Automorphism groups of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  and its finite dimensional submodules are studied. In particular, it is proved that  $\text{Aut}(T_n) \simeq \mathbb{K}[[X]]^*$ , where  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]^*$  is the multiplicative group of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of formal power series in  $n$  variables (Theorem 4). As a consequence, the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  contains exactly one isomorphic copy of each finite dimensional nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module with one-dimensional socle (Corollary 4).

We use standard notation. The ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  is of characteristic zero,  $\mathbb{K}[[X]] := \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is the algebra of formal power series in  $n$  variables. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}_0[X]$  the ideal of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  consisting of polynomials with zero constant term. Recall that the socle  $\text{Soc}(M)$  of a module  $M$  is the sum of all its minimal submodules. A  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V$  is called nilpotent if  $(\mathbb{K}_0[X])^k V = 0$  for some natural  $k$ . A  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V$  is said to be locally nilpotent if for any  $a \in \mathbb{K}_0[X], v \in V$  there exists a number  $k = k(a, v)$  such that  $a^k v = 0$ . If  $V$  is a nilpotent finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module, then  $\text{Soc}(V) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker S_i$ , where  $S_i$  is the linear operator on  $V$  induced by the element  $x_i \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ . Further,  $\text{End}(V)$  denotes the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra of all endomorphisms of the module  $V$ . If  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module and  $\theta$  is an automorphism of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , then  $V_\theta$  is the "twisted"  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module with the action:  $f \circ v = \theta(f) \cdot v, f \in \mathbb{K}[X], v \in V$ .

## 2. THE UNIVERSAL $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -MODULE WITH ONE DIMENSIONAL SOCLE

Recall that  $T_n$  denotes the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  with the action of the algebra generators  $x_i$  on  $T_n$  by the rule:  $x_i f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f), i = 1, \dots, n, f \in T_n$ . It is easy to see that  $T_n$  is a locally nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module and  $\text{Soc}(T_n) = \mathbb{K}[1]$  is a one-dimensional submodule. The following statement is well known (and can be derived from standard facts about de Rham cohomologies) but having no exact references we supply it with a proof.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k, k \leq n$  be elements of  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If the polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  satisfy the conditions  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}, i, j = 1, \dots, k$ , then there exists a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = f_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ . The polynomial  $h$  is determined by polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  uniquely up to a summand from  $\mathbb{K}[x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n]$ .*

*Proof.* Uniqueness is obvious. Let us build such a polynomial  $h$  by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then the polynomial  $h(x) = \int_0^{x_1} f_1 dt$  satisfies the conditions of lemma (here the variable  $x_1$  of the polynomial  $f_1$  is denoted by  $t$ ). By inductive hypothesis, a polynomial  $h_1 \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is built such that

$$\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i} = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 1.$$

Setting  $h = h_1 + \int_0^{x_k} (f_k - \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_k}) dx_k$  we have for all  $i < k$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \int_0^{x_k} \left( f_k - \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_k} \right) dx_k = f_i + \int_0^{x_k} \left( \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_k} \right) dx_k = f_i.$$

It is easy to show that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_k} = f_k$ , so the polynomial  $h$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma.  $\square$

The following statement shows that  $T_n$  is universal (in some sense) for finite dimensional nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules with one dimensional socle.

**Theorem 1.** *Every finite dimensional nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V$  with one dimensional socle can be isomorphically embedded in the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$ .*

*Proof.* Induction on  $k = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} V$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $V = \mathbb{K}\langle v \rangle$  for any non-zero element  $v \in V$ . We define the embedding  $\varphi : V \rightarrow T_n$  by the rule  $\varphi(v) = 1$  and further by linearity. Let  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V = k > 1$  and  $W$  be a submodule in  $V$  of codimension 1 in  $V$  (such a submodule does exist because the module  $V$  is nilpotent). Take any element  $v_0 \in V \setminus W$ . Then  $x_i v_0 \neq 0$  for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Indeed, otherwise  $\mathbb{K}\langle v_0 \rangle$  is a one-dimensional submodule, and therefore  $\text{Soc}(V) = \mathbb{K}\langle v_0 \rangle$ . The latter is impossible since  $\text{Soc}(V)$  is obviously contained in  $W$ . The contradiction shows that  $x_i v_0 \neq 0$  for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . By the inductive hypothesis there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi : W \rightarrow M$ , where  $M$  is a submodule in  $T_n$ . Denote by  $S_i$  the linear operator on  $V$  induced by the element  $x_i$ , i.e.  $S_i(v) = x_i v, v \in V, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are pairwise commuting nilpotent operators on  $V$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker S_i$  is a one dimensional submodule which coincides with the socle  $\text{Soc}(V)$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} V/W = 1$ , we have  $S_i(v_0) \in W, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(S_i(v_0)) \in M, i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi(S_i(v_0))$  are polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let us denote  $f_i = \varphi(S_i(v_0))$ . The map  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules, so the following equalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S_i(S_j(v_0))) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\varphi(S_j(v_0))) = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \\ \varphi(S_j(S_i(v_0))) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\varphi(S_i(v_0))) = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_i S_j = S_j S_i$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Lemma 1 there exists a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  such that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . It is easy to see that  $M + \mathbb{K}\langle h \rangle$  is a submodule of  $T_n$ . Let us show that  $h \notin M$ . Indeed, suppose  $h \in M$ . Set  $v_1 = \varphi^{-1}(h) \in W$  and note that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is an isomorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules  $M$  and  $W$ . Therefore the following equalities hold:

$$\varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \right) = S_i(\varphi^{-1}(h)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \right) = \varphi^{-1}(f_i) = S_i(v_0),$$

and therefore  $S_i(v_1 - v_0) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The last equalities mean that  $v_1 - v_0 \in \text{Soc}(V) = \mathbb{K}\langle v_0 \rangle$ , thus  $v_1 - v_0 \in W$ . Since  $v_1 \in W$  by above mentioned, we obtain  $v_0 \in W$ . The latter contradicts to the choice of  $v_0$ . The contradiction shows that  $h \notin M$ . Define a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map

$$\psi : V = W + \mathbb{K}\langle v_0 \rangle \rightarrow M + \mathbb{K}\langle h \rangle$$

by the rule:  $\psi(w) = \varphi(w)$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $\psi(v_0) = h$ , and further by linearity.

Straightforward check shows that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules  $V$  and  $M + \mathbb{K}\langle h \rangle \subset T_n$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Theorem 1 is easily extended on non-nilpotent modules. Consider the linear space  $P_n := \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of the algebra of formal power series in  $n$  variables, and define on it the action of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  in the same way as for the module  $T_n$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be arbitrary elements of the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . The product  $D_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} := \exp(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n)T_n$  is obviously a submodule of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $P_n$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of the operators induced by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  respectively on  $D_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module with one dimensional socle. Then  $V$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{K}$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\text{Soc } V$  as  $\mathbb{K}\langle v_0 \rangle$  for some  $v_0 \in V$ ,  $v_0 \neq 0$ . Then  $x_i v_0 = \alpha_i v_0$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\theta$  be the automorphism of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  defined by the rule:  $x_i \mapsto x_i - \alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $V_\theta$  the corresponding twisted  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module. It is easy to see that the module  $V_\theta$  is nilpotent (because the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  induce nilpotent linear operators on  $V_\theta$ ). By Theorem 1, the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V_\theta$  is isomorphic to a submodule  $W$  of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$ . The product  $\exp(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)W$  is obviously a submodule of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ . Straightforward check shows that  $\exp(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)W \simeq W_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  is the automorphism of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  defined by  $\sigma(x_i) = x_i + \alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus we have  $W_\sigma \simeq (V_\theta)_\sigma \simeq V_\theta \simeq V$ . But then  $V \simeq W_\sigma$ , and  $W_\sigma$  is a submodule of the module  $\exp(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n)T_n = D_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}$ .  $\square$

### 3. AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF MODULES WITH ONE DIMENSIONAL SOCLE

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\varphi \in \text{End}(T_n)$ . Then  $\deg_{x_i} \varphi(f) \leq \deg_{x_i} f$  for every polynomial  $f \in T_n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi$  is an endomorphism of the module  $T_n$  we have for every  $f \in T_n$

$$\varphi \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_i^k} f \right) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_i^k} \varphi(f), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the following relations yield the result:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{x_i} \varphi(f) &= \max\{k \geq 0 : \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_i^k} \varphi(f) \neq 0\} = \max\{k \geq 0 : \varphi(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_i^k} f) \neq 0\} \leq \\ &\leq \max\{k \geq 0 : \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_i^k} f \neq 0\} = \deg_{x_i} f, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

We further use the following multi-indices

$$\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \alpha! := \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i!, \quad x^\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} := \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *A linear transformation  $\varphi$  of the vector space  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  is an endomorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  if and only if it can be written in the form*

$$\varphi = \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ \alpha_i \geq 0}} c_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad c_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \in \mathbb{K}.$$

The coefficients here are the form

$$c_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = \varphi \left( \frac{x_1^{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_1!} \dots \frac{x_n^{\alpha_n}}{\alpha_n!} \right) (0).$$

*Proof.* Necessity. Let the linear transformation  $\varphi$  be an endomorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$ . Consider the map  $N : T_n \rightarrow T_n$  defined by the rule:

$$N(f) = \varphi(f) - \sum_{\alpha: \alpha_i \geq 0} \varphi \left( \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) (0) \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} f, \quad f \in T_n$$

( $N(f)$  is correctly defined because the linear operators  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}$  on  $T_n$  are locally nilpotent). Let us show (by induction on the total degree of the polynomial  $f$ ) that the map  $N$  is identically zero. Suppose that  $\deg f = 0$ . Then we have  $N(f) = \varphi(f) - \varphi(f)(0) = 0$ , since by Lemma 2 the endomorphism  $\varphi$  does not increase  $\deg f$ . Let  $\deg f > 0$ . The map  $N$  is an endomorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  (as a linear combination of endomorphisms). By induction hypothesis

$$N\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f\right) = 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} N(f), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N(f) &= N(f)(0) = \varphi(f)(0) - \sum_{\alpha: \alpha_i \geq 0} \varphi \left( \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) (0) \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} (0) = \\ &= \varphi(f)(0) - \sum_{\alpha: \alpha_i \geq 0} \varphi \left( \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} (0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) (0) = \varphi \left( f - \sum_{\alpha: \alpha_i \geq 0} \frac{\partial^\alpha f}{\partial x^\alpha} (0) \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!} \right) (0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last equality and Taylor's formula for the polynomial  $f$  that  $N(f) = 0$ . The sufficiency is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *A linear transformation  $\varphi$  of the linear space  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  is an automorphism of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  if and only if it can be written in the form*

$$\varphi = \sum_{\substack{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ \alpha_i \geq 0}} c_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \quad \text{with } c_{(0, \dots, 0)} \neq 0.$$

**Corollary 3.** *Every submodule of the  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $T_n$  is invariant under automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(T_n)$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $V$  be a module over the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{K}[X])$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(V) = \text{Aut}(V_\theta)$ , where  $V_\theta$  denotes the corresponding twisted module.*

*Proof.* Note that the modules  $V$  i  $V_\theta$  have the same underlying vector space  $V$ , and therefore  $\text{Aut}(V)$  and  $\text{Aut}(V_\theta)$  are subgroups of the group  $GL(V)$ . Let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(V_\theta)$  be an arbitrary automorphism. Then  $\varphi(a \circ v) = a \circ \varphi(v)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  and  $v \in V_\theta$ . Taking into account the action of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  on  $V_\theta$  we get  $\varphi(\theta(a) \cdot v) = \theta(a) \cdot \varphi(v)$ , where the symbol  $(\cdot)$  denotes the action of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  on  $V$ . Denoting the element  $\theta(a)$  by  $b$  we get  $\varphi(b \cdot v) = b \cdot \varphi(v)$  for all  $b \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  and  $v \in V_\theta$  (we used here that  $\theta : \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$  is a bijection). The latter means that  $\varphi$  is an automorphism of the module  $V$ . Thus,  $\text{Aut}(V_\theta) \subseteq \text{Aut}(V)$ . One can prove analogously that  $\text{Aut}(V) \subseteq \text{Aut}(V_\theta)$ .  $\square$

We write down monomials from  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  in the form  $x^\lambda := x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}$ , where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is a multi-index. The following statement is well known.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}_0[[X]] \subset \mathbb{K}[[X]]$  be the additive group of formal power series with zero constant term and  $\mathbb{K}_1[[X]]^*$  the multiplicative group of formal power series with constant term which equals one. Then  $\exp : \mathbb{K}_0[[X]] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_1[[X]]^*$  is a group isomorphism, and therefore  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]^* = \mathbb{K}^* \times \exp(\sum_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \mathbb{K}x^\lambda) \simeq \mathbb{K}^* \times \prod_{\lambda, \lambda \neq 0} \mathbb{K}$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M, N$  be isomorphic proper submodules of the module  $T_n$  and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be an isomorphism. Then there exist submodules  $M_1, N_1 \subset T_n$  with  $M_1 \supsetneq M$ ,  $N_1 \supsetneq N$ , and an isomorphism  $\varphi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1$  such that  $\varphi_1|_M = \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M \neq T_n$ , there are monomials that do not belong to  $M$ . Choose one of such monomials, say  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \in T_n \setminus M$ , of minimal total degree. Let

$$g_i = \varphi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism between submodules, we get by the definition of  $g_i$

$$\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_i}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ , such that  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} = g_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $g \notin N$ . Indeed, suppose  $g \in N$ . Denote  $f = \varphi^{-1}(g)$ . Then,  $f \in M$  and

$$\varphi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f - x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\varphi(f)) - g_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (g) - g_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The latter means that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f - x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and therefore  $f = x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} + c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{K}$  is a constant. Since each non-zero submodule from  $T_n$  obviously contains the field  $\mathbb{K}$ , we have that  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} = f - c \in M$ . We obtain a contradiction to the choice of  $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ . Hence  $g \notin N$ . Set

$$M_1 = M \oplus \mathbb{K}\langle x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n} \rangle, \quad N_1 = N \oplus \mathbb{K}\langle g \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that  $M_1, N_1$  are submodules from  $T_n$ . Define the linear map  $\varphi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1$  by the rule:  $\varphi_1(m) = \varphi(m)$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $\varphi_1(x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}) = g$  and further by linearity. Straightforward check shows that  $\varphi_1 : M_1 \rightarrow N_1$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M \subset T_n$  be a proper submodule and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow T_n$  a monomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -modules. Then there exists an automorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(T_n)$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}|_M = \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi$  be the set of all pairs of the form  $(V, \psi)$ , where  $V \supseteq M$  is a submodule from  $T_n$  and  $\psi : V \rightarrow T_n$  a monomorphism such that  $\psi|_M = \varphi$ . Define a partial order on  $\Psi$  by the following rule:

$$(V_1, \psi_1) \preceq (V_2, \psi_2) \leftrightarrow V_1 \subseteq V_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2|_{V_1} = \psi_1.$$

If  $Z \subseteq \Psi$  is a chain, then there is an upper bound  $(V^*, \psi^*)$  for  $Z$ , where

$$V^* = \bigcup_{(V, \psi) \in Z} V, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^* : V^* \rightarrow T_n$$

is defined by the rule:  $\psi^*(v) = \psi(v)$  for  $v \in V$  with  $(V, \psi) \in Z$ . By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi})$  in  $\Psi$ . Let us show that  $\tilde{V} = T_n$  and  $\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Aut}(T_n)$ . Supposing that  $\tilde{V} \neq T_n$  and using Lemma 5 we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\psi})$ . Thus  $\tilde{V} = T_n$ . Further, if  $\tilde{\psi}$  is not epimorphic, then applying Lemma 5 to  $(\tilde{\psi})^{-1} : \tilde{\psi}(T_n) \rightarrow T_n$ , we have a contradiction to the fact that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is a monomorphism. Hence,  $\tilde{\psi}$  is an automorphism of the module  $T_n$  and the restriction  $\tilde{\psi}$  on the submodule  $M$  coincides with the monomorphism  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are submodules of the module  $T_n$  and  $V_1 \neq V_2$ , then the modules  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are not isomorphic.*

We call a submodule  $M$  of the module  $T_n$  *monomial* if it has a basis over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  consisting of monomials.

**Theorem 4. 1.** *The algebra  $\text{End}(T_n)$  of all endomorphisms of the module  $T_n$  is isomorphic to algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$  of formal power series in  $n$  variables. In particular, the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(T_n)$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]^*$  of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[[X]]$ .*

2. *Automorphism group of a finite dimensional monomial submodule  $M \subset T_n$  of dimension  $m$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $\mathbb{K}^* \times (\mathbb{K}^+)^{m-1}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}^+$  is the additive group of  $\mathbb{K}$ .*

3. *Automorphism group of any finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ -module  $V$  with one dimensional socle is isomorphic to the quotient group of  $\mathbb{K}^* \times (\mathbb{K}^+)^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement of the theorem follows from Theorem 2. Let us prove the second statement. Denote by  $\text{Aut}_1(M)$  the group of all automorphisms of the module  $M$ , which act trivially on the submodule  $\mathbb{K}\langle 1 \rangle \subseteq M$ . Let us show that  $\text{Aut}_1(M) \simeq (\mathbb{K}^+)^{m-1}$ , where  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} M$ . Let  $M = \mathbb{K}\langle x^{\lambda^1}, \dots, x^{\lambda^m} \rangle$ , where  $\{\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m\}$  is a set of multi-indices numbering a basis  $\{x^{\lambda^1}, \dots, x^{\lambda^m}\}$  of the monomial module  $M$  (the multi-index  $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0)$  belongs obviously to this set). It is easy to see that an automorphism  $\varphi = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}$  of the module  $T_n$  acts identically on  $M$  if and only if

$$c_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda = (0, \dots, 0) \\ 0, & \lambda \in \{\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m\} \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\} \end{cases}.$$

Obviously, such an automorphism can be written in the form

$$\varphi = \exp\left(\sum_{\lambda \neq \{\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m\}} c_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}\right),$$

and, conversely, automorphism of such a form acts trivially on  $M$ . These automorphisms form the kernel  $\text{Ker } \psi$  of the restriction  $\psi : \text{Aut}_1(T_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_1(M)$  (here  $\text{Aut}_1(T_n)$  denotes the group of automorphisms of  $T_n$ , which act trivially on the submodule  $\mathbb{K}\langle 1 \rangle \subset T_n$ ). Taking into account Lemma 4, we see that the isomorphism  $\ln(1-x) : \mathbb{K}_1[[X]]^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_0[[X]]$  maps the subgroup  $\text{Ker } \psi$  onto the  $\mathbb{K}$ -subspace  $G \subseteq \mathbb{K}_0[[X]]$  with the basis  $\{x^\lambda, \lambda \notin \{\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^m\}\}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbb{K}_0[X]/G \simeq (\mathbb{K}^+)^{m-1}$ , and therefore  $\text{Aut}_1(M) \simeq (\mathbb{K}^+)^{m-1}$ . The last isomorphism implies  $\text{Aut}(M) \simeq \mathbb{K}^* \times (\mathbb{K}^+)^{m-1}$ . Let us prove the last statement. It is obvious that every finite dimensional submodule  $W \subset T_n$  lies in some finite dimensional monomial submodule  $\overline{W}$  of  $T_n$ . Since, by Theorem 3,  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is a quotient of the group  $\text{Aut}(\overline{W})$ , we see (using Lemma 3) that  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is isomorphic to the quotient group of  $\mathbb{K}^* \times (\mathbb{K}^+)^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P.Best, M.Gualtieri, P.Hayden, Orbits of the centralizer of a linear operator, J. Lie Theory, (2012) v.4, 1039-1048.
- [2] I. M. Gelfand, V. A. Ponomarev, Remarks on the classification of a pair of commuting linear transformations in a finite dimensional space, Funkc. Anal. Prilozhen. 3 (1969), no.4, 81–82.
- [3] A. Gonzalez-Lopez, N. Kamran and P. J. Olver, Lie algebras of differential operators in two complex variables Amer. J. Math. (1992) v.114, 1163–1185.
- [4] M.Goto, F.Grosshans, Semisimple Lie algebras, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel, 1978.
- [5] D. Quillen, Projective modules over polynomial rings, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 167–171.
- [6] A.P. Petravchuk, K.Ya. Sysak, Lie algebras associated with modules over polynomial rings, Ukrainian Math. J. 69 (2018), 1433–1444.
- [7] A. Suslin, Projective modules over polynomial rings are free, Translated in "Soviet Mathematics" 17(4) (1976), 1160–1164.

IE.YU.CHAPOVSKYI: DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, 64, VOLODYMYRSKA STREET, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

*E-mail address:* safemacc@gmail.com

ANATOLIY P. PETRAVCHUK: DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND MATHEMATICAL LOGIC, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, TARAS SHEVCHENKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF KYIV, 64, VOLODYMYRSKA STREET, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

*E-mail address:* aptr@univ.kiev.ua , apetrav@gmail.com