

NON-COMMUTATIVE COUNTING INVARIANTS AND CURVE COMPLEXES

GEORGE DIMITROV AND LUDMIL KATZARKOV

Dedicated to the memory of our friends Vasil Tsanov and Vladimir Voevodskij

ABSTRACT. In our previous paper, viewing $D^b(K(l))$ as a non-commutative curve, where $K(l)$ is the Kronecker quiver with l -arrows, we introduced categorical invariants via counting of non-commutative curves. Roughly, these invariants are sets of subcategories in a given category and their quotients. The non-commutative curve-counting invariants are obtained by restricting the subcategories to be equivalent to $D^b(K(l))$. The general definition however defines much larger class of invariants and many of them behave properly with respect to fully faithful functors. Here, after recalling the definition, we focus on examples and extend our studies beyond counting. We enrich our invariants with structures: the inclusion of subcategories makes them partially ordered sets, and on the other hand considering semi-orthogonal pairs of subcategories as edges amounts to directed graphs.

In addition to computing the non-commutative curve-counting invariants in $D^b(Q)$ for two affine quivers, for A_n and for D_4 we derive formulas for counting of the subcategories of type $D^b(A_k)$ in $D^b(A_n)$, whereas for the two affine quivers and for D_4 we determine and count all generated by an exceptional collection subcategories in $D^b(Q)$. It turns out that the problem for counting $D^b(A_k)$ in $D^b(A_n)$ has a geometric combinatorial parallel - counting of maps between polygons.

Estimating the numbers counting non-commutative curves in $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ modulo the group of auto-equivalences we prove finiteness and that the exact determining of these numbers leads to solution of Markov problem. Via homological mirror symmetry this gives a new approach to this problem.

Regarding the structure of a partially ordered set mentioned above we initiate intersection theory of non-commutative curves focusing on the case of non-commutative genus zero.

In the last section we build an analogue of the classical curve complex, introduced by Harvey and Hatcher and used in a spectacular way in Teichmüller theory and Thurston theory by Farb, Minsky, Mazur and many others. The above-mentioned structure of a directed graph (and related simplicial complex) is a categorical analogue of the curve complex. The paper contains pictures of the graphs in many examples and presents also an approach to Markov conjecture via counting of subgraphs in a graph associated with $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

Some of the results proved here were announced in the previous work.

CONTENTS


Appendices	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Notations	8
3. Basics	9
4. Main Definition	11
5. Counting non-commutative curves in a given triangulated category	13
6. Introduction to intersection theory	14
7. Directed graph of subcategories in a given category	16

8. First examples: two affine quivers	18
9. Non-commutative curve counting in $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and Markov conjecture	33
10. Non-commutative counting in $D^b(A_{n+1})$	36
11. Non-commutative counting in $D^b(D_4)$	56
12. Examples for intersection relations	61
13. Examples of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\mathcal{J} = \{N\mathbb{P}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}$	63

1. INTRODUCTION

In [11] we introduced some categorical invariants via counting of fully faithful exact functors. In this paper we focus on examples and extend our studies beyond counting. Here these invariants produce many numbers and on the other hand they evolve into categorical versions of classical geometric structures.

The examples establish connections with number theory and classical geometry. In one of the examples we show that the computation of the counting invariants is equivalent to computing the number of equivalence classes (up to rotation) of $k+1$ subgons in a regular $n+1$ -gon (see subsection 10.6), for example there are 4 equivalence classes of 3-subgons in a regular 6-gon and they are:



In Section 9 we show a new approach to an old conjecture in number theory - the so called Markov conjecture.

We equip our invariants with a structure of a partially ordered set (Section 6) and of a directed graph (Section 7). In particular, Section 6 concerns intersection of the objects which we count in the previous sections. The “geometry” of intersections in $D^b(D_4)$ surprisingly relates to a theorem for concurrent lines¹ in the Euclidean plane. The structure of a directed graph (and related simplicial complex) is a categorical analogue of the curve complex used in Teichmüller and Thurston theory.


The idea of non-commutative counting, introduced in [11], is unfolded here in Section 4: for a triangulated category \mathcal{T} , a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ of exact equivalences, and a choice of some restrictions P on fully faithful functors we define the set of subcategories of \mathcal{T} , which are equivalent to another chosen triangulated category \mathcal{A} via an equivalence which satisfies P , and modulo Γ . The defined in Section 4 set of equivalence classes of subcategories in \mathcal{T} we denote by $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$.

We discuss $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ for concrete choices of $\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \mathcal{T}, P$.

When the categories \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} are \mathbb{K} -linear, choosing the property P imposed on the functors as follows: *to be \mathbb{K} -linear*, and restricting Γ to be subgroup of the group of \mathbb{K} -linear auto-equivalences results in the set $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$, which we denote by $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ (see Definition 4.3 and Lemmas 4.6, 4.8).

We prefer to choose first some \mathcal{A} , which is non-trivial but well studied. Following Kontsevich-Rosenberg [16] we denote $D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(K(l+1)))$ by $N\mathbb{P}^l$ for $l \geq -1$. For $l \geq 0$ we refer to $N\mathbb{P}^l$ as to a non-commutative curve and to $\dim_{nc}(N\mathbb{P}^l) = l$ as to its *non-commutative genus* (see [11,

¹by concurrent lines we mean three or more lines in a plane which intersect at a single point.

FIGURE 1. $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^l)$

Remark 12.2] for further motivation and [11, Section 12.1] for the definition of \dim_{nc}). For a \mathbb{K} -linear category \mathcal{T} and any $l \geq -1$ we denote $C_{N\mathbb{P}^l, \mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ by $C_l^\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ and refer to this set as the set of non-commutative curves, sometimes nc curves for short, of non-commutative genus l in \mathcal{T} modulo Γ . Note that $N\mathbb{P}^{-1}$ has homological dimension 0 and one should think of the ‘‘curves’’ of non-commutative genus -1 as a kind of degenerate curves. Sometimes, when it is clear from the context that non-commutative genus is meant, we omit writing ‘‘non-commutative’’ before genus.

In Section 6 we start by extending the definition of the set $C_{\mathcal{A}, P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ to define a set $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ which consists of subcategories of \mathcal{T} which are allowed to be equivalent not only to a single category \mathcal{A} but to any category $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$, where \mathcal{J} is a family of pairwise non-equivalent categories. The set $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ carries a structure of a partially ordered set (see Definition 6.1). We refer to the elements in $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ as to derived points (these are subcategories in \mathcal{T} generated by a single exceptional object as explained in (22) and Remark 5.6). Any genus 0 non-commutative curve contains exactly three derived points and any two of them span the curve (Lemma 6.5). If the genus of one of two different nc curves is less than or equal to 0, then they intersect (see Definition 6.4 for intersection) either trivially or in a single derived point in \mathcal{T} (Lemma 6.6). Therefore in the cases $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$ any two $x, y \in C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ have a greatest lower bound in $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ (Corollary 6.8).

In Section 7 we enrich the partially ordered set $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ defined in Section 6 with a structure of a directed graph, denoted by $G_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ (what we mean by directed graph is explained in the beginning of Section 7). The vertices of $G_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ are $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ whereas the edges are pairs $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ of subcategories such that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1) = 0$. We consider also a simplicial complex $SC_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ whose simplexes are finite subsets in $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ which can be ordered in a semi-orthogonal sequences (the information for this simplicial complex is contained in the digraph).

A good feature of $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ proved here is (see Proposition 4.7 and Corollaries 7.3, 7.4), and Subsection 7.1 (b):

Theorem 1.1. *Any fully faithful exact \mathbb{K} -linear functor $\mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2$ induces an embedding of $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ into $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ preserving the additional structures introduced in Sections 6, 7.*

It follows that, if $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition, then $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are embedded in $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ and they intersect trivially in $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

The graphs $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^l)$ are shown in Figure 1 (see subsection 10.8). Further examples in this paper are as follows. Let \mathbb{K} be algebraically closed field. Till the end of introduction the categories will be \mathbb{K} -linear and when writing $C_{\mathcal{J}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ we mean $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$. Let $\mathcal{T}_i = D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, where:

$$(2) \quad Q_1 = \begin{array}{ccc} & 2 & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 3 \end{array} \quad Q_2 = \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & \longrightarrow & 3 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 4 \end{array}$$

In Section 8 we determine $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ for any \mathcal{A} which is generated by an exceptional collection and describe the directed graphs $G_{D^b(A_i)}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. In the case when \mathcal{A} is generated by an exceptional pair any non-trivial $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ must be of the form $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ for $-1 \leq l \leq 1$ (Remarks 8.2, 8.3) and the cardinalities are as follows (here S denotes the Serre Functor):

$$(3) \quad |C_l^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_1)| = \begin{array}{c|ccc} & \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \\ \hline l & & & & \\ \hline -1 & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & \infty & 3 & 1 \\ \hline +1 & & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \quad |C_l^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_2)| = \begin{array}{c|ccc} & \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2) \\ \hline l & & & & \\ \hline -1 & & \infty & 4 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & & \infty & 8 & 1 \\ \hline +1 & & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$(4) \quad l \geq 2 \Rightarrow |C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)| = |C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)| = 0.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a category generated by an exceptional triple. Since each exceptional triple in \mathcal{T}_1 is full (proved in [6]), $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ is non-trivial only if $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{T}_1$. We show that non-trivial $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ is obtained only for $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_3)$ and $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(Q_1)$ (see Corollary 8.16) and the cardinalities are:

$$(5) \quad \left| C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(D^b(Q_2)) \right| = \begin{array}{c|ccc} & \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \\ \hline \mathcal{A} & & & & \\ \hline D^b(A_3) & & \infty & 4 & 1 \\ \hline D^b(Q_1) & & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{array}.$$

The numbers in the column for $\{\text{Id}\}$ in tables (3), (5) imply that $|C_{D^b(Q),\mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(D^b(Q_i))| < \infty$, for any affine acyclic quiver Q (i.e. with underlying graph an extended Dynkin diagram) and for $i = 1, 2$. In another note, [12], using results by Geigle, Lenzning, Meltzer, Hübner for weighted projective lines we show that for any two affine acyclic quivers Q, Q' there are only finitely many triangulated subcategories in $D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q))$, which are equivalent to $D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q'))$, i.e. $|C_{D^b(Q'),\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q))| < \infty$, furthermore $\left| C_{D^b(Q'),\mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}(D^b(Q))}(D^b(Q)) \right| = 1$.

In Section 9 we prove that if we denote $\mathcal{T} = D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (here we fix $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) and $\langle S \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ the subgroup generated by the Serre functor, then $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset$, $\forall l \geq 0$ the set $C_l^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T})$ is finite, and:

$$\left\{ l \geq 0 : C_l^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset \right\} = \left\{ l \geq 0 : C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset \right\} = \{3m - 1 : m \text{ is a Markov number}\}.$$

Furthermore, for any Markov number² m we have

$$(6) \quad \left| C_{3m-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \right| = \infty$$

$$(7) \quad 1 \leq \left| C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T}) \right| = \left| \left\{ y : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq y < m, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and there exists an} \\ \text{exceptional vector bundle } E \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^2, \\ \text{with } r(E) = m, y = c_1(E) \end{array} \right\} \right| \leq m,$$

$$(8) \quad 3 \leq \left| C_{3m-1}^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}) \right| = 3 \left| C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T}) \right| \leq 3m,$$

where $c_1(E)$, $r(E)$ are the first Chern class (which we consider as an integer) and the rank of E .

²Recall that a Markov number x is a number $x \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that there exist integers y, z with $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 3xyz$.

In Section 9 are computed the first several non-trivial $\left|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})\right|$, they are (recall that m is a Markov number and on the first row are listed the first 9 Markov numbers):

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{c|cccccccccc} & m & 1 & 2 & 5 & 13 & 29 & 34 & 89 & 169 & 194 \\ \hline & \left|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})\right| & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array}$$

We also prove that the so called Tyurin conjecture, which is equivalent to the Markov conjecture ([20, p. 100] or [14, Section 7.2.3]), is equivalent to the following statement: for all Markov numbers $m \neq 1, m \neq 2$ we have $\left|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})\right| = 2$, and this is equivalent to the statement: for all Markov numbers $m \neq 1, m \neq 2$ we have $\left|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})\right| \leq 2$. Via the latter statement one could approach Markov conjecture using homological mirror symmetry and applying A side techniques for computing the non-commutative curve-counting invariants for $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

Under some conditions we can embed $C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ in a certain set of equivalence classes of subgraphs in the directed graph $G_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{T})$, where \mathcal{A} is fixed and \mathcal{J} is a family of pairwise non-equivalent categories (Proposition 7.5) and this observation gives an approach to Markov conjecture by counting subgraphs in $G_{D^b(A_1)}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$ up to action of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$ as explained in Proposition 9.3.

When writing $D^b(A_n)$ or $D^b(D_4)$ we mean categories obtained by any choice of orientations (changing the orientation gives equivalent categories [17, Section 5]). Due to the simple structure of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))$ (determined in [17]) holds $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{S\}}(D^b(A_n)) \cong C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))}(D^b(A_n))$ for the formulas that follow, where S is the Serre functor of $D^b(A_n)$.

In Section 10 we obtain formulas for $\left|C_{D^b(A_k)}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_n))\right|$ and $\left|C_{D^b(A_k), \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))}(D^b(A_n))\right|$ as follows: for $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ the set $C_{D^b(A_k)}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_n))$ is non-empty iff $k \leq n$ and $\left|C_{D^b(A_k)}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_n))\right| = \binom{n+1}{k+1}$; for $1 \leq k < n$ let us denote $D = g.c.d.(k+1, n+1)$, then:


$$(10) \quad \left|C_{D^b(A_k), \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))}(D^b(A_n))\right| = \sum_{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x,y): \\ x, y, x/y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ x, y \text{ are divisors of } D \end{array} \right\}} \frac{D\mu(x/y)}{(k+1)x} \left(\frac{y^{\frac{n+1}{D}} - 1}{y^{\frac{k+1}{D}} - 1} \right),$$

where the summands are binomial coefficients and $\mu(x)$ is the Möbius function.

For short in the following formulas we write $c_{k,n}$ instead of $\left|C_{D^b(A_k), \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))}(D^b(A_n))\right|$. Some special cases, in which (10) has simple form are explained in Corollaries 10.37, 10.38. For example:

- (a) if $k+1, n+1$ are coprime, $c_{k,n} = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k+1}$;
- (b) if $g.c.d.(n+1, k+1) = p$ is prime, then $c_{k,n} = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} + \frac{p-1}{k+1} \binom{(n+1)/p-1}{(k+1)/p-1}$;
- (c) in particular, if $k+1$ is prime, then $c_{k,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+1}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+1}{k+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$;
- (d) if $n = k + \Delta$, where Δ is 1 or prime, then $c_{k,k+\Delta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta} \binom{k+\Delta}{\Delta-1} & \text{if } \frac{k+1}{\Delta} \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} \binom{k+\Delta}{\Delta-1} + \frac{\Delta-1}{\Delta} & \text{if } \frac{k+1}{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$.

Corollary 10.40 shows that the numbers $c_{k,n}$ have a geometric combinatorial meaning explained before (1). For example, using (b) above we compute $c_{2,5} = 4$, which by Corollary 10.40 is equal the number of equivalence classes (up to rotation) of 3-subgons in a regular 6-gon depicted in (1).

FIGURE 2. $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_3))$

Another example is demonstrated in Example 10.41. To compute the number of equivalence classes (up to rotation) of $k + 1$ subgons in a regular $n + 1$ -gon one could use combinatorial technique developed by Redfield–Pólya [21], however we compute them without referring to it.

In Subsection 10.7 are determined the numbers $|C_l^\Gamma(D^b(A_n))|$ for any $l \geq -1$. The genus 0 case follows from (10), see (c) with $k = 2$, whereas the genus -1 case is

$$(11) \quad |C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_n))| = 2 \binom{n+1}{4} \quad |C_{-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_n))}(D^b(A_n))| = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{12} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(n-1)((n-1)^2+2)}{12} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

In the end of Section 10 we show that the graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_3))$ is as in Figure 2.

In Section 11 we determine $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(D_4))$ for any \mathcal{A} which is generated by an exceptional collection and describe the graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$. In the case when $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_1)$ we have:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle \kappa \rangle & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) \\ \hline C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(D^b(D_4)) & 12 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

where κ is the auto-equivalence of \mathcal{T} due to the rotational symmetry of the diagram D_4 . In the case when \mathcal{A} is generated by an exceptional pair, then any non-trivial $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ must be of the form $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ for $-1 \leq l \leq 0$ (Remark 10.5) and the cardinalities are as follows:

$$(13) \quad |C_l^\Gamma(D^b(D_4))| = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle \kappa \rangle & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) \\ \hline l & & & & \\ \hline -1 & 9 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 15 & 5 & 5 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

In the case when \mathcal{A} is generated by an exceptional triple, then non-trivial $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ is obtained only for $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_3)$ and $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1)$ and the cardinalities are (Proposition 11.9):

$$(14) \quad |C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(D^b(D_4))| = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \Gamma & \{\text{Id}\} & \langle \kappa \rangle & \langle S \rangle & \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \\ \hline \mathcal{A} & & & & \\ \hline D^b(A_3) & 9 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ \hline D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$




FIGURE 3. Intersection relations of the genus zero non-commutative curves in $D^b(A_3)$

In Section 12 we study the intersection relations of genus zero nc curves in $D^b(A_3)$ and $D^b(D_4)$ and depict them in Figures 3 and 4 (here the genus 0 nc curves are presented by lines and the derived points are presented by black dots). The fact that each of three triples of diagonals in Figure 4 is concurrent (shares a single point) is a theorem from Euclidean geometry which is in a surprising coherence with the geometry of genus zero nc curves in $D^b(D_4)$. For more details we refer to Subsection 12.

In the last section 13 we draw the graphs $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ for $\mathcal{J} = \{N\mathbb{P}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}$ and $\mathcal{T} = D^b(Q_2)$, $D^b(D_4)$.

The invariants $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ and $SC_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ are a categorical analogue of curve complexes. We would like to apply these analogues to the following problems in future works:

- (1) Markov Conjecture: $\left| C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)) \right| = 2$, $m \neq 1, m \neq 2$
- (2) Orlov spectrum: More precisely, we plan to compute Orlov spectrum in new examples. Studying the new invariants in some categories deepens our knowledge for their structure, the gained information will be used as a step towards computing the Orlov spectrum.
- (3) Iskovskikh Conjecture: Let $X \neq 3 \dim$ cubic and let X be a conic bundle. Then X is not rational if and only if $|2K_X + C| \neq \emptyset$.

By their virtues curve complexes behave well in families and they are retracts of Teichmüller spaces. It is reasonable to expect that the categorical analogues of curve complexes are retracts of moduli spaces of stability conditions, and then these categorical analogues and stability conditions should behave well in families.

Acknowledgements:

The authors are thankful to Christian Krattenthaler for bringing to their attention Redfield–Pólya theory. The authors are thankful to Alexander Efimov, Maxim Kontsevich, So Okada, and Tony Pantev for their encouraging remarks. The authors are thankful to Denis Auroux and Paul Seidel for useful conversations.

FUNDING:

The first author was supported by Austrian Science Fund [Grant numbers: P 29178-N35, P 27784].

The second author was supported by National Science Foundation [Grant numbers: DMS 150908, DMS 1265230, DMS 1201475]; Simons Foundation [Grant numbers: Investigator Award AWD-003136, Collaboration Award No. AWD-003093]; National Research University Higher School of




FIGURE 4. Intersection of the genus zero non-commutative curves in $D^b(D_4)$

Economics [Grant number: HMS and automorphic forms]; Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations [Grant numbers: Government grant No 14.641.31.0001].

2. NOTATIONS

We fix a universe and assume that the set of objects and the set of morphisms of any category we consider are elements of this universe.

The shift functor in a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is designated sometimes by $[1]$.

A *trivial triangulated category* is a triangulated category which has only zero objects (for example a category with a single object and a single endomorphism of this object).³

A *triangulated subcategory* in a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is a non-empty full subcategory \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{T} , s. t. two conditions hold:

(a) $Ob(\mathcal{B})[1] = Ob(\mathcal{B})$;

(b) for any $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{B})$ and distinguished triangle $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X[1]$ in \mathcal{T} follows $Z \in Ob(\mathcal{B})$.

We write $\langle S \rangle \subset \mathcal{T}$ for the triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} generated by S , when $S \subset Ob(\mathcal{T})$. We write $\text{Hom}^i(X, Y)$ for $\text{Hom}(X, Y[i])$. In this paper \mathbb{K} denotes a field. If \mathcal{T} is \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated category we write $\text{hom}^i(X, Y)$ for $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\text{Hom}(X, Y[i]))$, where $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$.

³Note that in a trivial triangulated category all triangles are distinguished

A \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated category \mathcal{T} is called *proper* if $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{hom}^i(X, Y) < +\infty$ for any two objects X, Y in \mathcal{T} .

An *exceptional object* in a \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated category is an object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\text{Hom}^i(E, E) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $\text{Hom}(E, E) = \mathbb{K}$. We denote by \mathcal{T}_{exc} the set of all exceptional objects in \mathcal{T} ,

We will often write *ffe functor* instead of fully faithful exact functor in the sequel.

An *exceptional collection* is a sequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \dots, E_n) \subset \mathcal{T}_{exc}$ satisfying $\text{hom}^*(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for $i > j$. If in addition we have $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = \mathcal{T}$, then \mathcal{E} will be called a full exceptional collection. For a vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ we denote $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{p}] = (E_0[p_0], E_1[p_1], \dots, E_n[p_n])$. Obviously $\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{p}]$ is also an exceptional collection.

If an exceptional collection $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \dots, E_n) \subset \mathcal{T}_{exc}$ satisfies $\text{hom}^k(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for any i, j and for $k \neq 0$, then it is said to be *strong exceptional collection*.

For exceptional collections $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ of equal length we write $\mathcal{E}_1 \sim \mathcal{E}_2$ if $\mathcal{E}_2 \cong \mathcal{E}_1[\mathbf{p}]$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

An abelian category \mathcal{A} is said to be hereditary, if $\text{Ext}^i(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \geq 2$, it is said to be of finite length, if it is Artinian and Noetherian.

By Q we denote an acyclic quiver and by $D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q))$, or just $D^b(Q)$, - the derived category of the category of \mathbb{K} -representations of Q .

For an integer $l \geq 1$ the l -Kronecker quiver (the quiver with two vertices and l parallel arrows) will be denoted by $K(l)$.

For a subset $S \subset G$ of a group G we denote by $\langle S \rangle \subset G$ the subgroup generated by S .

Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a positive integer, let $x = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{t_i}$ be the prime factorization of x , denote $\omega(x) = n$ (in particular $\omega(1) = 0$), whereas denote $\Omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$, finally recall that the Möbius function is:

$$(15) \quad \mu(x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\omega(x)} & \text{if } \omega(x) = \Omega(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The number of elements of a finite set X we denote by $|X|$ or by $\#(X)$.

For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $\text{g.c.d.}(a, b)$ the greatest common divisor of a, b .

3. BASICS

3.1. A combinatorial identity. The following lemma is well known and we will use it extensively:

Lemma 3.1. *For any $k \geq 1, n \geq 0$ holds the equality:*

$$(16) \quad \left| \left\{ \{1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{0, 1, \dots, n\} : 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq n \right\} \right| = \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

Proof. There is a bijection from the set we consider to the set

$\left\{ \{1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{u} \{0, 1, \dots, n+k-1\} : 0 \leq u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_k \leq n+k-1 \right\}$, which assigns $\alpha \mapsto \{\alpha_j + j - 1\}_{j=1}^k$; and the lemma follows. \square

3.2. Exact functors, graded natural transformations, exact equivalences. We start with some well known facts about exact functors between triangulated categories. In this section we do not presume that the triangulated categories are linear over a field.

The full definitions of an *exact functor between triangulated categories* and of a *graded natural transformation between two such functors* can be found in the literature and we omit these here. We have performed all the proofs of the statements in this section, however we omit these proofs, since they should be available in the literature.

Recall that an exact functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are triangulated categories, is actually a pair of an additive functor Fun and a natural isomorphism between the functors $Fun \circ T_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{B}} \circ Fun$ subject to some axioms, where $T_{\mathcal{A}}, T_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the translation functors of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. There is a natural definition of composition of exact functors (the underlying functor of the composition is composition of the underlying functors) and one shows that this composition rule is associative.

A *graded natural transformation* between two exact functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{B}$ is a natural transformation subject to an additional condition (compatibility with the natural isomorphisms, which are part of the data in F and F'). The exact functors between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , viewed as objects, and the graded natural transformations, viewed as morphisms, form a category. We write $F \cong F'$ if F, F' are isomorphic in this category, \cong is an equivalence relation. A graded natural transformation is isomorphism in this category iff it is isomorphism between the underlying functors. The following statements also hold:

An *exact equivalence* between two triangulated categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is an exact functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ such that there exists another exact functor $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{A}$, s. t. $G \circ F \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}, F \circ G \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G'} \mathcal{C}$ be exact functors. If $F \cong F', G \cong G'$, then $G \circ F \cong G' \circ F'$.*

Lemma 3.3. *An exact functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ is an exact equivalence iff the underlying functor in F is an equivalence between categories. In particular composition of exact equivalences is an exact equivalence.*

Remark 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be triangulated categories linear over a field \mathbb{K} .*

If $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ is an exact equivalence, which is \mathbb{K} -linear, then any exact functor $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{A}$, s. t. $G \circ F \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}, F \circ G \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is \mathbb{K} -linear as well.

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ be an exact \mathbb{K} -linear functor and $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor. If $F \cong F'$, then F' is also \mathbb{K} -linear.

Composition of \mathbb{K} -linear exact functors is also \mathbb{K} -linear.

Definition 3.5. *For a triangulated category \mathcal{T} we denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ the set of equivalence classes $\{\text{exact equivalences from } \mathcal{T} \text{ to } \mathcal{T}\} / \cong$. From Lemma 3.2 and the previous discussion it follows that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ is group with the operations: $[F] \circ [G] = [F \circ G]$ and unit $[\text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}}]$.*

If \mathcal{T} is \mathbb{K} -linear for some field \mathbb{K} , then from Remark 3.4 it follows that $\{[F] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}) : F \text{ is } \mathbb{K}\text{-linear}\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$, which we denote by $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

3.3. Fully faithful exact functors (ffe functors). When we say for a functor that it is fully faithful exact functor (ffe functor for short), we mean that the underlying functor is fully faithful.

By straightforward combining the definitions of exact functor between triangulated categories, fully faithful functor, and the axioms of a triangulated category one can show that:

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ be a fully faithful exact functor. Let $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a full triangulated subcategory. ⁴ Then the triangulated subcategory $\langle \{F(X) : X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}')\} \rangle$ generated by the set of objects $F(\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}'))$ is equal to the full subcategory with objects: $\{X \in \mathcal{B} : \exists A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}') \text{ s.t. } F(A) \cong X\}$. We will denote this subcategory of \mathcal{B} by $F(\mathcal{A}')$. Obviously, if $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$ is the trivial subcategory*

⁴recall that any full triangulated category is closed under isomorphisms

of \mathcal{A} (the subcategory whose objects are all zero objects), then $F(\mathcal{A})$ is the trivial subcategory of \mathcal{B} . We will denote $F(\mathcal{A})$ by $\text{Im}(F)$.

Remark 3.7. One can show that for any triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A}$:

if $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C}$ are ffe functors, then $G \circ F(\mathcal{A}') = G(F(\mathcal{A}'))$;

if $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{B}$ is ffe functor with a graded natural isomorphism $F \cong H$, then $F(\mathcal{A}') = H(\mathcal{A}')$;

for any two full triangulated subcategories $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ iff $F(\mathcal{A}_1) \subset F(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Remark 3.8. It is straightforward to show also that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a triangulated subcategory and $[\alpha] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$, then $\alpha(\mathcal{A}^\perp) = \alpha(\mathcal{A})^\perp$.

Corollary 3.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ be a ffe functor. Then by restricting the underlying functor we obtain a functor $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \text{Im}(F)$. We claim that this restricted functor together with the same natural isomorphism contained in F , defines an exact equivalence, which we denote by $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F|} \text{Im}(F)$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F|} \text{Im}(F)$ is an exact functor follows directly from the definitions. Since the underlying functor is fully faithful and by the way we defined $\text{Im}(F)$ in Lemma 3.6 it follows that the underlying functor is equivalence of categories. The corollary follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.10. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{B}$ be as in Lemma 3.6. If $\text{Im}(F) = \text{Im}(G)$, then there exists $[\alpha] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, s.t. $F \cong G \circ \alpha$. If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, F, G$ are \mathbb{K} -linear, then $[\alpha] \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let us denote $\mathcal{T} = \text{Im}(F) = \text{Im}(G)$. From the previous Corollary we get restrictions $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F|, G|} \mathcal{T}$ which are exact equivalences. Hence there are exact functors $F|^{-1}, G|^{-1}$, s.t. $F|^{-1} \circ F| \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong G|^{-1} \circ G|$, $F| \circ F|^{-1} \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}} \cong G| \circ G|^{-1}$. From Lemma 3.2 and associativity we see that $(F|^{-1} \circ G|) \circ (G|^{-1} \circ F|) \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{A}} \cong (G|^{-1} \circ F|) \circ (F|^{-1} \circ G|)$, $G| \circ (G|^{-1} \circ F|) \cong F|$, therefore $\alpha = G|^{-1} \circ F|$ is an exact equivalence such that $G| \circ \alpha \cong F|$. Since $F = j \circ F|$, $G = j \circ G|$, where $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{B}$, is the embedding functor, it follows that $F \cong G \circ \alpha$. Finally, if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, F, G$ are \mathbb{K} -linear, then $F|, G|$ are \mathbb{K} -linear exact equivalences and the last statement follows from Remark 3.4. \square

The following lemma is also easy to prove:

Lemma 3.11. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ be a fully faithful exact functor. Let \mathcal{J} be a family of non-empty subsets of $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$, then $F(\langle \cup_{S \in \mathcal{J}} S \rangle) = \langle \cup_{S \in \mathcal{J}} F_{\text{ob}}(S) \rangle$, where $F(\langle \cup_{S \in \mathcal{J}} S \rangle)$ is as in Lemma 3.6, F_{ob} is the function determining which object from \mathcal{B} is assigned to any object in \mathcal{A} via the functor F , and $F_{\text{ob}}(S)$ is the set-theoretical image of the subset S via F_{ob} .

4. MAIN DEFINITION

Here as in the previous section we do not presume that the triangulated categories are linear over a field. We note first that

Lemma 4.1. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} be triangulated categories. Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ be subgroups. Let S be a set, whose elements are exact functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{T} . Then the following relation

$$(17) \quad F, F' \in S \quad F \sim F' \iff F \circ \alpha \cong \beta \circ F' \text{ for some } [\alpha] \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}, [\beta] \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}.$$

is an equivalence relation on S .

Proof. Follows by combining of Lemmas 3.2, the associativity of composition of exact functors and Definition 3.5. \square

When we apply this lemma, we will have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, as in the following:

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} be triangulated categories. And let $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ be subgroup of the group of autoequivalences. We denote

$$(18) \quad C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T}) = \{\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T} : F \text{ is fully faithful exact functor satisfying property } P\}.$$

Here we need P to be a property of fully faithful functors, such that (18) is a well defined set, an example is in Definition 4.3. We fix an equivalence relation in $C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$, and define another set:

$$(19) \quad C^{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T}) = C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})/\sim \quad F \sim F' \iff F \circ \alpha \cong \beta \circ F' \text{ for some } [\alpha] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}), [\beta] \in \Gamma$$

where $F \circ \alpha \cong \beta \circ F'$ means graded equivalence of exact functors discussed in Subsection 3.2.

Definition 4.3. If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} are \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated categories, and the property P of F is: “ F is \mathbb{K} -linear”, then we denote the corresponding sets defined in Definition 4.2 by $C'_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$, $C^{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

Remark 4.4. If \mathcal{A} is trivial category, and the set $C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$ in Definition 4.2 is non-empty, then $C^{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$ has a single element for any Γ . In particular, if \mathcal{A} is a trivial category in Definition 4.3, then $C^{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ has a single element for any Γ .

Remark 4.5. For any ffe functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T}$ and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ with P in Definition 4.3 hold:

- (a) F satisfies P iff its restriction $F|_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Im}(F)$ satisfies P ;
- (b) For any $[\beta] \in \Gamma$, if F satisfies P then $\beta \circ F$ also satisfies P .

Lemma 4.6. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} be as in Definition 4.2. Let P satisfy (a) in Remark 4.5. There is a well defined function

$$(20) \quad C^{\{\text{Id}\}}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{B} \text{ is a full tr. subcategory s.t.} \\ \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T} : \text{ there exists an exact equivalence} \\ \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{B} \text{ satisfying property } P \end{array} \right\} \quad C^{\{\text{Id}\}}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T}) \ni [F] \mapsto \text{Im}(F)$$

where $\text{Im}(F)$ is explained in Lemma 3.6. The function is bijection.

Proof. From Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9, and by (a) in Remark 4.5 it follows that $\text{Im}(F)$ is an element of the codomain for any $F \in C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$. If $F, F' \in C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$ and $F \cong F' \circ \alpha$ for some $[\alpha] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\text{Im}(F) = \text{Im}(F')$, therefore the function is well defined. Lemma 3.10 implies that the function is injective. If $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is an element of the codomain with equivalence G , then $\text{Im}(j \circ G) = \mathcal{B}$ ⁵, and $(j \circ G)|_{\mathcal{A}} = G$ and again by (a) in Remark 4.5 $j \circ G \in C'_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$, therefore $[j \circ G] \in C^{\{\text{Id}\}}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\mathcal{T})$ is mapped via the function defined here to \mathcal{B} , hence the function is surjective. \square

Proposition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{T}_1 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_2 \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{T}_3$ be ffe functors. Let \mathcal{A} be a triangulated category.

Let P be a property of ffe functors such that:

- (a) any ffe functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T}_i$ satisfies P iff its restriction $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F|_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{T}_i$ satisfies P for $i = 1, 2, 3$;
- (b) for any ffe functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T}_i$ with P it follows that $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F_i \circ F} \mathcal{T}_{i+1}$ has P for $i = 1, 2$.

⁵ j is the embedding functor of \mathcal{B} , recall also that each triangulated subcategory is isomorphism closed

Then there is a well defined injective map: $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \xrightarrow{F_{1*}} C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ defined by $[F] \mapsto [F_1 \circ F]$, which via the bijection (20) translates to a map defined by $\mathcal{B} \mapsto F_1(\mathcal{B})$.

In particular, we have also injections $F_{2*}, (F_2 \circ F_1)_*$. Furthermore, we have $(F_2 \circ F_1)_* = F_{2*} \circ F_{1*}$.

Proof. The fact that the function is well defined follows from the assumption for P . From the associativity of the composition, and from Lemma 3.2. Let $[F_1 \circ F] = [F_1 \circ F']$ in $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Then from Lemma 4.6 it follows that $\text{Im}(F_1 \circ F) = \text{Im}(F_1 \circ F')$ in \mathcal{T}_2 , hence, see Remark 3.7, $F_1(\text{Im}(F)) = F_1(\text{Im}(F'))$, and the fully-faithfulness of F_1 implies that $\text{Im}(F) = \text{Im}(F')$ in \mathcal{T}_1 , and now Lemma 3.2 implies that $[F] = [F']$ in $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Thus, we proved that the function is injective.

The last statement follows from the associativity of composition of exact functors. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, P, \Gamma$ be as in Definition 4.2. Let P, Γ satisfy (a), (b) in Remark 4.5.*

There is a group action $\Gamma \times C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ defined by $([\beta], [F]_{\{\text{Id}\}}) \mapsto [\beta \circ F]_{\{\text{Id}\}}$, which via the bijection (20) translates to action on the codomain defined by $([\beta], \mathcal{B}) \mapsto \beta(\mathcal{B})$.

The natural projection $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ descends to a bijection $C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})/\Gamma \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$.

In particular, if \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} are \mathbb{K} -linear and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$, then we get a bijection (see Definition 4.3) $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})/\Gamma \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$.

Proof. The first part follows from Proposition 4.7. The rest is also easy combining of facts from subsections 3.2 and 3.3 and (a), (b) in Remark 4.5. \square

5. COUNTING NON-COMMUTATIVE CURVES IN A GIVEN TRIANGULATED CATEGORY

Following Kontsevich-Rosenberg [16] we denote $D^b(K(l+1))$ by $N\mathbb{P}^l$ for $l \geq -1$, this is a \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated category. In this Section we specialize the Definition 4.2 by choice $\mathcal{A} = N\mathbb{P}^l$.

Remark 5.1. *For each $l \geq -1$ $N\mathbb{P}^l$ has a full strong exceptional pair (A, B) . Each exceptional pair in $N\mathbb{P}^l$ is full (follows from [6]). Each strong exceptional pair (A, B) has $\text{hom}(A, B) = l + 1$.*

Remark 5.2. *Let $l \geq -1$ and \mathcal{T} be any triangulated category linear over \mathbb{K} , let $N\mathbb{P}^l \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T}$ be any fully faithful exact \mathbb{K} -linear functor. Then $\text{Im}(F)$ is a triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} generated by two exceptional objects, hence due to [2, Theorem 3.2] the functor F has left and right adjoints, the subcategory $\mathcal{A} = \text{Im}(F)$ is admissible, and there are SOD $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\perp \rangle$, $\mathcal{T} = \langle {}^\perp \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$, in particular \mathcal{A} is closed under direct summands.*

Remark 5.3. *From the previous remarks it follows that, if for some integer $j \geq -1$ there exists a ffe \mathbb{K} -linear functor $N\mathbb{P}^j \xrightarrow{F} N\mathbb{P}^l$, then $l = j$ and F is an exact equivalence.*

Definition 5.4. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and let \mathcal{T} be any triangulated category linear over \mathbb{K} . And let $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ (see Definition 3.5). We denote $C_{N\mathbb{P}^l, \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ (defined in Definition 4.3) by $C_l^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ and refer to the elements of $C_l^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ as to non-commutative curves of non-commutative genus l in \mathcal{T} modulo Γ . Unless explicitly specified otherwise, writing genus in this paper we always mean non-commutative genus in the sense explained here.*

Proposition 5.5. *Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category linear over \mathbb{K} . Let \mathcal{T} admits an enhancement. Let $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ be as in Definition 5.4. Then the bijection from Lemma 4.6 can be described as follows:*

$$(21) \quad C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \left\{ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} \text{ is a full tr. subcategory s.t. } \mathcal{A} = \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \\ \text{for some strong exceptional pair } (E_1, E_2) \\ \text{s.t. } \text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = l + 1 \end{array} \right\} [F] \mapsto \text{Im}(F).$$

For any full exceptional pair (A, B) in $N\mathbb{P}^l$ this function is defined by the assignment:

$$C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}} \ni [F] \mapsto \langle F(A), F(B) \rangle.$$

When $\mathcal{A} = D^b(A_1) = D^b(\text{point})$, then the bijection from Lemma 4.6 can be described as follows:

$$(22) \quad C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \left\{ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A} \text{ is a full tr. subcategory s.t. } \mathcal{A} = \langle E \rangle \\ \text{for some exceptional object } E \end{array} \right\} [F] \mapsto \text{Im}(F).$$

Proof. $N\mathbb{P}^l$ has a full strong exceptional pair (A, B) with $\text{hom}(A, B) = l + 1$ (Remark 5.1). The definition of a fully faithful exact \mathbb{K} -linear functor implies that $(F(A), F(B))$ is a strong exceptional collection in \mathcal{T} with $\text{hom}(F(A), F(B)) = l + 1$, furthermore also quickly from the definitions one shows that $\text{Im}(F) = \langle F(A), F(B) \rangle$, so we see that $\text{Im}(F)$ is indeed in the codomain in (21) and that the last statement in the Proposition holds.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be an element of the codomain of (21), therefore it has a full strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) . Since \mathcal{T} admits an enhancement, it follows that \mathcal{A} also admits an enhancement. Now we can apply [19, Corollary 1.9] to \mathcal{A} , and we conclude that there is an exact \mathbb{K} -linear equivalence $N\mathbb{P}^l \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A}$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is in the codomain of the bijection of Lemma 4.6 and the proposition is proved. The proof of (22) is analogous. \square

Remark 5.6. *The bijection (22) can be proved for any proper \mathbb{K} -linear category \mathcal{T} , without restricting it to have an enhancement.*

6. INTRODUCTION TO INTERSECTION THEORY

6.1. Definition of $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ for a family of categories \mathcal{J} and partial order in $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$. Taking into account Lemma 4.6 we can extend Definition 4.2 to the case of family of source categories as follows:

Definition 6.1. *Let \mathcal{J} be a small set of of pairwise non-equivalent triangulated categories, let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category. Let P be a property of fully faithful functors from \mathcal{A} to subcategories in \mathcal{T} satisfying (a) in Remark 4.5 for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$. From this data we define a partially ordered set $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ as follows. The set is:*

$$C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T}) = \left\{ \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T} : \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{B} \text{ is a full tr. subcategory s.t. for some } \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{there exists an exact equivalence} \\ \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{B} \text{ satisfying property } P \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define the partial order in this set as follows: for $x, y \in C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ we define $x \leq y$ iff the set of objects of the subcategory x is a subset of the set of objects of the subcategory y .

Definition 6.2. *Let \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T} be as in Definition 6.1. If any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$ and \mathcal{T} are \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated categories, and the property P of F is: “ F is \mathbb{K} -linear”, then we denote the corresponding partially ordered set defined in Definition 6.1 by $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.*

Remark 6.3. *If \mathcal{J} contains a trivial category, then $C_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ contains the trivial subcategory in \mathcal{T} and denoting this subcategory by T we have $T \leq \mathcal{B}$ for any $\mathcal{B} \in C_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.*

6.2. The case $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$. We will show here that in the partially ordered set $C_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ any two elements have a greatest lower bound.

Definition 6.4. *In this section \mathcal{T} is a \mathbb{K} -linear proper triangulated category, and $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of non-commutative curves in \mathcal{T} of genus $l \geq -1$ as defined in Definition 5.4. Let $[F_i] \in C_{l_i}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$, let $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the subcategories to which $[F_i]$ are mapped via the bijection (20), $i = 1, 2$. From Remark 5.2 the full subcategory with objects $Ob(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap Ob(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is a triangulated subcategory, which is closed under direct summands. We call it the intersection of the non-commutative curves $[F_1], [F_2]$ in \mathcal{T} .*

A subcategory in \mathcal{T} generated by an exceptional object will be called a derived point of \mathcal{T} . Via the bijection (22) the derived points in \mathcal{T} are exactly the elements in $C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

This intersection is easy to describe when one of the curves is of genus 0 or genus -1 .

Lemma 6.5. *Let \mathcal{T} be any \mathbb{K} -linear proper category. Any non-commutative curve of genus 0, i.e. element in the set $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$, contains exactly three elements of the set $C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ (in terms of the terminology from the definition contains exactly three derived points in \mathcal{T}). Any two of these three derived points span the curve (the subcategory generated by them is the initial curve).*

Proof. Let $[F] \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ be a non-commutative curve of genus zero and let \mathcal{A} be the subcategory of \mathcal{T} to which $[F]$ is mapped via the bijection (20). \mathcal{A} is a full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{T} and $D^b(A_2) = D^b(K(1)) \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{A}$, is a \mathbb{K} -linear equivalence of triangulated categories. By Lemma 10.1 it follows that $C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{A})$ has three elements (using the notations of Lemma 10.1 these are $\langle F(s_{0,0}) \rangle, \langle F(s_{1,1}) \rangle, \langle F(s_{0,1}) \rangle$). On the other hand $C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{A})$ is exactly the set $\left\{ \alpha \in C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) : \alpha \subset \mathcal{A} \right\}$, hence the first part of the lemma is proved.

Since $(s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}), (s_{1,1}, s_{0,1}), (s_{0,1}, s_{0,0})$ are full exceptional pairs in $D^b(K(1)) = D^b(A_2)$ (see Lemma 10.3 and Remark 5.1) it follows that any two of the three derived points $\langle F(s_{0,0}) \rangle, \langle F(s_{1,1}) \rangle, \langle F(s_{0,1}) \rangle$ span the non-commutative curve. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let \mathcal{T} be as in Definition 6.4. If the genus of one of two different non-commutative curves is less or equal to 0, then they intersect either trivially or in a single derived point in \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Let $[F_1] \in C_{l_1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}), [F_2] \in C_{l_2}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$, let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the subcategories to which $[F_1], [F_2]$ are mapped via the bijection (20). Now $D^b(K(l_1 + 1)) \xrightarrow{F_{1|}} \mathcal{A}, D^b(K(l_2 + 1)) \xrightarrow{F_{2|}} \mathcal{B}$ are equivalences of triangulated categories. Assume $l_1 = 0$ or $l_1 = -1$.

Note that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is a proper subcategory of \mathcal{A} (otherwise $Ob(\mathcal{A}) \subset Ob(\mathcal{B})$ and then the exceptional pair of \mathcal{A} should generate the category \mathcal{B} , since by Remark 5.1 each exceptional pair in \mathcal{B} is full, and it would follow that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$).

It remains to show that if $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is not trivial (i.e. contains a nonzero object), then it $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle E \rangle$ for some exceptional object E in \mathcal{T} . Now \mathcal{A} is equivalent to $D^b(K(1))$ or $D^b(K(0))$ and it follows

that:

(23) *The indecomposable objects in \mathcal{A} are exceptional objects.*

(24) *Each object is direct sum of exceptional objects.*

(25) *For any two exceptional objects E_1, E_2 in \mathcal{A} , if $\langle E_1 \rangle \neq \langle E_2 \rangle$, then either (E_1, E_2) or (E_2, E_1) is a full exceptional collection in \mathcal{A} .*

Since $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is closed under direct summands and non-trivial it follows from (24) that it must contain at least one exceptional object E . Since $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is proper subcategory in \mathcal{A} it follows from (25) that for any other exceptional object $E' \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ we have $\langle E' \rangle = \langle E \rangle$, now using again (24) and the fact that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is closed under direct summands it follows that $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \langle E \rangle$. \square

Corollary 6.7. *Let \mathcal{T} be any proper \mathbb{K} -linear category.*

If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are two different non-commutative curves of genus zero, let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ be the derived points in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} respectively as in Lemma 6.5.

Then \mathcal{A} intersects \mathcal{B} non-trivially iff $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\} \cap \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ contains a single element and the intersection of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is exactly this element.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 6.6 and 6.5. \square

Corollary 6.8. *Let \mathcal{T} be any proper \mathbb{K} -linear category, and let $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$ or $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$. For any two $x, y \in C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ the full subcategory whose objects are the set theoretical intersection of the sets of objects of x and y is also an element of $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ and it is a greatest lower bound of x, y*

Proof. The fact that $x \cap y \in C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ follows from Lemma 6.6. The rest is trivial. \square

7. DIRECTED GRAPH OF SUBCATEGORIES IN A GIVEN CATEGORY

First we fix some terminology. By a *directed graph* (or for short *digraph*) G we mean a pair $G = (A, B)$ of a set A , whose elements we call vertices, and a subset $B \subset A \times A \setminus \text{diag}(A \times A)$, whose elements we call edges. When we draw pictures, we represent the elements in B by points (in Euclidean plane or in Euclidean space), and a pair $(a, b) \in B$, such that $(b, a) \notin B$, we present by an arrow starting from the point representing a and directed towards the point representing b , we call such pairs *directed edges*. If both $(a, b) \in B$ and $(b, a) \in B$, then we draw a double sided arrow between a and b .

From any directed graph $G = (A, B)$ we define a simplicial complex $SC(G)$ as follows:

$$(26) \quad SC(G) = (A, B')$$

$$B' = \{X \subset A : \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text{ and a bijection } \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X \text{ s.t. } (x_i, x_j) \in B \text{ for } 1 \leq j < j \leq n \}$$

Throughout this section \mathcal{J} denotes a set of pairwise non-equivalent triangulated categories and \mathcal{T} is a triangulated category. We say that \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{K} -linear if \mathcal{A} is so for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$.

Definition 7.1. *Let P be a property of fully faithful functors from \mathcal{A} to subcategories in \mathcal{T} satisfying (a) in Remark 4.5 for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$. From this data we define here a directed graph $G_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T}) = (A, B)$ whose set of vertices is equipped with a partial order.*

The set of vertices is $C_{\mathcal{J}, P}(\mathcal{T})$ as defined in Definition 6.1 with the partial order explained there.

The set of edges is: $B = \left\{ (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) : \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in C_{\mathcal{J}, P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \text{ and } \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1) = 0 \right\}$.

The simplicial complex $SC(G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}))$, defined in (26), will be denoted for short by $SC_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T})$.

Definition 7.2. Let \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{J} be \mathbb{K} -linear and the property P of F be: “ F is \mathbb{K} -linear”, then we denote the corresponding graph and simplicial complex defined in Definition 7.1 by $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}), SC_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

As a corollary of Proposition 4.7 and Remark 3.7 we get

Corollary 7.3. Let $\mathcal{T}_1 \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_2 \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{T}_3$ be ffe functors. Let P be a property of ffe functors satisfying (a), (b) in Proposition 4.7 for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{J}$, so Definition 7.1 gives graphs $\{G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_i) = (A_i, B_i)\}_{i=1}^3$.

Let $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. The assignments $A_i \ni \mathcal{B} \mapsto F_i(\mathcal{B}), B_i \ni (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}') \mapsto (F_i(\mathcal{B}), F_i(\mathcal{B}'))^6$ define a full embedding of the graph $G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ into $G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_{i+1})$ in the sense that it is injective on vertices and for any pair $(a, b) \in A_i \times A_i$ we have $(a, b) \in B_i$ if and only if $(F_i(a), F_i(b)) \in B_{i+1}$. This assignment preserves the partial orders in A_i, A_{i+1} as well, more precisely for any $x, y \in C_{\mathcal{A},P}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ we have $x \leq y$ iff $F_i(x) \leq F_i(y)$. Thus, we can view $G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ as a full subgraph of $G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_{i+1})$.

Let us denote by $G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_i) \xrightarrow{F_{i*}} G_{\mathcal{J},P}(\mathcal{T}_{i+1}), i = 1, 2$ the induced embeddings of digraphs preserving the partial orders. We have $(F_2 \circ F_1)_* = F_{2*} \circ F_{1*}$. If F_i is equivalence, then F_{i*} is isomorphism.

Corollary 7.4. We get an action of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ on the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ for any \mathbb{K} -linear \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{J} .

Under some conditions we can embed $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})$ (see Definition 4.3) in a certain set of equivalence classes of subgraphs in the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$. More precisely:

Proposition 7.5. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} be \mathbb{K} -linear triangulated categories, let \mathcal{J} be \mathbb{K} -linear as well, and $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ be subgroup. Let \mathcal{A} be generated by the vertices of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A})$.

There is a well defined injective function

(27)

$$C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{B} \text{ is a full subgraph s.t.} \\ \mathcal{B} \subset G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) : \text{there exists an isomorphism} \\ G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{B} \text{ of digraphs} \end{array} \right\} / \Gamma \quad C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}) \ni [F] \mapsto [\text{Im}(F_*)]$$

where for a fully faithful functor F by F_* is denoted the induced embedding of digraphs as in Corollary 7.3 and $\text{Im}(F_*)$ is the full subgraph of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ determined by this embedding. The quotient by Γ on the right hand side means that we take equivalence classes of subgraphs, where two subgraphs B_1, B_2 are in the same class iff there exists $[\beta] \in \Gamma$, s.t. $\beta_*(B_1) = B_2$.

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is trivial then both sets have single elements and the function is obviously bijective. So let \mathcal{A} be non-trivial. Let us first show that the assignment is well defined. If $[F_1] = [F_2]$, then there exists an auto-equivalence α of \mathcal{A} and $[\beta] \in \Gamma$, such that $\beta \circ F_1 \cong F_2 \circ \alpha$ and since F_1, F_2, β are \mathbb{K} -linear, α is also \mathbb{K} -linear (as in the proof of Corollary 3.10). Now using the second statement in Remark 3.7 and the last statement in Corollary 7.3 we see that $\beta_* \circ F_{1*} = F_{2*} \circ \alpha_*$. On the other hand from Corollary 7.4 we know that α_* is an auto-equivalence, hence $\beta_*(\text{Im}(F_{1*})) = \text{Im}(\beta_* \circ F_{1*}) = \text{Im}(F_{2*} \circ \alpha_*) = \text{Im}(F_{2*})$, hence $[\text{Im}(F_{1*})] = [\text{Im}(F_{2*})]$.

To prove that the function is injective, denote by A the set of vertices of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A})$. Using Lemma 3.11 and the fact that \mathcal{A} is generated by the elements in A we can write for any ffe functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{T}$

$$\text{Im}(F) = F(\langle \cup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \rangle) = \langle \cup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}} F_{ob}(\text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})) \rangle = \langle \cup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Ob}(F(\mathcal{B})) \rangle = \langle \cup_{\mathcal{B} \in V(\text{Im}(F_*))} \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B}) \rangle,$$

⁶ $F_i(\mathcal{B})$ is explained in Definition 3.6

where we denote by $V(\text{Im}(F_*))$ the vertices of the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\text{Im}(F_*))$. Hence we see that for any two fully faithful exact functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F_1, F_2} \mathcal{T}$ we have $\text{Im}(F_{1*}) = \text{Im}(F_{2*}) \Rightarrow \text{Im}(F_1) = \text{Im}(F_2)$. Assume now that $[\text{Im}(F_{1*})] = [\text{Im}(F_{2*})]$, therefore $\beta_*(\text{Im}(F_{1*})) = \text{Im}(F_{2*})$ for some $[\beta] \in \Gamma$, hence $\text{Im}((\beta \circ F_1)_*) = \text{Im}(\beta_* \circ F_{1*}) = \beta_*(\text{Im}(F_{1*})) = \text{Im}(F_{2*})$ and we proved that this implies $\text{Im}(\beta \circ F_1) = \text{Im}(F_2)$, and now from Corollary 3.10 and the definition of $C_{\mathcal{A},\mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T})$ it follows that $[F_1] = [F_2]$. \square

7.1. The case $\mathcal{J} = \{D^b(A_1)\}$. By a *valued directed graph* we mean a directed graph $G = (A, B)$ together with a positive integer attached to each edge $(a, b) \in B$ such that $(b, a) \notin B$.

Let the family \mathcal{J} contains only the category $D^b(A_1)$. For a \mathbb{K} -linear \mathcal{T} the vertices of $G_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) = (A, B)$ are $A = C_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$, which is the same as equivalence classes of exceptional objects (the equivalence is \sim as explained in Section 2).

In this case one can make $G_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) = (A, B)$ a valued directed graph as follows. For any such pair (a, b) we take any exceptional objects X, Y with $\langle X \rangle = a$, $\langle Y \rangle = b$ and attach the number $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{hom}(X, Y[i])$ to it. We have:

(a) The embedding of digraphs F_* induced by a ffe functor is such that the number attached to an edge (a_1, a_2) in $G_{D^b(A_1),\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ is the same as to $(F(a_1), F(a_2))$.

(b) Proposition 7.5 can be strengthened as follows: If \mathcal{A} is generated by its exceptional objects, then in (27) we can modify the right hand side by imposing on μ to be isomorphism of valued digraphs and then yet the function is well defined and it is injective.

8. FIRST EXAMPLES: TWO AFFINE QUIVERS

In [11] we postponed writing down the details of the proof of Proposition 9.1 and of part of Proposition 8.1 for a future work.

In this Section \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed. Using results of [7] and [9] we will prove:

Proposition 8.1. *Let $\mathcal{T}_i = D^b(Q_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, where: Q_1, Q_2 are in (2). Then the numbers $|C_l^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_i)|$ for $l \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$, $i = 1, 2$, $\Gamma \in \{\{\text{Id}\}, \langle S \rangle, \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)\}$ are in (3), (4). Furthermore holds (5).*

The vanishings 4 were already explained in [11, Proposition 12.9].

To compute the numbers in these tables we first collect some material from [17].

8.1. Preliminaries from [17]. Here Q is one of the quivers in (2), hence it is acyclic and representation infinite. In [17] is described the group of auto-equivalences of $D^b(\text{mod}A)$, where $\text{mod}A$ is the category of finite dimensional left A -modules for A , which are path algebras of some acyclic quivers. With the convention for defining the path algebra of a quiver in [17], [15] (see [17, p. 347] and [15, p. 47]) $\text{mod}A$ is equivalent to the category of contravariant representations of Q (see [15, p.47,48]). The category of representations $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q)$, which we consider, is the same as the category of contravariant representations of the quivers Q' which are obtained from Q by inverting the arrows. So in fact, our category $\mathcal{T} = D^b(Q)$ is equivalent to $D^b(\text{mod}A)$ from [17], where A is the path algebra as defined in [17] for the quiver Q' dual to Q . However Q'_1, Q'_2 are isomorphic to Q_1, Q_2 .

Before [17, Definitions 2.2] is explained that the Auslander Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{T} = D^b(Q)$ contains the quiver Q' (and hence the quiver Q which is isomorphic to Q') as the full subquiver with vertices corresponding to the indecomposable projective representations, under the inclusion $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q) \subset D^b(Q)$.

In [17, Definitions 2.2, 2.3] they define a quiver, denoted by Γ^{irr} , which is the disjoint union of all connected components of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $D^b(Q)$, which are isomorphic to the

connected component containing the indecomposable projective modules. [17, Theorem 2.4] gives an isomorphism of quivers $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr7}$, where:

(a) $\mathbb{Z}Q$ is isomorphic to quiver with set of vertices $\mathbb{Z} \times V(Q)$ and for every arrow $x \rightarrow y$ in Q there are arrows $(n, x) \rightarrow (n, y)$ and $(n, y) \rightarrow (n + 1, x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}Q$. In particular, the subquiver with vertices with zero first coordinate is isomorphic to Q .

(b) $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$ is quiver whose connected components are $\{i\} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$ and each of them is a labeled copy of $\mathbb{Z}Q$.

(c) The identification $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ is such that:

(c.1) Its restriction to the full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$ with vertices whose first and second coordinates vanish give isomorphism with the full subquiver of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ with vertices the indecomposable projective representations.

(c.2) The translation functor of \mathcal{T} induces action on $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ which by ρ corresponds to an automorphism σ of $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$ acting by increasing the first component by 1.

(c.3) The Serre functor of \mathcal{T} shifted by $[-1]$ induces an automorphism τ of $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$, which on vertices maps $(a, (i, j))$ to $(a, (i - 1, j))$.

8.2. On the Serre functor and the exceptional objects. Recall that throughout the paper we use the notation $A \sim B$, where A, B are exceptional collections, explained in Section 2.

Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_i$ with $i = 1$ or 2 . We recall first that every exceptional collection in \mathcal{T} can be extended to a full exceptional collection in \mathcal{T} (this is proved in [6]).

If $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$ is a full exceptional collection in \mathcal{T} then after appropriate number of right mutations of E_1 we obtain another full exceptional collection $(E_2, \dots, E_n, E_{n+1})$ (the first $n - 1$ elements are the last $n - 1$ elements of \mathcal{E}), analogously enough number of left mutations of E_n result a full exceptional collection $(E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1})$, by induction one obtains an infinite sequence in both directions $\{E_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ - the helix induced by $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$, as defined in [5, p. 222]. A property of such a helix is that $\Phi(E_{i+n}) \cong E_i$ for any i , where $\Phi = S[1 - n]$ (see [5, p. 223]) and S is the Serre functor. Taking into account that if \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are two full exceptional collections such that $\mathcal{E}(i) \sim \mathcal{E}'(i)$ for all but one i , say k , then it follows that $\mathcal{E}(k) \sim \mathcal{E}'(k)$ as well, we deduce that:

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{let } (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) \text{ and } (E_2, \dots, E_n, E_{n+1}) \text{ be full exceptional collections in } \mathcal{T}, \\ &\text{then } S(E_{n+1}) \sim E_1, \text{ where } S \text{ is the Serre functor of } \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

From [7, Corollary 2.6] and since the homological dimension of $D^b(Q_i)$ is one, it follows that:

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{For any two exceptional objects } X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_i \quad i = 1, 2 \\ &\text{at most one element in } \{\text{hom}^p(X, Y)\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ is non-zero.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 8.2. In [11] was shown that (see [11, (7) and (8)]):

$$(30) \quad \text{If } l \geq 2 \text{ and } Q \text{ is affine acyclic quiver} \Rightarrow \left| C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q)) \right| = 0,$$

$$(31) \quad \text{If } l \geq 1 \text{ and } Q \text{ is Dynkin quiver} \Rightarrow \left| C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q)) \right| = 0.$$

Remark 8.3. Note that from (29) and Proposition 5.5 it follows that if $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_i)) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} is a category generated by an exceptional pair, then $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ must be $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ for some $l \geq -1$. Furthermore, (30) implies that $l \leq 1$.

⁷this Theorem gives an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q')$ to $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$. however $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q')$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q)$

8.3. **The case Q_1 .** Here we derive the numbers in the first table of (3).

Using the bijection (21) we will identify $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ with the codomain of the bijection, and we will denote the codomain by $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ as well ($l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$.)

We will need information about exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_1 obtained in [7] and [9]. In [9] are listed the full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_1 up to isomorphism and shifts, they are:

$$(32) \quad \mathfrak{T} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (M', a^m, a^{m+1}) & (a^m, b^{m+1}, a^{m+1}) & (a^m, a^{m+1}, M) \\ (M, b^m, b^{m+1}) & (b^m, a^m, b^{m+1}) & (b^m, b^{m+1}, M') \\ (b^m, M', a^m) & (a^m, M, b^{m+1}) & \end{array} : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

where $\{a^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{b^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{M, M'\}$ are all the exceptional objects in \mathcal{T}_1 up to shift organized in a convenient way (see Section 3.2 in [9] for details).

From [9, formula (70)] and [7, table (4) in Proposition 2.4] we see that:

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Let } E_1, E_2 \text{ be an exc. pair in } \mathcal{T}_1, \text{ then there exists } p \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s. t. } \text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) \neq 0 \text{ and (see (29))}: \\ & \text{either } \text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 2 \text{ and } (E_1, E_2) \sim X, X \in \{(a^m, a^{m+1}), (b^m, b^{m+1}) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ & \text{or } \text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 1 \text{ and } (E_1, E_2) \sim X, X \in \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (M', a^m), (a^m, b^{m+1}), (b^m, a^m), \\ (a^m, M), (M, b^m), (b^m, M') \end{array} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$


The zeros in the row with $l = -1$ in the first table of 3 are due to the lack of orthogonal pairs in \mathcal{T}_1 (see (33)). Indeed, if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$, then from bijection (21) there is a strong exc. pair (E_1, E_2) with $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 0$, thus (E_1, E_2) must be orthogonal, therefore $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1) = \emptyset$.

Now we can draw the graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Using the explanations related to (32) we deduce that set of vertices is $\{\langle M \rangle, \langle M' \rangle\} \cup \{\langle a^m \rangle, \langle b^m \rangle : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. All the exceptional triples up to shift are listed in (32), from this list one sees also all the exceptional pairs up to shift. We consider first the subgraph of containing $\langle M \rangle, \langle M' \rangle, \langle a^m \rangle, \langle b^m \rangle, \langle a^{m+1} \rangle, \langle b^{m+1} \rangle$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (32) we see that all the exceptional pairs consisting of some of these vertices are $(M', a^m), (M', a^{m+1}), (b^m, M'), (b^{m+1}, M'), (b^m, b^{m+1}), (a^m, a^{m+1}), (a^m, b^{m+1}), (b^m, a^m), (b^{m+1}, a^{m+1}), (M, b^m), (M, b^{m+1}), (a^m, M), (a^{m+1}, M)$ and this corresponds to 13 edges, which are the middle part in Figure 5. The entire digraph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ consists of infinitely many figures as this, indexed by m as demonstrated in Figure 5. The two dimensional simplexes in $SC_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ are the triples of vertices in triangles as the quiver Q_1 . Such triangles correspond to exceptional triples in \mathcal{T} . All of these triangles are seen in Figure 5 and they intersect with each other in the proper sides. So in this figure is presented also a geometric realization of the complete simplicial complex $SC_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

In Subsection 7.1 is explained how to make the digraph a valued graph. In this case using (33) we see that to the edges from a^m to a^{m+1} and from b^m to b^{m+1} is attached 2 for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and to all other edges is attached 1.

Next we derive the numbers the column of the first table in (3) with $\Gamma = \{\text{Id}\}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Therefore we have a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) , s.t. $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 2$, $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$. From (29), (33) we see that $\mathcal{A} = \langle a^m, a^{m+1} \rangle$ or $\mathcal{A} = \langle b^m, b^{m+1} \rangle$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, now (32) implies that $\mathcal{A} = \langle M \rangle^\perp$ or $\mathcal{A} = \langle M' \rangle^\perp$, furthermore $\langle M' \rangle^\perp \neq \langle M \rangle^\perp$ since for example $\text{hom}^1(M, a^m) \neq 0$ (see [9, formula (72)]), thus we see that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(34) \quad C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1) = \{\langle M \rangle^\perp = \langle a^m, a^{m+1} \rangle, \langle M' \rangle^\perp = \langle b^m, b^{m+1} \rangle\} \quad \left| C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \right| = 2.$$

FIGURE 5. $G_{D^b(A_1, \mathbb{K})}(D^b(Q_1))$

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. Therefore we have a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) , s.t. $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 1$ and $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$. From (29), (33) we see that $\mathcal{A} = \langle X \rangle$ for some of the exceptional pairs in the third row of (33). Since the triples in (32) are full exceptional, it follows:

$$(35) \quad \langle M', a^m \rangle = \langle a^m, b^{m+1} \rangle = \langle b^{m+1}, M' \rangle = \langle a^{m+1} \rangle^\perp$$

$$(36) \quad \langle M, b^m \rangle = \langle b^m, a^m \rangle = \langle a^m, M \rangle = \langle b^{m+1} \rangle^\perp.$$

Thus, we see that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$, then $\mathcal{A} = \langle a^m \rangle^\perp$ or $\mathcal{A} = \langle b^m \rangle^\perp$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand for $i \neq j$ we have $\langle a^i \rangle^\perp \neq \langle a^j \rangle^\perp$, since (a^i, a^{j+1}) and (a^j, a^{i+1}) cannot be both exceptional pairs (see [9, Corollary 3.7]), and similarly $\langle b^i \rangle^\perp \neq \langle b^j \rangle^\perp$ for $i \neq j$. Similar arguments using [9, Corollary 3.7] show that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\langle a^i \rangle^\perp \neq \langle b^j \rangle^\perp$. So we deduce:

$$(37) \quad C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1) = \{\langle a^m \rangle^\perp : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\langle b^m \rangle^\perp : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ disjoint union, injective sequences.}$$

In particular, $|C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)| = \infty$.

To compute $C_0^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $C_1^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_1)$ for non-trivial Γ we use Corollary 4.8.

We determine first the action of $\langle S \rangle$ on the sets $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. In (32) we see that there are full exceptional collections (b^m, M', a^m) , (M', a^m, a^{m+1}) and applying (28) we deduce that $S(a^{m+1}) \sim b^m$. Similarly the pairs of full exceptional collections (a^{m-1}, a^m, M) , (a^m, M, b^{m+1}) and (M', a^m, a^{m+1}) , (a^m, a^{m+1}, M) and (M, b^m, b^{m+1}) , (b^m, b^{m+1}, M') imply the rest incidences here:

$$(38) \quad S(a^{m+1}) \sim b^m \quad S(b^{m+1}) \sim a^{m-1} \quad S(M) \sim M' \quad S(M') \sim M \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore $S(\langle M' \rangle^\perp) = \langle M \rangle^\perp$ and from (34) we see that the two elements of $C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ project to a single element in $C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)/\langle S \rangle \cong C_1^{(S)}(\mathcal{T}_1)$, hence $|C_1^{(S)}(\mathcal{T}_1)| = 1$. On the other hand from (38) follow the formulas $S(\langle b^m \rangle^\perp) \sim \langle a^{m-2} \rangle^\perp$ and $S^2(\langle b^m \rangle^\perp) \sim \langle b^{m-3} \rangle^\perp$, and it follows that the two sequences of $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ project to three equivalence classes $[\langle b^0 \rangle^\perp], [\langle b^1 \rangle^\perp], [\langle b^2 \rangle^\perp]$ in $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)/\langle S \rangle \cong C_0^{(S)}(\mathcal{T}_1)$, furthermore using (37) and these formulas one easily proves that if for $x, y \in \{\langle b^0 \rangle^\perp, \langle b^1 \rangle^\perp, \langle b^2 \rangle^\perp\}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $S^i(x) = y$ then $i = 0$, therefore $|C_0^{(S)}(\mathcal{T}_1)| = 3$.

Since $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)/\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \cong C_0^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)}(\mathcal{T}_1)$, in order to completely fill in the first table in (3), it is enough to show that there exists \mathbb{K} -linear auto-equivalence $u : \mathcal{T}_2 \cong \mathcal{T}_2$, such that $u(b^m) \cong b^{m-1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, such u will ensure that the three elements in $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)/\langle S \rangle$ project to a single element in $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)/\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$. We find such an auto-equivalence in the following:

Proposition 8.4. *There exist \mathbb{K} -linear autoequivalences ζ of \mathcal{T}_1 , such that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$(39) \quad \zeta(a^m) \cong b^m \quad \zeta(b^m) \cong a^{m-1}.$$

In particular ζ^2 satisfy $\zeta^2(b^m) \cong b^{m-1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. In [17, Theorem 4.5 (3)] is proved that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \left(\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{K}^\times & \mathbb{K} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$, where one \mathbb{Z} -factor is generated by the translation functor, and we will show that a generator of the other \mathbb{Z} -factor is the desired auto-equivalence ζ .

The Auslander Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{T}_1 = D^b(Q_1)$ contains the quiver Q_1 as explained in the beginning of Subsection 8.1. We will show that this subquiver is

$$(40) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & a^1[-1] & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ b^1[-1] & \longrightarrow & b^2[-1] \end{array}$$


We show that the indecomposable projective representations are in the exceptional collection $(b^1[-1], a^1[-1], b^2[-1])$ (see (32)). In terms of the notations of [7, Proposition 2.2] the simple representations are E_1^0, M, E_3^0 , which with the notations, which we use here (following [9, (70)]) are $(a^0, M, b^1[-1])$. From (29) and [9, Corollary 3.7] we know that:

$\forall X \in \{b^1[-1], a^1[-1], b^2[-1]\} \quad \forall Y \in \{a^0, M, b^1[-1]\} \quad \text{hom}^1(X, Y) = 0$ therefore:
 $\forall X \in \{b^1[-1], a^1[-1], b^2[-1]\} \quad \forall Y \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_1) \quad \text{hom}^1(X, Y) = 0$. Furthermore, from (29), [9, Corollary 3.7], (33) we see that $\text{hom}(b^1, a^1) = \text{hom}(a^1, b^2) = 1$, $\text{hom}(b^1, b^2) = 2$. These arguments imply that the full subquiver of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $D^b(Q_1)$ with vertices the indecomposable projective representations is (40).

Next, we will describe the connected component of the quiver $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ discussed in Subsection 8.1 which contains b^1, a^1, b^2 . An identification $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ is explained in Subsection 8.1 (c).

The full subquiver containing b^1, a^1, b^2 is just shift of (40) and via the identification ρ it corresponds to a subquiver in $\{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}Q_1$ (see (c.2) in Subsection 8.1), and the connected component containing b^1, a^1, b^2 is $\rho(\{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}Q_1)$. Using (c.3) in Subsection 8.1 we obtain the desired connected component by acting on a shift of (40) with $\langle [-1]S \rangle$. We first make the formulas (38) more precise

$$(41) \quad S(a^{m+1}) \cong b^m[1] \quad S(b^{m+1}) \cong a^{m-1}[1] \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

FIGURE 6. The identification ρ for Q_2 , m is odd

Indeed, using the property of the Serre Functor we can write $1 = \text{hom}(a^{m+1}, a^{m+1}) = \text{hom}(a^{m+1}, S(a^{m+1})) = \text{hom}(a^{m+1}, b^m[p])$, where $S(a^{m+1}) \cong b^m[p]$ due to $S(a^{m+1}) \sim b^m$ in (38), and now from $\text{hom}^1(a^{m+1}, b^m) \neq 0$ in [9, Corollary 3.7] and (29) it follows $p = 1$. Similarly one derives the other incidence in (41).

We shift the subquiver (40) of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ and by acting on it with $\langle [-1]S \rangle$ using (41) we see that the identification ρ for odd $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be depicted locally as shown in Figure 6, where although in the picture some arrows look intersecting, they actually do not intersect.

Looking in the proof of [17, Theorem 4.5 (2)] and correcting a typo there⁸ we see that a generator of the other \mathbb{Z} -factor in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ acts on the left hand side in Figure 6 as follows (for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$(42) \quad (1, (n, 1)) \mapsto (1, (n-1, 3)) \quad (1, (n, 2)) \mapsto (1, (n, 1)) \quad (1, (n, 3)) \mapsto (1, (n, 2))$$

Note that we have observed the rule for labeling of the the vertices in [17, Figure 6]. Via the correspondence in Figure 6 we get an auto-equivalence ζ of \mathcal{T}_1 such that the first two entities in (39) hold for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not only odd). \square

8.4. The case Q_2 . Here we derive the numbers in the second table in (3). The approach is as in subsection 8.3.

⁸Note noe that in our case $q = 1$, $p = 2$ and in the proof of [17, Theorem 4.5 (2)] is written $\rho(m, 1) = (m-1, p)$, which we think must be $\rho(m, 1) = (m-1, p+q)$

The exceptional objects of \mathcal{T}_2 are classified in [7, Proposition 2.3] and they are organised in 8 series $\{E_1^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{E_2^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \dots , $\{E_8^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and two Ext-nontrivial couples $\{F_+, G_-\}$, $\{F_-, G_+\}$ (all are objects in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_2)$). Furthermore in [7, Proposition 2.5] are computed $\text{hom}(X, Y)$, $\text{hom}^1(X, Y)$ for any pair of exceptional representations. It is convenient to group the eight series in four series as follows:

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} a^m &= \begin{cases} E_1^{-m} & m \leq 0 \\ E_2^{m-1}[1] & m \geq 1 \end{cases} ; & b^m &= \begin{cases} E_4^{-m} & m \leq 0 \\ E_3^{m-1}[1] & m \geq 1 \end{cases} ; \\ c^m &= \begin{cases} E_6^{-m} & m \leq 0 \\ E_5^{m-1}[1] & m \geq 1 \end{cases} ; & d^m &= \begin{cases} E_7^{-m} & m \leq 0 \\ E_8^{m-1}[1] & m \geq 1 \end{cases} . \end{aligned}$$

And then using [7, Proposition 2.5] one proves:

Lemma 8.5. *For any $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the pair (x^m, x^{m+1}) is strong exceptional with $\text{hom}(x^m, x^{m+1}) = 2$*

Let E_1, E_2 be any exceptional pair in \mathcal{T}_2 , there is a trichotomy

(a) $\text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 2$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is equivalent to $(E_1, E_2) \sim (x^m, x^{m+1})$ for some $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ and some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(b) $\text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is equivalent to $(E_1, E_2) \sim X$ or $(E_1, E_2) \sim \overline{X}$ for some $X \in \{(a^m, b^{m+1}), (c^m, d^m) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(F_+, F_-), (G_+, G_-), (F_+, G_+), (F_-, G_-)\}$, where $\overline{(A, B)} = (B, A)$;

(c) $\text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 1$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is equivalent to $(E_1, E_2) \sim X$, where X is some of the pairs in the following table for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:



$$(44) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline (a^{m-1}, c^m) & (c^m, G_-) & (G_-, a^{m-1}) \\ \hline (c^m, a^m) & (a^m, F_+) & (F_+, c^m) \\ \hline (a^{m-1}, d^m) & (d^m, G_+) & (G_+, a^{m-1}) \\ \hline (d^m, a^m) & (a^m, F_-) & (F_-, d^m) \\ \hline (b^m, c^m) & (c^m, F_-) & (F_-, b^m) \\ \hline (c^{m-1}, b^m) & (b^m, G_+) & (G_+, c^{m-1}) \\ \hline (b^m, d^m) & (d^m, F_+) & (F_+, b^m) \\ \hline (d^{m-1}, b^m) & (b^m, G_-) & (G_-, d^{m-1}) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Furthermore, for any pair (A, B) taken from the first column and for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the only p such that $\text{hom}^p(A, B) \neq 0$ is $p = 0$.

Now we can discuss the graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Using the explanations related to (43) we deduce that the set of vertices of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ is $\{\langle F_+ \rangle, \langle F_- \rangle, \langle G_+ \rangle, \langle G_- \rangle\} \cup \{\langle a^m \rangle, \langle b^m \rangle, \langle c^m \rangle, \langle d^m \rangle : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. All the exceptional pairs up to shift are listed in Lemma 8.5. Let us draw the full subgraph of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ with vertices $\langle a^m \rangle, \langle b^m \rangle, \langle c^m \rangle, \langle d^m \rangle, \langle a^{m+1} \rangle, \langle b^{m+1} \rangle, \langle c^{m+1} \rangle, \langle d^{m+1} \rangle$. Using Lemma 8.5 (a), (b), (c) we draw the cube in Figure 7 presenting the subgraph, to the arrows from $\langle x^m \rangle$ to $\langle x^{m+1} \rangle$ is attached 2, to the rest one-sided arrows is attached 1.

In this subgraph we have 18 edges coming from: 4 exceptional collections in Lemma 8.5 (a), 2 exceptional pairs in Lemma 8.5 (a), and 12 exceptional pairs coming from the first column in table (44). The 18 edges in the cube above are pairwise disjoint.

On the other hand using columns 2 and 3 in table (44) we can represent four subgraphs in $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ (the arrows from $\langle x^m \rangle$ to $\langle x^{m+1} \rangle$ are labeled by 2, the rest by 1) as in Figure 8.



 FIGURE 7. Subgraph of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$

 FIGURE 8. Subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$

Comparing with Figure 5 we see that there are four different subgraphs in $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, which are isomorphic to $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_1))$. Taking into account the arrows in the cube above and that any of the four subgraphs in Figure 9 is not isomorphic to the middle part in Figure 5 we deduce:

Lemma 8.6. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be the quivers in (2). There are exactly four subgraphs in $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$, which are isomorphic to $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_1))$.*

Corollary 8.7. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist the following full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_2*

$$(45) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline (G_+, a^m, a^{m+1}, F_+) & (a^m, a^{m+1}, F_+, F_-) & (a^{m+1}, F_+, F_-, b^{m+2}) \\ \hline (F_+, b^m, b^{m+1}, G_+) & (b^m, b^{m+1}, G_+, G_-) & (b^{m+1}, G_+, G_-, a^m) \\ \hline (G_+, c^m, c^{m+1}, G_-) & (c^m, c^{m+1}, G_-, F_-) & (c^{m+1}, G_-, F_-, d^{m+1}) \\ \hline (F_-, d^m, d^{m+1}, F_+) & (d^m, d^{m+1}, F_+, G_+) & (d^{m+1}, F_+, G_+, c^{m+1}) \\ \hline (G_-, d^m, d^{m+1}, G_+) & (d^m, d^{m+1}, G_+, F_+) & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

FIGURE 9. Subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$

Furthermore, we have for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(46) \quad S(b^{m+2}) \cong a^m[1] \quad S(a^m) \cong b^m[1] \quad S(d^{m+1}) \cong c^m[1] \quad S(c^{m+1}) \cong d^m[1]$$

$$(47) \quad S(F_-) \sim G_+ \quad S(G_-) \sim F_+ \quad S(G_+) \sim F_- \quad S(F_+) \sim G_-$$

Proof. These are exceptional collections, since any subsequence of length two in any of them is an exceptional pair (see Lemma 8.5 or Figures 7, 8, 9 describing subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$). Since these sequences have maximal possible length - four, from [6] we know that they are full exceptional collections. From (28) it follows that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $S(b^{m+2}) \sim a^m$, $S(a^m) \sim b^m$, $S(d^{m+1}) \sim c^m$, $S(c^{m+1}) \sim d^m$, $S(F_-) \sim G_+$, $S(G_-) \sim F_+$, $S(G_+) \sim F_-$, $S(F_+) \sim G_-$.

Finally to prove the more precise incidences in (46) we use first [7, Proposition 2.5] to derive:

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{hom}^p(c^{m+1}, d^m) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \text{hom}^p(d^{m+1}, c^m) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{hom}^p(a^m, b^m) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} & \text{hom}^p(b^{m+2}, a^m) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and then using the main property of the Serre Functor we can write $1 = \text{hom}(c^{m+1}, c^{m+1}) = \text{hom}(c^{m+1}, S(c^{m+1})) = \text{hom}(c^{m+1}, d^m[p])$, where $S(c^{m+1}) \cong d^m[p]$ due to $S(c^{m+1}) \sim d^m$, and now from (48) it follows $p = 1$. Similarly one derives the rest incidences in (46). \square

Proposition 8.8. *There exist \mathbb{K} -linear autoequivalences $\theta, \zeta : \mathcal{T}_2 \cong \mathcal{T}_2$, such that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:*

$$(49) \quad \theta(a^m) \cong a^m \quad \theta(b^m) \cong b^m \quad \theta(c^m) \cong d^m \quad \theta(d^m) \cong c^m \quad \theta(F_{\pm}) \cong F_{\mp} \quad \theta(G_{\pm}) \cong G_{\mp}$$

$$(50) \quad \zeta(a^m) \cong d^m \quad \zeta(b^m) \cong c^{m-1} \quad \zeta(c^m) \cong b^m \quad \zeta(d^m) \cong a^{m-1} \quad \zeta(F_+) \sim F_+ \quad \zeta(G_-) \sim G_- \\ \zeta(G_+) \sim F_- \quad \zeta(F_-) \sim G_+$$

Proof. Recall that the exceptional objects of \mathcal{T}_2 are $\{E_1^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{E_2^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, \dots , $\{E_8^m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $\{F_+, G_-\}$, $\{F_-, G_+\}$ and that a^m, b^m, c^m, d^m are defined in (43). The symmetry of the quiver Q_2 along the diagonal gives an exact equivalence $Rep_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_2) \rightarrow Rep_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_2)$, which extends to θ .

In [17, Theorem 4.5 (3)] is proved that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \times (S_2 \ltimes \mathbb{K}^\times)$, where one \mathbb{Z} -factor is generated by the translation functor, and we will show that a generator of the other \mathbb{Z} -factor is the desired auto-equivalence ζ .

The Auslander Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{T}_2 = D^b(Q_2)$ contains the quiver Q_2 as explained in the beginning of Subsection 8.1. We will show that this subquiver is (up to symmetry):

$$(51) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c^1[-1] & \longrightarrow & b^2[-1] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ b^1[-1] & \longrightarrow & d^1[-1] \end{array} .$$

We show that the indecomposable projective representations are in the exceptional collection $(b^1[-1], d^1[-1], c^1[-1], b^2[-1])$. Indeed, from (43) we see that this exceptional collection is $(E_3^0, E_8^0, E_5^0, E_3^1)$ and from [7, Proposition 2.5] we see that the simple representations are F_+, F_-, E_1^0, E_3^0 , and from the table in [7, Proposition 2.5] we know that: $\text{hom}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for $X \in \{E_3^0, E_8^0, E_5^0, E_3^1\}$, $Y \in \{F_+, F_-, E_1^0, E_3^0\}$, therefore $\text{hom}^1(X, Y) = 0$ for $X \in \{E_3^0, E_8^0, E_5^0, E_3^1\}$, $Y \in Rep_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_2)$. Furthermore, from table in [7, Proposition 2.5] we check that $\text{hom}(E_3^0, E_8^0) = \text{hom}(E_3^0, E_5^0) = \text{hom}(E_8^0, E_3^1) = \text{hom}(E_5^0, E_3^1) = 1$, These arguments imply that the full subquiver of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{T}_2 with vertices the indecomposable projective representations is (51).

Next, we will describe a connected component of the quiver $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ (this quiver was discussed in Subsection 8.1) and we will depict locally the identification $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}Q_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ from (c) in Subsection 8.1.


Now we shift the subquiver (51) of $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{irr}$ and by acting on it with $\langle [-1]S \rangle$ using (46) we see that the identification ρ for odd $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be depicted locally as shown in Figure 10, where although in the picture some arrows intersect they actually do not intersect.

Looking in the proof of [17, Theorem 4.5 (3)] (note that we have observed the rule for labeling of the the vertices in [17, Figure 6]) we see that a generator of the other \mathbb{Z} -factor in $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ acts on the left hand side in Figure 10 as follows (for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$(52) \quad (1, (n, 1)) \mapsto (1, (n-1, 4)) \quad (1, (n, 2)) \mapsto (1, (n, 1))$$

$$(53) \quad (1, (n, 3)) \mapsto (1, (n, 2)) \quad (1, (n, 4)) \mapsto (1, (n-1, 3)).$$

Via the correspondence in Figure 10 we get an auto-equivalence ζ of \mathcal{T}_2 such that the first four entities in (50) hold for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not only odd). To show the rest, we use Lemma 8.5 to deduce that $(a^m, d^{m+1}, a^{m+1}, F_+)$, (d^m, a^m, d^{m+1}, F_+) are both full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_2 . From the already proved we see that $(\zeta(a^m), \zeta(d^{m+1}), \zeta(a^{m+1}), \zeta(F_+)) \cong (d^m, a^m, d^{m+1}, \zeta(F_+))$ is a full exceptional collection, and it follows that $\zeta(F_+) \sim F_+$. From table 45 we see that (a^m, a^{m+1}, F_+, F_-) and (d^m, d^{m+1}, F_+, G_+) are both full exceptional collections and from the already proved $(\zeta(a^m), \zeta(a^{m+1}), \zeta(F_+), \zeta(F_-)) \sim (d^m, d^{m+1}, F_+, \zeta(F_-))$, which implies $\zeta(F_-) \sim G_+$. In table 45 we have also exceptional sequences (c^m, c^{m+1}, F_-, G_-) and (b^m, b^{m+1}, G_+, G_-) and we already proved that $(\zeta(c^m), \zeta(c^{m+1}), \zeta(F_-), \zeta(G_-)) \sim (b^m, b^{m+1}, G_+, \zeta(G_-))$, therefore $\zeta(G_-) \sim G_-$. Finally, from the full exceptional collections (b^m, b^{m+1}, G_-, G_+) , (c^{m-1}, c^m, G_-, F_-) in table 45 and similar arguments we deduce $\zeta(G_+) \sim F_-$. \square

FIGURE 10. The identification ρ for Q_1

Corollary 8.9. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ we have $\langle x^m, x^{m+1} \rangle = \langle x^n, x^{n+1} \rangle$. In particular the following subcategories do not depend on m

$$(54) \quad A = \langle a^m, a^{m+1} \rangle \quad B = \langle b^m, b^{m+1} \rangle \quad C = \langle c^m, c^{m+1} \rangle \quad D = \langle d^m, d^{m+1} \rangle.$$

The action of the Serre functor on these subcategories is described in the following table:

$$(55) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} X & A & B & C & D \\ \hline S(X) & B & A & D & C \end{array},$$

and the set of non-commutative curves of genus one in \mathcal{T}_2 is:

$$(56) \quad C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{A, B, C, D\} \quad \left| C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = 4.$$

Furthermore, (55), (56) imply that $C_1^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_2) \cong C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \langle S \rangle$ are finite sets with 2 elements and:

$$(57) \quad C_1^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \langle S \rangle = \{[A], [C]\} \quad \left| C_1^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = 2.$$

The auto-equivalences θ, ζ in Proposition 8.8 satisfy $\zeta \circ \theta(C) = A$ and hence the $\left| C_1^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = 1$.

Proof. From table (45) we see that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:

$$\langle a^m, a^{m+1} \rangle = \langle F_+, F_- \rangle^\perp \quad \langle b^m, b^{m+1} \rangle = \langle G_+, G_- \rangle^\perp \quad \langle c^m, c^{m+1} \rangle = \langle G_-, F_- \rangle^\perp \quad \langle d^m, d^{m+1} \rangle = \langle F_+, G_+ \rangle^\perp$$

and the first statement follows. Recalling that $(F_+, F_-), (G_+, G_-), (F_+, G_+), (F_-, G_-)$ are orthogonal pairs and F_+, F_-, G_+, G_- are pairwise non-isomorphic it follows that $\langle F_+, F_- \rangle, \langle G_+, G_- \rangle, \langle G_-, F_- \rangle, \langle F_+, G_+ \rangle$ are pairwise different, and hence A, B, C, D are pairwise different subcategories.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{A} \in C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Therefore we have a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) , s.t. $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 2, \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$. From Lemma 8.5 we see that $\mathcal{A} = \langle x^m, x^{m+1} \rangle$ for $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. i.e. $\mathcal{A} \in \{A, B, C, D\}$. From Lemma 8.5 (a) and (29) it follows that $\{A, B, C, D\} \subset C_1^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, and (56) follows.

From (46) and the already proven first part of the Lemma follows table (55) and (57).

Finally, using the formulas in Proposition 8.8 we compute $\zeta \circ \theta(\langle c^m, c^{m+1} \rangle) = \zeta(\langle d^m, d^{m+1} \rangle) = \langle a^{m-1}, a^m \rangle$ and the corollary is proved. \square

Corollary 8.10. *For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the exceptional pairs from table (44) can be extended to the following full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_2*

$$(58) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline (a^{m-1}, c^m, F_-, d^m) & (c^m, G_-, F_-, d^m) & (G_-, a^{m-1}, F_-, d^m) \\ \hline (c^m, a^m, F_-, b^{m+1}) & (a^m, F_+, F_-, b^{m+1}) & (F_+, c^m, F_-, b^{m+1}) \\ \hline (a^{m-1}, d^m, a^m, F_+) & (d^m, G_+, a^m, F_+) & (G_+, a^{m-1}, a^m, F_+) \\ \hline (d^m, a^m, d^{m+1}, F_+) & (a^m, F_-, d^{m+1}, F_+) & (F_-, d^m, d^{m+1}, F_+) \\ \hline (b^m, c^m, b^{m+1}, G_-) & (c^m, F_-, b^{m+1}, G_-) & (F_-, b^m, b^{m+1}, G_-) \\ \hline (c^{m-1}, b^m, G_-, a^{m-1}) & (b^m, G_+, G_-, a^{m-1}) & (G_+, c^{m-1}, G_-, a^{m-1}) \\ \hline (b^m, d^m, G_+, c^m) & (d^m, F_+, G_+, c^m) & (F_+, b^m, G_+, c^m) \\ \hline (d^{m-1}, b^m, d^m, G_+) & (b^m, G_-, d^m, G_+) & (G_-, d^{m-1}, d^m, G_+) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Proof. The proof that these are exceptional collections is as in the Corollary 8.7. Since these sequences have maximal possible length - four, from [6] we know that they are full exceptional collections. \square

Corollary 8.11. *If we denote:*

$$(59) \quad cG_-^m = \langle c^m, G_- \rangle \quad aF_+^m = \langle a^m, F_+ \rangle \quad dG_+^m = \langle d^m, G_+ \rangle \quad aF_-^m = \langle a^m, F_- \rangle$$

$$(60) \quad cF_-^m = \langle c^m, F_- \rangle \quad bG_+^m = \langle b^m, G_+ \rangle \quad dF_+^m = \langle d^m, F_+ \rangle \quad bG_-^m = \langle b^m, G_- \rangle$$

Then the action of the Serre functor on these subcategories is described in the following table:

$$(61) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline X & cG_-^m & aF_+^m & dG_+^m & aF_-^m & cF_-^m & bG_+^m & dF_+^m & bG_-^m \\ \hline S(X) & dF_+^{m-1} & bG_-^m & cF_-^{m-1} & bG_+^m & dG_+^{m-1} & aF_-^{m-2} & cG_-^{m-1} & aF_+^{m-2} \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and the set of non-commutative curves of genus zero in \mathcal{T}_2 is:

$$(62) \quad \begin{aligned} C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) &= \{cG_-^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{aF_+^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{dG_+^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{aF_-^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\cup \{cF_-^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{bG_+^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{dF_+^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{bG_-^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\text{disjoint union, injective sequences. In particular, } \left| C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, (61), (62) imply that $C_0^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_2) \cong C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)/\langle S \rangle$ are finite sets with 8 elements and:

$$(63) \quad C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)/\langle S \rangle = \{[cG_-^0], [cG_-^1]\} \cup \{[aF_+^0], [aF_+^1]\} \cup \{[dG_+^0], [dG_+^1]\} \cup \{[aF_-^0], [aF_-^1]\}.$$

Furthermore, the auto-equivalences θ, ζ in Proposition 8.8 satisfy:

$$(64) \quad \theta(cG_-^0) = dG_+^0 \quad \theta(cG_-^1) = dG_+^1 \quad \theta(aF_+^0) = aF_-^0 \quad \theta(aF_+^1) = aF_-^1$$

$$(65) \quad \zeta^2(cG_-^1) = cG_-^0 \quad \zeta^2(aF_+^1) = aF_+^0 \quad S \circ \zeta(cG_-^0) = aF_+^{-2} = \zeta^4(aF_+^0)$$

and hence the $\left| C_0^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = 1$. Thus the row with $l = 0$ in the second table of (3) is proved.

Proof. From (46) (47) one computes table (61).

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_2$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Therefore $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ for a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) with $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 1$. From Lemma 8.5 we see that $\mathcal{A} = \langle X \rangle$ for some of the exceptional pairs in table (44). However from Corollary 8.10 it follows that:

$$(66) \quad \langle X \rangle = \langle X' \rangle \quad \text{whenever } X, X' \text{ are exceptional pairs from the same row of table (44)}$$

hence follows the equality in (62) (by Lemma 8.5, (29), and Proposition 5.5 follows that any of the elements on the RHS of (62) is an element of $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$). Next we show that the sequences in (62) are injective and that the union is disjoint.

If $cG_-^m = cG_-^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then from the first row in table (58) it follows that ${}^\perp(cG_-^m) = \langle F_-, d^m \rangle = {}^\perp(cG_-^n) = \langle F_-, d^n \rangle$, which implies that $(c^m, G_-, F_-, d^m), (c^n, G_-, F_-, d^n)$ are exceptional collections and hence $(c^m, d^m), (c^n, d^n)$ are exceptional pairs, therefore by Lemma 8.5 it follows that $m = n$, therefore the first sequence in (62) is injective.

If $aF_+^m = aF_+^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then by similar arguments using the second row in table (58) it follows that $(a^m, b^{n+1}), (a^n, b^{m+1})$ are exceptional pairs, therefore by Lemma 8.5 it follows that $m = n$ and the second sequence in (62) is injective.

If $dG_+^m = dG_+^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then by similar arguments using the third row in table (58) it follows that $(d^m, a^n), (d^n, a^m)$ are exceptional pairs, therefore by Lemma 8.5 it follows that $m = n$.

If $aF_+^m = aF_+^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then by similar arguments using the fourth row in table (58) it follows that (a^m, d^{n+1}) is an exceptional pair, therefore by Lemma 8.5 it follows that $m = n$.

Any of the equalities $cG_-^m = dG_+^n, cG_-^m = aF_+^n, aF_+^m = dG_+^n, aF_+^m = aF_-^n$, for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ contradicts that $\{(F_+, F_-), (G_+, G_-), (F_+, G_+), (F_-, G_-)\}$ are orthogonal pairs - see Lemma 8.5.

If $cG_-^m = aF_+^n$ or $dG_+^m = aF_-^n$ for some m, n then from (66) and (44) it follows that $\langle a^{m-1}, c^m \rangle = \langle F_+, c^n \rangle$ or $\langle a^{m-1}, d^m \rangle = \langle F_-, d^n \rangle$, which implies $m = n$ and $a^{m-1} \sim F_{+/-}$ - contradiction.

So far we showed that the first four sequences are injective and the corresponding images are pairwise disjoint. However from table (61) we see that the union of the images of the last four sequences is obtained bijectively from the already discussed union via the Serre functor. To prove (62) it remains to show that no an element on the first row equals an element in the second row.

Recalling that $\{(F_+, F_-), (G_+, G_-), (F_+, G_+), (F_-, G_-)\}$ are orthogonal pairs it remains to show that non of the equalities $cG_-^m = dF_+^n, aF_+^m = bG_-^n, dG_+^m = cF_-^n, aF_+^m = bG_+^n$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ can happen. Using table (58) we derive the following contradictions to Lemma 8.5

$$\begin{aligned} cG_-^m = dF_+^n &\text{ implies that } d^n, F_+, F_-, d^m \text{ is exc. collection, hence } F_+, d^m \text{ is exc. pair,} \\ aF_+^m = bG_-^n &\text{ implies that } b^n, G_-, F_-, b^{m+1} \text{ is exc. collection, hence } G_-, b^{m+1} \text{ is exc. pair,} \\ dG_+^m = cF_-^n &\text{ implies that } c^n, F_-, a^m, F_+ \text{ is exc. collection, hence } F_-, a^m \text{ is exc. pair,} \\ aF_+^m = bG_+^n &\text{ implies that } b^n, G_+, F_-, b^{m+1} \text{ is an exc. collection, hence } G_+, b^{m+1} \text{ is exc. pair.} \end{aligned}$$

Table (61) shows that the action of $\langle S \rangle$ on $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ (discussed in Corollary 4.8) has four invariant subsets, each of them quotient by $\langle S \rangle$ is a two-elements set, and the corresponding splitting of the quotient $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)/\langle S \rangle$ into four quotients is as in (63).

Finally, the equalities in (64), (65) follow by combining formulas (46), (47) and the formulas in Proposition 8.8 \square

Corollary 8.12. *If we denote:*

$$AB^m = \langle a^m, b^{m+1} \rangle \quad CD^m = \langle c^m, d^m \rangle$$

$$F_{\pm} = \langle F_+, F_- \rangle \quad G_{\pm} = \langle G_+, G_- \rangle \quad FG_+ = \langle F_+, G_+ \rangle \quad FG_- = \langle F_-, G_- \rangle.$$

Then the action of the Serre functor on these subcategories is described in the following table:

$$(67) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline X & AB^m & CD^m & F_{\pm} & G_{\pm} & FG_+ & FG_- \\ \hline S(X) & AB^{m-1} & CD^{m-1} & G_{\pm} & F_{\pm} & FG_- & FG_+ \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and the set of non-commutative curves of genus -1 in \mathcal{T}_2 is:

$$(68) \quad C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{AB^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{CD^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{F_{\pm}, G_{\pm}, FG_+, FG_-\}$$

disjoint union, injective sequences. In particular, $\left| C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = \infty$.

Furthermore, (67), (68) imply that $C_{-1}^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_2) \cong C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \langle S \rangle$ are finite sets with 4 elements and for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:

$$(69) \quad C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \langle S \rangle = \{[AB^m], [CD^n], [F_{\pm}], [FG_+]\}.$$

The autoequivalences ζ in Proposition 8.8 satisfy for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(70) \quad \zeta(AB^m) = CD^m \quad \zeta(F_{\pm}) = FG_+ \Rightarrow C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{[AB^m], [F_{\pm}]\}$$

and we claim that the two elements in $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2) / \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ are different, i.e. $\left| C_{-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_2)}(\mathcal{T}_2) \right| = 2$.

Thus the row with $l = -1$, in the second table of (3) is proved.

Proof. From (46), (47) one computes table (67).

Recalling Proposition 5.5 we see that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}_2$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ is equivalent to existence of an orthogonal exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) , s.t. $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$. In Lemma 8.5 (b) are listed all the orthogonal exceptional pairs in \mathcal{T}_2 . Hence follows (68).

Remark 8.13. *It is easy to show that for any two orthogonal exceptional pairs (α, β) , (γ, δ) from $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$ it follows that either $\alpha \sim \gamma$, $\beta \sim \delta$ or $\alpha \sim \delta$, $\beta \sim \gamma$.*

Hence follows that the sequences in (68) are injective and that the union is disjoint. From the already proved facts follows (69). To compute the equalities in (70) we apply Proposition 8.8.

It remains to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is no a \mathbb{K} -linear auto-equivalence v of \mathcal{T}_2 , such that $v(\langle F_+, F_- \rangle) = \langle a^m, b^{m+1} \rangle$. Indeed, if such $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an auto-equivalence v existed, then we note that there are full exceptional collections (see table (45) and Corollary 8.5) (a^m, a^{m+1}, F_+, F_-) , (c^m, d^m, a^m, b^{m+1}) and we derive $v(\langle a^m, a^{m+1} \rangle) = v(\langle F_+, F_- \rangle^{\perp}) = v(\langle F_+, F_- \rangle)^{\perp} = \langle a^m, b^{m+1} \rangle^{\perp} = \langle c^m, d^m \rangle$, which contradicts the fact that (a^m, a^{m+1}) is not orthogonal exceptional pair, whereas (c^m, d^m) is an orthogonal exceptional pair (see Corollary 8.5). The corollary is proved. \square

Lemma 8.6 suggests the following

Proposition 8.14. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be the quivers in (2), then the numbers $\left| C_{D^b(Q_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma}(D^b(Q_2)) \right|$ for $\Gamma = \{\text{Id}\}$, $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$, $\Gamma = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$ are 4, 2, 1, respectively.*

Proof. Lemma 8.6 and Proposition 7.5 imply that $\left|C_{D^b(Q_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))\right| \leq 4$. On the other hand in Figure 9 we read the following four exceptional sequences (here m can be any integer):

$$(71) \quad (b^m, d^m, b^{m+1}, G_+), (b^m, c^m, b^{m+1}, G_-), (d^m, a^m, d^{m+1}, F_+), (c^m, a^m, c^{m+1}, F_-)$$

In particular, we have four different subcategories $\langle G_+ \rangle^\perp, \langle G_- \rangle^\perp, \langle F_+ \rangle^\perp, \langle F_- \rangle^\perp$. The auto-equivalences (47) and (49) give us

$$(72) \quad S(\langle G_+ \rangle^\perp) = \langle F_- \rangle^\perp \quad S(\langle F_- \rangle^\perp) = \langle G_+ \rangle^\perp \quad S(\langle G_- \rangle^\perp) = \langle F_+ \rangle^\perp \quad \theta(\langle F_+ \rangle^\perp) = \langle F_- \rangle^\perp$$

and therefore these four subcategories are pairwise equivalent. We will show that they are equivalent to $D^b(Q_1)$, then the already explained implies (73) and the Proposition will be proved:

$$(73) \quad C_{D^b(Q_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2)) = \{\langle G_+ \rangle^\perp, \langle G_- \rangle^\perp, \langle F_+ \rangle^\perp, \langle F_- \rangle^\perp\}$$

$$C_{D^b(Q_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\langle S \rangle}(D^b(Q_2)) = \{[\langle F_+ \rangle^\perp], [\langle F_- \rangle^\perp]\} \quad \left|C_{D^b(Q_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))}(D^b(Q_2))\right| = 1$$

The subcategory $\langle G_+ \rangle^\perp$ has a full strong exceptional collection (b^1, d^1, b^2) (see (51) and Figure 10, and (29)) and the category $D^b(Q_1)$ has a full strong exceptional collection (b^1, a^1, b^2) (see (40)). Let (A, B, C) be any of these two exceptional triples, then we have $\text{hom}(A, B) = \text{hom}(B, C) = 1$, $\text{hom}(A, C) = 2$, and we will show that the composition of any nonzero morphisms in $\text{Hom}(A, B)$, $\text{Hom}(B, C)$ is a non-zero morphism in $\text{Hom}(A, C)$, and this implies that both the triples have the same endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3)$, then from [19, Corollary 1.9] would follow that $\langle G_+ \rangle^\perp$ is equivalent to $D^b(Q_1)$. In (43) we see that the triple $(b^1[-1], d^1[-1], b^2[-1])$ is (E_3^0, E_8^0, E_3^1) , and in [7, Proposition 2.3] we see that these are the following representations :

$$(74) \quad E_3^0 = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{K} & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & 0 & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \end{array} \quad E_8^0 = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & k \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & 0 & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \end{array} \quad E_3^1 = \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{j_+^1} & \mathbb{K}^2 \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & 0 & & \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \mathbb{K} \end{array}$$

and one checks that the composition of non-zero morphisms from E_3^0 to E_8^0 and from E_8^0 to E_3^1 is non-zero. In Section 3.2 in [9] we see that $(b^1[-1], a^1[-1], b^2[-1])$ is (E_3^0, E_2^0, E_3^1) and from [7, Proposition 2.2]:

$$(75) \quad E_3^0 = \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{K} & \\ & \nearrow \quad \searrow & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & 0 \end{array} \quad E_2^0 = \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{K} & \\ & \nearrow \quad \searrow \text{Id} & \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \mathbb{K} \end{array} \quad E_3^1 = \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{K}^2 & \\ & \nearrow \quad \searrow j_-^1 & \\ \mathbb{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \mathbb{K} \end{array}$$

and one checks that the composition of non-zero morphisms from E_3^0 to E_2^0 and from E_2^0 to E_3^1 is non-zero. \square

Having observed (73) it is natural to determine what is $\langle x^m \rangle^\perp$, for $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$:

Proposition 8.15. *Let Q_2 be the quiver in (2), then:*

$$(76) \quad C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2)) = \left\{ \langle x^m \rangle^\perp : m \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \{a, b, c, d\} \right\}$$

and the cardinalities $\left|C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^\Gamma(D^b(Q_2))\right|$ for $\Gamma = \{\text{Id}\}, \langle S \rangle, \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$ are $\infty, 4, 1$, respectively.

Proof. From the Figures 7, 8, 9 (or using Lemma 8.5) one concludes that for each m we have a full exceptional collection (c^m, G_-, d^m, a^m) , hence $\langle a^m \rangle^\perp = \langle c^m, G_-, d^m \rangle$, also these pictures contain the data, that after shifts of the elements of (c^m, G_-, d^m) one gets a strong triple (A, B, C) with $\text{hom}(A, B) = \text{hom}(B, C) = 1$, $\text{hom}(A, C) = 0$, and now [19, Corollary 1.9] ensures that $\langle a^m \rangle^\perp \cong D^b(A_3)$, and hence $\langle a^m \rangle^\perp \in C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2))$. We use again some auto-equivalences of $D^b(Q_2)$. More precisely, from (46), (49) we have:

$$(77) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline X & \langle a^m \rangle^\perp & \langle b^m \rangle^\perp & \langle d^m \rangle^\perp & \langle c^{m-1} \rangle^\perp & \langle b^m \rangle^\perp & \langle c^m \rangle^\perp \\ \hline S(X) & \langle b^m \rangle^\perp & \langle a^{m-2} \rangle^\perp & \langle c^{m-1} \rangle^\perp & \langle d^{m-2} \rangle^\perp & \langle c^{m-1} \rangle^\perp & \langle b^m \rangle^\perp. \\ \hline \end{array}$$

which implies that $\langle x^m \rangle^\perp \cong D^b(A_3)$ and $\langle x^m \rangle^\perp \in C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2))$, for $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand if we take any $\mathcal{B} \in C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2))$, then viewing it as a subcategory it must be generated by an exceptional triple, say (A, B, C) . Due to [6] this triple can be extended to a full exceptional collection (A, B, C, D) and hence $\mathcal{B} = \langle D \rangle^\perp$ for some $\langle D \rangle \in C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$, and (76) follows from $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2)) = \{\langle F_+ \rangle, \langle F_- \rangle, \langle G_+ \rangle, \langle G_- \rangle\} \cup \{\langle a^m \rangle, \langle b^m \rangle, \langle c^m \rangle, \langle d^m \rangle : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and (73). The rest of the Proposition follows from (77). \square

Corollary 8.16. *Let Q_1, Q_2 be the quivers in (2). If $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_2)) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} has a full exceptional triple, then either $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_3)$ or $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(Q_1)$ and we have table (5).*

Proof. The fact that \mathcal{A} must be either equivalent to $D^b(A_3)$ or to $D^b(Q_1)$ follows from the arguments in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 8.15. \square

9. NON-COMMUTATIVE CURVE COUNTING IN $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ AND MARKOV CONJECTURE

Here we choose $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ and initiate our study of the non-commutative curve counting in $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and show that the completion of this project leads to proving (or disproving) Markov conjecture.

Proposition 9.1. *Denote $\mathcal{T} = D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Let $\langle S \rangle \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})$ be the subgroup generated by the Serre functor. Then $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset$ and $\forall l \geq 0$ the set $C_l^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T})$ is finite. It is non-empty for:*

$$(78) \quad \left\{ l \geq 0 : C_l^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset \right\} = \left\{ l \geq 0 : C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset \right\} = \{3m - 1 : m \text{ is a Markov number}\}.$$

Furthermore, for any Markov number m hold (6), (7), (8), where $c_1(E)$, $r(E)$ are the first Chern class (which we consider as an integer) and the rank of E .

Proof. We first collect known facts for exceptional collections in \mathcal{T} . Let $\{\mathcal{O}(i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the sequence of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . Beilinson [1] gave a full exceptional collection $(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(i+1), \mathcal{O}(i+2))$ in $D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$ for eqch $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T} have length 3 and $\text{rank}(K_0(\mathcal{T})) = 3$.

[18, Proposition 2.10] ensures that each exceptional object $E \in \mathcal{T}$ after shifting becomes an exceptional sheaf, on the other hand each exceptional sheaf on \mathbb{P}^2 is locally free (see [13, Subsection 1.1]). Thus *each exceptional object in \mathcal{T} is a shift of an exceptional vector bundle*. Now using [14, Theorem 4.6.1] we conclude that:

$$(79) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{any exceptional collection in } \mathcal{T} \text{ can be extended to a full collection,} \\ \text{after shifting any full exceptional collection is a collection of 3 vector bundles.} \end{array}$$

In [5] a strong exceptional collection \mathcal{E} which remains strong under all mutations is called *non-degenerate*. Furthermore in [5] are defined so called *geometric* exceptional collections and [5, Corollary 2.4] says that geometricity implies non-degeneracy. Furthermore, [5, Proposition 3.3] claims that a full exceptional collection of length m of coherent sheaves on a smooth projective variety X of dimension n is geometric if and only if $m = n + 1$. Combining with (79) we conclude:

(80) each exceptional pair can be shifted to a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) of bundles.

Recall that we have a bijection 21. Take any $\mathcal{A} = \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \subset \mathcal{T}$ from the codomain of this bijection, in particular $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = l + 1$ (from (80) we know that E_1, E_2 can be chosen so that they form an exceptional pair of bundles). Using (79) we can extend the pair to a full exceptional collection of vector bundles (E_1, E_2, E_3) and so we conclude that there exists unique up to isomorphism exceptional vector bundle E_3 such that ${}^\perp\mathcal{A} = \langle E_3 \rangle$. On the other hand from [14, Subsection 7.2.2] we know that $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 3r(E_3)$, and that

$$(81) \quad r(E_1)^2 + r(E_2)^2 + r(E_3)^2 = 3r(E_1)r(E_2)r(E_3)$$

where $r(E_i)$ is the rank of E_i (this holds for each full exceptional collection (E_1, E_2, E_3)). So to each \mathcal{A} we attached a subcategory generated by an exceptional vector bundle E with $r(E) = (l + 1)/3$, so that $\mathcal{A} = \langle E \rangle^\perp$, in particular this assignment is injective. Using (80), (79) one can show that the assignment is also surjective, more precisely, we obtain a bijection: From (79), (80) it follows that we have a bijection, we choose to denote the codomain by EB_l

$$(82) \quad C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \{ \langle E \rangle \subset \mathcal{T} : E \text{ is an exc. v. bundle with } r(E) = \frac{l+1}{3} \} := EB_l \quad [F] \mapsto {}^\perp\text{Im}(F).$$

In particular we see that $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \emptyset$. The group action $\Gamma \times C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ defined by $([\beta], [F]_{\{\text{Id}\}}) \mapsto [\beta \circ F]_{\{\text{Id}\}}$, from Corollary 4.8 translates to action (see Remark 3.8)

$$(83) \quad \Gamma \times EB_l \rightarrow EB_l \quad ([\beta], \langle E \rangle) \mapsto \langle \beta(E) \rangle \quad C_l^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}) \cong EB_l/\Gamma.$$

Having the bijection (82), the equation (81) and knowing that every Markov number is a rank of an exceptional vector bundle ([20, Theorem 3.2]), we obtain (78), and from now on we assume that

$$(84) \quad m = \frac{l+1}{3} \text{ is a Markov number.}$$

For a vector bundle E on \mathbb{P}^2 we denote $E \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)$ by $E(n)$, and the rational number $\frac{c_1(E)}{r(E)}$ is denoted by $\mu(E)$ and called slope of E . We have $c_1(E(n)) = c_1(E) + nr(E)$, $\mu(E(n)) = \mu(E) + n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see for example [20, p.100]). For each $x \in EB_l$ there is unique up to isomorphism exceptional vector bundle E_x on \mathbb{P}^2 with $r(E_x) = \frac{l+1}{3}$, s. t. $x = \langle E_x \rangle$, we will write $c_1(x)$ for $c_1(E_x)$, and $x(n)$ for $\langle E_x(n) \rangle$. [13, Proposition 4.6] or [20, Theorem 2.2] say that: if E, E' are exceptional vector bundles s.t. $\mu(E) = \mu(E')$ then $E \cong E'$, therefore

$$(85) \quad \text{if } x, y \in EB_l \text{ then } c_1(x) = c_1(y) \iff x = y; \quad EB_l \xrightarrow{c_1} \mathbb{Z} \text{ is injective.}$$

Since we have $c_1(x(n)) = c_1(x) + nm$, then denoting:

$$(86) \quad EB_l^{[0, m]} = \{ x \in EB_l : 0 \leq c_1(x) < m \} \text{ we have a disjoint union } EB_l = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} EB_l^{[0, m]}(n)$$

we already showed that $EB_l \neq \emptyset$ (under the assumption 84), and from (86) it follows that EB_l is an infinite set (hence (6)). Now let $[S] \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$ be the Serre functor of \mathcal{T} , since the canonical

bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is $\mathcal{O}(-3)$, then for a vector bundle E we have $S(E) = E \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3)[2]$ (see [3, 2] in 3.2 Examples]), hence the action of $[S]$ on EB_l (83) is:

$$(87) \quad \forall x \in EB_l \quad ([S], x) \mapsto x(-3) \Rightarrow \left([S], EB_l^{[0,m]}(n)\right) \mapsto EB_l^{[0,m]}(n-3),$$

therefore from (83), (86) we see that: $|C_l^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T})| = |EB_l/\langle S \rangle| = 3 |EB_l^{[0,m]}|$ and part of (8) follows.

Finally, let $\Gamma = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})$, we want to compute EB_l/Γ (see (83)). [4, Theorem 3.1] says that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})$ is generated by automorphisms of the variety, translations, and by the functor $F = _ \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$. Since the exceptional vector bundles are homogeneous, [13, Subsection 1.1], then the automorphisms of the variety and the translations act trivially on EB_l , therefore $EB_l/\Gamma = EB_l/\langle F \rangle$. On the other hand, since $F(E(n)) = E(n+1)$ for any vector bundle E , it follows

$$(88) \quad \forall x \in EB_l \quad ([F], x) \mapsto x(1) \Rightarrow \left([F], EB_l^{[0,m]}(n)\right) \mapsto EB_l^{[0,m]}(n+1),$$

and therefore $|C_l^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})| = |EB_l/\langle F \rangle| = |EB_l^{[0,m]}|$ and we deduce (7) and the equality in (8). \square

Corollary 9.2. *Denote $\mathcal{T} = D^b(\mathbb{P}^2)$. The first several non-trivial $|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})|$ are (recall that m is a Markov number and on the first row are listed the first 9 Markov numbers) listed in (9).*

Furthermore, the so called Tyurin's conjecture, which is equivalent to the Markov's conjecture (this equivalence is proved in [20, p. 100], see also [14, Section 7.2.3]) is equivalent to the following statement: for all Markov numbers $m \neq 1, m \neq 2$ we have $|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})| \leq 2$ and this is equivalent to the statement: for all Markov numbers $m \neq 1, m \neq 2$ we have $|C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T})| = 2$.

Proof. We first recall that, [13, Proposition 4.6] or [20, Theorem 2.2], if E, E' are exceptional vector bundles s.t. $\mu(E) = \mu(E')$ then $E \cong E'$. In [20, p. 100] a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is called representative (“представительными”), if $0 \leq \mu(E) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\mu(E(n)) = \mu(E) + n$, and $\mu(E^*) = -\mu(E)$, and since starting from an exceptional vector bundle E the dual E^* and the twisted bundles $E(j)$ are exceptional bundles as well (see e.g. [13, Subsection 1.2]) it follows that all the exceptional vector bundles up to isomorphism) on \mathbb{P}^2 are obtained by the representative exceptional vector bundles using dualisation and twisting. Taking into account these remarks, we can rewrite (7) as follows (here m is a Markov number):

$$(89) \quad \left| C_{3m-1}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T})}(\mathcal{T}) \right| = \left| \left\{ \mu(E) : \begin{array}{l} E \text{ is representative exceptional} \\ \text{bundle on } \mathbb{P}^2, \text{ with } r(E) = m, \end{array} \right\} \right| \\ + \left| \left\{ \mu(E) : \begin{array}{l} E \text{ is representative exceptional bundle} \\ \text{on } \mathbb{P}^2, \text{ with } r(E) = m, \text{ and } 0 < \mu(E) < \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \right|$$

Furthermore, [13, Proposition 4.4] says that for an exceptional vector bundle E the numbers $c_1(E)$, $r(E)$ have no common divisors, hence they can be found from $\mu(E)$. On [20, p. 100] is written, that all the possible slopes of representative exceptional bundles of rank ≤ 200 are:

$$(90) \quad \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{29}, \frac{13}{34}, \frac{34}{89}, \frac{70}{169}, \frac{75}{194},$$

from these arguments it follows table 9. Furthermore, Tyurin's conjecture ([20, p. 100]) says that a representative exceptional bundle is uniquely determined by its rank. Knowing that for each Markov number m there exists such a vector bundle with rank m , and since the slopes $0, \frac{1}{2}$ are already in

the list (90), then for $m \neq 1$ and $m \neq 2$ such a vector bundle E has $0 < \mu(E) < \frac{1}{2}$, and we see that the Turin's conjecture is equivalent to the statement that for each Markov number $m \neq 1, \neq 2$ there exists no more than one (up to isomorphism) representative exceptional vector bundle E with $r(E) = m$. Now using (89) and that $0 < \mu(E) < \frac{1}{2}$ for such E we deduce the last statement of the corollary. \square

It follows an approach to Markov conjecture by counting subgraphs in a given valued digraph:

Proposition 9.3. *If for any Markov number $m \neq 1$ and $m \neq 2$ one can find two subgraphs of the valued graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$ of the form:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle x_{i-1} \rangle & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle x_i \rangle & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle x_{i+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{3m} \cdots \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle y_{i-1} \rangle & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle y_i \rangle & \xrightarrow{3m} & \langle y_{i+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{3m} \cdots \end{array}$$

such that for any subgraph of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$ of the form:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{3m} \langle z_{i-1} \rangle \xrightarrow{3m} \langle z_i \rangle \xrightarrow{3m} \langle z_{i+1} \rangle \xrightarrow{3m} \cdots$$

there exists $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$ such that either $\beta(\langle z_i \rangle) = \langle x_{i+N} \rangle$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\beta(\langle z_i \rangle) = \langle y_{i+N} \rangle$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, then Markov conjecture holds.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = N\mathbb{P}^{3m-1}$ and $\Gamma = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(D^b(\mathbb{P}^2))$. If the condition holds, then the right hand side of (27), modified as in Subsection 7.1 (b), has no more than two elements, therefore $|C_{3m-1}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T})| \leq 2$ and by Corollary 9.2 Markov conjecture follows. \square

10. NON-COMMUTATIVE COUNTING IN $D^b(A_{n+1})$

Here again \mathbb{K} is an arbitrary algebraically closed field. Let $\mathcal{T}_n = D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_n))$, where Q_n is the quiver $Q_n = 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow n$, $n \geq 0$.

Here we study the sets $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}_{k-1} \cong D^b(A_k)$, $k \geq 1$ (see Definition 4.3) and the sets $C_l^{\text{Id}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, $C_l^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ for $l \geq -1$. In [17] is shown that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is generated by the translation and the Serre functor, it follows that for the choices of \mathcal{A} in this section we have

$$(91) \quad C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)}(\mathcal{T}_n) \cong C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\langle S \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_n).$$

From now on we denote $\Gamma_n = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and for shorter formulas we will omit \mathbb{K} in the notations $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}, \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and write just $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

Sometimes we denote the value of a sequence $\alpha : \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow X$ at $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ via α_i for the sake of brevity, otherwise we denote it by $\alpha(i)$.

For $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ we denote by $s_{i,j}$ the representation in $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q_n)$, whose dimension vector satisfies $\underline{\dim}_x(s_{i,j}) = 1$ iff $i \leq x \leq j$ and $\underline{\dim}_x(s_{i,j}) = 0$ iff $x < i$ or $x > j$, and which assigns the identity map to the arrows $x \rightarrow y$ for $i \leq x, y \leq j$, and zero to all other arrows. It is known that:

$$(92) \quad \text{up to shifts, all exceptional objects in } \mathcal{T}_n \text{ are } \{s_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq n}, \quad s_{i,j} \sim s_{u,v} \iff i = u, j = v.$$

Using the bijection (22) in Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 3.1 we see that:

Lemma 10.1. *Let $n \geq 0$, then the set $C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ can be described as follows*

$$(93) \quad C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) = \{\langle s_{\alpha, \beta} \rangle : 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n\} \quad \left| C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}.$$

In particular $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_0) \right| = 1$ and we can assume from now on $n \geq 1$. The following remark will be useful to determine the exceptional pairs:

Remark 10.2. *Recall that for any acyclic Q and any $A, B \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q)$ holds the Euler formula:*

$$(94) \quad \text{hom}(A, B) - \text{hom}^1(A, B) = \sum_{v \in V(Q)} \underline{\dim}_v(A) \underline{\dim}_v(B) - \sum_{x \rightarrow y \in \text{Arrows}(Q)} \underline{\dim}_x(A) \underline{\dim}_y(B).$$

Furthermore if Q is Dynkin, then using the table in [8, page 5 in Version 3] we see that for any pair of exceptional representations (A, B) we have

$$(95) \quad \text{hom}(A, B) = \begin{cases} \langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle & \text{if } \langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(96) \quad \text{hom}^1(A, B) = \begin{cases} -\langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle & \text{if } \langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in particular (A, B) is exceptional iff $\langle \underline{\dim}(B), \underline{\dim}(A) \rangle = 0$.

using this remark one proves Lemmas 10.3, 10.4:

Lemma 10.3. *Let $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ be an exceptional pair in \mathcal{T}_n .*

(a) *If $\alpha < i$ and $\beta < j$, then $\beta < i$, furthermore, if $\beta = i - 1$, then $\text{hom}^1(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 1$, $\text{hom}^i(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$ otherwise $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ is orthogonal pair,*

(b) *If $i < \alpha$ and $j < \beta$, then $j < \alpha - 1$ and $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ is orthogonal pair,*

(c) *If $\alpha \leq i \leq j \leq \beta$, then either ($\alpha = i$ and $j < \beta$ and $\text{hom}(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 1$, $\text{hom}^i(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$) or ($\alpha < i$ and $j < \beta$ and $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ is orthogonal pair),*

(d) *If $i \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq j$, then either ($i < \alpha$ and $j = \beta$ and $\text{hom}(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 1$, $\text{hom}^i(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$) or ($i < \alpha$ and $\beta < j$ and $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ is orthogonal pair),*

Lemma 10.4. *If $\alpha < i \leq \beta < j$, then neither $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ nor $(s_{i, j}, s_{\alpha, \beta})$ is an exceptional pair. If $i < \alpha \leq j < \beta$, then neither $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{i, j})$ nor $(s_{i, j}, s_{\alpha, \beta})$ is an exceptional pair.*

Remark 10.5. *Note that from Remark 10.2 it follows that property (29) holds for $D^b(Q)$ with a Dynkin quiver Q . This property and Proposition 5.5 imply that if $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(Q_i)) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} is a category generated by an exceptional pair, then $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ must be $C_l^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_i)$ for some $l \geq -1$. Furthermore, (31) implies that $l \leq 0$.*

Lemma 10.6. *For $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < j \leq n$ the pairs $(s_{\beta+1, j}, s_{\alpha, j})$, $(s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{\beta+1, j})$, $(s_{\alpha, j}, s_{\alpha, \beta})$ are exceptional and $\langle s_{\beta+1, j}, s_{\alpha, j} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{\beta+1, j} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha, j}, s_{\alpha, \beta} \rangle$*

Proof. The fact that the pairs are exceptional one proves using (94). If we denote $(A, B) = (s_{\alpha, \beta}, s_{\beta+1, j})$, then one shows that $(B, R_B(A)) \sim (s_{\beta+1, j}, s_{\alpha, j})$ and that $(L_A(B), A) \sim (s_{\alpha, j}, s_{\alpha, \beta})$, hence the lemma follows. \square

10.1. Combinatorial descriptions of $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

Lemma 10.7. *The set $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ can be described as follows: $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) =$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle : 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq n+1-k \} \\ & \cong \left\{ \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{0, 1, \dots, n-k+1\} : 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq n+1-k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The case $k=1$ is in Lemma 10.1.

Assume that the statement holds for some $k \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{B} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_k}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$. We will show first that \mathcal{B} must have the desired form. Now $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}_n$, $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{T}_k$, and there is a SOD $\mathcal{B} = \langle \mathcal{B}', E \rangle$, where $\mathcal{B}' \cong \mathcal{T}_{k-1}$, and E is an exceptional object and the decompositions are not orthogonal. By the induction assumption

$\mathcal{B}' = \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle$ and $E = s_{u,v}$, and we can write:

$$(97) \quad 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq n+1-k \quad 0 \leq u \leq v \leq n,$$

$$(98) \quad (s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v}) \text{ are exc. pairs for all } 0 \leq j \leq k-1, \text{ not all pairs are orthogonal.}$$

If $u \geq \alpha_k + k - 1$, then Lemma 10.3 and (98) imply that $u = \alpha_k + k \leq v$, and then we obtain the desired form of \mathcal{B} . If $v \leq \alpha_0$, then using Lemma 10.3 and the fact that $(s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{u,v})$ is an exceptional pair we see that u must be equal to α_0 and $v < \alpha_1$ and using Lemma 10.6 we get $\langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{u,v} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_0, v} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha_0, v}, s_{v+1, \alpha_1} \rangle$ and then we obtain the desired form of \mathcal{B} .

Therefore we can assume that $u < \alpha_k + k - 1$, $v > \alpha_0$. Now if $v > \alpha_k + k - 1$, then Lemmas 10.3 (d), 10.4, the inequality $u < \alpha_k + k - 1$, and since $(s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v})$ is an exceptional pair for all $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ imply that $u < \alpha_0$ (one shows that $u \geq \alpha_0$ is impossible), but now Lemma 10.3 (c), (d) implies that all pairs are orthogonal, which contradicts (98).

Therefore we can assume that $u < \alpha_k + k - 1$, $\alpha_0 < v \leq \alpha_k + k - 1$.

Now we consider the case $\alpha_0 \leq u$, then there exists $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, s.t. $\alpha_j + j \leq u \leq \alpha_{j+1} + j$. Now one of these must hold

(i) $\alpha_j + j < u$, $v = \alpha_{j+1} + j$, however now $(s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v})$ is not an exceptional pair (see Lemma 10.3 (c)), which contradicts (98).

(ii) $\alpha_j + j < u$, $v < \alpha_{j+1} + j$, then all pairs in (98) are orthogonal, which is a contradiction.

(iii) $\alpha_j + j < u$, $v > \alpha_{j+1} + j$, however now $(s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v})$ is not an exceptional pair (see Lemma 10.4), which contradicts (98).

(iv) $u = \alpha_j + j$. Now due to Lemma 10.3 the condition that $(s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v})$ is an exceptional pair imposes that $v < \alpha_{j+1} + j$ and therefore $s_{u,v}$ is orthogonal to $s_{\alpha_t+t, \alpha_{t+1}+t}$ for $t > j$ and using mutations (see also Lemma 10.6) we can derive the desired form of \mathcal{B} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1}, s_{u,v} \rangle = \\ & \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v}, s_{\alpha_{j+1}+j+1, \alpha_{j+2}+j+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, v}, s_{v+1, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{\alpha_{j+1}+j+1, \alpha_{j+2}+j+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider the case $u < \alpha_0$, $\alpha_0 < v \leq \alpha_k + k - 1$. There exists $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, s.t. $\alpha_j + j \leq v \leq \alpha_{j+1} + j$. Since $u < \alpha_0$, then $v < \alpha_{j+1} + j$ is incompatible with the fact that $(s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{u,v})$ is an exceptional pair and Lemma 10.4. Thus $v = \alpha_{j+1} + j$. Now if $j < k-1$, then $(s_{\alpha_{j+1}+j+1, \alpha_{j+2}+j+1}, s_{u,v})$ would not be an exceptional pair, which is a contradiction. Therefore we reduce to the case $u < \alpha_0$, $v = \alpha_k + k - 1$.

Now we apply k times Lemma 10.6 to deduce the desired form of \mathcal{B} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1}, s_{u, v} \rangle = \\ &\langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{\alpha_{j+1}+j+1, \alpha_{j+2}+j+1}, \dots, s_{u, \alpha_{k-1}+k-2}, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle \\ &\cdots = \langle s_{u, \alpha_0-1}, s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, s_{\alpha_{j+1}+j+1, \alpha_{j+2}+j+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{T}_n$ has the form:

$$\mathcal{B} = \langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1}, s_{\alpha_k+k, \alpha_{k+1}+k} \rangle,$$

then denoting $E_j = s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}[j]$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$ we get a strong full exceptional collection (here we use again Lemma 10.3) in \mathcal{B} which with the help of [19, Corollary 1.9] ensures that $\mathcal{B} \cong D^b(A_{k+1}) \cong \mathcal{T}_k$, and hence $\mathcal{B} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_k}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

To prove the lemma completely, it remains to show that if

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1}, s_{\alpha_k+k, \alpha_{k+1}+k} \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1}, s_{\alpha'_1+1, \alpha'_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha'_j+j, \alpha'_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha'_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha'_k+k-1}, s_{\alpha'_k+k, \alpha'_{k+1}+k} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

then the sequences α, α' coincide, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha'$. Indeed let the equality above holds. Inequality $\alpha_0 < \alpha'_0$ is impossible, since it gives contradiction: $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_0}) = 0, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_0}) \neq 0$, similarly $\alpha_0 > \alpha'_0, \alpha_{k+1} > \alpha'_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+1} < \alpha'_{k+1}$ are impossible. Therefore $\alpha_0 = \alpha'_0, \alpha_{k+1} = \alpha'_{k+1}$. If $\alpha_1 < \alpha'_1$, then all the pairs $(s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha'_j+j, \alpha'_{j+1}+j}), j \geq 1$ are orthogonal, thus we obtain an exceptional collection in $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{T}_k$ of length $k+1$ in which one element is orthogonal to all the rest, which is known to be impossible. Therefore we see that $\alpha_1 = \alpha'_1$, taking the right orthogonal to $s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} = s_{\alpha'_0, \alpha'_1}$ in \mathcal{B} we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_j+j, \alpha_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha_k+k-1}, s_{\alpha_k+k, \alpha_{k+1}+k+1} \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{\alpha'_1+1, \alpha'_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha'_j+j, \alpha'_{j+1}+j}, \dots, s_{\alpha'_{k-1}+k-1, \alpha'_k+k-1}, s_{\alpha'_k+k, \alpha'_{k+1}+k+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the induction assumption we deduce that $\alpha = \alpha'$, and the lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 10.8. *For $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ the set $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is non-empty iff $k \leq n+1$ and then $|C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = \binom{n+2}{k+1}$. In particular if $k = n+1$ we have $|C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = |C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = 1$.*

Proof. Follows from the previous lemma and Lemma 3.1. \square

We study now the action of the Serre functor.

Proposition 10.9. *Let S be the Serre functor of \mathcal{T}_n . Then for*

$$(99) \quad 0 \leq i < j < n \quad \Rightarrow \quad S(s_{i,j}) \cong s_{i+1, j+1}[1]$$

$$(100) \quad 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \Rightarrow \quad S(s_{i,n}) \cong s_{0,i}$$

Proof. Using Lemmas 10.3, 10.6 we see that the sequences in Figure 11 are exceptional collections. Since the first exceptional collection is full and all others are obtained from it via mutations, it follows that all of the listed exceptional collections are full (actually in [6] is shown that all exceptional collections of length $n+1$ in \mathcal{T}_n are full). Therefore (28) implies that

$$(101) \quad 0 \leq i < n \Rightarrow S(s_{i,i}) \sim s_{i+1, i+1}$$

$$(102) \quad S(s_{0,n}) \sim s_{0,0} \quad S(s_{n,n}) \sim s_{0,n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}, \dots, s_{n,n}) \\
& (s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, \dots, s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}), \\
& (s_{2,2}, s_{3,3}, \dots, s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}) \\
& \dots \\
& (s_{i+1,i+1}, s_{i+2,i+2}, \dots, s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, \dots, s_{i-1,i-1}) \\
& (s_{i+2,i+2}, s_{i+3,i+3}, \dots, s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, \dots, s_{i,i}) \\
& \dots \\
& (s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, \dots, s_{n-2,n-2}) \\
& (s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}, s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, \dots, s_{n-1,n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 11. Some full exceptional collections in \mathcal{T}_n

Let $0 \leq i < n$. Using the main property of the Serre functor we see that $1 = \text{hom}(s_{i,i}, s_{i,i}) = \text{hom}(s_{i,i}, S(s_{i,i}))$, on the other hand in Lemma 10.3 (a) we have $\text{hom}^p(s_{i,i}, s_{i+1,i+1}) = 1$ iff $p = 1$, therefore $S(s_{i,i}) = s_{i+1,i+1}[1]$. Now it follows (99), since S is an exact autoequivalence of \mathcal{T}_n and since $s_{i,j}$ is built from $s_{i,i}, s_{i+1,i+1}, \dots, s_{j,j}$ by a tower of triangles.

It remains to prove (100). We have already proved that $S(s_{0,n}) \sim s_{0,0}$, $S(s_{n,n}) \sim s_{0,n}$, on the other hand $1 = \text{hom}(s_{0,n}, s_{0,n}) = \text{hom}(s_{0,n}, S(s_{0,n}))$ and $1 = \text{hom}(s_{n,n}, s_{n,n}) = \text{hom}(s_{n,n}, S(s_{n,n}))$, and the vanishings $\text{hom}^i(s_{0,n}, s_{0,0}) = \text{hom}^i(s_{n,n}, s_{0,n}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ from Lemma 10.3 (c), (d) ensure that $S(s_{0,n}) \cong s_{0,0}$ and $S(s_{n,n}) \cong s_{0,n}$. Choose now $0 < i < n$. From Lemma 10.6 we know that $(s_{i,n-1}, s_{n,n})$ and $(s_{0,i}, s_{i+1,n})$ are exceptional pairs and that $\langle s_{i,n-1}, s_{n,n} \rangle = \langle s_{n,n}, s_{i,n} \rangle$, $\langle s_{i+1,n}, s_{0,n} \rangle = \langle s_{0,i}, s_{i+1,n} \rangle = \langle s_{0,n}, s_{0,i} \rangle$. Using the already proved equalities we derive:

$$\langle s_{0,n}, S(s_{i,n}) \rangle = S(\langle s_{n,n}, s_{i,n} \rangle) = S(\langle s_{i,n-1}, s_{n,n} \rangle) = \langle s_{i+1,n}, s_{0,n} \rangle = \langle s_{0,n}, s_{0,i} \rangle \Rightarrow S(s_{i,n}) \sim s_{0,i}.$$

On the other hand using (94) on shows that:

$$\text{hom}(s_{i,n}, s_{0,i}) = 1 \quad \text{hom}^j(s_{i,n}, s_{0,i}) = 0, j \neq 0$$

which together with the equalities $1 = \text{hom}(s_{i,n}, s_{i,n}) = \text{hom}(s_{i,n}, S(s_{i,n}))$ coming from the fact that S is a Serre functor imply $S(s_{i,n}) \cong s_{0,i}$, hence the lemma is completely proved. \square

Corollary 10.10. *Any orbit in $C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ of $\langle S \rangle$ has the following form (here $0 \leq \beta \leq n$):*

$$\begin{aligned}
(103) \quad & \langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle \mapsto \langle s_{1,\beta+1} \rangle \mapsto \dots \mapsto \langle s_{n-\beta,n} \rangle \\
& \mapsto \langle s_{0,n-\beta} \rangle \mapsto \langle s_{1,n-\beta+1} \rangle \mapsto \dots \mapsto \langle s_{\beta,n} \rangle \mapsto \text{return to } \langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The orbit reduces to the first row iff n is even and $\beta = \frac{n}{2}$ and then the orbit has $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ elements.

Otherwise the elements in (103) are pairwise distinct and in this case the orbit has $n+2$ elements.

Proof. From Lemma 10.1 we know that any $\mathcal{A} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ has the form $\mathcal{A} = \langle s_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle$ for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n$, furthermore the numbers α, β are uniquely determined from \mathcal{A} . The action of S , described in Proposition 10.9, allows us to map any $\langle s_{\alpha',\beta'} \rangle$ to an element of the form $\langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle$, and starting from $\langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle$ applying repeatedly the Serre functor generates the sequence in (103). The elements in the given sequences are of the form $S^j(\langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle)$, it remains to show the minimal j s.t.

$S^j(\langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle) = \langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle$. The first element, $\langle s_{0,\beta} \rangle$, in the sequence (103) can appear again in this sequence, only if $n - \beta = \beta$ and then the orbit reduces to the first row, and has $1 + \beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}$ elements, otherwise all the elements in (103) are pairwise different and their number is $1 + n - \beta + 1 + \beta = n + 2$. \square

Corollary 10.11. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $\Gamma_n = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, then $|C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1)}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{(n+2)}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.*

Proof. First we recall (91) and therefore it is enough to count the orbits of $\langle S \rangle$ on $C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$. From Corollary 10.10 we know that if n is odd, then all the orbits contain the same number of elements $n + 2$, on the other hand from Lemma 10.1 we know that $|C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$, therefore the number of orbits, which is the same as $|C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)|$, is $\frac{(n+1)}{2}$.

If n is even, then from Corollary 10.10 we know that there is unique orbit with $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ elements and the rest have $n + 2$ elements. Therefore $|C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)| - 1 = \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - \frac{n+2}{2} \right) / (n + 2)$. \square

We have already clarified the case $k = 1$ (see Corollary 10.11). From now on, unless else specified, we identify $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ with X_n^k (see below) using Lemma 10.7, we assume $2 \leq k < n + 1$, and denote

$$(104) \quad 2 \leq k < n + 1, \quad \delta_n^k = n + 1 - k$$

$$(105) \quad X_n^k = \left\{ \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{0, 1, \dots, \delta_n^k\} : 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_k \leq \delta_n^k \right\}.$$

Corollary 10.12. *The action (explained in Corollary 4.8) of S on the elements in $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is:*

$$(106) \quad \alpha_k < \delta_n^k \Rightarrow S((\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k)) = (\alpha_0 + 1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} + 1, \alpha_k + 1)$$

$$(107) \quad S((\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k)) = (0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}).$$

Proof. Iff $\alpha_k < \delta_n^k$ we use (99). If $\alpha_k = \delta_n^k$ we use (99), (100), and Lemma 10.6 to compute

$$\begin{aligned} S(\langle s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_2+1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-2}+k-2, \alpha_{k-1}+k-2}, s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, n} \rangle) &= \langle S(s_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}), \dots, S(s_{\alpha_{k-1}+k-1, n}) \rangle = \\ &= \langle s_{\alpha_0+1, \alpha_1+1}, s_{\alpha_1+2, \alpha_2+2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-2}+k-1, \alpha_{k-1}+k-1}, s_{0, \alpha_{k-1}+k-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle s_{0, \alpha_0}, s_{\alpha_0+1, \alpha_1+1}, s_{\alpha_1+2, \alpha_2+2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_{k-2}+k-1, \alpha_{k-1}+k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 10.13. *The set $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ can be identified with the set of orbits of the action of $\langle S \rangle \subset \text{Perm}(X_n^k)$ on X_n^k described in Corollary 10.12.*

Proof. This follows from the results in this subsection and (91). \square

10.2. Introducing d -additive sequences. We give a name d -additive sequences of some elements in X_n^k (see (105) for the notation X_n^k), and show that we need them to study the orbits of the action of $\langle S \rangle$ on X_n^k described in Corollary 10.12. The goal is proof of Proposition 10.22 and its corollaries.

Let \mathcal{O} be any orbit of $\langle S \rangle$ in X_n^k .

Using Corollary 10.12 one shows that there is an element in \mathcal{O} with vanishing first coordinate. Take any such element $\alpha = (0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathcal{O}$. Applying S recursively to α produces the cycle shown in Figure 12 where in the part indexed by i , the index i varies decreasingly from $k - 1$ to 3,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha = (0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \mapsto \dots \mapsto \left(\delta_n^k - \alpha_k, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_k, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k, \delta_n^k \right) \\
& \mapsto \left(0, \delta_n^k - \alpha_k, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_k, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k \right) \mapsto \dots \\
& \dots \mapsto \left(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k - \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{k-1}, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-2} - \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k \right) \\
& \mapsto \left(0, \alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k - \alpha_{k-1}, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{k-1}, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-2} - \alpha_{k-1} \right) \mapsto \dots \\
& \dots \mapsto \left(\alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-2}, \alpha_k - \alpha_{k-2}, \delta_n^k - \alpha_{k-2}, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{k-2}, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-3} - \alpha_{k-2}, \delta_n^k \right) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& \mapsto \left(0, \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}, \dots, \alpha_k - \alpha_{i-1}, \delta_n^k - \alpha_{i-1}, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{i-1}, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{i-2} - \alpha_{i-1} \right) \mapsto \dots \\
& \dots \mapsto \left(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i-2}, \alpha_i - \alpha_{i-2}, \dots, \alpha_k - \alpha_{i-2}, \delta_n^k - \alpha_{i-2}, \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{i-2}, \dots, \delta_n^k + \alpha_{i-3} - \alpha_{i-2}, \delta_n^k \right) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& (0, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \alpha_3 - \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k - \alpha_1, \delta_n^k - \alpha_1) \mapsto \dots \mapsto \left(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \delta_n^k \right) \\
& \mapsto \text{return to } \alpha = (0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 12. Acting with S on an element in X_n^k

in particular if $k = 3$ or $k = 2$ this part does not exist, furthermore, if $k = 2$ the cycle looks like this (here we put $\beta = \alpha_1, \gamma = \alpha_2$):

$$\begin{aligned}
(108) \quad & (0, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (1, \beta + 1, \gamma + 1) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (n - 1 - \gamma, \beta + n - 1 - \gamma, n - 1) \\
& \mapsto (0, n - 1 - \gamma, \beta + n - 1 - \gamma) \mapsto (1, n - \gamma, \beta + n - \gamma) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (\gamma - \beta, n - 1 - \beta, n - 1) \\
& \mapsto (0, \gamma - \beta, n - 1 - \beta) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (\beta, \gamma, n - 1) \mapsto \text{return to } (0, \beta, \gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Each element in Figure 12 is obtained from the previous via the formulas in Corollary 10.12.

Note that in the cycle from Figure 12 the elements with a zero leading coordinate are in the following sequence, and they appear in this order:

$$(109) \quad \alpha, S^{\delta_n^k + 1 - \alpha_k}(\alpha), \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha), \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + k - \alpha_1}(\alpha), S^{\delta_n^k + k + 1}(\alpha) = \alpha.$$

where $\delta_n^k + 1 - \alpha_k < \delta_n^k + 2 - \alpha_{k-1} < \dots < \delta_n^k + k - \alpha_1 < \delta_n^k + k + 1 = n + 2$. Therefore:

Lemma 10.14. *We have $S^{n+2}(\alpha) = \alpha$ (and therefore $\frac{n+2}{|\mathcal{O}|} \in \mathbb{N}$). Furthermore (recall that $\alpha_0 = 0$):*

$$(110) \quad |\mathcal{O}| = \min \left\{ \delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u} : 0 \leq u \leq k \text{ and } S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha) = \alpha \right\}.$$

In particular, $|\mathcal{O}| < n + 2$ iff $S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha) = \alpha$ for some $0 \leq u \leq k - 1$.

Next step is to prove:

Lemma 10.15. *Here v denotes an integer. For any $1 \leq v \leq k$ we have an equivalence:*

$$(111) \quad S^{\delta_n^k + v - \alpha_{k+1-v}}(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \iff \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha_{j+(k+1-v)} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{k+1-v}\}_{j=1}^{v-1} \\ & \text{and } \delta_n^k = \alpha_v + \alpha_{k+1-v} \\ & \text{and } \{\alpha_{j+v} = \alpha_j + \alpha_v\}_{j=1}^{k-v}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore:

$$(112) \quad |\mathcal{O}| = \min \left(\{n+2\} \cup \left\{ \delta_n^k + v - \alpha_{k+1-v} : 1 \leq v \leq \frac{k+1}{2} \text{ and } S^{\delta_n^k + v - \alpha_{k+1-v}}(\alpha) = \alpha \right\} \right).$$

Proof. Now we take the elements with a zero leading term in Figure 12, remove the first coordinate (which equals zero), and order the rest coordinates in the table below according to the order in which the corresponding element appear in the cycle from Figure 12:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{k+1-i} & \alpha_{k+2-i} & \alpha_{k+3-i} & \dots & \alpha_k \\ \delta_n^k - \alpha_k & \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_k & \dots & \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-i} - \alpha_k & \delta_n^k - \alpha_{i-1} & \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{i-1} & \dots & \delta_n^k + \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_k \\ \{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} & \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i-1} & \dots & \alpha_k - \alpha_{i-1} & \delta_n^k - \alpha_{i-1} & \delta_n^k + \alpha_1 - \alpha_{i-1} & \dots & \delta_n^k + \alpha_{i-2} - \alpha_{i-1}\}_{i=k}^2. \end{array}$$

On the one hand, using the locations of these elements in Figure 12 we deduce that the first row equals to the second, or to the third, ..., or to the $k+1$ -th row if and only if the following (see also (109)) hold, respectively :

$$(113) \quad S^{\delta_n^k - \alpha_k + 1}(\alpha) = \alpha, S^{\delta_n^k + 2 - \alpha_{k-1}}(\alpha) = \alpha, \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha) = \alpha, \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + k - \alpha_1}(\alpha) = \alpha$$

on the other hand, equalizing the coordinates in the table above shows that the k equalities in (113) are equivalent to the following k systems, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 = \delta_n^k - \alpha_k, \quad \{\alpha_j = \delta_n^k + \alpha_{j-1} - \alpha_k\}_{j=2}^k \\ & \{\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+(i-1)} - \alpha_{i-1}\}_{j=1}^{k+1-i}, \quad \delta_n^k = \alpha_{k+2-i} + \alpha_{i-1}, \quad \{\delta_n^k + \alpha_j - \alpha_{i-1} = \alpha_{j+k+2-i}\}_{j=1}^{i-2} \quad k \geq i \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

these systems of equations in turn are equivalent to the following, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 + \alpha_k = \delta_n^k, \quad \{\alpha_j = \alpha_1 + \alpha_{j-1}\}_{j=2}^k \\ & \{\alpha_{j+(i-1)} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{i-1}\}_{j=1}^{k+1-i}, \quad \delta_n^k = \alpha_{k+2-i} + \alpha_{i-1}, \quad \{\alpha_{j+k+2-i} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{k+2-i}\}_{j=1}^{i-2} \quad k \geq i \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

The latter sequence of k systems of equations is the same as a sequence indexed by i , where i starts with $k+1$ and decreases to 2 and for any such $k+1 \geq i \geq 2$ the system is:

$$(114) \quad \{\alpha_{j+(i-1)} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{i-1}\}_{j=1}^{k+1-i} \text{ and } \delta_n^k = \alpha_{k+2-i} + \alpha_{i-1} \text{ and } \{\alpha_{j+k+2-i} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{k+2-i}\}_{j=1}^{i-2}.$$

Next we note that for any $0 \leq u \leq k-1$ the system for $i = k+1-u$ in (114) coincides with the system for $i = 2+u$, and is the following:

$$(115) \quad \{\alpha_{j+(k-u)} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{k-u}\}_{j=1}^u \text{ and } \delta_n^k = \alpha_{1+u} + \alpha_{k-u} \text{ and } \{\alpha_{j+1+u} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{1+u}\}_{j=1}^{k-u-1}.$$

So far (see also (113)), we proved that

$$(116) \quad S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha) = \alpha \quad \iff \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\alpha_{j+(k-u)} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{k-u}\}_{j=1}^u \\ & \text{and } \delta_n^k = \alpha_{1+u} + \alpha_{k-u} \\ & \text{and } \{\alpha_{j+1+u} = \alpha_j + \alpha_{1+u}\}_{j=1}^{k-u-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing u with $v-1$ we obtain (111) for $1 \leq v \leq k$.

Finally, note that for any $0 \leq u \leq k-1$ by replacing u with $k-1-u$ the system (115) remains the same, and that the set of integers in $[0, k-1]$ can be represented as follows (disjoint unions):

$$(117) \quad \left\{ u : 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k-2}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ k-1-u : 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k-2}{2} \right\} \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}$$

$$(118) \quad \left\{ u : 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k-1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ k-1-u : 0 \leq u \leq \frac{k-1}{2} - 1 \right\} \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd.}$$

From (110) and (111)⁹ we see that $|\mathcal{O}|$ is either $n+2$ or the minimal integer among $\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}$ s.t. $0 \leq u \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha) = \alpha$, if such u exists, hence we get (112) (we again prefer to use the variable $v = u + 1$). \square

Lemma 10.16. *Let $1 \leq v \leq k$ be integer, here k can be any positive integer (not restricted as in (104)). Let $\{1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ be a sequence which satisfies: $\beta(j+v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-v$. Then there exists unique extension of β to a sequence $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying*

$$(119) \quad \beta(j+iv) = \beta(j) + i\beta(v) = \beta(j) + \beta(iv) \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. If such extension exists, then $\beta(v) = \beta(0+v) = \beta(0) + \beta(v)$, hence it must satisfy $\beta(0) = 0$. Furthermore, the values of such extension are uniquely determined by its values in $[1, v]$, hence if the extension exists, it is unique.

So let us define $\beta(0) = 0$. Then from the assumption for β we have $\beta(j+v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v)$ for any $j \geq 0$, s.t. $j+v \leq k$. Therefore $\beta(2v) = 2\beta(v)$ if $2v \leq k$, and by induction one proves, that for any $0 \leq j$, s.t. $ju \leq k$ we have $\beta(jv) = j\beta(v)$. Next, let $j \geq 0$ be such that $j+2v \leq k$, then $\beta(j+2v) = \beta(j+v) + \beta(v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v) + \beta(v) = \beta(j) + 2\beta(v)$, and now by induction on proves

$$(120) \quad i, j \geq 0, j+iv \leq k \Rightarrow \beta(j+iv) = \beta(j) + \beta(iv) = \beta(j) + i\beta(v).$$

We can define a sequence $\beta' : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by the rule $\beta'(j+iv) = i\beta(v) + \beta(j)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq j < v$. Then one easily shows that this β' satisfies $\beta'(j+iv) = \beta'(j) + \beta'(iv) = \beta'(j) + i\beta'(v)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since for $x \in [1, k]$ we have $i, j \geq 0$, $j < v$, s. t. $x = iv + j$, therefore by the property (120) of β and of the definition of β' one computes $\beta'(x) = \beta(x)$, hence β' is an extension of β . \square

Lemma 10.17. *Let $1 \leq v \leq k$, $1 \leq u \leq k$ be integers, such that $u+v \leq k+1$, here k can be any positive integer. Let $\beta : [1, \dots, k] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a sequence which satisfies:*

$$(121) \quad \{\beta(j+u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)\}_{j=1}^{k-u} \text{ and } \{\beta(j+v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v)\}_{j=1}^{k-v}.$$

Then there exists unique extension of β to a sequence $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ both

$$(122) \quad \beta(j+iv) = \beta(j) + i\beta(v) = \beta(j) + \beta(iv) \quad \beta(j+iu) = \beta(j) + i\beta(u) = \beta(j) + \beta(iu).$$

Proof. Let us extend β using Lemma 10.16 and the part $\{\beta(j+v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v)\}_{j=1}^{k-v}$ of the given system. In particular we have a sequence $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (119). We have also an extension due to the other part of the given system $\{\beta(j+u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)\}_{j=1}^{k-u}$, however we will show that

⁹taking into account that $\delta_n^k + k + 1 - \alpha_0 = n + 2$ and that for integers u, γ the inequalities $u \leq \gamma - 1$ and $u \leq \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$ are equivalent

this extension coincides with the already constructed β . Indeed from $\{\beta(j+u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)\}_{j=1}^{k-u}$ and $\beta(0) = 0$ (see also the proof of Lemma 10.16) it follows that

$$(123) \quad \beta(j+iu) = \beta(j) + i\beta(u) = \beta(j) + \beta(iu) \quad i \geq 0, j \geq 0, \quad j+iu \leq k,$$

using this we will show that $\beta(j+u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and then the lemma follows by induction. Indeed, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $j = j'v + i'$ for unique $j' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq i' < v$ and using (119) we get $\beta(j+u) = \beta(j'v + i' + u) = \beta(j'v) + \beta(i'+u)$, on the other hand $i'+u < v+u \leq k+1$, i.e $i'+u \leq k$, and by (123) $\beta(i'+u) = \beta(i') + \beta(u)$, therefore we can combine and continue, utilizing once again (119), the equalities as follows $\beta(j+u) = \beta(j'v) + \beta(i') + \beta(u) = \beta(j'v + i') + \beta(u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)$. \square

Lemma 10.18. *Let $1 \leq v \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$, $u = k+1 - v$ be integers, here k can be any positive integer. Let us denote $d = \text{g.c.d.}(u, v)$, in particular $1 \leq d \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$. A sequence $\beta : [0, 1, \dots, k] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies:*

$$(124) \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\beta(j+u) = \beta(j) + \beta(u)\}_{j=1}^{k-u} \\ & \text{and } \beta(0) = 0 \quad \text{and } \delta_n^k = \beta(v) + \beta(u) \\ & \text{and } \{\beta(j+v) = \beta(j) + \beta(v)\}_{j=1}^{k-v} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if the following hold:

$$(125) \quad \frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \beta(d) = d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(126) \quad i \geq 0, j \geq 0, j+id \leq k \Rightarrow \beta(j+id) = \beta(j) + \beta(id) = \beta(j) + i\beta(d),$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to:

$$(127) \quad \frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \begin{aligned} & \{\beta(j+(k+1-d)) = \beta(j) + \beta(k+1-d)\}_{j=1}^{d-1} \\ & \text{and } \beta(0) = 0 \quad \text{and } \delta_n^k = \beta(d) + \beta(k+1-d) \\ & \text{and } \{\beta(j+d) = \beta(j) + \beta(d)\}_{j=1}^{k-d}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We show first that the system (124) implies (125) and (126). Using Lemma 10.17 we see that we have unique extension $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ of the given sequence such that (122) holds. There are integers $t_u, t_v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_u, \gamma_v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: $t_u u + t_v v = d$, $u = \gamma_u d$, $v = \gamma_v d$. We are given also $u + v = k + 1$, therefore

$$(128) \quad \frac{k+1}{d} = \gamma_u + \gamma_v \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (122) we deduce that the extended function $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\beta(jd+i) = \beta(j(t_u u + t_v v) + i) = \beta(j(t_u u + t_v v)) + \beta(i) = j\beta(t_u u + t_v v) + \beta(i) = j\beta(d) + \beta(i).$$

Thus we obtain (126). We are given also $\beta(u) + \beta(v) = \delta_n^k$, hence using the already proved (126) we obtain $(\gamma_u + \gamma_v)\beta(d) = \delta_n^k$ and now combining with (128) we derive (125).

The converse (that (124) follows from (125), (126)) is even easier to prove.

Now we apply the already proved equivalence to the case $v = d$, $u = k+1-d$, and provided that $\frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ it follows that $\text{g.c.d.}(u, v) = d$, follows that (127) is equivalent to (125), (126). \square

Lemmas 10.14, 10.15 and 10.18 show that the special kind of sequences $\alpha \in X_n^k$ defined now will play an important role in our discussion.

Remark 10.19. Note in advance that for integers k, d such that $n + 1 > k \geq 1$ the condition $\frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq d \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$ is equivalent to: $\frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq d < k + 1$. Also $d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to $d \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 10.20. Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$ be integers. We call an integer d a divisor of (k, n) , if $1 \leq d \leq (k + 1)$, $(k + 1)/d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d(n + 2)/(k + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular $k + 1$ is always divisor of (k, n) . We say that d is a proper divisor of (k, n) , if it is divisor and $d < (k + 1)$.

For a proper divisor d of (k, n) we define a d -additive sequence as an element $\beta \in X_n^k$ satisfying

$$(129) \quad \beta(d) = d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} \quad i \geq 0, j \geq 0, j + id \leq k \Rightarrow \beta(j + id) = \beta(j) + \beta(id) = \beta(j) + i\beta(d).$$

If $d = k + 1$, then we say that $\beta \in X_n^k$ is a d -additive sequence iff $\beta(0) = 0$.

Remark 10.21. Note that any d -additive sequence β satisfies $\beta(0) = 0$. If d and d' are two divisors of (k, n) and $\frac{d'}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then any d' -additive sequence is also d -additive.

Using Lemmas 10.14, 10.15 and 10.18 we prove the main result of this subsection:

Proposition 10.22. Let \mathcal{O} be any orbit of $\langle S \rangle$ in X_n^k . Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\alpha_0 = 0$. Take any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $\alpha_0 = 0$, then: $|\mathcal{O}| = \min \left\{ d \frac{n+2}{k+1} : d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive} \right\}$.

Proof. Lemmas 10.18, 10.15 (see also Remark 10.19) imply that

$$|\mathcal{O}| = \min \left(\{n + 2\} \cup \left\{ \delta_n^k + d - \alpha_{k+1-d} : d \text{ is a proper divisor of } (k, n) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive} \right\} \right).$$

however, for a d -additive sequence α and a proper divisor d of (k, n) we have

$$(130) \quad \delta_n^k + d - \alpha(k + 1 - d) = \delta_n^k + d - \alpha \left(\left(\frac{k+1}{d} - 1 \right) d \right) = \delta_n^k + d - \left(\frac{k+1}{d} - 1 \right) \alpha(d)$$

$$(131) \quad = \delta_n^k + d - \left(\frac{k+1}{d} - 1 \right) d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} = d + d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} = d + d \frac{n-k+1}{k+1} = d \frac{n+2}{k+1}.$$

Recalling that $k + 1$ is a divisor of (k, n) and that each $\beta \in X_n^k$ with $\beta(0) = 0$ is $(k + 1)$ -additive we deduce the equality. \square

In view of Proposition 10.22 we give another definition:

Definition 10.23. Let $\alpha \in X_n^k$ satisfy $\alpha(0) = 0$. We call the following number period of α :

$$P(\alpha) = \min \{ d : d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n) \text{ and } \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive} \}.$$

We remind that we view the elements of $C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ as orbits of $\langle S \rangle$ in X_n^k (Corollary 10.13)

Corollary 10.24. Let $\mathcal{O} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, then the following three are equivalent: (a) $|\mathcal{O}| = d \frac{n+2}{k+1}$; (b) each $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ has period d ; (c) some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ has period d .

Furthermore, if d is the divisor of (k, n) such that $|\mathcal{O}| = d \frac{n+2}{k+1}$, then $|\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} : \alpha(0) = 0\}| = d$.

Proof. The first part follows straightforward from Proposition 10.22. To prove the last sentence we take any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\alpha(0) = 0$ (such α exists) and by the first part this α is d -additive and not d' -additive for $d' < d$, hence by Lemmas 10.18 and 10.15 it follows that in the sequence (109)

containing all the elements with a zero leading coordinate in \mathcal{O} we have $S^{\delta_n^k + v - \alpha_{k+1-v}}(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ for $v < d$ and $S^{\delta_n^k + d - \alpha_{k+1-d}}(\alpha) = \alpha$ (recall that in this formula α was any element in \mathcal{O} with $\alpha(0) = 0$). Since all other elements in \mathcal{O} have leading coefficient which is non-zero, it follows that $\delta_n^k + d - \alpha_{k+1-d}$ is the minimal integer, such that $S^{\delta_n^k + d - \alpha_{k+1-d}}(\alpha) = \alpha$, and therefore the following is a complete list of elements with zero leading term in \mathcal{O} and they are all different:

$$\alpha, S^{\delta_n^k + 1 - \alpha_k}(\alpha), S^{\delta_n^k + 2 - \alpha_{k-1}}(\alpha), \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + 1 + u - \alpha_{k-u}}(\alpha), \dots, S^{\delta_n^k + d - 1 - \alpha_{k+2-d}}(\alpha)$$

hence the last statement follows. \square

Corollary 10.25. *Let d be a divisor (k, n) , then:*

$$\left| \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) : |\mathcal{O}| = d \frac{n+2}{k+1} \right\} \right| = \frac{1}{d} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d \right\} \right|.$$

Proof. From Corollary 10.24 follows that this function is surjective and each fiber has d elements:

$$\left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \mathcal{O} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) : |\mathcal{O}| = d \frac{n+2}{k+1} \right\}$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \{S^j(\alpha) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

\square

In the next subsection we study properties of d -additive sequences in order to determine $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right|$.

10.3. Properties of d -additive sequences.

Lemma 10.26. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$ be integers. Let d be any divisor of (k, n) . Then*

- (a) *The set $\{\beta \in X_n^k : \beta \text{ is } d\text{-additive}\}$ has $\binom{d \frac{n+2}{k+1} - 1}{d-1}$ elements.*
- (b) *There exists $\beta \in X_n^k$ with $\beta(0) = 0$, such that $P(\beta) = d$ ($P(\beta)$ is in definition 10.23).*

Proof. (a) If $d = 1$ is a divisor of (k, n) , then due to (129), a d -additive sequence must have the form $\alpha(j) = j \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1}$, and this sequence is d -additive, hence there is only one such sequence.

If $d > 1$ is a divisor of (k, n) , then using (129) one shows that restricting any element in the set $\{\beta \in X_n^k : \beta \text{ is } d\text{-additive}\}$ to $\{1, \dots, d-1\}$ is bijection from this set to the set (for the case $d = k+1$ we recall that the d -additive elements in X_n^k are just the elements with vanishing first coordinate):

$$(132) \quad \left\{ \{1, \dots, d-1\} \xrightarrow{\beta} \left\{ 0, 1, \dots, d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} \right\} : 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \dots \leq \beta_{d-1} \leq d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} \right\}.$$

Now we use Lemma 3.1.

(b) The element $\beta \in X_n^k$ which is d -additive and which corresponds to the trivial sequence in the set (132), i.e. $\beta(0) = \beta(1) = \dots = \beta(d-1) = 0$ cannot be d' -additive for $d' < d$ (by definition a d' -additive sequence does not vanish at d'), therefore this β has period d . \square

Corollary 10.27. *We have (all summands are non-zero):*

$$\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \sum_{\{d \geq 1: d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n)\}} \frac{1}{d} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d \right\} \right|.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 10.25 and the following equality which we prove below:

$$(133) \quad \left\{ |\mathcal{O}| : \mathcal{O} \in C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right\} = \left\{ d \frac{n+2}{k+1} : d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n) \right\}.$$

The inclusion in one direction follows from Proposition 10.22. The inclusion in the other direction follows from Lemma 10.26 (b) and Corollary 10.24. \square

We will find a formula for $|\{\alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d\}|$. To that end we will use Lemma 10.26 (a) and some additional facts. We prove first:

Lemma 10.28. *Let $1 \leq k < n+1$ and let $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of divisors of (k, n) in the sense of Definition 10.20, let $d = \text{g.c.d. } \{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the greatest common divisor, then:*

- (a) d is also a divisor of (k, n) ;
- (b) If $\alpha \in X_n^k$ is d_i -additive for each $i \in I$ then α is d -additive ;
- (c) The following holds: $\bigcap_{i \in I} \{\alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } d_i\text{-additive}\} = \{\alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive}\}$.

Proof. (a) It is clear that $1 \leq d \leq d_i \leq k+1$. Since we have $\frac{k+1}{d_i}, d_i \frac{n+2}{k+1}, \frac{d_i}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sum_{i \in I} t_i d_i = d$ for some $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, it follows $\frac{k+1}{d} = \frac{k+1}{d_i} \frac{d_i}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d \frac{n+2}{k+1} = \sum_{i \in I} t_i d_i \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) If $d_i = k+1$ for all $i \in I$ the statement holds. Otherwise, since $\frac{k+1}{d_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $d = \text{g.c.d. } \{d_i\}_{i \in I, d_i \neq k+1}$ and we reduce to the case $d_i < k+1$ for any $i \in I$, in particular $d_i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$ for any $i \in I$ (see Remark 10.19), therefore

$$(134) \quad \forall i, j \in I \quad d_i + d_j \leq k+1.$$

We are given that α is d_i -additive for each $i \in I$, hence (see Definition 10.20) on the one hand

$$(135) \quad \forall i \in I \quad \alpha(d_i) = d_i \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1}$$

and on the other hand Lemma 10.16 ensures that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sequence $\alpha : \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (it has by definition $\alpha(0) = 0$) has an unique extension to $\alpha_i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\alpha_i(r + t d_i) = \alpha_i(r) + \alpha_i(t d_i) = \alpha_i(r) + t \alpha_i(d_i)$ for any $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, however due to (134) Lemma 10.17 ensures that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the extensions α_i, α_j coincide, therefore there exists unique extension of α , which we denote by the same letter, as follows:

$$(136) \quad \alpha : \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall r, t \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \alpha(r + t d_i) = \alpha(r) + t \alpha(d_i) = \alpha(r) + t \alpha(d_i).$$

Using that $\sum_{i \in I} t_i d_i = d$ for some $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ it follows that $\alpha(r + t d) = \alpha(r) + t \alpha(d) = \alpha(r) + t \alpha(d)$ for all $r, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha(d) = \sum_{i \in I} t_i \alpha(d_i) = \sum_{i \in I} t_i d_i \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1} = d \frac{\delta_n^k}{k+1}$, therefore all the properties of being d -additive do hold for α .

(c) the inclusion in one direction is the content of (b), the other follows from Remark 10.21. \square

It is useful to introduce one more notation:

Definition 10.29. *For any divisor d of (k, n) , as defined in Definition 10.20, we denote a set:*

$$PD_d = \left\{ p : p \text{ is prime with } \frac{d}{p} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \frac{d}{p} \text{ is divisor of } (k, n) \right\}.$$

Corollary 10.30. *For any divisor d of (k, n) holds:*

$$\left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d \right\} = \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive} \right\} \setminus \bigcup_{p \in PD_d} \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{p}\text{-additive} \right\}$$

Proof. The inclusion \subset follows from the definition 10.23. So let us prove the converse \supset . Let α be d -additive which is not $\frac{d}{p}$ -additive for any prime divisor of d , s.t. $\frac{d}{p}$ is a divisor of (k, n) . We will show that α is not d' -additive for any divisor d' of (k, n) with $d' < d$ and this shows that $P(\alpha) = d$. Indeed, if α is d' -additive for some divisor d' of (k, n) with $d' < d$, then by Lemma 10.28 (b) we have that α is d'' -additive, where $d'' = g.c.d(d', d) < d$ is a divisor of (k, n) , in particular there exists prime p such that $d/p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{d/p}{d''} \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this data we deduce: $\frac{k+1}{d/p} = p \frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{d}{p} \frac{n+2}{k+1} = \frac{d/p}{d''} d'' \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $\frac{d}{p}$ is a divisor of (k, n) , and on the other hand by Remark 10.21 and the fact that α is d'' -additive we deduce that that α is $\frac{d}{p}$ -additive, whereas we have chosen α so that it cannot be $\frac{d}{p}$ -additive. The Corollary is proved. \square

Remark 10.31. Recall that for any integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any subset $A \subset \{p : p \text{ is prime and } \frac{d}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the greatest common divisor of $\left\{ \frac{d}{p} \right\}_{p \in A}$ is $\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in A} p}$.

Lemma 10.32. Let d be a divisor of (k, n) . Recall the definition of PD_d in Definition 10.29, then $\bigcup_{p \in PD_d} \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{p}\text{-additive} \right\}$ is a subset of $\left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } d\text{-additive} \right\}$ and

$$(137) \quad \left| \bigcup_{p \in PD_d} \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{p}\text{-additive} \right\} \right| = \sum_{\{B \subset PD_d : B \neq \emptyset\}} (-1)^{|B|+1} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} \frac{n+2}{k+1} - 1}{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} - 1} \right).$$

Proof. The inclusion of sets follows from Remark 10.21. Now we apply the formula for number of elements of union of subsets, Lemma 10.28, Remark 10.31:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcup_{p \in PD_d} \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{p}\text{-additive} \right\} \right| &= \sum_{\{B \subset PD_d : B \neq \emptyset\}} (-1)^{|B|+1} \left| \bigcap_{p \in B} \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{p}\text{-additive} \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\{B \subset PD_d : B \neq \emptyset\}} (-1)^{|B|+1} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha \text{ is } \frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p}\text{-additive} \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Lemma 10.26 (a) and derive the desired equality. \square

Corollary 10.33. Let d be any divisor of (k, n) . Then (here $\prod_{p \in \emptyset} p = 1$):

$$\left| \left\{ \alpha \in X_n^k : \alpha(0) = 0, P(\alpha) = d \right\} \right| = \sum_{B \subset PD_d} (-1)^{|B|} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} \frac{n+2}{k+1} - 1}{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} - 1} \right).$$

Proof. To prove this one needs to combine Corollary 10.30, Lemma 10.32, and Lemma 10.26 (a). \square

10.4. **Formula.** Now using Corollaries 10.27, 10.33 we derive the desired formula for $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_n) \right|$, when $2 \leq k < n+1$, however we will show that it holds for $k=1$ as well:

Proposition 10.34. Let $1 \leq k < n+1$. We have (PD_d is defined in Definition 10.20))

$$(138) \quad \left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \sum_{\left\{ (d,B) : \begin{array}{l} d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n) \\ B \subset PD_d \end{array} \right\}} \frac{(-1)^{|B|}}{d} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} \frac{n+2}{k+1} - 1}{\frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} - 1} \right).$$

Proof. As we explained the formula is proved for $2 \leq k < n + 1$. If $k = 1$, then $k + 1$ is prime. On the other hand, if $k + 1$ is prime, then using Definition 10.20 we compute:

$$\{d \geq 1 : d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n)\} = \begin{cases} \{1, k + 1\} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{in this case } PD_{k+1} = \{k + 1\} \\ \{k + 1\} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{in this case } PD_{k+1} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the formula (138) for $1 \leq k < n + 1$, $k + 1$ prime reduces to (note that always $PD_1 = \{\emptyset\}$):

$$\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{k+1} \left(\binom{n+2-1}{k+1-1} - \binom{\frac{n+2}{k+1}-1}{0} \right) & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+2-1}{k+1-1} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

The formula above for $k = 1$ is the same as in Corollary 10.11. Therefore (138) holds. \square

10.5. Remarks and examples. The notations $\mu(x)$, $\omega(x)$, $\Omega(x)$ are from Section 2. In particular

$$(139) \quad B \subset \{\text{Primes}\}, |B| < \infty \Rightarrow \mu \left(\prod_{p \in B} p \right) = (-1)^{|B|}$$

$$(140) \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \mu(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x = \prod_{\{p:p \text{ is a prime factor of } x\}} p.$$

We will give a better version of formula (138), Note first that:

Lemma 10.35. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$ be integers. Let us denote $D = g.c.d.(k + 1, n + 2)$, then:*

$$\{d : d \text{ is divisors of } (k, n)\} = \left\{ \frac{k+1}{D} x : x \text{ is a divisors of } D \right\}.$$

Proof. We have $k + 1 = D\gamma$, $n + 2 = Dz$ for some positive integers γ, z .

By definition d is divisor of (k, n) iff $k + 1 = dd'$, $n + 2 = d'd''$ for some positive integers d', d'' .

If $k + 1 = dd'$, $n + 2 = d'd''$, then d' is a common divisor of $k + 1, n + 2$, and therefore there exists divisor x of D such that $d'x = D$ and from $k + 1 = dd' = \gamma D = \gamma d'x$ follows $d = \gamma x$.

Conversely, let $d = \gamma x$ for some divisor x of D , then $D = xy$ for some positive integer y and $k + 1 = D\gamma = (\gamma x)y$, $n + 2 = y(xz)$, hence γx is a divisor of (k, n) . \square

Using Lemma 10.35 we can give another form of our formula

Corollary 10.36. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$. Let $D = g.c.d.(k + 1, n + 2)$. Then*

$$(141) \quad \left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^\Gamma(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \sum_{\left\{ (x,y): \begin{array}{l} x, y, x/y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \mu(x/y) \neq 0 \\ x, y \text{ are divisors of } D \end{array} \right\}} \frac{D\mu(x/y)}{(k+1)x} \left(\frac{y \frac{n+2}{D} - 1}{y \frac{k+1}{D} - 1} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 10.28 (a), Remark 10.31, and the definition of PD_d in Definition 10.29 follows that the assignment from left to right is well defined:

$$(142) \quad \left\{ (d, B) : \begin{array}{l} d \text{ is a divisor of } (k, n) \\ B \subset PD_d \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ (d, x) : \begin{array}{l} d, x, d/x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \mu(d/x) \neq 0 \\ d, x \text{ are divisors of } (k, n) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(143) \quad (d, B) \mapsto \left(d, \frac{d}{\prod_{p \in B} p} \right) \quad (d, \text{the set of prime factors of } d/x) \leftrightarrow (d, x)$$

whereas the assignment from right to left is well defined by the following arguments. Let (d, x) be an element in the set on the RHS, in particular $d = xy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let p be a prime divisor of $d/x = y$, in particular $y = py'$ with $y' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d = py'x$, therefore $\frac{k+1}{d/p} = p\frac{k+1}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{d}{p}\frac{n+2}{k+1} = y'x\frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, since d, x are both divisors of (k, n) , therefore d/p is a divisor of (k, n) and $p \in PD_d$. Using 140 one shows that these assignments are mutually inverse. Therefore we can change the parameters in (138) via (142), which taking into account (139), (140) expresses $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right|$ as a sum $\sum \frac{\mu(d/x)}{d} \binom{x\frac{n+2}{k+1} - 1}{x-1}$, where (d, x) varies in the set $\left\{ (d, x) : \begin{array}{l} d, x, d/x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \mu(d/x) \neq 0 \\ d, x \text{ are divisors of } (k, n) \end{array} \right\}$. Using Lemma 10.35 we obtain formula (141). \square

Corollary 10.37. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$ be integers.*

- (a) *If $k + 1, n + 2$ are coprime, then $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} = \frac{1}{n+2} \binom{n+2}{k+1}$.*
- (b) *If $\text{g.c.d.}(n + 2, k + 1) = p$ is prime, then $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} + \frac{p-1}{k+1} \binom{(n+2)/p-1}{(k+1)/p-1}$.*
- (c) *If $k + 1$ is prime, then $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{k+1} + \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} & \text{if } \frac{n+2}{k+1} \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$*
- (d) $\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_k}(\mathcal{T}_k) \right| = 1$.

Proof. (a) The index set of formula (141) has a single element, the only summand is $\frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k}$.

(b) Now $\left\{ (x, y) : \begin{array}{l} x, y, x/y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \mu(x/y) \neq 0 \\ x, y \text{ are divisors of } p \end{array} \right\} = \{(p, p), (p, 1), (1, 1)\}$. Therefore (141) is:

$$\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{(n+2)/p-1}{(k+1)/p-1} + \frac{p}{k+1} \binom{(n+2)/p-1}{(k+1)/p-1}.$$

(c) We proved this in the proof of Proposition 10.34.

(d) Now $k = n$ and $\text{g.c.d.}(k + 1, n + 2) = \text{g.c.d.}(k + 1, k + 2) = 1$ and we use (a). \square

Another case, when the formula has a simple form is:

Corollary 10.38. *Let $1 \leq k, 1 \leq \Delta$ be integers and $\Delta + 1$ be prime. Then holds (d) after (10).*

Proof. Now $D = \text{g.c.d.}(k + 1, n + 2) = \text{g.c.d.}(k + 1, k + 1 + (\Delta + 1)) = 1$, if $(k + 1)/(\Delta + 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and $D = \Delta + 1$, if $(k + 1)/(\Delta + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence using Corollary 10.37 (a), (b) we get:


$$\left| C_{\mathcal{T}_{k-1}}^{\Gamma_{k+\Delta}}(\mathcal{T}_{k+\Delta}) \right| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{k} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{k} + \frac{\Delta}{k+1} \binom{(k+\Delta+2)/(\Delta+1)-1}{(k+1)/(\Delta+1)-1} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{\Delta} \\ \frac{1}{\Delta+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{\Delta} + \frac{\Delta}{k+1} \frac{k+1}{\Delta+1} \end{cases},$$

where we used the equality $\frac{1}{k+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{k} = \frac{1}{\Delta+1} \binom{k+\Delta+1}{\Delta}$ hence the corollary is proved. \square

10.6. Interpretation via polygons. Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$ and let us denote two sets:

$$(144) \quad P_n = \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2} j \right) \right\}_{j=0}^{n+1} \quad P_n^k = \{U \subset P_n : |U| = k + 1\}.$$

Note that we can view P_n as the set of vertices of a regular $(n + 2)$ -gon embedded into the unit circle, and then P_n^k is the set of $k + 1$ -subgons of P_n .

FIGURE 13. $c_{3,6} = 5$

Lemma 10.39. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$. The following map is a bijection (X_n^k is in (105)):*

$$(145) \quad X_n^k \ni \alpha \mapsto \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_j + j)\right) : 0 \leq j \leq k \right\} \in P_n^k.$$

This bijection transports the permutation S of X_n^k from Corollary 10.12 to rotation by angle $\frac{2\pi}{n+2}$.

Proof. Denote $Y_n^k = \left\{ \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \xrightarrow{u} \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\} : 0 \leq u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_k \leq n+1 \right\}$, our map is composition of bijections $X_n^k \rightarrow Y_n^k \rightarrow P_n^k$, which assign $\alpha \mapsto \{\alpha_j + j\}_{j=0}^k; u \mapsto \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}u_j\right) \right\}_{j=0}^k$, respectively, therefore it is bijection.

Take now any $\alpha \in X_n^k$. If $\alpha_k < n - k + 1$, then using (106) we see that:

$$S(\alpha) = \alpha + 1 \mapsto \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_j + j + 1)\right) \right\}_{j=0}^k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}\right) \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_j + j)\right) \right\}_{j=0}^k$$

whereas if $\alpha_k = n - k + 1$, then using (107) we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha) &= (0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \mapsto \{1\} \cup \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_{j-1} + j)\right) \right\}_{j=1}^k \\ &= \{1\} \cup \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_j + j + 1)\right) \right\}_{j=0}^{k-1} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}\right) \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+2}(\alpha_j + j)\right) \right\}_{j=0}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma is proved. \square

From this lemma and Corollary 10.13, it follows

Corollary 10.40. *Let $1 \leq k < n + 1$. The set $C_{D^b(A_k)}^{\text{Aut}(D^b(A_{n+1}))}(D^b(A_{n+1}))$ can be identified with the set of equivalence classes (up to rotation) of $(k + 1)$ -subgons in a regular $(n + 2)$ -gon, where by a “subgon” we mean a convex polygon, whose vertices are subset of the set of vertices.*

Example 10.41 ($C_{D^b(A_3)}^{\text{Aut}(D^b(A_6))}(D^b(A_6))$). *Now $\text{g.c.d.}(3 + 1, 6 + 1) = 1$ and Corollary 10.37 (a) results in $\left| C_{D^b(A_3)}^{\text{Aut}(D^b(A_6))}(D^b(A_6)) \right| = 5$. The 5 equivalence classes are shown in Figure 13.*

10.7. Non-commutative curves in \mathcal{T}_n . From Remark 8.2 we know that $C_l(\mathcal{T}_n) = \emptyset$ for $l \geq 1$.

The set of genus 0 non-commutative curves $C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ is the same as $C_{\mathcal{T}_{2-1}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and from Corollaries 10.8, 10.37 (c) it follows:

Corollary 10.42. *Let $n \geq 1$, then $\left| C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \binom{n+2}{3}$, $\left| C_0^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n) \right| = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1)n}{6} & \text{if } \frac{n-1}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{(n+1)n+4}{6} & \text{if } \frac{n-1}{3} \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$.*

It remains to determine $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n), C_{-1}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

Lemma 10.43. *The set $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ (see Definition 5.4) can be described as follows (using the bijection described in Proposition 5.5): $|C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| = 2\binom{n+2}{4}$ and*

$$C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) = \{ \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle : 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 \leq n-1 \text{ or } 0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta \leq n \} \quad .$$

Proof. From Remark 5.3 we see that $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$ is empty, so the Lemma holds for $n = 1$. Assume that it holds for some $n \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1})$, due to (92) we can assume that $\mathcal{A} = \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle$ for some $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n+1$, where $s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j}$ is an orthogonal exceptional pair. If $j < n+1$ and $\beta < n+1$, then since $\text{Rep}_k(A_{n+1})$ is a full Serre subcategory in $\text{Rep}_k(A_{n+2})$ it follows that $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ and by the induction assumption $\mathcal{A} = \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle$ for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 \leq n-1$ or $0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta \leq n$. So it remains to consider the case ($\beta = n+1$ or $j = n+1$). Since $s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j}$ is an orthogonal exceptional pair, it is enough to consider the case $j = n+1$. Using Lemma 10.3 we see that $\beta < n+1$.

If $\alpha < i$, then Lemma 10.3 (a) implies that $\beta < i-1$ and therefore $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 = n$. If $\alpha \geq i$, then $i \leq \alpha \leq \beta < j$ and Lemma 10.3 (d) implies that $i < \alpha$, hence $\mathcal{A} = \langle s_{i,j}, s_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle$ with $i < \alpha \leq \beta < j$.

From Lemma 10.3 it follows that if $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 \leq n$ or $0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta \leq n+1$, then $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1})$.

So far we showed that the induction assumption implies (10.43) with $n+1$ instead of n and the first row of the following sequence of equalities¹⁰, for the other rows we use again the induction assumption, Lemma 10.1, the Hockey-Stick equality, and Pascal's rule:

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1})| &= |C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| + \left| \left\{ \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 = n \\ \text{or } 0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta = n+1 \end{array} \right\} \right| \\ &= |C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| + \left| \left\{ \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle : 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i-1 \leq j-1 = n \right\} \right| + \left| \left\{ \langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle : 0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta = n+1 \right\} \right| \\ &= |C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)| + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} |C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_{i-2})| + \sum_{\alpha=0}^n |C_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_{n-\alpha-1})| \\ &= 2\binom{n+2}{4} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{(i-1)i}{2} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-\alpha)(n-\alpha+1)}{2} = 2\binom{n+2}{4} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{(i-1)i}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \\ &= 2\binom{n+2}{4} + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \binom{i}{2} = 2 \left(\binom{n+2}{4} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \binom{i}{2} \right) = 2 \left(\binom{n+2}{4} + \binom{n+2}{3} \right) = 2\binom{n+3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Next we want to compute $|C_{-1}^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{T}_n)|$ for $\Gamma = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

¹⁰Note that now if $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha',\beta'}, s_{i',j'} \rangle$, then $\alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta', i = i', j = j'$ or $\alpha = i', \beta = j', i = \alpha', j = \beta'$, (we take into account also Remark 8.13)

Lemma 10.44. *Any orbit in $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ of $\langle S \rangle$ has the form (here $0 \leq \beta < i - 1 \leq j - 1 \leq n - 1$):*

$$(146) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle s_{0,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{n-j,n-j+\beta}, s_{i+n-j,n} \rangle \\ & \mapsto \langle s_{n-j+1,n-j+1+\beta}, s_{0,i+n-j} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{n-i+1,n-i+1+\beta}, s_{j-i,n} \rangle \\ & \mapsto \langle s_{0,j-i}, s_{n-i+2,n-i+2+\beta} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{i-2-\beta,j-\beta-2}, s_{n-\beta,n} \rangle \\ & \mapsto \langle s_{i-1-\beta,j-1-\beta}, s_{0,n-\beta} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{i-1,j-1}, s_{\beta,n} \rangle \mapsto \text{return to } \langle s_{0,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The orbit reduces to the first and second rows iff (147) holds and then the orbit has $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ elements

$$(147) \quad 0 \leq \beta < \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad i = \frac{n}{2} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j = \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \beta.$$

Otherwise the elements in (146) are pairwise distinct and in this case the orbit has $n + 2$ elements.

Proof. From Lemma 10.43 we know that $\langle s_{0,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, when $0 \leq \beta < i - 1 \leq j - 1 \leq n - 1$. Starting from such an element by repeatedly applying the Serre functor we obtain (146) with the help of Proposition 10.9.

From Lemma 10.43 we know that for $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ we have either $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i - 1 \leq j - 1 \leq n - 1$ or $0 \leq \alpha < i \leq j < \beta \leq n$. In the second case applying $n - \beta$ times the Serre functor we obtain an element of the type $\langle s_{\alpha',n}, s_{i',j'} \rangle$ with $0 \leq \alpha' < i' \leq j' < \beta < n$, and now applying S once more we get $\langle s_{0,\alpha'}, s_{i'+1,j'+1} \rangle$ with $0 \leq \alpha' < (i' + 1) - 1 \leq (j' + 1) \leq n - 1$. In the first case, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < i - 1 \leq j - 1 \leq n - 1$, we apply the inverse of the Serre functor several time to get element of the form $\langle s_{0,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle$. Thus, we proved the first part of the Lemma.

Note that the elements on the first and the third row of (146) satisfy the first condition whereas those in the second and the fourth row satisfy the second condition in (10.43). Therefore the orbit reduces to a shorter sequence than the complete sequence in (146) iff

$$\beta = j - i \quad i = n - i + 2 \quad j = n - i + 2 + \beta$$

which is equivalent to $i = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $j = \frac{n}{2} + 1 + \beta$ and now $0 \leq \beta < i - 1$ is equivalent to $\beta < \frac{n}{2}$. Finally note that the first, second, third, fourth row in (146) contain $1 + n - j$, $1 + j - i$, $1 + i - 2 - \beta$, $1 + \beta$ elements, respectively, which sum up to $n + 2$. Hence we proved the lemma. \square

Corollary 10.45. *If n is odd, then all orbits of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ in $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ have $n + 2$ elements. Otherwise, there are exactly $\frac{n}{2}$ orbits with $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ elements and all the rest orbits have $n + 2$ elements.*

Proof. From Lemma 10.44 we see that if there is an orbits of length different from $n + 2$, then n must be even, and the orbit must have the form

$$\langle s_{0,\beta}, s_{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+1+\beta} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{\frac{n}{2}-\beta-1, \frac{n}{2}-1}, s_{n-\beta,n} \rangle \mapsto \langle s_{\frac{n}{2}-\beta, \frac{n}{2}}, s_{0,n-\beta} \rangle \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \langle s_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+\beta}, s_{\beta,n} \rangle.$$

Furthermore, recalling Remark 8.13 we deduce that if $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle = \langle s_{\alpha',\beta'}, s_{i',j'} \rangle$, where $\langle s_{\alpha,\beta}, s_{i,j} \rangle \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$, then $\alpha = \alpha'$, $\beta = \beta'$, $i = i'$, $j = j'$ or $\alpha = i'$, $\beta = j'$, $i = \alpha'$, $j = \beta'$, and it follows that for two different $0 \leq \beta < \frac{n}{2}$, $0 \leq \beta' < \frac{n}{2}$, $\beta \neq \beta'$ the corresponding orbits are different. \square

We can prove now (11). From Corollary 10.45 we know that if n is odd, then all the orbits in $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n)$ contain the same number of elements $n + 2$, on the other hand from Lemma 10.43 we know that $C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_n) = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)}{12}$, therefore the number of orbits, which is the same

as $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)\right|$, is $\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{12}$. If n is even, then from Corollary 10.45 we know that there are $\frac{n}{2}$ orbits with $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ elements and all the rest orbits have $n + 2$ elements. Therefore $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)\right| - \frac{n}{2} = \left(\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)}{12} - \frac{n}{2} \frac{n+2}{2}\right) / (n + 2)$ and it follows that $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)\right| = \frac{n(n^2+2)}{12}$.

Remark 10.46. Using (11) and Corollary 10.42 one shows that $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)\right| > \left|C_0^{\Gamma_n}(\mathcal{T}_n)\right|$ for $n \geq 4$. Otherwise $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_3}(\mathcal{T}_3)\right| = \left|C_0^{\Gamma_3}(\mathcal{T}_3)\right| = 2$, $\left|C_{-1}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathcal{T}_2)\right| = 1 = \left|C_0^{\Gamma_2}(\mathcal{T}_2)\right|$

10.8. Some valued directed graphs. For example, in Lemma 10.1 one sees that $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_2)) = C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^0) = \{\langle s_{0,0} \rangle, \langle s_{1,1} \rangle, \langle s_{0,1} \rangle\}$, whereas from Lemmas 10.6 10.7, 10.43 we know that the semi-orthogonal pairs in $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^0) \times C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^0)$ are $(\langle s_{0,0} \rangle, \langle s_{1,1} \rangle)$, $(\langle s_{1,1} \rangle, \langle s_{0,1} \rangle)$, $(\langle s_{0,1} \rangle, \langle s_{0,0} \rangle)$, therefore we can represent the valued directed graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^0)$ as in Figure 1b. The numbers are everywhere 1 due to Remark 10.2, the vanishings (31) and the fact that there are no orthogonal pairs in $N\mathbb{P}^0$.

Since $N\mathbb{P}^{-1}$ is generated by an orthogonal exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) , one draws the digraph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(N\mathbb{P}^{-1})$ in Figure 1a.

If $l \geq 1$ in [10, Formula (25)] is shown the complete lists of exceptional pairs and objects (up to shifts) in $l + 1$ and before [10, Formula (27)] is explained that for any exceptional pair (A, B) holds $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{hom}(A, B) = l + 1$ (only one summand vanishes) and one obtains the valued digraph drawn in Figure 1c.

In the end we draw the graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(A_3))$. From Lemma 10.1 we know that the derived points in $D^b(A_3)$ are:

$$(148) \quad C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_3)) = \{\langle s_{0,0} \rangle, \langle s_{1,1} \rangle, \langle s_{2,2} \rangle, \langle s_{0,1} \rangle, \langle s_{0,2} \rangle, \langle s_{1,2} \rangle\}.$$

Whereas from Lemma 10.7 the non-commutative curves of genus 0 in $D^b(A_3)$ are (for writing the equalities we use Lemma 10.6):

$$(149) \quad C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(D^b(A_3)) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle s_{0,0}, s_{1,1} \rangle = \langle s_{0,1}, s_{0,0} \rangle = \langle s_{1,1}, s_{0,1} \rangle \\ \langle s_{1,1}, s_{2,2} \rangle = \langle s_{1,2}, s_{1,1} \rangle = \langle s_{2,2}, s_{1,2} \rangle \\ \langle s_{0,1}, s_{2,2} \rangle = \langle s_{0,2}, s_{0,1} \rangle = \langle s_{2,2}, s_{0,2} \rangle \\ \langle s_{0,0}, s_{1,2} \rangle = \langle s_{0,2}, s_{0,0} \rangle = \langle s_{1,2}, s_{0,2} \rangle \end{array} \right\}$$

Therefore the set of vertices of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ is (148) and the set of edges is obtained from the 12 exceptional pairs in (149) and the orthogonal exceptional pairs $(\langle s_{0,0} \rangle, \langle s_{2,2} \rangle)$, $(\langle s_{2,2} \rangle, \langle s_{0,0} \rangle)$, $(\langle s_{0,2} \rangle, \langle s_{1,1} \rangle)$, $(\langle s_{1,1} \rangle, \langle s_{0,2} \rangle)$ listed in Corollary 10.43. From the already given data we see that there are 6 vertices, 12 one-sided edges, and 2 double-sided edges. We draw the digraph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ in \mathbb{R}^3 as shown in Figure 2, the triangle $\langle s_{1,1} \rangle, \langle s_{2,2} \rangle, \langle s_{0,2} \rangle$ is in a horizontal plane and the arrows from $\langle s_{0,1} \rangle$ to $\langle s_{0,0} \rangle$ and from $\langle s_{0,0} \rangle$ to $\langle s_{1,2} \rangle$ are perpendicular to this plane. The two dimensional simplexes in $SC_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ are exactly the triples of vertices in triangles of arrows which do not form an oriented loop (i.e. not of the form as in Figure 1b), these are triples of arrows as the arrows in the quiver Q_1 in (2). When a side in a triangle is an undirected edge (double sided arrow), if for some of the two orientations of the edge the triple of arrows can be done as in the quiver Q_1 in (2), then this triangle is also a simplex. Such triangles correspond to exceptional triples in \mathcal{T} . In Figure 2 is presented also a geometric realization of the simplicial complex $SC_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

11. NON-COMMUTATIVE COUNTING IN $D^b(D_4)$

In this section Q is a quiver of type D_4 with orientation of the arrows to point to the middle vertex, and we denote: $\mathcal{T} = D^b(\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q))$. By S we will denote the Serre functor of \mathcal{T} . Obviously, the symmetry of the diagram generated by rotation of $2\pi/3$ determines an auto-equivalence of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q)$ and of \mathcal{T} , which we denote by κ . We note that [17, Theorem 4.1 and Table I] imply that:

$$(150) \quad \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) = \langle [\kappa], [S], \text{translation functor} \rangle.$$

Results of this section are (13), (12), (14).

Looking at [8, Remark 6.1 in Version 2] we see that there are 12 real roots and their dimension vectors are the thin dimension vectors and the dimension vector with 2 in the middle vertex and 1 at the rest. Since the assignment to any exceptional representation in $\text{Rep}_k(Q)$ its dimension vector is bijection between the set of exceptional objects (up to isomorphism) and the roots, which we just described, we can choose representatives of the exceptional representations as follows:

$$(151) \quad \{s_i : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{s_{io} : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{s_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \cup \{s_{123}, s_o, \delta\}$$

$$(152) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\dim}(s_1) = 1 \longrightarrow 0 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 0 \\ \searrow 0 \end{array} & \underline{\dim}(s_{1o}) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 0 \\ \searrow 0 \end{array} & \underline{\dim}(s_{12}) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 1 \\ \searrow 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$(153) \quad s_2 = \kappa(s_1), s_3 = \kappa(s_2), s_{2o} = \kappa(s_{1o}), s_{3o} = \kappa(s_{2o}), s_{23} = \kappa(s_{12}), s_{13} = \kappa(s_{23}),$$

$$(154) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\dim}(s_o) = 0 \longrightarrow 1 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 0 \\ \searrow 0 \end{array} & \underline{\dim}(s_{123}) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 1 \\ \searrow 1 \end{array} & \underline{\dim}(\delta) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 & \begin{array}{c} \swarrow 1 \\ \searrow 1 \end{array} \end{array}.$$

We will sometimes notate s_{ij} with $i > j$ but this by definition is the same as s_{ji} .

Recalling that the exceptional objects in \mathcal{T} are shifts of exceptional representations and using again the bijection (22) in Proposition 5.5 we conclude:

Lemma 11.1. *The set $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ has 12 elements and it can be described as follows:*

$$C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \{\langle s_i \rangle\}_{i=1}^3 \cup \{\langle s_{io} \rangle\}_{i=1}^3 \cup \{\langle s_{ij} \rangle : 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \cup \{\langle s_{123} \rangle, \langle s_o \rangle, \langle \delta \rangle\}.$$

Lemma 11.2. *Any of the following pairs is not exceptional:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta, s_i), (s_i, \delta), i = 1, 2, 3 \\ & (\delta, s_{123}), (s_{123}, \delta), (s_o, s_{123}), (s_{123}, s_o), (\delta, s_o), (s_o, \delta), (s_o, s_{123}), \\ & (s_{123}, s_{io}), (s_{io}, s_{123}), i = 1, 2, 3 \\ & (s_{ij}, s_o), (s_o, s_{ij}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One computes that for any of the listed pairs (A, B) we have $\langle \underline{\dim}(B), \underline{\dim}(A) \rangle \neq 0$ and then the lemma follows from Remark 10.2. \square

Lemma 11.3. *Let (E_1, E_2) be any exceptional pair in \mathcal{T} , there is a dichotomy*

(a) $\text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is equivalent to $(E_1, E_2) \sim X$ or $(E_1, E_2) \sim \overline{X}$ for some $X \in \{(s_i, s_j), (s_{io}, s_{jo}) : 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\} \cup \{(s_{ij}, s_{\alpha\beta}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 3, (i, j) \neq (\alpha, \beta)\}$, $(A, B) = (B, A)$;

(b) $\text{hom}^p(E_1, E_2) = 1$ for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is equivalent to $(E_1, E_2) \sim X$ for some X in the following list:

$$\begin{aligned} & (s_{io}, \delta), i = 1, 2, 3; (\delta, s_{ij}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3; (s_{ij}, s_{ko}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, k \neq i, k \neq j; \\ & (s_{ij}, s_{123}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3; (s_k, s_{ij}), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, k \neq i, k \neq j; (s_{123}, s_i), i = 1, 2, 3; \\ & (s_i, s_o), (s_{io}, s_i), (s_o, s_{io}), i = 1, 2, 3; (s_i, s_{jo}), (s_{ij}, s_i), (s_{jo}, s_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One computes that for any of the listed pairs (A, B) we have $\langle \underline{\dim}(B), \underline{\dim}(A) \rangle = 0$ and then from Remark 10.2 it follows that all the pairs are exceptional, furthermore for any pair (A, B) in (a) holds $\langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle = 0$ and therefore these pairs are even orthogonal, whereas for any of the pairs in (b) holds $\langle \underline{\dim}(A), \underline{\dim}(B) \rangle = \pm 1$ and due to Remark 10.2 we have $\text{hom}^p(A, B) = 1$ for $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ and $\text{hom}^p(A, B) = 0$ for all other $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. It remains to show that any exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) is up to shifts equivalent to some of the pairs in (a) or (b). Since $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(D_4)$ is hereditary, we can assume that E_1, E_2 are exceptional representations, hence they are elements in the set (151).

If $\delta \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then due to Lemma 11.2 $s_i \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $s_o \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{123} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, therefore either $s_{io} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and from the already proved part it follows that $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{io}, \delta)$, or $s_{ij} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (\delta, s_{ij})$.

So, we can assume that $\delta \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$. If $s_{123} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then from Lemma 11.2 it follows that $s_o, s_{io} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, hence either $s_i \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{123}, s_i)$, or $s_{ij} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{ij}, s_{123})$.

We can assume now that $\delta \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $s_{123} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$. If $s_o \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then in Lemma 11.2 we see that $s_{ij} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$ and therefore either $s_i \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_i, s_o)$, or $s_{io} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_o, s_{io})$.

So far we reduced to $\delta \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{123} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_o \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$. Assume that $s_{ij} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$. If $s_{\alpha\beta} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (i, j)$ and the pair is the orthogonal pair $(s_{ij}, s_{\alpha\beta})$. If $s_{ko} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then either $k \neq i, k \neq j$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{ij}, s_{ko})$, or $k = i$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{io}, s_{ij})$, or $k = j$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_{jo}, s_{ij})$.

So far, we reduced to $\delta \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{123} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_o \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{ij} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$. If $s_{io} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$, then either $s_{jo} \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ with $j \neq i$ and the pair is the orthogonal pair (s_{io}, s_{jo}) , or $s_i \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ and the pair is (s_{io}, s_i) , or $s_j \in \{E_1, E_2\}$ with $j \neq i$ and $(E_1, E_2) = (s_j, s_{io})$.



Thus, we can assume $\delta \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{123} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_o \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{ij} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$, $s_{io} \notin \{E_1, E_2\}$. And then the pair must be orthogonal pair of the form (s_i, s_j) . \square

Corollary 11.4. *We have $|C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})| = 9$, furthermore (i, j, α, β) vary in $\{1, 2, 3\}$:*

$$(155) \quad C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \{\langle s_i, s_j \rangle : i < j\} \cup \{\langle s_{io}, s_{jo} \rangle : i < j\} \cup \{\langle s_{ij}, s_{\alpha\beta} \rangle : i < j, \alpha < \beta, (i, j) \neq (\alpha, \beta)\}.$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_{-1}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$, then from bijection (21) there is an orthogonal exc. pair (E_1, E_2) with $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ hence this exceptional pair up to shift is some of the pairs in Lemma 11.3 (a), and it follows that \mathcal{A} must be an element in the RHS of (160). Since all the pairs on the RHS are orthogonal, we see that indeed the sets are equal. From Remark 8.13 it follows that the union is disjoint and that each of the three sets has on the RHS has three elements and the corollary follows. \square

Example 11.5 (The graph $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$). *The set of 12 vertices of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ is described in Lemma 11.1. The set of 54 edges is obtained from the exceptional pairs in Lemma 11.3 (we count each double-sided arrow once). In Figure 14 we draw full subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$, the set of edges*

FIGURE 14. Subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$ FIGURE 15. Subgraphs of $G_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$

of the complete graph is disjoint union of the edges in these pictures: where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$, $j \neq k$. The complete graph is obtained by gluing all these 12 pictures in Figure 14 along the vertices they share. For the sake of clarity we draw three more full subgraphs in Figure 15.

Corollary 11.6. (a) *The following are full exceptional collections:*

$$(\delta, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23}), (s_{3o}, \delta, s_{13}, s_{23}), (s_{12}, s_{3o}, s_{13}, s_{23})$$

$$(s_{1o}, s_{2o}, s_{3o}, \delta), (s_{1o}, s_{2o}, \delta, s_{12}), (s_{1o}, s_{2o}, s_{12}, s_{3o})$$

in particular (using also (153)) we get for any $k = 1, 2, 3$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$:

$$(156) \quad \langle \delta, s_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_{ko}, \delta \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s_{ko} \rangle = \langle s_{ik}, s_{jk} \rangle^\perp =^\perp \langle s_{io}, s_{jo} \rangle$$

(b) *The following are full exceptional collections:*

$$(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_o), (s_1, s_2, s_{3o}, s_3), (s_1, s_2, s_o, s_{3o})$$

$$(s_o, s_{3o}, s_{1o}, s_{2o}), (s_3, s_o, s_{1o}, s_{2o}), (s_{3o}, s_3, s_{1o}, s_{2o})$$

in particular (using also (153)) we get for any $k = 1, 2, 3$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$:

$$(157) \quad \langle s_k, s_o \rangle = \langle s_{ko}, s_k \rangle = \langle s_o, s_{ko} \rangle =^\perp \langle s_i, s_j \rangle = \langle s_{io}, s_{jo} \rangle^\perp$$

(c) *The following are full exceptional collections:*

$$(s_{123}, s_1, s_2, s_3), (s_{23}, s_{123}, s_2, s_3), (s_1, s_{23}, s_2, s_3)$$

$$(s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23}, s_{123}), (s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{123}, s_1), (s_{12}, s_{13}, s_1, s_{23})$$

in particular (using also (153)) we get for any $k = 1, 2, 3$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$:

$$(158) \quad \langle s_{123}, s_k \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s_{123} \rangle = \langle s_k, s_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle^\perp =^\perp \langle s_{ki}, s_{kj} \rangle$$

(d) For any $k = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j$, $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$ the following are full exceptional collections $(s_i, s_{j_o}, s_j, s_{k_o})$, $(s_{ij}, s_i, s_j, s_{k_o})$, $(s_{j_o}, s_{ij}, s_j, s_{k_o})$ in particular:

$$(159) \quad \langle s_i, s_{j_o} \rangle = \langle s_{ij}, s_i \rangle = \langle s_{j_o}, s_{ij} \rangle = \langle s_j, s_{k_o} \rangle^\perp = {}^\perp \langle s_k, s_{i_o} \rangle.$$

Corollary 11.7. We have $\left| C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) \right| = 15$, furthermore :

$$(160) \quad C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}) = \{ \langle s_{k_o}, \delta \rangle, \langle s_k, s_o \rangle, \langle s_{123}, s_k \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3 \} \cup \{ \langle s_i, s_{j_o} \rangle : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j \}.$$

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{A} \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$, then from bijection (21) there is a strong exc. pair (E_1, E_2) with $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 1$ hence this exceptional pair up to shift is some of the pairs in Lemma 11.3 (b), and then due to Corollary 11.6 it follows that \mathcal{A} must be an element in the RHS of (160). Let us now take an element \mathcal{A} on the RHS, by Lemma 11.3 (b) it is generated by a strong exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) with $\text{hom}(E_1, E_2) = 1$ and using again (21) we see that $\mathcal{A} \in C_0^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T}_1)$.

If $\mathcal{A} = \langle s_{i_o}, \delta \rangle = \langle s_{j_o}, \delta \rangle$, then the orthogonal complement of $\langle \delta \rangle^\perp$ in \mathcal{A} is $\langle s_{i_o} \rangle = \langle s_{j_o} \rangle$, which implies $i = j$. Similarly one sees that each of the first three sets in the union above has 3 elements. If $\langle s_i, s_{j_o} \rangle = \langle s_\alpha, s_{\beta_o} \rangle$, $i \neq j$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ then from Corollary 11.6 (d) we deduce that $(s_\alpha, s_{\beta_o}, s_j, s_{k_o})$ is an exceptional collection, where $k \neq i$, $k \neq j$, therefore, since s_α, s_{k_o} is an exceptional pair and from Lemma 11.3 we know that $\text{hom}^*(s_{k_o}, s_k) \neq 0$, we deduce that $\alpha \neq k$, i. e. $\alpha \in \{i, j\}$. The condition that (s_{β_o}, s_j) is an exceptional pair together with Lemma 11.3 imply that $\beta = j$. Now by similar arguments as in the case $\langle s_{i_o}, \delta \rangle = \langle s_{j_o}, \delta \rangle$ it follows that $\alpha = i$. So we proved that the elements in the fourth set of the union above are 6.

From Corollary 11.6 we know that the orthogonal complements of $\langle s_i, s_{j_o} \rangle$ are generated by non-orthogonal pairs, whereas the orthogonal complements of any element in the first three sets of the union above are generated by orthogonal pairs, therefore the fourth set in the union is disjoint with any of the other three sets.

Finally, comparing, say the right, orthogonal subcategories of each of the 9 subcategories in the union of the first three sets (these orthogonal subcategories are shown in Corollary 11.6) and using Remark 8.13 we deduce that these subcategories are pairwise different and therefore the subcategories themselves are different. The Corollary is completely proved. \square

Next we determine the action of the Serre functor:

Lemma 11.8. Let S be the Serre functor of \mathcal{T} . Then we have: $S(\delta) \sim s_o$, $S(s_o) \sim s_{123}$, $S(s_{123}) \sim \delta$. For any $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ which are pairwise different we have: $S(s_i) \sim s_{jk}$, $S(s_{jk}) \sim s_{i_o}$, $S(s_{i_o}) \sim s_i$.

Proof. We use (28) and appropriate full exceptional collections. In Corollary 11.6 (a), (c) are shown exceptional collections $(\delta, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23})$, $(s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23}, s_{123})$ and we deduce $S(s_{123}) \sim \delta$. In Corollary 11.6 (b) we have also an exceptional collection $(s_o, s_{3_o}, s_{1_o}, s_{2_o})$, and from Lemma 11.3 one sees that $(s_{3_o}, s_{1_o}, s_{2_o}, \delta)$ is also full exceptional collection, hence $S(\delta) \sim s_o$. In Corollary 11.6 (b) is shown a full exceptional collection (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_o) and from Lemma 11.3 we get an exceptional collection (s_{123}, s_1, s_2, s_3) therefore $S(s_o) \sim s_{123}$.

From Lemma 11.3 we see that $(s_{23}, s_{123}, s_2, s_3)$ is an exceptional collection, and we already have the exceptional collection (s_{123}, s_2, s_3, s_1) , therefore $S(s_1) \sim s_{23}$. From Lemma 11.3 we see that $(s_{1_o}, \delta, s_{12}, s_{13})$ is an exceptional collection, and we already have the exceptional collection $(\delta, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23})$, therefore $S(s_{23}) \sim s_{1_o}$. From Lemma 11.3 we see that (s_1, s_3, s_{2_o}, s_2) is an exceptional collection, and we already have used the exceptional collection $(s_3, s_{2_o}, s_2, s_{1_o})$ in Corollary 11.6 (d),




FIGURE 16. Actions

therefore $S(s_{1o}) \sim s_1$. Finally, the last statement follows from the already derived incidences and since $S \circ \kappa \cong \kappa \circ S$ due to [17, Lemma 3.1] (recall also (153)). \square

We already know what are the sets $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$, $C_0^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$, $C_{-1}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$. To determine the rest numbers in tables (13), (12) we use again Corollary 4.8. Thus, we need to count the orbits of the action of Γ on $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$ and on $C_l^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$ ($l = -1, 0$) for the three choices of Γ . Pictures 16a, 16b, 17 depict the actions of $[\kappa]$, $[S]$ on $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$, $C_l^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$ for $l = 0, -1$, respectively: the action of $[\kappa]$ is depicted via the dashed arrows, whereas the action of $[S]$ - via non-dashed arrows.

Indeed, the formulas (153), together with $\kappa(s_3) \sim s_1$, $\kappa(s_{3o}) \sim s_{1o}$, and since κ fixes $\langle \delta \rangle$, $\langle s_{123} \rangle$, $\langle s_o \rangle$ amount to action of κ as shown by the dashed arrows.

For drawing the arrows for S we use Lemma 11.8 and Corollary 11.6. For example to draw the arrows for S in Figures 16a and 17 it is enough to use Lemma 11.8. In Figure 16b the assignment $S(\langle s_{1o}, \delta \rangle) = \langle s_1, s_o \rangle$ is clear from Lemma 11.8 as well, whereas $S(\langle s_1, s_o \rangle) = \langle s_{23}, s_{123} \rangle$ by Lemma 11.8 and $\langle s_{23}, s_{123} \rangle = \langle s_{123}, s_1 \rangle$ by Corollary 11.6 (c). Similarly $S(\langle s_{123}, s_1 \rangle) = \langle \delta, s_{23} \rangle$ by Lemma 11.8 and $\langle \delta, s_{23} \rangle = \langle s_{1o}, \delta \rangle$ by Corollary 11.6 (a). Similarly $S(\langle s_1, s_{2o} \rangle) = \langle s_{23}, s_2 \rangle$, $S(\langle s_1, s_{3o} \rangle) = \langle s_{23}, s_3 \rangle$ by Lemma 11.8 and $\langle s_{23}, s_2 \rangle = \langle s_2, s_{3o} \rangle$, $\langle s_{23}, s_3 \rangle = \langle s_3, s_{2o} \rangle$ by Corollary 11.6 (d).


Since S and κ commute we obtain picture 16b and recalling (150) we complete tables (13), (12).

For $D^b(D_4)$ we already know these sets for \mathcal{A} generated by an exceptional object or by an exceptional pair. Now we determine $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4))$ for \mathcal{A} generated by an exceptional triple:

Proposition 11.9. *If $C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4)) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} has a full exceptional triple, then either $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_3)$ or $\mathcal{A} \cong D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1)$. Table (14) holds and:*

$$(161) \quad C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4)) = \left\{ \langle s_i \rangle^\perp : i = 1, 2, 3 \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle s_{io} \rangle^\perp : i = 1, 2, 3 \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle s_{12} \rangle^\perp, \langle s_{13} \rangle^\perp, \langle s_{23} \rangle^\perp \right\}$$

$$(162) \quad C_{D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4)) = \left\{ \langle \delta \rangle^\perp, \langle s_o \rangle^\perp, \langle s_{123} \rangle^\perp \right\}.$$

FIGURE 17. Actions on $C_{-1}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be generated by an exceptional triple. If $\mathcal{B} \in C_{\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4))$, then it must be generated by an exceptional triple, say (A, B, C) . Due to [6] this triple can be extended to a full exceptional collection (A, B, C, D) and hence $\mathcal{B} = \langle D \rangle^\perp$ for some $\langle D \rangle \in C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$. The set $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$ is determined in Lemma 11.1 and we have 12 options for $\langle D \rangle^\perp$.

From the exceptional collections $(s_{1o}, s_{2o}, s_{3o}, \delta)$, (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_o) and $(s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{13}, s_{123})$ (see Figures 14, 15) we conclude that the set on RHS in (162) is a subset of $C_{D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1) \oplus D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4))$.

If we show that the RHS in (161) is a subset of $C_{D^b(A_3), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(D^b(D_4))$, then (161) and (162) follow.

Using the actions of S and κ shown in Figure 16a we deduce that the subcategories $\{\langle s_i \rangle^\perp : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\langle s_{io} \rangle^\perp : i = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\langle s_{12} \rangle^\perp, \langle s_{13} \rangle^\perp, \langle s_{23} \rangle^\perp\}$ are pairwise equivalent, therefore it is enough to show that $\langle s_3 \rangle^\perp$ is equivalent to $D^b(A_3)$. In Figures 14, 15 (or Corollary 11.6 (c)) we see that (s_1, s_{23}, s_2, s_3) is a full exceptional collection, therefore $\langle s_3 \rangle^\perp = \langle s_1, s_{23}, s_2 \rangle$. From Figures 14, 15 (or using (155), (158), (159), (160)) we deduce that $\langle s_1, s_{23}, s_2 \rangle = \langle A, B, C \rangle$ for some strong exceptional triple with $\text{hom}(A, B) = \text{hom}(B, C) = 1$, $\text{hom}(A, C) = 0$, and now [19, Corollary 1.9] ensures that $\langle s_3 \rangle^\perp \cong D^b(A_3)$, hence (161), (162) are proved. The rest follows from the actions of S and κ described in Figure 16a. \square

12. EXAMPLES FOR INTERSECTION RELATIONS

Let again $\mathcal{J} = \{\text{trivial category}, D^b(A_1), D^b(A_2)\}$. For two choices of \mathcal{T} we will define injective maps



$$(163) \quad C_0^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \{\text{lines in } \mathbb{A}^2\} \quad C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \{\text{points in } \mathbb{A}^2\}$$

such that the corresponding map $C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \{\text{points and straight lines in } \mathbb{A}^2\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ¹¹ is an embedding of partially ordered sets, i.e. for any $x, y \in C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ we have $x \leq y$ iff $\gamma(x) \subset \gamma(y)$. Using Lemmas 6.5, 6.6 one easily shows that such a γ has the property that two different $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in C_0^{\{Id\}}(\mathcal{T})$ intersect non-trivially iff $\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \cap \alpha(\mathcal{B}) \cap \text{Im}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ and if they intersect non-trivially $\beta(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \cap \alpha(\mathcal{B}) \cap \text{Im}(\beta)$.

Let us first consider the case $\mathcal{T} = D^b(A_3)$. The derived points, and the genus 0 nc curves are shown in (148), (149). Using Corollary 6.7 we define α, β from (163) as shown in Figure (3).


Till the end of the section we consider the case $\mathcal{T} = D^b(D_4)$. The 15 nc curves of genus 0 are described in Corollary 11.7 and the 12 derived points are described in Lemma 11.1. To construct

¹¹with $\gamma(\text{trivial category}) = \emptyset$

FIGURE 18. Constructing $\gamma : C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4)) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, Step 1FIGURE 19. Constructing $\gamma : C_{\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4)) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, Step 2

α, β from (163) we will use also equalities (156), (157), (158), (159), where one sees what are the three derived points (Lemma 6.5) contained in each of the genus 0 nc curves.

The curves $\{\langle s_i, s_{jo} \rangle : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j\}$ can be split in two three element sets, the curves in each of these sets are pairwise non-intersecting: $\{\langle s_1, s_{2o} \rangle, \langle s_2, s_{3o} \rangle, \langle s_3, s_{1o} \rangle\}$, $\{\langle s_1, s_{3o} \rangle, \langle s_3, s_{2o} \rangle, \langle s_2, s_{1o} \rangle\}$, whereas each curve in one of the sets intersects each of the three curves in the other set in a single derived point, which is seen from Corollary 11.6 (d) and Corollary 6.7. Therefore we can present the intersection relations in $\{\langle s_i, s_{jo} \rangle : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j\}$ via two triples of parallel lines perpendicular to each other, for example as in Figure 18. We have embedded already 9 of the derived points in $C_{D^b(A_1), \mathbb{K}}^{\{\text{Id}\}}(\mathcal{T})$ and 6 of the genus 0 nc curves, missing are the three derived points $\langle \delta \rangle, \langle s_o \rangle, \langle s_{123} \rangle$ and the 9 nc curves $\{\langle s_{ko}, \delta \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\langle s_k, s_o \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\langle s_{123}, s_k \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3\}$ (see Corollary 11.7). Now the curve $\langle s_{3o}, \delta \rangle$ intersects $\langle s_2, s_{3o} \rangle$ and $\langle s_1, s_{3o} \rangle$ into $\langle s_{3o} \rangle, \langle s_1, s_{2o} \rangle$ and $\langle s_2, s_{1o} \rangle$ into $\langle s_{12} \rangle$ and does not intersect the rest of the already drawn curves (we use (156) and Corollary 6.7), therefore if we want to continue with the picture 18, the nc curve $\langle s_{3o}, \delta \rangle$ must be mapped by α from (163) to the line spanned by the already embedded points $\langle s_{3o} \rangle, \langle s_{12} \rangle$, by similar arguments α must map the nc curve $\langle s_{2o}, \delta \rangle$ to the line spanned by the already embedded points $\langle s_{2o} \rangle, \langle s_{13} \rangle$, thus we obtain the two blue lines in the first picture of Figure 19.

FIGURE 20. The graph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(D^b(D_4))$

We continue with the curve $\langle s_{1o}, \delta \rangle$. The corresponding line must be spanned by $\langle s_{1o} \rangle, \langle s_{23} \rangle$, on the other hand the curves $\{\langle s_{ko}, \delta \rangle\}_{k=1}^3$ have a single intersection derived point $\langle \delta \rangle$, hence if we want to attain α, β from the already drawn picture 18 the already drawn two blue lines and the line spanned by $\langle s_{1o} \rangle, \langle s_{23} \rangle$ must intersect in a single point. To our surprise this is really the case. Thus we obtain the common point in Figure 19. which necessarily must be $\beta(\langle \delta \rangle)$.

Continuing by similar arguments with $\{\langle s_k, s_o \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\langle s_{123}, s_k \rangle : k = 1, 2, 3\}$ we observe two more triples of concurrent lines as seen in Figure 4. This picture encodes α, β from (163). Note that in this picture $\text{Im}(\beta)$ contains exactly the points where more than two lines meet.

13. EXAMPLES OF $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ WITH $\mathcal{J} = \{N\mathbb{P}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}$

Example 13.1 ($\mathcal{J} = \{N\mathbb{P}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}, \mathcal{T} = D^b(D_4)$). From (31) we see that the set of vertices of the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ is disjoint union of $C_{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ and $C_0(\mathcal{T})$. The 15 elements in $C_0(\mathcal{T})$ are listed in 11.7 (see also Figures 16b, 4) whereas the 9 elements in $C_{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ are listed in Corollary 11.4 (see also Figure 17). It remains to determine the pairs (a, b) , $a, b \in C_{-1}(\mathcal{T}) \cup C_0(\mathcal{T})$ such that (a, b) is a semi-orthogonal pair. To that end we use Figures 14, 15 (or Corollary 11.6). First note that for each directed edge (a, b) we have that $\mathcal{T} = \langle a, b \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition, and hence $a = b^\perp$, $b = {}^\perp a$. On the other hand for any vertex a the left and right orthogonal subcategories a^\perp , ${}^\perp a$ are such that (a^\perp, a) , $(a, {}^\perp a)$ are directed edges. Therefore for any vertex a there is exactly one directed edge of the form (a, b) and exactly one directed edge of the form (c, a) . Taking into account that the vertices are finitely many we deduce that the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ consists of several oriented loops. From Figures 14, 15, or Corollary 11.6 (d), we get full exceptional collections




$$(164) \quad (s_1, s_{2o}, s_2, s_{3o}), (s_2, s_{3o}, s_3, s_{1o}), (s_3, s_{1o}, s_1, s_{2o})$$

$$(165) \quad (s_1, s_{3o}, s_3, s_{2o}), (s_3, s_{2o}, s_2, s_{1o}), (s_2, s_{1o}, s_1, s_{3o})$$

Hence we obtain the first two loops in Figure 20. Now we use again Figures 14, 15, or Corollary 11.6 (a), (c), (b), and get the following full exceptional collections

$$(\delta, s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23}), (s_{13}, s_{23}, s_{123}, s_3), (s_{123}, s_3, s_1, s_2), (s_1, s_2, s_{3o}, s_3), (s_{3o}, s_3, s_{1o}, s_{2o}), (s_{1o}, s_{2o}, \delta, s_{12})$$

Since $\langle \delta, s_{12} \rangle = \langle s_{3o}, \delta \rangle$ (see (156)) and $\langle s_{3o}, s_3 \rangle = \langle s_3, s_o \rangle$ (see (157)) we obtain a loop in $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ whose vertices are $\langle s_{3o}, \delta \rangle, \langle s_{13}, s_{23} \rangle, \langle s_{123}, s_3 \rangle, \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle, \langle s_3, s_o \rangle, \langle s_{1o}, s_{2o} \rangle$. The auto-equivalence κ of \mathcal{T} (shown in Figure 16a) acts on the digraph as explained in Corollary 7.4 and applying this automorphism of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ twice on the obtained loop produces two more loops. Thus we obtain three loops with 6 vertices as depicted in Figure 20, where the vertices alternate between genus zero and genus -1 nc curves. Thus, the complete graph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ consists of the five loops in Figure 20.

FIGURE 21. Full subgraphs in $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$ FIGURE 22. Full subgraph in $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$ FIGURE 23. Full subgraphs in $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(D^b(Q_2))$

Example 13.2 ($\mathcal{J} = \{N\mathbb{P}^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}}$, $\mathcal{T} = D^b(Q_2)$). From (30) we see that the set of vertices of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ is disjoint union of $C_{-1}(\mathcal{T})$, $C_0(\mathcal{T})$, $C_1(\mathcal{T})$, and these are determined in Corollaries 8.9, 8.11, 8.12. To determine the pairs (a, b) , $a, b \in C_{-1}(\mathcal{T}) \cup C_0(\mathcal{T}) \cup C_1(\mathcal{T})$ such that $\text{Hom}(b, a) = 0$ we use Figures 7, 8, 9 (or Lemma 8.5). It is useful to keep in mind that (F_+, F_-) , (G_+, G_-) , (F_+, G_+) , (F_-, G_-) , (d^m, c^m) , (a^m, b^{m+1}) are orthogonal pairs. As in the previous example for any vertex a there is exactly one directed edge of the form (a, b) and exactly one edge of the form (c, a) . It follows that $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$ consists of oriented loops and infinite sequences. From Figures 7, 8, 9 we get full exceptional collections:

$$(166) \quad (c^m, c^{m+1}, G_-, F_-), (G_-, F_-, d^m, d^{m+1}), (d^m, d^{m+1}, G_+, F_+), (G_+, F_+, c^m, c^{m+1})$$

$$(167) \quad (a^m, a^{m+1}, F_+, F_-), (F_+, F_-, b^m, b^{m+1}), (b^m, b^{m+1}, G_+, G_-), (G_+, G_-, a^m, a^{m+1}).$$

Hence we obtain the two loops in Figure 21 as part of $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$, where the vertices alternate between genus 1 and genus -1 non-commutative curves. Looking at the cube in Figures 7 one checks that (d^m, c^m, a^m, b^{m+1}) , $(a^m, b^{m+1}, d^{m+1}, c^{m+1})$ are exceptional collections for each m , which amounts to the subgraph in Figure 22 where the vertices are genus -1 curves. It remains to find the full subgraph whose vertices are the genus 0 curves listed in Corollary 8.11 Using again Figures 7, 8, 9 we obtain for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the exceptional collections:

(c^m, G_-, a^m, F_-) , (a^m, F_-, d^{m+1}, F_+) , $(d^{m+1}, F_+, b^{m+2}, G_+)$, $(b^{m+2}, G_+, c^{m+2}, G_-)$ which amounts to the first two digraphs in Figure 23. The auto-equivalence ζ of \mathcal{T} from Proposition 8.8 produces the other two subgraphs in Figure 23 from the obtained subgraphs.

Thus, in Figures 21, 22, 23 are shown all the components of the digraph $G_{\mathcal{J},\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{T})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Beilinson, Coherent sheaves on P^n and problems in linear algebra, *Funktional. Anal. I Prilozhen*, 1978, 12 (3): 68–69. 33
- [2] A. I. Bondal, Representation of associative algebras and coherent sheaves, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, 34:1 (1990), 23–42 13
- [3] A I Bondal, M M Kapranov, Representable functors, Serre functors, and mutations, *Math USSR IZV*, 1990, 35 (3), 519–541 35
- [4] A. I. Bondal and D. Orlov, *Reconstruction of a Variety from the Derived Category and Groups of Autoequivalences*, *Compositio Mathematica* 125: 327-344, 2001. 35
- [5] A. I. Bondal, A. E. Polishchuk, Homological properties of associative algebras: the method of helices, *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.*, 42:2 (1994), 219-260 19, 34
- [6] W. Crawley-Boevey, Exceptional sequences of representations of quivers, in 'Representations of algebras', Proc. Ottawa 1992, eds V. Dlab and H. Lenzing, Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 14 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1993), 117-124. 4, 13, 19, 26, 29, 33, 39, 61
- [7] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, Non-semistable Exceptional Objects in Hereditary Categories, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, rnv336, 85 pages. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv336 18, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 32
- [8] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, *Non-semistable exceptional objects in hereditary categories: some remarks and conjectures*, arXiv:1405.2943v2 and arXiv:1405.2943v3 37, 56
- [9] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, *Bridgeland stability conditions on the acyclic triangular quiver*, *Advances in Mathematics* 288 (2016) 825–886 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 32
- [10] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, *Bridgeland stability conditions on wild Kornecker quivers*, *Advances in Mathematics* 352 (2019) 27-55, doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2019.05.032 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870819302749?dgcid=author> 55
- [11] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, Some new categorical invariants, *Selecta Mathematica* (2019), 25:45, <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-019-0493-8> 2, 3, 18, 19
- [12] G. Dimitrov and L. Katzarkov, More finite sets coming from non-commutative counting, arXiv:1903.00295 4
- [13] A. L. Gorodentsev and A. N. Rudakov, Exceptional vector bundles on projective spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 54(1987), 115-130. 33, 34, 35
- [14] A. L. Gorodentsev and S. A. Kuleshov, Helix Theory, *MOSCOW MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL* Volume 4, Number 2, April–June 2004, Pages 377–440 5, 33, 34, 35
- [15] Happel, D.: *Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite- Dimensional Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 119, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987. 18
- [16] M. Kontsevich, and A. Rosenberg, Noncommutative smooth spaces, *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars*, 1996-1999, 85-108, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000. 2, 13
- [17] Jun-ichi Miyachi, and Amnon Yekutieli, Derived Picard groups of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras, *Compositio Mathematica* 129 (2001): 341–368. 5, 18, 19, 22, 23, 27, 36, 56, 60
- [18] S.A. Kuleshov and D. Orlov, Exceptional sheaves on Del-Pezzo surfaces, *Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math.* Vol. 44 (1995), No. 3 33
- [19] D. Orlov, Geometric realizations of quiver algebras, *Proceedings of the Steklov institute of mathematics*, Vol. 290, 2015 14, 32, 33, 39, 61
- [20] A.Н. Рудаков, Числа Маркова и исключительные расслоения на P^2 , *Изв. АН СССР. Сер. матем.*, 1988, том 52, выпуск 1, 100-112 5, 34, 35
- [21] Richard Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics volume 2*, Part of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, August 2001 6

(Dimitrov) UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, 1090 WIEN, ÖSTERREICH,
E-mail address: george.dimitrov@univie.ac.at

(Katzarkov) UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, USA, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI
E-mail address: lkatzarkov@gmail.com