

# Niebrzydowski Algebras and Trivalent Spatial Graphs

Paige Graves\*      Sam Nelson †      Sherilyn Tamagawa‡

## Abstract

We introduce *Niebrzydowski algebras*, algebraic structures with a ternary operation and a partially defined multiplication, with axioms motivated by the Reidemeister moves for  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graphs and handlebody-links. As part of this definition, we identify generating sets of  $Y$ -oriented Reidemeister moves. We give some examples to demonstrate that the counting invariant can distinguish some  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graphs and handlebody-links.

KEYWORDS: Biquasiles, tribrackets, spatial graphs, handlebody-links

2010 MSC: 57M27, 57M25

## 1 Introduction

In [12] an algebraic structure known as *ternary quasigroup* was used for coloring planar knot complements. In [9], a related algebraic structure known as *biquasile* was introduced with axioms derived from the Reidemeister moves for oriented knots and links with elements used to color vertices for *dual graph diagrams* corresponding to regions in the planar complement of a knot diagram. Biquasile coloring invariants were enhanced with Boltzmann weights in [2] which are related to cocycles in the cohomology theory for ternary quasigroups in [13]. Biquasile colorings and ternary quasigroups were used to distinguish orientable surface links in [7] and [12]. In [10] structures known as *virtual tribrackets* were defined for coloring planar complements of oriented virtual link diagrams.

*Spatial graphs*, i.e. knotted graphs in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , were considered in terms of diagrammatic moves in [6]. Handlebody-links and their Reidemeister moves were considered in [3], and *Y-orientations* of trivalent spatial graphs and handlebody-links were considered in [4]. Quandle and biquandle colorings of handlebody-links have been considered in [5, 8], including algebraic structures such as *G-families of quandles and biquandles, partially multiplicative quandles and biquandles* and *qualgebras*. In [11], the algebraic structure known as *bikei* (see [1]) was extended by adding a partially defined multiplication to define a coloring structure for unoriented twisted virtual handlebody-links called *twisted virtual bikeigebras*.

In this paper we extend tribrackets to define coloring structures for planar complements of  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graphs and  $Y$ -oriented handlebody-links, obtaining objects we call *Niebrzydowski Algebras*. These are sets with a ternary operation  $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$  called a *Niebrzydowski tribracket* and a partially defined multiplication operation satisfying axioms coming from the Reidemeister moves for oriented trivalent spatial graphs. A certain subset of these objects satisfy the additional condition required by the IH-move, making them suitable for coloring  $Y$ -oriented handlebody-links.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the Reidemeister moves for  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graphs and handlebody-links, identifying our preferred generating set of oriented moves. In Section 3 we introduce our algebraic structures and coloring rules and show that the cardinality of the set of colorings of a diagram representing an oriented trivalent spatial graph or a

---

\*Paige.Graves@laverne.edu

†Sam.Nelson@cmc.edu. Partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 316709.


‡Tamagawa@math.ucsb.edu

$Y$ -oriented handlebody-link defines an integer-valued invariant. We compute some explicit examples of the new invariant. We conclude in Section 4 with some questions for future work.

## 2 Trivalent Spatial Graphs

A (non-oriented) trivalent spatial graph is a finite graph with all vertices degree 3 embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , where two embedded graphs are considered equivalent if there is an ambient isotopy  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  taking one to the other.


From [6] we know that two (non-oriented) trivalent spatial graphs are equivalent iff their diagrams are related by a finite sequence of the Reidemeister moves




and the classical Reidemeister moves.

We orient the edges of a trivalent spatial graph, forbidding sources and sinks at the vertices. Such an orientation is known as a  $Y$ -orientation.

Then, by listing all the possible orientations on all edges of each of  $R4$  and  $R5$ , we see that we have the generating list of moves below.





**Lemma 1.** We can obtain a generating set of Reidemeister moves on trivalent spacial graphs by taking one move from each of the following sets

$$\{R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.7, R4.8, R4.9\},$$

$$\{R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.10, R4.11, R4.12\}$$

$$\{R5.1, R5.2, R5.3, R5.7, R5.8, R5.9\}$$

$$\{R5.4, R5.5, R5.6, R5.10, R5.11, R5.12\}$$


$$\{R5.13, R5.14, R5.15, R5.19, R5.20, R5.21\}$$

$$\{R5.16, R5.17, R5.18, R5.22, R5.23, R5.24\}$$

together with any minimal generating set of oriented classical Reidemeister moves.

For a list of minimal generating sets of oriented classical Reidemeister moves, see [14].

*Proof.* Through the sequence of moves given by



and by assigning different admissible combinations of orientations to each of the edges, we see that, together with  $R2$ , we have the sequences of implications

$$R5.1 \Rightarrow R5.9 \Rightarrow R5.2 \Rightarrow R5.7 \Rightarrow R5.3 \Rightarrow R5.8 \Rightarrow R5.1$$

and

$$R5.4 \Rightarrow R5.12 \Rightarrow R5.5 \Rightarrow R5.10 \Rightarrow R5.6 \Rightarrow R5.11 \Rightarrow R5.4$$

so the sets


$$\{R5.1, R5.2, R5.3, R5.7, R5.8, R5.9\}$$

and

$$\{R5.4, R5.5, R5.6, R5.10, R5.11, R5.12\}$$

give sets of equivalent moves.

Similarly, using the sequence of moves



and assigning different admissible orientations to each of the edges, we see that, together with  $R2$ , we have the sequences of implications

$$R5.13 \Rightarrow R5.21 \Rightarrow R5.14 \Rightarrow R5.19 \Rightarrow R5.15 \Rightarrow R5.20 \Rightarrow R5.13$$

and

$$R5.16 \Rightarrow R5.24 \Rightarrow R5.17 \Rightarrow R5.22 \Rightarrow R5.18 \Rightarrow R5.23 \Rightarrow R5.16$$

Thus the sets


$$\{R5.13, R5.14, R5.15, R5.19, R5.20, R5.21\}$$

and

$$\{R5.16, R5.17, R5.18, R5.22, R5.23, R5.24\}$$

give sets of equivalent moves.

Now, we see that




tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} R4.1 &\Rightarrow R4.7 \\ R4.4 &\Rightarrow R4.10 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R4.2 &\Rightarrow R4.9 \\ R4.5 &\Rightarrow R4.12 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R4.3 &\Rightarrow R4.8 \\ R4.6 &\Rightarrow R4.11 \end{aligned}$$

and



tells us

$$\begin{aligned} R4.7 &\Rightarrow R4.1 \\ R4.10 &\Rightarrow R4.4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R4.8 &\Rightarrow R4.3 \\ R4.11 &\Rightarrow R4.6 \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} R4.9 &\Rightarrow R4.2 \\ R4.12 &\Rightarrow R4.5 \end{aligned}$$

so the sets

$$\{R4.1, R4.7\}, \{R4.2, R4.9\}, \{R4.3, R4.8\}, \{R4.4, R4.10\}, \{R4.5, R4.12\}, \text{ and } \{R4.6, R4.11\}$$

are sets of equivalent moves, if we assume  $R2$ .

Furthermore, if we assume the  $R5$ -type moves and  $R1$ , the sequence of moves



gives us that


$$R4.2 \Rightarrow R4.7$$

$$R4.1 \Rightarrow R4.9$$

$$R4.6 \Rightarrow R4.10$$

$$R4.4 \Rightarrow R4.11$$

Similarly, we have



which tells us that, together with the classical Reidemeister moves and the  $R5$ -types moves,

$$R4.3 \Rightarrow R4.7$$

$$R4.1 \Rightarrow R4.8$$

$$R4.5 \Rightarrow R4.10$$

$$R4.4 \Rightarrow R4.12$$

So, we have sets of equivalent moves

$$\{R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.7, R4.8, R4.9\}$$

and

$$\{R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.10, R4.11, R4.12\}.$$

Putting this all together, we have equivalence classes of moves given by

$$\{R4.1, R4.2, R4.3, R4.7, R4.8, R4.9\},$$

$$\{R4.4, R4.5, R4.6, R4.10, R4.11, R4.12\}$$

$$\{R5.1, R5.2, R5.3, R5.7, R5.8, R5.9\}$$

$$\{R5.4, R5.5, R5.6, R5.10, R5.11, R5.12\}$$


$$\{R5.13, R5.14, R5.15, R5.19, R5.20, R5.21\}$$

$$\{R5.16, R5.17, R5.18, R5.22, R5.23, R5.24\}$$

So, for a reduced Reidemeister moves set, we only need to choose one from each class, together with a minimal generating set of classical oriented Reidemeister moves.  $\square$

By choosing a specific move from each equivalence class, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *The moves*



together with a generating set of oriented classical Reidemeister moves form a generating set of oriented Reidemeister moves for trivalent spatial graphs.

### 3 Niebrzydowski Algebras

We begin with a modification of a definition from [12].

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a set. A ternary operation  $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  on  $X$  is a *Niebrzydowski tribracket* or just a *tribracket* if it satisfies the conditions

- (i) Any three of the four  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  in the equation  $[a, b, c] = d$  determines the fourth,
- (ii) For all  $a, b, c, d \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b, [b, c, d]] &= [a, [a, b, c], [[a, b, c], c, d]] \\ [[a, b, c], c, d] &= [[a, b, [b, c, d]], [b, c, d], d]. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.** Any module over a ring  $R$  has a Niebrzydowski bracket structure defined by setting

$$[a, b, c, ] = xa - xyb + yc$$

where  $x, y \in R^\times$  are units in  $R$ . This structure is known as an *Alexander tribracket*; see [10] for more.

**Example 2.** We can specify a Niebrzydowski algebra structure on a finite set  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by listing the operation tables. Specifically, the Niebrzydowski bracket can be expressed as a 3-tensor, i.e. a vector whose entries are matrices, with the convention that to find  $[a, b, c]$  we look in matrix  $a$ , row  $b$  column  $c$ . For example, in the tribracket structure on  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  specified by

$$\left[ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \right]$$

we have  $[1, 2, 3] = 2$  and  $[2, 3, 1] = 3$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $X$  be a set with a Niebrzydowski tribracket  $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ . Then  $X$  is a *Niebrzydowski algebra* if  $X$  has a partially defined product  $a, b \mapsto ab$  satisfying

- (i) Any two of the three  $\{a, b, c\}$  in  $ab = c$  determines the third,
- (ii) For all  $a, b \in X$  we have

$$[a, ab, b] = ab \quad (iv.i)$$

and

- (iii) For all  $a, b, c \in X$  we have


$$\begin{aligned} a[a, b, c] &= [a, b, bc] && (v.i) \\ [a, b, c]c &= [ab, b, c] && (v.ii) \\ [a, b, c] &= [[a, b, bc], bc, c] && (v.iii) \\ [a, b, c] &= [a, ab, [ab, b, c]] && (v.iv). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.** The tribracket in example 2 has eight partial products, given by the operation matrices


$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & - \\ - & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & - & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & - \\ - & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} - & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & - & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & - \end{bmatrix}, \\ &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & - \\ - & 2 & - \\ - & - & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} - & 3 & - \\ - & - & 1 \\ 2 & - & - \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} - & - & 2 \\ 3 & - & - \\ - & 1 & - \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} - & - & - \\ - & - & - \\ - & - & - \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where a  $-$  indicates an undefined product.




These elements of  $X$  are interpreted as colors for regions in the planar complement of a  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graph diagram according to the rule




The axioms come from our preferred generating set of  $Y$ -oriented Reidemeister moves. From the R4 moves, we have



and from the R5 moves we have



and




**Remark 1.** The various oriented IH moves impose the idempotency-style condition that

$$a(ab) = ab = (ab)b$$

for all  $a, b \in X$ . We illustrate with two of the possible  $Y$ -oriented IH-moves; the other cases are



similar.




The left and right invertibility of the partial product then require that  $ab = a = b$ , so this condition implies that the multiplication is only defined for equal operands, i.e., if  $a \neq b$  then  $ab$  is not defined, and that we must have  $aa = a$ . Thus, for handlebody-links the rule is that all three region colors around a vertex must be equal. We will call such a Niebrzydowski algebra an *idempotent Niebrzydowski algebra*.

Then by construction, we have


**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Niebrzydowski algebra and  $\Gamma$  a  $Y$ -oriented trivalent spatial graph. Then the number  $\Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$  of  $X$ -colorings of any diagram of  $\Gamma$  is invariant under  $Y$ -oriented Reidemeister moves. If  $X$  is idempotent, then  $\Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma)$  is an invariant of  $Y$ -oriented handlebody-links.*

**Example 4.** The  $Y$ -oriented unknotted theta graph below has nine colorings by the Niebrzydowski algebra as depicted.

$$X = \left[ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$



Hence we have counting invariant value  $\Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma) = 9$ . This is distinct from the  $Y$ -oriented handcuff graph, which has only three  $X$ -colorings.




We note that while these two graphs represent the same handlebody-knot,  $X$  is not an idempotent Niebrzydowski algebra and thus can distinguish different trivalent spatial graphs which represent the same handlebody-knot. If we instead use the partial product given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & - & - \\ - & 2 & - \\ - & - & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

then the resulting Niebrzydowski algebra is idempotent and both graphs have only the three constant colorings, reflecting the fact that they represent the same handlebody-knot.

**Example 5.** Idempotent Niebrzydowski algebra counting invariants can detect when handlebody-links have different genera. For example, the diagram on the left



represents a handlebody-link of two genus 1 components (i.e., a classical link) while the one on the right represents a handlebody-link of a genus 2 component with a genus 1 component. These are distinguished by the counting invariant with respect to the idempotent Niebrzydowski algebra


$$X = \left[ \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right], \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

with counting invariant values 27 and 3 respectively: checking the operation tensor of  $X$  we verify that  $[a, b, c] = d$  iff  $[a, d, c] = b$ , so the regions labeled  $a, b, c$  can be freely chosen and we have  $3^3 = 27$   $X$ -colorings of the Hopf link, while for the handlebody-link on the right the coloring conditions  $[a, a, b] = c$  and  $[a, c, b] = a$  are satisfied only for  $a = b = c$ , yielding only three colorings.


**Example 6.** Let  $X$  be the Niebrzydowski algebra given by

$$X = \left[ \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} - & 3 & - \\ - & - & 1 \\ 2 & - & - \end{array} \right]$$

and consider the two trivalent spatial graphs below.




The spatial graph  $K_1$  on the left has three  $X$ -colorings



for a counting invariant value of  $\Phi_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(K_1) = 3$ . For the spatial graph  $K_2$  on the right, we can observe that the partial multiplication structure of  $X$  means that the only possible colorings around the

vertices are as depicted with  $(a, b, c) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)\}$ .



However, for such a coloring to be valid, we would need


$$[a, [a, c, b], b] = a$$

and we verify that none of the three possibilities works:

$$\begin{aligned} [1, [1, 3, 2], 2] &= [1, 3, 2] = 3 \neq 1 \\ [2, [2, 1, 3], 3] &= [2, 1, 3] = 1 \neq 2 \\ [3, [3, 2, 1], 1] &= [3, 2, 1] = 2 \neq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there are no  $X$ -colorings of  $K_2$  and the counting invariant distinguishes these spatial graphs.

**Example 7.** Using our Python code, we find that the trivalent spatial graphs



are distinguished by their numbers of colorings by the Niebrzydowski algebra  $X$  specified by

$$\left[ \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & - & 2 & - \\ - & 2 & - & 3 \\ 4 & - & 3 & - \\ - & 1 & - & 4 \end{array} \right]$$

with eight and four colorings respectively for the spatial graphs on the left and on the right.

## 4 Questions

We end with a few questions for future research.

We can't help but notice that the fully-defined multiplication table for the tribracket in example 4 is a quandle table, namely the dihedral quandle of three elements. Is this a coincidence?

Because of the idempotency requirement, handlebody-link colorings tend to be fairly monochromatic. How many crossings in a handlebody knot (single component) are needed before we get a non-monochromatic coloring by an idempotent Niebrzydowski algebra?

As always, what enhancements of this counting invariant are possible? What are the connections with quaugebra colorings and quaugebra homology?

## References

- [1] S. Aksoy and S. Nelson. Bikei, involutory biracks and unoriented link invariants. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 21(6):1250045, 13, 2012.
- [2] W. Choi, D. Needell, and S. Nelson. Biquasile Boltzmann enhancements. *arXiv:1704.02555*, 2017.
- [3] A. Ishii. Moves and invariants for knotted handlebodies. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(3):1403–1418, 2008.
- [4] A. Ishii. The Markov theorems for spatial graphs and handlebody-knots with Y-orientations. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(14):1550116, 23, 2015.
- [5] A. Ishii and S. Nelson. Partially multiplicative biquandles and handlebody-knots. In *Knots, links, spatial graphs, and algebraic invariants*, volume 689 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 159–176. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [6] L. H. Kauffman. Invariants of graphs in three-space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 311(2):697–710, 1989.
- [7] J. Kim and S. Nelson. Biquasile colorings of oriented surface-links. *Topology and its Applications*, 236:64 – 76, 2018.
- [8] V. Lebed. Quaugebras and knotted 3-valent graphs. *Fund. Math.*, 230(2):167–204, 2015.
- [9] D. Needell and S. Nelson. Biquasiles and dual graph diagrams. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 26(8):1750048, 18, 2017.
- [10] S. Nelson and S. Pico. Virtual tribrackets. *arXiv:*, 2018.
- [11] S. Nelson and Y. Zhao. Twisted virtual bikeigebras and twisted virtual handlebody-knots. *arXiv:1711.04362*, 2017.
- [12] M. Niebrzydowski. On some ternary operations in knot theory. *Fund. Math.*, 225(1):259–276, 2014.
- [13] M. Niebrzydowski. Homology of ternary algebras yielding invariants of knots and knotted surfaces. *arXiv:1706.04307*, 2017.
- [14] M. Polyak. Minimal generating sets of Reidemeister moves. *Quantum Topol.*, 1(4):399–411, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
CLAREMONT MCKENNA COLLEGE  
850 COLUMBIA AVE.  
CLAREMONT, CA 91711

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SOUTH HALL, ROOM 6607  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106