

Stationary Langmuir structures in a relativistic current carrying cold plasma

Roopendra Singh Rajawat,^{1,2, a)} Sudip Sengupta,^{1,2} and Nikhil Chakrabarti^{3,2}

¹⁾*Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat , Gandhinagar - 382428,
India*

²⁾*Homi Bhaba National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar,
Mumbai 400085, India*

³⁾*Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF, Bidhanagar, Kolkata - 70064,
India*

(Dated: 27 August 2019)

Nonlinear stationary structures formed in a cold plasma with immobile ions in the presence of a relativistic electron current beam have been investigated analytically in the collisionless limit. The structure profile is governed by the ratio of maximum electrostatic field energy density to the kinetic energy density of the electron beam, *i.e.*, $\kappa = E_m / (4\pi n_0 m_0 v_0^2)^{1/2}$, where E_m is the maximum electric field associated with the nonlinear structure and v_0 is the electron beam velocity. It is found that, in the linear limit, *i.e.*, $\kappa \ll \sqrt{2\gamma_0 / (1 + \gamma_0)}$, the fluid variables, *viz.*, density, electric field, and velocity vary harmonically in space, where γ_0 is the Lorentz factor associated with beam velocity (v_0). In the range $0 < \kappa \leq \kappa_c (= \sqrt{2\gamma_0 / (1 + \gamma_0)})$, the fluid variables exhibit an-harmonic behavior. For values of $\kappa_c < \kappa < +\infty$, the electric field shows finite discontinuities at specific spatial locations indicating the formation of negatively charged planes at these locations.

PACS numbers: 52.27.Ny, 52.35.-g, 52.35.Mw, 52.35.Sb

^{a)}Electronic mail: rupn999@gmail.com; Present address :- School of Applied and Engineering Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850, United States of America

I. INTRODUCTION

Bernstein-Greene-Kruskal¹⁻⁴ (BGK) waves are well known nonlinear potential structures supported by background distribution function of the plasma. These are typically formed during nonlinear evolution of streaming instabilities^{5,6}, breaking of the cold plasma waves⁷, etc. BGK modes accelerates charge particle up to relativistic energies⁸, forms double layer^{9,10}, excites ion acoustic wakes¹¹ etc. Non-relativistic BGK modes are often found in low temperature laboratory plasmas^{12,13}, magnetic re-connection^{14,15}, in Earth's magnetosphere¹⁶⁻¹⁹ and foreshock region^{20,21}, whereas relativistic BGK modes are observed in high energy laser-plasma interaction^{22,23} and strong relativistic astrophysical scenarios, viz. supernova remnant and gamma ray burst²⁴. Theory of non-relativistic BGK structures^{3,4,25} have been studied for a long time and well understood, while theory of relativistic BGK structures is still a hot topic of research; our work contributes to the theory of relativistic BGK structures.

In this paper, we present a very special class²⁶ of stationary BGK structures when all the plasma electrons are moving with a single velocity as a beam and thermal effects are neglected, hereinafter called Langmuir structures²⁷⁻²⁹. In the non-relativistic regime, and in the absence of a beam, propagating Langmuir waves in a cold plasma have been derived by Albritton et. al.³⁰. The Langmuir mode in this case was obtained from the exact space-time dependent solution³¹ of the full nonlinear non-relativistic fluid-Maxwell set of equations. Similarly propagating Langmuir waves in a cold relativistic plasma in the absence of a relativistic electron beam is obtained by transforming the governing equations in such a frame, where the wave is at rest, the so-called wave frame³²⁻³⁴. Verma et. al.^{33,34} constructed such a solution for propagating Langmuir waves (Akhiezer-Polovin wave³²) from exact space-time dependent solution³⁵ of the full relativistic fluid-Maxwell set of equations by choosing special initial conditions. In the presence of a beam Psimopolous et. al.^{28,29} obtained the solutions for stationary Langmuir waves (stationary in lab frame) in current carrying non-relativistic cold plasmas for a wide range of parameter ($\kappa = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m v_0^2)^{1/2}$), where E_m is the maximum amplitude of the electric field, v_0 is electron beam velocity and other symbols have their usual meanings. Here we present exact solution of stationary Langmuir structures in a relativistic current carrying cold plasma. These solutions are physically significant in the sense that they allow one to estimate the limiting amplitude of large amplitude stationary

waves in relativistic current carrying plasmas. Apart from the above physical significance, exact solutions are also useful for benchmarking of simulation codes.

As mentioned above, in this paper, we study stationary Langmuir structures (stationary in lab frame) in the presence of a relativistic electron beam which is propagating through homogeneous positive background of immobile ions. Under the influence of applied harmonic perturbation, periodic compression and rarefaction occurs in density, so according to equation of continuity electrons accelerate and retard periodically in space, to maintain the constant flux throughout the system. These periodic departures from charge neutrality in turn induce a longitudinal electric field which produces the necessary force on the electrons so that the whole system is kept in stationary state. It is found that the basic parameter that controls the nonlinearity in the system, is a ratio of maximum electrostatic field energy density to relativistic kinetic energy density, *i.e.*, $\kappa = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m_0 v_0^2)^{1/2}$, where E_m is the maximum amplitude of the electric field, v_0 is the electron beam velocity, n_0 and m_0 are respectively the equilibrium number density and rest mass of the electron.

In the non-relativistic limit²⁸, it is found that if $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m v_0^2)^{1/2} = 1$, electric field becomes discontinuous³⁶ at specific spatial locations indicating formation of negative charge planes at these locations. In the case of a relativistic beam, the critical parameter κ_c is modified and is found to depend on the beam velocity v_0 as $\kappa_c = \sqrt{2\gamma_0/(1 + \gamma_0)}$. If $\kappa \ll \kappa_c$, the fluid variables, *viz.*, electron fluid velocity $v_e(x)$, electron number density $n_e(x)$, electrostatic potential $\phi(x)$, and electric field $E(x)$ vary harmonically in space in accordance with linear theory. As κ increases, and approaches κ_c within the interval $0 \ll \kappa < \kappa_c$, the above variables gradually become anharmonic in space. In the case of $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$ it is shown that gradient of electric field becomes infinitely steep periodically at certain singular points which in turn implies discontinuity in electric field and explosive behavior of electron number density. This discontinuous³⁶ electric field implies formation of negatively charged perfectly conducting planes, infinitely extended in the transverse direction. At these locations electron density becomes singular and the electron fluid velocity becomes vanishingly small. In the limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ ($v_0 = 0$, $\gamma_0 = 1$), the Langmuir structure collapses to a 1-D crystal.

In this paper, we derive exact stationary solutions of Langmuir structures in current carrying cold relativistic fluid-Maxwell system. Some attempts to describe stationary Langmuir solutions in a relativistic current carrying cold plasma were also made by earlier authors³⁷. Here we present exact expressions for electrostatic potential, electric field, electron density

and electron velocity as a function of position which describe the nonlinear Langmuir structures. It is also shown that, in an appropriate limit, results of relativistic theory coincide with the non-relativistic results²⁸. In section II, we present the governing equations and derive the linear results and in section III nonlinear theory is derived and results are described. We conclude the paper with a brief discussion in section IV.

II. LINEAR THEORY

Let us consider an one dimensional system, where a relativistic electron beam of density n_0 and velocity v_0 is propagating through a homogeneous positive background of immobile ions of density n_0 . The basic set of governing equations required to study nonlinear stationary Langmuir structures are

$$\frac{\partial n_e v_e}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$v_e \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial x} = -eE = e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e(n_0 - n_e). \quad (3)$$

where $p_e = \gamma_e m_0 v_e$ is momentum of electrons and other symbols have their usual meaning.

In the linear limit and in the spirit of weakly relativistic flow $v_0 \ll c$, fluid variables describing the spatial profile can be obtained using linearized set of steady state fluid equations.

The continuity equation is,

$$n_0 \frac{\partial v_e}{\partial x} + v_0 \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (4)$$

the momentum equation is,

$$m_0 v_0 \gamma_0^3 \frac{\partial v_e}{\partial x} = e \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad (5)$$

and the Poisson equation is,

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = 4\pi e(n_0 - n_e). \quad (6)$$

Using Eqs. (4), (5) and (6), solution of stationary equations in the linear limit can be obtained straightforwardly as

$$E(X) = \kappa \sin(X/\gamma_0^{3/2}), \quad (7)$$

$$\Phi(X) = 2\kappa\gamma_0^{3/2} \cos(X/\gamma_0^{3/2}), \quad (8)$$

$$v_e(X) = 1 + \kappa\gamma_0^{-3/2} \cos(X/\gamma_0^{3/2}), \quad (9)$$

and

$$n_e(X) = 1 - \kappa\gamma_0^{-3/2} \cos(X/\gamma_0^{3/2}), \quad (10)$$

where $s = v_0/\omega_{pe}$, $X = x/s$, $\beta = v_0/c$, $E \rightarrow E/E_0$, $E_0 = (4\pi n_0 m_0 v_0^2)^{1/2}$, $\Phi = 2e(\phi - \phi_0)/m_0 v_0^2$, $v_e \rightarrow v_e/v_0$ and $n_e \rightarrow n_e/n_0$. Here ϕ_0 is an arbitrary additive potential, $\kappa = E_m/(4\pi n_0 m_0 v_0^2)^{1/2}$ is ratio of maximum field energy density to kinetic energy density and $s\gamma_0^{3/2}$ is the wavelength of stationary waves in the linear limit, *i.e.*, $\kappa \ll 1$. It is readily seen from Eqs. (7) - (10) that in the linear limit fluid variables are harmonic in space. Fig. 1 and 2 show the potential, electric field, velocity and density for two different beam velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$ respectively and for parameter $\kappa = 0.01$. In Fig. 1 and 2 continuous curves are obtained from the linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory, which will be discussed in the next section.

III. NONLINEAR THEORY

Now integrating Eq.(2) and assuming that at $\phi = \phi_0$; $v = v_0$, the relation between electron velocity and electrostatic potential is obtained as³⁷

$$\frac{m_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v_e^2/c^2}} - \frac{m_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v_0^2/c^2}} = e(\phi(x) - \phi_0). \quad (11)$$

Using Eqs. (1), (3) and (11), the gradient of the electric field as a function of potential can be written as³⁷

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{dX^2} = -2 \left(1 - \beta \frac{2\gamma_0 + \beta^2\Phi}{\sqrt{(2\gamma_0 + \beta^2\Phi)^2 - 4}} \right). \quad (12)$$

Multiplying Eq.12 with $d\Phi/dX$, we obtain

$$E^2 + V(\Phi) = \text{constant}, \quad (13)$$

where $d\Phi/dX = -2E$ and $V(\Phi)$ is defined as

$$V(\Phi) = 2\gamma_0 \left(1 + \frac{\Phi}{2\gamma_0} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\gamma_0}\Phi + \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma_0^2}\Phi^2} \right). \quad (14)$$




FIG. 1. Fig. shows (a) potential (b) electric field (c) velocity and (d) density for the parameters $\beta = 0.1$ and $\kappa = 0.01$. Here continuous curves are obtained from linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory.

The *constant* in Eq.(13) is derived from $dE^2/d\Phi = 0$ at $\Phi = 0$; $V(\Phi) = 0$, where E^2 becomes maximum, *i.e.*, $(E/E_0)^2 = \kappa^2$, then Eq.(13) becomes³⁷

$$E^2 + V(\Phi) = \kappa^2. \quad (15)$$

Eq.(15) gives a family of curves in the phase space $\Phi - E$ for different values of the parameters κ and β .

In Fig. 3 solid blue curve shows variation of Sagdeev potential with the electrostatic




FIG. 2. Fig. shows (a) potential (b) electric field (c) velocity and (d) density for the parameters $\beta = 0.9$ and $\kappa = 0.01$. Here continuous curves are obtained from linear theory and dashed curves are the results of nonlinear theory.

potential Φ for different beam velocities $\beta = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.9$ and $\beta = 0.99$. It is noticed here that Sagdeev potential becomes undefined at $\Phi^c = -2\gamma_0^2/(1 + \gamma_0)$ (below this value of potential, the square root term becomes imaginary). Using Eq.(15) for $E^2 = 0$, $\kappa^2 = V(\Phi_c)$, so that $\kappa_c = \sqrt{2\gamma_0/(1 + \gamma_0)}$. The κ_c is the critical value of κ , above which periodic solutions do not exist. The straight lines in Fig. 3 show different values of $\kappa \lesssim \kappa_c$ for which periodic solutions exist; corresponding to these values of κ closed orbits are seen in $\Phi - E$ space (Fig. 4).




FIG. 3. In this Fig. continuous line shows Sagdeev potential for different beam velocities (a) $\beta = 0.1$ (b) $\beta = 0.5$ (c) $\beta = 0.9$ and (d) $\beta = 0.99$ and dashed line shows level of pseudo-energy for different values of κ .

In Fig. 4 the relation $\Phi - E$ is plotted for different values of the parameters κ and β . It is readily noticed by looking at the Fig. 4 that the variation of β modulates the shape of phase space curves as well as changes the range of electrostatic potential Φ . It is also noticed that the plot in phase space becomes discontinuous³⁶ after a critical value of κ and this critical value as mentioned above is $\kappa = \kappa_c = \sqrt{2\gamma_0/(1 + \gamma_0)}$. It is found that at the $\kappa = \kappa_c$, gradient of electric field becomes infinite, *i.e.*, $dE/dX \rightarrow -\infty$, which is sign of wave breaking^{38,39} of stationary Langmuir structures in current carrying plasmas.

The range of electrostatic potential Φ for $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$ and for $\kappa_c \leq \kappa < +\infty$, are solutions




FIG. 4. $\Phi - E$ phase space for different values of κ and (a) $\beta = 0.1$, (b) $\beta = 0.5$, (c) $\beta = 0.9$, (d) $\beta = 0.99$.

of Eq.(15) for $E = 0$ and are respectively given by Eqs.(16) and (17) below

$$\kappa\gamma_0^2 \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0}} \right) \leq \Phi \leq \kappa\gamma_0^2 \left(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0}} \right) \quad 0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c \quad (16)$$

$$-\frac{2\gamma_0^2}{(1+\gamma_0)} \leq \Phi \leq \kappa\gamma_0^2 \left(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0}} \right) \quad \kappa_c \leq \kappa < +\infty \quad (17)$$

In the range $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$, phase space curves (Eq.(15)) are continuous and E is found to be oscillating in the range $-\kappa \leq E \leq \kappa$. In the range $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$, E becomes discontinuous³⁶ at

$\Phi^c = -2\gamma_0^2/(1 + \gamma_0)$ and jumps from $E = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$ to $E = -\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$. This implies that $E(X)$ is discontinuous at the positions X satisfying the condition $\Phi(X) = -2\gamma_0^2/(1 + \gamma_0)$. The critical electrostatic potential at which its gradient ($E(X)$) becomes discontinuous, is not constant as found in the non-relativistic regime ($\Phi^c = -1; \gamma_0 = 1$)²⁸, rather, relativity brings the dependency of critical electrostatic potential on the beam velocity through the relation $\Phi^c = -2\gamma_0^2/(1 + \gamma_0)$.

Using $E = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Phi}{dX}$, and assuming $\Phi = \Phi_u = \kappa\gamma_0^2 \left(\kappa + \sqrt{\kappa^2\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0}} \right)$ at $X = 0$, the energy Eq.(15) can be integrated to obtain potential as a function of position as

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Phi}^{\Phi_u} \frac{d\Phi_1}{\left[\kappa^2 - \left(2\gamma_0 + \Phi_1 - \sqrt{\beta^2\Phi_1^2 + 4\gamma_0\Phi_1 + 4\gamma_0^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}}. \quad (18)$$

Using the transformation $\Phi_1 = (2/\beta^2)(\xi_1 - \gamma_0)$, we get

$$X = \frac{1}{\beta\sqrt{2}} \int_{\xi}^{\xi_u} \frac{d\xi_1}{\left(\alpha - \xi_1 + \beta\sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2}}, \quad (19)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\beta^2}{2} (\kappa^2 - 2\gamma_0) + \gamma_0$ and $\xi_u = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \Phi_u + \gamma_0$. Now a new variable transformation χ_1 is introduced which is defined as

$$\sqrt{\xi_1^2 - 1} = \chi_1^2 - \xi_1. \quad (20)$$

Using the transformation (20), Eq.(18) becomes

$$X = \frac{1}{\beta(1 - \beta)^{1/2}} \int_{\chi}^{\chi_u} \frac{(\chi_1^2 - 1/\chi_1^2)d\chi_1}{((r^2 - \chi_1^2)(\chi_1^2 - s^2))^{1/2}}, \quad (21)$$

where r^2 and s^2 are function of X and defined as

$$r^2 = \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{1 - \beta}, \quad (22)$$

$$s^2 = \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{1 - \beta}. \quad (23)$$

It must be noted here that substitution of new variable $\chi(X)$, is merely a mathematical manipulation, and does not imply any restriction on the range of the potential. Now Eq. (21) is in standard form and can be reduced easily in the form of elliptic integral upon using new substitution

$$\sin^2 \theta_1 = \frac{r^2 - \chi_1^2}{r^2 - s^2}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, the exact solution of Eq.(18) can be written as

$$X = \frac{r\gamma_0}{\sqrt{1+\beta}} \left[2(E(\theta, k) - E(\theta_u, k)) + \frac{k^2(1-\beta)}{2\beta} \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta)}} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_u}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_u)}} \right) \right] \quad (25)$$

where $E(\theta, k)$ is an incomplete elliptic integral of second kind and the variables k , θ_u and θ are defined as

$$k^2 = \frac{r^2 - s^2}{r^2} = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1}}, \quad (26)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_u = \frac{2r^2 - (\beta^2 \Phi_u + 2\gamma_0) - \beta\sqrt{\beta^2 \Phi_u^2 + 4\gamma_0 \Phi_u + 4\gamma_0^2}}{2(r^2 - s^2)} \quad (27)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{2r^2 - (\beta^2 \Phi + 2\gamma_0) - \beta\sqrt{\beta^2 \Phi^2 + 4\gamma_0 \Phi + 4\gamma_0^2}}{2(r^2 - s^2)} \quad (28)$$

Eq.(25) gives implicit relation between potential and position. The potential $\Phi(X)$ as a function of position X for different values of κ and β can be obtained by numerical solution of Eq.(25) and (28). **From the above equations non-relativistic results of ref.²⁸ can easily be recovered in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0, \gamma_0 \rightarrow 1$ (see appendix A).**

The half wavelength (spatial variation between maxima to minima of the electrostatic potential) of the Langmuir structures can be obtained by putting $\Phi = \Phi_l$, the minimum values of Φ in Eq.(25). (For the range $\kappa \leq \kappa_c$ and $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$, $\Phi_l = \kappa\gamma_0^2 \left(\kappa - \sqrt{\kappa^2 \beta^2 + 4/\gamma_0} \right)$ and $\Phi_l = \Phi^c = -2\gamma_0^2/(1 + \gamma_0)$ respectively). In the range $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$ wavelength turns out to be

$$\lambda = 2\mu s, \quad (29a)$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{r\gamma_0}{\sqrt{1+\beta}} \left[2(E(\theta_l, k) - E(\theta_u, k)) + \frac{k^2(1-\beta)}{2\beta} \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta_l}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_l)}} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_u}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_u)}} \right) \right], \quad (29b)$$

and for the range $\kappa_c \leq \kappa < \infty$ it becomes

$$\lambda = 2\mu_c s, \quad (30a)$$

where

$$\mu_c = \frac{r\gamma_0}{\sqrt{1+\beta}} \left[2(E(\theta_c, k) - E(\theta_u, k)) + \frac{k^2(1-\beta)}{2\beta} \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta_c}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_c)}} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_u}{\sqrt{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_u)}} \right) \right], \quad (30b)$$

and

$$\sin^2 \theta_c = \frac{2r^2 - (\beta^2 \Phi_c + 2\gamma_0) - \beta \sqrt{\beta^2 \Phi_c^2 + 4\gamma_0 \Phi_c + 4\gamma_0^2}}{2(r^2 - s^2)}, \quad (31)$$

Here θ_u , θ_l and θ_c are respectively related to Φ_u , Φ_l and Φ_c through Eqs.(27), (28) ($\theta \rightarrow \theta_l$ and $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_l$) and (31). The half wavelengths $\mu(\kappa, \beta)$ and $\mu_c(\kappa, \beta)$ are explicit functions of parameters κ and β . Corresponding non-relativistic expression for wavelength can be found in reference²⁸. For the non-relativistic case, in the range $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, Psimopoulous²⁸ observed that wavelength of the Langmuir structure is constant and independent of κ , however, in the range $1 \leq \kappa < +\infty$, wavelength becomes a function of κ and it increases on increasing the value of parameter κ . In the relativistic regime, it is readily seen that wavelengths (Eq. (29b) and (30b)) are not only a function of the parameter (κ) but also has dependence on beam velocity (β) through the variable k ; where k is defined by Eq.(26). Figure 5 shows variation of wavelength of the Langmuir structure with the variation of parameter κ for two different beam velocities, *i.e.*, $\beta = 0.1$ (5a) and $\beta = 0.9$ (5b). In fig 5 for the velocity $\beta = 0.1$ (Fig. 5a), in the range $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$ (blue color curve), wavelength is almost constant or in other words, in the range $\beta \ll 1$ wavelength of relativistic Langmuir structure turns out to be independent of κ , a feature which is seen in the non-relativistic case also²⁸. However, for the velocity $\beta = 0.9$ (Fig. 5b), wavelength increases with increasing κ as shown in Fig. 5b, *i.e.*, wavelength shows strong dependence on κ for large values of β . Therefore, dependence of wavelength on beam velocity is purely a relativistic effect. In the highly nonlinear range $\kappa_c \leq \kappa < +\infty$, wavelength for all value of β increases with increasing κ (orange curve in Fig. 5). The dashed vertical line in Figs. 5a and 5b separates the regime $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$ and $\kappa_c \leq \kappa < +\infty$. The rate of increase of wavelength with κ increases with increasing β .

Fig. 6 and 7 respectively show the potential profile $\Phi(X)$ in the range $\kappa < \kappa_c$ and $\kappa > \kappa_c$ for two different velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$. In first case ($\beta = 0.1$), plot (Fig. 6a) of the potential $\Phi(X)$ is shown for the values of parameter $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9 < \kappa_c \approx 1.0013$. For these values of $\kappa < \kappa_c$ and $\beta = 0.1$, as expected from Fig. 5a (blue curve), the wavelength is nearly independent of κ . In second case ($\beta = 0.9$, Fig. 6b), wavelength




FIG. 5. Variation of wavelength of relativistic Langmuir structure for the velocities (a) $\beta = 0.1$ and (b) $\beta = 0.9$.

increases on increasing parameter κ (see blue curve in Fig. 5b). In the range $\kappa_c \geq \kappa$, the minima of the electrostatic potential $\Phi(X)$ is seen at $X = \mu_c \approx 3.2$ for the velocity $\beta = 0.1$ (Fig. 7a), and for the velocity $\beta \approx 0.9$ (Fig. 7b) minima of $\Phi(X)$ is seen at $X = \mu_c \approx 16.29$ (These are in agreement with Eq.(30b)).




FIG. 6. Plot of electrostatic potential $\Phi(X)$ for (a) $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ and $\beta = 0.1$, (b) $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$.

We now present electric field in terms of position X by solving Eq.(15) and considering




FIG. 7. Plot of electrostatic potential $\Phi(X)$ for the parameters (a) $\kappa = 1.1$, $\beta = 0.1$ and (b) $\kappa = 1.3$, $\beta = 0.9$.

two branches depending on the sign of potential Φ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi > 0; \Phi &= \gamma_0^2(\kappa^2 - E^2) \left(1 + \left(\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0(\kappa^2 - E^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right), \\ \Phi < 0; \Phi &= \gamma_0^2(\kappa^2 - E^2) \left(1 - \left(\beta^2 + \frac{4}{\gamma_0(\kappa^2 - E^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Range of E can be estimated using Eq.(15): (i) if $0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_c$, we have $0 \leq |E| \leq \kappa$ for both branches; (ii) if $\kappa_c \leq \kappa < +\infty$ we have $0 \leq |E| \leq \kappa$ for $\Phi > 0$ and $\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2} \leq |E| \leq \kappa$ for $\Phi < 0$.

Fig. 8a and 8b respectively show spatial variation of electric field for two particular case $\beta = 0.1$ and 0.9 . In first case when $\beta = 0.1$ in Fig. 8a, gradual steepening of electric field is seen at $X = \mu \approx \pi$ as $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c$; $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^c$. Similar feature is seen in the second case when $\beta = 0.9$, where gradual steepening of E occurs at $X = \mu$ as shown in Fig. 8b. When $\kappa > \kappa_c$, a discontinuity of E is seen at the position $X = \mu_c \approx 3.2$ for $\beta = 0.1$ and at $X = \mu_c \approx 16.29$ for $\beta = 0.9$ as shown in Fig. 9a and 9b respectively.

The gradual steepening of the electric field at $X = \mu$ as $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c$ can be seen from the expression of spatial derivative of electric field. In the range $0 \leq \kappa < \kappa_c$, we obtain that at $X = \mu$, $E = 0$ and

$$\frac{dE}{dX} = \left(1 - \frac{(2\gamma_0 + \beta^2\Phi)}{(4\gamma_0^2 + 4\gamma_0\Phi + \beta^2\Phi^2)^{1/2}} \right), \quad (33)$$

which is always negative if $\Phi^c < \Phi < 0$. A gradual steepening of wave form occurs as $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^c$. At $\Phi = \Phi^c$, κ becomes κ_c , which implies that at $X = \mu_c$, $E = 0$ and $dE/dX = -\infty$. If $\kappa > \kappa_c$, E becomes discontinuous at $X = \mu_c$ and E jumps from $\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$ to $-\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$. This jump in electric field implies formation of negatively charged plane at $X = \mu_c$. The surface charge density ρ of these planes is defined as

$$\rho = \Delta E/4\pi = \frac{E_0}{2\pi}(\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2)^{1/2}. \quad (34)$$

It is found that in the limit $v_0 \rightarrow 0$ and/or $\kappa \rightarrow +\infty$, electron beam is transformed into a crystal of "negatively charged plane" with inter-distance $\lambda_0 = E_m/2\pi n_0 e$ having surface charge density $\sim E_m/2\pi$, which matches with the results found in the non-relativistic regime²⁸.




FIG. 8. Plot of electric field for (a) $\beta = 0.1$; $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ (b) $\beta = 0.9$; $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1$.

The gradual steepening and discontinuity of the electric field modulates the electron velocity profile. The E vs v_e relation can be constructed using Eq.(11) and (15) as

$$E^2 - \kappa^2 = \frac{2}{\gamma_0 \beta^2} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_0 (1 - \beta^2 v_e)}{\sqrt{1 - v_e^2 \beta^2}} \right) \quad (35)$$

Fig. 10 shows $E - v_e$ phase space for different values of parameter κ and β . It is readily seen that in the range $\kappa > \kappa_c$, $E - v_e$ phase space becomes discontinuous³⁶ and E jumps from $\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$ to $-\sqrt{\kappa^2 - \kappa_c^2}$.




FIG. 9. Plot of electric field, where discontinuity of electric field is seen at (a) $X = \mu_c \approx 3.2$ for $\beta = 0.1$; $\kappa = 1.1$ and at (b) $X = \mu_c \approx 16.29$ for $\beta = 0.9$; $\kappa = 1.3$.

The fluid velocity as function of position can be obtained by combining Eq.(11) with Eqs.(25), (27) and (28). From Eq. (11), electron fluid velocity can be explicitly written as a function of potential as

$$v_e = \frac{(4\gamma_0^2 + 4\gamma_0\Phi + \beta^2\Phi^2)^{1/2}}{(2\gamma_0 + \beta^2\Phi)}, \quad (36)$$

where we have chosen the positive sign only in order to explicitly exclude the existence of trapped electrons. As $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c$, $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^c$ at the position $X = \mu$, numerator of Eq.(36) tends to zero, thus, a gradual decrement in electron velocity occurs at the position $X = \mu$. If $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$ then $\Phi = \Phi^c$ at the position $X = \mu_c$, this implies that numerator of the Eq. (36) becomes zero at that position, in other words velocity becomes zero. This means electrons stop momentarily at the position $X = \mu_c$ and then continue their motion in $+x$ direction. This slowing down (for $\kappa < \kappa_c$) and momentarily stopping (for $\kappa > \kappa_c$) of the electrons leads to the accumulation of the charge particles at the position $X = \mu_c$ which manifests as a density burst; in other words, in order to maintain flux, electron number density increases at the positions where fluid velocity decreases. Fig. 11a and 11b show electron velocity for the velocities $\beta = 0.1$ and 0.9 respectively, and a gradual decrement of electron velocity with increasing κ can be seen clearly at the position $X = \mu \approx \pi$ for $\beta = 0.1$ and at $X = \mu_c$ for $\beta = 0.9$. Fig. 12a and 12b illustrates that in the limit $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$, $\Phi = \Phi^c$, velocity becomes




FIG. 10. Plot $E - v_e$ phase space for different values of parameter κ and for the velocities (a) $\beta = 0.1$, (b) $\beta = 0.5$, (c) $\beta = 0.9$, (d) $\beta = 0.99$.

zero at the position satisfying $X = \mu_c \approx 3.2$ for $\beta = 0.1$ and $X = \mu_c \approx 16.29$ for $\beta = 0.9$.

The electron density can be written as

$$n_e(X) = 1 - \frac{\partial E}{\partial X}. \quad (37)$$

Using Eq.(33), the electron density therefore may be written as a function of electrostatic potential as

$$n_e(X) = \frac{(2\gamma_0 + \beta^2\Phi)}{(4\gamma_0^2 + 4\gamma_0\Phi + \beta^2\Phi^2)^{1/2}} \quad (38)$$

Eq.(38) along with Eqs.(25), (27) and (28) gives the relation between electron density and




FIG. 11. Plot of electron velocity for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$; $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ (b) $\beta = 0.9$; $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1$.




FIG. 12. Plot of electron velocity for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa = 1.1$ and (b) $\beta = 0.9$, $\kappa = 1.3$.

spatial position. In the range $0 \leq \kappa < \kappa_c$, as Φ approaches Φ^c , steepening of the density can be clearly seen in the Fig. 13a for $\beta = 0.1$ at $X \approx \pi$ and in Fig. 13b for $\beta = 0.9$ at $X = \mu$. When $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$ then $\Phi = \Phi^c$ and denominator of the Eq.(38) vanishes. This explosive behavior beyond κ_c can be clearly seen in Figs. 14a and 14b, where density burst is seen at $X = \mu_c \approx 3.2$ for $\beta = 0.1$ (in Fig. 14a) and at $X = \mu_c \approx 16.29$ for $\beta = 0.9$ (in Fig. 14b) respectively.




FIG. 13. Plot of electron density for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$ (b) $\beta = 0.9$, $\kappa = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1$.




FIG. 14. Plot of electron density for the parameters (a) $\beta = 0.1$, $\kappa = 1.1$ and (b) $\beta = 0.9$, $\kappa = 1.3$.

IV. CONCLUSION

An analytical study is carried out for stationary Langmuir structures in relativistic current carrying fluid-Maxwell system. It is observed that profile of nonlinear Langmuir structures is governed by the parameter $\kappa = E_m / (4\pi n_0 m_0 v_0^2)^{1/2}$ and β . Critical value of the parameter κ scales with beam velocity v_0 as $\kappa_c = \sqrt{2\gamma_0 / (1 + \gamma_0)}$. Amplitude of parameter (κ) embodies the nonlinear effects in the problem. In the linear limit $\kappa \ll \kappa_c$, fluid variables vary

harmonically in space and results of nonlinear theory coincides with the results of linear theory in this range. In conclusion, Fig. 1 and 2 show the fluid variables in the linear limit for the velocity $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 0.9$ respectively, where continuous curves show results obtained from the linear theory and dashed curves show results obtained from the nonlinear theory in the linear limit. Both continuous and dashed curves clearly coincide on each other for both value of β . As $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_c$ fluid variables gradually begin to show anharmonic features. In the limit $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$, electric field becomes discontinuous³⁶ at certain singular points in space. At the position of electric field discontinuity, electron velocity becomes vanishingly small which results into electron density burst. Whether these density bursts approach finite values on inclusion of thermal effects, still need to be studied³⁹⁻⁴¹. It is found that in the $v_0 \rightarrow 0$ and/or $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ range, electron beam is transformed into a crystal of "negatively charged plane" with inter-distance $\lambda_0 = E_m/2\pi n_0 e$ having surface charge density $\sim E_m/2\pi$, which matches with the results found in non-relativistic regime²⁸. Apparently, these 1-D crystals are expected to be unstable since any electron with infinitesimal perturbation in its location will be moved away from the equilibrium position by the electric field. These solutions which are obtained in the limit $\kappa \geq \kappa_c$ cannot exist dynamically. However, the critical value of κ has a physical significance. The critical value $\kappa_c = \sqrt{2\gamma_0/(1 + \gamma_0)}$ gives the wave breaking^{38,39} limit of the electron plasma oscillations propagating on a relativistic electron beam⁴². Study of excitation and stability of these Langmuir structures in the limit $\kappa < \kappa_c$, using a PIC/fluid code is left for future studies.

Appendix A: Non-relativistic limit of Eq.(25)

We begin with Eq.(25) *i.e.*

$$X = \frac{r\gamma_0}{\sqrt{1+\beta}} \left[2(E(\theta, k) - E(\theta_u, k)) + \frac{k^2(1-\beta)}{2\beta} \left(\frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta}} - \frac{\sin 2\theta_u}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \theta_u}} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Using the relation $\alpha = \beta^2(\kappa^2 - 2\gamma_0)/2 + \gamma_0$, r^2 can be written as

$$r^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2} - \gamma_0\right) \beta^2 + \gamma_0 + \beta \sqrt{\left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2} - \gamma_0\right)^2 \beta^2 + [1 + 2\gamma_0 \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2} - \gamma_0\right) + \gamma_0^2]}}{1 - \beta}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

In the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_0 \rightarrow 1$ the above term yields

$$\begin{aligned}
r^2 &\approx \frac{\gamma_0 + \beta\sqrt{1 + \kappa^2\gamma_0 - \gamma_0^2}}{1 - \beta} \\
&\approx \frac{1 + \beta\kappa}{1 - \beta} \\
&\approx (1 + \beta\kappa)(1 + \beta) \\
&\approx 1 + \beta(1 + \kappa).
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

Similarly

$$s^2 \approx 1 + \beta(1 - \kappa). \tag{A4}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
k^2 &= \frac{r^2 - s^2}{r^2} \\
&\approx \frac{2\kappa\beta}{1 + \beta(1 + \kappa)} \\
&\approx 2\kappa\beta
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

In the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_0 \rightarrow 1$ the elliptic integrals yield $E(\theta, k) \approx \theta$ and $E(\theta_u, k) \approx \theta_u$. Therefore in this limit Eq. (A1) becomes

$$X \approx 2(\theta - \theta_u) + \kappa(\sin 2\theta - \sin 2\theta_u) \tag{A6}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin^2 \theta_u &= \frac{2r^2 - \beta^2\phi_u - 2\gamma_0 - \beta\sqrt{\beta^2\phi_u^2 + 4\gamma_0\phi_u + 4\gamma_0^2}}{2(r^2 - s^2)}, \\
&\approx \frac{2\{1 + \beta(1 + \kappa)\} - 2\gamma_0 - 2\beta\sqrt{\gamma_0\phi_u + \gamma_0^2}}{2(2\kappa\beta)}, \\
&\approx \frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\phi_u + 1}}{2\kappa}, \\
&\approx \frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\kappa^2 + 2\kappa + 1}}{2\kappa} \\
&\approx 0
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Similarly $\sin^2 \theta$ may be written as

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\phi + 1}}{2\kappa}, \tag{A8}$$

and

$$\cos^2 \theta = 1 - \frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\phi + 1}}{2\kappa}. \tag{A9}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta &= \left[1 - \frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\phi + 1}}{2\kappa} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \kappa) - \sqrt{\phi + 1}}{2\kappa} \right], \\ &= \left[\frac{\kappa^2 - (\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1)^2}{4\kappa^2} \right]\end{aligned}\tag{A10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sin^2 2\theta &= 1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right)^2, \\ \cos^2 2\theta &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right)^2, \\ \cos 2\theta &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right), \\ 2\theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right).\end{aligned}\tag{A11}$$

Substituting all these values in Eq. (A6), we have

$$X = \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1}{\kappa} \right) + \sqrt{\kappa^2 - (\sqrt{1 + \phi} - 1)^2}.\tag{A12}$$

which is exactly the non-relativistic result obtained in references^{28,29}.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. Mrityunjay Kundu for careful reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- ¹I. B. Bernstein, J. M. Greene, and M. D. Kruskal, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 546 (1957).
- ²H. Schamel, *Physics Reports* **140**, 161 (1986).
- ³C. S. Ng and A. Bhattacharjee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 245004 (2005).
- ⁴B. Eliasson and P. Shukla, *Physics Reports* **422**, 225 (2006).
- ⁵K. V. Roberts and H. L. Berk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 297 (1967).
- ⁶R. S. Rajawat and S. Sengupta, *Physics of Plasmas* **24**, 122103 (2017).
- ⁷P. S. Verma, S. Sengupta, and P. Kaw, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 016410 (2012).
- ⁸M. E. Dieckmann and A. Bret, *The Astrophysical Journal* **694**, 154 (2009).
- ⁹N. Singh and R. W. Schunk, *Geophysical Research Letters* **9**, 1345 (1982).

- ¹⁰N. Singh and R. W. Schunk, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **87**, 3561 (1982).
- ¹¹J. M. Dawson, *Physics of Plasmas* **2**, 2189 (1995), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.871304>.
- ¹²K. Saeki, P. Michelsen, H. L. Pécseli, and J. J. Rasmussen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 501 (1979).
- ¹³W. Fox, M. Porkolab, J. Egedal, N. Katz, and A. Le, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 255003 (2008).
- ¹⁴J. F. Drake, M. Swisdak, C. Cattell, M. A. Shay, B. N. Rogers, and A. Zeiler, *Science* **299**, 873 (2003), <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/299/5608/873.full.pdf>.
- ¹⁵M. V. Goldman, D. L. Newman, G. Lapenta, L. Andersson, J. T. Gosling, S. Eriksson, S. Markidis, J. P. Eastwood, and R. Ergun, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 145002 (2014).
- ¹⁶R. E. Ergun, C. W. Carlson, J. P. McFadden, F. S. Mozer, L. Muschietti, I. Roth, and R. J. Strangeway, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 826 (1998).
- ¹⁷L. Muschietti, R. E. Ergun, I. Roth, and C. W. Carlson, *Geophysical Research Letters* **26**, 1093, <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/1999GL900207>.
- ¹⁸M. V. Goldman, D. L. Newman, and A. Mangeney, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 145002 (2007).
- ¹⁹J. C. Holmes, R. E. Ergun, D. L. Newman, N. Ahmadi, L. Andersson, O. Le Contel, R. B. Torbert, B. L. Giles, R. J. Strangeway, and J. L. Burch, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **0**, 10.1029/2018JA025750, <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018JA025750>.
- ²⁰N. Shimada and M. Hoshino, *Physics of Plasmas* **10** (2003).
- ²¹N. Shimada and M. Hoshino, *Physics of Plasmas* **11**, 1840 (2004), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1652060>.
- ²²P. Shukla, N. Rao, M. Yu, and N. Tsintsadze, *Physics Reports* **138**, 1 (1986).
- ²³D. S. Montgomery, R. J. Focia, H. A. Rose, D. A. Russell, J. A. Cobble, J. C. Fernández, and R. P. Johnson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 155001 (2001).
- ²⁴T. Piran, *Physics Reports* **314**, 575 (1999).
- ²⁵H. Schamel, *Plasma Physics* **14**, 905 (1972).
- ²⁶D. Nicholson, *Introduction to plasma theory*, Wiley series in plasma physics (Wiley, 1983).
- ²⁷A. I. Akhiezer and Y. G. Lyubarskiz, *Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR* **80**, 193 (1951).
- ²⁸M. Psimopoulos and S. Tanriverdi, *Physics of Plasmas* **4**, 230 (1997), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.872136>.
- ²⁹M. Psimopoulos and S. Tanriverdi, *Physics of Plasmas* **4**, 2778 (1997),

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872622>.

- ³⁰J. Albritton and G. Rowlands, Nucl. Fusion **15**, 1199 (1975).
- ³¹R. Davidson and P. Schram, Nuclear Fusion **8**, 183 (1968).
- ³²A. I. Akhiezer and R. V. Polovin, Sov. Phys. JETP **3**, 696 (1956).
- ³³P. S. Verma, S. Sengupta, and P. Kaw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 125005 (2012).
- ³⁴P. S. Verma, S. Sengupta, and P. Kaw, Physics of Plasmas **19**, 032110 (2012), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3693357>.
- ³⁵E. Infeld and G. Rowlands, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1122 (1989).
- ³⁶H. K. Sen, Phys. Rev. **97**, 849 (1955).
- ³⁷B. Farokhi, in *Theory of plasma instabilities: Transport, stability issues and their interaction. Proceedings of a technical meeting* (2006).
- ³⁸J. M. Dawson, Phys. Rev. **113**, 383 (1959).
- ³⁹T. Katsouleas and W. B. Mori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 90 (1988).
- ⁴⁰E. Infeld and G. Rowlands, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 2063 (1987).
- ⁴¹R. M. G. M. Trines, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 056406 (2009).
- ⁴²A. C. L. Chian, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 2561 (1989).