# ON THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION ASSOCIATED TO COMMUTATOR WORD MAP IN FINITE GROUPS II

TUSHAR KANTA NAIK

ABSTRACT. Let P(G) denotes the set of sizes of fibers of non-trivial commutators of the commutator word map. Here, we prove that |P(G)| = 1, for any finite group *G* of nilpotency class 3 with exactly two conjugacy class sizes. We also show that for given  $n \ge 1$ , there exists a finite group *G* of nilpotency class 2 with exactly two conjugacy class sizes such that |P(G)| = n.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G denote the family of all finite groups. Define  $Pr : G \to Q \cap (0,1]$  as follows:

$$Pr(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid xy = yx\}|}{|G|^2}, \text{ for } G \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Pr(G) is called the commuting probability of *G*. Various probability distribution associated to commutator word map has been subject of active research in recent years (see [1], [6], [7], [8]). In 2008, Pournaki and Sobhani [7] introduced the notion of  $Pr_g(G)$ , which is defined as follows:

$$Pr_g(G) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \mid [x, y] = g\}|}{|G|^2}, \text{ for } g \in G.$$

For a group *G* and elements  $x, y \in G$ , the commutator [x, y] of x and y is defined by  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . Note that  $Pr_1(G) = Pr(G)$ , where 1 denotes the identity element in the group. In [7], Pournaki and Sobhani computed  $Pr_g(G)$  for finite groups *G*, which have only two different irreducible complex character degrees. They also obtained explicit formulas for  $Pr_g(G)$ , when *G* is a finite group with |G'| = p, where *p* is a prime integer. We denote by *G'* the commutator subgroup of *G*.

A finite group *G* is said to be of *conjugate type* (1, m), for some integer m > 1, if for all non-central element *g* of *G*,  $|g^G| = m$ . Here  $g^G$  denotes the conjugacy class of *g* in *G* and is defined as  $g^G := \{h^{-1}gh \mid h \in G\}$ . N. Ito [4] proved that, if *G* is of conjugate type (1, m), then  $m = p^k$ , for some prime *p* and integer  $k \ge 1$ . Nath and Yadav [6] studied  $Pr_g(G)$ , when *G* is either of conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$  or a Camina *p*-group. A finite group *G* is said to be a *Camina group*, if [g, G] = G', for all  $g \in G \setminus G'$ . Here [g, G] denotes the set  $\{[g, h] \mid h \in G\}$ . Note that  $g[g, G] = g^G$ .

We denote by K(G) the set  $\{[x, y] | (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ . For  $g \in K(G)$ , we define *fiber* of *g* as follows:

$$fiber(g) := \{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid [x, y] = g\}.$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D60, 20P05.

Key words and phrases. commuting probability, conjugate type, isoclinism, fiber.

Note that, formula for  $Pr_g(G)$  can be re-written as follows:

$$Pr_g(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{|fiber(g)|}{|G|^2}, & \text{if } g \in K(G) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following the notation used by Nath and Yadav [6], we recall the notion of P(G), which is defined as follows:

$$P(G) = \{ Pr_g(G) \mid 1 \neq g \in K(G) \}.$$

Note that

(1.1) 
$$|P(G)| = |\{|fiber(g)| \mid 1 \neq g \in K(G)\}|.$$

In this article, we restrict our attention to finite *p*-groups of conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$ . In 2002, K.Ishikawa [3] proved that nilpotency class of such a group can be either 2 or 3. So we consider following two family;  $\mathcal{G}_2$ , the family of finite *p*-groups of nilpotency class 2 and conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_3$ , the family of finite *p*-groups of nilpotency class 3 and conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$ ,  $n \ge 1$ .

Consider the following group  $G_r$ , constructed by Ito [4, Page 23], for any positive integer  $r \ge 1$  and prime p > 2.

(1.2) 
$$G_r = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{r+1} | [a_i, a_j] = b_{ij}, [a_k, b_{ij}] = 1, \\ a_i^p = a_r^p = b_{ij}^p = 1, 1 \le i < j \le r+1, 1 \le k \le r+1 \rangle.$$

Note that  $G_r \in \mathcal{G}_2$ , for all  $r \ge 1$ . In particular,  $G_r$  is of conjugate type  $(1, p^r)$  and  $C_{G_r}(g) = \langle g, Z(G_r) \rangle$ , for all non central elements  $g \in G_r$ . Here Z(G) denotes the center of G, for any group G. Nath and Yadav [6] computed  $Pr_g(G_r)$  and proved that  $|P(G_r)| = 1$ , for all  $r \ge 1$ . They also gave explicit formula for  $Pr_g(G)$ , when G is a camina p-group of nilpotency class 2 and proved that |P(G)| = 1, for such a group G. Then they asked the following question:

**Question.** Is it true that |P(G)| = 1 for all finite *p*-groups *G* of conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$  and nilpotency class 2 ?

In an attmpt to answer this, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $n \ge 1$  be a given positive integer. Then there always exist a group G (depending on n) in  $\mathcal{G}_2$  such that |P(G)| = n.

Recently Naik, Kitture and Yadav [5] proved that finite groups of conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$  and nilpotency class 3 exist if and only if *n* is even and for each positive even integer 2m, finite *p*-group of nilpotency class 3 and of conjugate type  $(1, p^{2m})$  is unique up to isoclinism (for definition see Section 2). For such groups, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$  be a finite p-group of conjugate type  $(1, p^{2n})$ . Then for  $g \in G'$ ,

$$Pr_{g}(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{3n} + p^{2n} - 1}{p^{5n}}, & \text{if } g = 1\\ \frac{p^{2n} - 1}{p^{5n}}, & \text{if } 1 \neq g \in G' \end{cases}$$

Hence |P(G)| = 1.

We remark that this theorem rectifies a faulty statement [6, Theorem 5.13], where it was claimed that |P(G)| > 1, for a finite *p*-group *G* of conjugate type (1,  $p^2$ ) and nilpotency class 3.

## 2. Key Results

We start with definition of *isoclinism*, which was introduced by P. Hall [2] in 1940. Let X be a finite group and  $\overline{X} = X/Z(X)$ . Then the map  $a_X : \overline{X} \times \overline{X} \mapsto X'$  such that  $a_X(xZ(X), yZ(X)) = [x, y]$  for  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is well-defined. Two finite groups G and H are said to be *isoclinic* if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  of the factor group  $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$  onto  $\overline{H} = H/Z(H)$ , and an isomorphism  $\theta$  of the subgroup G' onto H' such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{G} \times \overline{G} & \stackrel{a_G}{\longrightarrow} & G' \\ \phi \times \phi & & & \downarrow \theta \\ \overline{H} \times \overline{H} & \stackrel{a_H}{\longrightarrow} & H'. \end{array}$$

The resulting pair ( $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ) is called an *isoclinism* of *G* onto *H*. Notice that isoclinism is an equivalence relation among finite groups.

The following result follows from [2].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a finite p-group. Then there exists a group H in the isoclinism family of G such that  $Z(H) \leq H'$ .

Such a group *H* is called a *stem group* in its isoclinism class.

Proof of following interesting result can be found in [7, Lemma 3.5] and [6, Theorem 2.3]

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G and H be two isoclinic groups with isoclinism  $(\phi, \theta)$ . Then  $Pr_g(G) = Pr_{\theta(g)}(G)$ .

In the light of the preceding two results, to compute  $Pr_g(G)$  or P(G), for any finite group *G*, we only need to consider a stem group from the isoclinic family of *G*.

Now we move towards some technical Lemmas. For a finite group *G* and element  $g \in K(G)$ , we define

$$T_g = \{x \in G : g \in [x, G]\}$$

and

$$TZ_g = \{ xZ(G) \in G/Z(G) : g \in [x, G] \}.$$

Note that;

$$|T_g| = |Z(G)||TZ_g|$$

Following useful expression of Prg(G) is due to Das and Nath [1].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let G be a finite group and  $g \in G$ . Then

$$Pr_g(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in T_g} \frac{1}{|x^G|}.$$

We remark that for any finite group *G* and element  $1 \neq g \in G$ ,

$$Pr_1(G) - Pr_g(G) \ge \frac{1}{[G:Z(G)]}$$

Now, if *G* is finite group with exactly two conjugacy class sizes, then we can further simplify the formula of  $Pr_g(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let G be a finite group of conjugate type  $(1, p^n)$  and  $g \in K(G)$ . Then

$$Pr_{g}(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[G:Z(G)]} \frac{|TZ_{g}|}{p^{n}}, & \text{if } g \neq 1\\\\ \frac{1}{[G:Z(G)]} \left(1 + \frac{[G:Z(G)] - 1}{p^{n}}\right), & \text{if } g = 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $1 \neq g \in K(G)$ . Then for each  $x \in T_g$ ,  $|x^G| = p^n$ . By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$Pr_g(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in T_g} \frac{1}{p^n} = \frac{|T_g|}{|G|p^n} = \frac{|Z(G)||TZ_g|}{|G|p^n} = \frac{1}{[G:Z(G)]} \frac{|TZ_g|}{p^n}$$

Now let g = 1. Then note that  $T_g = G$ . Again by Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$Pr_1(G) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \frac{1}{|x^G|}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in Z(G)} \frac{1}{|x^G|} + \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G \setminus Z(G)} \frac{1}{|x^G|}$   
=  $\frac{|Z(G)|}{|G|} + \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{|G| - |Z(G)|}{p^n}$   
=  $\frac{1}{[G:Z(G)]} (1 + \frac{[G:Z(G)] - 1}{p^n}).$ 

Nath and Yadav [6] gave explicit formula for  $Pr_g(G_r)$ , for  $r \ge 1$ . Lemma 2.5. Let  $G_r$  be as defined in 1.2. Then

$$Pr_{g}(G_{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{2} - 1}{p^{2r+1}}, & \text{if } g \neq 1\\ \\ \frac{p^{r+1} + p^{r} - 1}{p^{2r+1}}, & \text{if } g = 1 \end{cases}$$

Using preceding Lemma and the formula  $Pr_g(G) = \frac{|fiber(g)|}{|G|^2}$ , we get an expression for size of fibers in  $G_r$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $G_r$  be as defined in 1.2. Then

$$|fiber(g)| = \begin{cases} (p^2 - 1)p^{r^2 + r + 1}, & \text{if } g \neq 1 \\ \\ (p^{r+1} + p^r - 1)p^{r^2 + r + 1}, & \text{if } g = 1 \end{cases}$$

From preceding Lemma, we get an expression for |K(G)|.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let 
$$G_r$$
 be as defined in 1.2. Then  $|K(G_r)| - 1 = \frac{(p^{r+1}-1)(p^r-1)}{p^2-1}$ .

*Proof.* For any finite group *G*, we know that  $\sum_{g \in K(G)} |fiber(g)| = |G|^2$ . Thus we get,  $\sum_{g \in K(G) \setminus 1} |fiber(g)| = |G|^2 - |fiber(1)|$ . Therefore by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(|K(G_r)| - 1)(p^2 - 1)p^{r^2 + r + 1} = p^{r^2 + 3r + 2} - (p^{r+1} + p^r - 1)p^{r^2 + r + 1}$$
$$= (p^{r^2} + r + 1)(p^{2r+1} - p^{r+1} - p^r + 1)$$
$$= (p^{r^2} + r + 1)(p^{r+1} - 1)(p^r - 1).$$

Hence  $|K(G_r)| - 1 = \frac{(p^{r+1} - 1)(p^r - 1)}{p^2 - 1}$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** Suppose  $G = G_{n-1}$  (as defined in 1.2) is generated by  $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ , with  $n \ge 4$ . *Then there do not exist*  $x, y \in G$  *such that* 

(2.9) 
$$[x, y] = [a_1, a_2]^{i_1} [a_3, a_4]^{i_2} \dots [a_{2m-1}, a_{2m}]^{i_m},$$

where  $2 \le m \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $i_k \ne 0 \pmod{p}$ , for k = 1, 2, ..., m.

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by the method of contradiction. Suppose that there exist xand  $y \in G$  satisfying equation (2.9). Let  $x = a_1^{j_1} a_2^{j_2} \dots a_n^{j_n}$  and  $y = a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \dots a_n^{k_n}$  (reading modulo Z(G). As  $i_1 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , at least one of  $j_1$  and  $k_1$  has to be non-zero modulo *p*. Without loss of generality, we take  $j_1$  to be non-zero. Then we can write *y* as

$$y = a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \dots a_n^{k_n} = (a_1^{j_1} a_2^{j_2} \dots a_n^{j_n})^{k_1 j_1^{-1}} (a_2^{l_2} a_3^{l_3} \dots a_n^{l_n} z_1) = x^{k_1 j_1^{-1}} (a_2^{l_2} a_3^{l_3} \dots a_n^{l_n} z_1),$$

where  $z_1 \in Z(G)$  and  $l_2$ ,  $l_3$ ,  $l_4$  are some suitable integers. Now

$$[x, y] = [x, y_1],$$
 where  $y_1 = a_2^{l_2} a_3^{l_3} \dots a_n^{l_n}.$ 

Computing  $[x, y_1]$ , we get that  $[a_1, a_2^{j_1l_2}a_3^{j_1l_3} \dots a_n^{j_1l_n}]$  is exactly the commutator involving  $a_1$  in left-hand side of equation (2.9). Compairing it with right-hand side of equation (2.9), we see that  $l_2$  has to be non-zero modulo p, in particular  $l_2 = i_1 j_1^{-1}$  and  $l_3, l_4, \ldots, l_n$ have to be zero modulo *p*. Thus we have

 $y_1 = b^{i_1 j_1^{-1}}$  with  $j_1$  non-zero modulo p.

Now, again computing [x, y], considering commutator involving  $a_2$  and compairing with equation (2.9), we see that  $j_2, j_3, \ldots, j_n$  have to be zero modulo p. So we have  $x = a^{j_1}$  and  $y_1 = b^{i_1,j_1^{-1}}$  with  $j_1$  non-zero. Therefore  $[x, y] = [x, y_1] = [a, b]^{i_1}$ , a contradiction.  **Lemma 2.10.** Suppose  $G = G_n$  (as defined in 1.2) is generated by  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , with  $n \ge 6$ . Then there do not exist x, y, z and  $w \in G$  such that

$$(2.11) [x, y][z, w] = [a_1, a_2]^{i_1}[a_3, a_4]^{i_2} \dots [a_{2m-1}, a_{2m}]^{i_m},$$

where  $3 \le m \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $i_k \ne 0 \pmod{p}$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots m$ .

*Proof.* We also prove this lemma by the method of contradiction. Suppose that there exist x, y, z and  $w \in G$  satisfying equation (2.11). Let  $x = a_1^{j_1} a_2^{j_2} \dots a_n^{j_n}$ ,  $y = a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \dots a_n^{k_n}$ ,  $z = a_1^{l_1} a_2^{l_2} \dots a_n^{l_n}$  and  $w = a_1^{t_1} a_2^{t_2} \dots a_n^{t_n}$  (reading modulo Z(G)). As  $i_1 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , at least one of  $j_1$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $l_1$  and  $t_1$  has to be non-zero modulo p. Without loss of generality, we take  $j_1$  to be non-zero. So  $\{x, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$  also forms a generating set for G.

Let *N* be the subgroup of *G* generated by *x*, *a*<sub>2</sub> and their commutators with *G*. Easy to see that *N* is a normal subgroup. Set  $\overline{G} = G/N$ . Now  $\{\overline{a_3}, \overline{a_4}, \dots, \overline{a_n}\}$  is a generating set for  $\overline{G}$  and easy to see that  $\overline{G} \cong G_{n-2}$  (as defined in 1.2). In  $\overline{G}$ , equation 2.11 reduced to

$$[\overline{z}, \overline{w}] = [\overline{a_3}, \overline{a_4}]^{i_2} \dots [\overline{a_{2m-1}}, \overline{a_{2m}}]^{i_m},$$

where  $3 \le m \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $i_k \ne 0 \pmod{p}$ , for k = 2, 3, ..., m. This is not possible by Lemma 2.8. Thus there do not exist x, y, z and  $w \in G$  satisfying equation (2.11). This completes the proof.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM1.3

*Proof.* We know that  $|P(G_r)| = 1$  for all  $r \ge 1$ , from [6, Theorem B]. Therefore, we only need to prove the statement for  $n \ge 2$ . For given  $n \ge 2$ , consider  $G = G_m$ , with  $m = n^2 + n - 3$ . Note that *G* is of nilpotency class 2 and conjugate type  $(1, p^m)$ . Suppose that *G* is generated by  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, a_{m+1}$ . Then consider the central subgroup *H* as follows:

$$\begin{split} H &= \langle [a_1, a_2] [a_3, a_4], \\ & [a_5, a_6] [a_7, a_8], [a_5, a_6] [a_9, a_{10}], \\ & [a_{11}, a_{12}] [a_{13}, a_{14}], [a_{11}, a_{12}] [a_{15}, a_{16}], [a_{11}, a_{12}] [a_{17}, a_{18}], \\ & \vdots \\ & [a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}] [a_{\alpha+3}, a_{\alpha+4}], [a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}] [a_{\alpha+5}, a_{\alpha+6}] \dots [a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}] [a_{\alpha+2n-1}, a_{\alpha+2n}] \rangle; \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha = (n - 2)(n + 1)$ .

Note that  $|H| = p^{n(n-1)/2}$  and  $\alpha + 2n = n^2 + n - 2 = m + 1$ .

Set  $\overline{G} := G/H$ . Note that  $[\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_3}] \neq 1$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Thus  $\overline{G}$  is non-abelian, in particular nilpotency class of  $\overline{G}$  is 2. Our aim is to show that  $\overline{G}$  has exactly two conjugacy class sizes and  $|P(\overline{G})| = n$ . We complete the proof in two steps.

**Claim 1:**  $\overline{G}$  has exactly two conjugacy class sizes.

It is sufficient to prove that each non-central element commutes only with its power (reading modulo center). Let  $\overline{x}$ ,  $\overline{y} \in \overline{G}$  be such that neither is a power of the other. It is not difficult to see that  $[x, y] \neq 1$  in G. Hence, if  $[\overline{x}, \overline{y}] = 1$  in  $\overline{G}$ , then  $[x, y] \in H^*$ . For

a subgroup H of G, by  $H^*$  we denote the set of non-trivial elements of H. Suppose that

$$1 \neq [x, y] = ([a_1, a_2][a_3, a_4])^{i_{1,1}} \\ ([a_5, a_6][a_7, a_8])^{i_{2,1}} ([a_5, a_6][a_9, a_{10}])^{i_{2,2}} \\ ([a_{11}, a_{12}][a_{13}, a_{14}])^{i_{3,1}} ([a_{11}, a_{12}][a_{15}, a_{16}])^{i_{3,2}} ([a_{11}, a_{12}][a_{17}, a_{18}])^{i_{3,3}} \\ \vdots \\ ([a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}][a_{\alpha+3}, a_{\alpha+4}])^{i_{\alpha-1,1}} ([a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}][a_{\alpha+5}, a_{\alpha+6}])^{i_{\alpha-1,2}} \dots \\ ([a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}][a_{\alpha+2n-1}, a_{\alpha+2n}])^{i_{n-1,n-1}}.$$

So at least one  $i_{j,k}$  is non-zero (mod p). After simplification, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= [a_1, a_2]^{i_{1,1}} [a_3, a_4]^{i_{1,1}} \\ & [a_5, a_6]^{i_{2,1}+i_{2,2}} [a_7, a_8]^{i_{2,1}} [a_9, a_{10}]^{i_{2,2}} \\ & [a_{11}, a_{12}]^{i_{3,1}+i_{3,2}+i_{3,3}} [a_{13}, a_{14}]^{i_{3,1}} [a_{15}, a_{16}]^{i_{3,2}} [a_{17}, a_{18}]^{i_{3,3}} \\ &\vdots \\ & [a_{\alpha+1}, a_{\alpha+2}]^{i_{\alpha-1,1}+i_{\alpha-1,2}+\dots+i_{\alpha-1,n-1}} [a_{\alpha+3}, a_{\alpha+4}]^{i_{\alpha-1,1}} [a_{\alpha+5}, a_{\alpha+6}]^{i_{\alpha-1,2}} \dots \\ & [a_{\alpha+2n-1}, a_{\alpha+2n}]^{i_{n-1,n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in right-hand side at least two commutator have non-zero power. Thus, by Lemma 2.8,  $[x, y] \notin H^{\#}$  and consequently  $[\overline{x}, \overline{y}] \neq 1$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Hence  $\overline{G}$  has exactly two conjugacy class sizes.

**Claim 2:**  $|P(\overline{G})| = n$ . Here our plan is to show that

$$|\{|fiber(g)| \mid 1 \neq g \in K(\overline{G})\}| = n.$$

First, note the following elementary facts:

(i)  $K(\overline{G}) = \overline{K(G)}$ . (ii) For any  $h \in K(G)$ ,  $|\overline{fiber(h)}| = \frac{|fiber(h)|}{|H|^2} = \frac{(p^2 - 1)p^{m^2 + m + 1}}{p^{n(n-1)}}$ .

The last equality in (ii) is due to Lemma 2.6.

For each  $h \in K(G)$ , set  $A_h := K(G) \cap hH$ . We claim that for each  $x \in A_h$ ,

(3.1) 
$$fiber(\overline{x}) = fiber(\overline{h}) = \bigcup_{y \in A_h} \overline{fiber(y)}.$$

The first equality of (3.1) holds because of the fact:  $x \in A_h \Rightarrow \overline{x} = \overline{h}$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Now, we proceed to show the second equality of (3.1).

Suppose  $(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) \in fiber(\overline{h})$ , i.e.,  $[\overline{a}, \overline{b}] = \overline{h}$ . Then there exist some  $y \in hH$  such that [a, b] = y, i.e.,  $(a, b) \in fiber(y)$ . This implies  $(\overline{a}, \overline{b}) = \overline{(a, b)} \in \overline{fiber(y)}$ . By definition of  $A_h$ ,  $y \in A_h$ . Hence  $fiber(\overline{h}) \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in A_h} \overline{fiber(y)}$ . Similarly reverse inclusion can be shown by backtracking the above steps. This completes the proof of second equality of (3.1) and hence (3.1) holds true.

Now, for  $h \in K(G)$  and  $x \in A_h$ , we get

$$|fiber(\overline{x})| = |A_h| \frac{(p^2 - 1)p^{m(m-1)/2}}{p^{n(n-1)}}$$

Hence, to show  $|\{| fiber(\overline{x}) | | 1 \neq g \in K(\overline{G})\}| = n$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$|\{|A_h| | | 1 \neq h \in K(G)\}| = n.$$

Note that  $h \in A_h$ , for all  $h \in K(G)$ . Now fix some  $h \in K(G) \setminus 1$ . If  $h \neq g \in A_h$ , then

$$gh^{-1} = h'$$
, for some  $1 \neq h' \in H$ 

As both g,  $h^{-1} \in K(G)$ , consider g = [a, b] and  $h^{-1} = [c, d]$ , for some a, b, c,  $d \in G$ . Thus, we get

$$[a, b][c, d] = h'.$$

By Lemma 2.10 and presentation of *H*, this is only possible when h' is of the form

$$h' = [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]^{\alpha} [a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}]^{\alpha}$$
 or  $h' = [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]^{\alpha} [a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}]^{-\alpha}$ 

for some suitable index *i*, *j* and integer  $1 \le \alpha \le p - 1$ , with either  $g = [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]^{\alpha}$  and  $h^{-1} = [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]^{\pm \alpha}$  or vice versa.

Using this observation, presentation of *H*, Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.10, we proceed to compute  $A_h$ , for all  $h \in K(G) \setminus 1$ . First we partition  $K(G) \setminus 1$  as  $K(G) \setminus 1 = K(G)_1 \cup K(G)_2$ ; where

$$K(G)_1 = \{ [a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]^{\beta} \mid 1 \le \beta \le p-1, 1 \le i \le (m+1)/2 \}$$

and  $K(G)_2 = (K(G) \setminus 1) \setminus K(G)_1$ .

Note that if  $h \in K(G)_2$ , then  $A_h = \{h\}$ . For  $h \in K(G)_1$ , we get the following expressions for  $A_h$ . For simplification of notation, now onwards, we denote  $[a_i, a_j]$  by  $h_{i,j}$  in this proof.

$$\begin{split} &A_{h_{1,2}^{k_1}} = \{h_{1,2}^{k_1}, h_{3,4}^{-k_1}\}, \\ &A_{h_{5,6}^{k_2}} = \{h_{5,6}^{k_2}, h_{7,8}^{-k_2}, h_{9,10}^{-k_2}\}, \\ &A_{h_{11,12}^{k_3}} = \{h_{11,12}^{k_3}, h_{13,14}^{-k_3}, h_{15,16}^{-k_3}, h_{17,18}^{-k_3}\}, \\ &\vdots \\ &A_{h_{\alpha+1,\alpha+2}^{k_{n-1}}} = \{h_{\alpha+1,\alpha+2}^{k_{n-1}}, h_{\alpha+5,\alpha+6}^{-k_{n-1}}, h_{\alpha+3,\alpha+4}^{-k_{n-1}}, \dots, h_{\alpha+2n-1,\alpha+2n}^{-k_{n-1}}\}, \end{split}$$

for all  $1 \le k_i \le p - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2 \dots n - 1$ .

So, we get that  $\{ |A_h| | 1 \neq h \in K(G) \} = \{1, 2, ..., n \}$ . Hence

$$|P(\overline{G})| = |\{|fiber(g)| \mid 1 \neq g \in K(\overline{G})\}| = |\{|A_h| \mid 1 \neq h \in K(G)\}| = n.$$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We start with a elementary result which follows from [5, Lemmas 3.4, 3.14 and 3.19] and definition of conjugate type.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let G be a stem p-group of class 3 with conjugate type  $(1, p^{2n})$ . Then the following holds true.

(i)  $|Z(G)| = p^{2n}, |G' : Z(G)| = p^n \text{ and } |G : G'| = p^{2n}.$ (ii) For each  $g \in G \setminus Z(G), |g^G| = [G : C_G(x)] = p^{2n} \text{ and } |C_G(x) : Z(G)| = p^n.$ 

Following technical result on finite *p*-groups of sonjugate type  $(1, p^{2n})$  and nilpotency class 3 follows from [5, Lemmas 5.8, 5.12, 5.13 and 5.14].

**Lemma 4.2.** Let G be a stem p-group of class 3 with conjugate type  $(1, p^{2n})$ . Then there exists a generating set of G, say  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, ..., b_n\}$  such that the following hold true. (i)  $G' = \langle h_1, ..., h_n, Z(G) \rangle$ , where  $h_i = [a_1, b_i]$ , for i = 1 ... n; (ii)  $Z(G) = \langle z_1, z_2, ..., z_{2n} \rangle$ , where  $[h_1, a_i] = z_i$  and  $[h_1, b_i] = z_{n+i}$ , for i = 1 ... n. (iii) Say  $A = \{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{k_i} : 0 \le k_i \le p-1\}$  and  $B = \{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{l_i} : 0 \le l_i \le p-1\}$ . (1)  $x, y \in A$  or  $x, y \in B \Rightarrow [x, y] \in Z(G)$ . (2)  $1 \ne x \in A$  and  $1 \ne y \in B \Rightarrow [x, y] \notin Z(G)$ .

- (3) For any  $1 \neq a \in A$ ,  $\{[a, b_i] : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  generates G' over Z(G).
- (4) For any  $1 \neq b \in B$ ,  $\{[a_i, b] : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  generates G' over Z(G).

(iv) Say  $Z_1 = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_n \rangle$  and  $Z_2 = \langle z_{n+1}, \ldots, z_{2n} \rangle$ . Then for any  $1 \neq a \in A$ ,  $1 \neq b \in B$  and  $h \in G' \setminus Z(G)$ ,

- (1)  $[h, A] = Z_1 = [a, G'].$ (2)  $[h, B] = Z_2 = [b, G'].$
- (v) For each  $h \in G' Z(G)$ , [h, G] = Z(G).

Set  $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$  and consider  $\Phi$  to be the canonical homomorphism from *G* to  $\overline{G}$ . For  $g \in G \setminus G'$ , define

(4.3) 
$$H_g = \Phi^{-1}(C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{g})).$$

Note that

$$H_{\varphi} = C_{\varphi}(G)G'.$$

As  $C_g(G) \cap G' = Z(G)$  and  $[G_g(G) : Z(G)] = p^n = [G' : Z(G)]$ ; therefore  $[H_g : Z(G)] = p^{2n}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $g \in G \setminus G'$ . Then for any  $x \in H_g \setminus G'$ , [G', x] = [G', g].

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary element of [G', x], say [[g, y], x], for some  $y \in G$ . As  $x \in H_g$ , so  $[x, g] \in Z(G)$ . Then using Hall-Witt identity, we get [[g, y], x] = [[y, x], g] and so  $[G', x] \subseteq [G', g]$ . Similarly we can show the reverse inclusion and hence [G', x] = [G', g].

**Lemma 4.5.** Given any  $h \in G' \setminus Z(G)$  and any  $ab \neq 1$ , for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , there always exists some  $h^* \in G'$  such that  $h \in [abh^*, G]$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $a \neq 1$ . By Lemma 4.2(*iii*)(3), there exists some  $b^* \in B$  such that

$$[a, b^*] = h \pmod{Z(G)}$$

Now  $[ab, b^*] = hw_1w_2$ , for some  $w_1 \in Z_1$  and  $w_2 \in Z_2$ . By Lemma 4.2(*iv*)(1), there exists some  $h_1 \in G'$  such that  $[a, h_1] = w_1^{-1}$ . So

$$[ab, b^*h_1] = [ab, b^*][a, h_1][b, h_1] = hw_1w_2w_1^{-1}[b, h_1] = hw_2w_3$$

where  $w_3 = [b, h_1] \in Z_2$  (by Lemma 4.2[(iv)(2)]). Again using Lemma 4.2(iv)(2), we get some  $h^* \in G'$  such that  $[h^*, b^*] = (w_2w_3)^{-1}$ . Therefore

$$[abh^*, b^*h_1] = [ab, b^*h_1][h^*, b^*h_1] = hw_2w_3(w_2w_3)^{-1} = h.$$

## Proof of Theorem 1.4:

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_3$  be a finite *p*-group of conjugate type  $(1, p^{2n})$ . Then  $|G| = p^{5n}$  and  $[G : Z(G)] = p^{3n} = |G'|$ . By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$Pr_1(G) = \frac{1}{p^{3n}} + \frac{1}{p^{2n}} \{1 - \frac{1}{p^{3n}}\} = \frac{p^{3n} + p^{2n} - 1}{p^{5n}}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{g \in K(G)} Pr_g(G) = 1.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{g \in K(G) \setminus 1} \Pr_g(G) = 1 - \Pr_1(G) = \frac{p^{5n} - p^{3n} - p^{2n} + 1}{p^{5n}} = (p^{3n} - 1)\frac{p^{2n} - 1}{p^{5n}}.$$

**Claim:**  $Pr_g(G) \ge \frac{p^{2n}-1}{p^{5n}}$ , for each  $g \in G' \setminus 1$ . By Lemma 2.4, it is sufficient to show that  $|TZ_g| \ge p^{2n} - 1$ , for all  $g \in G' \setminus 1$ .

Case 1:  $g \in Z(G) \setminus 1$ .

Consider any  $\alpha \in G' - Z(G)$ . By Lemma 4.2(v), there exist some  $\beta \in G \setminus G'$  such that  $[\alpha, \beta] = g$ . Now consider  $H_{\beta}$  (as defined in 4.3). Take  $x \in H_{\beta} \setminus Z(G)$ , then either  $x \in H_{\beta} \setminus G'$  or  $x \in G' \setminus Z(G)$ . If  $x \in H_{\beta} \setminus G'$ , then by Lemma 4.4,  $g = [\alpha, \beta] \in [G', \beta] = [G', x]$ . If  $x \in G' \setminus Z(G)$ , then by Lemma 4.1(vii),  $g \in [G', x]$ . So

$$|TZ_g| \ge \frac{(H_\beta \setminus Z(G))Z(G)}{Z(G)} = p^{2n} - 1.$$

Case 2:  $g \in G' \setminus Z(G)$ . By Lemma 4.5, we get

$$|TZ_g| \ge |\{ab : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } ab \ne 1\}| = p^{2n} - 1.$$

Hence our claim holds true. Now, by counting argument, we get  $Pr_g(G) = \frac{p^{2n} - 1}{p^{5n}}$ , for each  $h \in G' \setminus 1$ . This completes the proof.

**Acknowledgement.** I sincerely thank my supervisor Dr. Manoj Kumar Yadav for fruitful discussions and carefully reading of the preprint.

#### References

- [1] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, On generalized relative commutativity degree of finite groups, Int. Electronic. J. Algebra, 7, 140-151, (2010).
- [2] P. Hall, The classification of prime-power groups, J. Reine Angew. Math., 182, 130-141 (1940).
- [3] K. Ishikawa, On finite p-groups which have only two conjugacy lengths, Israel J. Math., 129(1), 119-123 (2002).
- [4] N. Ito, On finite groups with given conjugate types I, Nayoga Math. J., 6, 17-28 (1953).
- [5] T. K. Naik, R. D. Kitture, M. K. Yadav, Finite p-Groups of Nilpotency Class 3 with Two Conjugacy Class Sizes, arxiv link: https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.03245.
- [6] Rajat K. Nath and M.K. Yadav, On the probability distribution associated to commutator word map in finite groups, Internat. J. Algebra and Comput., 25, 1107-1124 (2015)
- [7] M.R.Pouranki and R.Sobhani, Probability that the commutator of two group element is equal to a given element, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, 212, 727-734 (2008).
- [8] A. Shalev, Word maps, conjugacy classes and a noncommutative Waring-type theorem Ann. of Math. 170(3), 1383-1416 (2009)

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, HBNI, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD-211 019, INDIA *E-mail address:* mathematics670gmail.com and tusharkanta@hri.res.in