

# Analytical evaluation of relativistic molecular integrals.

## II. Computational aspect for relativistic molecular auxiliary functions.

Ali Bağcı · Philip E. Hoggan · Muzaffer Adak

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** The Slater-type orbital basis with non-integer principal quantum numbers is a physically and mathematically motivated choice for molecular electronic structure calculations in both non-relativistic and relativistic theory. The non-analyticity of these orbitals at  $r = 0$ , however, requires analytical relations for multi-center integrals to be derived. This is nearly insurmountable. Previous papers by present authors eliminated this difficulty. Highly accurate results can be achieved by the procedure described in these papers, which place no restrictions on quantum numbers in all ranges of orbital parameters. The purpose of this work is to investigate computational aspects of the formulae given in the previous paper. It is to present a method which helps to increase computational efficiency. In terms of the processing time, evaluation of integrals over Slater-type orbitals with non-integer principal quantum numbers are competitive with those over Slater-type orbitals with integer principal quantum numbers.

**Keywords** Slater-type orbitals · Multi-center integrals · Auxiliary functions

### 1 Introduction

In the first paper [1] of aforementioned series, history and importance of usage the auxiliary function method was summarized. Applications in molecular calculations were briefly

given. A discussion was made on the relativistic molecular auxiliary functions introduced previously [2]. They are used when the principal quantum numbers in a Slater-type orbital basis (STO) [3] are free from any restriction [4],

$$\chi(\zeta, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{(2\zeta)^{n+1/2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(2n+1)}} r^{n-1} e^{-\zeta r} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (1)$$

The  $Y_{lm}$  are complex or real spherical harmonics ( $Y_{lm}^* = Y_{l-m}$ ;  $S_{lm} \equiv Y_{lm}$ ) [5]. The STO basis with non-integer principal quantum numbers provides extra flexibility for closer variational description of trial wavefunction [4, 6–15] in the linear combination of atomic orbital method [16]. They also lead to use of a Slater-type spinor basis [17, 18] in algebraic solution of the four-component Dirac equation [19, 20] due to the so-called kinetic balance condition [21–26]. The matrix elements arising in a generalized eigenvalue equation are evaluated through prolate spheroidal coordinates and expressed in terms of relativistic molecular auxiliary functions. A method to analytically evaluate these auxiliary functions was obtained via convergent series representation of incomplete beta functions. They were derived according to a criterion [1]. Symmetry properties arise from evaluating two-center two-electron integrals via the two-range addition theorem and give this result. The incomplete gamma functions are thereby eliminated from auxiliary functions via up-ward or down-ward recurrence relations. The relations obtained for two-center two-electron integrals are compact, expressed using overlap integrals.

The main goal of the present study is to open the lock to usability of the analytical approach. Thus, derivation of the relativistic molecular auxiliary functions is re-visited. Regarding analytical evaluation of overlap integrals, differences and similarities between using integer and non-integer principal quantum numbers in STOs are investigated. The analytical expressions we previously obtained are used to

A. Bağcı  
Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Pamukkale University 20017 Denizli, Turkey  
E-mail: abagci@pau.edu.tr

P. E. Hoggan  
Institute Pascal, UMR 6602 CNRS, University Blaise Pascal, 24 avenue des Landais BP 80026, 63177 Aubiere Cedex, France

M. Adak  
Department of Physics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Pamukkale University 20017 Denizli, Turkey

calculate starting values. Computationally efficient recurrence relations are derived accordingly. A simple computational scheme on how to use the presented formulae is described.

Range-separated functionals [27] which use STOs and Yukawa-like potentials [28] for the attenuated electron-electron interaction in density functional theory have focussed interest in recent years [29–32]. Procedure described for evaluation of two-center integrals over STOs and Coulomb potential is used for equivalent integrals with the Yukawa-like potentials. The relativistic molecular auxiliary functions are available to be used in evaluation of these integrals for any potentials. In addition, the STOs to be used are free from any restriction.

## 2 Origin of the relativistic molecular auxiliary functions

Following the procedure given in [33] the expression of two-center two-electron Coulomb energy associated with a charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ ,

$$E = \int \int G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \rho(\mathbf{r}_{a_1}) \rho(\mathbf{r}_{b_2}) dV_1 dV_2 \quad (2)$$

where,  $G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$  is the Green's function for the Laplace equation. The Coulomb operator is transformed into a kinetic-energy-like integral using Poisson's equation for the density due to electron indicated by 2,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{b_2}}^2 V(\mathbf{r}_{b_2}) = -4\pi\rho(\mathbf{r}_{b_2}) \quad (3)$$

and single-center potential,

$$V(\mathbf{r}_{a_2}) = \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}_{a_1})}{r_{12}} dV_1 \quad (4)$$

as,

$$E = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int V(\mathbf{r}_{a_2}) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{b_2}}^2 V^*(\mathbf{r}_{b_2}) dV_2 = \int V(\mathbf{r}_{a_2}) \rho(\mathbf{r}_{b_2}) dV_2. \quad (5)$$

Here,  $dV = r^2 \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi$ , the integration domain is  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$ . Note that these expressions are symmetric with respect to exchange in subscripts  $a, b$ .

For normalized non-integer Slater-type orbital (NSTO) the one-center potential are expressed in terms of radial functions as [2],

$$V(r_{a_2}) = \sum_{L_1 M_1} F_{N_1}^{L_1}(x_1, \mathbf{r}_{a_2}) \mathcal{C}_{L_1 M_1}. \quad (6)$$

where,

$$F_{N_1}^{L_1}(x_1, \mathbf{r}_{a_2}) = \mathcal{N}_{n_1 n_1'}(1, t_1) (2\bar{\zeta}_1)^{L_1} f_{N_1}^{L_1}(x_1, r_{a_2}) Y_{L_1 M_1}^*(\theta_{a_2} \phi_{a_2}) \quad (7)$$

with,

$$f_{N_1}^{L_1}(x_1, r_{a_2}) = \Gamma(N_1 + L_1 + 1) \frac{1}{x_1^{L_1+1}} \left\{ P[N_1 + L_1 + 1, x_1] + \frac{x_1^{2L_1+1}}{(N_1 - L_1)2L_1+1} Q[N_1 - L_1, x_1] \right\}, \quad (8)$$

and,

$$\mathcal{C}_{L_1 M_1} = \left( \frac{4\pi}{2L_1 + 1} \right)^{1/2} C^{L_1 M_1}(l_1 m_1, l_1' m_1') A_{m_1 m_1'}^{M_1}, \quad (9)$$

are the generalized Gaunt coefficients, see [34, 35] for the definition of  $A^M$  coefficients. The normalization coefficients are determined by,

$$\mathcal{N}(p, \tau) = \frac{[p+t]^{n+1/2} [p-t]^{n'+1/2}}{\sqrt{\Gamma[2n+1] \Gamma[2n'+1]}} \quad (10)$$

with,

$$x = 2\bar{\zeta}r, \quad \bar{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + \zeta'), \quad p = \frac{R}{2}(\zeta + \zeta'), \quad t = \frac{\zeta - \zeta'}{\zeta + \zeta'}, \quad N = n + n', \quad \{\zeta, \zeta'\} \text{ are orbital parameters.}$$

$P[\alpha, z]$ ,  $Q[\alpha, z]$  are the normalized incomplete gamma and its complement [36, 37],

$$P[\alpha, z] = \frac{\gamma(\alpha, z)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \quad Q[\alpha, z] = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha, z)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}. \quad (11)$$

By definition, they satisfy.

$$P + Q = 1. \quad (12)$$

$\gamma(a, z)$  and  $\Gamma(a, z)$  are the incomplete gamma functions,

$$\gamma(\alpha, z) = \int_0^z \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau, \quad \Gamma(\alpha, z) = \int_z^\infty \tau^{\alpha-1} e^{-\tau} d\tau. \quad (13)$$

$\Gamma(a)$  is the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha, z) + \gamma(\alpha, z). \quad (14)$$

The criterion [1] that allows the incomplete gamma functions to be eliminated from the two-center two-electron integrals may now be applied to Eq. (8). Using the following up- and down-ward distant recurrence relations for normalized incomplete gamma functions [38],

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P[\alpha, z] \\ Q[\alpha, z] \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P[\alpha + n, z] + e^{-z} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{z^{\alpha+s-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+s)} \\ Q[\alpha + n, z] - e^{-z} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{z^{\alpha+s-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+s)} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P[\alpha, z] \\ Q[\alpha, z] \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P[\alpha - n, z] - e^{-z} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{z^{\alpha-s-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-s)} \\ Q[\alpha - n, z] + e^{-z} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \frac{z^{\alpha-s-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-s)} \end{array} \right\}, \quad (16)$$

both  $P$  and  $Q$  in Eq.(8) are synchronized to  $P[N_1 + 1, x_1]$ ,  $Q[N_1 + 1, x_1]$ . Making use of Eq. (12), the relationship given for Mulliken functions [39],

$$A_\alpha(z) = (z)^{-\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1, z), \quad (17)$$

the final expression that holds for the incomplete gamma functions obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x_1^{L_1+1}} \left\{ P[N_1 + 1, x_1] + \frac{x_1^{2L_1+1}}{(N_1 - L_1)2L_1+1} Q[N_1 + 1, x_1] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_1^{L_1+1}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{x_1^{N_1+1} A_{N_1}(x_1)}{\Gamma(N_1 + 1)} \left( 1 + \frac{x_1^{2L_1+1}}{(N_1 - L_1)2L_1+1} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Such an early operation however not only increases the complexity of relations, since it prevents taking advantage of Eq. (12) and leaves no choice but use of the infinite series representation of incomplete gamma functions. It also makes the convergence of the results doubtful. The incomplete gamma functions in the region  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  are unstable [37, 40, 41]. Generation of the incomplete gamma functions by means of recurrence relations for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  in an efficient approach and computing the gamma functions without erroneous last digits is still being studied in the literature [42–44].

Besides, performing this operation in advance may block analogously generalization of relativistic molecular auxiliary functions [2],

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{P}_{n_2 n_3 n_4}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{n_2 n_3 n_4}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{(n_4 - n_1)_{n_1}} \int_1^\infty \int_{-1}^1 (\xi v)^q (\xi + v)^{n_2} (\xi - v)^{n_3} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P[n_4 - n_1, p_1(\xi + v)] \\ Q[n_4 - n_1, p_1(\xi + v)] \end{array} \right\} e^{p_2 \xi - p_3 v} d\xi dv, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which are obtained by directly using Eq. (8) in the two-center two-electron integrals and making use of the product of two spherical harmonics with the same and different centers in prolate ellipsoidal coordinates  $(\xi, v, \varphi)$ , with  $0 \leq \xi \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ . Here,  $\{q, n_1\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\{n_2, n_3, n_4\} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p_{123} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$  (and in subsequent notation),  $p_1 > 0$ ,  $p_2 > 0$ ,  $-p_2 \leq p_3 \leq p_2$ . Taking into account the notation used immediately after Eq. (10),  $\{p_1, p_2\} = p$ ,  $p_3 = pt$ .

The Eq. (8) is obtained by expanding Eq. (4) using the new set of functions, with the Laplace expansion for Coulomb interaction,

$$\frac{1}{r_{12}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \left( \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \right) \frac{r_{<}^l}{r_{>}^{l+1}} Y_{lm}(\theta_1, \varphi_1) Y_{lm}^*(\theta_2, \varphi_2), \quad (20)$$

$r_{<}$  and  $r_{>}$  depend simply on  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  through  $|r_{<}| = \min[r_1, r_2]$ ,  $|r_{>}| = \max[r_1, r_2]$ . The Laplace expansion is a two-range series representation of the Coulomb potential  $\frac{1}{r_{12}} = \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|}$ ,

which is not analytic when  $r_1 = r_2$ . This point is the singularity of the potential. This series expansion is derived by three-dimensional Taylor expansion using the translation operator in general has the form [45, 46]:

$$f(r_{<}, r_{>}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{<} \cdot \nabla_{>})^s}{\Gamma[s+1]} f(\mathbf{r}_{>}) = e^{\mathbf{r}_{<} \cdot \nabla_{>}} f(\mathbf{r}_{>}), \quad (21)$$

here, the term  $(e^{\mathbf{r}_{<} \cdot \nabla_{>})$  given as [47],

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathbf{r}_{<} \cdot \nabla_{>}} &= 2\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{r}_{<})^* \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{r}_{>}) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{<}^{2s} \nabla_{>}^{2s}}{2^{l+2s} s! (1/2)_{l+s+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

with  $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}$  are the regular spherical harmonics given by [48],

$$\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{r}) = r^l Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (23)$$

From Eqs. (21, 22) and details of the procedure for constructing an addition theorem [45], we notice that the expression  $(\xi + v)$  arising in the Eq. (19) can be considered to generate the Coulomb potential. We also pay special attention to the incomplete gamma functions. Extending this, a completely general form of generating function in which the whole set of physical operators can be represented through an argument  $f_{ij}^k$  has been devised in our previous work. [2],

$$f_{ij}^k = (\xi v)^k (\xi + v)^i (\xi - v)^j. \quad (24)$$

They are irreducible representations of elements required to generate the potential. For the Coulomb potential, the special case when  $i = 1, k = j = 0$  ( $f_{10}^0 = (\xi + v)$ ) is appropriate. This means that solution of the Eq. (5) for any potential may be represented in terms of Eq. (19).

The expressions for evaluation of the single-center potential (Eq. (4)) with Yukawa-like form, use the following two-range formula, derived from Eqs. (21, 22) and give:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-\eta r_{12}}}{r_{12}} &= 4\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l (2l+1) \frac{I_{l+1/2}(\eta r_{<}) K_{l+1/2}(\eta r_{>})}{\sqrt{r_1 r_2}} \\ & \quad \times Y_{lm}(\theta_1, \varphi_1) Y_{lm}^*(\theta_2, \varphi_2), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where,  $I_{\alpha+1/2}(z)$ ,  $K_{\alpha+1/2}(z)$  are modified Bessel functions of the first- and second-kind (Macdonald functions) [49], respectively, have been obtained in terms of the incomplete gamma functions. This is due to the integral representation of Bessel functions having the following forms (used for single-center potentials):

$$I_{n,l}(\zeta, \eta, r) = \int_0^r \tau^{n+l+1/2} I_{l+1/2}(\eta \tau) e^{-\zeta \tau} d\tau, \quad (26)$$

$$K_{n,l}(\zeta, \eta, r) = \int_r^\infty \tau^{n+l+1/2} K_{l+1/2}(\eta \tau) e^{-\zeta \tau} d\tau. \quad (27)$$

And, the Bessel functions are written in closed form as [50],

$$I_{\pm(l+1/2)}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \left[ e^z \sum_{s=0}^l (-1)^s \frac{(l+s)!}{s!(l-k)!} \frac{1}{(2z)^s} \right. \\ \left. \pm (-1)^{l+1} e^{-z} \sum_{s=0}^l \frac{(l+s)!}{s!(l-s)!} \frac{1}{(2z)^s} \right], \quad (28)$$

$$K_{l+1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \sum_{s=0}^l \frac{(l+s)!}{s!(l-s)!} \frac{1}{(2z)^s}. \quad (29)$$

It is clear from these expressions and Eq. (13) that the Eqs. (26, 27) are expressed in terms of incomplete gamma functions. This is, therefore, the only condition needed for two-center two-electron integrals to be easily represented by the relativistic auxiliary functions. They prevent immediate expansion of the incomplete gamma functions in the one-center potentials and make it possible to benefit from the symmetry properties pointed out in the paper.

### 3 The two-center overlap integrals

By applying the criterion given in [1] to the resultant expressions of the two-center two-electron integrals, the relativistic molecular auxiliary functions, for all values of argument  $f_{ij}^k$ , are reduced to overlap-like integrals, which have the following form (in the prolate spheroidal coordinates),

$$\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) = \frac{P_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} \\ \times \int_1^\infty \int_{-1}^1 (\xi v)^q (\xi + v)^{n_2} (\xi - v)^{n_3} e^{-p_2 \xi - p_3 v} d\xi dv. \quad (30)$$

The factor  $(\xi v)^q$  arises from products of two associated Legendre functions, with different centers [34, 51],

$$P_{l\lambda}(\cos \theta_a) P_{l'\lambda'}(\cos \theta_b) \\ = \sum_{\sigma=-\lambda}^{\lambda} \sum_{\sigma'=\lambda'}^{\lambda'} \sum_{q=0}^{\sigma+\sigma'} g_{\sigma\sigma'}^q(l\lambda, l'\lambda') \\ \times \left[ \frac{(\xi v)^q}{(\xi + v)^\sigma (\xi - v)^{\sigma'}} \right]. \quad (31)$$

$\lambda = |m| = |m'|$ ,  $\{m, m'\}$  are magnetic quantum numbers. See [34, 52] for the explicit form of  $g_{\sigma\sigma'}^q$ . The product of radial parts of NSTOs gives:

$$r_a^{n-1} r_b^{n'-1} e^{-\zeta_a r_a - \zeta_b r_b} \\ = \left( \frac{R}{2} \right)^{n+n'-2} (\xi + v)^{n-1} (\xi - v)^{n'-1} e^{-p\xi - ptv}, \quad (32)$$

This generates the remaining terms, with conditions:  
 $n_2 = n - \sigma > 0$ ,  $n_3 = n' - \sigma' > 0$ .

First, assuming all the quantum numbers are integers,  $\{n_2, n_3\} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This defines the two-center overlap integrals for STOs. Thus, the binomial series expansion may be used for the power functions  $(\xi + v)^{n_2}$ ,  $(\xi - v)^{n_3}$ ;

$$\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) = \frac{P_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_2+n_3} F_s(n_2, n_3) \\ \times \int_1^\infty \xi^{n_1+n_2+q-s} e^{-p_2 \xi} d\xi \int_{-1}^1 v^{q+s} e^{-p_3 v} dv, \quad (33)$$

where  $F_s(n, n')$ : generalized binomial coefficients [34, 51],

$$F_s(n, n') = \sum_{s'=\frac{1}{2}[(s-n)+|s-n|]}^{\min(s, n')} (-1)^{s'} F_{s-s'}(n) F_{s'}(n'), \quad (34)$$

with the coefficients  $F_s(n)$  are the binomial coefficients indexed by  $n, s$  which is usually written as  $\binom{n}{s}$  with,

$$\binom{n}{s} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(n-s+1)}. \quad (35)$$

If the orbital parameters  $\{\zeta, \zeta'\}$  are equal ( $p_2 = p, p_3 = 0$ ), the only integral to be computed takes the form:

$$A_\alpha(p) = \int_1^\infty \tau^\alpha e^{-p\tau} d\tau, \quad (36)$$

and for  $p > 0$  are easily and stably generated by up-ward recursion in  $\alpha$  for all positive  $p$ .

If the orbital parameters are different ( $p_2 = p, p_3 = pt$ ), then an additional and much more difficult integral arises,

$$B_\alpha(p) = \int_{-1}^1 \tau^\alpha e^{-p\tau} d\tau. \quad (37)$$

A down-ward recursive procedure,

$$B_\alpha(pt) = \frac{1}{p} [\alpha B_{\alpha-1}(pt) + (-1)^\alpha e^{pt} - e^{-pt}], \quad (38)$$

for these integrals, stable for all  $\alpha$  and  $pt$  was given in [53]. It uses modified Bessel functions. Bessel functions are first generated by Eq. (38), after which the  $B_\alpha$  are given as linear combinations of them. This procedure, however, requires more computational effort than an optimal use of up- and down-ward recursion directly in  $B_\alpha$ . By representing the starting values of down-ward recursion formula as incomplete gamma functions, the behavior of the  $B_\alpha$  integrals was also investigated in [54]. In another study [55], in order to calculate the  $B_\alpha$  integrals for large values of  $pt$ , the following sum [39] was used:

$$B_\alpha(pt) = (-1)^{\alpha+1} A_\alpha(-pt) - A_\alpha(pt). \quad (39)$$

For small values of  $pt$ , the finite series representations of  $A_\alpha$  integrals,

$$A_\alpha(pt) = e^{-pt} \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha+1} \frac{\alpha!}{(pt)^s (\alpha-s+1)!} \quad (40)$$

by replacing  $s$  with  $\alpha - s + 1$ ;  $0 \leq s \leq \alpha$ , were used first. Afterwards, the infinite series representations of exponential functions were applied to the resulting expression. Improvements on this formulae were made according to comments in [56] on results in [57] (in fact, the formulae given in [58] were more carefully coded rather than any significant change). Regardless of the method, an infinite sum that needs to be accurately calculated, is obtained *in fine*.

The only possible simplification in Eq. (30) when the parameters related to quantum numbers take non-integer values  $\{n_2, n_3\} \in \mathbb{R}$  (Here, we are referring to the two-center overlap integrals for NSTOs), is eliminating the power functions  $(\xi \mathbf{v})^q$ ,

$$(\xi \mathbf{v})^q = \frac{1}{2^{2q}} \sum_{s=0}^q (-1)^s F_s(q) (\xi + \mathbf{v})^{2q-2s} (\xi - \mathbf{v})^{2s} \quad (41)$$

The remaining power functions are not analytical which means they can not be represented by a power series [59]. Thus,

$$\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) = \frac{1}{2^{2q}} \sum_{s=0}^q (-1)^s F_s(q) \mathcal{G}_{n_2+2q-2s, n_3+2s}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}). \quad (42)$$

In Eq. (42),  $n_2$  decreasing while  $n_3$  increases or visa-versa. Due to the following recurrence relationships, calculating each term arising in Eq. (42) is avoided, down-ward over  $n_2$ , up-ward over  $n_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{p_2 + p_3}{p_3} \right) \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ &= \left( \frac{p_2 - p_3}{p_3} \right) \left( \frac{n_2}{n_3 + 1} \right) \mathcal{G}_{n_2-1, n_3+1}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ & - \frac{p_2}{p_3} \left( \frac{1}{n_3 + 1} \right) \left[ +\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3+1}^{n_1, 0}(p_{132}) - +\mathcal{K}_{n_3+1, n_2}^{n_1, 0}(p_{132}) \right] \\ & - \left( \frac{1}{n_3 + 1} \right) \mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3+1}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}), \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

down-ward over  $n_3$ , up-ward over  $n_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{p_2 - p_3}{p_3} \right) \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ &= - \left( \frac{p_2 + p_3}{p_3} \right) \left( \frac{n_3}{n_2 + 1} \right) \mathcal{G}_{n_2+1, n_3-1}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ & + \frac{p_2}{p_3} \left( \frac{1}{n_2 + 1} \right) \left[ +\mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2+1}^{n_1, 0}(p_{132}) - +\mathcal{K}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1, 0}(p_{132}) \right] \\ & - \left( \frac{1}{n_2 + 1} \right) \mathcal{N}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}), \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_2} \\ & \times \int_{-1}^1 v^q (1+v)^{n_2} (1-v)^{n_3} e^{-p_3 v} dv, \quad (45) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} +\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_2} \\ & \times \int_1^\infty \xi^q (\xi + 1)^{n_2} (\xi - 1)^{n_3} e^{-p_3 \xi} d\xi. \quad (46) \end{aligned}$$

Note that, the reason for the sign “+” in definition of the  $+\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}$  is that integrals below, in the same form with negative values of  $q$  are needed. The integrals are named to emphasize their variables. The constant  $\frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)}$  in Eq. (30) arises from use of Eqs.(15, 16) with Eq. (19) according to criterion given in [1]. It is thus more advantageous to keep this scheme in sub-functions. See section 4 for explicit forms of the  $\mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}$  and  $+\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}$ .

Relationships for calculating the starting values of the Eqs. (43, 44) are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, 0}(p_{123}) &= \\ & \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, 0, 0}(p_{102}) & p_3 = p_1 = 0 \\ \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} \sum_{s=0}^\infty (-1)^s \mathcal{V}_{n_2 n_3}^{s, s, s}(p_{302}) & p_3 = p_1 \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, q_2}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_2} \\ & \times \int_1^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \xi^{-q_1} (\xi \mathbf{v})^{q_2} (\xi + \mathbf{v})^{n_2} (\xi - \mathbf{v})^{n_3} e^{-p_3 \xi} d\xi dv. \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

Please see Appendices (A, B) for derivations of the Eqs. (43, 44) and Eq. (47), respectively.

Using Eq. (41) again, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, q_2}(p_{123}) &= \\ & = \frac{1}{2^{2q_2}} \sum_{s=0}^{q_2} (-1)^s F_s(q_2) \mathcal{V}_{n_2+2q_2-2s, n_3+2s}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}). \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

The right hand-side of Eq. (49) was previously expressed in terms of incomplete beta functions [1] as,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) &= 2^{q_1} \left[ {}^1\mathcal{K}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) + {}^1\mathcal{K}_{n_3 n_2}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) \right. \\ & \left. - {}^2\mathcal{K}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) - {}^2\mathcal{K}_{n_3 n_2}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) \right], \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\mathcal{K}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_2} \\ & \times \int_1^\infty (2\xi)^{n_2+n_3-q+1} B_{n_2+1, n_3+1} \left( \frac{\xi+1}{2\xi} \right) e^{-p_3 \xi} d\xi, \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2\mathcal{K}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_2} \\ & \times \int_1^\infty (2\xi)^{n_2+n_3-q+1} B_{n_2+1, n_3+1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{-p_3 \xi} d\xi, \quad (52) \end{aligned}$$

with incomplete beta functions,

$$B_{nn'}(z) = \int_0^z \tau^{n-1} (1-\tau)^{n'-1} d\tau, \quad (53)$$

These may be found in: [36]. Analytical relations were derived using the following identity in Eqs. (49),

$$B_{nn'}(1-z) = B_{nn'} - B_{nn'}(z), \quad (54)$$

$B_{nn'}$  are beta functions, and using their series representation [60] of the incomplete beta functions,

$$B_{nn'}(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-n')_s}{(n+s)! s!} z^{n+s}; \quad |z| < 1. \quad (55)$$

Considering the domains of integrals given in Eq. (30) and the Eq. (54), the convergence condition:  $1-z = \frac{\xi-1}{2\xi} < \frac{1}{2}$ , is satisfied. Thus, there are no significant computational disadvantages of using non-integer principal quantum numbers in Slater-type orbitals while the orbital parameters are equal. Otherwise, it is necessary to use the series representation of exponential functions in addition, where the upper limit of summation increases depending on values of  $p_3$  ( $pt$ ) (for large values, precise results require more terms). If, however, the summations arising from series representation of exponential functions are also transformed into appropriate recurrence relations then the number of terms to be used in the summation is no longer a drawback.

Skipping the procedure given between Eqs. (51-55) and continuing directly from the Eq. (50), e.g. using recurrence relations [60] for incomplete beta functions and integration by parts gives recursion as follows:  
down-ward over  $n_2$ , up-ward over  $n_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) &= \frac{B_{n_2+1, n_3+1}}{B_{n_2+2, n_3}} {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2-1, n_3+1}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{n_3+1} \right) [ -\mathcal{K}_{n_3+1, n_2}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) - \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3+1}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) ], \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

down-ward over  $n_3$ , up-ward over  $n_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) &= \frac{B_{n_2+1, n_3+1}}{B_{n_2+2, n_3}} {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2+1, n_3-1}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{n_2+1} \right) [ -\mathcal{K}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) - \mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2+1}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) ], \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

here,

$$\begin{aligned} &[ -\mathcal{K}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) - \mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2+1}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) ] \\ &= [ -\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1+1}(p_{123}) - \mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2}^{n_1, q_1+1}(p_{123}) ], \\ -\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q} &= +\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, -q}, -\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, 0} = +\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, 0}. \end{aligned}$$

The Eqs. (42, 49) are similar. They run over fixed values of  $\{q, q_2\}$ . On the other hand, the parameter  $q_1$  is dynamic due to the Eq. (47). Using Eq. (50) again but this time using derivatives of the incomplete beta functions, the recurrence relations over  $q_1$  are obtained as,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1, 0}(p_{123}) &= \left( \frac{1}{n_2+n_3-q_1+2} \right) [ p_3 {}^v\mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, q_1-1, 0}(p_{123}) \\ &+ {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) + {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) ]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Finally, for starting values of  ${}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123})$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) &= \frac{B_{n_2+1, n_3+2}}{B_{n_2+2, n_3}} {}^1\mathcal{K}_{n_2+1, n_3-1}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^q (n_2+1)} {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}(p_{123}). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The used recurrence relations and derivatives for incomplete beta functions are given in the Appendix C.

#### 4 Relationships for ${}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}$ and ${}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}$ integrals

In order to obtain recurrence relations for  ${}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}$  and  ${}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1}$  integrals, first, from the following identities of  $\left(\frac{1}{\xi^{q_1}}\right)$  it is easy to write,

$$\frac{1}{\xi^{q_1}} = \left( \frac{\xi+1}{\xi} - 1 \right)^{q_1} = \left( 1 - \frac{\xi-1}{\xi} \right)^{q_1} = \left( \xi - \frac{\xi^2-1}{\xi} \right)^{q_1},$$

Thus,

$${}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1} = \sum_{s=0}^{q_1} (-1)^{q_1-s} F_s(q_1) {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2+s, n_3}^{n_1, s}, \quad (60a)$$

$${}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1} = \sum_{s=0}^{q_1} (-1)^s F_s(q_1) {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3+s}^{n_1, s}, \quad (60b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q_1} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor q_1/2 \rfloor} (-1)^s F_s(q_1) {}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2+s, n_3+s}^{n_1, s} \\ &+ \sum_{s=\lfloor q_1/2 \rfloor+1}^{q_1} (-1)^s F_s(q_1) {}^-\mathcal{K}_{n_2+s, n_3+s}^{n_1, s}. \end{aligned} \quad (60c)$$

The calculations start with Eq. (60c). The first summation on the right hand-side is reduced over  $s$  as follows,

$${}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, s} = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \sum_{s'=0}^s (-1)^{s'} F_{s'}(s) {}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2+2s-2s', n_3+2s'}^{n_1, 0}. \quad (61)$$

Considering the identities  $\xi = (\xi+1) - 1$ ,  $\xi = (\xi-1) + 1$ , we also have,

$${}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, s} = \sum_{s'=0}^s (-1)^{s-s'} F_{s'}(s) {}^+\mathcal{K}_{n_2+s', n_3}^{n_1, 0} \quad (62a)$$

$${}^+ \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, s} = \sum_{s'=0}^s (-1)^{s'} F_{s'}(s) + \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3 + s'}^{n_1, 0}. \quad (62b)$$

For the starting values we can use the following relationship,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^+ \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} e^{-p_3 - p_2} \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^q F_s(q) \left\{ (-1)^{q+s} 2^{n_2 + n_3 + s + 1} \frac{\Gamma(-n_2 - n_3 - q - 1)}{\Gamma(-n_2)} \right. \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma(n_2 + n_3 + q + 2)}{\Gamma(n_2 + n_3 + s + 2)} {}_1F_1(n_3 + s + 1; n_2 + n_3 + s + 2; 2p_2) \\ &\left. + \frac{\Gamma(n_2 + n_3 + q - s + 1)}{p_2^{n_2 + n_3 + q - s + 1}} {}_1F_1(-n_2; -n_2 - n_3 - q + s; 2p_2) \right\}, \\ &\{n_2, n_3, n_2 + n_3\} \notin \mathbb{N}; q \geq 0, \quad (63) \end{aligned}$$

which has quite simple form while  $q = 0$ .

The second summation of the Eq. (60c) to reduce over  $s$ , the recurrence relation obtained via integration by parts is used,

$$\begin{aligned} s^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, s+1} &= (2^{n_2} \delta_{n_3, 0} + 2^{n_3} \delta_{n_2, 0}) e^{-p_3} - p_3^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, s} \\ &+ (n_2 + n_3)^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 - 1, n_3 - 1}^{n_1, s-1} - (n_2 - n_3)^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 - 1, n_3 - 1}^{n_1, s}. \quad (64) \end{aligned}$$

At the end of this process, all the terms are in form that  ${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s, n_3 + s}^{n_1, 1}$ . Now, using Eqs. (60a, 60b) while  $s = 1$ ,

$${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s - 1, n_3}^{n_1, 1} = {}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s, n_3 + s}^{n_1, 1} - {}^+ \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s - 1, n_3}^{n_1, 0}, \quad (65a)$$

$${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3 + s - 1}^{n_1, 1} = {}^+ \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s - 1, n_3}^{n_1, 0} - {}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2 + s, n_3 + s}^{n_1, 1}. \quad (65b)$$

Finally all the terms are expressed in terms of  ${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, 1}$  which is analytically calculated by Eq. (59) based on [1]. Note that, similarly relationships for  $\mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}$  are derived. Here we give only an expression required to calculate the starting values,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3}^{n_1, q}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1 + 1)} 2^{n_2 + n_3 + 1} e^{p_3 - p_2} \Gamma(n_3 + 1) \\ &\times \sum_{s=0}^q (-1)^{q+s} 2^s F_s(q) \frac{\Gamma(n_2 + s + 1)}{\Gamma(n_2 + n_3 + s + 2)} \\ &\times {}_1F_1(n_2 + s + 1; n_2 + n_3 + s + 2; -2p_3). \quad (66) \end{aligned}$$

### Summary of the integral method:

The procedure of calculation has the following order,

- Eq.(59) through Eq.(51) [See [1] or use Eqs.(54-55)] is calculated for  $q_1 = 1$ .
- The results are used in the Eq. (58), where the first term on the right hand-side corresponds to  $pt = 0$  in Eq.(47).
- The value of  $q_1$  is increased. Eqs. (60-65) are used to calculate  ${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2}^{n_1, q_1}$  for that value.
- The Eq.(56) or Eq. (57) is used. They give all the terms arising in the Eq. (49) and Eq. (47).

## 5 Conclusion

Until few years ago, the use of Slater basis sets with non-integer principal quantum numbers in electron structure calculation was thought to be nearly impossible [59]. The attempts in this regard failed due to the absence of benchmark values. The authors in their previous work [2, 61, 62] first focused on this issue e.g., obtaining results for molecular integrals with unquestionable precision via numerical techniques. They presented benchmark values for two- and three-center integrals. The non-integer Slater-type orbitals are not analytic at  $r = 0$  in the sense of complex analysis. From the mathematical point of view this implies that analytically closed form relations for molecular integrals are unavailable. Compact form relations, however, avoid using series representation of incomplete gamma functions which are not stable for all values of parameters. They were established in the first paper of this series [1]. Analytical relations for the first term ( $p\tau = 0$ ) of Eq. (47) were derived in terms of incomplete beta functions. The previous paper also allows us to argue the increased efficiency of the integration procedure outlined above. Note that an approximate count of FLOPs needed is in favour of the strategy outlined in the present paper. As emphasized in closing this section, the next step is to develop a suitable code for rapid numerical evaluation of the integrals according to the method developed here. In the present study, they are used as a starting point to calculate the second term ( $p\tau \neq 0$ ) via recurrence relations. This is similar to a method used for calculation of overlap-like integrals while  $(n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}$  [see the Eq. (39)] and leads calculating series representation of incomplete beta functions only once and for all. The relationships obtained are expressed in terms of  ${}^- \mathcal{K}_{n_3, n_2}^{n_1, q_1}$ . Accordingly, the related section (4) contains an explicit description.

The procedure of integral calculation, outlined above generates a stable algorithm. This permits efficient calculation of the molecular integrals. Developing a computer program for two-center, one- and two-electron integrals by using the formulae given here and obtaining results for different orbital parameters and inter-nuclear distances will be the subject of next research.

## Appendices

### A

Applying the integration by parts twice to the Eq. (30) while  $q = 0$ , where in the first operation we use, for the Eq. (43),

$$U = (\xi + \nu)^{n_2} e^{-p_2 \xi - p_3 \nu}, \quad dV = (\xi - \nu)^{n_3} d\xi,$$

for the Eq. (44),

$$U = (\xi - \nu)^{n_3} e^{-p_2 \xi - p_3 \nu}, \quad dV = (\xi + \nu)^{n_2} d\xi,$$

thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_2}{(n_3+1)} \mathcal{G}_{n_2, n_3+1}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) \\ &- \frac{n_2}{(n_3+1)} \mathcal{G}_{n_2-1, n_3+1}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) - \frac{1}{(n_3+1)} \mathcal{N}_{n_2, n_3+1}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_2}{(n_2+1)} \mathcal{G}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) \\ &- \frac{n_3}{(n_2+1)} \mathcal{G}_{n_2+1, n_3-1}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) - \frac{1}{(n_2+1)} \mathcal{N}_{n_2+1, n_3}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Performing the second operation to the first terms on the right-hand side of Eqs. (67, 68) with,

$$U = (\xi + \nu)^{n_2} (\xi - \nu)^{n_3+1}, \quad dV = e^{-p_3 \nu} d\nu,$$

$$U = (\xi + \nu)^{n_2+1} (\xi - \nu)^{n_3}, \quad dV = e^{-p_3 \nu} d\nu,$$

the Eqs. (43, 44) are obtained, respectively.

## B

Using the series expansion of exponential functions  $e^z$ , where  $z = -p_3 \nu$  in the Eq. (30) while  $q = 0$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1,0}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_1^{n_1}}{\Gamma(n_1+1)} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^s \frac{p_3^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} \\ &\times \int_1^{\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \nu^s (\xi + \nu)^{n_2} (\xi - \nu)^{n_3} e^{-p_3 \xi} d\xi d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Dividing and multiplying the integral on the right-hand side with  $\xi^s$  gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \mathcal{G}_{n_2 n_3}^{n_1, s, s}(p_{123}) &= \frac{p_3^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \xi^{-s} (\xi \nu)^s (\xi + \nu)^{n_2} (\xi - \nu)^{n_3} e^{-p_2 \xi} d\xi d\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

The Eq. (48) is obtained by analogously generalization of power functions  $\xi^{-s}$ ,  $(\xi \nu)^s$  to  $\xi^{-q_1}$ ,  $(\xi \nu)^{q_2}$ , respectively.

## C

The normalized version of incomplete beta functions are used,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{nn'}(z) = \frac{B_{nn'}(z)}{B_{nn'}}; \quad \mathfrak{B}_{nn'}(z) = 1 - \mathfrak{B}_{n'n}(1-z). \quad (71)$$

They are usually represented by “ $I$ ” however we use “ $\mathfrak{B}$ ” in order to avoid the confusion with the Bessel functions.

Thus, the recurrence relations and the derivatives used in the present work have the following form,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{nn'}(z) = \mathfrak{B}_{n+1, n'-1}(z) + \frac{z^n (1-z)^{n'-1}}{n B_{nn'}}, \quad (72a)$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_{nn'}(z) = \mathfrak{B}_{n-1, n'+1}(z) + \frac{z^{n-1} (1-z)^{n'}}{n' B_{n'n}}, \quad (72b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{B}_{nn'}(z)}{\partial z} = \frac{(z)^{n-1} (1-z)^{n'-1}}{B_{nn'}}, \quad (72c)$$

$$B_{nn'} = B_{n'n} \text{ and } z = \frac{\xi+1}{2\xi}, \quad 1-z = \frac{\xi-1}{2\xi}.$$

## References

1. Bağcı A, Hoggan PE (2018) Analytical evaluation of relativistic molecular integrals. I. Auxiliary functions. *Rendiconti Lincei. Scienze Fisiche e Naturali* 29(1):191-197. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-018-0669-8
2. Bağcı A, Hoggan PE (2015) Benchmark values for molecular two-electron integrals arising from the Dirac equation. *Physical Review E* 91(2):023303. doi:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.023303
3. Slater JC (1930) Atomic Shielding Constants. *Physical Review A* 36(1):57-64. doi:https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.36.57
4. Parr RG, Joy HW (1957) Why Not Use Slater Orbitals of Nonintegral Principal Quantum Number?. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 26(2):424. doi:https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1743314
5. Condon EU, Shortley GH (1935) *The Theory of Atomic Spectra*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
6. Geller M (1962) Two-Center, Nonintegral, Slater-Orbital Calculations: Integral Formulation and Application to the Hydrogen Molecule-Ion. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 36(9):2424-2428. doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1732902
7. Allouche A (1974) Les orbitales de Slater à nombre quantique «n» non-entier. *Theoretica chimica acta* 34(1):79-83. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00553235
8. Allouche A (1976) Non-integer Slater orbital calculations. *Theoretica chimica acta* 42(4):325-332. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00548474
9. Koga T, Kanayama K, Thakkar AJ (1997) Noninteger principal quantum numbers increase the efficiency of Slater-type basis sets. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 62(1):1-11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(1997)62:1<1::AID-QUA1>3.0.CO;2-#
10. Koga T, Kanayama K (1997) Noninteger principal quantum numbers increase the efficiency of Slater-type basis sets: singly charged cations and anions. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*



- 30(7):1623-1631. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/30/7/004>
11. Koga T, Kanayama K (1997) Noninteger principal quantum numbers increase the efficiency of Slater-type basis sets: heavy atoms. *Chemical Physics Letters* 266(1):123-129. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614\(96\)01500-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(96)01500-X)
12. Koga T, García de la Vega JM, Miguel B (1998) Double-zeta Slater-type basis sets with noninteger principal quantum numbers and common exponents. *Chemical Physics Letters* 283(1):97-101. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614\(97\)01322-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(97)01322-5)
13. Koga T, Shimazaki T, Satoh T (2000) Noninteger principal quantum numbers increase the efficiency of Slater-type basis sets: double-zeta approximation. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* 496(1):95-100. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1280\(99\)00176-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1280(99)00176-1)
14. Guseinov II, Ertürk M (2009) Use of noninteger n-Slater type orbitals in combined Hartree-Fock-Roothaan theory for calculation of isoelectronic series of atoms Be to Ne. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 109(2):176-184. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.21760>
15. Guseinov II, Ertürk M (2012) Use of noninteger n-generalized exponential type orbitals with hyperbolic cosine in atomic calculations. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 112(6):1559-1565. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.23133>
16. Roothaan, CCJ (1951) New Developments in Molecular Orbital Theory. *Reviews Modern Physics* 23(2):69-89. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.23.69>
17. Grant IP (2007) *Relativistic quantum theory of atoms and molecules*. Springer, New York
18. Bağcı A, Hoggan PE (2016) Solution of the Dirac equation using the Rayleigh-Ritz method: Flexible basis coupling large and small components. *Results for one-electron systems*. *Physical Review E* 94(1):013302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.94.013302
19. Quiney HM, Belanzoni P, Sgamellotti A (2002) Evaluation of the Coulomb energy in relativistic self-consistent-field theory. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* 108(2):113-123. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-002-0369-3>
20. Belpassi L, Tarantelli F, Sgamellotti A, Quiney HM (2008) All-electron four-component Dirac-Kohn-Sham procedure for large molecules and clusters containing heavy elements. *Physical Review B* 77(23):233403. doi:<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.233403>
21. Lee YS, McLean AD (1982) Relativistic effects on Re and De in AgH and AuH from all-electron Dirac-Hartree-Fock calculations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 76(1):735-736. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.442680>
22. Richard ES, Stephen H (1984) Kinetic balance: A partial solution to the problem of variational safety in Dirac calculations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 81(4):1910-1918. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447865>
23. Esteban MJ, Séré E (1999) Solutions of the Dirac-Fock Equations for Atoms and Molecules. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 203(3):499-530. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050032>
24. Grant IP (2010) Relativistic atomic structure: past, present and future. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* 43(7):074033. doi:<http://stacks.iop.org/0953-4075/43/i=7/a=074033>
25. Kutzelnigg W (2012) Solved and unsolved problems in relativistic quantum chemistry. *Chemical Physics* 395:16-34. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2011.06.001>
26. Lewin M, Séré E (2014) Spurious Modes in Dirac Calculations and How to Avoid Them. In: Bach V, Site LD (eds) *Many-Electron Approaches in Physics, Chemistry and Mathematics*, Oxford University Press, Oxford UK. pp 31-52. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06379-9\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06379-9_2)
27. Iikura H, Tsuneda T, Yanai T, Hirao K (2001) A long-range correction scheme for generalized-gradient-approximation exchange functionals. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 115(8):3540-3544. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1383587>
28. Yukawa H (1935) On the Interaction of Elementary Particles. I. *Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan*. 3rd Series 17:48-57. doi: [https://doi.org/10.11429/ppmsj1919.17.0\\_48](https://doi.org/10.11429/ppmsj1919.17.0_48)
29. Bouferguene A (2005) Addition theorem of Slater type orbitals: a numerical evaluation of Barnett-Coulson/Löwdin functions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 38(13):2899-2916. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/13/006>
30. Ángyán JG, Gerber I, Marsman M (2006) Spherical harmonic expansion of short-range screened Coulomb interactions. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 39(27):8613-8630. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/27/005>
31. Seth M, Ziegler T (2012) Range-Separated Exchange Functionals with Slater-Type Functions. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 8(3):901-907. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1021/ct300006h>
32. Rico JF, López R, Ramirez G, Ema I (2013) Repulsion integrals involving Slater-type functions and Yukawa potential. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* 132(1):1304-1312. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-012-1304-x>
33. Weatherford CA, Red E, Joseph D, Hoggan PE (2006) Poisson's equation solution of Coulomb integrals in atoms and molecules. *Molecular Physics* 104(9):1385-1389. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00268970500462248>
34. Guseinov II (1970) Analytical evaluation of two-centre Coulomb, hybrid and one-electron integrals for Slater-type orbitals. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics* 3(111):1399-1412.

- doi:<http://stacks.iop.org/0022-3700/3/i=11/a=001>
35. Guseinov II (1995) Spherically symmetrical properties of two-center overlap integrals over arbitrary atomic orbitals and translation coefficients for Slater-type orbitals. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* 343:173-176. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280\(95\)90551-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280(95)90551-0)
  36. Abramowitz M, Stegun IA (1972) *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Dover Publications, New York, USA
  37. Temme NM (1994) Computational Aspects of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Large Complex Parameters. In: Zahar RVM (ed) *Approximation and Computation: A Festschrift in Honor of Walter Gautschi: Proceedings of the Purdue Conference, December 2-5, 1993*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, pp 551-562. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-7415-2\\_37](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-7415-2_37)
  38. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions (2018) Recurrence Relations and Derivatives. In: Olver FWJ, Daalhuis Olde AB, Lozier DW, Schneider BI, Boisvert RF, Clark CW, Miller BR, Saunders BV (eds) *Incomplete Gamma and Related Functions*. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/8.8>. Release 1.0.18.
  39. Mulliken RS, Rieke CA, Orloff D, Orloff H (1949) Formulas and Numerical Tables for Overlap Integrals. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 17(12):1248-1267. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1747150>
  40. Gautschi W (1999) A note on the recursive calculation of incomplete gamma functions. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)* 25(1):101-107. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1145/305658.305717>
  41. Chaudhry MA, Zubair SM (2002) *On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Publications, Boca Raton, Florida, USA
  42. Cuyt A, Becuwe S (2006) Towards Reliable Software for the Evaluation of a Class of Special Functions. In: Iglesias A., Takayama N. (eds) *Mathematical Software-ICMS 2006. ICMS 2006. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 4151. Springer, Heidelberg, Berlin
  43. Backeljauw F, Becuwe S, Cuyt A, Deun JV, Lozier DW (2014) Validated evaluation of special mathematical functions. *Science of Computer Programming* 90:2-20. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scico.2013.05.006>
  44. Greengard P, Rokhlin V (2018) An algorithm for the evaluation of the incomplete gamma function. *Advances in Computational Mathematics* accepted. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-018-9604-x>
  45. Weniger EJ (2000) Addition Theorems as Three-Dimensional Taylor Expansions. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 76(2):280-295. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-461X\(2000\)76:2<280::AID-QUA16>3.0.CO;2-C](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-461X(2000)76:2<280::AID-QUA16>3.0.CO;2-C)
  46. Weniger EJ (2002) Addition theorems as three-dimensional Taylor expansions. II. B functions and other exponentially decaying functions. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 90(1):92-104. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.948>
  47. Santos FD (1973) Finite range approximations in direct transfer reactions. *Nuclear Physics A* 212(2):341-364. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474\(73\)90568-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(73)90568-X)
  48. Hobson EW (1931) *The Theory of Spherical and Ellipsoidal Harmonics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
  49. Arfken G (1985) Modified Bessel Functions,  $I_\nu(x)$  and  $K_\nu(x)$ . In Arfken G (ed) *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*. Academic Press San Diego, California. pp. 573-622
  50. Gradshteyn IS, Ryzhik IM (1980) *Table of integrals, series and products*, 4th ed. Academic Press, New York
  51. Pople JA, Beveridge DL (1970) *Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory*, Mc-Graw Hill, New York, USA
  52. Guseinov II (1995) On the evaluation of multielectron molecular integrals over Slater-type orbitals using binomial coefficients. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* 335(1):17-20. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280\(94\)04101-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280(94)04101-W)
  53. Cobat6 FJ (1956) On the Computation of Auxiliary Functions for Two-Center Integrals by Means of a High-Speed Computer. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 24(2):452-453. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1742496>
  54. Harris FE (2004) Efficient evaluation of the molecular auxiliary function  $B_n$  by downward recursion. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 100(2):142-145. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.10812>
  55. Guseinov II, Mamedov BA (2007) On the Accurate Evaluation of Overlap Integrals over Slater Type Orbitals Using Analytical and Recurrence Relations. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A* 62(9):467-470. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1515/zna-2007-0901>
  56. Barnett MP (2002) Digital erosion in the evaluation of molecular integrals. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* 107(4):241-245. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00214-002-0322-5>
  57. Guseinov II, Mamedov BA (1999) Computation of molecular integrals over Slater type orbitals I. Calculations of overlap integrals using recurrence relations. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* 465(1):1-6. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1280\(98\)00129-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1280(98)00129-8)
  58. Guseinov II, Mamedov BA (2002) Evaluation of overlap integrals with integer and noninteger  $n$  Slater-type orbitals using auxiliary functions. *Molecular modeling annual* 8(9):272-276. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-002-0098-5>
  59. Weniger EJ (2008) On the analyticity of Laguerre series. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 41(42):425207. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/42/425207>

60. Temme, NM (2011) Special Statistical Distribution Functions. In Temme NM (Ed.), Special Functions. Wiley-Blackwell. pp. 288-297. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118032572.ch11>
61. Bağcı A, Hoggan PE (2014) Performance of numerical approximation on the calculation of overlap integrals with noninteger Slater-type orbitals. *Physical Review E* (89)7:053307. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.89.053307
62. Bağcı A, Hoggan PE (2015) Benchmark values for molecular three-center integrals arising in the Dirac equation. *Physical Review E* (92)4:043301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.92.043301