

A comparative study of Compact Objects using 3 models: Walecka Model, PAL Model, and M.I.T. Bag Model

Fábio Kopp¹, Alex Quadros², Guilherme Volkmer¹, Moisés Razeira³,
Magno Machado¹, Dimiter Hadjimichef¹, César Augusto Zen Vasconcellos¹

¹*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul,
Caixa Postal 15051, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil*

²*High and Medium Energy Group, Instituto de Física e Matemática,
Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Caixa Postal 354, CEP 96010-900, Pelotas, RS, Brazil*

³*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Pampa,
CEP: 96570-000, Caçapava do Sul, RS, Brazil*

Abstract

Compact objects are the name used to classify the following objects: white dwarf, neutron star and black holes. In addition to them, some authors have suggested that in the core of a neutron star we may have the quarks u,d,s and c. In this work we investigate the structure of neutron star and strange star, described by the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkof equations. For the neutron star, we used the relativistic EoS from Walecka's model and the non-relativistic EoS PAL model. For the strange star, we used the M.I.T. bag model. We obtain results for the mass-radius relation and then we compared ours results with the actual pulsar data recently observed PSR J1614-2230 with a mass $1.97 \pm 0.04 M_{\odot}$.

PACS numbers: 01.40.-d, 26.60.+c, 97.10.Cv, 97.20.Rp, 97.60.Jd

I. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the recent measurement of the Shapiro delay in the radio pulsar PSR J1614-2230 yielded a mass of $1.97 \pm 0.04 M_{\odot}$ [1], we have selected two models based on the Equation of State (EoS) of dense matter namely Walecka model [2], M. Prakash, T.L. Ainsworth, and J.M. Lattimer model [3]. For

the study of strange star we have selected the M.I.T Bag model [4]. We used these EoS to solve the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkov equations (TOV) [5] for compact stars. Then we compare the mass obtained with the PSR J1614-2230 mass.

II. FORMALISM

Assuming spin-1/2 nucleons coupled to isoscalar scalar σ -meson and the isoscalar vector ω -meson, the EoS of Walecka model [2] is obtained from the ground state expectation value of the time and space components of the diagonal energy-momentum tensor and reads

$$\epsilon = \frac{g_v^2}{2m_v^2}\rho_B^2 + \frac{m_s^2}{2g_s^2}(M - M^*)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{k_F} d^3k \sqrt{k^2 + M^{*2}} \quad (1)$$

$$p = \frac{g_v^2}{2m_v^2}\rho_B^2 - \frac{m_s^2}{2g_s^2}(M - M^*)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{k_F} d^3k \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^{*2}}} \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_B = \frac{\gamma}{6\pi^2}k_F^3$ is the nuclear density, $\gamma = 4$ is the degeneracy factor of the nuclear matter, and k_F is the Fermi momentum. The meson-nucleon coupling constants are g_s and g_v ; m_v and m_s are the masses of the mesons. The quantity, $M^* = M - \left(\frac{g_s}{m_s}\right)^2 \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{k_F} d^3k \frac{M^*}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^{*2}}}$, is the effective mass and M is the nucleon mass.

The PAL model [3] follows the same strategy applied in Walecka model, since its values for the saturation point are fitted to reproduce the experimental values. In this con-

text, the EoS is given by

$$\epsilon = \rho_0 u \left\{ m_n + E_F^{(0)} u^{2/3} + \frac{Au}{2} + \frac{Bu^\sigma}{1 + B'u^{\sigma-1}} + 3 \sum_{i=1}^2 C_i \left(\frac{\Lambda_i}{p_F^{(0)}} \right)^3 \left[\frac{p_F}{\Lambda_i} - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{p_F}{\Lambda_i} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$p = \rho_b \frac{d\epsilon}{d\rho_b} - \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

The saturation density is $\rho_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ and $u = \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_0}$ with $\rho_b = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2}$. The symmetry energy is defined as $S = (2^{2/3} - 1) \frac{3}{5} E_F^{(0)} (u^{2/3} - F(u)) + S_0 F(u)$. The Fermi energy at saturation point is $E_F^0 = -16 \text{ MeV}$.

In the M.I.T bag model [4], the quarks up (u), down (d) and strange (s) are inside a bag which has a constant positive potential per unit of volume, the so-called Bag constant (B). The EoS for this model is

$$\epsilon = B + \sum_{u,d,s} \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \left[\mu_f k_f \left(\mu_f^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_f^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} m_f^4 \ln \left(\frac{\mu_f + k_f}{m_f} \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$p = -B + \sum_{u,d,s} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[\mu_f k_f \left(\mu_f^2 - \frac{5}{2} m_f^2 \right) + \frac{3}{2} m_f^4 \ln \left(\frac{\mu_f + k_f}{m_f} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where the chemical potential is defined as $\mu_f = \sqrt{m_f^2 + k_f^2}$. For this model we will assume u , d , and s quarks; and the bag constant is set to be $B^{1/4} = 145 \text{ MeV}$.

Before we finalize the methodology of study we present the structure equations used to calculate the masses and radii of these compact objects. The TOV equations for an isotropic, general relativistic, static, ideal fluid sphere in hydrostatic equilibrium reads

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{G\epsilon(r)M(r)}{c^2r^2} \left[1 + \frac{P(r)}{\epsilon(r)} \right] \left[1 + \frac{4\pi r^3 P(r)}{M(r)c^2} \right] \left[1 - \frac{2GM(r)}{c^2r} \right]^{-1}, \quad (7)$$


$$\frac{dM}{dr} = \frac{4\pi r^2 \epsilon(r)}{c^2}, \quad (8)$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum. The set of differential equations is subject to the initial conditions $P(r=0) = P_0$, $P(r=R) = 0$; $M(r=0) = 0$ and $M(r=R) = M$.


III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The EoS parameters of the three models discussed in the present work FIG. 1 can be found in the following references [2–4]. In the FIG. 1a we show a comparative graph between the three EoS and the causal limit ($\epsilon = p$). Then we solved the TOV equations numerically using the 4th Order Runge-Kutta method and present the results of each TOV integration with different EoS in the FIG. (1b).

In summary the stellar macroscopic properties obtained in the present work are $M/M_\odot = 2.65$, $R = 11.55$ km, and $\rho/\rho_0 = 2.33$ for Walecka model; $M/M_\odot = 2.25$, $R =$



(a) Walecka Model, PAL Model and M.I.T. Bag Model EoS, respectively, compared with causal limit (dashed line).



(b) Numerical solution of TOV equations. The horizontal lines represent the mass interval observed for PSR J1614-2230.

FIG. 1: In both FIG. 1a and FIG. 1b we set the black color to Walecka Model, red color to PAL Model and blue color to M.I.T. Bag Model. The black dots in the FIG. 1b represent the maximum value reached by the model and its density at that point.

11.92 km, and $\rho/\rho_0 = 5.70$ for PAL model, and finally, $M/M_\odot = 1.82$, $R = 10.11$ km, and $\rho/\rho_0 = 4.92$ for M.I.T bag model.

The nuclear models presented in this work yields maximum masses higher than the actual observation of the pulsar PS1614-2230, while the result of M.I.T bag model (quark matter) does not reach the $1.97 M_{\odot}$ mass barrier. However, the realistic approach must consider the EoS that agrees with all the symmetric nuclear properties, a modified version

of TOV to include rotation and strong magnetic field. This will be the next step of the present work.

IV. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) and CNPq for the financial support.

-
- [1] Demorest, P., Pennucci, T., Ransom, S., Roberts, M., & Hessels, J. 2010, *Nature*, 467, 1081.
- [2] Serot, B.D. and Walecka, J.D. (1986) *Advances in Nuclear Physics*. Edited by Negele, J.W. and Vogt, E., Vol. 16, Plenum, New York.
- [3] M. Prakash, T. L. Ainsworth, and J. M. Lattimer, Equation of State and the Maximum Mass of Neutron Stars, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2518.
- [4] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn, and V. F. Weisskopf, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3471 (1974).
- [5] R. C. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 364 (1939); J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkov, *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374 (1939).