

A Transition-Path-Ensembled Kinetic Equation for Stochastic Processes *

De-yu ZHONG^{1,†}, Guang-qian WANG², Tie-jian LI³, and Yu ZHANG⁴

^{1,2,3,4}*State Key Laboratory of Hydroscience and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 10084, China*

[†]*Corresponding author: zhongdy@tsinghu.edu.cn*

July 27, 2019

Abstract

The Fokker-Planck equation is widely adopted in studies of Markov processes found in a variety of disciplines. It can be found that the evolution of probability density function in the state-space is completely determined by the jump moments of state transition of a stochastic system. Usually, the jump moments are only a function of starting and ending states of jumps; while no further limitation was imposed on the transition paths. However, if the stochastic features of transition paths required to be explicitly accounted for, then the Fokker-Planck equation is insufficient. In this paper, by define a new transition probability function, a transition-path-enssembled kinetic equation for stochastic processes was derived. By truncated in the first two orders, the kinetic equation is similar to the Fokker-Planck equation in form, but with the drift and diffusion terms expressed as the cumulants relative to the ensemble averaged paths, rather than jump moments as in the Fokker-Planck equation.

Keywords: stochastic processes, kinetic equation, transition-path-ensemble

1 Introduction

Large scale systems as fluvial rivers, weather processes, and climate changes exhibits certain degrees of uncertainties because of complicated mechanisms which cannot be fully covered currently, as makes deterministic predictions in the transition of system state a difficult task.

Currently, macroscopic evolution of such systems are described by deterministic processes plus random forcing. Therefore, how to formulating systems with random forcing is vital in the study of large scale systems. Although those large scale systems usually have long-memory effects, regarding the transition of the system state as a Markov process is still appropriate for the time intervals that are far more smaller than macroscopic time

*This study is supported by NSFC with Grant No. 91547204

scales. For instance, in the studies reported by Hasselmann (1976), among many others, the transition probability density function is still given by the Fokker-Planck equation (FPE), and successful applications were achieved.

We found that FPE is completely determined by the jump moments of a random processes of interest (Risken, 1984). Moreover, in the derivation of FPE, for instance by the method of Kramers-Moyal expansion, the jump moments are determined by jump distances in small time intervals along the paths of state transition. The jump moments is a measure of jump intensities as well as the their deviation from averaged-jump length along the path, which are totally determined by the distances from starting points to the ending points and corresponding possibilities. This implies that it dose not explicitly take into account the possibility of multiple paths with the same starting and ending state in each jump.

Classical formulation of FPE applies well if a stochastic process depends only on the changes of state variables, while has nothing to do with the paths traveled during variation from one state to another. On the contrary, when a system strongly relies not only on the changes of its state variables, but also on its transition paths, or more specifically, if the problems of interest are transition-path-dependent (TPD), then FPE is insufficient. Therefore, how to explicitly take into account multiple possibilities in the path of each transition step becomes crucial; especially when we focus our attention to the effect on the evolution of a system arising from uncertainties in transition paths.

This paper is aimed to provided a formulation for a path-ensbled kinetic equation for stochastic processes.

2 Formulation

Considering a system is described by a vector state with N components $\vec{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$ and it has a state of $\vec{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ at the time s with its path given by

$$\vec{X} = \vec{X}(t|\vec{y}, s). \quad (1)$$

Obviously, at the time s , the particle is located at its initial position:

$$\vec{y} = \vec{X}(s|\vec{y}, s). \quad (2)$$

When we intended to tract the state of the system in the state-space in the sense of Lagrangian view, we can termed \vec{y} and s , respectively, as the label state and label time of the state.

For a Markov process, the possibility to find the system having the state of \vec{x} at the time t is

$$f(\vec{x}, t) = \int d\vec{y} f(\vec{x}, t|\vec{y}, s) F(\vec{y}, s) \quad (3)$$

where $f(\vec{x}, t|\vec{y}, s)$ is the possibility density to find the system having the state \vec{x} in the phase space at the time t , given that it has a state \vec{y} at the time s ; $F(\vec{y}, s)$ is the distribution function of state of a system in the phase space.

In the previously reported studies, there is no further consideration with respect to the transitional probability density $f(\vec{x}, t|\vec{y}, s)$. This implies that, firstly, the path from \vec{y} to \vec{x} must be continuous; secondly, focus is centered on the starting point and the ending point, but pay no attention to the path leads from \vec{y} to \vec{x} . However, if the paths in the state space are also stochastic, then previously reported study may be insufficient.

Let $s \leq \tau \leq t$, and denote $\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$ to be the transitional path of state from \vec{y} at the time s in the time interval $|t - s|$ to arrive at \vec{x} and the path $\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$ itself is also assumed to be stochastic, then we defined a conditional probability density function as

$$f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s) = \int d\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s) \chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)) G(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau), \quad (4)$$

where $\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))$ is a local probability defined as the possibility for the system to arrive at the state \vec{x} at the time t along the path $\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$ with given initial state \vec{y} at the time s . Because we assumed that the paths of the state of the system are also stochastic, then $\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))$ is regarded as a indicator of paths that take by the system for state transition, while $G(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau)$ is the distribution of the transition paths of state variables, which is prescribed or by its conservation in the state space: $dG(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau)/d\tau = 0$, so that

$$\frac{\partial G(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau)}{\partial \tau} + \nabla_{\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)} \cdot \dot{\vec{X}}(\tau|\vec{y}, s) G(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau) = 0. \quad (5)$$

$\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))$ depends on time implicitly because it is a function of the particle's path $\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$ which change with time. Therefore, along the path $\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$, the time rate of change of $\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))}{\partial \tau} = \dot{\vec{X}}(\tau|\vec{y}, s) \cdot \nabla_{\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)} \chi, \quad (6)$$

If we assumed that $\chi = \chi(|\vec{x} - \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)|)$ and thus $\nabla_{\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)} \chi = -\nabla_{\vec{x}} \chi$. Denoting $\mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \dot{\vec{X}}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)$, then we had that

$$\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \tau} = -\mathcal{L} \chi. \quad (7)$$

Eq. (7) has an operator solution as (Zwanzig, 2001)

$$\chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s)) = \mathcal{U}(\tau|s) \chi(\vec{x}, \vec{X}(s|\vec{y}, s)) = \mathcal{U}(\tau|s) \chi(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \quad (8)$$

where the time evolution operator is defined by (see Ref. Kleinert (2009))

$$\mathcal{U}(\tau|s) = \hat{T} e^{-\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L}(\xi)} = \hat{T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L} \right)^n \quad (9)$$

with \hat{T} denoting the time-ordering operator by which the integrations in

$$\left(\int_s^\tau d\tau \mathcal{L} \right)^n = \int_s^\tau d\xi_n \cdots \int_s^\tau d\xi_1 \mathcal{L}(\xi_n) \cdots \mathcal{L}(\xi_1) \quad (10)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are correctly ordered so that the later times in the products of \mathcal{L}_k stand to the left of those with earlier times ($\xi_n > \xi_{n-1} > \dots > \xi_1$).

By substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (4), we had that

$$f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s) = \langle \mathcal{U}(\tau|s) | \vec{y} \rangle \chi(\vec{x}, \vec{y}), \quad (11)$$

where the conditional ensemble average of the time evolution operator is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{U}(\tau|s)|\vec{y}\rangle &= \int d\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}_k, s) \mathcal{U}(\tau|s) F(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau) \\
&= \langle \hat{T} e^{-\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L}(\xi)} |\vec{y}\rangle \\
&= \exp\left(\hat{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \left\langle \left(\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L} \right)^n \middle| \vec{y} \right\rangle \right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

in which $\langle\langle\rangle\rangle$ represents the cumulant, for instance, $\langle\langle ab|\vec{y}\rangle\rangle = \langle(a - \langle a|\vec{y}\rangle)(b - \langle b|\vec{y}\rangle)\rangle$ is the cumulant with respect to the conditional ensemble average

$$\langle a(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s))|\vec{y}\rangle = \int d\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s) a(\vec{X}(t|\vec{y}, s), t) G(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau) \tag{13}$$

is the ensemble average of an arbitrary dynamical variable $a(\vec{X}(\tau|\vec{y}, s), \tau)$. In the derivation of Eq. (12), we have used the result of the ensemble average of exponent functions with stochastic variable (Van Kampen, 1992).

Eq. (8) can be regarded as the evolution of the distance from \vec{x} to \vec{y} , then the transitional probability density function given by Eq. (11) is the possibility for the state of the system transits from (\vec{y}, s) to (\vec{x}, t) along all of the possible paths.

Noticed that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \langle \mathcal{U}(\tau|s)|\vec{y}\rangle = \langle \mathcal{A}|\vec{y}\rangle \langle \mathcal{U}(\tau|s)|\vec{y}\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\langle \mathcal{A}|\vec{y}\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\hat{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \left\langle \left(\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L} \right)^n \middle| \vec{y} \right\rangle \right\rangle \right), \tag{15}$$

Then the partial derivative of $f(\vec{x}, \tau)$ with respect to τ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} &= \int d\vec{y} \langle \mathcal{A}|\vec{y}\rangle f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s) F(\vec{y}, s) \\
&= \langle \mathcal{A}(\tau|s) \rangle f(\vec{x}, \tau),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\tau|s) \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\hat{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left\langle \left\langle \left(\int_s^\tau d\xi \mathcal{L} \right)^n \right\rangle \right\rangle \right). \tag{17}$$

It can also be verified that

$$\frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s)}{\partial \tau} = \langle \mathcal{A}(\tau|s) \rangle f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s), \tag{18}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s)}{\partial \tau} &= \langle \mathcal{A}(\tau|s) \rangle f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \nabla_{\vec{x}}^n (\mathcal{D}^{(n)} f(\vec{x}, \tau|\vec{y}, s)),
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}^{(n)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\hat{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle \left\langle \left(\int_s^\tau d\xi \dot{X} \right)^n \right\rangle \right\rangle \right). \quad (20)$$

It shows that Eq. (19) is in the same form as the Kramers-Moyal for a Markov process. However, differences exist. Firstly, the state \vec{y} at the time s is stochastic; secondly, its path $\vec{X}(t|\vec{y}, s)$ to the state \vec{x} is also stochastic; thirdly, the expansion terms are the function of the cumulants of transition paths, rather than jump moments (see Eq. (20)).

3 Discussions

When consider a system having only one state variable with the state y at the time s , its path can be written as follows:

$$\dot{X}(t|y, s) = h(X(t|y, s)), \quad (21)$$

where $h(X(t|y, s))$ is an arbitrary function of the path $X(t|y, s)$.

When first two terms in $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ are considered, then we had that for $n = 1$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau \dot{X} \right\rangle, \quad (22)$$

and for $n = 2$

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \left\langle \hat{T} \int_s^t d\tau_2 \int_s^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 \dot{X}(\tau_2) \dot{X}(\tau_1) \right\rangle \right\rangle, \quad (23)$$

then the kinetic equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla_x \cdot \mathcal{D}^{(1)} f(\vec{x}, t) + \nabla_x^2 : \mathcal{D}^{(2)} f(x, t). \quad (24)$$

Drift and diffusion term can be written in a more detailed form as follows, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau \dot{X} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int dy \left[\int dX(t|y, s) \left(\int_s^t h d\tau \right) G(X(t|y, s), t) \right] F(y, s) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \int_s^t h(X(\tau|y, s)) d\tau \right\rangle = \frac{\partial \langle \Delta X(t|y, s) \rangle}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau_2 \int_s^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 \dot{X}(\tau_2) \dot{X}(\tau_1) \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int dy \left(\int_s^t d\tau_1 \dot{X}(\tau_1) - \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau_1 \dot{X}(\tau_1) \middle| y \right\rangle \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_s^t d\tau_2 \dot{X}(\tau_2) - \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau_2 \dot{X}(\tau_2) \middle| y \right\rangle \right) F(y, s) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int dy \left(\Delta X - \langle \Delta X | y \rangle \right)^2 F(y, s), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\Delta X(t|y, s) = \int_s^t d\tau h(X(\tau|y, s))$, while $\langle \Delta X|y \rangle = \left\langle \int_s^t d\tau h(X(\tau|y, s)) \Big| y \right\rangle$ is an ensemble average for all of the possible transition paths of the state of the system, given a state y at the time s ; therefore, $\Delta X - \langle \Delta X|y \rangle$ is measurement of the deviation of an arbitrary path of all the possible paths to their ensemble averaged path.

The drift term has the essential difference that the ensemble given in Eq. (25) is on all the possible distribution of state transition path passing \vec{x} at the time t and all the possible distribution of state at the time s , which implies double ensemble average is considered to take into account stochastic properties of a transition from time s to time t . Consequently, the diffusion term $\mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ is a measurement of distribution of transition paths of the system; large diffusion implies wide distribution of the transition paths.

Differently, in FPE, the diffusion term is defined as a second order jump moment, or more specifically, they are

$$\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int d\xi (\xi - x) f(\xi, t + \Delta t|x, t), \quad (27)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int d\xi (\xi - x)^2 f(\xi, t + \Delta t|x, t), \quad (28)$$

for $n = 2$, which clearly shows the differences between FPE and the present study.

4 Conclusive Remarks

FPE has been extensively applied to study stochastic processes. It can be found that the jump moments are the key parameters that determine the evolution of a stochastic system in the state space. It is to say, when the jump moments are determined, variation of statistic features of the system is predicable. In the formulation of the FPE, the jump moments are given by the variations of states in small time intervals along the paths of state transition in a system. This implies that, for a given realization of the state transition in a stochastic processes, there is only one path involved in leading from one state to another. However, in the cases that we have a clear knowledge that there are multiple paths that leads from one state to another, or equivalently, the state transition is path dependent, then FPE is insufficient.

In this paper, by define a conditional probability density function for the distribution of paths with given initial states, a new transitional probability function is proposed, and then a path-enssembled kinetic equation for the stochastic processes was derived. It shows the path-enssembled kinetic equation for a stochastic process has the similarity in form to the Kramers-Moyal expansion for Markov processes. However, essential differences exists between the Kramers-Moyal expansion and the present study. It shows that the expansion coefficients in the Kramers-Moyal expansion are of functions of jump moments; while in our study, they are cumulants with respect to ensemble averaged state transition paths. This feature makes the present study can be applied to study those stochastic processes with TPD.

By truncated in the first two orders, a probability density function equation similar to FPE was also obtained in this study. It shows that the drift and diffusion is the cumulants relative to the ensemble averaged transition paths, rather than jump moments as in FPE.

References

- Klaus Hasselmann. Stochastic climate models part i. theory. *Tellus A*, 28(6):473–485, 1976.
- Hagen Kleinert. *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, volume I. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, v edition, 2009.
- Hannes Risken. *Fokker-planck equation*. Springer, 1984.
- Nicolaas Godfried Van Kampen. *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*. Elsevier, 1992.
- Robert Zwanzig. *Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics*. Oxford University Press, 2001.