

Nonlinear periodic wavetrains in thin liquid films falling on a uniformly heated horizontal plate

Remi J. Noumana Issokolo¹ and Alain M. Dikandé^{2, a)}

¹⁾*Laboratory of Research on Advanced Materials and Nonlinear Sciences (LaRAMaNS), Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Buea P.O. Box 63, Buea, Cameroon.*

²⁾*Laboratory of Research on Advanced Materials and Nonlinear Sciences (LaRAMaNS), Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Buea P.O. Box 63 Buea, Cameroon.*

(Dated: 23 April 2018)

A thin liquid film falling on a uniformly heated horizontal plate spreads into fingering ripples that can display a complex dynamics ranging from continuous waves, nonlinear spatially localized periodic wave patterns (i.e. rivulet structures) to modulated nonlinear wavetrain structures. Some of these structures have been observed experimentally, however conditions under which they form are still not well understood. In this work we examine profiles of nonlinear wave patterns formed by a thin liquid film falling on a uniformly heated horizontal plate. In this purpose, the Benney model is considered assuming a uniform temperature distribution along the film propagation on the horizontal surface. It is shown that for strong surface tension but relatively small Biot number, spatially localized periodic-wave structures can be analytically obtained by solving the governing equation under appropriate conditions. In the regime of weak nonlinearity, a multiple-scale expansion combined with the reductive perturbation method leads to a complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, the solutions of which are modulated periodic pulse trains which amplitude, width and period are expressed in terms of characteristic parameters of the model.

^{a)}Electronic mail: dikande.alain@ubuea.cm; <http://laramans.blogspot.com/>.

I. INTRODUCTION

A thin liquid film falling down a heated substrate spreads over the substrate in form of fingering ripples exhibiting an extremely rich variety of spatio-temporal dynamics¹⁻⁵. The system is an open-flow hydrodynamic problem which is convectively unstable^{4,6-8}, leading to a sequence of wave transitions beginning with the amplification of small-amplitude noises at the inlet, the filtering of linear stability and then a secondary modulational instability that transforms the primary wave field into a solitary-wave pulse^{4,9,10}. This sequence of wave transitions is driven by the so-called long-wave instability mode, first observed experimentally by Kapitza and Kapitza², and studied in detail by Benjamin^{11,12} who determined its threshold and established that a film falling down an inclined plane can only be destabilized for a Reynolds number larger than some critical value dependent on the inclination angle. The long-wave approximation was mathematically formulated for the first time by Benney¹³ in terms of a single evolution equation for the thin-liquid free surface, later on solitary-wave solutions to the long-wave equation were numerically generated by several authors considering different ranges of characteristic parameters of this equation^{8-10,14-22}. Thermally driven flows of free-surface thin-liquid films are ubiquitous in nature, in geophysics they occur in forms of gravity currents under water or lava flows^{23,24}. In industry they are used in mass and heat transfers such as paintings, surface coatings and protections (e.g. TV screens, optical storage media, etc).

Thin-liquid film flow on a heated horizontal wall can be described very simply as a shallow layer of fluid resting on a heated horizontal surface, which becomes unstable to both buoyancy-driven and thermocapillary convections^{1,4}. When the fluid layer is sufficiently thin, thermocapillary convection due to gradients in surface tension induces the Marangoni effect which turns to be the dominant source of instability in the film. It is most remarkable that due to the Marangoni effect the system can readily be regarded as one among fluid-mechanical problems that are described by Boussinesq's fourth-order nonlinear partial differential equations^{25,26}. While the latter fact has been recognized for some time now and considered in several past models for the thin-film flow, only by numerical simulations^{9,10,14-16} it has been possible to gain insight onto the specific wave profiles driven by the competition between the Marangoni effect and the Rayleigh-Taylor instability. On this last point numerical simulations have revealed the existence of periodic wave patterns with permanent

shapes characteristic of solitons and solitary waves. In refs.^{8,14-17} for instance, the existence and stability of these specific wave structures were discussed in both cases of film flowing on horizontal and slightly inclined plates. From the general standpoint of fundamental nonlinear theory the existence of solitary-wave structures requires a strong nonlinearity, but when the nonlinearity is weak either modulated envelopes with pulse or dark soliton profiles are to be expected. In the context of thin-liquid film flows the nonlinearity is introduced by Marangoni effects in the case of flow over an horizontal plate, and both by Marangoni effects and the Reynolds number when the plate is inclined.

In this work we investigate conditions under which the Benney equation can lead either to well localized periodic wavetrain (i.e. rivulet-type) patterns, or to modulated nonlinear periodic wavetrain structures. To begin with, we shall introduce the model equation and show that in the specific case of a thin-liquid film falling on an horizontal plate, at small values of the Biot number a reasonable approximation enables analytical solutions describing a periodic pattern of nonlinear solitary waves reminiscent of rivulet patterns. Next, for the physical context where the nonlinearity is relatively small to totally balance the dispersion, a multiple-scale expansion combined with the reductive perturbation method^{3,27} will lead to a cubic complex Ginzburg-Landau (CCGL) equation. Exact periodic solutions to this last equation will be obtained.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL AND LOCALIZED SOLITARY-WAVE PATTERNS

The dominant picture in theoretical studies of the problem of thin-film flow over a uniformly heated plate is the long-wave instability proposed by Benney¹³, in which a small long-wave parameter is introduced in the hydrodynamic equation. Carrying out an expansion in this parameter ultimately leads to a single evolution equation, commonly referred to as the Benney equation^{3,13}. This picture, along with similar approaches, have proven very successful in determining the instability threshold while the evolution equations for the free surface, emerging from these techniques, have been applied to numerous problems ranging from Newtonian to non-Newtonian fluids. In the presence of surface tension, the propagation of the local spatial deformation of the film thickness $h(x, t)$ along the plate is

governed by the nonlinear evolution equation^{8,20}:

$$h_t = - \left[Rh^3 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{15} R^2 h^6 h_x - C \frac{h^3}{3} h_x + S \frac{h^3}{3} h_{xxx} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + BM_w \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{(\delta + T_w) h_x}{(1 + Bh)^2} - M_w \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{T_{wx}}{1 + Bh} \right) \right]_x, \quad (1)$$

where β is the angle of inclination of the plate from the horizontal surface and T_w is the spatial temperature distribution along the plate. We are interested in a uniformly heated substrate so we assume T_w to be a constant. Other parameters in the above equation are defined as:

$$S = \varepsilon^2 \frac{\sigma_\infty h_N}{\rho \nu^2}, \quad M_w = \frac{Ma}{Pr}, \\ R = G \sin(\beta), \quad C = G \cos(\beta), \quad (2)$$

and represent respectively the surface tension (S), the effective Marangoni number (M), the Reynolds number (R) and the hydrostatic pressure (C). $\delta = \frac{\Delta \bar{T}}{\Delta \bar{T}_w}$ is the ratio of the characteristic temperature difference applied across the thin-liquid film layer ($\Delta \bar{T} = \bar{T}_a - \bar{T}_\infty$), to the average plate temperature \bar{T}_a , and \bar{T}_∞ is the ambient temperature and the temperature difference along the plate is $\Delta \bar{T}_w = \bar{T}_{wmax} - \bar{T}_{wmin}$.

The first term in the right-hand side of eq. (1) is related to convective phenomena due to mean flow, the second term stands for inertia and is responsible for the hydrodynamic instability, the third term represents the stabilizing effect of the hydrostatic pressure, the fourth term accounts for surface tension and the fifth and sixth terms are associated with Marangoni effects⁸. According to the last two terms in the right-hand-side of eq. (1), the thermocapillary effect in the film dynamics originates from two distinct sources namely:

1. a perturbation of the plate temperature, induced by variations of the film thickness $h(x, t)$ when heat is transferred to the ambient medium ($B \neq 0$),
2. a non-uniform heating of the wall (i.e. $T_w \neq \text{constant}$).

In addition to fixing the plate temperature, we also focus on the film flow over an horizontal plate as illustrated in fig. 1. For the flow geometry in fig. 1 the Reynolds number R is zero, such that eq. (1) can be rewritten:

$$h_t = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\varepsilon h^3}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(Sh_{xx} + \frac{\partial P(h)}{\partial h} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$




FIG. 1. (Color online) Geometry of a thin-liquid film flow along a uniformly heated horizontal plate.

where:

$$P(h) = C \frac{h^2}{2} - \frac{3BM_w(\delta + T_w)h}{2} \text{Log} \left(\frac{h}{1 + Bh} \right). \quad (4)$$

Eq. (3) can also be expressed in the following general form:

$$h_t = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\varepsilon \frac{h^3}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h} \right) \right], \quad (5)$$

characteristic of a variational problem where $F(h)$, the "energy functional", is defined as:

$$F(h) = \frac{1}{L} \int \left[\frac{S}{2} h_x^2 + P(h) \right] dx. \quad (6)$$

It is remarkable that $F(h)$ is similar to the energy functional of a system undergoing a Landau-type structural phase transition²⁸, where $h(x, t)$ holds the role of the space-time varying order parameter while the first term in the integral accounts for the contribution from spatial distortion of the order parameter. $P(h)$ in this case is the free energy associated with the homogeneous phase of the system.

The analogy with the Landau-type second-order phase transition, enables us determine the equilibrium spatial structure of the order parameter $h(x)$ by seeking for stationary solutions to the variational equation:

$$h_x = \sqrt{-\frac{2}{S}[P(h) - P_0]}, \quad (7)$$

where P_0 is an integration constant. Solving eq. (7), it is useful to start by stressing that the solution of interest is the one describing profiles of the wave pattern forming on the film surface. For such solution, we can express the total film thickness $h(x)$ as the sum of a

uniform (i.e. undeformed) thickness h_0 , and small ripples of amplitudes $Y(x)$ representing spatial deformations of the film surface. That is we set $h = h_0(1 + y)$ (with $y = Y/h_0$), and assuming $Y \ll h_0$ (i.e. $y \ll 1$) we can expand the free energy $P(h)$ in $y(x)$. In the regime where the Biot number B is small we can define a "small" expansion parameter:

$$\alpha = \frac{Bh_0}{1 + Bh_0}, \quad (8)$$

in terms of which an expansion of $P(h)$ to the third order transforms eq. (7) into the first-order elliptic problem:

$$h_z = \sqrt{A_3 y^3 + A_2 y^2 + A_1 y + A_0}, \quad z = x \sqrt{\frac{2}{Sh_0^2}}, \quad (9)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 &= -\frac{3BM_w(\delta + T_w)h_0}{4} \left[(1 - \alpha^2) - \frac{2}{3}(1 - \alpha^3) \right], \\ A_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}Ch_0^2 + \frac{3BM_w(\delta + T_w)h_0}{4}(1 - \alpha)^2, \\ A_1 &= -Ch_0^2 + \frac{3BM_w(\delta + T_w)h_0}{2} \left[(1 - \alpha) + \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{B}\right) \right], \\ A_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}Ch_0^2 + P_0 + \frac{3\varepsilon BM_w(\delta + T_w)h_0}{2} \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{B}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Integration of eq. (9) defines Weierstrass's elliptic functions²⁹, which lead to the following nonlinear periodic wavetrain solution:

$$y(x) = e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \operatorname{cn}^2(x/\nu, \kappa), \quad (11)$$

$$\nu = \sqrt{\frac{2h_0^2 S}{-(e_1 - e_3)A_3}}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{\frac{e_1 - e_2}{e_1 - e_3}}. \quad (12)$$

In the last solution $e_{i=1,2,3}$ are real roots of the cubic polynomial $F(y) = -2(y - e_1)(y - e_2)(y - e_3)$, generated by setting $P(y) = -A_3 F(y)$ which gives the following three transcendental equations:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 + e_2 + e_3 &= -A_2/A_3, \\ e_1 e_2 + e_1 e_3 + e_2 e_3 &= A_1/A_3, \\ e_1 e_2 e_3 &= -A_0/A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The quantity cn in (11) is a Jacobi elliptic function²⁹⁻³², its modulus κ is given in (12) in terms of e_1 , e_2 and e_3 which, according to (13), are functions of physical parameters of the




FIG. 2. (Color online) Sketch of the periodic wavetrain structure formula (11), for four different values of the Jacobi elliptic modulus κ .

model. Hence the modulus κ of the Jacobi elliptic function also is a function of physical parameters of the model. Though this dependence seems complicated when considering the relations between $e_{1,2,3}$ and parameters in the elliptic nonlinear equation (9), more simple expressions of κ as a function of physical parameters of the model are obtained for its two limiting values. Indeed by definition²⁹ the modulus κ must obey $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, for the first characteristic value i.e. $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ the expression of κ in formula (12) suggests that $e_1 = e_2$. Therefore, from the set eqs. (13) we can easily derive relations between parameters of the model for which $cn(\cdot) \rightarrow \cos(\cdot)$. On the other hand, the upper value $\kappa = 1$ implies $e_2 = e_3$, which also provides a relationship between the model parameters for which the Jacobi elliptic function $cn(\cdot) \rightarrow sech(\cdot)$.

The Jacobi elliptic function cn is periodic with respect to x , meaning that the solution (11) can be regarded as a periodic wavetrain of spatially localized rivulets forming from the thin-liquid film spreading along the horizontal plate. In fig. 2 we sketch the solution (11) for four distinct values of κ , corresponding to four distinct relationships between physical parameters of the model i.e. $\kappa = 0.25, 0.95, 0.99$ and 0.9999 . The figure shows that for small values of κ , the relative deformations y of the thin-liquid film are periodic ripples with harmonic profiles. As we increase κ ($\kappa = 0.95$) the ripples gain in anharmonicity, a feature noticeable

in their typical fingering shapes³³ reminiscent of rivulet structures^{34–36}. As $\kappa \rightarrow 1$ the ripples are more and more broad (graphs for $\kappa = 0.98$ and $\kappa = 0.99$). It is worth noting that ν in the expression of $y(x)$ given by formula (11), is the average width (i.e. the width at half crest) of individual ripples in the periodic wavetrain. According to formula (12), an increase of the surface tension will broaden the ripples. The most telltale physical implication of this broadening should be looked in the change of the period of the wavetrain, which is given by the period of (11) i.e. $T_p = 2K(\kappa)\nu$. According to the analytical expression of T_p , an increase in the surface tension should cause an increase of the separation between ripples in the periodic wavetrain. In fact, this is expected to favor the formation of single solitary-wave structures similar to some of those obtained in recent numerical studies (see e.g. ref.¹⁵).

III. MODULATED NONLINEAR PERIODIC WAVETRAINS

When the dispersion becomes dominant over nonlinearity, the periodic spatial wavetrain generated by deformations of the thin-liquid film surface can develop spatio-temporal modulations^{37–41}. To investigate these weakly nonlinear periodic modulated-wave structures for the evolution equation (1), we shall apply a multiple-scale expansion combined with the reductive-perturbation method²⁷ on this equation.

To start let us express eq. (1) in terms of the small relative deformation y :

$$y_t + Rh_0^2(1+y)^2y_x + \varepsilon\left[\frac{2}{15}R^2h_0^6(1+y)^6y_x - \frac{Ch_0^3(1+y)^3}{3}y_x + \frac{Sh_0^3(1+y)^3}{3}y_{xxx} + \frac{\mu\alpha_0^2(1+y)^2y_x}{(1+\alpha y)^2}\right]_x = 0. \quad (14)$$

For small y , we can expand terms of the form $(1+y)^n$ in the last equation to the order y^2 . Doing this eq. (14) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t + a_1y_x + b_1y_{xx} + c_1y_{xxxx} + a_2yy_x \\ + a_1(y_x^2 + yy_{xx}) + c_2(y_xy_{xxx} + yy_{xxxx}) + a_1y^2y_x \\ + b_3(y^2y_{xx} + 2yy_x^2) + c_1(y^2y_{xxxx} + 2yy_xy_{xxx}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= Rh_0^2, \quad a_2 = 2a_1, \quad c_1 = \frac{Ch_0^3}{3a}, \quad c_2 = 3c_1, \\
b_1 &= \frac{2}{15}R^2h_0^6 - \frac{Ch_0^3}{3} + \mu\alpha_0^2, \quad \alpha_0^2 = \frac{h_0^2}{(1+Bh_0)^2} \\
b_2 &= \frac{4}{5}R^2h_0^6 - Ch_0^3 + 2\mu\alpha_0^2(1-\alpha), \\
b_3 &= 2R^2h_0^6 - Ch_0^3 + \mu\alpha_0^2(1-2\alpha+3\alpha^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The linearized part of (15) admits travelling-wave solutions of the form:

$$y(x, t) = \phi \exp\{i(kx - \omega t)\} + c.c. \tag{17}$$

where ϕ is the wave amplitude with c.c referring to its complex conjugate. k is the wave number and ω is the wave frequency. Substituting eq. (17) in the linearized version of eq. (15), we find the linear-wave dispersion relation:

$$D(\omega, k) \equiv -i\omega + ia_1k - b_1k^2 + c_1k^4 = 0. \tag{18}$$

As formula (18) suggests, for real values of the wave number k the frequency ω is complex. Hence ω can be decomposed as $\omega = \omega_r + i\omega_i$, with:

$$\omega_r = a_1k, \quad \omega_i = b_1k^2 - c_1k^4. \tag{19}$$

We define the phase velocity V_p as:

$$V_p = \frac{\omega_r}{k} = a_1 = Rh_0^2. \tag{20}$$

As we are interested in small-amplitude waves, we can readily consider the nonlinear evolution of an unstable wave in the regime where damping is not sensitive to the order ζ^2 , i.e. $\omega_i \sim O(\zeta^2)$ where ζ is a small perturbation. In this respect we introduce the new variables:

$$x_1 = \zeta x, \quad x_2 = \zeta^2 x, \quad t_1 = \zeta t, \quad t_2 = \zeta^2 t, \quad \dots, \tag{21}$$

where t and x are fast-scale variables, while x_1 and t_1 and so on are slow-scale variables. Since these variables are assumed mutually independent, we can define temporal and spatial derivatives as:

$$\partial_t \rightarrow \partial_{t_0} + \zeta \partial_{t_1} + \zeta^2 \partial_{t_2} + \dots, \quad \partial_x \rightarrow \partial_{x_0} + \zeta \partial_{x_1} + \zeta^2 \partial_{x_2} + \dots \tag{22}$$

Inserting (21) and (22) in eq. (15), and expanding the solution y i.e.:

$$y(x, t) = \sum_{i=1} \zeta^i y_i(x_i, t_i), \quad (23)$$

we can re-express (15) formally as:

$$L \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) y = -\zeta^2 N_2 - \zeta^3 N_3, \quad (24)$$

where L is a linear operator and N_2 and N_3 are the nonlinear terms. More explicitly eq. (24) is of the form:

$$(L_0 + \zeta L_1 + \zeta^2 L_2 + \dots)(\zeta y_1 + \zeta^2 y_2 + \zeta^3 y_3 + \dots) = -\zeta^2 N_2 - \zeta^3 N_3 - \dots, \quad (25)$$

where L_0 , L_1 and L_2 are all linear operators of different orders in ζ and are given by:

$$L_0 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + b_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} + c_1 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_0^4}, \quad (26)$$

$$L_1 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + 2b_1 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0 \partial x_1} + 4c_1 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_0^3 \partial x_1}, \quad (27)$$

$$L_2 \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + b_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0 \partial x_2} \right) + c_1 \left(6 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_0^2 \partial x_1^2} + 4 \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x_0^3 \partial x_2} \right), \quad (28)$$

while the nonlinear terms N_1 and N_2 are given by:

$$N_1 = a_2 y_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} + b_2 \left[y_1 \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x_0^2} + \left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \right)^2 \right] + c_2 \left[y_1 \frac{\partial^4 y_1}{\partial x_0^4} + \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \frac{\partial^3 y_1}{\partial x_0^3} \right], \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 = & a_2 \left[y_1 \left(\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_0} + \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \right) + y_2 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \right] \\ & + b_2 \left[y_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2 y_2}{\partial x_0^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x_0 \partial x_1} \right) + y_2 \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x_0^2} + 2 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_0} + \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \right) \right] \\ & + c_2 \left[y_1 \left(\frac{\partial^4 y_2}{\partial x_0^4} + 4 \frac{\partial^4 y_1}{\partial x_0^3 \partial x_1} \right) + y_2 \frac{\partial^4 y_1}{\partial x_0^4} + \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \left(\frac{\partial^3 y_2}{\partial x_0^3} + 3 \frac{\partial^3 y_1}{\partial x_0^2 \partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial^3 y_1}{\partial x_0^3} \left(\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_0} + \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} a_1 y_1^2 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} + b_3 \left[\frac{1}{2} y_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial x_0^2} + y_1 \left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \right)^2 \right] + c_2 \left[\frac{1}{2} y_1^2 \frac{\partial^4 y_1}{\partial x_0^4} + y_1 \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_0} \frac{\partial^3 y_1}{\partial x_0^3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We now group terms of the same power in ζ in eq. (25), and set each of them to zero. To the lowest order (i.e. ζ^0) we obtain:

$$L_0 y_1 = 0, \quad (31)$$

which, from the form of L_0 given in formula (28), suggests a solution:

$$y_1 = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t_1, \dots, t_N) \exp(i\theta) + c.c. \quad (32)$$

To the order ζ^2 we obtain:

$$L_0 y_2 + L_1 y_1 = -N_1. \quad (33)$$

This solution can also be expressed as:

$$L_0 y_2 = -i \left[\frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial \omega_r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \right] e^{i\theta} - \Omega \phi^2 e^{2i\theta} + c.c., \quad (34)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial \omega_r} &= -i, \\ \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial k} &= ia_1 - 2b_1 k + 4c_1 k^3, \\ \Omega &= ia_2 - 2b_2 k^2 + 2c_2 k^4. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

A non-secularity condition implies setting the coefficient of $e^{i\theta}$ in (34) to zero, i.e.:

$$-i \left[\frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial \omega_r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \right] = 0. \quad (36)$$

This leads to:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_1} + (a_1 + 2b_1 i k - 4c_1 i k^3) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} = 0. \quad (37)$$

In terms of the group velocity V_g , we can also express the last relation as:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_1} + V_g \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad (38)$$

where $V_g = \frac{dw}{dk} = -\frac{\partial D_k}{\partial D_{\omega_r}}$. Eq. (38) admits a uniform solution for y_2 given by:

$$y_2 = -\frac{\Omega \phi^2 e^{2i\theta}}{D(2\omega_r, 2k)} + F(x_1, \dots, x_N, t_1, \dots, t_N) e^{i\theta} + G(x_1, \dots, x_N, t_1, \dots, t_N) + c.c., \quad (39)$$

where F and G are complex and real functions respectively of higher-order scales, which can be obtained from higher-order perturbations, and:

$$D(2\omega_r, 2k) = -2i\omega_r + 2ia_1 k - 4b_1 k^2 + 16c_1 k^4. \quad (40)$$

To the order ζ^3 we have:

$$L_0 y_3 + L_1 y_2 + L_2 y_1 = -N_2. \quad (41)$$

Substituting y_1 given by (32), and y_2 given by (39), in eq. (41), we find the second non-secularity condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left[\frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial \omega_r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_2} - \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2} \right] + (b_1 - 6c_1 k^2) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_1^2} + i \left[\frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial \omega_r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t_1} - \frac{\partial D(\omega_r, k)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \right] \\ &= - \left[a_1 i k + 3(c_2 k^4 - b_3 k^2) + (9b_2 k^2 - 3a_2 i k - 43c_2 k^4) \frac{\Omega}{D(2\omega_r, 2k)} \right] |\phi|^2 \phi - \Sigma G \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $\Sigma = a_2 ik - b_2 k^2 + c_2 k^4$. Since the linear operators L_i and the nonlinear functions N_i are composed of first-order spatial and temporal derivatives, as well as derivatives of higher orders, the relation governing the variation of the slow mode G can be obtained from eq. (41) and is given by:

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t_1} + a_1 \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1} = 0. \quad (43)$$

We assume that both F and G depend on higher scales through ϕ , for we are interested in the case with first-order perturbation²⁷. considering that ϕ , F and G depend on x_1 and t_1 through $\eta_1 \equiv x_1 - vt_1$, the first non-secularity condition (36) is always satisfied such that the third equation on the left-hand side of eq. (42) vanishes. Furthermore, solving eq. (43) gives:

$$G(\eta_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, t_2, \dots, t_N) = \gamma(x_2, \dots, x_N, t_2, \dots, t_N), \quad (44)$$

provided that the group and phase velocities are different. Replacing eq. (44) and eq. (36) in the second non-secularity condition (42), we obtain a nonlinear equation for the complex amplitude ϕ :

$$\begin{aligned} & i \left[\frac{\partial D(w_r, k)}{\partial w_r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_2} - \frac{\partial D(w_r, k)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_2} \right] + (A_2 - 6A_3 k^2) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_1^2} \\ & = - \left[a_1 ik + 3(c_2 k^4 - b_3 k^2) + (9b_2 k^2 - 3a_2 ik - 43c_2 k^4) \frac{\Omega}{D(2w_r, 2k)} \right] |\phi|^2 \phi - \Sigma \gamma \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Let us introduce two new coordinates namely $\tau = t_2 - \vartheta x_2$ and $\eta = \zeta x$, with these eq. (45) becomes:

$$i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + (\Gamma_1 - i\Gamma_2) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \eta^2} + (\beta_1 + i\beta_2) |\phi|^2 \phi + (\Sigma_1 + i\Sigma_2) \gamma \phi = 0, \quad (46)$$

with:

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{\lambda_1(b_1 - 6c_1 k^2)}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \frac{\lambda_2(b_1 - 6c_1 k^2)}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad (47)$$

$$\lambda_1 = 1 + \vartheta(4c_1 k^3 - 2b_1 k^2), \quad \lambda_2 = \vartheta a_1, \quad (48)$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\lambda_1 v_1 - \lambda_2 v_2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 v_2 + \lambda_2 v_1}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad (49)$$

$$v_1 = 3(c_2 k^4 - b_3 k^2) + \left[\frac{104b_2 c_2 k^6 - a_2^2 k^2 - 18b_2^2 k^4 - 86c_2^2 k^8}{16c_1 k^4 - 4b_1 k^2} \right], \quad (50)$$

$$v_2 = a_1 k + \left[\frac{15a_2 b_2 k^3 - 49b_2 c_2 k^5}{16a_1 k^4 - 4b_1 k^2} \right], \quad (51)$$

$$\Sigma_1 = \frac{\lambda_1(c_2 k^4 - b_2 k^2) - \lambda_2(a_2 k)}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \frac{\lambda_1(a_2 k) + \lambda_2(c_2 k^4 - b_2 k^2)}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}. \quad (52)$$

Eq. (46), which resembles a complex-coefficient nonlinear Schrödinger equation with damping or growth^{42,43}, is more exactly a dissipative cubic complex Ginzburg-Landau (DCCGL) equation^{44–50}.

Although the DCCGL eq. (46) stands for the general nonlinear equation derived from the multiple-scale expansion and the reductive-perturbation expansion applied on the partial-differential equation (15), not all terms in this equation are relevant to the specific problem under study. Namely the quantity γ in eq. (46) is actually an arbitrary constant, i.e. does not depend on any of the coefficients of eq. (15). In fact γ was introduced in eq. (44) to take into account the contribution of G in the multiple-scale expansion solution. This contribution can readily be ignored within the framework of the multiple-scale expansion theory, such that the system dynamics is governed by the CCGL equation:

$$i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\tau} + (\Gamma_1 - i\Gamma_2)\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\eta^2} + (\beta_1 + i\beta_2)|\phi|^2\phi = 0. \quad (53)$$

The CCGL eq. (53) admits exact nonlinear periodic solutions of the general form⁵¹:

$$\varphi(\xi) = \phi(\xi)e^{i\theta(\xi,\tau)}, \quad \xi = \eta - C\tau, \quad (54)$$

where $\phi(\xi)$ is the wave envelope and $\theta(\xi, \tau)$ is its carrier. Substituting (54) in eq. (53), separating real from imaginary parts and integrating the two resulting nonlinear equations, we obtain:

$$\phi(\xi) = \sqrt{\Phi(\xi)}, \quad \theta(\xi, \tau) = \beta_0 \ln(\Phi(\xi)) + A, \quad (55)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\xi) = & \frac{6\beta_0(\Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2)}{\beta_2\Gamma_1 + \beta_1\Gamma_2} [(e'_1 - e'_3)dn^2(\sqrt{e'_1 - e'_3}\xi, \kappa_0)] \\ & + \frac{2B\Gamma_2 - C\Gamma_1 - 36e'_1A_1(\Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2)}{4(\beta_2\Gamma_1 + \beta_1\Gamma_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

We can rearrange the periodic solution (54) with ϕ and θ given as in (55), to find:

$$\varphi(\xi) = \Phi(\xi)^{\frac{1}{2} + i\beta_0} e^{iA}. \quad (57)$$

κ_0 in the above relations is defined as:

$$\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\frac{e'_2 - e'_3}{e'_1 - e'_3}}, \quad (58)$$

where $e'_{1,2,2}$ are three real roots of a cubic polynomial⁵¹. Note also the presence of A_1 and A in the periodic solution (55), the parameter A is an arbitrary chirp (and hence can be discarded) while β_0 is obtained in terms of the model parameters as:

$$\beta_0 = -M \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + M^2}, \quad M = \frac{3\beta_1\Gamma_1 - \beta_2\Gamma_2}{4\beta_1\Gamma_2 + \beta_2\Gamma_1}. \quad (59)$$

With the exact periodic solution of the CCGL eq. (53), we obtain $y(x, t)$ (i.e. the solution to eq. (15)) by constructing the series (23) keeping only the relevant power in ζ (i.e. ζ^2). Using (32) and (39) together with the expression of φ given in (57), we find:

$$y(x, t) = \zeta \Phi(x, t)^{\frac{1}{2} + i\beta_0} - \frac{\zeta^2 \Omega}{D(2\omega_r, 2k)} \Phi(x, t)^{1 + 2i\beta_0} + c.c. \quad (60)$$

The analytical solution (reffinsol) contains several parameters, all dependent on characteristic parameters of the model. It is therefore not possible, within the framework of the present work, to discuss features of the solution considering all these parameters. Nevertheless, to have a sight of some typical profiles of the solution, we considered varying the parameter β_0 which, according to formula (59), can take on positive and negative values depending on magnitudes of β_1 , β_2 , Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Also the periodic function dn is known to tend to "sech" as the modulus κ_0 tends to one. We have therefore focused on varying β_0 for two different values of κ_0 and fixing all other parameters in (60) to arbitrary values, just for the sake of illustration since several combinations of values of these parameters give rise to a rich varieties of shape profiles, all of which cannot be displayed here.

Figs. 3 and 4 display shape profiles of the real part of $y(\xi)$ considering four different negative values of β_0 , for $\kappa_0 = 0.9$ (fig. 3) and $\kappa_0 = 0.99$ (fig. 4). On the other hand, figs. 5 and 6 are shape profiles of the real part of $y(\xi)$ for positive values of β_0 and same values of κ_0 as in the two previous figures. As the figures suggest, the modulation is more and more pronounced as β_0 increases. For small values of this parameter profiles of the real part of $y(\xi)$ are cnoidal waves, including cnoidal-wave solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries equation for certain values of parameters in (60).

IV. CONCLUSION

Instability of a thin liquid film falling down a heated substrate has been among the recent attractive topics in fluid mechanics from standpoints of fundamental theory and experiment. From the standpoint of theory it is an open-flow hydrodynamic problem which




FIG. 3. (Color online) Profiles of the modulated-wave solution $y(\xi)$ given by (60), for $\kappa_0 = 0.9$ and four different negative values of β_0 indicated in the graphs.




FIG. 4. (Color online) Profiles of the modulated-wave solution $y(\xi)$ given by (60), for $\kappa_0 = 0.99$ and four different negative values of β_0 indicated in the graphs.

is convectively unstable^{4,6-8}, leading to a sequence of wave transitions beginning with the amplification of small-amplitude noises at the inlet, the filtering of linear stability and ultimately a secondary modulational instability that transforms the primary wave field into a




FIG. 5. (Color online) Profiles of the modulated-wave solution $y(\xi)$ given by (60), for $\kappa_0 = 0.9$ and four different positive values of β_0 indicated in the graphs.




FIG. 6. (Color online) Profiles of the modulated-wave solution $y(\xi)$ given by (60), for $\kappa_0 = 0.99$ and for different positive values of β_0 indicated in the graphs.

solitary-wave. The system dynamics has been discussed at length in the recent past within the framework of the so-called Benney equation, based on the long-wave instability picture introduced by Kapitza and Kapitza² and studied in detail by Benjamin^{11,12}.

According to the long-wave instability picture the dynamics of the thin liquid film over a heat substrate will depend mainly on the Marangoni number, the capillary effects, the Reynolds number (related to gravity) and surface tensions. When the plate is horizontal the Reynolds number is zero, the system dynamics in this case is governed by the competition between the Marangoni effect and surface tensions.

In this work we considered the last problem in the specific context when the Biot number is very small and the plate is uniformly heated. We established that in this regime, the Benney equation can admit two distinct families of periodic nonlinear wave structures. The first one is a train of topological solitary waves similar to cnoidal waves of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, and the second is a train of envelope solitons with spatio-temporal modulations. The last type of nonlinear wave structure was obtained via the multiple-scale expansion combined with the reductive perturbation method, which led to a cubic complex Ginzburg-Landau equation. This equation is familiar in fluid mechanics, where it describes the dynamics of several distinct structures in fluids including modulated solitary-wave envelopes, spiral-wave structures and so on.

In our study the temperature along the horizontal substrate was assumed homogeneous. However, in some applications it can be useful to consider both mass and heat transfers along the substrate. To this last point, it is well known^{14,17,22,35} that the temperature variation along the substrate will induce thermocapillary convections in addition to capillary effects related to surface tensions. Despite numerical evidences^{14,17,22} that thermocapillary instabilities do not prevent the formation of fingering ripples and their buildups into rivulet structures, changes in characteristic parameters of solitary waves, as well as nonlinear periodic structures similar to those proposed in this study, are to be expected. This later problem, as well as the issue of thin-liquid film flow on a slightly inclined (i.e. the case with nonzero Reynolds number) and inhomogeneously heated substrate, are some interesting open problems deserving a theoretical attention.

To end, we would like to stress that our main objective in this study was to investigate analytical solutions to the Benney equation for the thin-liquid film flow problem on a uniformly heated horizontal surface. A large number of previous studies have considered this equation numerically, and several distinct shape profiles are proposed in the literature from numerical simulations assuming various flow configurations. The present work therefore aims at proposing analytical solutions to be compared with the numerous recent numerical results

on the Benney equation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of A. M. Dikandé is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt (AvH) foundation. The authors wish to thank T. C. Kofané for enriching exchanges. The Laboratory of Research on Advanced Materials and Nonlinear Sciences (LaRAMaNS), is partially funded by The World Academy of Sciences (TWAS), Trieste Italy.

REFERENCES

- ¹P. L. Kapitza, "Wave flow of thin layers of a viscous fluid", *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **18**, 3(1948).
- ²P. L. Kapitza and S. P. Kapitza, "Wave flow of thin layers of a viscous fluid: III. Experimental study of undulatory flow conditions", *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **19**, 105(1949).
- ³A. L. Frenkel, "On evolution equations for thin films flowing down solid surfaces", *Phys. Fluids A***5**, 2342(1993).
- ⁴H. C. Chang and E. A. Demekhin, "Complex wave dynamics on thin films" (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002).
- ⁵Y. Trifonov, "Nonlinear waves on a liquid film falling down an inclined corrugated surface", *Phys. Fluids* **29**, 054104(2017).
- ⁶R. O. Grigoriev, "Control of evaporatively driven instabilities of thin liquid films", *Phys. Fluids* **14**, 1895(2002).
- ⁷R. V. Craster and O. K. Matar, "Dynamics and stability of thin liquid films", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1131(2009).
- ⁸B. Scheid, C. Ruyer-Quil, U. Thiele, O. A. Kabov, J. C. Legros and P. Colinet, "Validity domain of the Benney equation including the Marangoni effect for closed and open flows", *J. Fluid Mech.* **527**, 303(2005).
- ⁹A. Pereira, P. M. J. Trevelyan, U. Thiele and S. Kalliadasis, "Dynamics of a horizontal thin liquid film in the presence of reactive surfactants", *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 112102(2007).
- ¹⁰J. Liu and J. P. Gollub, "Solitary wave dynamics of film flows", *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 1702(1994).

- ¹¹T. B. Benjamin, "Wave formation in laminar flow down an inclined plane", *J. Fluid Mech.* **2**, 554(1957).
- ¹²T. B. Benjamin, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **299**, 59(1967).
- ¹³D. J. Benney, "Long waves on liquid films", *J. Math. Phys. (Cambridge, Mass.)* **45**, 150(1966).
- ¹⁴A. Oron and P. Rosenau, "Formation of patterns induced by thermocapillarity and gravity", *J. Physique II (France)* **2**, 131 (1992).
- ¹⁵R. J. Deissler and A. Oron, "Stable localized patterns in thin liquid films", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 2948(1992).
- ¹⁶A. Oron, "Nonlinear dynamics of three-dimensional long-wave Marangoni instability in thin liquid films", *Phys. Fluids* **12**, 1633(2000).
- ¹⁷A. Oron and P. Rosenau, "On a nonlinear thermocapillary effect in thin liquid layers", *J. Fluid Mech.* **273**, 362(1994).
- ¹⁸K. Kanatani and A. Oron, "Nonlinear dynamics of confined thin liquid-vapor bilayer systems with phase change", *Phys. Fluids* **23**, 032102(2011).
- ¹⁹A. Oron, S. H. Davis and S. G. Bankoff, "Long-scale evolution of thin liquid films", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**, 931(1997).
- ²⁰B. Scheid, A. Oron, P. Colinet, U. Thiele and J. C. Legros, "Nonlinear evolution of nonuniformly heated falling liquid films", *Phys. Fluids* **12**, 4130(2002).
- ²¹A. Oron and O. Gottlieb, "Nonlinear dynamics of temporally excited falling liquid films", *Phys. Fluids* **14**, 2622 (2002).
- ²²U. Thiele and E. Knobloch, "Thin liquid films on a slightly inclined heated wall", *Physica D* **190**, 213 (2004).
- ²³H. E. Huppert, "The propagation of two-dimensional and axisymmetric viscous gravity currents over a rigid horizontal surface", *J. Fluid Mech.* **121**, 43 (1982).
- ²⁴H. E. Huppert and J. E. Simpson, "The slumping of gravity currents", *J. Fluid Mech.* **99**, 785(1980).
- ²⁵D. H. Peregrine, Long waves on a beach, *J. Fluid Mech.* **27**, 815 (1967).
- ²⁶V. A. Galaktionov, "The formation of shocks and fundamental solution of a fourth-order quasilinear Boussinesq-type equation", *Nonlinearity* **22**, 239(2008).
- ²⁷T. Kawahara, "The derivative expansion method and nonlinear dispersive waves", *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **35**, 1537(1973).

- ²⁸R. A. Cowley, "Structural phase transitions I. Landau theory", *Advance Phys.* **29**, 1(1980).
- ²⁹P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, "Handbook of elliptic integrals for Engineers and Physicists" (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1954).
- ³⁰A. M. Dikandé, "Fundamental modes of a trapped probe photon in optical fibers conveying periodic pulse trains", *Phys. Rev.* **A81**, 013821 (2010).
- ³¹D. Jr. F. Jubgang, A. M. Dikandé and A. Sunda-Meya, "Elliptic solitons in optical fiber media", *Phys. Rev.* **A92**, 053850(2015).
- ³²D. Jr. F. Jubgang and A. M. Dikandé, "Pulse train uniformity and nonlinear dynamics of soliton crystals in mode-locked fiber ring lasers", *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **B34**, 66 (2017).
- ³³O. A. Kabov, J. K. Legros, I. V. Marchuk, and B. Scheid, "Deformation of the free surface in a moving locally-heated thin liquid layer", *Fluid. Dyn.* **36**, 521(2001).
- ³⁴O. A. Kabova, B. Scheid, I. A. Sharina and J. C. Legros, "Heat transfer and rivulet structures formation in a falling thin liquid film locally heated", *Inter. J. Therm. Sc.* **41**, 664(2002).
- ³⁵D. Holland, B. R. Duffy and S. K. Wilson, "Thermocapillary effects on a thin rivulet draining down a heated or cooled substrate", In: A. C. King and Y. D. Shikhmurzaev (eds) *IUTAM Symposium on Free Surface Flows. Fluid Mechanics and Its Applications*, vol 62. Springer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- ³⁶N. Tiwari and J. M. Davis, "Stabilization of thin liquid films flowing over locally heated surfaces via substrate topography", *Phys. Fluids* **22**, 042106(2010).
- ³⁷V. I. Karpman and E. N. Krushkal, "Modulated waves in nonlinear dispersive media", *Soviet Phys. JETP* **28**, 277(1969).
- ³⁸F. D. Tappert and C.M. Varma, "Asymptotic theory of self-trapping of heat pulses in solids", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 1108(1970).
- ³⁹A. Jeffrey and T. Kakutani, "Weak nonlinear dispersive waves: a discussion centered around the Korteweg-De Vries Equation", *SIAM Rev.* **14**, 582(1972).
- ⁴⁰Y. C. Kim, L. Khadra and E. J. Powers, "Wave modulation in a nonlinear dispersive medium", *Phys. Fluids* **23**, 2250(1980).
- ⁴¹V. E. Zakharov and L. A. Ostrovsky, "Modulation instability: The beginning", *Physica* **D238**, 540(2009).
- ⁴²N. R. Pereira and L. Stenflo, "Nonlinear Schrödinger equation including growth and damping", *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 1733(1977).

- ⁴³Yu. S. Kivshar and B. A. Malomed, "Dynamics of solitons in nearly integrable systems", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61**, 763(1989).
- ⁴⁴"The Complex Ginzburg-Landau equation: theoretical analysis and experimental applications in the dynamics of extended systems", Workshop proceedings (Physica D174, p. 1-220, 2003).
- ⁴⁵Y. Kuramoto, "Chemical oscillations, waves and turbulence" (Springer Series in Synergetics, Berlin, 1984).
- ⁴⁶M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, "Pattern formation outside of equilibrium", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 851(1993).
- ⁴⁷L. M. Pismen, "Vortices in nonlinear fields" (Oxford Science Publications, 1999).
- ⁴⁸T. Bohr, M. H. Jensen, G. Paladin, and A. Vulpiani, "Dynamical systems approach to turbulence" (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- ⁴⁹I. S. Aranson and L. Kramer, "The world of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 99(2002).
- ⁵⁰H. Chaté, "Spatiotemporal intermittency regimes of the one-dimensional complex Ginzburg-Landau equation", *Nonlinearity* **7**, 185(1994).
- ⁵¹A. V. Porubov and M. G. Velarde, "Exact periodic solutions of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation", *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 884(1999).