Energy response of GECAM X and gamma-ray detector prototype

Da-Li Zhang (张大力)^{1;1)} Xin-Qiao Li (李新乔)¹ Shao-Lin Xiong (熊少林)¹ Fan-Zhang(张帆) Yanguo-Li(李延国)¹

Zheng-Hua An (安正华)¹ Yan-Bing Xu (徐岩冰)¹ Xi-Lei Sun(孙希磊)

¹ Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100049, China

Abstract: Gravitational wave burst high-energy Electromagnetic Counterpart All-sky Monitor (GECAM) is a new experiment to search for the gamma ray burst coincident with gravitational wave. GECAM detects 8 keV-2 MeV gamma rays using 25 X and gamma ray detectors (GRDs) and reconstructs the localization of the gravitational wave sources by the GRDs. Each GRD module is made of LaBr₃ scintillator, SiPM array and a preamplifier board. The large dynamic range of GRD is achieved by the high gain and low gain channels of the preamplifier. This article presents the results of the X and gamma ray detections of the GRD prototype, characterized using a set of radioactive sources over the range 5.9-1332.5 keV. The GRD module performance of linearity and energy resolution was investigated. The typical energy resolution of 5.3% @662 keV FWHM was measured and it is within the requirements for GECAM (8%@662 keV). The tested results of GECAM gamma ray detector prototype shows the feasibility for using such module in GECAM first development pahse. The results of this study will be used in support of GECAM and future developments are concluded.

Key words: Gravitational wave, GECAM, SiPM, LaBr3 scintillator , readout electronics

PACS: 07.87.+v, 29.40.Gx, 07.05.Hd

1 Introduction

On 2017 August 17, the Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo detectors detected the gravitational waves that originate from a binary neutron star coalescence candidate (GW170817) [1]. The Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) [2] detected a short gamma ray burst ~1.7s after the GW trigger. In response to the LIGO-Virgo and GBM detections, the extensive campaign was started to monitor the high-energy electromagnetic counterpart of gravitational waves as one of the future multi-messengers [3]. Many research teams have proposed developments of varies space gamma-ray detectors such as MERGR[4] and BurstCube[5]. Gravitational wave burst high-energy Electromagnetic Counterpart All-sky Monitor (GECAM) is a new experiment for the gamma ray burst coincident with gravitational waves and it was proposed in 2016. GECAM's primary science objective is the detection of X and gamma ray bursts ranging from 8 keV to 2 MeV and localization of high-energy electromagnetic counterpart of gravitational waves. The localization can be reconstructed by the trigger time, relative count rates and energy spectrum of the X and gamma ray detectors (GRDs)[6]. GECAM was plan to be launched in 2020 to meet the immediate urgency of the multi-messenger astrophysics.

The innovation of GRD design is the application of LaBr₃:Ce and SiPM array. The LaBr₃:Ce crystal is a major development in the field of scintillator detectors for it has higher energy resolution and can generate more light than conventional crystals such as NaI and CsI[12]. Another important merit of LaBr₃:Ce is the stability of light output[7] during the temperature fluctuation in space; There are few space payloads use LaBr₃:Ce crystal to detect GRB and CALET mission[8] provides useful reference for GECAM. SiPM arrays are semiconductor devices applied in various fields, especially in astroparticle cutting-edge experiments. It has the merits of low bias-voltage (several tens of volts), high detection efficiency, insensitivity to magnetic fields and compact size. SiPM was firstly used on the calibration system of HXMT[9] and it measures the counts of a calibration radioactive source rather than the energy spectrum. The payload (Fig. 1) of GECAM is consisted of 25 gamma-ray burst detectors (GRD), 10 charged particle detectors (CPD) and the EBOX. GECAM also have 5 CPD modules and they monitor the flux of charged particles onboard, identify gamma-ray burst from charged particle events. The EBOX is mainly used to readout and process the data of GRD and CPD and transmits the scientific data to satellite platform. The primary goals of the following radioactive tests are to investigate the energy response of GECAM LaBr3:Ce scintillation detector prototype, especially about the onboard calibration by using the LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity. The measurements of radioactive sources activation spectrums, linearity and energy resolution results of the GRD module were presented in this article.



Fig. 1 The structure of GACAM.

2. Experiment setup

To evaluate the performance of the GRD module, a set of radioactive sources (55 Fe, 241 Am, 137 Cs, 60 Co) were used. This test covers a wide range of GRD detective range and the test of 5.9 keV 55 Fe X ray confirms the GRD performance at the low energy range. The experimental setup was shown in Fig.2, GRD was placed in an aluminum box to shield light and electromagnetic noise. The tested scintillator crystals of the GRD module is LaBr₃:Ce which supplied by Saint-Gobain. The diameter of the cylindrically shaped crystal is 76.2 cm (3 inches) and the thickness is 15 mm. The LaBr₃:Ce crystal was packed in a light-tight aluminum housing to prevent the crystal become hygroscopic. The entrance window is a 0.22 mm thick Be sheet and the surface of the crystal are packed with ESR reflector to maximize the photon collection. The crystal is equipped with a quartz window [10] for the SiPM array attachment through optical silicone. The quartz window was coupled with an 8×8 SiPM array witch is provided by SensL (ARRAYC-60035-64P-PCB). The total size of the SiPM array is 50.44 ×50.44 mm² and it operates at a bias voltage of 28 V provided by Keithley 6487 Picoammeter/Voltage source. To record the pulse height spectrum of GRD, a SiPM array connected to a homemade preamplifier, a Ortec 671 spectroscopic amplifier and an Ortec MEASY_MCA_8k_CH multichannel analyzer(MCA) were used. The gain of Ortec 671 was set to be 10 and the shaping time is 0.5 µs, selecting the Guassian shaping mode.



Fig.2 Left: Experimental setup; Right: The structure of GRD module.

The large dynamic range of GRD is a challenge for the preamplifier design and the preamplifier has two channels of high gain and low gain. The quench resistor of SiPM array is 50 Ω and preamplifier is capacitively coupled to the SiPM standard output anode and coupling capacitance is 0.1 μ F [11]. The SiPM array signal was amplified at the first stage and then was fan out to the high gain stage and low gain stage (Fig.3 left). The detective range of high gain and low gain channel are 5~500 keV and 30 keV~3 MeV respectively. The GRD preamplifier will operate in outer space and space-qualified devices were chosen to ensure a stable function onboard. The preamplifier was developed based on the widely used amplifier LM6172QML for it is characterized by a high slew rate (3000V/ μ S) and relatively wide unity-gain bandwidth (100 MHz). In Fig.3 right, each amplifier stage is implemented as a standard voltage feedback topology and the feedback capacitor (C3) is applied to avoid peaking or oscillation. The gain of each stage can be adjusted by changing the value of R3. The output of the preamplifier is a positive going pulse with

rise time around 400 ns and a fall time around 2 μ s. The power of the preamplifier is $\pm 5V$ and power dissipation of each GRD preamplifier is 100 mW.



Fig.3 Left: Block scheme of the SiPM array and preamplifier; Right: scheme of one stage preamplifier

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Measurement of the GRD LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity

The intrinsic activity of LaBr₃:Ce has two origins: the contamination due to the radioactive isotope ²²⁷Ac and its daughters and the presence of radioactive isotope ¹³⁸La[10]. This may be the question in low count rate experiments, but the counts of the LaBr₃:Ce will not affect the GECAM measurement. On the other hand, the measured intrinsic activity can be used for the GRD onboard calibration. In Fig.4, the intrinsic activity acquisition lasted 13.4 h and the total counts is 9.89e6. The total intrinsic activity counts LaBr₃:Ce is 205 counts/s, corresponding to 0.3 cts/s/cm³. Because of scintillation non-proportional response, the measured two main peaks of 37.4 keV and 1472 keV from ¹³⁸La radioactive decay were calibrated as 35.5 keV and 1470 keV respectively [10]. The three peaks around 1.7~2.8 MeV is originated from the alpha decay of ²²⁷Ac and its daughters. The spectrum in Fig.4 is calibrated by 35.5 keV and 1470 keV peaks and the tested results covers the energy range from 10 keV to 3 MeV, assuming a proportional response of the acquisition system. The measured background of LaBr₃ was used to calibrate the measured pulse height histogram in Section3.2.



Fig.4 Intrinsic activity spectrum collected by the GRD module. The energy range from 10 keV to 3 MeV is shown. Activities are given in counts s^{-1} cm⁻³, equivalent to Bq cm⁻³.

3.2 Measurements of the GRD activation spectrum

The large dynamic range of GRD with its customized preamplifier which have high and low gain channel allows the testing system to identify lines of interest in the GECAM detective energy range. A set of radioactive sources(Table 1 was used to characterize the response of the GRD at various X and gamma ray energies in the 5.9-1173.2 keV range and they cover a wide energy detective range of GRD. The tested pulse height histograms of the high gain and low gain channels

have been calibrated to energy spectrum using the LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity discussed in section 3.1. The temperature was monitored and kept at 20 $^{\circ}$ C in an environmental chamber produce by China-Scicooling (CTE-SE7512-05F). Table 1

Studied X-ray and gamma-ray lines with peak centriod and FWHM for the radioactive test. All were acquired with a power supply on the SiPM array of $28 V_{\circ}$

Line Origin	Line energy	Peak Cendroid	FWHM
	(keV)	(keV)	(keV)
⁵⁵ Fe Kα X ray	5.9	5.32	3.438
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	30.85	28.53	6.815
$\gamma^{241}Am$	59.5	58.18	7.675
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	80.9	79.18	10.01
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	276.4	279	18.56
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	302.9	305.6	17.95
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	356	359.4	17.93
$\gamma^{133}Ba$	383.8	386.6	20.91
$\gamma^{137}Cs$	662	672	35.62
γ ⁶⁰ Co	1173.2	1197	54.17
γ^{60} Co	1332.5	1356	50.99

In the tests of low gain channel(5~500 keV), the ⁵⁵Fe and ²⁴¹Am sources are placed directly on the Be window of GRD and the spectrum of the response of detector response was recorded [12]. The measured spectrums were calibrated by the measured 35.5 keV peak of the LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity. In the spectrum of ⁵⁵Fe (Fig. 5), the GRD energy resolution is 66% @5.9 keV and the peak is located in 5.34 keV. In the energy response of ²⁴¹Am (Fig. 5), the energy resolution is 13.2% @59.5 keV and the measure energy is 58.2 keV. Because of the low energy resolution of the GRD in the 10-28 keV energy range, the low energy L X X-rays and the 26.3 keV gamma ray can't be well resolved.





In the tests of high gain channel(30 keV~3 MeV), all the measured pulse height histograms are calibrated by the two peaks (35.5 keV and 1470 keV) of LaBr₃:Ce intrinsic activity. In Fig.7, the full energy peaks of the ¹³⁷Cs and ⁶⁰Co principal lines (32.06 keV, 662 keV and 1173.2 keV) are resolved. The ¹³⁷Cs source was positioned 5 cm coaxially above the GRD module axis and was collimate by lead bricks. The FWHM energy resolution are 27.3% @32.06 keV and 5.3%@662 KeV at the nominal energies of the ¹³⁷Cs X- and gamma-ray full energy peaks while their detection occurs at 32.9 keV and 672 keV respectively in the calibrated energy spectrum. Because of the low activity of the tested ⁶⁰Co radioactive source, it was placed directly on the Be window of GRD module. The LaBr₃ intrinsic activity is added on the measured energy spectrum and the peak of 1470 keV can be observed in Fig.7. In the response of the detector to ⁶⁰Co, the energy resolution are 4.2% @1173.2 keV and 3.41% @1332.5 keV while the measured peaks are 1197.8 keV and 1357 keV respectively.



Fig.7 The measured response of the detector. Left: The calibrated spectrum of 137 Cs. All the spectrums of the high energy range are calibrated by the LaBr₃ Intrinsic activity spectrum.

3.3 Linearity and resolution

The linearity curve is in in Fig.8 (left) which shows the line energy of the full energy peaks and the nominal energies that obtain from the calibrated energy spectrum. The full energy peaks of the measured radioactive principle lines were fitted with Gaussian functions and the peak centroids and FWHM energy resolutions were extracted.Fig.8 (right panel) shows the FWHM energy resolution vs the energy peaks of the radioactive sources. The measured data of Section 3.1 were used in Fig.8. The data are fitted by the curve [13] that determines the FWHM energy resolution of the detector:

$FWHM(\%) = a / \sqrt{E} \oplus b$

The fitting results show the energy resolution is dominated by statistical uncertainty in the low energy range. The energy resolution at low energy can be improved by working in low temperature to decrease SiPM dark noise. For higher energy measurement, the energy resolution obtained with the GRD module are not as good as those obtained with the PMT [14] and it can be explained by the dead space between the SiPM pixels and the uncovered space of the LaBr₃ crystal. The uncoupled space is covered with Tyvek to improve the light yield, but the detector non-uniformity results in a worse energy resolution. Nevertheless, the energy resolution at 662 keV of the test currently reach with the GRD module is well within the requirements for GECAM (8% @662 KeV).



Fig.8 Test results obtained with the radioactive source tests. Left top: Experimental data are plotted with linear fits. Left bottom: residuals for linear fits. Right: the measured FWHM energy resolution vs energy.

5 Conclusions and future work

A set of radioactive sources tests have been performed on the GECAM GRD module prototype. This GRD module will be used in the acceptance of the first GECAM development phase and further developments will base on this work. The radioactive sources tests cover the energy range of 5.9 keV to 1.47 MeV and the tested energy resolution of 5.3% @662 keV FWHM meets the requirement of GECAM (8%). The measured pulse height histograms were calibrated by the LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity. The tested results show the feasibility of using the LaBr3:Ce intrinsic activity as a method of onboard calibration and the linearity and resolution were reported.

The GRD performance will be further optimized in the following GECAM development phase. An important work of further developments is to design a customized circle SiPM array rather than the currently used square SiPM array. It will have a better uniformity and energy resolution in the detection of high energy gamma rays. The GRD non-linearity in the low energy range also needs to be further investigated and experiments were plan to carry out at the X-ray calibration facilities built by HXMT of IHEP or National Institute of Metrology in China.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to express our appreciation to the staff of the Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics who offer great help in the phase of development. This research was Supported by Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant NO. QYZDB-SSW-SLH012.

References

- 1 LIGO/Virgo collaboration, GRB Coordinates network 21509(2017).
- 2 Charles Meegan et al, The Fermi Gama-ray Burst Monitor, The Astrophysical Journal, 702:791–804, 2009 September 1.

doi:10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/791

3 LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration et al. Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger. The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 848:L12 (59pp), 2017 October 20

- 4 L. J. Mitchell et al, The MERger-event Gamma-Ray (MERGR) Telescope.arXiv:1711.03631v1 [astro-ph.IM], 2017 Nov 9.
- 5 Judith Racusin, BurstCube: A CubeSat for Gravitational Wave Counterparts. arXiv:1708.09292v1 [astro-ph.IM],2017 Aug 28.
- 6 V. Connaughton. Localization of Gamma-Ray Bursts Using the Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor. The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 216:32 (27pp), 2015 February.
- 7 M. Moszyn'ski. Temperature dependences of LaBr3(Ce), LaCl3(Ce) and NaI(TI) scintillators . Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics

*Supported by Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant NO. QYZDB-SSW-SLH012. 1) E-mail: zhangdl@ihep.ac.cn Research A 568 (2006) 739-751

8 Kazutaka Yamaoka et al, AIP Conference Proceedings 1133, 88 (2009).

9 Zhengwei Li, A novel analog power supply for gain control of the Multi-Pixel Photon Counter (MPPC), Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 850 (2017) 35–41

10 F.G.A.Quaratietal et al, Study of 138La radioactive decays using LaBr₃ scintillators.. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A. 683 (2012) (46–52).

11 Tobias P. Reinhardt, Silicon photomultiplier readout of a monolithic 270×5×5 cm3 plastic scintillator bar for time of flight applications. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 816 (2016) 16–24.

12 Alexei Ulyanov et al, Localisation of gamma-ray interaction points in thick monolithic CeBr₃ and LaBr₃:Ce scintillators. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 844 (2017) 81–89

13 F.G.A. Quarati et al, High energy gamma-ray spectroscopy with LaBr₃ scintillation detectors. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 629 (2011) 157–169.

14 F. Quarati, et al, X-ray and gamma-ray response of a 2"×2" LaBr3:Ce scintillation detector, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 574 (2007) 115.