Further results on Andrews–Yee's two identities for mock theta functions $\omega(z; q)$ and $\nu(z; q)$

Jin Wang^{a,1}, Xinrong Ma^{b,2,3}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Soochow University, SuZhou 215006, P.R.China ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Soochow University, SuZhou 215006, P.R.China

Abstract

In this paper, by the method of comparing coefficients and the inverse technique, we establish the corresponding variate forms of two identities of Andrews and Yee for mock theta functions, as well as a few allied but unusual *q*-series identities. Among includes a new Bailey pair from which a product formula of two ²φ¹ series is derived. Further, we focus on two finite *q*-series summations arising from Andrews and Yee's mock theta function identities and expound some recurrence relations and transformation formulas behind them.

Keywords: mock theta function; Ramanujan; *q*-series; Bailey pair; recurrence relation; Lagrange inversion formula; WZ method; comparing coefficients; identities; transformation.

AMS subject classification (2010): Primary 05A30; Secondary 33D15, 11P81.

1. Introduction

In the very recent paper [\[10](#page-17-0)], G.E. Andrews and A.J. Yee showed the following *q*-series identities.

Lemma 1.1 ([\[10,](#page-17-0) Theorem 1, Eq.(6)/Eq.(7); Eq.(8)]). *For any complex numbers q* : |*q*| < 1 *and variable z, the following identities are valid.*

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{2n^2+2n+1}}{(q, zq; q^2)_{n+1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1} q^n}{(q; q^2)_n},
$$
\n(1.1)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (-zq^{n+1}; q)_n (zq^{2n+2}; q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}},
$$
\n(1.2)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(zq^n; q)_{n+1}(zq^{2n+2}; q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}q^n}{(q; q^2)_n}.
$$
\n(1.3)

According to G.E. Andrews and A.J. Yee, these *q*-series identities are closely related with two mock

¹E-mail address: jinwang2016@yahoo.com

²This work was supported by NSFC grant No. 11471237

³Corresponding author. E-mail address: xrma@suda.edu.cn.

theta functions $\omega(z; q)$ and $v(z; q)$ which were first introduced by G.E. Andrews [\[1\]](#page-16-0) as follows:

$$
\omega(z;q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{2n^2+2n}}{(q;q^2)_{n+1}(zq;q^2)_{n+1}} \text{ and } \nu(z;q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(-zq;q^2)_{n+1}}.
$$

As is well known to us, $\omega(z; q)$ and $\nu(z; q)$ go back to the third order mock theta functions $\omega(q) = \omega(1; q)$ and $v(q) = \omega(1; q)$ due to G.N. Watson [\[20](#page-17-1)] and afterward rediscovered in Ramanujan's lost notebook [\[17](#page-17-2)]. Perhaps the most important thing is that in their paper [\[7\]](#page-17-3), G.E. Andrews, A. Dixit and A.J. Yee discovered that $\omega(q)$ and $v(q)$ serve as enumerative functions for special integer partitions. Regarding this together with more recent progress, we refer the reader to [\[7\]](#page-17-3) and [\[12\]](#page-17-4) of Y.S. Choi.

In the present paper, we shall generalize the above Andrews–Yee identities [\(1.2\)](#page-0-0) and [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) to the following variate (i.e. *y*) forms and then prove [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) in a different way.

Theorem 1.2. *For arbitrary complex numbers q, y such that* $|q|, |y| < 1$ *, the following identities are valid.*

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n (-zq^{n+1}; q)_n (zq^{2n+2}; q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n^2+n} \frac{(-y; q)_n}{(yq^n; q)_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{2k} \frac{(y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k},
$$
(1.4)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n-1}}{(zq^n;q)_{n+1}(zq^{2n+2};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (qz)^n \frac{(-y;q)_n}{(yq^n;q)_{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n q^k \frac{(y/q;q)_{2k}}{(q^2,y^2;q^2)_k}.
$$
(1.5)

In the process of proving Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) we obtain some special *q*-series identities. To our best knowledge, they are new and of interest deserving separate theorems below.

Theorem 1.3. *For integer* $n \ge 0$ *and complex numbers y being not of the form* $-q^{-n}$ *, the following identities are valid.*

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} q^k \frac{(yq^n; q)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k} = (-y; q)_n \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^k \frac{(y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k},
$$
\n(1.6)

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} y^{k} q^{k^{2}/2 - k/2} \frac{(q;q)_{k}}{(yq^{n};q)_{k+1}} = \frac{(q^{2}, y^{2}; q^{2})_{n}}{(y;q)_{2n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{2k} \frac{(y/q;q)_{2k}}{(q^{2}, y^{2}; q^{2})_{k}}.
$$
\n(1.7)

In particular, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y^k q^{k^2/2 - k/2} = (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}(-y; q)_{\infty} 2\phi_1 \begin{bmatrix} y/q, y \\ y^2 \end{bmatrix}; q^2, q^2 \tag{1.8}
$$

while, for any integer $r \geq 0$ *,*

$$
(-q;q)_{2r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k^2/2+k/2+2rk} = \frac{(q^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(q;q^2)_{\infty}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{2k} \frac{(q^{2r};q)_{2k}}{(q^2,q^{4r+2};q^2)_k}.
$$
 (1.9)

Especially noteworthy is that [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) offers a new Bailey pair and [\(1.9\)](#page-1-2) is also different from one given by G.E. Andrews and S.O. Warnaar in [\[9](#page-17-5)] via the Bailey transformation. Actually, as a combination of [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) and the classical Bailey lemma, we can set up

Theorem 1.4. With the same conditions as Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-3) Then for any $|yq|ab| < 1$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_n}{(yq/a, yq/b; q)_n} \left(\frac{y^2}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2 + n/2}
$$
(1.10)
= $\frac{(yq, yq/ab; q)_{\infty}}{(yq/a, yq/b; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q, -y, a, b; q)_n}{(yq; q)_{2n}} \left(\frac{yq}{ab}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{q^{2k}(y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k}.$

It comes as a surprise that a product formula of two $_2\phi_1$ series emerges from [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1). It is similar to but different from the existing results such as various *q*-analogues of the Clausen formula [\[13,](#page-17-6) Section 8.8].

Theorem 1.5. *For any complex numbers a and b being not of the form* $-q^{-m}$ *, integer m* ≥ 0*, it holds*

$$
{}_{2}\phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c} a,a/q \\ a^{2} \end{array};q^{2},q^{2}\right]_{2}\phi_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c} b,b/q \\ b^{2} \end{array};q^{2},q^{2}\right] = \frac{(q;q^{2})_{\infty}}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{\infty}}{}_{4}\phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c} (ab)^{1/2},-(ab)^{1/2},(ab/q)^{1/2},-(ab/q)^{1/2} \\ -a,-b,ab/q \end{array};q,q\right].
$$
\n(1.11)

Before proceeding, let us give several remarks on notation. Throughout this paper, we adopt the standard notation and terminology for *q*-series from the book [\[13\]](#page-17-6). As customary, the *q*-shifted factorials of complex variable *x* with the base *q* are given by

$$
(x;q)_{\infty} := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - xq^n) \qquad \text{and} \quad (x;q)_n := \frac{(x;q)_{\infty}}{(xq^n;q)_{\infty}}
$$

for all integers *n*. For integers $m \geq 1$, we employ the multi-parameter compact notation

$$
(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m; q)_n := (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_n \ldots (a_m; q)_n.
$$

Also, the *q*-binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and the $r+1\phi_r$ series with the base *q* and the argument *x* are respectively defined

$$
\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q;q)_n}{(q;q)_{n-k}(q;q)_k},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} r+1 \phi_r \begin{bmatrix} a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{r+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_r \end{bmatrix} ; q, x \end{aligned} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{r+1}; q)_n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r; q)_n} x^n.
$$

To easy notation, we write $\tau(n)$ for $(-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}$. In addition, given any power series $f(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n x^n$ in variable *x*, we define the coefficient functional

$$
[x^n]f(x) := a_n
$$
 and $a_0 = f(0)$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Theorems [1.2–](#page-1-0)[1.5,](#page-2-0) as well as Identity [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) will be proved. In Section 3, we shall study two finite *q*-series sums related to these mock theta function identities. As applications, some interesting *q*-series identities will be presented in these two sections. Among includes a new Bailey pair from which a product formula of two $_2\phi_1$ series is derived. In Section 4, we conclude our paper with some remarks on $\omega(z; q)$ and $\nu(z; q)$.

2. Proofs of main theorems

Our argument rests on the following transformations of terminating $3\phi_2$ series.

Lemma 2.1 ([\[13,](#page-17-6) (III.11)/(III.13)]).

$$
{}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-n},b,c}{d,e};q,q\right] = \frac{(de/bc;q)_{n}}{(e;q)_{n}}\left(\frac{bc}{d}\right)^{n} {}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-n},d/b,d/c}{d,de/bc};q,q\right],
$$
\n(2.1)

$$
{}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-n},b,c}{d,e};q,\frac{deq^{n}}{bc}\right] = \frac{(e/c;q)_{n}}{(e;q)_{n}} {}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-n},c,d/b}{d,cq^{1-n}/e};q,q\right].
$$
 (2.2)

2.1. Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)

Next is the complete proof of Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) which is composed of the proofs of (1.4) and (1.5) .

PROOF OF IDENTITY (1.4) . To establish (1.4) , we temporarily assume that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n (-zq^{n+1}; q)_n (zq^{2n+2}; q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(y) z^n.
$$
 (2.3)

.

It is easy to see that

$$
(-zq^{n+1};q)_n(-zq^{2n+2};q^2)_{\infty} = \frac{(-zq^{n+1};q)_{\infty}}{(-zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}}
$$

By the *q*-binomial theorem [\[13](#page-17-6), (II.3)], we have

$$
\frac{(-zq^{n+1};q)_{\infty}}{(-zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(i)}{(q;q)_i} (-zq^{n+1})^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-zq^{2n+1})^j}{(q^2;q^2)_j}.
$$

Therefore, by equating the coefficients of z^m on both sides, to show [\(1.4\)](#page-1-4) is to find

$$
f_m(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n \sum_{i+j=m} (-1)^i \frac{\tau(i)q^{ni+i}}{(q;q)_i} \frac{(-1)^i (q^{2n+1})^j}{(q^2;q^2)_j}
$$

= $(-1)^m q^m \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{\tau(i)}{(q;q)_i (q^2;q^2)_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n(i+2j)} y^n$.
= $(-1)^m q^m \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{\tau(i)}{(q;q)_i (q^2;q^2)_j} \frac{1}{1-yq^{m+j}}$.

Next, we multiply both sides by $(-1)^mq^{-m}(1 - yq^m)(q; q)_m$ and reformulate it as

$$
(-1)^{m}q^{-m}(1-yq^{m})(q;q)_{m}f_{m}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}_{q} \tau(m-j) \frac{(yq^{m};q)_{j}}{(-q,yq^{1+m};q)_{j}}.
$$
 (2.4)

In this form, we need to examine the term

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\binom{m}{m-j}_q \tau(m-j) &= \frac{(q^{-m};q)_{m-j}}{(q;q)_{m-j}} q^{m(m-j)} = \frac{(q^{-m};q)_m}{(q;q)_m} \frac{(q^{-m};q)_j}{(q;q)_j} q^{m(m-j)+(m+1)j} \\
&= \tau(m) q^j \frac{(q^{-m};q)_j}{(q;q)_j},\n\end{aligned}
$$

wherein we have used the basic relations (cf.[\[13,](#page-17-6) (I.42)])

$$
\binom{n}{k}_q \tau(k) = \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^{nk} \tag{2.5}
$$

and (cf.[\[13,](#page-17-6) (I.11)])

$$
\frac{(a;q)_{n-k}}{(b;q)_{n-k}} = \frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} \frac{(q^{1-n}/b;q)_k}{(q^{1-n}/a;q)_k} (b/a)^k.
$$
\n(2.6)

Accordingly, [\(2.4\)](#page-3-0) reduces to

$$
(1 - yqm)(-1)mq-m(q; q)mfm(y) = \tau(m)3\phi2 \Big[\frac{q-m, yqm, 0}{-q, yq1+m}; q, q \Big].
$$
 (2.7)

It is now clear that we can employ the transformation [\(2.1\)](#page-10-0) under the simultaneous parametric specializations

$$
(n, b, c, d, e) = (m, yqm, 0, yq1+m, -q)
$$

to the $3\phi_2$ series on the right-hand of [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1), arriving at

$$
{}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-m},\mathsf{y}q^{m},0}{-q,\mathsf{y}q^{1+m}};q,q\right]=\frac{q^{m^{2}/2+m/2}}{(-q;q)_{m}}{}_{2}\phi_{1}\left[\frac{q^{-m},q}{\mathsf{y}q^{m+1}};q,-\mathsf{y}q^{m}\right].
$$

Making use of this fact, we see that [\(2.7\)](#page-3-1) is equivalent to

$$
(-1)^{m}q^{-m}(1-yq^{m})(q;q)_{m}f_{m}(y)=\frac{(-1)^{m}q^{m^{2}}}{(-q;q)_{m}}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\begin{bmatrix}m\\k\end{bmatrix}_{q}y^{k}q^{k^{2}/2-k/2}\frac{(q;q)_{k}}{(yq^{m+1};q)_{k}},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
q^{-m^2-m}(q^2;q^2)_{m}f_m(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} y^k q^{k^2/2-k/2} \frac{(q;q)_k}{(yq^m;q)_{k+1}}.
$$
 (2.8)

Now we can solve [\(2.8\)](#page-4-0) for *fm*(*y*). To that end, we define

$$
S_m(k) := \left[\begin{array}{c}m\\k\end{array}\right]_{q} y^k q^{k^2/2 - k/2} \frac{(q;q)_k}{(yq^m;q)_{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_m := \sum_{k=0}^m S_m(k).
$$

Next, by the WZ method (see [\[16\]](#page-17-7) for further information), it holds

$$
S_{m+1}(k) - \frac{(1-q^{2m+2})(1-y^2q^{2m})}{(1-yq^{2m+1})(1-yq^{2m+2})}S_m(k) = G(m,k) - G(m,k-1),
$$

where

$$
G(m,k):=\frac{yq^{k+m+1}+q^{k+1}-q^{m+1}-1}{(1-yq^{2m+1})(1-yq^{2m+2})}\times\frac{(q;q)_{k+1}}{(yq^{m+1};q)_{k+1}}{m+1\brack k+1}_qy^{k+1}q^{\frac{k^2}{2}-\frac{k}{2}+m}.
$$

By telescopying, we find that $T_0 = 1/(1 - y)$ and

$$
T_m - \frac{(1 - q^{2m})(1 - y^2 q^{2m - 2})}{(1 - yq^{2m - 1})(1 - yq^{2m})} T_{m-1} = \frac{q^{2m - 1}(q - y)}{(1 - yq^{2m - 1})(1 - yq^{2m})}.
$$
(2.9)

Solving [\(2.9\)](#page-4-1), we obtain

$$
T_m = \frac{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_m}{(y; q)_{2m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{q^{2k}(y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k},
$$

which in turn yields

$$
f_m(y) = q^{m^2 + m} \frac{(y^2; q^2)_m}{(y; q)_{2m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{q^{2k} (y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k}.
$$
 (2.10)

 \blacksquare

A direct substitution of [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2) back to [\(2.3\)](#page-3-2) completes the proof of [\(1.4\)](#page-1-4).

Now we proceed to the proof of Identity [\(1.5\)](#page-1-5).

PROOF OF IDENTITY (1.5) . As previously, in order to show (1.5) , we assume for the moment that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{(zq^n; q)_{n+1}(zq^{2n+2}; q^2)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(y) z^n.
$$
 (2.11)

Observe that

$$
\frac{1}{(zq^n;q)_{n+1}(zq^{2n+2};q^2)_{\infty}}=\frac{(zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq^n;q)_{\infty}}.
$$

So, by comparing coefficients, we see that for any integer $m \geq 0$,

$$
g_m(y)=\sum_{n=1}^\infty y^n[z^m]\frac{(zq^{2n+1};q^2)_\infty}{(zq^n;q)_\infty}.
$$

It remains to find $g_m(y)$. For this, we invoke the *q*-binomial theorem [\[13,](#page-17-6) (II.3)] to expand

$$
\frac{(zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq^n;q)_{\infty}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(zq^n)^i}{(q;q)_i}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^jq^{j^2-j}(zq^{2n+1})^j}{(q^2;q^2)_j}.
$$

With this given, we need to compute

$$
g_m(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y^n \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{q^{ni}}{(q;q)_i} \frac{(-1)^j q^{j^2-j} (q^{2n+1})^j}{(q^2;q^2)_j}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i+j=m} \frac{(-1)^j q^{j^2}}{(q;q)_i (q^2;q^2)_j} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (yq^{i+2j})^n
$$

=
$$
\frac{yq^m}{1-yq^m} \sum_{i+j=m} \frac{(-1)^j q^{j^2+j}}{(q;q)_i (q;q)_j (-q;q)_j} \frac{(yq^m;q)_j}{(yq^{m+1};q)_j}.
$$
 (2.12)

Recasting the rightmost sum in [\(2.12\)](#page-5-0) in terms of the $3\phi_2$ series, we see that

$$
(1 - yqm)(q;q)_{m}g_{m}(y) = yqm \lim_{b \to \infty} {}_{3}\phi_{2} \left[\frac{q^{-m}, yq^{m}, b}{-q, yq^{m+1}}; q, -\frac{q^{2+m}}{b} \right].
$$
 (2.13)

At this stage, we apply the transformation [\(2.2\)](#page-10-1) under the simultaneous parametric specialization

$$
(n, b, c, d, e) = (m, b, yq^m, -q, yq^{m+1})
$$

to the $3\phi_2$ series on the right-hand side of [\(2.13\)](#page-5-1), thereby obtaining

$$
{}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-m}, yq^{m}, b}{-q, yq^{1+m}}; q, -\frac{q^{2+m}}{b}\right] = \frac{(q; q)_{m}}{(yq^{1+m}; q)_{m}} {}_{3}\phi_{2}\left[\frac{q^{-m}, yq^{m}, -q/b}{-q, q^{-m}}; q, q\right].
$$

With the aid of this relation, it is easy to see that (2.13) is equivalent to

$$
(1 - yq^{m})(q;q)_{m}g_{m}(y) = yq^{m} \frac{(q;q)_{m}}{(yq^{1+m};q)_{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(yq^{m};q)_{k}}{(q^{2};q^{2})_{k}} q^{k}.
$$

After simplification, it becomes

$$
\frac{(yq^m;q)_{m+1}}{yq^m}g_m(y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(yq^m;q)_k}{(q^2;q^2)_k}q^k.
$$
 (2.14)

In order to find $g_m(y)$, we invoke the WZ method and define

$$
S_m(k) := \frac{(yq^m; q)_k}{(q^2; q^2)_k} q^k \quad \text{and} \quad T_m := \sum_{k=0}^m S_m(k).
$$

In both notation, we suppress the dependence on *y*. Next, by the WZ method, we find

$$
S_{m+1}(k) - (1 + yq^{m})S_{m}(k) = G(m, k) - G(m, k - 1),
$$

where

$$
G(m,k):=-yq^m\frac{(yq^{m+1};q)_k}{(q^2;q^2)_k}.
$$

By telescopying, it is without difficult to check that T_m satisfies

$$
T_{m+1} - (1 + yq^{m})T_{m} = \frac{q^{m}(q - y)(yq^{m+1}; q)_{m}}{(q^{2}; q^{2})_{m+1}}.
$$

Solving this recurrence relation, we get

$$
T_m = (-y; q)_m \sum_{k=0}^m q^k \frac{(y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k}.
$$

And then substituting this for T_m of [\(2.14\)](#page-5-2) and solving the resulted for $g_m(y)$, we immediately obtain

$$
g_m(y) = yq^m \frac{(-y;q)_m}{(yq^m;q)_{m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^m q^k \frac{(y/q;q)_{2k}}{(q^2,y^2;q^2)_k}.
$$
 (2.15)

 \blacksquare

A direct substitution of [\(2.15\)](#page-6-0) back to [\(2.11\)](#page-4-3) gives the complete proof of the identity.

2.2. Proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-1-3)

Now we are ready to present a short proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-1-3)

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.3.](#page-1-3) It is clear from the above argument that (1.6) is obtained by combining (2.14) with [\(2.15\)](#page-6-0) while [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) is the consequence of substituting [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2) into [\(2.8\)](#page-4-0). On taking the limitation of [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) as *n* tends to infinite, we arrive at [\(1.8\)](#page-1-7). It in turn yields [\(1.9\)](#page-1-2) under $y = q^{2r+1}$.

2.3. Proofs of Theorems [1.4](#page-1-8) and [1.5](#page-2-0)

Now we proceed to show Theorem [1.4](#page-1-8) via the use of (1.7) . To this purpose, we need to recall the concept of Bailey pair relative to *t* which can be found in the book [\[2\]](#page-16-1) by G.E. Andrews.

Definition 2.2. *A Bailey pair relative to t is conveniently defined to be a pair of sequences* $\{\alpha_n(t)\}_{n>0}$ *and* $\{\beta_n(t)\}_{n\geq 0}$ *, denoted by* $(\alpha_n(t), \beta_n(t))$ *, satisfying*

$$
\beta_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k(t)}{(q;q)_{n-k}(tq;q)_{n+k}}.
$$
\n(2.16)

Closely related to Bailey pairs is the well-known Bailey lemma, which first appeared in [\[11,](#page-17-8) Eq.(3.1)] of W.N. Bailey. See also [\[18,](#page-17-9) Eq.(3.4.9)] or [\[2](#page-16-1), Eq.(3.33)] for reference.

Lemma 2.3 (Bailey lemma).

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a,b;q)_n}{(tq/a,tq/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{tq}{ab}\right)^n \alpha_n(t) = \frac{(tq,tq/ab;q)_{\infty}}{(tq/a,tq/b;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a,b;q)_n \left(\frac{tq}{ab}\right)^n \beta_n(t) \tag{2.17}
$$

provided that all the relevant infinite series absolutely convergent.

For a good survey on Bailey pairs and various Bailey lemmas, the reader is referred to [\[19\]](#page-17-10) due to S.O. Warnaar. Especially noteworthy here is that, as demonstrated by G.E. Andrews and B.C. Berndt in [\[5](#page-17-11), §11.5] and [\[6](#page-17-12), Chap. 5], the Bailey lemma is a basic tool to the study of Ramanujan's mock theta function identities.

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.4.](#page-1-8) In view of Definition [2.2,](#page-6-1) we now reformulate [\(1.7\)](#page-1-1) in the form

$$
\beta_n(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_k(y)}{(q;q)_{n-k}(yq;q)_{n+k}}
$$

where $\alpha_n(y)$ and $\beta_n(y)$ are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{cases}\n\alpha_n(y) = y^n q^{n^2/2 - n/2} \\
\beta_n(y) = \frac{(-q, -y; q)_n}{(yq; q)_{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{q^{2k} (y/q; q)_{2k}}{(q^2, y^2; q^2)_k}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.18)

 \blacksquare

,

Evidently, $(\alpha_n(y), \beta_n(y))$ is such a Bailey pair that reduces [\(2.17\)](#page-6-2) to

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a,b;q)_n}{(yq/a,yq/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{y^2q}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2-n/2}
$$

=
$$
\frac{(yq,yq/ab;q)_{\infty}}{(yq/a,yq/b;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a,b;q)_n \left(\frac{yq}{ab}\right)^n \frac{(-q,-y;q)_n}{(yq;q)_{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{q^{2k}(y/q;q)_{2k}}{(q^2,y^2;q^2)_k}.
$$

Thus the theorem is confirmed.

As mentioned earlier, the Bailey pair $(\alpha_n(y), \beta_n(y))$ given by [\(2.18\)](#page-7-0) seems to have not appeared in the present literature. Based on Theorem [1.4,](#page-1-8) it is easily found that

Corollary 2.4. *For* $|q^2/ab| < 1$ *, it holds*

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a,b;q)_n}{(q^2/a,q^2/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+5n/2} = \frac{(q^2,q^2/ab;q)_{\infty}}{(q^2/a,q^2/b;q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} a,b,-q\\q^{3/2},-q^{3/2} \end{array};q,\frac{q^2}{ab}\right].\tag{2.19}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{3n^2/2+3n/2} = (q;q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-q;q)_n}{(q;q)_n (q;q^2)_{n+1}} q^{n^2+n},
$$
\n(2.20)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, -q^{3/2}; q)_n}{(q^2/a, -q^{1/2}; q)_n} \left(-\frac{1}{a} \right)^n q^{n^2/2 + n} = \frac{(q^2, q^{3/2}/a; q)_{\infty}}{(q^2/a, q^{3/2}; q)_{\infty}}.
$$
\n(2.21)

Proof. Identity [\(2.19\)](#page-7-1) is the special case $y = q$ of [\(1.10\)](#page-1-9). Once letting *a*, *b* in (2.19) tend to infinite, we obtain [\(2.20\)](#page-7-2) while [\(2.21\)](#page-7-3) results from setting $b = -q^{3/2}$ and then applying the *q*-Gauss ₂ ϕ_1 sum [\[13,](#page-17-6) (II.8)]. П

It is interesting to note that [\(2.19\)](#page-7-1) can be used to an establishment of bilateral $_2\psi_2$ series transforma-tion. The reader may consult [\[13,](#page-17-6) Eq.(5.1.1)] for the precise definition of the $_2\psi_2$ series.

Corollary 2.5. *For* $|ab| > 1$ *, it holds*

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2} = 2 \frac{(q^2, 1/ab;q)_\infty}{(q/a,q/b;q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{array}{c} aq, bq, -q \\ q^{3/2}, -q^{3/2} \end{array}; q, \frac{1}{ab}\right].
$$
 (2.22)

Proof. It suffices to calculate

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2} = \left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \right\} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2}
$$

while, in view of [\(2.6\)](#page-3-3),

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a,b;q)_n}{(1/a, 1/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2-n/2}
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a,q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2}.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq, bq;q)_n}{(q/a, q/b;q)_n} \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^n q^{n^2/2+n/2}.
$$

Applying [\(2.19\)](#page-7-1) under the replacements of *a*, *b* with *aq*, *bq* to the above identity, we thereby obtain [\(2.22\)](#page-8-0). \blacksquare

Taking [\(1.8\)](#page-1-7) into account, we easily find a short proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-0)

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.5.](#page-2-0) It suffices to take $y = -a$, $-b$ in [\(1.8\)](#page-1-7) in succession, yielding

$$
\begin{aligned} \theta(q,a) &= (q^2;q^2)_\infty(a;q)_{\infty 2}\phi_1\bigg[\begin{matrix} -a/q,-a \\ a^2 \end{matrix};q^2,q^2 \bigg], \\ \theta(q,b) &= (q^2;q^2)_\infty(b;q)_{\infty 2}\phi_1\bigg[\begin{matrix} -b/q,-b \\ b^2 \end{matrix};q^2,q^2 \bigg], \end{aligned}
$$

where the partial theta function $\theta(q, x)$ is defined to be the sum

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(n) x^n.
$$

Multiplying these two identities together, we arrive at

$$
\theta(q,a)\theta(q,b)=(q^2;q^2)_\infty^2(a,b;q)_\infty{}_2\phi_1\bigg[{-a/q,-a\atop a^2};q^2,q^2\bigg]{}_2\phi_1\bigg[{-b/q,-b\atop b^2};q^2,q^2\bigg].
$$

According to Theorem 1.1 of [\[8](#page-17-13)] by G.E. Andrews and S.O. Warnaar, we have

$$
\theta(q, a)\theta(q, b) = (q, a, b; q)_{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ab/q; q)_{2n}}{(q, a, b, ab/q; q)_n} q^n.
$$
 (2.23)

Both in together, after replacing *a*, *b* with $-a$, −*b* simultaneously and reformulating in terms of $_4\phi_3$ series, leads us to the desired identity [\(1.11\)](#page-2-1). \blacksquare

2.4. Proof of Identity [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2)

A careful look at [\[10\]](#page-17-0) shows that [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) is given without direct proof. In what follows, we shall show [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2) in a different way from the above argument. This difference consists in that we utilize a special Lagrange inversion formula, instead of the transformation for $_3\phi_2$ series.

Lemma 2.6 (Lagrange inversion formula:[\[14,](#page-17-14) Example 2.2]). Let $\{x_n\}_{n\geq0}$ be any complex sequences *such that* $|1 - x_n z| \neq 0$ *. If there exists the following expansion for certain analytic function F(z),*

$$
F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{z^n}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 - x_i z)},
$$
\n(2.24a)

*then the coe*ffi*cients*

$$
a_n = [z^n]F(z) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i z). \tag{2.24b}
$$

PROOF OF IDENTITY (1.1) . By Lemma [2.6,](#page-9-0) we first know that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\frac{q^{2n^2+2n+1}}{(q;q^2)_{n+1}} = [z^n] \left(\sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{q^k z^{k-1}}{(q;q^2)_k} (zq;q^2)_n \right),\tag{2.25}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\frac{1+2n}{2k} \right]_q (q;q^2)_k (-1)^k q^{k(k-1)} = q^{2n^2+n}.
$$
 (2.26)

To make this more precise, we compute the right-hand side of [\(2.25\)](#page-9-1) directly, namely

RHS of (2.25) =
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{q^{k+1}}{(q;q^2)_{k+1}} [z^{n-k}] \frac{(zq;q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}}.
$$

Since

$$
[z^{n-k}] \frac{(zq^{1/2};q)_{\infty}}{(zq^{n+1/2};q)_{\infty}} = [z^{n-k}] \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-n};q)_i}{(q;q)_i} (zq^{n+1/2})^i = \frac{(q^{-n};q)_{n-k}}{(q;q)_{n-k}} q^{(n+1/2)(n-k)}
$$

$$
= \frac{(q^{-n};q)_n}{(q;q)_n} \frac{(q^{-n};q)_k}{(q;q)_k} q^{(k+2n^2+n)/2} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{n-k} q^{(n-k)^2/2},
$$

wherein we have used the preceding relations [\(2.5\)](#page-3-4) and [\(2.6\)](#page-3-3). On rescaling q to q^2 , it follows that

$$
[z^{n-k}] \frac{(zq;q^2)_{\infty}}{(zq^{2n+1};q^2)_{\infty}} = \frac{(q^2;q^2)_n}{(q^2;q^2)_k(q^2;q^2)_{n-k}} (-1)^{n-k} q^{(n-k)^2}.
$$

With these calculations, it is now easily verified that (2.25) is equivalent to

$$
q^{2n^2+2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k+1} \frac{(q;q^2)_{n+1}(q^2;q^2)_n}{(q;q^2)_{k+1}(q^2;q^2)_k} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}q^{(n-k)^2}}{(q^2;q^2)_{n-k}}.
$$

Dividing by q^{n+1} on both sides, we get

$$
q^{2n^2+n} = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k-n} \frac{(q;q)_{2n+1}}{(q;q)_{2k+1}(q;q)_{2(n-k)}} \frac{(-1)^{n-k} q^{(n-k)^2} (q;q)_{2(n-k)}}{(q^2;q^2)_{n-k}}
$$

$$
\sum_{k=m-k}^{k-n-k} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\frac{1+2n}{2k} \right]_q \frac{(-1)^k q^{k^2-k} (q;q)_{2k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\frac{1+2n}{2k} \right]_q (q;q^2)_k (-1)^k q^{k^2-k}.
$$

Then [\(2.26\)](#page-9-2) follows. As such, all that we need to do is to check the validity of [\(2.26\)](#page-9-2), which is asserted by the *q*-Kummer (Bailey-Daum) sum [\[13](#page-17-6), (II.9)]:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a,b;q)_k}{(q,aq/b;q)_k} (-q/b)^k = \frac{(-q;q)_{\infty}(aq,aq^2/b^2;q^2)_{\infty}}{(-q/b,aq/b;q)_{\infty}}.
$$
\n(2.27)

To be more precise, by setting $a = q^{-n}$ and letting *b* tend to infinity in [\(2.27\)](#page-10-2), we first obtain

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \tau(n-k)Y(k) = X(n),\tag{2.28a}
$$

where

$$
X(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k q^{k^2 - k} (q; q^2)_k, & \text{for } n = 2k \\ 0, & n = 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}
$$
 and $Y(n) = q^{n^2/2 - n/2}$.

And then by inverting, it is easy to see that Identity [\(2.28a\)](#page-10-0) is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q X(k) = Y(n),\tag{2.28b}
$$

which, by inserting $X(n)$ and $Y(n)$ into, turns out to be [\(2.26\)](#page-9-2). It gives the complete proof of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-2). \blacksquare

3. Further discussions on two finite *q*-series summations

In this section, we shall focus on two unusual finite sums closely related to the Andrews–Yee identities of Lemma [1.1.](#page-0-3) Firstly, as Andrews and Yee demonstrated in [\[10,](#page-17-0) Lemma 6], [\(1.3\)](#page-0-1) is built on the key identity

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(q;q)_{m+k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} q^k = (q^2;q^2)_m.
$$
\n(3.1)

In the meantime, in the same paper [\[10](#page-17-0), Lemma 7] they recorded another finite *q*-series identity as below:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(q;q)_{m+k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} q^{2k} = (q;q^2)_{m+1} + q^{m+1}(q^2;q^2)_m.
$$
\n(3.2)

As for the importance of these two identities, here we would like to quote Andrew and Yee in [\[10](#page-17-0), p.3] a comment on both [\(3.1\)](#page-10-3) and [\(3.2\)](#page-10-4):

"*These results, while seemingly quite simple, are surprising for a couple of reasons. First, the sums do not terminate naturally, and second,we were unable to find these results in the q-series literature.*"

It is this comment that inspires us to investigate the sums

$$
U_m(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k}_{q} \frac{x^k}{(-q;q)_k}
$$
\n(3.3)

and

$$
S_m(x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k} \frac{(y; q)_k}{q(x; q)_k} q^k
$$
 (3.4)

in a wider sense, in order to gain a better understanding how [\(3.1\)](#page-10-3) and [\(3.2\)](#page-10-4) play a role in mock theta function identities.

3.1. q-Difference equations for $U_m(x)$

By considering ${U_m(x)}_{m\geq 0}$ as a polynomial sequence in *x*, we may set up two *q*-difference equations for it via the series rearrangement.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* ${U_m(x)}_{m\geq0}$ *be given by* [\(3.3\)](#page-10-5)*. Then for integer m* \geq 0*, we have*

$$
U_m(xq^2) = U_m(x) - (1 - q^{m+1})xU_{m+1}(x) + (x+1)x^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m},
$$
\n(3.5)

$$
q^{m+1}U_m(xq) = U_m(x) - (1 - q^{m+1})U_{m+1}(x) + x^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}.
$$
\n(3.6)

Proof. To show [\(3.5\)](#page-11-0), we start with [\(3.3\)](#page-10-5). It is easy to verify that

$$
(1 - qm)xUm(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(qm; q)_{k+1}}{(q2; q2)_{k+1}} xk+1 (1 - q2k+2)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{(qm; q)_{k}}{(q2; q2)_{k}} xk - \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{(qm; q)_{k}}{(q2; q2)_{k}} (xq2)k
$$

=
$$
Um-1(x) - Um-1(xq2) + C0(m, x),
$$

where, by some routine calculations,

$$
C_0(m, x) = (x + 1)x^m \frac{(q; q^2)_m}{(q; q)_{m-1}}.
$$

Rearranging the last identity, we obtain

$$
U_{m-1}(xq^2) = U_{m-1}(x) - (1-q^m)xU_m(x) + (x+1)x^m \frac{(q;q^2)_m}{(q;q)_{m-1}}.
$$

Shifting m to $m + 1$, we finally obtain the desired.

To establish [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1), let us write $S_m(x) = (q; q)_m U_m(x)$. Consider the difference

$$
S_m(x) - S_{m-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(q;q)_{m+k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} x^k - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(q;q)_{m-1+k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} x^k
$$

$$
= \frac{(q;q)_{2m}}{(q^2;q^2)_m} x^m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(q;q)_{m+k} - (q;q)_{m-1+k}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} x^k
$$

$$
= (q;q^2)_m x^m - q^m \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(q;q)_{m+k-1}}{(q^2;q^2)_k} (xq)^k = (q;q^2)_m x^m - q^m S_{m-1}(xq).
$$
 (3.7)

12

Replace *m* with $m + 1$ and recast [\(3.7\)](#page-11-2) in terms of $U_m(x)$. We thus obtain the desired.

 \blacksquare

Example 3.2. *By virtue of* [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1) *and the initial result* [\(3.1\)](#page-10-3) *(or see [\[10,](#page-17-0) p.3])*

$$
U_m(q)=(-q;q)_m
$$

we may find out all values of $U_m(q^k)$ *,* $k \geq 2$ *. For instance, take* $x = q$ *in [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1). Then we have*

$$
q^{m+1}U_m(q^2) = U_m(q) - (1 - q^{m+1})U_{m+1}(q) + q^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}
$$

= $(-q;q)_m - (1 - q^{m+1})(-q;q)_{m+1} + q^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}$
= $(-q;q)_mq^{2m+2} + q^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}$.

It amounts to [\(3.2\)](#page-10-4)*.*

With Lemma [3.1](#page-11-3) in hand, it is easily found that $U_m(x)$ satisfies a second order q-difference equation. **Theorem 3.3.** *Let* $\{U_m(x)\}_{m\geq 0}$ *be given by* [\(3.3\)](#page-10-5)*. Then for integer m* ≥ 0 *, it holds*

$$
U_m(xq^2) = (1-x)U_m(x) + xq^{m+1}U_m(xq) + x^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}.
$$
\n(3.8)

Proof. It only needs to restate [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1) in the form

$$
(1 - q^{m+1})U_{m+1}(x) = U_m(x) - q^{m+1}U_m(xq) + x^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}.
$$
\n(3.9)

Substituting (3.9) into (3.5) , then we have

$$
U_m(xq^2) = U_m(x) - x \left(U_m(x) - q^{m+1} U_m(xq) + x^{m+1} \frac{(q; q^2)_{m+1}}{(q; q)_m} \right) + (x+1)x^{m+1} \frac{(q; q^2)_{m+1}}{(q; q)_m}
$$

= $(1-x)U_m(x) + xq^{m+1} U_m(xq) + x^{m+1} \frac{(q; q^2)_{m+1}}{(q; q)_m}.$

 \blacksquare

As another application of Lemma [3.1,](#page-11-3) we can deduce a three-term recurrence relation for ${U_m(x)}_{m\geq0}$ without the *q*-difference operator involved.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\{U_m(x)\}_{m\geq 0}$ *be given by* [\(3.3\)](#page-10-5)*. Then for integer m* ≥ 0 *, it holds*

$$
(1-q^{m+2})U_{m+2}(x) + (xq^{2m+3} - q - 1)U_{m+1}(x) + q(1+q^{m+1})U_m(x) = (x-q)\frac{(q^{m+2};q)_m}{(q^2;q^2)_m}x^{m+1}.
$$
 (3.10)

Proof. It suffices to compute, by using [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1), that

$$
q^{2m+3}U_m(xq^2) = q^{m+2}U_m(xq) - (1-q^{m+1})q^{m+2}U_{m+1}(xq) + x^{m+1}q^{2m+3}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}.
$$

Next, applying [\(3.6\)](#page-11-1) again, we obtain

$$
q^{2m+3}U_m(xq^2) = qU_m(x) - (1+q)(1-q^{m+1})U_{m+1}(x) + (1-q^{m+1})(1-q^{m+2})U_{m+2}(x) + qx^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m} - x^{m+2}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+2}}{(q;q)_m} + x^{m+1}q^{2m+3}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}.
$$
(3.11)

Lastly, multiplying [\(3.5\)](#page-11-0) by q^{2m+3} and subtracting from [\(3.11\)](#page-12-1), we conclude that

$$
0 = q(1 - q^{2m+2})U_m(x) + (xq^{2m+3} - 1 - q)(1 - q^{m+1})U_{m+1}(x)
$$

+
$$
(1 - q^{m+1})(1 - q^{m+2})U_{m+2}(x) + qx^{m+1}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m} - x^{m+2}\frac{(q;q^2)_{m+1}}{(q;q)_m}
$$

This gives the expression of $U_{m+2}(x)$ in terms of $U_m(x)$ and $U_{m+1}(x)$.

Remark 3.5. *Interestingly, in light of the WZ method, we also know that the summand of Um*(*x*)*, denoted by Vm*(*k*; *x*)*, satisfies the recurrence relation*

$$
V_{m+2}(k; x) + \frac{-xq^{2m+3} + q + 1}{q^{m+2} - 1} V_{m+1}(k; x) + \frac{q(q^{m+1} + 1)}{1 - q^{m+2}} V_m(k; x)
$$

= $V_m(k; x)R(m, k; x) - V_m(k - 1; x)R(m, k - 1; x),$

where the certification

$$
R(m,k;x)=\frac{xq^{2m+3}(1-q^{m+k+1})}{(1-q^{m+1})(1-q^{m+2})}.
$$

3.2. A general transformation for S ^m(*x*, *y*) *and its implications*

In this part, we turn to possible transformations associated with the sequence ${S_m(x, y)}_{m\geq0}$. **Theorem 3.6.** *For any q* : $|q|$ < 1 *and integer m* \geq 0*, it always holds*

$$
\frac{(yq^2/x;q)_m}{(q^2/x;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(y;q)_k}{q(x;q)_k} q^k = 1 + \frac{q+x}{x-yq} \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(y,yq/x;q)_k}{q(x,q^2/x;q)_k} q^k - \frac{yq}{x-yq} \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(y,yq/x;q)_k}{q(x,q^2/x;q)_k} q^{2k}.
$$
 (3.12)

Proof. Performing as before, we first show by the WZ method that ${S_m(x, y)}_{m\geq0}$ satisfies the first order recurrence relation

$$
S_m(x, y) - \frac{x - q^{m+1}}{x - yq^{m+1}} S_{m-1}(x, y)
$$

= $q^m \frac{q + x - q^{m+1} (1 + q^m) y}{x - q^{m+1} y} \left[\frac{2m - 1}{m} \right]_q \frac{(y; q)_m}{(x; q)_m}.$ (3.13)

Next, we solve this recurrence relation for $S_m(x, y)$ and obtain

$$
S_m(x,y) = \frac{(q^2/x;q)_m}{(yq^2/x;q)_m} \bigg(1 + \frac{1}{x-yq} \sum_{k=1}^m q^k (q+x-y(q^k+1)q^{k+1}) \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(y,yq/x;q)_k}{q(x,q^2/x;q)_k} \bigg).
$$

A combination of this expression and the definition [\(3.4\)](#page-11-4), after a bit simplification, leads us to [\(3.12\)](#page-13-0).

It is worth mentioning, as applications of Theorem [3.6,](#page-13-1) that [\(3.12\)](#page-13-0) implies several noteworthy transformations.

Corollary 3.7. *For arbitrary integer* $m \geq 0$ *, we have*

$$
\frac{(-yq;q)_m}{(-q;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(q^{m+1},y;q)_k}{(q^2;q^2)_k} q^k = 1 + \frac{y}{1+y} \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(y^2;q^2)_k}{(q-q;q)_k^2} q^{2k},
$$
\n(3.14)

$$
\frac{1}{(q^2/x;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(x;q)_k} = 1 + (1+q/x) \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(x,q^2/x;q)_k}.
$$
(3.15)

 \blacksquare

.

Proof. In fact, [\(3.14\)](#page-13-2) is the consequence of [\(3.12\)](#page-13-0) for $x = -q$ while [\(3.15\)](#page-13-3) is the special case $y = 0$ of [\(3.12\)](#page-13-0). \blacksquare

Corollary 3.8. *For arbitrary integer* $m \geq 0$ *, we have*

$$
(x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[\frac{m+k}{k} \right]_{q} \frac{q^k}{(xq;q)_k} + (1/x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left[\frac{m+k}{k} \right]_{q} \frac{q^k}{(q/x;q)_k} = (x,1/x;q)_{m+1}.
$$
 (3.16)

Proof. It suffices to replace *x* with *xq* in [\(3.15\)](#page-13-3). We have

$$
\frac{1}{(q/x;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(xq;q)_k} = 1 + (1+1/x) \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(xq,q/x;q)_k}.
$$
(3.17)

Next, changing x to $1/x$ in [\(3.17\)](#page-14-0), we arrive at

$$
\frac{1}{(xq;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(q/x;q)_k} = 1 + (1+x) \sum_{k=1}^m \begin{bmatrix} 2k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(xq,q/x;q)_k}.
$$
 (3.18)

Multiplying (3.17) by *x* and then subtracting (3.18) from the resulting identity, we get

$$
\frac{x}{(q/x;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(xq;q)_k} - \frac{1}{(xq;q)_m} \sum_{k=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(q/x;q)_k} = x - 1.
$$
 (3.19)

Lastly, dividing by $x - 1$ on both sides of [\(3.19\)](#page-14-2) and multiplying the resulted with $(x, 1/x; q)_{m+1}$ gives the desired identity. \blacksquare

It is worth pointing out that from Identity [\(3.16\)](#page-14-3) we can recover the classical *q*-Chu-Vandermonde identity [\[13](#page-17-6), (II.7)] and the well-known Jacobi triple product identity [\[13,](#page-17-6) (II.28)].

Example 3.9. (i) *(q-Chu-Vandermonde identity) For any integer* $r \ge 0$ *, it holds*

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m-k \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{(r+1)k} = \begin{bmatrix} 2m+1 \\ m+r+1 \end{bmatrix} q.
$$
 (3.20)

(ii) *(Jacobi triple product identity)*

$$
(x, q/x, q; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} x^n.
$$
 (3.21)

Proof. (i) Observe that

$$
(x, 1/x; q)_{m+1} = (1 - 1/x)\tau(m)(q/x)^m(q^{-m}x; q)_{2m+1}.
$$

After dividing both sides of [\(3.16\)](#page-14-3) by $1 - 1/x$, we obtain

$$
-x(xq;q)_m\sum_{k=0}^m\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{m+k}{k}_q\frac{q^k}{(xq;q)_k}+(q/x;q)_m\sum_{k=0}^m\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{m+k}{k}_q\frac{q^k}{(q/x;q)_k}=\tau(m)(q/x)^m(q^{-m}x;q)_{2m+1},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
-x\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k}_{q} q^{k}(xq^{k+1};q)_{m-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k}_{q} q^{k}(q^{k+1}/x;q)_{m-k} = \tau(m)(q/x)^{m}(q^{-m}x;q)_{2m+1}.
$$

By equating the coefficients of x^{r+1} , the claimed follows.

(ii) To establish (3.21) , we first let *m* tend to infinity in (3.16) . Then it follows

$$
(x;q)_{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^k}{(q,xq;q)_k}+(1/x;q)_{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^k}{(q,q/x;q)_k}=(x,1/x;q)_{\infty}.
$$

Multiplying both sides with $(q; q)_{\infty}/(1 - 1/x)$, we therefore obtain

$$
-x (q, xq;q)_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{(q, xq;q)_k} + (q, q/x;q)_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{(q, q/x;q)_k} = (x, q/x, q;q)_{\infty}.
$$
 (3.22)

Observe that (2.23) (also see $[8, (2.1a)]$) implies

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(k) x^k = (q, x; q)_{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^k}{(q, x; q)_k}.
$$

This allows us to reformulate [\(3.22\)](#page-15-0) in the form

$$
-x\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(k)(xq)^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(k)(q/x)^k = (x, q/x, q; q)_{\infty}.
$$

After a bit of manipulation, [\(3.21\)](#page-14-4) follows.

Corollary 3.10. *For arbitrary integer m* \geq 0*,*

$$
(x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k}{(xq;q)_k} = \begin{bmatrix} 2m+1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q + \frac{1}{1+q^{m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \tau(k)(1+q^k) \begin{bmatrix} 2m+2 \\ m+1-k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k.
$$
 (3.23)

Proof. Since, easily proved by induction, that

$$
(x, 1/x; q)_n = \frac{1}{1+q^n} \sum_{k=-n}^n \tau(k)(1+q^k) \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n-k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k,
$$

thus [\(3.16\)](#page-14-3) is equivalent to

$$
(x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} {m+k \brack k}_{q} \frac{q^{k}}{(xq;q)_{k}} + (1/x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} {m+k \brack k}_{q} \frac{q^{k}}{(q/x;q)_{k}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1+q^{m+1}} \sum_{k=-m-1}^{m+1} \tau(k)(1+q^{k}) {2m+2 \brack m+1-k}_{q} x^{k}.
$$

Then we separate the sums into two sums on both sides according as the index k of x^k is nonnegative or not. By comparing coefficients, we have

$$
(x;q)_{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k}_{q} \frac{q^{k}}{(xq;q)_{k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m+k}{k}_{q} q^{k} = \frac{1}{1+q^{m+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \tau(k)(1+q^{k}) \binom{2m+2}{m+1-k}_{q} x^{k}.
$$
 (3.24)

Note that the special case $r = 0$ of [\(3.20\)](#page-14-5) yields

$$
\sum_{k=0}^m \left[{m+k \atop k}\right]_q q^k = \left[{2m+1 \atop m}\right]_q.
$$

This simplifies [\(3.24\)](#page-15-1) to the desired identity.

 \blacksquare

4. Concluding remarks

We conclude our paper with a few remarks on further study of $\omega(z; q)$ and $v(z; q)$. For this, let us consider the limiting case of [\(2.2\)](#page-10-1) as n tends to infinite and $c = q$, $e = zq$, stated as follows.

Corollary 4.1. *For* $|dz/b|, |z| < 1$ *, it holds*

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(k) \frac{(b;q)_k}{(d,zq;q)_k} \left(\frac{dz}{b}\right)^k = (1-z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(d/b;q)_k}{(d;q)_k} z^k.
$$
 (4.1)

From this transformation it is easy to deduce

Theorem 4.2. *For* $|y| < 1, |z| < 1$ *, we have*

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}(yz)^k}{(y, z; q)_{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(y; q)_{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^k}{(z; q)_{k+1}},
$$
\n(4.2)

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(k)(yz)^k}{(z;q)_{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (y;q)_k z^k.
$$
 (4.3)

Proof. (i) Identity [\(4.2\)](#page-16-2) follows immediately by taking $d = yq$ and letting $b \rightarrow \infty$ in [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3). (ii) [\(4.3\)](#page-16-2) is a direct result while we set $d/b = y$ and then put $b = 0$ in [\(4.1\)](#page-16-3). П

Remark 4.3. *Define*

$$
\omega_q(y, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k^2}(yz)^k}{(y, z; q)_{k+1}}, \quad v_q(y, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(k)(yz)^k}{(z; q)_{k+1}}.
$$
\n(4.4)

Then it becomes very clear that

$$
\omega(z;q) = \omega_{q^2}(q,zq), \quad v(z;q) = v_{q^2}(q/z, -zq). \tag{4.5}
$$

where ω(*z*; *q*) *and v*(*z*; *q*) *are the same as before. In particular,* [\(4.3\)](#page-16-2) *under the parametric replacement* (q, y, z) → $(q^2, zq, -q)$ *is just Theorem 2 of* [\[10](#page-17-0)]. Some recent results on $\omega_q(y, z)$ have been made by Y.S. *Choi in [\[12,](#page-17-4) Section 4].*

Based on such supporting evidence, an interesting problem arises from Theorem [4.2](#page-16-4) as following.

Research problem 4.4. *Given* $\omega_q(y, z)$ *and* $v_q(y, z)$ *, are we able to find certain results analogue to Theorems 1 and 2 of [\[10\]](#page-17-0) for* $\omega(z; q)$ *and* $\nu(z; q)$ *?*

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, On basic hypergeometric series, mock theta functions, and partitions, I, Quart. J. Math. 17 (1966) 64–80.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *q*-Series: their development and application in Analysis, Number theory, Combinatorics, Physics and Computer Algebra, NSF CBMS Regional Conf. Series, Vol. 66, pp. 21–32, 1986.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, Bailey's transform, lemma, chains and tree, Proc. of Special Functions 2000 (eds. J. Bustoz et al.), 1–22. Kluwer, 2001.
- [4] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- [5] G.E. Andrews and B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part I, Springer- Verlag, 2005.
- [6] G.E. Andrews and B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part II, Springer- Verlag, 2009.
- [7] G.E. Andrews, A. Dixit, and A.J. Yee, Partitions associated with the Ramanujan/Watson mock theta functions $\omega(q)$, $\nu(q)$ and $\phi(q)$, Research in Number Theory, 1 Issue 1 (2015) 1–25.
- [8] G.E. Andrews and S.O. Warnaar, The product of partial theta functions, Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007) 116–120.
- [9] G.E. Andrews and S.O. Warnaar, The Bailey transform and false theta functions, Ramanujan J. 14 (2007) 173–188.
- [10] G.E. Andrews and A.J. Yee, Some identities associated with mock theta function $\omega(q)$ and $\nu(q)$. [arXiv:1709.03213.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1709.03213)
- [11] W.N. Bailey, Some identities in combinatory analysis, Proc. London Math. Soc. 49 (1947) 421– 435.
- [12] Y.S. Choi, The basic bilateral hypergeometric series and the mock theta functions, Ramanujan J. 24 (2011) 345–386.
- [13] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, 2nd edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [14] Xinrong Ma, A novel extension of the Lagrange–Burmann expansion formula, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 433 (2010) 2152–2160.
- [15] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, and C.W. Clark, editors. NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2010. Print companion to [DLMF].
- [16] M. Petkovsek, H.S. Wilf, and D. Zeilberger, *A* = *B*, A. K. Peter. Ltd., 1996.
- [17] S. Ramanujan, The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers, Narosa, New Delhi, 1988.
- [18] L.J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1967.
- [19] S.O. Warnaar, 50 years of Bailey's lemma, in Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications (A. Betten et al. eds.), Springer, New York, 2001, pp.333–347.
- [20] G.N. Watson, The final problem: an account of the mock theta functions, J. London Math. Soc. 11 (1936) 55–80.