On graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and their lattices

Jack H. Koolen^{†§}, Jae Young Yang[‡], Qianqian Yang[†]

†School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Science and Technology of China,
96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, PR China
§Wen-Tsun Wu Key Laboratory of CAS,
96 Jinzhai Road, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, PR China
‡School of Mathematical Sciences,
Anhui University,
111 Jiulong Road, Hefei, 230039, Anhui, PR China

e-mail: koolen@ustc.edu.cn, piez@naver.com, xuanxue@mail.ustc.edu.cn

April 3, 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we show that a connected graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and large enough minimal degree is 2-integrable. This result generalizes a 1977 result of Hoffman for connected graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2.

Keywords: s-integrability, lattice, root lattice, Hoffman graph, eigenvalue

AMS classification: 05C50, 11H99

1 Introduction

(For undefined notions, see next section) Graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2 are characterized by Cameron et al. [3] in 1976. They showed that

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a connected graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -2. Then, either G is a generalized line graph, or G has at most 36 vertices.

Concerning graphs with large minimal degree, Hoffman [8] showed:

Theorem 1.2. For any real number $\lambda \in (-1 - \sqrt{2}, -2]$, there exists a constant $f(\lambda)$ such that if a connected graph G has smallest eigenvalue at least λ and minimal degree at least $f(\lambda)$, then G is a generalized line graph.

In this paper, we are going to generalize Theorem 1.2 for graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3. In order to state our main result, we need to introduce the following terminology. Let G be a graph with smallest eigenvalue λ_{\min} . Define the positive semi-definite matrix B by $A - \lfloor \lambda_{\min} \rfloor I$, where A is the adjacency matrix of G. For a positive integer s, we say that the graph G is s-integrable if there exists a matrix N such that the equality $B = N^T N$ holds and the matrix $\sqrt{s}N$ has only integral entries.

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.3. There exists a positive integer K such that if a connected graph G has smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and minimal degree at least K, then G is 2-integrable.

The above result partially solves a problem in [1, p111].

- **Remark 1.4.** (i) Theorem 1.3 can be seen as a generalization of Theorem 1.2, as the generalized line graphs are exactly the 1-integrable graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2.
 - (ii) As part of the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can show that there exists a real number $\varepsilon < -3$, such that for any real number $\lambda \in (\varepsilon, -3]$, there exists a constant $f(\lambda)$, such that if a graph G has smallest eigenvalue at least λ and minimal degree at least $f(\lambda)$, then the smallest eigenvalue of G is at least -3.
- (iii) In Example 2.13, we will give a family of non 1-integrable graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and unbounded minimal degree. This shows that we cannot improve the result in Theorem 1.3 from 2-integrability to 1-integrability.
- (iv) Denote by \overline{McL} the complement of the McLaughlin graph. Note that \overline{McL} is the unique strongly regular graph with parameters (275, 162, 105, 81), as the McLaughlin graph is uniquely determined by its parameters (See [6]). In [11], Koolen et al. showed that \overline{McL} is not 2-integrable. Let H be the 3-point cone over \overline{McL} , that is, H is obtained by adding 3 new vertices to \overline{McL} and joining these three vertices to all the vertices in \overline{McL} and to each other. Note that the graph H has minimal degree 165, smallest eigenvalue -3 and is not 2-integrable, as \overline{McL} is

not 2-integrable. This shows that the constant K in Theorem 1.3 is at least 166. The graph H was found by Koolen and Munemasa and they also showed that this is a maximal graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -3, that is, there does not exist a connected graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 which contains H as a proper induced subgraph.

(v) There exist families of connected non 2-integrable graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and unbounded number of vertices, as shown by Koolen and Munemasa. For example, let H' be the cone over \overline{McL} and join the complete graph K_m to the cone vertex of H' to obtain the connected graph K(m). Then the connected graph K(m) with m+276 vertices has smallest eigenvalue -3 and is not 2-integrable.




Figure 1: The graph K(m)

Now we look at integral lattices with minimal vectors of norm 3.

Let Λ be an (finite-dimensional) integral lattice whose minimal vectors have norm 3, and let Λ' be the sublattice generated by the minimal vectors of Λ . We denote by U the set of the minimal vectors of Λ , that is, $U = \{\mathbf{u} \in \Lambda \mid \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = 3\}$. Assume that U' is a maximal subset of U such that for any two distinct vectors \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 in U', $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq -\mathbf{u}_2$, and L is the Gram matrix of U'. Since any two distinct vectors \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 in U' have inner product 0, 1 or -1, we find that L = 3I + A, where A is the adjacency matrix of some signed graph \widehat{G} . This concludes that A (and \widehat{G}) has smallest eigenvalue at least -3. Therefore, in order to understand lattices whose minimal vectors have norm 3, we need to understand signed graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3. In this paper, we will look at connected graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3. We think that graphs and signed graphs behave very similar with respect to the smallest eigenvalue.

Related work in terms of line systems with angles α satisfying as $\alpha \in \{0, \pm \frac{1}{3}\}$ has been done by Shult and Yanushka [16]. Bachoc, Nebe and Venkov [13] studied lattices with minimal vectors of norm t, where t is an odd integer.

To show our main result, we need the theory of Hoffman graphs as introduced by Woo and Neumaier [19]. In Section 2, we will give a collection

of known results on Hoffman graphs which will be used to prove our main result.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

2.1 Lattices and graphs

A lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^n is a set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n which is closed under addition and subtraction. We say that a lattice is generated by a set X of \mathbb{R}^n if $\Lambda = \{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x} \mid \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in X\}$, and we write $\Lambda = \langle X \rangle$. A lattice Λ is called integral if the inner product of any two vectors in Λ is integral. The minimal vectors of an integral lattice are the vectors with minimal positive norm. We say an integral lattice has minimal norm t if its minimal vectors have norm t. An integral lattice is called irreducible if it is not a direct sum of proper sublattices. An integral lattice Λ is called a root lattice if Λ is generated by a set X of vectors of norm 2, i.e. $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 2$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in X$. The vectors of norm 2 in a root lattice Λ are called the roots of Λ .

Theorem 2.1. ([18]) Every irreducible root lattice is isomorphic to one of the following:

(i)
$$A_n = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid \sum \mathbf{x}_i = 0} \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

(ii)
$$D_n = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum \mathbf{x}_i \text{ is even} \} \text{ for } n \geq 4,$$

(iii)
$$E_8 = D_8 \cup (\mathbf{c} + D_8)$$
, where $\mathbf{c} = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$,

(iv)
$$E_7 = {\mathbf{x} \in E_8 \mid \sum \mathbf{x}_i = 0},$$

(v)
$$E_6 = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E_7 \mid \mathbf{x}_7 + \mathbf{x}_8 = 0 \}.$$

For a positive integer s, an integral lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called s-integrable if $\sqrt{s}\Lambda$ can be embedded in the standard lattice \mathbb{Z}^k for some $k \geq n$. In other words, an integral lattice Λ is s-integrable if and only if every vector in Λ can be described by the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ with all $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{R}^k for some $k \geq n$ simultaneously.

Remark 2.2. In Theorem 2.1, A_n and D_n are 1-integrable. However, E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 are not 1-integrable [4, Theorem 2]. To show that they are 2-integrable, we will consider another representation [5] for them. Define 8 vectors \mathbf{u}^i for i = 1, ..., 8 as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 0, -1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1, -1, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{5} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{6} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{7} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 0, -1, 0),$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1, -1, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0).$$

Then, it is known that

$$E_8 \simeq \langle \mathbf{u}^i \mid i = 1, \dots, 8 \rangle,$$

 $E_7 \simeq \langle \mathbf{u}^i \mid i = 2, \dots, 8 \rangle,$
 $E_6 \simeq \langle \mathbf{u}^i \mid i = 3, \dots, 8 \rangle.$

Hence, E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 are 2-integrable.

Let G be a connected graph with adjacency matrix A(G) and smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(G)$. For $t = -\lfloor \lambda_{\min}(G) \rfloor$, the matrix B = A + tI is positive semidefinite, and hence there exists a matrix N such that $B = N^T N$. Let $\Lambda(G)$ be the integral lattice generated by the columns of the matrix N. Then we find that $\Lambda(G)$ is an integral lattice generated by vectors of norm t. Note that the graph G is s-integrable if and only if the integral lattice $\Lambda(G)$ is an s-integrable lattice.

2.2 Hoffman graphs

Now we give definitions and preliminaries of Hoffman graphs. For more details, see [9], [12] and [19].

Definition 2.3. A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} is a pair (H, ℓ) where H = (V, E) is a graph and $\ell: V \to \{f, s\}$ is a labeling map satisfying the following conditions:

(i) Every vertex with label f is adjacent to at least one vertex with label s;

(ii) Vertices with label f are pairwise non-adjacent.

We call a vertex with label s a slim vertex, and a vertex with label f a fat vertex. We denote by $V_s(\mathfrak{h})$ (resp. $V_f(\mathfrak{h})$) the set of slim (resp. fat) vertices of \mathfrak{h} .

For a vertex x of \mathfrak{h} , we define $N_{\mathfrak{h}}^s(x)$ (resp. $N_{\mathfrak{h}}^f(x)$) the set of slim (resp. fat) neighbors of x in \mathfrak{h} . If every slim vertex of the Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} has a fat neighbor, then we call \mathfrak{h} fat.

The *slim graph* of the Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} is the subgraph of H induced on $V_s(\mathfrak{h})$. Note that any graph can be considered as a Hoffman graph with only slim vertices, and vice versa. We will not distinguish between Hoffman graphs with only slim vertices and graphs.

A Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{h}_1 = (H_1, \ell_1)$ is called an *(proper) induced Hoffman subgraph* of $\mathfrak{h} = (H, \ell)$, if H_1 is an (proper) induced subgraph of H and $\ell_1(x) = \ell(x)$ holds for all vertices x of H_1 .

Let W be a subset of $V_s(\mathfrak{h})$. An induced Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{h} generated by W, denoted by $\langle W \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$, is the Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{h} induced by $W \cup \{f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h}) \mid f \sim w \text{ for some } w \in W\}$.

Definition 2.4. For a Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{h} = (H, \ell)$, there exists a matrix C such that the adjacency matrix A of H satisfies

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_s & C \\ C^T & O \end{array} \right),$$

where A_s is the adjacency matrix of the slim graph of \mathfrak{h} . The special matrix $Sp(\mathfrak{h})$ of \mathfrak{h} is the real symmetric matrix $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) := A_s - CC^T$. The eigenvalues of \mathfrak{h} are the eigenvalues of $Sp(\mathfrak{h})$, and the smallest eigenvalue of \mathfrak{h} is denoted by $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$.

Lemma 2.5. ([19, Lemma 3.4]) Let \mathfrak{h} be a Hoffman graph and let x_i and x_j be two distinct slim vertices of \mathfrak{h} . The special matrix $Sp(\mathfrak{h})$ has diagonal entries

$$Sp(\mathfrak{h})_{x_i,x_i} = -|N_{\mathfrak{h}}^f(x_i)|$$

and off-diagonal entries

$$Sp(\mathfrak{h})_{x_i,x_j} = (A_s)_{x_i,x_j} - |N_{\mathfrak{h}}^f(x_i) \cap N_{\mathfrak{h}}^f(x_j)|.$$

For the smallest eigenvalues of Hoffman graphs and their induced Hoffman subgraphs, Woo and Neumaier showed the following inequality.

Lemma 2.6. ([19, Corollary 3.3]) If \mathfrak{h}_1 is an induced Hoffman subgraph of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} , then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}_1) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$ holds.

2.2.1 Two canonical fat Hoffman graphs

Given a graph H, there are two canonical fat Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{p}(H)$ and $\mathfrak{q}(H)$ with H as their slim graphs. The fat Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{p}(H)$ has H as its slim graph and to each slim vertex, a fat vertex is attached such that any two distinct slim vertices do not have a common fat neighbor. The fat Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{q}(H)$ has H as its slim graph and one fat vertex that is attached to each slim vertex. Note that $Sp(\mathfrak{p}(H)) = A(H) - I$ and $Sp(\mathfrak{q}(H)) = -A(\overline{H}) - I$, where A(H) and $A(\overline{H})$ are the adjacency matrices of H and its complement \overline{H} , respectively. Then we have

Lemma 2.7. Let H be a graph with \overline{H} as its complement. Denote by $\lambda_{\min}(H)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(\overline{H})$ the smallest eigenvalue of H and the largest eigenvalue of \overline{H} , respectively. Then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{p}(H)) = -1 + \lambda_{\min}(H)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{q}(H)) = -1 - \lambda_{\max}(\overline{H})$.

Proof. It follows immediately from their special matrices. \Box

2.3 The Hoffman-Ostrowski theorem

In this subsection, we introduce a result of Hoffman and Ostrowski. In order to state the result, we need to introduce the following notations. Suppose \mathfrak{h} is a Hoffman graph and $\{f_1,\ldots,f_r\}$ is a subset of $V_f(\mathfrak{h})$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the Hoffman graph obtained from \mathfrak{h} by replacing the fat vertex f_i by a slim n_i -clique K^{f_i} , and joining all the neighbors of f_i (in \mathfrak{h}) with all the vertices of K^{f_i} for all i. We will write $G(\mathfrak{h},n)$ for the graph $\mathfrak{g}^{n_1,\ldots,n_r}(\mathfrak{h})$, when $V_f(\mathfrak{h}) = \{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r\}$ and $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_r = n$. With the above notations, we can now state the result of Hoffman and Ostrowski. For a proof of it, see [9, Theorem 2.14]. We will give a closely related result in the next section.

Theorem 2.8. Suppose \mathfrak{h} is a Hoffman graph with fat vertices f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r . Then

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{g}^{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\mathfrak{h})) \ge \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}),$$

and

$$\lim_{n_1,\dots,n_r\to\infty}\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{g}^{n_1,\dots,n_r}(\mathfrak{h}))=\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}).$$

2.4 Lattices and Hoffman graphs

Let $\mathfrak{h} = (H, \ell)$ be a Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$, and let t be a real number satisfying $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq -t$. Then the symmetric matrix $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + tI$ is positive semidefinite. Therefore, there exists a real matrix

N such that $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + tI = N^T N$ holds. We denote by $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ the lattice generated by the columns of N. Note that the isomorphism class of $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ depends only on t and $Sp(\mathfrak{h})$, and is independent of N.

For a real number p, we define L(p) to be a diagonal matrix indexed by the vertex set $V_s(\mathfrak{h}) \cup V_f(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $L(p)_{x,x} = p$ if $x \in V_s(\mathfrak{h})$ and $L(p)_{x,x} = 1$ if $x \in V_f(\mathfrak{h})$. Note that the matrix A(H) + L(t) is also positive semidefinite (see [9, Theorem 2.8]), where A(H) is the adjacency matrix of H. Hence, there exists a real matrix \widetilde{N} such that $A(H) + L(t) = \widetilde{N}^T \widetilde{N}$ holds. We denote by $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ the lattice generated by the columns of \widetilde{N} . Note that the isomorphism class of $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ depends only on t and \mathfrak{h} , and is independent of \widetilde{N}

It is fairly easy to see that the matrices N and \widetilde{N} of above are closely related. Using this relation, we obtain the following lemma. For the convenience of the readers we give a proof.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathfrak{h} = (H, \ell)$ be a Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$, and let t be an integer satisfying $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) \geq -t$. The integral lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ is s-integrable if and only if the integral lattice $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}, t)$ is s-integrable.

Proof. Suppose that the lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h},t)$ is s-integrable. It implies that there exists a matrix N such that $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + tI = N^T N$, where each entry of N is in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by N' the fat-slim vertex incidence matrix with respect to

the set of fat vertices of \mathfrak{h} , that is, $(N')_{f,x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is adjacent to } f, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ for

 $x \in V_s(\mathfrak{h})$ and $f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h})$. The matrix $\widetilde{N} := \begin{pmatrix} N & O \\ N' & I \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies the equation

 $\widetilde{N}^T\widetilde{N}=A(H)+L(t)$ and this shows that the lattice $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h},t)$ is s-integrable.

Conversely, suppose that the lattice $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h},t)$ is s-integrable. Then there exists a matrix \widetilde{N} such that $A(H)+L(t)=\widetilde{N}^T\widetilde{N}$ holds, where each entry of \widetilde{N} is in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\mathbb{Z}$. The matrix N satisfying $N^TN=Sp(\mathfrak{h})+tI$ can be obtained, if for any slim vertex x of \mathfrak{h} , we define the column N_x of N indexed by x as follows:

$$N_x := \widetilde{N}_x - \sum_{\substack{f \sim x \\ f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h})}} \widetilde{N}_f,$$

where \widetilde{N}_x and \widetilde{N}_f are the columns of \widetilde{N} indexed by vertices x and f, respectively. Note that all entries of N are in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\mathbb{Z}$ and this shows that the lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h},t)$ is s-integrable.

The following corollary immediately follows from Lemma 2.9.

Corollary 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{h} = (H, \ell)$ be a Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$, and let H' be the slim graph of \mathfrak{h} with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(H')$. Suppose $\lfloor \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) \rfloor = \lfloor \lambda_{\min}(H') \rfloor$ holds. Then the graph H' is s-integrable if the integral lattice $\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}, -\lfloor \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) \rfloor)$ is s-integrable.

Another result for s-integrability of Hoffman graphs is given as follows.

Theorem 2.11. Let \mathfrak{h} be a fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$ satisfying the following:

$$-3 < \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) < -2.$$

Then the integral lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h},3)$ is 1-integrable if and only if there exists a positive constant D such that the graph $G(\mathfrak{h},n)$ is 1-integrable for all $n \geq D$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.8 we have that both $\lambda_{\min}(G(\mathfrak{h},n)) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_{\min}(G(\mathfrak{h},n)) = \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h})$ hold. It follows that there exists a constant D' such that $-3 \leq \lambda_{\min}(G(\mathfrak{h},n)) < -2$ holds for all $n \geq D'$.

Assume $V_s(\mathfrak{h}) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and $V(K^f) = \{y_1^f, \ldots, y_n^f\}$ for any $f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h})$, where K^f is the *n*-clique as defined in Subsection 2.3.

If the integral lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h},3)$ is 1-integrable, then there exists an integral matrix N' such that $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + 3I = (N')^T N'$ holds. Let D := D' be the constant. In order to show that $G(\mathfrak{h},n)$ is 1-integrable for all $n \geq D$, it is sufficient to show that there exists an integral matrix N such that $A(G(\mathfrak{h},n)) + 3I = N^T N$ holds for all $n \geq D$. For any vertices x_i and y_j^f of $G(\mathfrak{h},n)$, we define the columns N_{x_i} and $N_{y_j^f}$ of N indexed by the vertices x_i and x_i^f as follows:

$$N_{x_i} := N'_{x_i} + \sum_{\substack{f \sim x_i \\ f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h})}} \mathbf{e}_f, \ N_{y_j^f} := \mathbf{e}_f + \mathbf{e}_{y_{j,1}^f} + \mathbf{e}_{y_{j,2}^f},$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_f, \mathbf{e}_{y_{j,1}^f}, \mathbf{e}_{y_{j,2}^f} \mid f \in V_f(\mathfrak{h}), j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a set of orthonormal integral vectors which are orthogonal to the matrix N'. It is easy to check that the matrix N satisfies $A(G(\mathfrak{h}, n)) + 3I = N^T N$.

Conversely, assume that the graph $G(\mathfrak{h},n)$ is 1-integrable for all $n \geq D$. Let $n' := \max\{D, D', 20\}$ be a positive integer. Then there exists an integral matrix N such that $A(G(\mathfrak{h}, n')) + 3I = N^T N$ holds. For any vertex x of $G(\mathfrak{h}, n')$, we denote by N_x the column of N indexed by x, and for the column vector N_x , we denote by N_x the support of N_x , that is, the set $\{j \mid (N_x)_j \neq 0\}$. Note that the size of N_x is 3. Now for any vertex N_x of N_x and any fat vertex N_x and N_x in N_x in N_x are harder N_x and N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x and N_x are N_x x_i and y_j^f are adjacent in $G(\mathfrak{h},n')$. Moreover, as $n\geq 20$, we can find four vertices $y_{j_1}^f$, $y_{j_2}^f$, $y_{j_3}^f$ and $y_{j_4}^f$ satisfying the following conditions:

- there exists an integer r(f) such that $r(f) = \bigcap_{p=1}^4 \underline{N}_{y_{j_p}^f} \cap \underline{N}_{x_i}$;
- $(N_{x_i})_{r(f)} = (N_{y_{j_n}^f})_{r(f)} \in \{1, -1\} \text{ for all } 1 \le p \le 4;$
- $|\underline{N}_{y_{j_p}^f} \cap \underline{N}_{y_{j_q}^f}| = 1$, for all $1 \le p < q \le 4$.

Then, for any $t \neq i$, if x_t is adjacent to f in \mathfrak{h} , then we have $r(f) \in \underline{N}_{x_t}$ and $(N_{x_t})_{r(f)} = (N_{x_i})_{r(f)}$, as x_t is adjacent to all of $y_{j_1}^f$, $y_{j_2}^f$, $y_{j_3}^f$ and $y_{j_4}^f$ and the size of \underline{N}_{x_t} is 3. Moreover, if x_t is not adjacent to f in \mathfrak{h} , then we have $r(f) \notin \underline{N}_{x_t}$, as x_t is adjacent to none of $y_{j_1}^f$, $y_{j_2}^f$, $y_{j_3}^f$ and $y_{j_4}^f$ and the size of \underline{N}_{x_t} is 3. Now considering the submatrix of N indexed by the vertices of $V_s(\mathfrak{h})$, we change its $(r(f), x_i)^{\text{th}}$ position from non-zero to zero for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and obtain a new matrix N'. It is easy to check that the integral matrix N' satisfies $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + 3I = (N')^T N'$. This shows that $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, 3)$ is 1-integrable.

Using the fat Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{p}(H)$, as defined in Subsection 2.2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12. Assume that H is a non-complete graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -2. Then the graph H is 1-integrable if and only if there exists a constant D such that the graph $G(\mathfrak{p}(H), n)$ is 1-integrable for all $n \geq D$.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 2.7.

Example 2.13. Note that the lattice $\Lambda(\widetilde{E}_6)$ is isomorphic to the lattice E_6 , and hence the graph \widetilde{E}_6 is not 1-integrable. Corollary 2.12 tells us that there exists a positive integer D such that the graph $G(\mathfrak{p}(\widetilde{E}_6), n)$ with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 is not 1-integrable when $n \geq D$. As the minimal degree of $G(\mathfrak{p}(\widetilde{E}_6), n)$ is equal to n, we obtain an infinite family of graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and unbounded minimal degree.

2.5 Associated Hoffman graphs

In this subsection, we summarize some facts about associated Hoffman graphs and quasi-cliques, which provide some connection between Hoffman graphs and graphs. For more details, we refer to [10] and [12].

Let m be a positive integer and let G be a graph that does not contain \widetilde{K}_{2m} as an induced subgraph, where \widetilde{K}_{2m} is the graph on 2m+1 vertices

consisting of a complete graph K_{2m} and a vertex ∞ which is adjacent to exactly m vertices of the K_{2m} . Let $n \geq (m+1)^2$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{C}(n) := \{C \mid C \text{ is a maximal clique of } G \text{ with at least } n \text{ vertices}\}$. Define the relation \equiv_n^m on $\mathcal{C}(n)$ by $C_1 \equiv_n^m C_2$ if each vertex $x \in C_1$ has at most m-1 non-neighbors in C_2 and each vertex $y \in C_2$ has at most m-1 non-neighbors in C_1 . Note that \equiv_n^m is an equivalence relation.

Let $[C]_n^m$ denote the equivalence class of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ of G under the equivalence relation \equiv_n^m containing the maximal clique C of $\mathcal{C}(n)$. We define the quasiclique $Q([C]_n^m)$ of C with respect to the pair (m, n), as the induced subgraph of G on the set $\{x \in V(G) \mid x \text{ has at most } m-1 \text{ non-neighbors in } C\}$.

Let $[C_1]_n^m, \ldots, [C_r]_n^m$ be the equivalence classes of maximal cliques under \equiv_n^m . The associated Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(G, m, n)$ is the Hoffman graph satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $V_s(\mathfrak{g}) = V(G), V_f(\mathfrak{g}) = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r\};$
- (ii) The slim graph of \mathfrak{g} equals G;
- (iii) For each i, the fat vertex f_i is adjacent to exactly all the vertices of $Q([C_i]_n^m)$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,r$.

The following result, which is a crucial tool in this paper, is shown in [10, Proposition 4.1].

Proposition 2.14. Let G be a graph and let $m \ge 2$, ϕ , σ , $p \ge 1$ be integers. There exists a positive integer $n = n(m, \phi, \sigma, p) \ge (m+1)^2$ such that for any integer $q \ge n$ and any Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} with at most ϕ fat vertices and at most σ slim vertices, the graph $G(\mathfrak{h}, p)$ is an induced subgraph of G, provided that the graph G satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The graph G does not contain \widetilde{K}_{2m} as an induced subgraph;
- (ii) Its associated Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(G, m, q)$ contains \mathfrak{h} as an induced Hoffman subgraph.

3 A limit result

In this section, we will give a limit result on matrices. As a first step, we need the following two inequalities which are direct consequences of the interlacing theorem and the Courant-Weyl inequalities. We denote, for a symmetric real matrix M, its smallest eigenvalue by $\lambda_{\min}(M)$.

Lemma 3.1. (i) ([7, Theorem 9.1.1]) If M is a real symmetric matrix and M' a principal submatrix of M, then $\lambda_{\min}(M') \geq \lambda_{\min}(M)$.

(ii) ([2, Theorem 2.8.1]) Let M_1 and M_2 be two real symmetric matrices. If $M := M_1 - M_2$ is positive semidefinite, then $\lambda_{\min}(M_1) \ge \lambda_{\min}(M_2)$.

Now we give the limit result. The main idea comes from the proof of [9, Theorem 2.14].

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathcal{I} be a finite set and $\pi = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2\}$ a partition of \mathcal{I} . \mathcal{I}_1 \mathcal{I}_2 Assume $M := \mathcal{I}_1 \begin{pmatrix} M^{1,1} & M^{1,2} \\ M^{2,1} & M^{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$ is a block matrix indexed by $\mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2$, which has smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(M)$ at most -1. For a given non-empty set \mathcal{I}_3 disjoint from \mathcal{I} , say with $n \geq 1$ elements, define a symmetric block matrix M(n) indexed by $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_3$ as follows:

$$\widehat{M}(n) := \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_1 & \mathcal{I}_2 & \mathcal{I}_3 \\ \mathcal{I}_1 \begin{pmatrix} M^{1,1} & M^{1,2} & O \\ M^{2,1} & M^{2,2} + J & J \\ O & J & J - I \end{pmatrix},$$

where O, J and I are the zero matrix, the all-ones matrix and the identity matrix, respectively. Denote by μ_n the smallest eigenvalue of M(n). Then the following holds:

- (i) The sequence $(\mu_n)_{n>1}$ is non-increasing;
- (ii) $\mu_n \ge \lambda_{\min}(M)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n = \lambda_{\min}(M)$;
- (iii) Let $\mu < -1$ be a real number. If $\widehat{M}(n)$ has a principal submatrix of order at most m with smallest eigenvalue less than μ , then M also has a principal submatrix of order at most m with smallest eigenvalue less than μ .

(i) If $n_1 \geq n_2$, then $\widehat{M}(n_2)$ is a principal submatrix of $\widehat{M}(n_1)$. By Proof. Lemma 3.1 (i), we have $\mu_{n_2} \geq \mu_{n_1}$ and hence $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is non-increasing.

(ii) As

$$\widehat{M}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} M^{1,1} & M^{1,2} & O \\ M^{2,1} & M^{2,2} & O \\ O & O & -I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & J & J \\ O & J & J \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\begin{pmatrix} O & O & O \\ O & J & J \\ O & J & J \end{pmatrix}$ is positive semidefinite, by Lemma 3.1 (ii), we obtain

$$\mu_n \ge \lambda_{\min}\begin{pmatrix} M^{1,1} & M^{1,2} & O \\ M^{2,1} & M^{2,2} & O \\ O & O & -I \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{\min}(M) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (1)

Since $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a non-increasing sequence bounded below by $\lambda_{\min}(M)$, we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n$ exists.

To show $\lambda_{\min}(M) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n$, we will show that

$$\lambda_{\min}(M) \ge \mu_n + \frac{(-\mu_n - 1)|\mathcal{I}_2|}{n - \mu_n - 1}$$
 holds for all $n \ge 2$.

As J-I is a principal submatrix of $\widehat{M}(n)$ and has smallest eigenvalue -1 if $n \geq 2$, we see that $\mu_n \leq -1$ for $n \geq 2$. Since the matrix $\widehat{M}(n) - \mu_n I$ is positive semidefinite, there exist matrices $\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}^{1,1}, \widehat{N}^{1,2}$ and $\widehat{N}^{1,3}$ such that the following holds:

(i)
$$\widehat{N} = (\widehat{N}^{1,1}, \widehat{N}^{1,2}, \widehat{N}^{1,3});$$

(ii)
$$\widehat{M}(n) - \mu_n I = \widehat{N}^T \widehat{N};$$

(iii)
$$(\widehat{N}^{1,1})^T \widehat{N}^{1,1} = M^{1,1} - \mu_n I;$$

(iv)
$$(\hat{N}^{1,2})^T \hat{N}^{1,2} = M^{2,2} + J - \mu_n I$$
; and

(v)
$$(\widehat{N}^{1,3})^T \widehat{N}^{1,3} = J - (\mu_n + 1)I$$
.

We will write **j** for a (column) vector with only ones. Set $\epsilon_1 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-\mu_n-1}}$, $\epsilon_2 = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_n-1}{n-\mu_n-1}}$, and $\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-\mu_n-1)}} \widehat{N}^{1,3} \mathbf{j}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{u}^{T}\mathbf{u} = 1, \ \mathbf{u}^{T}\widehat{N}^{1,1} = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{u}^{T}\widehat{N}^{1,2} = (1 - \epsilon_1)\mathbf{j}^{T}, \ \text{and} \ \epsilon_2^2 = 2\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1^2.$$
 (2)

Let B be the $\binom{|\mathcal{I}_2|}{2} \times |\mathcal{I}_2|$ matrix defined by $B_{\{i,j\},k} = \delta_{i,k} - \delta_{j,k}$, where $i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}_2$ and $i \neq j$. Then

$$B^T B = |\mathcal{I}_2| I - J. \tag{3}$$

Define the matrix N as follows:

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{N}^{1,1} & \widehat{N}^{1,2} + (\epsilon_1 - 1)\mathbf{u}\mathbf{j}^T \\ \epsilon_2 \sqrt{|\mathcal{I}_2|}I & O \\ O & \epsilon_2 B \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (2) and (3), we find that $N^T N = M + (-\mu_n + \epsilon_2^2 |\mathcal{I}_2|)I$ holds and this completes the proof.

(iii) Suppose $\widehat{M}'(n)$ is a principal submatrix of $\widehat{M}(n)$ with order m and smallest eigenvalue less than μ , indexed by $\mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2 \cup \mathcal{J}_3$, where $\mathcal{J}_i \subseteq \mathcal{I}_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Let M' be the principal submatrix of M indexed by $\mathcal{J}_1 \cup \mathcal{J}_2$. Then replacing M and $\widehat{M}(n)$ in Inequality (1) by M' and $\widehat{M}'(n)$, respectively, we see that M' has smallest eigenvalue at most μ .

4 Minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs

Let \mathfrak{G}_3 be the set of fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3. Then, by Lemma 2.6, for any $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{G}_3$, every fat induced Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{h} is also contained in \mathfrak{G}_3 . A minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graph for \mathfrak{G}_3 is a fat Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{f} \notin \mathfrak{G}_3$ such that every proper fat induced Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{f} is contained in \mathfrak{G}_3 .

In this section, we will show that the number of isomorphism classes of minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 is finite and all these minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs have at most 10 slim vertices. We first need a forbidden matrix result.

4.1 A forbidden matrix result

In this subsection, we will show a forbidden matrix result, which will be used later to show that any minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graph for \mathfrak{G}_3 has at most 10 slim vertices. We will use a result of Vijayakumar to show this result.

In [17], Vijayakumar proved that any connected signed graph with smallest eigenvalue less than -2 has an induced signed subgraph with at most 10 vertices and smallest eigenvalue less than -2. As a consequence of this result, he showed the following:

Theorem 4.1. ([17, Theorem 4.2]) Let M be a real symmetric matrix, whose diagonal entries are 0 and off-diagonal entries are integers. If the smallest eigenvalue of M is less than -2, then one of its principal submatrices of order at most 10 also has an eigenvalue less than -2.

By Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a real symmetric matrix, whose diagonal entries are 0 or -1 and off-diagonal entries are integers. If the smallest eigenvalue of M is less than -2, then one of its principal submatrices of order at most 10 also has an eigenvalue less than -2.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_1 := \{i \mid M_{ii} = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_2 := \{i \mid M_{ii} = -1\}$ be two disjoint sets of indices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that M is the block

matrix \mathcal{I}_1 $\binom{M^{1,1}}{\mathcal{I}_2} \binom{M^{1,2}}{M^{2,1}}$. Then the matrix $\widehat{M}(n)$, as defined in Theorem 3.2, has 0-diagonal and integral off-diagonal entries. Hence the result follows by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.2.

4.2 Forbidden special matrices

In this subsection, we will give some necessary conditions on the matrices that can be the special matrices of minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 .

For two square matrices M_1 and M_2 , we say that M_1 is equivalent to M_2 , if there exists a permutation matrix P such that $P^TM_1P = M_2$. A square matrix M is called *irreducible* if M is not equivalent to a block diagonal matrix.

Proposition 4.3. If \mathfrak{f} is a minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graph for \mathfrak{G}_3 , then the matrix $M := Sp(\mathfrak{f}) + I$ satisfies the following properties.

- (i) M is symmetric and irreducible;
- (ii) $M_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M_{ij} \leq 1$, and $M_{ii} \leq 0$ for all i, j;
- (iii) $M_{ij} \ge \max\{M_{ii}, M_{jj}\} 1$ for all i, j;
- (iv) The smallest eigenvalue of M is less than -2;
- (v) Every principal submatrix of M has smallest eigenvalue at least -2.

Proof. Note that the columns and the rows of $Sp(\mathfrak{f})$ are indexed by the slim vertices of \mathfrak{f} and the smallest eigenvalue of M is less than -2.

Since $Sp(\mathfrak{f})$ is symmetric, M is also symmetric. Let U be a proper subset of $V_s(\mathfrak{f})$ and let \mathfrak{f}' be the Hoffman subgraph generated by U. Then $Sp(\mathfrak{f}') + I$ is exactly the principal submatrix indexed by U. This shows (v) and that M is irreducible.

By Lemma 2.5, (ii) and (iii) hold. It is clear that (iv) holds.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ be the set of square matrices containing all matrices $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfying the five properties of Proposition 4.3.

Proposition 4.4. Let $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ be a matrix.

- (i) If M contains a diagonal entry at most -3, then $M = M_1(a)$ for some integer $a \ge 3$ where $M_1(a) = (-a)$;
- (ii) If M contains a diagonal entry -2, then M is equivalent to $M_2(b_1, b_2)$ for some integers $b_1 \in \{1, -1, -2, -3\}$, and $-2 \le b_2 \le \min\{0, b_1 + 1\}$, where $M_2(b_1, b_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & b_1 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (iii) If all diagonal entries of M are 0 or -1, then M has order at most 10.

Proof. Statements (i) and (ii) are straightforward to check. Statement (iii) follows directly from Theorem 4.2.

Now we are in the position to show that there are only finitely many (isomorphism classes of) minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 .

Proposition 4.5. Let \mathfrak{f} be a minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graph for \mathfrak{G}_3 . Then \mathfrak{f} satisfies exactly one of the following statements:

(i) There exists a slim vertex of f which contains at least four fat neighbors. In this case, f is isomorphic to



(ii) Every slim vertex of $\mathfrak f$ has at most three fat neighbors. In this case, $\mathfrak f$ has at most 10 slim vertices.

In particular, there are finitely many isomorphism classes of minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 .

Proof. Suppose that there exists a slim vertex of \mathfrak{f} with at least four fat neighbors. Then $Sp(\mathfrak{f})+I$ is equivalent to $M_1(a)$ for some $a\geq 3$. If a is at least 4, then \mathfrak{f} contains $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ as a proper induced Hoffman subgraph. By the minimality of \mathfrak{f} , we have a=3. Thus $Sp(\mathfrak{f})=(-4)$ and \mathfrak{f} is isomorphic to $\wedge \bullet \bullet$

Now, suppose that every slim vertex of \mathfrak{f} has at most three fat neighbors. Then $Sp(\mathfrak{f})+I$ has order at most 10 by Proposition 4.4 (ii) and (iii). This shows that \mathfrak{f} has at most 10 slim vertices.

5 Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 5.1. There exists a positive integer p such that if G is a connected graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(G)$ at least -3, then the associated Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g}(G,12,r)$ has smallest eigenvalue at least -3 for any integer $r \geq p$.

Proof. Let G be a graph with $\lambda_{\min}(G) \geq -3$. As $\lambda_{\min}(\widetilde{K}_{24}) < -3$, it follows that G does not contain \widetilde{K}_{24} as an induced subgraph. Now it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{g}(G,12,r)$ does not contain an isomorphic copy of a minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graph for \mathfrak{G}_3 as an induced Hoffman subgraph. Let $\{\mathfrak{f}_1,\mathfrak{f}_2,\ldots,\mathfrak{f}_s\}$ be the set of the isomorphism classes of the minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 . By Theorem 2.8, we find that for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, there exists a positive integer p_i' such that $\lambda_{\min}(G(\mathfrak{f}_i,p_i')) < -3$, since $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{f}_i) < -3$. Let $p_i = n(12,|V_f(\mathfrak{h}_i)|,|V_s(\mathfrak{h}_i)|,p_i')$ and $p = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} p_i$. Then for $r \geq p$, the Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g}(G,12,r)$ does not contain an isomorphic copy of any \mathfrak{f}_i as an induced Hoffman subgraph by Proposition 2.14. This completes the proof.

Now we recall the Ramsey theorem.

Theorem 5.2. ([15]) Let a, b be two positive integers. Then there exists a minimum positive integer R(a,b) such that for any graph G on $n \ge R(a,b)$ vertices, the graph G contains a dependent set of size a or an independent set of size b.

The number R(a, b) in the previous theorem is called a Ramsey number. With Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, we will show the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. There exists a positive integer K, such that if a graph G with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 has minimal degree at least K, then G is the slim graph of a fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least -3.

Proof. Choose n = p, where p is such that Lemma 5.1 holds. Let K be equal to the Ramsey number R(n, 10). For any vertex x of G, the neighborhood of x contains a clique with order at least n, since G does not contain a 10-claw as an induced subgraph. This implies that the associated Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g}(G, 12, n)$ is fat. By Lemma 5.1, the fat Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{g}(G, 12, n)$ has smallest eigenvalue at least -3 and G is the slim graph of $\mathfrak{g}(G, 12, n)$.

Now we are in the position to prove our main result Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By using Theorem 1.1, the theorem holds for graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2. Now we may assume that $-3 \le \lambda_{\min}(G) < -2$ holds. Let K be a positive integer such that Theorem 5.3 holds. Then there exists a fat Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 which has G as the slim graph. Note that $\lfloor \lambda_{\min}(G) \rfloor = \lfloor \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{h}) \rfloor = -3$. By Lemma 2.9 and Corollary 2.10, it is sufficient to show that the integral lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h},3)$ is 2-integrable.

Suppose N is a matrix such that $Sp(\mathfrak{h}) + 3I = N^T N$ holds. Denote by $N_{r_1}, N_{r_2}, \ldots, N_{r_n}$ all the non-zero columns of N. Since the Hoffman graph \mathfrak{h} is fat, then the norm $||N_{r_i}||^2$ of N_{r_i} is 1 or 2 for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This means that the lattice $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, 3)$ is a direct sum of a standard lattice and irreducible root lattices. As any irreducible root lattice is 2-integrable, we find that $\Lambda^{red}(\mathfrak{h}, 3)$ is also 2-integrable. This completes the proof.

Remark 5.4. In Remark 1.4 (ii), we claimed that there exists a real number $\varepsilon < -3$, such that for any real number $\lambda \in (\varepsilon, -3]$, there exists a constant $f(\lambda)$, such that if a graph G has smallest eigenvalue at least λ and minimal degree at least $f(\lambda)$, then the smallest eigenvalue of G is at least -3. The real number ε can be found as the largest number among the smallest eigenvalues of the minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 . As there are finitely many isomorphism classes of the minimal forbidden fat Hoffman graphs for \mathfrak{G}_3 , the number ε exists.

We finish this paper with two conjectures and one problem.

Conjecture 5.5. There exists a positive integer σ such that any connected graph G with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 is σ -integrable.

Conjecture 5.6. There exists a positive integer σ such that for any irreducible integral lattice Λ generated by vectors of norm 3, the lattice Λ is σ -integrable.

We would also like to formulate the following problem: Find more maximal connected graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3.

Acknowledgments

J.H. Koolen is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471009 and No. 11671376).

References

- [1] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of graphs*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [3] P.J. Cameron, J.M. Goethals, J.J. Seidel, E.E. Shult, Line graphs, root systems, and elliptic geometry, *J. Algebra*, 43:305–327, 1976.
- [4] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, Low-dimensional lattices V. Integral coordinates for integral lattices, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 426:211-232, 1989.
- [5] W. Ebeling, Lattices and codes. A course partially based on lectures by F. Hirzebruch. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. *Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig*, 1994.
- [6] J.M. Goethals, J.J. Seidel, The regular two-graph on 276 vertices, *Discrete Math.*, 12:143–158, 1975.
- [7] C.D. Godsil, G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] A.J. Hoffman, On graphs whose least eigenvalue exceeds $-1-\sqrt{2}$, Linear Algebra Appl., 16:153–165, 1977.
- [9] H.J. Jang, J.H. Koolen, A. Munemasa, T. Taniguchi, On fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3, Ars Math. Contemp., 7:105–121, 2014.
- [10] H.K. Kim, J.H. Koolen, J.Y. Yang, A structure theory for graphs with fixed smallest eigenvalue, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 540:1–13, 2016.
- [11] J.H. Koolen, M.U. Rehman, Q. Yang, On the integrability of strongly regular graphs, preprint.
- [12] J.H. Koolen, J.Y. Yang, Q. Yang, A generalization of a theorem of Hoffman, arXiv:1612.07085.
- [13] C. Bachoc, G. Nebe, B. Venkov, Odd unimodular lattices of minimum 4, *Acta Arith.*, 101:151–158, 2002.
- [14] G. Nebe, B. Venkov, On lattices whose minimal vectors form a 6-design, European J. Combin., 30:716–724, 2009.

- [15] F.P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 30:264–286, 1930.
- [16] E. Shult, A. Yanushka, Near *n*-gons and line systems, *Geom. Dedicata*, 9::1–72, 1980.
- [17] G.R. Vijayakumar, Signed graphs represented by D_{∞} , European J. Combin., 8:103–112, 1987.
- [18] E. Witt, Spiegelungsgruppen und Aufzählung halbeinfacher Liescher Ringe, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ., 14:289–322, 1941.
- [19] R. Woo, A. Neumaier, On graphs whose smallest eigenvalue is at least $-1-\sqrt{2}$, Linear Algebra Appl., 226–228:577–591, 1995.