

What is Nonreciprocity? – Part II

Christophe Caloz, *Fellow, IEEE*, Andrea Alù, *Fellow, IEEE*, Sergei Tretyakov, *Fellow, IEEE*, Dimitrios Sounas, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Karim Achouri, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Zoé-Lise Deck-Léger

Abstract—This paper is the second part of a two-part paper on *Electromagnetic (EM) Nonreciprocity (NR)*. Part I has given a general definition of NR, pointed out that linear NR is a stronger form of NR than nonlinear (NL) NR, explained EM Time-Reversal (TR) Symmetry (TRS) Breaking (TRS-B), described linear Time-Invariant (TI) NR media, generalized the Lorentz reciprocity theorem for NR, and provided a physical interpretation of the resulting Onsager-Casimir relations [1]. This part first clarifies the TR paradox in lossy and open systems, and points out the TR-similarity between open and lossy systems. Next, it proposes an extended version of the S-parameters to fully or partly model *all NR systems*. Then, it presents the fundamentals of linear-TI (LTI) NR, linear Time-Variant (LTV) Space-Time (ST) modulated NR and NL NR systems. Finally, it removes typical confusions between asymmetric and NR systems.

Index Terms—Nonreciprocity (NR), Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B), loss irreversibility, Onsager-Casimir relations, extended scattering parameters, Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) and Linear Time-Variant (LTV) systems, Space-Time (ST) varying systems, nonlinear (NL) systems, metamaterials, asymmetry.

I. INTRODUCTION

Part I [1] has introduced the overall paper and covered the corresponding topics enumerated in the abstract. This second part continues the paper with the additional topics in the abstract and concludes it. After subdividing the linear category of NR systems (Tab. [1].I) into Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) and Linear Time-Variant (LTV)¹ systems, it expands the concepts of Part I to lossy and open systems and resolves their ST paradox, next extends the scattering parameter concept from LTI systems to LTV Space-Time (ST) and NL systems, then presents the fundamental NR characteristics and devices for these three types of systems, and finally warns against fallacious similarities between NR and asymmetry.

	LINEAR		NONLINEAR
	TI $\bar{\bar{\chi}}(\mathbf{B}_0) \neq \bar{\bar{\chi}}^T(\mathbf{B}_0)$	TV (SPACE-TIME) $\bar{\bar{\chi}}(\mathbf{v}_0) \neq \bar{\bar{\chi}}^T(\mathbf{v}_0)$	$\bar{\bar{\chi}}(\mathbf{E}) \neq \bar{\bar{\chi}}^T(\mathbf{E})$
TIME REVERSAL Secs. [1].III-VI	✓	✓	✓
ONSAGER-CASIMIR Eqs. [1].(11)	✓	✓	✓
LORENTZ NR Secs. [1].VII-IX	✓	✗	✗
EXTENDED S-PAR. Sec. V	✓	✓ Δ	✓ $\Delta\Delta$

TABLE I

FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPT APPLICABILITY TO DIFFERENT NR SYSTEMS.

Table I, with generic susceptibility $\bar{\bar{\chi}}$, presents *Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B)* and *Onsager-Casimir relations* as a common descriptor for all NR systems, points out the exclusive applicability of the Lorentz reciprocity theorem to LTI systems, and indicates the extended S-parameter applicability to the three systems with increasing restriction.

C. Caloz and Z.-L. Deck-Léger are with Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, QC H3T-1J4, Canada (e-mail: christophe.caloz@polymtl.ca). A. Alù and D. Sounas are with the University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA. S. Tretyakov is with Aalto University, Aalto, FI-00076, Finland. K. Achouri is with EPFL, Lausanne, CH-1015, Switzerland.

¹Consider the isotropic dielectric medium system: $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$. The system is clearly NL if $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathbf{E})$, since it excludes superposition because $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2)$ [2]. However, we call here *linear-TV* a system with $\epsilon = \epsilon(t) \neq \epsilon(\mathbf{E})$ insofar such a system *supports superposition*, although it is often called NL due to the generation of new frequencies.

II. THE TR PARADOX IN LOSSY SYSTEMS

Consider the process of EM wave propagation in a simple *lossy linear reciprocal (no bias) waveguide system*. Let us see how such a system respond to TR (Secs. [1].III to [1].IV) using the **TRS-B criterion test** in Sec. [1].V.

In the direct problem, the wave is attenuated by dissipation it propagates from port P_1 to port P_2 , say from 1 W to 1/2 W (3 dB loss). Upon TR, the propagation direction is reversed, and loss is transformed into gain (Tab. [1].II). As a result, the wave propagates back from P_2 to P_1 and its power level is restored, from 1/2 W to 1 W. The process has thus time-symmetrically returned to its initial state. However, the *system has been altered*, since it has become a gain system. Therefore, the TR test is irrelevant (Sec. [1].V), just as the Faraday gyrotropy test in Fig. [1].2(b). The lossy system should be maintained unaltered, as the Faraday system in Fig. [1].2(c). The attenuated 1/2 W level at P_2 then further attenuates to 1/4 W at P_1 (6 dB loss). So, breaking the loss-to-gain transformation TR rule, and hence making the process TRA, leads to the conclusion that, as the Faraday system in Figs. [1].2(b) and (c), the lossy system is **nonreciprocal!** This conclusion is in **contradiction** with the well-known fact, recalled above, that a linear system cannot be NR without an external bias! This is the **paradox** of TR in lossy media.

III. THERMODYNAMICAL RESOLUTION OF THE PARADOX

Thus, TRS-B, presented in [1] as a criterion for NR, does not seem to work as such in the presence of loss, where it qualifies a clearly reciprocal system as NR! The resolution of this paradox resides in thermodynamics considerations underpinning the reciprocity relations derived by Onsager [3]–[6]. **A lossy process, while microscopically reversible, is macroscopically irreversible, and the apparent nonreciprocity of a lossy system (Sec. II) is in fact an expression of this macroscopic irreversibility rather than nonreciprocity.** Let us clarify this rather sibylline statement.

According to the rationale of the Maxwell demon [7], the initial state of a process would be restored if a hypothetical demon would reverse the velocities of *all* the atoms agitated in the system. In this sense, any system is *microscopically reversible*. However, in a lossy process, such reversibility is *macroscopically, and hence practically, prohibited by the second law of thermodynamics*², as it would imply decreasing the entropy of the system³. **This macroscopic irreversibility⁴ in a lossy system may be assimilated to a restricted form**

²“The total entropy in an isolated system can never decrease over time”.

³Consider the example of a simple capacitor-resistor circuit [8]. The transfer of charges from the capacitor to the resistor results in electric energy being transformed into heat (Joule first law), which can never be macroscopically reconverted into electrical energy, even if, microscopically, a Maxwell demon could theoretically – in violation of the second law of thermodynamics! – rearrange all the molecules of the (closed) system to accomplish this feat!

⁴There is no macroscopic irreversibility in the bias example of Figs. [1].2(b) and (c). Of course, a perfectly lossless medium does not exist. However, in a practical NR Faraday medium (e.g. YIG), the loss is small enough to be considered a perturbational effect, and has anyways nothing to do with NR.

of NR, characterized by TRS-broken field levels, despite possibly TRS received-transmitted field ratios. Indeed, in the unaltered (lossy) system of above, the field ratios are equal $-0.5\text{W}/1\text{W} = 0.25\text{W}/0.5\text{W}$ (3 dB irreversible loss), while the field levels are not equal – e.g. $(1\text{W} + 0.5\text{W})/2 = 0.75 \neq (0.5\text{W} + 0.25\text{W})/2 = 0.375$. So, the TRS-B NR verdict still provides fundamental information on the physics of a lossy system, viz. its macroscopic irreversibility or restricted NR.

The paradox lies thus in the looseness⁵ of the assumption that “TRS/A is equivalent to reciprocity/NR” in Sec. [1].III. This assumption is, as pointed out in Fn. [1].8, *stricto sensu* incorrect, as the equivalence **only holds in terms of absolute field levels** but not field ratios! In the case of loss, as just seen, the field ratios are equal, consistently with the general definition of reciprocity in Sec. [1].II, but the field levels are not, in contradiction with the definition of TR in Sec. [1].III. It is therefore unsurprising that the application of the TRS-B criterion leads to the conclusion of NR, which really represents only the aforementioned restricted form of NR.

IV. OPEN SYSTEMS AND THEIR TR-“LOSSY” BEHAVIOR

An *open system* is TRS-wise similar to a lossy system. Consider the two-antenna system example in Fig. 1, showing the original [Fig. 1(a)], TR [Fig. 1(b)] and reciprocal [Fig. 1(c)] problems. The nature of the system is clearly altered upon TR [Fig. 1(b)], where the impedance of the surrounding medium becomes negative (Tab. [1].II). This results from the fact that the *radiated and scattered energy escaping the antennas* in the original problem is *equivalent to loss* insofar as the *two-port system* is concerned. Such loss transforms into gain upon TR, as in the actually lossy system, leading to fields emerging from infinity. Upon replacing TR by restricted-TR so as to avoid denaturing the system, one would find, as in the lossy case, reduced field levels but conserved field ratios⁶. **An open system is thus TR-wise similar to a lossy system** (Sec. II)⁷.

V. EXTENDED SCATTERING PARAMETER MODELING

The lossy/open system paradox (Secs. II/IV) and the common definition in Sec. [1].II, suggest describing *LTI (non)reciprocal systems* in terms of **field ratios**. This leads to the **scattering parameters or S-parameters**, used for over 70 years in microwave engineering [9]–[11], extended to power parameters for arbitrary loads in the 1960ies [11], [12], and to the cross-coupled matrix theory for topologically-coupled resonators in the 2000s [13], [14], with recent introduction of complex inverters for NR [15]. We shall attempt here an **extension of these parameters to LTV and NL systems**.

Figure 2 defines an *extended arbitrary P-port network* as an electromagnetic structure delimited by a surface A with N *waveguide terminals*, T_n , supporting each a number of mode-frequency⁸ ports, $P_p = P_{\mu,\omega}^n$ with $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$ (e.g. if T_1 is a waveguide with the $M_1 = 2$ modes TE_{10} and TM_{11} and the

⁵This looseness has been tolerated because it is the *ratio* definition of Sec. [1].II, and not its restricted *level* form, that is commonly used.

⁶However, the restricted-TR problem is still *distinct* from the reciprocity problem [Fig. 1(c)] insofar as it does not reset the field level.

⁷Note that dimensionality (1D, 2D, 3D) has nothing to do with the lossy or open nature of the systems. One may indeed have a 3D lossy system and an open (infinite) 1D waveguide (with localized source and detector).

⁸“Frequency” here refers to the new frequency set (possibly infinite or continuous) in LTV and NL systems, practically restricted to discrete spectra.




Fig. 1. TRA and apparent (restricted) nonreciprocity of an open system composed of a dipole antenna and a patch antenna in free space.

$\Omega_1 = 2$ frequencies ω and 2ω , it includes the $M_1\Omega_1 = 4$ ports $P_1 = P_{\text{TE}_{10},\omega}^1$, $P_2 = P_{\text{TE}_{10},2\omega}^1$, $P_3 = P_{\text{TM}_{11},\omega}^1$ and $P_4 = P_{\text{TM}_{11},2\omega}^1$.) The transverse fields in the waveguides have the *frequency-domain form* [10], extended here to LTV and NL systems,

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{t,p}(x, y, z) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{t,p}(x, y, z) \end{Bmatrix} = (a_p e^{-j\beta_p z} \pm b_p e^{j\beta_p z}) \begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{t,p}(x, y) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{t,p}(x, y) \end{Bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $\iint_S (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{t,p} \times \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{t,q}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} ds = 2\delta_{pq}$, and where a_p/b_p ($p = 1, \dots, P$) are the port input/output complex wave amplitudes, related by the **extended S-matrix**, \mathbf{S} , as

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{a}, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_P]^T \\ [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_P]^T \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

If the system is **linear**, each entry of the matrix can be expressed by the simple transfer function $S_{ij} = b_i/a_j|_{a_k=0, k \neq j}$, which corresponds to the **conventional definition** of the S -parameters, except for the **frequency port definition extension in the ST (LTV) case**. If the system is **NL**, then $S_{ij} = S_{ij}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_P)$, and therefore **all the (significant) input signals must be simultaneously present in the measurement** of the transfer function S_{ij} , as done in *Broadband Poly-Harmonic Distortion (PHD)*, used in the Keysight microwave Nonlinear Vector Network Analyzer (NVNA) [16]–[18]¹⁰.

The standard bianisotropic reciprocity relations [19], [20], for an LTV/NL network excited by the two states \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}' with responses \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' , read

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{E}}' \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}'' - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}'' \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}') &= j\omega \left[\tilde{\mathbf{E}}'' \cdot (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^T) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}' - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}'' \cdot (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^T) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{H}}' + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}'' \cdot (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^T) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{H}}' - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}'' \cdot (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^T) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}' \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the sum ($\sum_{p=1}^P$) of fields (1) into (V), taking the volume integral of the resulting equation, applying the Gauss

⁹This relation also applies when p and q differ only by frequency (same terminal/mode) assuming narrow-band, and hence independent, port detectors.

¹⁰E.g. $S_{21}^{\text{junction}} = b_2/a_1|_{a_2=a_3=0} \neq S_{21}(a_3)$; $S_{21}^{\text{mixer}} = S_{21}^{\text{mixer}}(a_3, \text{LO})$.

theorem and the orthogonality relation, and using the Onsager-Casimir relations [Eqs. [1].(11)] to eliminate the RHS, yields

$$\sum_p (b'_p a''_p - a'_p b''_p) = \mathbf{b}'^T \mathbf{a}'' - \mathbf{a}'^T \mathbf{b}'' = \mathbf{a}'^T (\mathbf{S}^T - \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{a}'' \quad (3)$$

where (2) has been used to eliminate $\mathbf{b}^{(i, \prime)}$ in the last equality. This leads to the reciprocity condition $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^T$, and hence to the convenient **scattering-parameter NR condition**

$$\mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{S}^T \text{ or } \exists (i, j) \mid S_{ij} \neq S_{ji}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (4)$$




Fig. 2. Arbitrary P -port network and extended scattering matrix \mathbf{S} .

In the microwave regime, these \mathbf{S} -parameters can be directly measured (magnitude and phase) with a VNA [11] or with an NVNA. In contrast, in the optical regime no specific instrumentation is available for that and a special setup, with nontrivial phase handling, is therefore required [21].

VI. LINEAR-TI (LTI) NR SYSTEMS

LTI NR systems have the following characteristics: 1) TRS-B by TR-odd **external bias** \mathbf{F}_0 , which is most often a **magnetic field**, \mathbf{B}_0 [Fig. [1].2(c)]; 2) applicability, by linearity, to **arbitrary excitations and intensities – strong NR** (Tab. [1].D); 3) **frequency conservation**, and hence *unrestricted* frequency-domain description (Secs. [1].VII and [1].VIII), applicability of the **Lorentz reciprocity theorem** (Sec. [1].IX) and of **\mathbf{S} -parameters** (Sec. V); 4) generally **based on LTI materials** [22]–[30], including 2DEGs and graphene [31]–[37], or **metamaterials** [38]–[48] (Sec. [1].VII).

The main LTI NR systems are isolators, NR phase shifters and circulators [11], [22], [25]. **Isolators** ($\mathbf{S} = [0, 0; 1, 0]$)¹² are typically used to shield equipment (e.g. VNA or laser) from detuning, interfering and even destructive reflections. **NR phase shifters** ($\mathbf{S} = [0, e^{j\Delta\varphi}; 1, 0]$)¹³, such as *gyrators* ($\Delta\varphi = \pi$) [49], combine with couplers to form isolators or circulators, provide compact simulated inductors and filter inverters, and enable NR pattern and scanning arrays. **Circulators** ($\mathbf{S} = [0, 0, 1; 1, 0, 0; 0, 1, 0]$)¹⁴ are used for isolation, duplexing (radar and communication), and reflection amplifiers.

VII. LINEAR-TV (LTV) SPACE-TIME (ST) NR SYSTEMS

*LTV ST*¹⁵ NR systems have the following characteristics: 1) TRS-B by TR-odd **external bias** (\mathbf{F}_0) **velocity**, \mathbf{v}_0 ; 2) **strong NR**, as in Sec. VI; 3) **generation of new, possibly anharmonic, frequencies**, and hence *restricted* applicability of **\mathbf{S} -parameters** (Fn. 8); 4) **moving medium** (moving matter,

e.g. opto-mechanical) [19], [50]–[53] or **modulated medium** (moving perturbation, e.g. electro/acousto/NL-optic)¹⁶ [57], [58]; 5) pulse/periodic and abrupt/smooth mat./pert. motion.

As an illustration, Fig. 3 graphically depicts, using an extended Minkowski diagram [56], a **step ST-modulated (STM) system** with interface between media of refractive indices n_1 and n_2 ($n_2 > n_1$) moving in the $-z$ -direction with the constant velocity $\mathbf{v}_0 = v\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ($v < 0$) and excited by an incident (i) wave propagating in the $+z$ -direction. Upon full-TR, \mathbf{v}_0 is reversed, which leads to identical *Doppler shifts* [54] in the reflected (r) and transmitted (t) waves, as in Fig. 3(a), but alters the system. The unaltered system is TRA, and hence breaks TRS, which leads to the NR scattering in Fig. 3(b)¹⁷.




Fig. 3. Step STM system, $t > 0$. (a) $t < 0$: TRS. (b) $t < 0$: TRA-NR.

A great diversity of useful STM NR systems have been reported in recent years [62]–[80]. They are all based on the production of **different traveling phase gradient in different directions** and are, in that sense, more or less lumped/distributed [11] *variations of parametric systems developed by microwave engineers in the 1950ies*¹⁸ [81]–[87].

Figure 3 shows a **NR metasurface reflector** application [76], [88] based on a ST modulation of the type $n(x) = n_0 + n_m \cos(\beta_m x + \omega_m t)$, where $(\omega_m/\beta_m)\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{v}_0$. The STM metasurface breaks reciprocity and hence provides a quite unique

¹⁶Moving and modulated media both produce Doppler shifting [54] and NR. In contrast, only the former supports Fizeau drag [52], [55] and bianisotropy transformation [19], and only the latter allows superluminality [56].

¹⁷Purely temporal modulation (horizontal interface) [59]–[61] would clearly be insufficient for NR; spatial inversion symmetry breaking, provided here by the moving modulation, is also required to break TRS: $\bar{\chi}(\mathbf{v}_0) \neq \bar{\chi}^T(\mathbf{v}_0)$.

¹⁸The main difference is that the STM or *parametric* systems of that time were developed mostly for amplifiers or mixers, rather than NR devices.

¹¹Lossless case: $\mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{S}^\dagger$. 2-port case: $S_{21} \neq S_{12}$ or $S_{21} \neq S_{12}^*$ (lossless).

¹²They may be of *resonance, field-displacement or matched-port-circulator* type, and may involve resistive sheets, quarter-wave plates or polarizing grids.

¹³They may be of *latching (hard magnetic hysteresis) or Faraday rotation* (Sec. [1].VI) type, and may involve quarter-wave plates.

¹⁴They may be of *4-port Faraday rotation or 3-port junction rotation* type.

¹⁵As will be seen, NR requires also spatial inversion symmetry breaking.

NR device by adding the spatial and temporal momenta \mathbf{K}_{MS} and ω_{MS} to those of the incident wave¹⁹.




Fig. 4. Three-port NR STM reflective metasurface with extended \mathbf{S} matrix.

VIII. NONLINEAR (NL) NR SYSTEMS

NL NR systems have the following characteristics: 1) TRS-B by **spatial asymmetry and NL self-biasing** (NL triggering by wave itself) [89], [90]; 2) due to NL, limitation to **restricted excitations, intensities and isolation – weak NR** (Tab. [1].I), as will be shown; 3) **generation of new, only harmonic**²⁰, **frequencies, and inapplicability of superposition**, and hence *very restricted* applicability of **S-parameters** (Fns. 8 and 10); 4) diversity of TRS-B approaches.




Fig. 5. Principle of nonlinear nonreciprocity with the asymmetric cascade of a linear medium, $\epsilon_L = \epsilon_1$, and a nonlinear lossy medium, $\epsilon_{NL} = \epsilon_2 + [\epsilon'(E) - j\epsilon''(E)]$, with high mismatch, $|\Gamma| = |(\sqrt{\epsilon_{NL}} - \sqrt{\epsilon_L})/(\sqrt{\epsilon_{NL}} + \sqrt{\epsilon_L})| \gg 0$.

Figure 5 shows a simple way to achieve NL NR by **pairing a linear medium and a NL lossy medium**. The two media are assumed to be strongly *mismatched*, with interface reflection Γ . A wave injected at port P_1 experiences a transmittance of only $|T|^2 = 1 - |\Gamma|^2 \ll 1$, yielding a much smaller field level in the NL medium. If this level is insufficient to trigger NL loss, all the power transmitted through the interface ($|T|^2$) reaches port P_2 , so that $|S_{21}| = |T|$ and $|S_{11}| = |\Gamma|$. The same wave injected at P_2 , assuming sufficient intensity to trigger NL loss, experiences exponential attenuation of $e^{-\alpha \ell_{NL}}$ (ℓ_{NL} : length of NL medium), leading to $|S_{12}| = |T|e^{-\alpha \ell_{NL}} \approx 0$, with irrelevant (negligible power) harmonic frequency generation. The system is thus NR. Unfortunately, it is a **pseudo-isolator**²¹: 1) it operates only over a **restricted range of wave intensities**; 2) it works **only for one excitation direction** ($P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ or $P_2 \rightarrow P_1$) **at a time**, since the $P_2 \rightarrow P_1$ wave would trigger NL loss and hence also exting the $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ wave²²; 3) it suffers from **poor isolation** ($|S_{21}|/|S_{12}| = e^{\alpha \ell_{NL}}$) and **very poor isolation to insertion loss ratio** ($(|S_{21}|/|S_{12}|)/|S_{11}| = e^{\alpha \ell_{NL}}/|\Gamma|$)²³; 4) it

¹⁹This system actually includes infinitely many ports, $S_{n,n+1} = 0$ and $S_{n+1,n}$ with $\omega_{n+1} > \omega_n$ ($n = 1, \dots, \infty$), but its *functional* reduction in the figure is meaningful the power transfer beyond P_3 is of no interest.

²⁰This is assuming no other input than the wave itself.

²¹The device is also *not a diode*: Contrary to the diode [91], whose NR consists in different forward/backward spectra due to positive/negative wave cycle clipping (pn junction rectification), it does not induce any clipping.

²²This precludes most of the applications of real isolators (Sec. VI) [92].

²³These two parameters are typically smaller than 20dB/25dB in NR NL structures, whereas they commonly exceed 45dB/50dB in NR LTI isolators.

is essentially **reciprocal to noise** in noisy signals, since NR is then exclusively dictated by the stronger signal [92].

Ingenuous variations of the NL NR device in Fig. 5 have been recently reported [93]–[103]. Some of them partly mitigate the aforementioned issues, but these improvements are marginal in views of the superiority of LTI and LTV NR systems, due to fundamental limitations of NL NR [21], [92].

IX. DISTINCTION WITH ASYMMETRIC PROPAGATION

A NR system is a system that exhibits **TRA field ratios between well-defined ports** [Eq. (4)], which is possible only under **external biasing (linear NR) or self-biasing plus spatial asymmetry (NL NR)**. Any system not satisfying this condition is *necessarily reciprocal*, despite possible *fallacious asymmetries* [21], [92], [104]–[109]. For instance, the system in Fig. 6 exhibits **asymmetric ray propagation**, but is fully reciprocal since only the horizontal ray gets transmitted between the arrays ports, the $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ oblique rays symmetrically canceling out on the right array due to opposite phase gradients. Other deceptively NR cases include: **asymmetric field rotation-filtering** (e.g. $\pi/2$ rotator + polarizer), where $S_{21}^{yx} \neq S_{21}^{xy}$, but $S_{21}^{yx} = S_{12}^{xy}$; **asymmetric waveguide junction** (e.g. step width variation), with full transmission to larger side distributed over multi-modes and small transmission from the same mode to the smaller (single-mode) side, but reciprocal mode-to-mode transmission (e.g. $S_{51} = S_{15}$, 1: small side single-mode port, 2: large side mode/port 5) [21]; **asymmetric mode conversion** (e.g. waveguide with nonuniform load), where even mode transmits in opposite directions with and without excitation of odd mode, without breaking reciprocity, since $S_{21}^{ee} = S_{12}^{ee}$ and $S_{21}^{oe} = 0 = S_{12}^{eo}$ [107].




Fig. 6. Asymmetric *reciprocal* system formed by a lens and a mirror sandwiched between two antenna arrays under (a) left and (b) right excitations.

X. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented, in the context of novel magnetless NR systems aiming at repelling the frontiers of NR technology, a global perspective of NR, with the following conclusions: 1) NR systems may be classified into linear (TI and TV-ST) and NL systems, based on TRS-B by external biasing and self-biasing plus spatial asymmetry, respectively; 2) NL NR is a weaker form of NR than linear NR, as it suffers from restricted intensities, one-way-at-a-time excitations, poor isolation and high insertion loss; 3) TRS-B in lossy and open systems expresses the macroscopic irreversibility of such systems, which are restrictedly NR in terms of field levels but reciprocal in the usual sense of field ratios; 4) S-parameters, based on such ratios, are advantageously generalized to all types of NR systems, with some restrictions; 5) Care must be exercised to avoid confusing asymmetry with NR in some fallacious systems. Nonreciprocity is a rich and fascinating concept, that may be expected to open new scientific and technological horizons in the forthcoming decades.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Caloz, A. Alù, S. Tretyakov, D. Sounas, K. Achouri, and Z.-L. Deck-Léger, "What is nonreciprocity? – Part I: Theory," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag.*, vol. 17, 2018.
- [2] R. W. Boyd and D. Prato, *Nonlinear Optics*, 3rd ed. Academic Press, 2008.
- [3] L. Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes I," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 37, p. 405426, Feb. 1931.
- [4] —, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes II," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 38, p. 22652279, December 1938.
- [5] H. B. G. Casimir, "On Onsagers principle of microscopic reversibility," *Rev. Modern Phys.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 343–350, Apr. 1945.
- [6] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, 3rd ed., ser. Course of theoretical physics, Part I. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1997, vol. 5, Sec. 125.
- [7] C. G. Knott, "Quote from undated letter from Maxwell to Tait," *Life and Scientific Work of Peter Guthrie Tait*. Cambridge University Press, p. 215, 1911.
- [8] H. B. G. Casimir, "Reciprocity theorems and irreversible processes," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 1570–1573, Nov. 1963.
- [9] R. H. Dicke, "A computational method applicable to microwave networks," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 873–878, Oct. 1947.
- [10] N. Marcuvitz, *Waveguide Handbook*. Dover, 1951.
- [11] D. M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering*, 4th ed. Wiley, 2011, chap. 9.
- [12] K. Kurokawa, "Power waves and the scattering matrix," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 194–202, Mar. 1965.
- [13] A. E. Atia and A. E. Williams, "Narrow-bandpass waveguide filters," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 258–265, Apr. 1972.
- [14] R. J. Cameron, R. Mansour, and C. M. Kudsia, *Microwave Filters for Communication Systems: Fundamentals, Design and Application*. Wiley-Interscience, 2007.
- [15] Q. Zhang, T. Guo, B. A. Khan, T. Kodera, and C. Caloz, "Coupling matrix synthesis of nonreciprocal lossless two-port networks using gyrators and inverters," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 63, no. 9, pp. 2782–2792, Sept. 2015.
- [16] D. E. Root, J. Verspecht, D. Sharrit, J. Wood, and A. Cognata, "Broadband poly-harmonic distortion (PHD) behavioral models from fast automated simulations and large-signal vectorial network measurements," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 3656–3664, Nov. 2005.
- [17] D. E. Root, J. Horn, L. Betts, C. Gillease, and J. Verspecht, "X-parameters: the new paradigm for measurement, modeling, and design of nonlinear RF and microwave components," *Microw. Engr. Europe*, no. 12, pp. 16–21, Dec. 2008.
- [18] *Keysight 2-port and 4-port PNA-X Network analyzer N5247A 10 MHz to 67 GHz Data Sheet and Technical Specifications*, Keysight Technologies, Feb. 2017.
- [19] J. A. Kong, *Electromagnetic Wave Theory*. EMW Publishing, 2008.
- [20] E. J. Rothwell and M. J. Cloud, *Electromagnetics*, 2nd ed. CRC Press, 2008.
- [21] D. J alas, A. Petrov, M. Eich, W. Freude, S. Fan, Z. Yu, R. Baets, M. Popović, A. Melloni, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Vanwolleghem, C. R. Doerr, and H. Renner, "What is – and what is not — and optical isolator," *Nature Photon.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 579–582, Jul. 2013.
- [22] B. Lax and K. J. Button, *Microwave ferrites and ferrimagnetics*. McGraw-Hill, 1962.
- [23] F. Auracher and H. H. Witt, "A new design for an integrated optical isolator," *Opt. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 435–438, Oct. 1975.
- [24] W. S. Ishak, "Magnetostatic wave technology: a review," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 171–187, Feb. 1988.
- [25] G. P. Rodrigue, "A generation of microwave ferrite devices," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 121–137, Feb. 1988.
- [26] A. K. Zvezdin and V. A. Kotov, *Modern Magneto-optics and Magneto-optical Materials*. CRC Press, 1997.
- [27] J. D. Adam, L. E. Davis, G. F. Dionne, E. F. Schloemann, F. Ernst, and S. N. Stitzer, "Ferrite devices and materials," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 721–737, Mar. 2002.
- [28] T. Kodera and C. Caloz, "Uniform ferrite-loaded open waveguide structure with CRLH response and its application to a novel backfire-to-endfire leaky-wave antenna," *Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 784–795, Apr. 2009.
- [29] —, "Integrated leaky-wave antenna duplexer/duplexer using CRLH uniform ferrite-loaded open waveguide," *Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 2508–2514, Aug. 2010.
- [30] A. Parsa, T. Kodera, and C. Caloz, "Ferrite based non-reciprocal radome, generalized scattering matrix analysis and experimental demonstration," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 810–817, Mar. 2011.
- [31] D. L. Sounas and C. Caloz, "Electromagnetic non-reciprocity and gyrotropy of graphene," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 021911:1–3, Jan. 2011.
- [32] —, "Gyrotropy and non-reciprocity of graphene for microwave applications," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 901–914, Apr. 2012.
- [33] N. Chamanara, D. L. Sounas, T. Szkopek, and C. Caloz, "Optically transparent and flexible graphene reciprocal and nonreciprocal microwave planar components," *IEEE Microwave Wirel. Compon. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 360–362, Jul. 2012.
- [34] N. Chamanara, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Non-reciprocal magnetoplasmon graphene coupler," *Opt. Expr.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 11248–11256, May 2013.
- [35] D. L. Sounas, H. S. Skulason, H. V. Nguyen, A. Guermoune, M. Sij, T. Szkopek, and C. Caloz, "Faraday rotation in magnetically-biased graphene at microwave frequencies," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 102, no. 19, pp. 191901:1–4, May 2013.
- [36] M. Tamagnone, A. Fallahi, J. R. Mosig, and J. Perruisseau-Carrier, "Fundamental limits and near-optimal design of graphene modulators and non-reciprocal devices," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 556–563, Jul. 2014.
- [37] M. Tamagnone, C. Moldovan, J.-M. Pouirol, A. B. Kuzmenko, A. M. Ionescu, J. R. Mosig, and J. Perruisseau-Carrier, "Near optimal graphene terahertz non-reciprocal isolator," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 7, p. 11216:16, Mar. 2016.
- [38] L.-P. Carignan, V. Boucher, T. Kodera, C. Caloz, A. Yelon, and D. Ménard, "Double ferromagnetic resonance in nanowire arrays," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 062504:1–3, Aug. 2009.
- [39] V. Boucher, L.-P. Carignan, T. Kodera, C. Caloz, A. Yelon, and D. Ménard, "Effective permeability tensor and double resonance of interacting bistable ferromagnetic nanowires," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 80, pp. 224402:1–11, Dec. 2009.
- [40] T. Kodera, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Artificial Faraday rotation using a ring metamaterial structure without static magnetic field," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 031114:1–3, Jul. 2011.
- [41] L.-P. Carignan, A. Yelon, D. Ménard, and C. Caloz, "Ferromagnetic nanowire metamaterials: theory and applications," *Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 59, no. 19, pp. 2568–2586, Oct. 2011.
- [42] T. Kodera, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Non-reciprocal magnet-less CRLH leaky-wave antenna based on a ring metamaterial structure," *IEEE Antenn. Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 10, pp. 1551–1554, Jan. 2012.
- [43] —, "Switchable magnet-less non-reciprocal metamaterial (MNM) and its application to a switchable Faraday rotation metasurface," *IEEE Antenn. Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 11, pp. 1454–1457, Dec. 2012.
- [44] Z. Wang, Z. Wang, J. Wang, B. Zhang, J. Huangfu, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Soljačić, and L. Rana, "Gyrotropic response in the absence of a bias field," *PNAS*, vol. 109, no. 33, pp. 13194–13197, Aug. 2012.
- [45] D. L. Sounas, T. Kodera, and C. Caloz, "Electromagnetic modeling of a magnet-less non-reciprocal gyrotropic metasurface," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 221–231, Jan. 2013.
- [46] T. Kodera, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Magnetless non-reciprocal metamaterial (MNM) technology: application to microwave components," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1030–1042, Mar. 2013.
- [47] S. Taravati, B. S. Khan, S. Gupta, K. Achouri, and C. Caloz, "Nonreciprocal nongyrotropic magnetless metasurface," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 3589–3597, Jun. 2017.
- [48] T. Kodera and C. Caloz, "Unidirectional Loop Metamaterial (ULMs) as magnetless ferrimagnetic materials: principles and applications," *IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett.*, 2018.
- [49] B. D. H. Tellegen, "The gyrator, a new electric network element," *Philips Res. Rep.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 81–101, Apr. 1948.
- [50] A. Sommerfeld and R. G. Edward, *Electrodynamics*, ser. Lectures on theoretical physics. Academic Press, 1952, vol. III.
- [51] W. Pauli, *Theory of Relativity*. Pergamon Press, 1958.
- [52] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. Wiley, 1998.
- [53] T. J. Kippenberg and K. J. Vahala, "Cavity opto-mechanics," *Opt. Express*, vol. 15, no. 25, pp. 17172–17205, Dec. 2007.
- [54] A. Eden, *The search for Christian Doppler*, T. Martin, Ed. Springer-Verlag, 1992, contains a facsimile with an English translation of Doppler's 1842 treatise "Über das farbige Licht der Doppelsterne und einiger anderer Gestirne des Himmels".

- [55] H. Fizeau, "Sur les hypothèses relatives à l'éther lumineux," *Comptes Rendus*, vol. 33, p. 349355, 1851.
- [56] Z.-L. Deck-Léger, A. Akbarzadeh, and C. Caloz, "Wave deflection and shifted refocusing in a medium modulated by a superluminal rectangular pulse," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 97, no. 10, pp. 104 305–1:7, Mar. 2018.
- [57] E. S. Cassedy and A. A. Oliner, "Dispersion relations in time-space periodic media: Part I—stable interactions," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 1342–1359, Oct. 1963.
- [58] B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, *Fundamentals of Photonics*, 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience, 2007.
- [59] D. K. Kalluri, *Electromagnetics of time varying complex media: frequency and polarization transformer*. CRC Press, 2010.
- [60] K. Fang, Z. Yu, and S. Fan, "Photonic Aharonov-Bohm effect based on dynamic modulation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 108, no. 15, pp. 153 901:1–5, Apr. 2012.
- [61] —, "Experimental demonstration of a photonic Aharonov-Bohm effect at radio frequencies," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 060 301:1–5, Feb. 2013.
- [62] J. N. Winn, S. Fan, J. D. Joannopoulos, and E. P. Ippen, "Interband transitions in photonic crystals," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1551–1554, Jan. 1999.
- [63] P. Dong, S. F. Preble, R. T. Jacob, S. Manipatrani, and M. Lipson, "Inducing photonic transitions between discrete modes in a silicon optical microcavity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 033 904:1–4, Jan. 2008.
- [64] Z. Yu and S. Fan, "Complete optical isolation created by indirect interband photonic transitions," *Nature Photon.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 91–94, Jan. 2009.
- [65] —, "Optical isolation based on nonreciprocal phase shift induced by interband photonic transitions," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 94, no. 17, p. 171116:3, Apr. 2009.
- [66] S. Manipatrani, J. T. Robinso, and M. Lipson, "Optical nonreciprocity in optomechanical structures," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 102, no. 21, p. 213903:3, May 2009.
- [67] M. S. Kang, A. Butsch, and P. S. Russel, "Reconfigurable light-driven opto-acoustic isolators in photonic crystal fibre," *Nat. Photon.*, vol. 5, pp. 549–553, Sep. 2011.
- [68] Z. Yu and S. Fan, "Optical isolation: a non-magnetic approach," *Nature Photon.*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 517–519, Sep. 2011.
- [69] A. Kamal, J. Clarke, and M. H. Devoret, "Noiseless non-reciprocity in a parametric active device," *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 311–315, Apr. 2011.
- [70] C. R. Doerr, N. Dupuis, and L. Zhang, "Optical isolator using two tandem phase modulators," *Opt. Lett.*, vol. 36, no. 21, pp. 4293–4295, Nov. 2011.
- [71] D. L. Sounas, C. Caloz, and A. Alù, "Giant nonreciprocity at the subwavelength scale using angular-momentum biased metamaterials," *Nat. Comm.*, vol. 4, no. 2407, pp. 1–7, Sep. 2013.
- [72] C. Galland, R. Ding, N. C. Harris, T. Baehr-Jones, and M. Hochberg, "Broadband on-chip optical non-reciprocity using phase modulators," *Opt. Express*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 14 500–14 511, Jun. 2013.
- [73] S. Qin, Q. Xiu, and E. Y. Wang, "Nonreciprocal components with distributedly modulated capacitors," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 2260–2272, Nov. 2014.
- [74] D. L. Sounas and A. Alù, "Angular-momentum-biased nanorings to realize magnetic-free integrated optical isolation," *ACS Photonics*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 198–204, Feb. 2014.
- [75] N. A. Estep, D. L. Sounas, J. Soric, and A. Alù, "Magnetic-free non-reciprocity and isolation based on parametrically modulated coupled-resonator loops," *Nature Phys.*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 923–927, Dec. 2014.
- [76] Y. Hadad, D. L. Sounas, and A. Alù, "Space-time gradient metasurfaces," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 100 304:1–6, Sep. 2015.
- [77] Y. Hadad, J. Soric, and A. Alù, "Breaking temporal symmetries for emission and absorption," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 113, no. 13, p. 34713475, Mar. 2016.
- [78] N. Reiskarimian and H. Krishnaswamy, "Magnetic-free non-reciprocity based on staggered commutation," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 7, pp. 11 217:1–10, Feb. 2017.
- [79] S. Taravati and C. Caloz, "Mixer-duplexer-antenna leaky-wave system based on periodic space-time modulation," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 442–452, Feb. 2017.
- [80] S. Taravati, "Aperiodic space-time modulation for pure frequency mixing," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. 115 131–1:9, Mar. 2018.
- [81] J. R. Pierce, *Traveling-Wave Tubes*. D. Van Nostrand Company, 1950.
- [82] A. L. Cullen, "A travelling-wave parametric amplifier," *Nature*, vol. 181, no. 4605, p. 332, Feb. 1958.
- [83] P. K. Tien and H. Suhl, "A traveling-wave ferromagnetic amplifier," *Proc. IRE*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 700–706, Apr. 1958.
- [84] P. K. Tien, "Parametric amplification and frequency mixing in propagating circuits," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1347–1357, Sep. 1958.
- [85] R. Landauer, "Parametric amplification along nonlinear transmission lines," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 479–484, Mar. 1960.
- [86] W. R. LePage, C. R. Cahn, and J. S. Brown, "Analysis of a comb filter using synchronously commutated capacitors," *Trans. AIEE, Part I: Communication and Electronics*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 63–68, Mar. 1953.
- [87] L. E. Franks and I. Sandberg, "An alternative approach to the realization of network transfer functions: the N-path filter," *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1321–1350, Sep. 1960.
- [88] A. Shaltout, A. Kildishev, and V. Shalaev, "Time-varying metasurfaces and lorentz non-reciprocity," *Opt. Mat. Expr.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 2459–2467, Nov. 2015.
- [89] S. Naguleswaranand and G. E. Stedman, "Onsager relations and time-reversal symmetry in nonlinear optics," *J. Phys. B*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 935–946, Mar. 1998.
- [90] M. Trzeciński and W. Hübner, "Time-reversal symmetry in nonlinear optics," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 62, no. 21, pp. 13 888–13 891, Apr. 2000.
- [91] S. M. Sze and K. K. Ng, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, 3rd ed. Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [92] Y. Shi, Z. Yu, and S. Fan, "Limitations of nonlinear optical isolators due to dynamic reciprocity," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 388–392, Jun. 2015.
- [93] K. Gallo and G. Assanto, "All-optical diode based on second-harmonic generation in an asymmetric waveguide," *JOSA B*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 267–269, Feb. 1999.
- [94] A. E. Miroshnichenko, E. Brasselet, and Y. S. Kivshar, "Reversible optical nonreciprocity in periodic structures with liquid crystals," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 96, no. 6, pp. 063 302:1–4, Feb. 2010.
- [95] S. Lepri and G. Casati, "Asymmetric wave propagation in nonlinear systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 106, no. 16, pp. 164 101:1–4, Apr. 2011.
- [96] Y. Fan, J. Han, Z. Wei, C. Wu, Y. Cao, X. Yu, and H. Li, "Subwavelength electromagnetic diode: One-way response of cascading nonlinear meta-atoms," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 98, no. 15, p. 151903, Jul. 2011.
- [97] L. Fan, J. Wang, L. T. Varghese, H. Shen, B. Niu, Y. Xuan, A. M. Weiner, and M. Qi, "An all-silicon passive optical diode," *Science*, vol. 335, no. 6067, pp. 447–450, Jan. 2012.
- [98] N. Bender, S. Factor, J. D. Bodyfelt, H. Ramezani, D. N. Christodoulides, F. E. Ellis, and T. Kottos, "Reciprocity in reflection and transmission: What is a phonon diode?" *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 110, no. 23, pp. 234 101:1–5, Jun. 2013.
- [99] J. Wang, L. Fan, L. T. Varghese, H. Shen, Y. Xuan, B. Niu, and M. Qi, "A theoretical model for an optical diode built with nonlinear silicon microrings," *J. Light. Technol.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 313–321, Jan. 2013.
- [100] L. Chang, X. Jiang, S. Hua, C. Yang, J. Wen, L. Jiang, G. Li, G. G. Wang, and M. Xiao, "Parity-time symmetry and variable optical isolation in active-passive-coupled microresonators," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 524–529, Jul. 2014.
- [101] F. Nazari, N. Bender, H. Ramezani, M. K. Moravvej-Farshi, D. N. Christodoulides, and T. Kottos, "Optical isolation via PT-symmetric nonlinear fano resonances," *Opt. Express*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 9574–9584, Apr. 2014.
- [102] A. M. Mahmoud, A. R. Davoyan, and N. Engheta, "All-passive nonreciprocal metasurface," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 63, no. 8359, pp. 1–7, Sep. 2015.
- [103] D. L. Sounas, J. Soric, and A. Alù, "Broadband passive isolators based on coupled nonlinear resonances," *Nat. Electron.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 113–119, Feb. 2018.
- [104] X. Fang, "Polarization-independent all-fiber isolator based on asymmetric fiber tapers," *Opt. Express*, vol. 21, no. 21, pp. 1792–1794, Nov. 1993.
- [105] C. Wang, C.-Z. Zou, and Z.-Y. Li, "On-chip optical diode based on silicon photonic crystal heterojunctions," *Opt. Express*, vol. 19, no. 27, pp. 26 948–26 955, Dec. 2011.
- [106] L. Feng, M. Ayache, J. Huang, Y.-L. Xu, M.-H. Lu, Y.-F. Chen, Y. Fainman, and A. Scherer, "Nonreciprocal light propagation in a silicon photonic circuit," *Science*, vol. 333, no. 6043, pp. 729–733, Aug. 2011.
- [107] S. Fan, R. Baets, A. Petrov, Z. Yu, J. D. Joannopoulos, W. Freude, A. Melloni, M. Popović, M. V. D. Jalas, M. Eich, M. Krause, H. Renner, E. Brinkmeyer, and C. R. Doerr, "Comment on nonreciprocal light propagation in a silicon photonic circuit," *Science*, vol. 335, no. 6064, pp. 38–38, Jan. 2012.

- [108] C. Wang, X.-L. Zhong, and Z.-Y. Li, "Linear and passive silicon optical isolator," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 2, pp. 674:1–6, Sep. 2012.
- [109] A. A. Maznev, A. G. Every, and O. B. Wright, "Reciprocity in reflection and transmission: What is a phonon diode?" *Wave Motion*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 776–784, Jun. 2013.