

What is Nonreciprocity? – Part I

Christophe Caloz, *Fellow, IEEE*, Andrea Alù, *Fellow, IEEE*, Sergei Tretyakov, *Fellow, IEEE*, Dimitrios Sounas, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Karim Achouri, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Zoé-Lise Deck-Léger

Abstract— This paper is the first part of a two-part paper aiming at providing a global perspective on *Electromagnetic (EM) Nonreciprocity (NR)*. First, it situates EM-NR in microwave and optical technologies, points out issues in dominant ferrite-based NR, and mentions recent “magnetless” attempts to address them. Next, it provides a general definition of NR, and presents *Time Reversal Symmetry (TRS) breaking (TRS-B)* as a thought experiment and mathematical criterion for NR in both *linear and nonlinear (NL)* systems. Then, it describes *linear NR media* and their operation principles. Finally, it derives a generalized (non)reciprocity Lorentz theorem, and provides a physical interpretation of the resulting Onsager-Casimir relations. Part II completes the paper with lossy and open systems, extended scattering parameters, energy conservation rules, and linear Time-Invariant (TI), linear Time-Variant (TV) Space-Time (ST) and NL NR systems, and asymmetries with deceptive NR appearance [1].

Index Terms—Reciprocity and Nonreciprocity (NR), microwave, optics, ferrites, Time Reversal Symmetry (TRS) and TRS Breaking (TRS-B), thermodynamics, Onsager-Casimir relations, linear and nonlinear (NL) systems, external bias and self bias, bianisotropic media, metamaterials and metasurfaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonreciprocity (NR) arises in all branches of physics – classical mechanics, thermodynamics and statistical mechanics, condensed matter physics, electromagnetism and electronics, optics, relativity, quantum mechanics, particle and nuclear physics, and cosmology – underpinning a myriad of phenomena and applications.

In *electromagnetics*, NR is an important scientific and technological concept at both *microwave* [2], [3] and *optical* [4], [5] frequencies¹. In both regimes, NR devices have been almost exclusively based on ferrimagnetic (dielectric) compounds, called *ferrites*, such as Yttrium Iron Garnet (YIG) and materials composed of iron oxides and other elements (Al, Co, Mn, Ni) [8]. Ferrite NR results from *electron spin precession*² at microwaves [9], [10] and from *electron cyclotron orbiting*³ in optics [10], [11], with both effects being induced by a static magnetic field bias \mathbf{B}_0 , which is provided by a permanent magnet or a resistive/superconductive coil.

However, ferrite-based systems tend to be bulky, heavy, costly, and non-amenable to integrated circuit technology, due to the incompatibility of ferrite crystal lattices with those of semiconductor materials. These issues have recently triggered an intensive quest for *magnetless NR*, i.e. NR requiring no ferrimagnetic materials and magnets/coils.

C. Caloz and Z.-L. Deck-Léger are with Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, QC H3T-1J4, Canada (e-mail: christophe.caloz@polymtl.ca). A. Alù is with the CUNY Advanced Science Research Center, NY 10031, USA. D. Sounas and A. Alù are with the University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA. S. Tretyakov is with Aalto University, Aalto, FI-00076, Finland. K. Achouri is with EPFL, Lausanne, CH-1015, Switzerland.

¹Historically, the development of *commercial NR* systems, following the scientific discovery of Faraday [6] and considerations of Rayleigh [7], started in the microwave regime, following the invention of the magnetron cavity at the dawn of World War II, and experienced a peak in the period from 1950 to 1965 [2]. The development of NR systems in the optical regime lagged its microwave counterpart by nearly 30 years, roughly corresponding to the time laps between the invention of the magnetron cavity and that of the laser.

²Landau-Lifshitz Eq.: $\partial \mathbf{m} / \partial t = -\gamma \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{B}_0 + \mu_0 \mathbf{H})$ (\mathbf{m} : mag. moment).

³Eq. of motion: $(m_e/e) \partial \mathbf{v} / \partial t = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_0$ (\mathbf{v} : velocity).

This quest has led to the development of a wealth of *magnetless NR systems*, including metamaterials, space-time (ST) varying structures and nonlinear (NL) materials. However, it has also generated some confusion in the electromagnetics community [12]–[18], related to the definition of NR, the difference between linear and NL NR, the relation between NR and Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B), and the difference between NR and asymmetric propagation. The objective of this paper is to clarify this confusion, and provide a global perspective on EM NR.

II. NONRECIPROCIETY DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

Nonreciprocity is the absence of “reciprocity.” The adjective *reciprocal* itself comes from the Latin word “reciprocus” [19], built on the prefixes *re-* (backward) and *pro-* (forward), that combine in the phrase *reque proque* with the meaning of “going backward as forward.” Thus, “reciprocal” etymologically means “going the same way backward as forward.”

In physics and engineering, the concept of non/reciprocity applies to *systems*, which encompass *media or structures and components or devices*. A **non/reciprocal system is defined as a system that exhibits different/same received-transmitted field ratios when its source(s) and detector(s) are exchanged**, adding common practice (“ratios”) to etymology.

As indicated in Tab. I, whose details will be discussed throughout the (two-part) paper, NR systems may be classified into **two fundamentally distinct categories: linear and NL NR systems** [20]. We shall see that in both cases NR is based on *Time Reversal Symmetry Breaking (TRS-B)*, by an *external bias* in the linear case, and by a *combination of self biasing and structural asymmetry* in the NL case⁴. We shall also see that linear NR is stronger than NL NR, the former working for *arbitrary excitations and intensities with high isolation*, and the latter being restricted to *nonsimultaneous excitations from different directions, specific intensity conditions and poor isolation*.

	LINEAR external bias TRS-B <u>STRONG NR FORM:</u> arbitrary excitations arbitrary intensities high isolation	NONLINEAR (NL) self bias + str. asym. <u>WEAK NR FORM:</u> one excitation at a time intensity restrictions typically poor isolation
MEDIA or STRUC- TURES	ferrimagnets, ferrites magnetized plasmas 2DEGs, 2D materials NR metamaterials Space-Time (ST) media	any strongly driven mat. (e.g. glasses, crystals, semiconductors) with spatial asymmetry, NR metastructures
COMPO- NENTS or DEVICES	isolators gyrators circulators	diodes, pseudo-isolators power amplifiers vacuum tubes

TABLE I

CLASSIFICATION AND CHARACTERISTICS OF NONRECIPROCAL SYSTEMS.

⁴NR based on externally-biased NL media [21] has been little studied and has not led to practical implementation of NR systems so far.

III. TIME REVERSAL (TR) AND TR SYMMETRY (TRS)

The etymological meaning of “reciprocal” as “going the same way backward as forward” suggests the *thought experiment* depicted in Fig. 1. In this experiment, one monitors a *process* (temporal evolution of a physical phenomenon) occurring in a given *system*, with *ports* representing each a specific access point (or terminal), field mode and frequency range, *as time is reversed*. Specifically, let us monitor the process between the ports P_1 and P_2 of the system via the state vector $\Psi(t) = [\psi_{P_1}(t), \psi_{P_2}(t)]^T$ (T : transpose), where $\psi_{P_{1,2}}(t)$ represent the signal state at $P_{1,2}$. First, excite P_1 at $t = 0$ and trace the response of the system until the time $t = T$ of complete transmission to P_2 . Then, *flip the sign of the time variable* in the process⁵, which results in the system being excited at $t = -T$ and evolving until the time $t = 0$ of complete transmission to P_1 : this operation is called **Time Reversal (TR)**, and it is a concept that originates in the fundamental works of Onsager in thermodynamics [22]–[26]⁶.




Fig. 1. Time Reversal Symmetry (TRS) (red and blue curves) and broken TRS (red and green curves) or Time Reversal Asymmetry (TRA) as a general thought experiment and mathematical criterion for NR in a 2-port system. Generalization to N -port systems or continuous media and NL materials is straightforward upon implicitly considering reverse excitations at each port.

Mathematically, TR is represented by the operator \mathcal{T} , defined as

$$\mathcal{T}\{t\} = t' = -t \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{T}: t \mapsto t' = -t \quad (1)$$

when trivially applying to the time variable⁷ [28], and generally, when applying to a process, $\psi(t)$, as

$$\mathcal{T}\{\psi(t)\} = \psi'(t') = \psi'(-t). \quad (2)$$

Depending on whether it retrieves or not its initial state under TR, corresponding to the red-blue/green curve pairs in Fig. 1, i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}\{\psi(t)\} = \psi'(-t) \begin{cases} = \\ \neq \end{cases} \psi(t), \quad (3)$$

the system is called **TR Symmetric (TRS)/TR Asymmetric (TRA)**. Since the direct and reverse parts of the process describe the response of the system for opposition transmission directions, TRS/A is intimately related to NR/reciprocity.

⁵While this operation is clearly unphysical (although causal), a corresponding physical operation may be envisioned via the shifting $\psi'(-t) \rightarrow \psi'(-t+T)$ or $\psi'(-t) \rightarrow \psi'(-t+2T+\Delta t)$, where the direct and reverse operations would be respectively simultaneous or successive.

⁶The central result of these works is the *Onsager reciprocity relations* [23], [24], that led to the 1968 Nobel Prize in Physics and are sometimes dubbed the “4th law of thermodynamics” [27]. These relations establish an equality between the heat flow per unit of pressure difference and density flow per unit of temperature difference as a consequence of time reversibility of microscopic dynamics. This is a general result, corresponding for instance to the equality between the Peltier and Seebeck coefficients in thermoelectricity.

⁷This is meant as reversal of the *time variable value* with *fixed time coordinate direction*, i.e. *symmetry w.r.t. axis $t = 0$* , consistently with Fig. 1.

According to the definition in Sec. II, *TRS/A* is *equivalent to reciprocity/NR* insofar as, in both cases, the system exhibits the/a same/different *response* when transmitting at P_1 and receiving at P_2 as/than when transmitting at P_2 and receiving at P_1 . Thus, **TRA/S** provides a *criterion for non/reciprocity*⁸.

IV. TRS IN ELECTROMAGNETICS

The basic laws of physics are classically⁹ invariant under TR, or TRS [29], since reversing time is equivalent to “flipping the movie film” of the process as in Fig. 1. In contrast, the *physical quantities* involved in the laws of physics, that we shall generically denote $f(t)$, may be either TR symmetric or TR antisymmetric, i.e.

$$\mathcal{T}\{f(t)\} = f'(t') = f'(-t) = \pm f(-t), \quad (4)$$

where “+” corresponds to *TR symmetry*, or *even TR parity*, and “−” corresponds to *TR anti-symmetry*, or *odd TR parity*.

The **TR parity of physical quantities** may be easily inferred from fundamental laws. **Table II** presents the case of EM quantities [29]. Realizing that charges do not change as time passes, and are hence invariant under TR, allows one to sequentially deduce all the results of the figure by successively invoking Coulomb, Ohm, Ampère, Maxwell, Poynting, impedance and Joule laws, equations and relations. Note that TR reverses the direction of wave propagation, consistently with the considerations in Sec. III: $\mathcal{T}\{\mathbf{k}(t)\} = -\mathbf{k}(-t)$.

$f(t)$	\mathcal{T}	$f'(t') = \pm f(-t)$	FIELD - PARITY
q \oplus		$q' = q$ \oplus	q, ρ - even
\mathbf{E} $\oplus \rightarrow \oplus$		$\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}$ $\oplus \rightarrow \oplus$	$\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{D}$ - even
\mathbf{J} $\oplus \leftarrow \oplus$		$\mathbf{J}' = -\mathbf{J}$ $\oplus \rightarrow \oplus$	\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{J} - odd
\mathbf{B} $\oplus \rightarrow \oplus$		$\mathbf{B}' = -\mathbf{B}$ $\oplus \rightarrow \oplus$	$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{H}$ - odd
$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}$ $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$		\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{S}' $\mathbf{H}' \rightarrow \mathbf{E}'$	\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{S} - odd
$\eta = \mathbf{E}/\mathbf{H}$		$\eta' = \mathbf{E}'/\mathbf{H}' = -\eta$	η - odd
$\alpha = \Im\{\mathbf{k}\} < 0$ loss		$\alpha' = \Im\{\mathbf{k}'\} = -\alpha > 0$ gain	α - odd

TABLE II

TR PARITY (EVEN/ODD), OR SYMMETRY/ANTISYMMETRY, OF THE MAIN ELECTROMAGNETIC QUANTITIES (TIME-HARMONIC DEPENDENCE $e^{j\omega t}$).

The **TRS of Maxwell equations** may be straightforwardly verified from applying the TR rules in Tab. II and noting that the ∇ operator is TR-invariant. Specifically, replacing all the primed quantities in TR Maxwell equations from their unprimed (original) counterparts according to Tab. II, and

⁸This is a loose criterion since equivalence *stricto sensu* requires equal direct and reverse *field levels* and not just equal *field ratios* (see Sec. [1].V).

⁹The situation is different at the quantum level. Consider for instance two photons successively sent towards a dielectric slab from either side of it. Quantum probabilities may result in one photon being transmitted and the other reflected, i.e. a *TRA* process. However, repeating the experiment for more photons would invariably lead to TRS transmission-reflection ratios quickly converging to the classical scattering coefficients of the slab.

simplifying signs, restores the original Maxwell equations, i.e.

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}^{(\prime)} = -\partial \mathbf{B}^{(\prime)} / \partial t^{(\prime)} \quad (\text{even} \equiv \text{odd/odd}), \quad (5a)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}^{(\prime)} = \partial \mathbf{D}^{(\prime)} / \partial t^{(\prime)} + \mathbf{J}^{(\prime)} \quad (\text{odd} \equiv \text{even/odd} + \text{odd}), \quad (5b)$$

with parity matching indicated in brackets [30]. The TR invariance of Maxwell equations indicates that if *all the quantities of an EM system are TR*, according to the TR parity rules in Tab. II, then the TR system will have the same electromagnetic solution as the direct system, whatever its complexity!

V. TRS BREAKING (TRS-B) AND NONRECIPROcity¹⁰

TRS breaking (TRS-B) is an operation that destroys, with a bias, the time symmetry of a process, and hence makes it TRA, by violating (at least) one of the TR rules, such as those of Tab. II. Since all physical quantities are either even or odd under TR [Eq. (4)], TRS-B requires reversing/maintaining the sign of *at least one of the TR-even/odd quantities (bias) involved in the system*. Only the latter option, viz. maintaining the sign of a TR-odd quantity, such as for instance \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{J} or \mathbf{B} in Tab. I, is practically meaningful, as will be seen in Sec. IX.

The **TRA/S criterion** for determining the non/reciprocity of a given system (Sec. III) may be applied as follows. First, **one fully TR the system using the rules in Tab. II**. As a result, the process retrieves its initial state. However, *the TR operation may have altered the nature of the system*, resulting in *different direct and reverse systems*. In such a case, the TR experiment is irrelevant, comparing apples and pears. So one must **examine whether the reversed system is identical to the given one or not**. If it is, the process is TRS and the system is reciprocal, except in the loss-gain case (Fn. 10). Otherwise, the system must violate a TR rule to maintain its nature, or break TRS (or become TRA), and is hence NR.

VI. ELECTROMAGNETIC EXAMPLE

To better grasp the concepts of Secs. III, IV and V, consider Fig. 2, involving two gyrotropic systems, a chiral system [31]–[36] and a Faraday system [3], [6], [8], [37], [38].

In the case of the *chiral system*, in Fig. 2(a), the field polarization is rotated along the chiral medium according to the *handedness of the helix-shaped particles* that compose it. Upon TR, the direction of propagation is reversed, according to Tab. II. Thus, the field polarization symmetrically returns to its original state, as the current rewinds along the particles, *without any system alteration*. Chiral (unbiased) gyrotropy is thus a TRS process, and is therefore reciprocal.

Now consider the *Faraday system*, in Figs. 2(b) and (c). In such a system, the direction of polarization rotation is not any more dictated by particle shape, but by a *static magnetic field*, \mathbf{B}_0 , or *bias*, provided by an external magnet and inducing specific spin states in the medium at the atomic level.

Full TR requires here reversing the sign of \mathbf{B}_0 , as in Fig. 2(b), just as for any other TR-odd quantity. Then, waves propagating in opposite directions indeed see the same medium by symmetry. However, the system has been *altered* upon TR, since its spins have been reversed. Therefore, the TR experiment is irrelevant to NR! In order to preserve the nature of this system, and hence properly decide on its




Fig. 2. Application of the TRA/S criterion for non/reciprocity (Sec. V) to two systems inducing EM field polarization rotation (process \equiv gyrotropy). (a) Chiral system, without bias, and hence TRS [$\psi(0) = E^{P1} = E_0 \mapsto \psi'(0) = E^{P2} = E_0 = \psi(0)$], i.e. reciprocal. (b) Faraday system altered by TR-odd bias \mathbf{B}_0 flipped according to TR (irrelevant TR test). (c) Same as (b) but with *unflipped* \mathbf{B}_0 , and hence unaltered system, breaking the TRS of the process [$\psi'(0) = E^{P2} = E_0 \cos(2\phi) \neq E_0 = \psi(0)$] and revealing NR.

(non)reciprocity, one must preserve its spin states by keeping the direction of \mathbf{B}_0 unchanged, as shown in Fig. 2(c) and done in practice. But this violates a TRS rule, i.e. breaks TRS, or makes the process TRA, revealing ipso facto that the *Faraday system is NR*.

VII. LINEAR NR MEDIA

The example of Sec. VI has illustrated how NR is achieved by breaking TRS with an *external bias*, that is a magnetic field. Alternative TR-odd bias are the velocity or the current (Tab. II), and we therefore generically denote the bias \mathbf{F}_0 .

Linear NR media (Tab. I) include a) *ferromagnets* (magnetic conductors) and *ferrites* [39], whose NR is based on electron spin precession (permeability tensor with same structure) [2], [8], [40] or cyclotron orbiting (permittivity tensor) [9], [29], [41], due to a magnetic field bias, b) *magnetized plasmas* [11], [42], *two-dimensional electron gases* (e.g. GaAs, GaN, InP) [43]–[46] and other *2D materials*, such as graphene [47]–[54], whose NR is again based on cyclotron orbiting due to a magnetic field bias (permittivity tensor), c) *space-time moving/modulated media*, whose NR is based on the motion of matter/propagation of a perturbation associated with a force/current bias [55]–[64], and d) *transistor-loaded metamaterials*, mimicking ferrites [65]–[69] or using twisted dipoles [70], both based on a current bias.

The *constitutive relations* of media are ideally expressed in the frequency domain, since molecules act as small oscillators with specific resonances. In the case of a *linear time-invariant*

¹⁰The case of *loss or gain* (last row in the Tab. II) systems is delicate and requires a special treatment, that will be provided in Secs. [1].II and [1].V.

(*LTI*) *bianisotropic medium* [36], [71]¹¹, these relations may be written, for the given medium and its TR counterpart, as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{(\prime)} = \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{(\prime)}(\pm \mathbf{F}_0) \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}}^{(\prime)} + \tilde{\tilde{\xi}}^{(\prime)}(\pm \mathbf{F}_0) \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}^{(\prime)}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{(\prime)} = \tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^{(\prime)}(\pm \mathbf{F}_0) \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}}^{(\prime)} + \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^{(\prime)}(\pm \mathbf{F}_0) \cdot \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}^{(\prime)}, \quad (6b)$$

where the temporal frequency (ω) dependence is implicitly assumed everywhere, where $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}$ are the frequency-domain medium permittivity, permeability, magneto-electric coupling and electro-magnetic coupling complex dyadic functions, respectively, and where the “+” and “−” signs of the (TR-odd) bias \mathbf{F}_0 correspond to the unprimed (given) and primed (TRS) problems, respectively. For instance, in a ferrite at microwaves¹², $\tilde{\tilde{\xi}} = \tilde{\tilde{\zeta}} = 0$, $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \epsilon \bar{\mathbf{I}}$ and, if $\mathbf{B}_0 \parallel \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\omega) = \mu_d \bar{\mathbf{I}}_t + j\mu_o \bar{\mathbf{J}} + \mu_o \hat{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ($\bar{\mathbf{I}}$: unit dyadic, $\bar{\mathbf{I}}_t = \bar{\mathbf{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{J}} = \bar{\mathbf{I}}_t \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$), where $\mu_d = \mu_0 [1 + \omega_0 \omega_m / (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)]$ and $\mu_o = \mu_0 \omega \omega_m / (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)$, with $\omega_0 = \gamma B_0$ (ferrimagnetic resonance, γ : gyromagnetic ratio) and $\omega_m = \mu_0 \gamma M_s$ (M_s : saturation magnetization)¹³, which extends to the lossy case using $\omega_0 \rightarrow \omega_0 + j\omega\alpha$ (α : damping factor) [2], [3], [8].

VIII. TR IN THE FREQUENCY DOMAIN

How *frequency-domain fields* transform under TR may be found via Fourier transformation with TR rules (Sec. IV) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} \left\{ \tilde{f}(\omega) \right\} &= \tilde{f}'(\omega) = \mathcal{T} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt \right\} \\ &= \int_{+\infty}^{-\infty} [\pm f(-t)] e^{+j\omega t} (-dt) \stackrel{\text{Eq. (4)}}{=} \pm \tilde{f}^*(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the “+” and “−” signs correspond to TR-even and TR-odd quantities, respectively (Tab. II). One may next infer from this relation how the *frequency-domain media parameters* transform under TR. For this purpose, compare the given (unprimed) medium in (6) and its TR (primed) counterpart with TR field substitutions (7). This yields [30]

$$\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}'(\mathbf{F}_0) = \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^*(-\mathbf{F}_0), \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}'(\mathbf{F}_0) = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^*(-\mathbf{F}_0), \quad (8a)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}'(\mathbf{F}_0) = -\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}^*(-\mathbf{F}_0), \quad \tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}'(\mathbf{F}_0) = -\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^*(-\mathbf{F}_0). \quad (8b)$$

Thus, **frequency-domain TR implies complex conjugation plus proper parity transformation**. One may easily verify that inserting (7) and (8) into (5) and (6) transforms the *TR Maxwell and constitutive equations to equations that are exactly identical to their respective original forms, except for the substitution of all the quantities by their complex conjugate*. TR without ‘*’ in (8), i.e. not transforming loss into gain (Fn. 10), is called *restricted TR* [28], and will be used to derive the generalized Lorentz (non)reciprocity theorem.

IX. GENERALIZED LORENTZ RECIPROcity THEOREM

Applying the usual reciprocity manipulations of Maxwell equations [36], [71], [72] to the frequency-domain version of (5) with the TR transformations (7) yields [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{V_j} \tilde{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^* dv - \iiint_{V_j} \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}} dv &= \iiint_S (\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^* - \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^* \times \tilde{\mathbf{H}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} ds \\ - j\omega \iiint_V (\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{D}} - \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^* + \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^* - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}}) dv. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

¹¹The TV counterpart of (6) would involve convolution products.

¹²In optics, it is the permittivity that is tensorial (magneto-optic effect [41]).

¹³If $\mathbf{B}_0 = 0$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\omega) = \mu_0 \mathbf{I}$ (ferrite purely dielectric, $\epsilon_r \approx 10 - 15$ [2]).

If the medium is unbounded, so that $[\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}^{(*)} = \eta \mathbf{H}^{(*)}]_S$ assuming *restricted TR* (η unchanged), or enclosed by an impenetrable cavity, the surface integral in this equation vanishes [30]. In reciprocal systems, the LHS (reaction diff. [36]) also vanishes, as found by first applying Eq. (9) to vacuum, as a fundamental reciprocity condition in terms of system ports. Inserting (6), transformed according to the *restricted TR* version of (8) (no ‘*’), in the resulting relation yields [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_V \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^* \cdot \left[\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\mathbf{F}_0) - \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0) \right] \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}} - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^* \cdot \left[\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{F}_0) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0) \right] \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^* \cdot \left[\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{F}_0) + \tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0) \right] \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \right. \\ \left. - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}^* \cdot \left[\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}(\mathbf{F}_0) + \tilde{\tilde{\xi}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0) \right] \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \right\} dv = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the identity $\mathbf{a} \cdot \bar{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \bar{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{b})^T = \mathbf{b} \cdot \bar{\chi}^T \cdot \mathbf{a}$ (T : transpose), has been used to group dyadics with opposite pre/post-multiplying fields. Equation (10) represents the **generalized form of the Lorentz reciprocity theorem** [25], [73]. Since this relation must hold for arbitrary fields, one must have

$$\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\mathbf{F}_0) = \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0), \quad (11a)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{F}_0) = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0), \quad (11b)$$

$$\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}(\mathbf{F}_0) = -\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^T(-\mathbf{F}_0). \quad (11c)$$

which are the electromagnetic version of the **Onsager-Casimir reciprocity relations**¹⁴, with negation corresponding to NR. Note that, although our frequency-domain derivation assumed linear time-invariance, the Onsager-Casimir relations are **totally general**, as demonstrated by Onsager [23] without any other assumption than microscopic reversibility and some theorems from the general theory of fluctuations (Sec. [1].V). If $\mathbf{F}_0 = 0$ (no bias), Eqs. (11) reduce to the conventional reciprocity relations $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^T$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\xi}} = -\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^T$ [36].

The un/transposed dyadics in (11) correspond to propagation in the direct/reverse direction, since they stem from the un/conjugate quantities $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}/\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^*$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^*$ in (9). Thus, the Onsager-Casimir relations (11) **mathematically reveal that NR is obtained by not reversing \mathbf{F}_0** – corresponding for instance to $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{F}_0) \neq \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^T(+\mathbf{F}_0)$ – so that **waves propagating in opposite directions see different media**^{15,16}.

X. CONCLUSION

In this first part of the paper, we have 1) given a general definition of NR in terms of transmitted and received field ratios, 2) pointed out that linear NR is a stronger form of NR than NL NR, because the former is general whereas the latter typically suffers from excitation, intensity and isolation restrictions, 3) graphically and mathematically explained EM TR, TRS and TRS-B, 4) described linear TI NR media in terms of their operation principle and bias type, 5) generalized the Lorentz reciprocity theorem, and 6) physically interpreted the resulting Onsager-Casimir (non)reciprocity relations. Part II [1] carries on with the topics indicated in the end of abstract.

¹⁴If the medium is *lossless*, we also have $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^\dagger$, $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}^\dagger$, $\tilde{\tilde{\xi}} = \tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}^\dagger$ [36], leading to the additional constraint $\Im\{\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}\} = \Im\{\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}\} = \Re\{\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}\} = \Re\{\tilde{\tilde{\zeta}}\} = 0$.

¹⁵See the example of Figs. 2(b) and (c) with the ferrite $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}$ tensor in Sec. VII.

¹⁶Reversing an even quantity (Sec. V) would have yielded e.g. $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\mathbf{E}_0) \neq \tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}^T(-\mathbf{E}_0)$: bias flipping, forbidding simultaneous excitations from both ends.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Caloz, A. Alù, S. Tretyakov, D. Sounas, K. Achouri, and Z.-L. Deck-Léger, "What is nonreciprocity? – Part II: Practice," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag.*, vol. 17, 2018.
- [2] B. Lax and K. J. Button, *Microwave ferrites and ferrimagnetics*. McGraw-Hill, 1962.
- [3] D. M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering*, 4th ed. Wiley, 2011, chap. 9.
- [4] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light*, 7th ed. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [5] B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, *Fundamentals of Photonics*, 2nd ed. Wiley-Interscience, 2007.
- [6] M. Faraday, *Faraday's Diary*, T. Martin, Ed. George Bell and Sons, 1933, vol. IV, Nov. 12, 1839 - June 26, 1847.
- [7] L. Rayleigh, "On the magnetic rotation of light and the second law of thermo-dynamics," *Nature*, vol. 64, pp. 577–588, Oct. 1901.
- [8] A. G. Gurevich and G. A. Melkov, *Magnetization Oscillations and Waves*. CRC Press, 1996.
- [9] L. D. Landau, L. P. Pitaevskii, and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed., ser. Course of theoretical physics. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1984, vol. 8, Chap. XII.
- [10] J. Schwinger, L. L. Deraad, K. Milton, W.-Y. Tsai, and J. Norton, *Classical Electrodynamics*. Westview Press, 1998.
- [11] A. Ishimaru, *Electromagnetic Wave Propagation, Radiation, and Scattering*. Prentice Hall, 1990.
- [12] X. Fang, "Polarization-independent all-fiber isolator based on asymmetric fiber tapers," *Opt. Express*, vol. 21, no. 21, pp. 1792–1794, Nov. 1993.
- [13] C. Wang, C.-Z. Zou, and Z.-Y. Li, "On-chip optical diode based on silicon photonic crystal heterojunctions," *Opt. Express*, vol. 19, no. 27, pp. 26 948–26 955, Dec. 2011.
- [14] L. Feng, M. Ayache, J. Huang, Y.-L. Xu, M.-H. Lu, Y.-F. Chen, Y. Fainman, and A. Scherer, "Nonreciprocal light propagation in a silicon photonic circuit," *Science*, vol. 333, no. 6043, pp. 729–733, Aug. 2011.
- [15] C. Wang, X.-L. Zhong, and Z.-Y. Li, "Linear and passive silicon optical isolator," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 2, pp. 674:1–6, Sep. 2012.
- [16] D. Jalas, A. Petrov, M. Eich, W. Freude, S. Fan, Z. Yu, R. Baets, M. Popović, A. Melloni, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Vanwolleghem, C. R. Doerr, and H. Renner, "What is – and what is not — and optical isolator," *Nature Photon.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 579–582, Jul. 2013.
- [17] Y. Shi, Z. Yu, and S. Fan, "Limitations of nonlinear optical isolators due to dynamic reciprocity," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 388–392, Jun. 2015.
- [18] S. Fan, R. Baets, A. Petrov, Z. Yu, J. D. Joannopoulos, W. Freude, A. Melloni, M. Popović, M. V. D. Jalas, M. Eich, M. Krause, H. Renner, E. Brinkmeyer, and C. R. Doerr, "Comment on nonreciprocal light propagation in a silicon photonic circuit," *Science*, vol. 335, no. 6064, pp. 38–38, Jan. 2012.
- [19] D. H. and others, "Online etymology dictionary," <https://www.etymonline.com/>, 2018.
- [20] R. J. Potton, "Reciprocity in optics," *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 717–754, Apr. 2004.
- [21] O. G. Udalov, M. V. Sapozhnikov, E. A. Karashtin, B. A. Gribkov, S. A. G. E. V. Skorohodov, V. V. Rogov, A. Y. Klimov, and A. Fraerman, "Nonreciprocal light diffraction by a lattice of magnetic vortices," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 86, no. 9, pp. 094 416:1–4, Sep. 2012.
- [22] G. N. Lewis, "A new principle of equilibrium," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 179183, Mar. 1925.
- [23] L. Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes I," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 37, p. 405426, Feb. 1931.
- [24] —, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes II," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 38, p. 22652279, December 1938.
- [25] H. B. G. Casimir, "On Onsagers principle of microscopic reversibility," *Rev. Modern Phys.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 343–350, Apr. 1945.
- [26] —, "Reciprocity theorems and irreversible processes," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 1570–1573, Nov. 1963.
- [27] R. P. Wendt, "Simplified transport theory for electrolyte solution," *J. Chem. Educ.*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 646–650, Oct. 1974.
- [28] C. Altman and K. Suchy, *Reciprocity, Spatial Mapping and Time Reversal in Electromagnetics*, 2nd ed. Springer, 2014.
- [29] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed. Wiley, 1998.
- [30] C. Caloz, A. Alù, S. Tretyakov, D. Sounas, K. Achouri, and Z.-L. Deck-Léger, "Supplementary material for the two-part paper "What is nonreciprocity - Part I" and "what is Nonreciprocity - Part II"," <https://goo.gl/6LYY9g>, 2018.
- [31] D. F. J. Arago, "Mémoire sur une modification remarquable qu'éprouvent les rayons lumineux dans leur passage à travers certains corps diaphanes et sur quelques autres nouveaux phénomènes d'optique," *Ann. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 372–389, 1812.
- [32] L. Pasteur, *Recherches sur les propriétés spécifiques des deux acides qui composent l'acide racémique*. Impr. Bachelier, 1850.
- [33] J. C. Bose, "On the rotation of plane of polarization of electric waves by twisted structure," *Proc. Royal Soc.*, vol. 63, pp. 146–152, Jan. 1898.
- [34] K. F. Lindman, "Om en genom ett isotropt system av spiralförmiga resonatorer alstrad rotationspolarisation av de elektromagnetiska vågorna," *Öfversigt af Finska Vetenskaps-Societetens förhandlingar, A*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 1–32, 1914.
- [35] A. H. Sihvola, A. J. Viitanen and I. V. Lindell, and S. A. Tretyakov, *Electromagnetic waves in chiral and bi-isotropic media*. Artech, 1994.
- [36] J. A. Kong, *Electromagnetic Wave Theory*. EMW Publishing, 2008.
- [37] J. A. Crowther, *The life and discoveries of Michael Faraday*. Society for promoting Christian knowledge, 1920.
- [38] K. G. Budden, "A reciprocity theorem on the propagation of radio waves via the ionosphere," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 50, pp. 604–613, 1954.
- [39] J. Smit and H. P. J. Wijn, *Ferrites: physical properties of ferrimagnetic oxides in relation to their technical applications*. Philips, 1958.
- [40] G. P. Rodrigue, "A generation of microwave ferrite devices," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 121–137, Feb. 1988.
- [41] A. K. Zvezdin and V. A. Kotov, *Modern Magneto-optics and Magneto-optical Materials*. CRC Press, 1997.
- [42] T. H. Stix, *Waves in Plasmas*. American Institute of Physics, 1992.
- [43] K. W. Chiu and J. J. Quinn, "Plasma oscillations of a two-dimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic field," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 4724–4732, Jun. 1974.
- [44] C. Cavalli, J.-L. Amairic, and H. Baudrand, "Various aspects of nonreciprocal devices using magnetised semiconductors," *IEE Proc. H*, vol. 140, no. 2, pp. 165–172, Jun. 1993.
- [45] W. P. Allis, S. J. Buchsbaum, and A. Bers, *Waves in Anisotropic Plasmas*. The MIT Press, 2003.
- [46] J. Y. Chin, T. Steinle, T. Wehler, D. Dregely, T. Weiss, V. I. Belotelov, B. Stritzker, and H. Giessen, "Nonreciprocal plasmonics enables giant enhancement of thin-film Faraday rotation," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 4, pp. 1599:1–6, Mar. 2013.
- [47] D. L. Sounas and C. Caloz, "Electromagnetic non-reciprocity and gyrotropy of graphene," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 021 911:1–3, Jan. 2011.
- [48] I. Crassee, J. Levallois, A. L. Walter, M. Ostler, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, T. Seyller, D. van der Marel, and A. B. Kuzmenko, "Giant faraday rotation in single- and multilayer graphene," *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 7, pp. 48–51, Jan. 2011.
- [49] D. L. Sounas and C. Caloz, "Gyrotropy and non-reciprocity of graphene for microwave applications," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 901–914, Apr. 2012.
- [50] N. Chamanara, D. L. Sounas, T. Szkopek, and C. Caloz, "Optically transparent and flexible graphene reciprocal and nonreciprocal microwave planar components," *IEEE Microw. Wirel. Compon. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 360–362, Jul. 2012.
- [51] N. Chamanara, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Non-reciprocal magneto-plasmon graphene coupler," *Opt. Expr.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 11 248–11 256, May 2013.
- [52] D. L. Sounas, H. S. Skulason, H. V. Nguyen, A. Guermoune, M. Sijaj, T. Szkopek, and C. Caloz, "Faraday rotation in magnetically-biased graphene at microwave frequencies," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 102, no. 19, pp. 191 901:1–4, May 2013.
- [53] M. Tamagnone, A. Fallahi, J. R. Mosig, and J. Perruisseau-Carrier, "Fundamental limits and near-optimal design of graphene modulators and non-reciprocal devices," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 556–563, Jul. 2014.
- [54] M. Tamagnone, C. Moldovan, J.-M. Pouirol, A. B. Kuzmenko, A. M. Ionescu, J. R. Mosig, and J. Perruisseau-Carrier, "Near optimal graphene terahertz non-reciprocal isolator," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 7, p. 11216:16, Mar. 2016.
- [55] E. S. Cassedy and A. A. Oliner, "Dispersion relations in time-space periodic media: Part I – stable interactions," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 1342–1359, Oct. 1963.
- [56] E. S. Cassedy, "Waves guided by a boundary with time-space periodic modulation," *Proc. IEE*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 269–279, Feb. 1965.
- [57] —, "Dispersion relations in time-space periodic media: Part II – unstable interactions," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 1154–1168, Jul. 1967.
- [58] T. J. Kippenberg and K. J. Vahala, "Cavity opto-mechanics," *Opt. Express*, vol. 15, no. 25, pp. 17 172–17 205, Dec. 2007.

- [59] Z. Yu and S. Fan, "Complete optical isolation created by indirect interband photonic transitions," *Nature Photon.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 91–94, Jan. 2009.
- [60] M. S. Kang, A. Butsch, and P. S. Russel, "Reconfigurable light-driven opto-acoustic isolators in photonic crystal fibre," *Nat. Photon.*, vol. 5, pp. 549–553, Sep. 2011.
- [61] N. A. Estep, D. L. Sounas, J. Soric, and A. Alù, "Magnetic-free non-reciprocity and isolation based on parametrically modulated coupled-resonator loops," *Nature Phys.*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 923–927, Dec. 2014.
- [62] S. Taravati and C. Caloz, "Mixer-duplexer-antenna leaky-wave system based on periodic space-time modulation," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 442–452, Feb. 2017.
- [63] S. Taravati, N. Chamanara, and C. Caloz, "Nonreciprocal electromagnetic scattering from a periodically space-time modulated slab and application to a quasisonic isolator," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 96, no. 16, pp. 165 144:1–11, Oct. 2017.
- [64] N. Chamanara, S. Taravati, Z.-L. Deck-Léger, and C. Caloz, "Optical isolation based on space-time engineered asymmetric photonic band gaps," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 96, no. 15, pp. 155 409:1–12, Oct. 2017.
- [65] T. Kodera, D. L. Sounas, and C. Caloz, "Artificial Faraday rotation using a ring metamaterial structure without static magnetic field," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 031 114:1–3, Jul. 2011.
- [66] —, "Non-reciprocal magnet-less CRLH leaky-wave antenna based on a ring metamaterial structure," *IEEE Antenn. Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 10, pp. 1551–1554, Jan. 2012.
- [67] —, "Switchable magnet-less non-reciprocal metamaterial (MNM) and its application to a switchable Faraday rotation metasurface," *IEEE Antenn. Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 11, pp. 1454–1457, Dec. 2012.
- [68] D. L. Sounas, T. Kodera, and C. Caloz, "Electromagnetic modeling of a magnet-less non-reciprocal gyrotropic metasurface," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 221–231, Jan. 2013.
- [69] T. Kodera and C. Caloz, "Unidirectional Loop Metamaterial (ULMs) as as magnetless ferrimagnetic materials: principles and applications," *IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett.*, 2018.
- [70] Z. Wang, Z. Wang, J. Wang, B. Zhang, J. Huangfu, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Soljačić, and L. Rana, "Gyrotropic response in the absence of a bias field," *PNAS*, vol. 109, no. 33, pp. 13 194–13 197, Aug. 2012.
- [71] E. J. Rothwell and M. J. Cloud, *Electromagnetics*, 2nd ed. CRC Press, 2008.
- [72] H. A. Lorentz, "The theorem of Poynting concerning the energy in the electromagnetic field and two general propositions concerning the propagation of light," *Amsterdammer Akademie der Wetenschappen*, vol. 4, pp. 1–11, 1896.
- [73] A. Serdyukov, I. Semchenko, S. Tretyakov, and A. Sihvola, *Electromagnetics of Bi-anisotropic Materials: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2001.