On the classification of linear complementary dual codes

Makoto Araya*and Masaaki Harada[†]

November 9, 2018

Abstract

We give a complete classification of binary linear complementary dual codes of lengths up to 13 and ternary linear complementary dual codes of lengths up to 10.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q denote the finite field of order q, where q is a prime power. An [n, k]code C over \mathbb{F}_q is a k-dimensional vector subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . A code over \mathbb{F}_2 is called *binary* and a code over \mathbb{F}_3 is called *ternary*. The parameters n and kare called the *length* and *dimension* of C, respectively. Two [n, k] codes Cand C' over \mathbb{F}_q are *equivalent*, denoted $C \cong C'$, if there is an $n \times n$ monomial matrix P over \mathbb{F}_q with $C' = C \cdot P = \{xP \mid x \in C\}$.

The dual code C^{\perp} of an [n, k] code C over \mathbb{F}_q is defined as $C^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$, where $x \cdot y$ is the standard inner product. A code C is called *linear complementary dual* (or a linear code with complementary dual) if $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{\mathbf{0}_n\}$, where $\mathbf{0}_n$ denotes the zero vector of length n. We say that such a code is LCD for short. LCD codes were introduced by Massey [11] and gave an optimum linear coding solution for the two user binary adder channel. Recently, much work has been done concerning LCD codes for

^{*}Department of Computer Science, Shizuoka University, Hamamatsu 432-8011, Japan. email: araya@inf.shizuoka.ac.jp

[†]Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980–8579, Japan. email: mharada@m.tohoku.ac.jp

both theoretical and practical reasons (see [3], [4], [5], [8] and the references therein). In particular, we emphasize the recent work by Carlet, Mesnager, Tang, Qi and Pellikaan [4]. It has been shown in [4] that any code over \mathbb{F}_q is equivalent to some LCD code for $q \geq 4$. This motivates us to study binary LCD codes and ternary LCD codes. In addition, recently, Carlet, Mesnager, Tang and Qi [3] have established the mass formulas. This motivates us to start a classification of binary LCD codes and ternary LCD codes. The aim of this note is to give a complete classification of binary linear complementary dual codes of lengths up to 13 and ternary linear complementary dual codes of lengths up to 10.

The note is organized as follows. In Section 2, definitions, notations and basic results are given. We give some observation on the classification of binary LCD codes C and ternary LCD codes C with $d(C^{\perp}) = 1$. Section 2 also presents the mass formulas given in [3] for binary LCD codes and ternary LCD codes. The mass formulas are an important role in the classification of binary LCD codes and ternary LCD codes. In Section 3, we give a complete classification of binary LCD [n, k] codes and ternary LCD [n, k] codes for k = 1, n - 1. In Section 4, we give a complete classification of binary LCD codes of lengths up to 13. In Section 5, we give a complete classification of ternary LCD codes of lengths up to 10.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Definitions, notations and basic results

Let C be an [n, k] code over \mathbb{F}_q . The weight wt(x) of a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of non-zero components of x. A vector of C is called a *codeword* of C. The minimum non-zero weight of all codewords in C is called the *minimum* weight d(C) of C and an [n, k] code with minimum weight d is called an [n, k, d] code. The weight enumerator of C is given by $\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i y^i$, where A_i is the number of codewords of weight i in C. An automorphism of C is an $n \times n$ monomial matrix P over \mathbb{F}_q with $C = C \cdot P$. The set consisting of all automorphisms of C is called the *automorphism group* of C and it is denoted by Aut(C). A generator matrix of C is a $k \times n$ matrix whose rows are a set of basis vectors of C. A parity-check matrix of C is a generator matrix of C^{\perp} .

Throughout this note, we use the following notations. Let $\mathbf{0}_n$ denote the

zero vector of length n and let $\mathbf{1}_n$ denote the all-one vector of length n. Let I_n denote the identity matrix of order n and let A^T denote the transpose of a matrix A.

The following characterization is due to Massey [11].

Proposition 1. Let C be a code over \mathbb{F}_q . Let G and H be a generator matrix and a parity-check matrix of C, respectively. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) C is LCD,
- (ii) C^{\perp} is LCD,
- (iii) GG^T is nonsingular,
- (iv) HH^T is nonsingular.

The following proposition is trivial.

Proposition 2. Suppose that C is an LCD code over \mathbb{F}_q and $q \in \{2,3\}$. If C' is equivalent to C, then C' is also LCD.

Throughout this note, we use the following notations. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k,d}$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k, d] codes. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,k,d}$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k, d] codes.

2.2 LCD codes C with $d(C^{\perp}) = 1$

Let C be an [n, k, d] code over \mathbb{F}_q . Define the following [n + 1, k, d] code:

$$C^* = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0) \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in C \}.$$
 (1)

Let D be an [n + 1, k, d] code over \mathbb{F}_q with $d(D^{\perp}) = 1$. It is easy to see that there is an [n, k, d] code C over \mathbb{F}_q with $D \cong C^*$. It is trivial that C^* is LCD if and only if C is LCD. In this way, every LCD [n + 1, k, d] code D over \mathbb{F}_q with $d(D^{\perp}) = 1$ is constructed from some LCD [n, k, d] code C over \mathbb{F}_q . In addition, two LCD [n+1, k, d] codes D over \mathbb{F}_q with $d(D^{\perp}) = 1$ are equivalent if and only if two LCD [n, k, d] codes C over \mathbb{F}_q are equivalent. Hence, all LCD [n+1, k, d] codes D over \mathbb{F}_q with $d(D^{\perp}) = 1$, which must be checked to achieve a complete classification, can be obtained from all inequivalent LCD [n, k, d] codes C over \mathbb{F}_q . Therefore, we have the following: **Proposition 3.** Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^*$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k]codes B with $d(B^{\perp}) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}^*$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes C with $d(C^{\perp}) = 1$.

- (i) There is a set $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,k}$ of all inequivalent binary LCD [n-1,k] codes such that $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^* = \{B^* \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1,k}\}.$
- (ii) There is a set $C_{n-1,k}$ of all inequivalent ternary LCD [n-1,k] codes such that $C_{n,k}^* = \{C^* \mid C \in C_{n-1,k}\}.$

2.3 Mass formulas

It is trivial that $\{\mathbf{0}_n\}$ and \mathbb{F}_q^n are the unique LCD [n, 1] code over \mathbb{F}_q and the unique LCD [n, n] code over \mathbb{F}_q , respectively. From now on, we assume that

$$1 \le k \le n-1$$

for an LCD [n, k] code over \mathbb{F}_q .

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes. Using the Gaussian binomial coefficients, the following values

$$T_2(n,k) = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}$$
(2)

were determined theoretically in [3, Corollary 4.8], without finding the set $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$, as follows:

$$T_{2}(n,k) = \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{nk-k^{2}+n-1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}-1\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{\frac{(n-k)(k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2}\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{4} & \text{if } n \text{ and } k \text{ are odd,} \\ 2^{\frac{k(n-k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2}\\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even,} \\ 2^{\frac{k(n-k)}{2}} \left(2^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}-1\\ \frac{k}{2}-1 \end{bmatrix}_{4} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}-1\\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{4} \right) & \text{if } n \text{ and } k \text{ are even,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1)\cdots(q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)\cdots(q^k - 1)} \text{ if } k \neq 0,$$

and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1$. The value $T_2(n, k)$ is the number of the distinct binary LCD [n, k] codes.

Let $C_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes. Similar to the above, the following values

$$T_3(n,k) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,k}} \frac{2^n n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(C)|}$$
(3)

were determined theoretically in [3, Corollary 5.9], without finding the set $C_{n,k}$, as follows:

$$T_{3}(n,k) = \begin{cases} 3^{\frac{nk-k^{2}-1}{2}} 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}-1\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{9} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 3^{\frac{nk-k^{2}-1}{2}} 3^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}-1\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{9} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 3^{\frac{(k+1)(n-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2}\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{9} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 3^{\frac{k(n-k+1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n-1}{2}\\ \frac{k-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{9} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even,} \\ 3^{\frac{k(n-k)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{2}\\ \frac{k}{2} \end{bmatrix}_{9} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The value $T_3(n,k)$ is the number of the distinct ternary LCD [n,k] codes. The equations (2) and (3) are called the *mass formulas*.

3 Classification for dimensions 1 and n-1

In this section, we give a complete classification of binary LCD [n, k] codes and ternary LCD [n, k] codes for k = 1, n - 1.

Proposition 4. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k,d}$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k, d] codes.

(i)
$$|\mathcal{B}_{n,1,d}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } d = 1, 3, 5, \dots, n-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } d = 1, 3, 5, \dots, n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)
$$|\mathcal{B}_{n,1}| = |\mathcal{B}_{n,n-1}| = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(iii)
$$|\mathcal{B}_{n,n-1,d}| = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } d = 1, \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } d = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } d = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By considering a permutation of the coordinates, we may assume without loss of generality that a binary [n, 1] code has generator matrix of the form $(1 \ a)$, where $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}$. We denote the code by B(a). It is trivial that $B_n(a)$ is LCD if and only if wt(a) is even. If wt(a) = wt(b), then it is trivial that $B_n(a)$ and $B_n(b)$ are equivalent. If wt(a) \neq wt(b), then $B_n(a)$ and $B_n(b)$ are inequivalent since $B_n(a)$ has weight enumerator $1 + y^{1+\text{wt}(a)}$. Hence, $B_n(a)$ and $B_n(b)$ are inequivalent if and only if wt(a) \neq wt(b). The first two parts (i) and (ii) follow.

If n is odd, then $B_n(\mathbf{1}_{n-1})^{\perp}$ is the unique binary LCD [n, n-1, 2] code. If wt(a) is even and $a \neq \mathbf{1}_{n-1}$, then $B_n(a)^{\perp}$ is a binary LCD [n, n-1, 1] code. The last part (iii) follows from (ii).

We give a complete classification of ternary LCD [n, k] codes for k = 1, n - 1.

Proposition 5. Let $C_{n,k}$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD[n,k] codes. Let $C_{n,k,d}$ denote the set of all inequivalent ternary LCD[n,k,d] codes.

(i)
$$|\mathcal{C}_{n,1,d}| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii)
$$|\mathcal{C}_{n,1}| = |\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1}| = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2n+1}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2n+2}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

(iii)
$$|\mathcal{C}_{n,n-1,d}| = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 1, \\ \frac{2n+1}{3} - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 2, \\ \frac{2n+2}{3} - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } d = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By considering equivalent codes, we may assume without loss of generality that a ternary [n, 1] code has generator matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_3^{n-1}$. We denote this code by $C_n(a)$. It is trivial that $C_n(a)$ is LCD if and only if $\operatorname{wt}(a) \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. If $\operatorname{wt}(a) = \operatorname{wt}(b)$, then it is trivial that $C_n(a)$ and $C_n(b)$ are equivalent. If $\operatorname{wt}(a) \neq \operatorname{wt}(b)$, then $C_n(a)$ and $C_n(b)$ are inequivalent since $C_n(a)$ has weight enumerator $1 + 2y^{1+\text{wt}(a)}$. Hence, $C_n(a)$ and $C_n(b)$ are inequivalent if and only if $\text{wt}(a) \neq \text{wt}(b)$. The first two parts (i) and (ii) follow.

If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $C_n(\mathbf{1}_{n-1})^{\perp}$ is the unique ternary LCD [n, n-1, 2] code. If wt(a) $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $a \not= \mathbf{1}_{n-1}$, then $C_n(a)^{\perp}$ is a ternary LCD [n, n-1, 1] code. The last part (iii) follows from (ii).

4 Classification of binary LCD codes of lengths up to 13

In this section, we give a complete classification of binary LCD codes of lengths up to 13. It is sufficient to consider $k \leq n/2$, since the dual code of a binary LCD code is also LCD.

We describe how to complete a classification of binary LCD codes of lengths up to 13. Every binary LCD [n, k] code is equivalent to a binary code with generator matrix of the form $(I_k A)$, where A is a $k \times (n-k)$ matrix. The set of matrices A was constructed, row by row. Permuting the rows and columns of A gives rise to different generator matrices which generate equivalent binary codes. Here, we consider some natural (lexicographical) order < on the set of vectors of length n-k. We consider only matrices A, satisfying the condition $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_k$, where r_i is the *i*-th row of A. It is obvious that all binary codes, which must be checked to achieve a complete classification, can be obtained. By this method, we found all distinct binary LCD [n, k] codes, which must be checked to achieve a complete classification for $2 \le k \le n/2 \le 11/2$. By determining the equivalence or inequivalence for a given pair of binary codes, we obtained the set $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes. The mass formula (2) shows that there is no other binary LCD [n, k] code. This computation was performed in MAGMA [2]. In principle, such a computation can be done by classifying binary LCD codes by the MAGMA function IsIsomorphic, then their automorphism groups can be calculated by AutomorphismGroup.

For n = 12 and 13, the mass formula (2) was used to complete the classification, due to the computational complexity. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^*$ denote the set of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes B with $d(B^{\perp}) = 1$. By Proposition 3, there is a set $\mathcal{B}_{n-1,k}$ of all inequivalent binary LCD [n-1, k] codes such that $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}^* = \{B^* \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1,k}\}$, where the construction of B^* is listed in (1). Note that $\mathcal{B}_{n,n/2}^*$ is constructed by considering the dual codes of the all inequivalent binary LCD [n-1, n/2 - 1] codes for the case n = 2k. Hence, it is sufficient to find all binary LCD [n, k] codes B with $d(B^{\perp}) \geq 2$, which must be checked further for equivalences. For these lengths, the set of matrices Awas constructed, column by column. Here, we consider some natural (lexicographical) order < on the set of non-zero vectors of length k. We consider only matrices A, satisfying the condition $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \cdots \leq c_{n-k}$, where c_i is the *i*-th column of A. In this way, by adding new binary LCD codes, we continued to construct the set $\mathcal{B}'_{n,k}$ of inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes until $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'_{n,k}} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}$ reaches the value $T_2(n, k)$. When $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}'_{n,k}} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|}$ reaches the value $T_2(n, k)$, the classification was completed and $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ was obtained.

As a check, in order to verify that $\mathcal{B}_{n,k}$ contains no pair of equivalent binary LCD [n,k] codes and that $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{n,k}} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B)|} = T_2(n,k)$, we employed the package GUAVA [1] of GAP [6]. This calculation was done by using the functions IsEquivalent and AutomorphismGroup.

In order to illustrate our approach, we consider the case (n, k) = (6, 3) as an example. Let $B_{6,3,i}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., 8) be the binary LCD [6, 3] codes with generator matrices $(I_3 \ M_i)$, where M_i are listed in Table 1. We verified that these binary codes are inequivalent. Indeed, the binary codes $B_{6,3,i}$ have weight enumerators W_i , where

$$\begin{split} W_1 &= 1 + 3y^2 + y^3 + 3y^5, & W_2 &= 1 + 3y^2 + 3y^3 + y^5, \\ W_3 &= 1 + y^2 + 3y^3 + 2y^4 + y^5, & W_4 &= 1 + 3y + 3y^2 + y^3, \\ W_5 &= 1 + y + 3y^2 + 3y^3, & W_6 &= 1 + y + y^2 + y^3 + 2y^4 + 2y^5, \\ W_7 &= 1 + 2y + y^2 + y^3 + 2y^4 + y^5, & W_8 &= 1 + y + y^2 + 3y^3 + 2y^4. \end{split}$$

This shows also that these binary codes are inequivalent. Since

$$|\operatorname{Aut}(B_{6,3,i})| = 36, 12, 4, 36, 12, 12, 12, 4 \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, 8),$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8} \frac{6!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(B_{6,3,i})|} = 640 = T_2(6,3).$$

The mass formula (2) shows that there is no other binary LCD [6,3] code.

For $2 \le k \le n/2$ and $n \le 13$, we list in Table 6 the numbers N of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes and and the numbers N_d of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k, d] codes. We also list the numbers $N_{d^{\perp}}$ of the dual [n, n -

i	M_i	i	M_i	i	M_i	i	M_i
1	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\001\\110\end{array}\right)$	2	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\001\\011\end{array}\right)$	3	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\110\\111\end{array}\right)$	4	$\left(\begin{array}{c}000\\000\\000\end{array}\right)$
5	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\001\\000\end{array}\right)$	6	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\111\\000\end{array}\right)$	7	$\left(\begin{array}{c}110\\000\\000\end{array}\right)$	8	$\left(\begin{array}{c}001\\011\\000\end{array}\right)$

Table 1: LCD [6,3] codes

Table 2: Smallest automorphism groups

(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s
(2,1)	1	(7, 1)	144	(9,3)	8	(11, 3)	24
(3,1)	2	(7, 2)	12	(9, 4)	4	(11, 4)	4
(4, 1)	6	(7, 3)	4	(10, 1)	14400	(11, 5)	2
(4, 2)	4	(8, 1)	720	(10, 2)	288	(12, 2)	2880
(5, 1)	12	(8, 2)	24	(10, 3)	16	(12, 3)	48
(5, 2)	4	(8,3)	8	(10, 4)	4	(12, 4)	4
(6, 1)	36	(8, 4)	4	(10, 5)	2	(12, 5)	2
(6, 2)	8	(9, 1)	2880	(11, 1)	86400	(12, 6)	1
(6,3)	4	(9, 2)	72	(11, 2)	864		

 k, d^{\perp}] codes of the inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes. All binary codes in the table can be obtained electronically from http://www.math.is.tohoku.ac.jp/~mharada/LCD2

The smallest possible automorphism group of a binary LCD code is the trivial group (of order 1). It is obvious that the unique binary LCD [2, 1] code has trivial automorphism group. We list in Table 2 the smallest value Aut_s among the orders of the automorphism groups of binary LCD codes of lengths up to 12. From the table, we have the following:

Proposition 6. The smallest length n > 2 for which there is a binary LCD code of length n with trivial automorphism group is 12.

We remark that the total number of inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes for $n = 3, 4, \ldots, 12$ and $k \le n/2$ is 6897, 14 of which have trivial automorphism groups. The 14 codes have parameters [12, 6, 3]. As an example, we give a binary LCD [12, 6] code B_{12} with trivial automorphism group. The code B_{12} has generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I_6 & M_{12} \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$M_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 101011\\010110\\110100\\110001\\001101\\000011 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the smallest possible automorphism group of a binary LCD code is the group of order 1, there are at least $t_2(n,k) = \lceil T_2(n,k)/n! \rceil$ inequivalent binary LCD [n,k] codes. From

$$t_2(14,1) = 1, t_2(14,2) = 1, t_2(14,3) = 1, t_2(14,4) = 18, t_2(14,5) = 574, t_2(14,6) = 4659, t_2(14,7) = 9282,$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{13} t_2(14,k) = 19790.$$

Hence, there are at least 19791 inequivalent binary LCD codes of length 14. Of course, many binary LCD codes have substantial automorphism groups. Thus, the above might be a poor lower bound. Indeed, there are 30618 inequivalent binary LCD codes of length 13, although we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{12} t_2(13,k) = 2572.$$

We continued the above process and completed a classification of binary LCD codes for small dimensions. More precisely, we give a classification of binary LCD [n, 2] codes for $n \leq 30$ and binary LCD [n, 3] codes for $n \leq 25$. In order to save space, we only list in Table 3 the numbers N of all inequivalent binary LCD [n, k] codes for k = 2, 3 and $n \leq 25, 30$, respectively.

We end this section with giving the following remark.

Remark 7. It is a fundamental problem to determine the largest minimum weight d(n, k) among all binary LCD [n, k] codes. For $1 \le k \le n \le 12$, the values d(n, k) were determined in [5]. For $1 \le k \le n$ and n = 13, 14, 15, 16, the values d(n, k) were determined in [8]. Also, a classification of binary LCD [n, k] codes having the minimum weight d(n, k) was given in [8] for $1 \le k \le n \le 16$.

(n,k)	N	(n,k)	N	(n,k)	N	(n,k)	N
(14, 2)	66	(19, 2)	136	(24, 2)	270	(29, 2)	431
(15, 2)	73	(20, 2)	166	(25, 2)	286	(30, 2)	495
(16, 2)	93	(21, 2)	178	(26, 2)	335		
(17, 2)	101	(22, 2)	214	(27, 2)	354		
(18, 2)	126	(23, 2)	228	(28, 2)	410		
(14, 3)	380	(17, 3)	1120	(20, 3)	2648	(23, 3)	6074
(15, 3)	576	(18, 3)	1468	(21, 3)	3608	(24, 3)	7580
(16, 3)	772	(19, 3)	2058	(22, 3)	4568	(25, 3)	9870

Table 3: Classification of binary LCD codes of dimensions 2 and 3

5 Classification of ternary LCD codes of lengths up to 10

By an approach is similar to that used in the previous section, we completed a classification of ternary LCD [n,k] codes for $2 \le k \le n/2 \le 10/2$. For $1 \leq n \leq 7$, we found all distinct ternary LCD [n, k] codes, which must be checked to achieve a complete classification. By determining the equivalence or inequivalence for a given pair of ternary codes, we obtained the set $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$. The mass formula (3) shows that there is no other ternary LCD [n, k] code. For n = 8, 9 and 10, the mass formula (3) was used to complete the classification, due to the computational complexity. For ternary LCD codes, the following method was employed. To test equivalence of ternary codes by a program in the language C, we used the algorithm given in [9, Section 7.3.3] as follows. For a ternary [n, k] code C, define the digraph $\Gamma(C)$ with vertex set $C \cup (\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times (\mathbb{F}_3 - \{0\}))$ and arc set $\{(c, (j, c_j)) \mid c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in$ $C, 1 \leq j \leq n \} \cup \{((j, y), (j, 2y)) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, y \in \mathbb{F}_3 - \{0\}\}.$ Then, two ternary [n, k] codes C and C' are equivalent if and only if $\Gamma(C)$ and $\Gamma(C')$ are isomorphic. We used NAUTY [12] for digraph isomorphism testing. The automorphism group Aut(C) is isomorphic to the automorphism group of $\Gamma(C)$. This calculation was also done by using NAUTY [12].

As a check, in order to verify that $C_{n,k}$ contains no pair of equivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes and that $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{n,k}} \frac{2^n n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(C)|} = T_3(n, k)$, we employed the following method obtained by applying the method given in [10, Section 2]. Let *C* be a ternary [n, k] code. We expand each codeword of *C* into a binary vector of length 2n by mapping the elements 0, 1 and 2 of \mathbb{F}_3 to the binary vectors (0,0), (0,1) and (1,0), respectively. If there is a positive integer t such that the codewords of weight t generate C, then we have an $A_t \times 2n$ binary matrix M(C) composed of the binary vectors obtained from the A_t codewords of weight t in C. If there is no positive integer t such that the codewords of weight t generate C, then by considering all codewords of C, we have a $3^k \times 2n$ binary matrix M(C). Then, from M(C), we have an incidence structure $\mathcal{D}(C)$ having 2n points. This calculation was done by using the MAGMA function IncidenceStructure. If C and C' are equivalent ternary codes, then $\mathcal{D}(C)$ and $\mathcal{D}(C')$ are isomorphic. By the MAGMA function IsIsomorphic, we verified that all incidence structures $\mathcal{D}(C)$ are non-isomorphic. The automorphism group Aut(C) is isomorphic to the stabilizer of $\{\{1,2\}, \{3,4\}, \ldots, \{2n-1,2n\}\}$ inside of the automorphism group of the incidence structure $\mathcal{D}(C)$. This calculation was done by using the MAGMA functions AutomorphismGroup and Stabilizer.

We list in Table 4 the numbers N of the inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k]codes and the numbers N_d of the inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k, d] codes for $2 \le k \le n/2$ and $n \le 10$. We also list the numbers $N_{d^{\perp}}$ of the dual $[n, n - k, d^{\perp}]$ codes of the inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes. All ternary codes in the table can be obtained electronically from http://www.math.is.tohoku.ac.jp/~mharada/LCD3

The smallest possible automorphism group of a ternary LCD code is the group of order 2. We list in Table 5 the smallest value Aut_s among the orders of the automorphism groups of ternary LCD [n, k] codes for $n \leq 8$. From the table, we have the following:

Proposition 8. The smallest length n for which there is a ternary LCD code of length n with automorphism group of order 2 is 8.

We remark that the total number of inequivalent ternary LCD [n, k] codes for $n = 2, 3, \ldots, 8$ and $k \le n/2$ is 336, one of which has automorphism group of order 2. The code has parameters [8, 4, 3]. As an example, we give a ternary LCD [8, 4] code C_8 with automorphism group of order 2. The code C_8 has generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I_4 & M_8 \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$M_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 2001\\2212\\1100\\1012 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(n,k)	N	N_1	N_2	N_3	N_4	N_5	N_6	N_7	$N_{1^{\perp}}$	$N_{2^{\perp}}$	$N_{3^{\perp}}$	$N_{4^{\perp}}$
(4, 2)	4	2	2						2	2		
(5, 2)	7	3	3	1					4	3		
(6, 2)	11	4	4	2	1				7	4		
(6,3)	17	7	8	2					7	8	2	
(7, 2)	16	4	6	3	3				11	5		
(7, 3)	36	11	17	7	1				17	17	2	
(8, 2)	24	5	7	4	6	2			16	8		
(8,3)	74	16	31	19	8				36	37	1	
(8, 4)	121	36	64	19	2				36	64	19	2
(9, 2)	33	6	8	5	9	4	1		24	9		
(9,3)	149	24	51	40	31	3			74	74	1	
(9, 4)	379	74	178	105	22				121	218	40	
(10, 2)	45	6	10	6	11	8	3	1	33	12		
(10, 3)	290	33	80	70	84	22	1		149	140	1	
(10, 4)	1293	149	458	431	249	6			379	821	93	
(10, 5)	2318	379	1209	665	65				379	1209	665	65

Table 4: Classification of ternary LCD codes

Since the smallest possible automorphism group of a ternary LCD code is the group of order 2, there are at least $t_3(n,k) = [T_3(n,k)/(2^{n-1}n!)]$ inequivalent ternary LCD $\left[n,k\right]$ codes. From

$$t_3(11,1) = 1, t_3(11,2) = 1, t_3(11,3) = 4,$$

 $t_3(11,4) = 319, t_3(11,5) = 2869,$

Table 5	· Sm	allest	automorphism	groups
Table 0	· om	ancou	automorphism	groups

Table 5: Smallest automorphism groups											
(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s	(n,k)	Aut_s				
(2,1)	4	(5, 1)	96	(6, 3)	8	(8, 1)	11520				
(3,1)	8	(5, 2)	16	(7, 1)	1920	(8, 2)	96				
(4, 1)	32	(6, 1)	384	(7, 2)	48	(8, 3)	8				
(4, 2)	8	(6, 2)	24	(7, 3)	8	(8, 4)	2				

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{10} t_3(11,k) = 6388.$$

Hence, there are at least 6389 inequivalent ternary LCD codes of length 11. Of course, many ternary LCD codes have substantial automorphism groups. Thus, the above might be a poor lower bound. Indeed, there are 5588 inequivalent ternary LCD codes of length 10, although we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{9} t_3(10,k) = 447.$$

Similar to Remark 7, we end this section with giving the following remark.

Remark 9. Let $d_3(n,k)$ and $d_3^{\text{all}}(n,k)$ denote the largest minimum weight among all ternary LCD [n,k] codes and among all ternary [n,k] codes, respectively. Suppose that $2 \le k \le n-2$ and $4 \le n \le 10$. From Table 4, we have

$$d_3(n,k) = \begin{cases} d_3^{\text{all}}(n,k) - 1 & \text{if } (n,k) \in S, \\ d_3^{\text{all}}(n,k) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$S = \{(4, 2), (7, 2), (8, 2), (8, 3), (9, 3), (9, 4), (9, 5), (10, 4), (10, 5), (10, 6)\}.$$

Note that the values $d_3^{\text{all}}(n,k)$ can be found in [7].

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H03633.

References

- R. Baart, T. Boothby, J. Cramwinckel, J. Fields, D. Joyner, R. Miller, E. Minkes, E. Roijackers, L. Ruscio and C. Tjhai, GAP package GUAVA, Version 3.1.3; 2016, Available online at http://www.gap-system.org/Packages/guava.html.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265.

- [3] C. Carlet, S. Mesnager, C. Tang and Y. Qi, New characterization and parametrization of LCD codes, arXiv:1709.03217.
- [4] C. Carlet, S. Mesnager, C. Tang, Y. Qi and R. Pellikaan, Linear codes over \mathbb{F}_q are equivalent to LCD codes for q > 3, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **64** (2018), 3010–3017.
- [5] L. Galvez, J.-L. Kim, N. Lee, Y.G. Roe and B.-S. Won, Some bounds on binary LCD codes, Cryptogr. Commun. 10 (2018), 719–728.
- [6] The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.10; 2018, Available online at http://www.gap-system.org.
- [7] M. Grassl, Code tables: Bounds on the parameters of various types of codes, Available online at http://www.codetables.de/, Accessed on 2018-03-30.
- [8] M. Harada and K. Saito, Binary linear complementary dual codes, arXiv: 1802.06985.
- [9] P. Kaski and P.R.J. Ostergård, Classification Algorithms for Codes and Designs, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [10] C.W.H. Lam, L. Thiel and A. Pautasso, On ternary codes generated by Hadamard matrices of order 24, Congr. Numer. 89 (1992), 7–14.
- [11] J.L. Massey, Linear codes with complementary duals, Discrete Math. 106/107 (1992), 337–342.
- [12] B.D. McKay and Α. Piperno, nauty and Traces User's Guide (Version 2.6),Available online at http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~bdm/nauty/nug26.pdf.

(n,k)	N	N_1	N_2	N_3	N_4	N_5	N_6	N_7	N_8	$N_{1^{\perp}}$	$N_{2^{\perp}}$	$N_{3^{\perp}}$	N_{4^\perp}
(4, 2)	4	2	2							2	2		
(5, 2)	5	2	3							4	1		
(6, 2)	9	3	4	2						5	4		
(6,3)	8	5	3							5	3		
(7, 2)	11	3	5	2	1					9	2		
(7,3)	17	9	7	1						8	9		
(8, 2)	17	4	6	4	2	1				11	6		
(8,3)	26	11	12	3						17	9		
(8, 4)	42	17	24	1						17	24	1	
(9, 2)	20	4	7	4	3	1	1			17	3		
(9,3)	49	17	20	11	1					26	23		
(9, 4)	81	26	49	5	1					42	37	2	
(10, 2)	29	5	8	6	4	4	2			20	9		
(10, 3)	72	20	29	18	4	1				49	23		
(10, 4)	186	49	109	23	5					81	103	2	
(10, 5)	204	81	112	11						81	112	11	
(11, 2)	33	5	9	6	5	4	4			29	4		
(11, 3)	123	29	42	35	11	6				72	51		
(11, 4)	348	72	195	61	20					186	161	1	
(11, 5)	606	186	350	66	4					204	386	15	1
(12, 2)	45	6	10	8	6	7	6	2		33	12		
(12, 3)	174	33	56	48	22	14	1			123	51		
(12, 4)	744	123	369	170	76	6				348	396		
(12, 5)	1584	348	909	290	37					606	956	22	
(12, 6)	2426	606	1622	187	11					606	1622	187	11
(13, 2)	50	6	11	8	7	7	8	2	1	45	5		
(13,3)	277	45	75	77	39	35	6			174	103		
(13, 4)	1363	174	598	341	217	31	2			744	619	~-	
(13,5)	4576	744	2354	1178	295	5				1584	2965	27	4
(13, 6)	9036	1584	5900	1406	146					2426	6086	520	4

 Table 6: Classification of binary LCD codes