

V-Spline and Bayes Estimate

Zhanglong Cao, David Bryant, Matthew Parry

Abstract

It is known that a smoothing spline can be thought of as the posterior mean of a Gaussian process regression in a certain limit. By constructing a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with an appropriate inner product, the Bayesian form of the V-spline is derived when the penalty term is a fixed constant instead of a function. An extension to the usual generalized cross-validation formula is utilized to find the optimal V-spline parameters.

Keywords: V-spline, generalised cross-validation, Bayes estimate, reproducing kernel Hilbert space

1 Introduction

A Hilbert space is a real or complex inner product space with respect to the distance function induced by the inner product [Dieudonné, 2013]. In particular, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_2[0, 1]$ is the set of square integrable functions $f(t) : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, where all functions satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_2[0, 1] = \left\{ f : \int_0^1 f^2 dt < \infty \right\} \quad (1)$$

with an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 fg dt$.

Consider a regression problem with observations modeled as $y_i = f(t_i) + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ are i.i.d. Gaussian noise and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(m)}[0, 1] = \{f : f^{(m)} \in L_2[0, 1]\}$. The classic nonparametric or semi-parametric regression is a function that minimizes the following penalized sum of squared functional

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(t_i))^2 + \lambda \int_0^1 \left(f^{(m)} \right)^2 dt, \quad (2)$$

where the first term is the lack of fit of f to the data. The parameter λ in the second term is a fixed smoothing parameter controlling the trade-off between over-fitting and bias [Hastie et al., 2009]. The minimizer f_λ of the above equation resides in an n -dimensional space and the computation in multivariate settings is generally of the order $O(n^3)$ [Kim and Gu, 2004]. Schoenberg [1964] shows that a piecewise polynomial smoothing spline of degree $2m - 1$ provides an aesthetically satisfying method for estimating f if $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ cannot be interpolated exactly by some polynomial of degree less than m . For instance, when $m = 2$, a piecewise cubic smoothing spline provides a powerful tool to estimate the above nonparametric function, in which the penalty term is $\int f''^2 dt$ [Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990].

Further, Kimeldorf and Wahba [1971, 1970] explore the corresponding between smoothing spline and Bayesian estimation. Actually, Wahba [1978] shows that a Bayesian version of this problem is to take a Gaussian process prior $f(t_i) = a_0 + a_1 t_i + \dots + a_{m-1} t_i^{m-1} + x_i$ on f with $x_i = X(t_i)$ being a zero-mean Gaussian process whose m th derivative is scaled white noise,

$i = 1, \dots, n$ [Speckman and Sun, 2003]. The extended Bayes estimates f_λ with a “partially diffuse” prior is exactly the same as the spline solution. Heckman and Woodroffe [1991] show that if prior distribution of the vector $\mathbf{f} = (f(t_1), \dots, f(t_n))^\top$ is unknown but lies in a known class Ω , the estimator \hat{f} is found by minimizing the $\max E[\hat{f} - f]^2$. Branson et al. [2017] propose a Gaussian process regression method that acts as a Bayesian analog to local linear regression for sharp regression discontinuity designs. It is no doubt that one of the attractive features of the Bayesian approach is that, in principle, one can solve virtually any statistical decision or inference problem. Particularly, one can provide an accuracy assessment for $\hat{f} = E(f | \mathbf{y})$ using posterior probability regions [Cox, 1993].

The problem of choosing the smoothing parameter is ubiquitous in curve estimation, and there are two different philosophical approaches to this question. The first one is to regard the free choice of smoothing parameter as an advantageous feature of the procedure. The other one is to find the parameter automatically by the data [Green and Silverman, 1993]. We prefer the latter one, to use data to train our model and to find the best parameters. The most well-known method is cross-validation.

Assuming that mean of the random errors is zero, the true regression curve $f(t)$ has the property that, if an observation y is taken away at a point t , the value $f(t)$ is the best predictor of y in terms of returning a least value of $(y - f(t))^2$.

Now, focus on an observation y_i at point t_i as being a new observation by omitting it from the set of data, which are used to estimate \hat{f} . Denote by $\hat{f}^{(-i)}(t, \lambda)$ the estimated function from the remaining data, where λ is the smoothing parameter. Then $\hat{f}^{(-i)}(t, \lambda)$ is the minimizer of

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \neq i} (y_j - f(t_j))^2 + \lambda \int (f'')^2 dt, \quad (3)$$

and can be quantified by the cross-validation score function

$$CV(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \hat{f}^{(-i)}(t_i, \lambda) \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

The basis idea of the cross-validation is to choose the value of λ that minimizes $CV(\lambda)$ [Green and Silverman, 1993].

An efficient way to calculate the cross-validation score is introduced by Green and Silverman [1993]. Because of the value of the smoothing spline \hat{f} depending linearly on the data y_i , define the matrix $A(\lambda)$, which is a map vector of observed values y_i to predicted value $\hat{f}(t_i)$. Then we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = A(\lambda)\mathbf{y}. \quad (5)$$

Based on the correspondence between nonparametric regression and Bayesian estimation, Craven and Wahba [1978] propose a generalized cross-validation estimate for the minimizer f_λ . The estimated $\hat{\lambda}$ is the minimizer of the function where the trace of matrix $A(\lambda)$ in (5) is incorporated. It is also possible to establish an optimal convergence property for the estimator when the number of observations in a fixed interval tends to infinity [Wecker and Ansley, 1983]. A highly efficient algorithm to optimize generalized cross-validation and generalized maximum likelihood scores with multiple smoothing parameters via the Newton method was proposed by Gu and Wahba [1991]. This algorithm can also be applied to maximum likelihood estimation and restricted maximum likelihood estimation. The behavior of the optimal regularization parameter in different regularization methods was investigated by Wahba and Wang [1990].

In this paper, we prove that the V-spline, which incorporates both f and f' but penalizes excessive f'' in the penalty term, can be estimated by a Bayesian approach in a certain repro-

ducing kernel Hilbert space. An extended GCV is used to find the optimal parameters for the V-spline.

2 Polynomial Smoothing Splines on $[0, 1]$ as Bayes Estimates

A polynomial smoothing spline of degree $2m - 1$ is a piecewise polynomial of the same degree on each interval $[t_i, t_{i+1})$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and the first $2m - 2$ derivatives are continuous at the joined points. For instance, when $m = 2$, a piecewise cubic smoothing spline is a special case of the polynomial smoothing spline providing a powerful tool to estimate the objective function (2) in the space $\mathcal{C}^{(2)}[0, 1]$, where the penalty term is $\int f''^2 dt$ [Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990, Wang, 1998]. If a general space $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}[0, 1]$ is equipped with an appropriate inner product, it can be made as a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

2.1 Polynomial Smoothing Spline

A spline is a numeric function that is piecewise-defined by polynomial functions, which possesses a high degree of smoothness at the places where the polynomial pieces connect (known as *knots*) [Chen, 2017, Judd, 1998]. Suppose we are given a set of paired data $(t_1, y_1), \dots, (t_n, y_n)$ on the interval $[0, 1]$, satisfying $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1$. A piecewise polynomial function $f(t)$ can be obtained by dividing the interval into contiguous intervals $(t_1, t_2), \dots, (t_{n-1}, t_n)$ and represented by a separate polynomial on each interval. For any continuous $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(m)}[0, 1]$, it can be represented in a linear combination of basis functions $h_m(t)$ as $f(t) = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m h_m(t)$, where β_m are coefficients [Ellis et al., 2009]. It is just like every vector in a vector space can be represented as a linear combination of basis vectors.

A smoothing polynomial spline is uniquely the smoothest function that achieves a given degree of fidelity to a particular data set [Whittaker, 1922]. In deed, the minimizer of function (2) is the curve estimate $\hat{f}(t)$ over all spline functions $f(t)$ with $m - 1$ continuous derivatives fitting observed data in the space $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}[0, 1]$. In fact, the representer theorem [Kimeldorf and Wahba, 1971] tells us that the function $f_{\min} = \arg \min \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (y_i - f(t_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_C^2$ can be represented in the form $f_{\min} = \sum_i \alpha_i K(\cdot, t_i)$, a linear combination of a positive-definite real-valued kernel $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ at each point. This is true for any arbitrary loss function [Rudin, 2005].

Further, Wahba [1978] proves that if $f(t)$ has the prior distribution which is the same as the distribution of the stochastic process $\chi(t)$ on $[0, 1]$,

$$\chi(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^m d_\nu \phi_\nu(t) + b^{\frac{1}{2}} Z(t), \quad (6)$$

where $d_i \sim N(0, \xi I)$, $Z(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-u)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} dW(u)$ is the integrated Wiener process, then the polynomial spline f_λ is the minimizer of the objective function (2) having the property that

$$f_\lambda(t) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} E_\xi \{f(t) \mid \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{y}\}, \quad (7)$$

with $\lambda = \sigma^2/nb$, where E_ξ is expectation over the posterior distribution of $f(t)$ with the prior (6). $\xi = \infty$ corresponds to the ‘‘diffuse’’ prior on d .

2.2 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space in $C^{(m)}[0, 1]$

Any $f \in C^{(m)}[0, 1]$ has a standard Taylor expansion, which is

$$f(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^\nu}{\nu!} f^{(\nu)}(0) + \int_0^1 \frac{(t-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} f^{(m)}(u) du, \quad (8)$$

where $(\cdot)_+ = \max\{0, \cdot\}$. With an inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} f^{(\nu)}(0) g^{(\nu)}(0) + \int_0^1 f^{(m)}(t) g^{(m)}(t) dt, \quad (9)$$

the representer is

$$\begin{aligned} R_s(t) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{s^\nu t^\nu}{\nu! \nu!} + \int_0^1 \frac{(s-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{(t-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} du \\ &= R_0(s, t) + R_1(s, t). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is easy to prove that $R(s, t)$ is a non-negative reproducing kernel, by which $\langle R(s, t), f(t) \rangle = \langle R_s(t), f(t) \rangle = f(s)$. Additionally, $R_s^{(\nu)}(0) = s^\nu / \nu!$ for $\nu = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Before moving on to further steps, we are now introducing the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. [Aronszajn, 1950] Suppose R is a symmetric, positive definite kernel on a set X . Then, there is a unique Hilbert space of functions on X for which R is a reproducing kernel.

Theorem 2. [Gu, 2013] If the reproducing kernel R of a space \mathcal{H} on domain X can be decomposed into $R = R_0 + R_1$, where R_0 and R_1 are both non-negative definite, $R_0(x, \cdot), R_1(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$, for $\forall x \in X$, and $\langle R_0(x, \cdot), R_1(y, \cdot) \rangle = 0$, for $\forall x, y \in X$, then the spaces \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 corresponding respectively to R_0 and R_1 form a tensor sum decomposition of \mathcal{H} . Conversely, if R_0 and R_1 are both nonnegative definite and $\mathcal{H}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_1 = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ has a reproducing kernel $R = R_0 + R_1$.

According to Theorem 1, the Hilbert space associated with $R(\cdot)$ can be constructed as containing all finite linear combinations of the form $\sum a_i R(t_i, \cdot)$, and their limits under the norm induced by the inner product $\langle R(s, \cdot), R(t, \cdot) \rangle = R(s, t)$. As for Theorem 2, it is easy to verify that R_0 corresponds to the space of polynomials $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{f : f^{(m)} = 0\}$ with an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_0 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} f^{(\nu)}(0) g^{(\nu)}(0)$ and R_1 corresponds to the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{H}_0 , that is $\mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ f : f^{(\nu)}(0) = 0, \nu = 0, \dots, m-1, \int_0^1 (f^{(m)})^2 dt < \infty \right\}$ with an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_1 = \int_0^1 f^{(m)} g^{(m)} dt$.

Given a set of sampling points, any $f \in C^{(m)}[0, 1]$ has the following form

$$f(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^m d_\nu \phi_\nu(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i R_1(t, t_i). \quad (11)$$

where $\{\phi_\nu(t)\}$ is a set of basis functions of space \mathcal{H}_0 and $R(\cdot, t)$ is the representer in \mathcal{H}_1 [Wang, 2011].

Additionally, the coefficients c_i and d_ν might be changed when different ϕ_ν and R_1 are used, but the function estimate remains the same regardless of the choices of ϕ_ν and R_1 [Gu, 2013].

2.3 Polynomial Smoothing Splines as Bayes Estimates

Because it is possible to interpret the smoothing spline regression estimator as a Bayes estimate when the mean function $r(\cdot)$ is given an improper prior distribution [Berliner and Thomas-Agnan, 2011, Wahba, 1990]. Therefore, one can find that the posterior mean of f on $[0, 1]$ with a vague improper prior is the polynomial smoothing spline of the objective function (2).

Consider $f = f_0 + f_1$ on $[0, 1]$, with f_0 and f_1 having independent Gaussian priors with zero means and covariances satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}[f_0(s)f_0(t)] = \tau^2 R_0(s, t) = \tau^2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{s^\nu t^\nu}{\nu! \nu!}, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[f_1(s)f_1(t)] = bR_1(s, t) = b \int_0^1 \frac{(s-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{(t-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}, \quad (13)$$

where R_0 and R_1 are from (10). Because of the observations are normally distributed as $y_i \sim N(f(t_i), \sigma^2)$, then the joint distribution for \mathbf{y} and $f(t)$ is normal with mean of zero and covariance matrix of

$$\text{Cov}(f, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} bQ + \tau SS^\top + \sigma^2 I & b\xi + \tau^2 S\phi \\ b\xi^\top + \tau^2 \phi^\top S^\top & bR_1(t, t) + \tau^2 \phi^\top \phi \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\{Q_{i,j}\}_{n \times n} = R_1(t_i, t_j)$, $\{S_{i,\nu}\}_{n \times m} = t_i^{\nu-1}/(\nu-1)!$, $\{\xi_{i,1}\}_{n \times 1} = R_1(t_i, t)$ and $\{\phi_{\nu,1}\}_{m \times 1} = t^{\nu-1}/(\nu-1)!$. Consequently, the posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(t) | \mathbf{y}] &= (b\xi^\top + \tau\phi^\top s^\top) (bQ + \tau^2 SS^\top + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ &= \xi^\top (Q + \rho SS^\top + n\lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \phi^\top \rho S^\top (Q + \rho SS^\top + n\lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\rho = \tau^2/b$ and $n\lambda = \sigma^2/b$. Furthermore, by denoting $M = Q + n\lambda I$, Gu [2013] gives that, when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$, the posterior mean is in the form $\mathbb{E}[f(t) | y_{1:n}] = \xi^\top \mathbf{c} + \phi^\top \mathbf{d}$ with coefficient vectors

$$\mathbf{c} = \left(M^{-1} - M^{-1} S (S^\top M^{-1} S)^{-1} S^\top M^{-1} \right) \mathbf{y}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{d} = (S^\top M^{-1} S)^{-1} S^\top M^{-1} \mathbf{y}. \quad (16)$$

Theorem 3. [Gu, 2013] *The polynomial smoothing spline of (2) is the posterior mean of $f = f_0 + f_1$, where f_0 diffuses in span $\{t^{\nu-1}, \nu = 1, \dots, m\}$ and f_1 has a Gaussian process prior with mean zero and a covariance function*

$$bR_1(s, t) = b \int_0^1 \frac{(s-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \frac{(t-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}, \quad (17)$$

for $b = \sigma^2/n\lambda$.

Remark: Equation (12) can be obtained from equation (6) if we assume $d_\nu \sim N(0, \tau^2 I_{m \times m})$. Therefore the limit of $\rho = \tau^2/b \rightarrow \infty$ indicates a diffuse prior for the coefficients \mathbf{d} .

2.4 Gaussian Process Regression

Gaussian processes are the extension of multivariate Gaussian to infinite-sized collections of real value variables, any finite number of which have a joint Gaussian distribution [Rasmussen and Williams,

2006]. Gaussian process regression is a probability distribution over functions. It is fully defined by its mean $m(t)$ and covariance $K(s, t)$ function as

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}[f(t)] \quad (18)$$

$$K(s, t) = \mathbb{E}[(f(s) - m(s))(f(t) - m(t))], \quad (19)$$

where s and t are two variables. A function f distributed as such is denoted in form of

$$f \sim GP(m(t), K(s, t)). \quad (20)$$

Usually the mean function is assumed to be zero everywhere.

Given a set of input variables $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ for function $f(t)$ and the output $\mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{t}) + \varepsilon$ with i.i.d. Gaussian noise ε of variance σ_n^2 , we can use the above definition to predict the value of the function $f_* = f(t_*)$ at a particular input t_* . As the noisy observations becoming

$$\text{Cov}(y_p, y_q) = K(t_p, t_q) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{pq} \quad (21)$$

where δ_{pq} is a Kronecker delta which is one if and only if $p = q$ and zero otherwise, the joint distribution of the observed outputs \mathbf{y} and the estimated output f_* according to prior is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ f_* \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(0, \begin{bmatrix} K(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}) + \sigma_n^2 I & K(\mathbf{t}, t_*) \\ K(t_*, \mathbf{t}) & K(t_*, t_*) \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (22)$$

The posterior distribution over the predicted value is obtained by conditioning on the observed data

$$f_* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}, t_* \sim N(\bar{f}_*, \text{Cov}(f_*)) \quad (23)$$

where

$$\bar{f}_* = \mathbb{E}(f_* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}, t_*) = K(t_*, \mathbf{t}) (K(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Cov}(f_*) = K(t_*, t_*) - K(t_*, \mathbf{t}) (K(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} K(\mathbf{t}, t_*). \quad (25)$$

Therefore it can be seen that the Bayesian estimation of a smoothing spline is a special format of Gaussian process regression with diffuse prior and the covariance matrix $R(s, t)$.

3 V-Splines and Bayes Estimate

3.1 V-Splines

In a nonparametric regression, consider n paired time series points $\{t_1, y_1, v_1\}, \dots, \{t_n, y_n, v_n\}$, such that $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1$, y is the position information and v indicates its velocity. As in [Silverman, 1985] and [Donoho et al., 1995], we use a positive penalty function $\lambda(t)$ in the following objective function rather than a constant λ in (3).

Given function $f : [0, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > 0$, define the objective function

$$J[f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(t_i) - y_i)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f'(t_i) - v_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \lambda(t) f''^2(t) dt, \quad (26)$$

where γ is the parameter that weights the residuals between $\mathbf{f}' = \{f'(t_1), \dots, f'(t_n)\}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. We make a simple assumption that $\lambda(t)$ is a piecewise constant and adopts a constant value λ_i on interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 4. For $n \geq 2$, the objective function $J[f]$ is minimized by a cubic spline that is unique and linear outside the knots.

A further minimizer of (26) is named *V-spline*, coming from the incorporation with velocity information and applications on vehicle and vessel tracking. It is the solution to the objective function (26), where an extra term for $f'(t) - v$ and an extra parameter γ are incorporated. The penalty parameter $\lambda(t)$ is a function varying on different domains. If $\lambda(t)$ is constant and $\gamma = 0$, the V-spline degenerates to a conventional cubic smoothing spline consisting of a set of given basis functions.

However, the Bayes estimate for a polynomial smoothing spline requires a constant penalty parameter. For this constraint, it is assumed that $\lambda(t)$ stays the same on each subinterval in $[0, 1]$ and named the solution “trivial V-spline”. In this section, we still use “V-spline” for sake of simplicity.

3.2 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$

The space $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}[0, 1] = \{f : f^{(m)} \in L_2[0, 1]\}$ is a set of functions f whose m th derivatives are square integrable on the domain $[0, 1]$. For a V-spline, it only requires $m = 2$. In fact, its second derivative is piecewise linear but is not necessarily continuous at the knots. Besides, if and only if $\lambda(t)$ is constant and $\gamma = 0$, the second derivative is piecewise linear and continuous at the knots. Here we are introducing the space

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1] = \{f : f'' \in L_2[0, 1], f, f' \text{ are continuous and } f'' \text{ is piecewise linear}\},$$

in which the second derivative of any function f is not necessarily continuous.

Given a sequence of paired data $\{(t_1, y_1, v_1), \dots, (t_n, y_n, v_n)\}$, the the minimizer of

$$J[f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(t_i))^2 + \frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i - f'(t_i))^2 + \lambda \int_0^1 f''^2 dt \quad (27)$$

in the space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$ is a V-spline. Equipped with an appropriate inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = f(0)g(0) + f'(0)g'(0) + \int_0^1 f''(t)g''(t)dt, \quad (28)$$

the space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$ is made a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. In fact, the representer $R_s(\cdot)$ is

$$R_s(t) = 1 + st + \int_0^1 (s - u)_+(t - u)_+ du. \quad (29)$$

It can be seen that $R_s(0) = 1$, $R'_s(0) = s$, and $R''_s(t) = (s - t)_+$. The two terms of the reproducing kernel $R(s, t) = R_s(t) \triangleq R_0(s, t) + R_1(s, t)$, where

$$R_0(s, t) = 1 + st \quad (30)$$

$$R_1(s, t) = \int_0^1 (s - u)_+(t - u)_+ du \quad (31)$$

are both non-negative definite themselves.

According to Theorem 2, R_0 can correspond the space of polynomials $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{f : f'' = 0\}$ with an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_0 = f(0)g(0) + f'(0)g'(0)$, and R_1 corresponds the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{H}_0

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ f : f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 0, \int_0^1 f''(t)^2 dt < \infty \right\} \quad (32)$$

with inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_1 = \int_0^1 f'' g'' dt$. Thus, \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 are two subspaces of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$, and the reproducing kernel is $\dot{R}_s(\cdot) = R_0(s, \cdot) + R_1(s, \cdot)$.

Define a new notation $\dot{R}(s, t) = \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s, t) = \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial s}(s, t) + \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial s}(s, t) = t + \int_0^s (t - u)_+ du$. Obviously $\dot{R}_s(t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$. Additionally, we have $\dot{R}_s(0) = 0, \dot{R}'_s(0) = \frac{\partial \dot{R}_s}{\partial t}(0) = 1$, and $\dot{R}_s''(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & s \leq t \\ 1 & s > t \end{cases}$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$, we have

$$\langle \dot{R}_s, f \rangle = \dot{R}_s(0)f(0) + \dot{R}'_s(0)f'(0) + \int_0^1 \dot{R}_s'' f''(u) du = f'(0) + \int_0^t f''(u) du = f'(t). \quad (33)$$

It can be seen that the first term $\dot{R}_0 = t \in \mathcal{H}_0$, and the space spanned by the second term $\dot{R}_1 = \int_0^s (t - u)_+ du$, denoted as $\dot{\mathcal{H}}$, is a subspace of \mathcal{H}_1 , and $\dot{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathcal{H}_1 \neq \emptyset$. Given the sample points $t_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, in equation (27) and noting that the space

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j R_1(t_j, \cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \dot{R}_1(t_j, \cdot) \right\} \quad (34)$$

is a closed linear subspace of \mathcal{H}_1 . Then, we have a new space $\mathcal{H}_* = \dot{\mathcal{H}} \cup \mathcal{A}$. Thus, the two new sub spaces in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$ are \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_* .

For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$, it can be written as

$$f(t) = d_1 + d_2 t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1(t_j, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1(t_j, \cdot) + \rho(t) \quad (35)$$

where $\mathbf{d} = \{d_1, d_2\}$, $\mathbf{c} = \{c_j\}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \{b_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are coefficients, and $\rho(t) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_*$. Thus, by substituting to the equation (27), it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} nJ[f] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - d_1 - d_2 t - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1(t_j, t_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1(t_j, t_i) - \rho(t_i) \right)^2 \\ &+ \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \left(v_i - d_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R'_1(t_j, t_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}'_1(t_j, t_i) - \rho'(t_i) \right)^2 \\ &+ n\lambda \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j R''_1(t_j, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}''_1(t_j, t) + \rho''(t) \right)^2 dt \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Because of orthogonality, $\rho(t_i) = \langle R_1(t_i, \cdot), \rho \rangle = 0$, $\rho'(t_i) = \langle \dot{R}_1(t_i, \cdot), \rho' \rangle = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. By denoting that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{S_{ij}\}_{n \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_i \end{bmatrix}, & Q &= \{Q_{ij}\}_{n \times n} = R_1(t_j, t_i), & P &= \{P_{ij}\}_{n \times n} = \dot{R}_1(t_j, t_i), \\ S' &= \{S'_{ij}\}_{n \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & Q' &= \{Q'_{ij}\}_{n \times n} = R'_1(t_j, t_i), & P' &= \{P'_{ij}\}_{n \times n} = \dot{R}'_1(t_j, t_i). \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $\int_0^1 R''_1(t_i, t) R''_1(t_j, t) dt = R_1(t_i, t_j)$, $\int_0^1 R''_1(t_i, t) \dot{R}''_1(t_j, t) dt = \int_0^v (t_i - t) dt = \dot{R}_1(t_j, t_i)$, and $\int_0^1 \dot{R}''_1(t_i, t) \dot{R}''_1(t_j, t) dt = \int_0^v 1 dt = \dot{R}'_1(t_i, t_j)$, where $v = \min\{t_i, t_j\}$, the above

equation (36) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
nJ[f] &= (\mathbf{y} - S\mathbf{d} - Q\mathbf{c} - P\mathbf{b})^\top (\mathbf{y} - S\mathbf{d} - Q\mathbf{c} - P\mathbf{b}) \\
&\quad + \gamma (\mathbf{v} - S'\mathbf{d} - Q'\mathbf{c} - P'\mathbf{b})^\top (\mathbf{v} - S'\mathbf{d} - Q'\mathbf{c} - P'\mathbf{b}) \\
&\quad + n\lambda (\mathbf{c}^\top Q\mathbf{c} + 2\mathbf{c}^\top P\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^\top P'\mathbf{b}) + n\lambda (\rho, \rho).
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Note that ρ only appears in the third term and is minimized at $\rho = 0$. Hence, a V-spline resides in the space $\mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_*$ of finite dimension. Thus, the solution to (27) is computed via the minimization of the first three terms in (37) with respect to \mathbf{d} , \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{b} .

3.3 Posterior of Bayes Estimates

In a general process, we know that $p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v} \mid f) = N(f, \Gamma)$, where Γ is a covariance matrix. However, we are more interested in f given measurements, which is

$$p(f \mid \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}) \propto p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v} \mid f)p(f), \tag{38}$$

where $f \sim GP(0, \Sigma)$ is a Gaussian process prior. In fact, the covariance matrix Σ is associated to the inner product $R(s, t)$.

Observing $y_i \sim N(f(t_i), \sigma^2)$ and $v_i \sim N\left(f(t_i), \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma}\right)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, the joint distribution of \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{v} and $f(t)$ is normal with mean zero and a covariance matrix can be found by the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f(s)f(t)] &= \tau^2 R_0(s, t) + \beta R_1(s, t) & \mathbb{E}[f(s)f'(t)] &= \tau^2 R'_0(s, t) + \beta R'_1(s, t) \\
\mathbb{E}[f'(s)f(t)] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}_0(s, t) + \beta \dot{R}_1(s, t) & \mathbb{E}[f'(s)f'(t)] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}'_0(s, t) + \beta \dot{R}'_1(s, t) \\
\mathbb{E}[y_i, y_j] &= \tau^2 R_0(s_i, s_j) + \beta R_1(s_i, s_j) + \sigma^2 \delta_{ij} & \mathbb{E}[v_i, v_j] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}'_0(s_i, s_j) + \beta \dot{R}'_1(s_i, s_j) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma} \delta_{ij} \\
\mathbb{E}[v_i, y_j] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}_0(s_i, s_j) + \beta \dot{R}_1(s_i, s_j) & \mathbb{E}[y_i, v_j] &= \tau^2 R'_0(s_i, s_j) + \beta R'_1(s_i, s_j) \\
\mathbb{E}[y_i, f(s)] &= \tau^2 R_0(s_i, s) + \beta R_1(s_i, s) & \mathbb{E}[y_i, f'(s)] &= \tau^2 R'_0(s_i, s) + \beta R'_1(s_i, s) \\
\mathbb{E}[v_i, f(s)] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}_0(s_i, s) + \beta \dot{R}_1(s_i, s) & \mathbb{E}[v_i, f'(s)] &= \tau^2 \dot{R}'_0(s_i, s) + \beta \dot{R}'_1(s_i, s)
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $R_0(s, t)$ and $R_1(s, t)$ are taken from (30) and (31).

Therefore, by using a standard result on multivariate normal distribution (such as Result 4.6 in [Johnson and Wichern, 1992]), the posterior mean of $f(t)$ is seen to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[f \mid \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}] &= [\text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}, f) \quad \text{Cov}(f, \mathbf{v})] \begin{bmatrix} \text{Var}(\mathbf{y}) & \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}) \\ \text{Cov}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{y}) & \text{Var}(\mathbf{v}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [\tau^2 \phi^\top S^\top + \beta \xi^\top \quad \tau^2 \phi^\top S'^\top + \beta \psi^\top] \begin{bmatrix} \tau^2 S S^\top + \beta Q + \sigma^2 I & \tau^2 S S'^\top + \beta P \\ \tau^2 S' S^\top + \beta Q' & \tau^2 S' S'^\top + \beta P' + \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma} I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= [\rho \phi^\top S^\top + \xi^\top \quad \rho \phi^\top S'^\top + \psi^\top] \begin{bmatrix} \rho S S^\top + Q + n\lambda I & \rho S S'^\top + P \\ \rho S' S^\top + Q' & \rho S' S'^\top + P' + \frac{n\lambda}{\gamma} I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \left(\phi^\top \rho \begin{bmatrix} S \\ S' \end{bmatrix}^\top + [\xi^\top \quad \psi^\top] \right) \left(\rho \begin{bmatrix} S \\ S' \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} S \\ S' \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Q + n\lambda I & P \\ Q' & P' + \frac{n\lambda}{\gamma} I \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\triangleq \phi^\top \rho T^\top (\rho T^\top T + M)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} + [\xi^\top \quad \psi^\top] (\rho T^\top T + M)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where ϕ is 2×1 matrix with entry 1 and t , ξ is $n \times 1$ matrix with i th entry $R(t_i, t)$, $T^\top = [S^\top \quad S'^\top]$ and ψ is $n \times 1$ matrix with i th entry $\dot{R}(t_i, t)$, $\rho = \tau^2/\beta$ and $n\lambda = \sigma^2/\beta$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose M is symmetric and nonsingular and T is of full column rank.*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} (\rho T T^\top + M)^{-1} = M^{-1} - M^{-1} T (T^\top M^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top M^{-1}, \quad (41)$$

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho T^\top (\rho T T^\top + M)^{-1} = (T^\top M^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top M^{-1}. \quad (42)$$

Setting $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (40) and applying Lemma 1, the posterior mean $E(f(t) \mid \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v})$ is $\hat{f} = \phi^\top \mathbf{d} + \xi^\top \mathbf{c} + \psi^\top \mathbf{b}$, with the coefficients given by

$$\mathbf{d} = (T^\top M^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} = \left(M^{-1} - M^{-1} T (T^\top M^{-1} T)^{-1} T^\top M^{-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where $T = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ S' \end{bmatrix}$ and $M = \begin{bmatrix} Q + n\lambda I & P \\ Q' & P' + \frac{n\lambda}{\gamma} I \end{bmatrix}$.

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}$ are the solutions to

$$\begin{cases} S^\top (S\mathbf{d} + Q\mathbf{c} + P\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}) + \gamma S'^\top (S'\mathbf{d} + P^\top \mathbf{c} + P'\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{v}) = 0, \\ Q (S\mathbf{d} + (Q + n\lambda I)\mathbf{c} + P\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}) + P (\gamma S'\mathbf{d} + \gamma P^\top \mathbf{c} + (\gamma P' + n\lambda I)\mathbf{b} - \gamma \mathbf{v}) = 0, \\ P^\top (S\mathbf{d} + (Q + n\lambda I)\mathbf{c} + P\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}) + P' (\gamma S'\mathbf{d} + P^\top \mathbf{c} + (\gamma P' + n\lambda I)\mathbf{b} - \gamma \mathbf{v}) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

Finally we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 5. *The smoothing V-spline of (27) is the posterior mean of $f = f_0 + f_1 + \dot{f}_1$, where f_0 diffuses in span $\{1, t\}$ and f_1, \dot{f}_1 have Gaussian process priors with mean zero and covariance functions*

$$\text{Cov}(f_1, f_1) = \beta R_1(s, t) = \beta \int_0^1 (s-u)_+ (t-u)_+ du, \quad (46)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{f}_1, f_1) = \beta \dot{R}_1(s, t) = \beta \int_0^s (t-u)_+ du, \quad (47)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{f}_1, \dot{f}_1) = \beta \dot{R}'_1(s, t) = \beta \min\{s, t\}, \quad (48)$$

for $\beta = \sigma^2/n\lambda$.

4 Bayes Estimate for Non-trivial V-Spline

For a sequence $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = 1$ on the interval $[0, 1]$ in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{p.w.}}^{(2)}[0, 1]$, define an inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = f(0)g(0) + f'(0)g'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f''(t)g''(t)dt, \quad (49)$$

where $w_i > 0, i = 0, \dots, n$. The representer is

$$R_s(t) = 1 + st + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ (t-u)_+ du, \quad (50)$$

having the following properties

$$R'_s(t) = s + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ \Theta(t-u) du, \quad (51)$$

$$\dot{R}_s(t) = t + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Theta(s-u)(t-u)_+ du, \quad (52)$$

$$R''_s(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ \delta(t-u) du, \quad (53)$$

and $R_s(0) = 1$, $R'_s(0) = s$. The function $\Theta(t-u)$ is the Heaviside function and $\delta(t-u)$ is the Dirac delta function.

Further, $R(\cdot)$ and $\dot{R}(\cdot)$ on $[0, 1]$ have the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_s, f \rangle &= R_s(0)f(0) + R'_s(0)f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} R''_s(u) f''(u) du \\ &= f(0) + s f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^n w_j^{-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (s-u)_+ \delta(v-u) du f''(v) dv \\ &= f(0) + s f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ f''(u) du \\ &= f(s) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{R}_s, f \rangle &= \dot{R}_s(0)f(0) + \dot{R}'_s(0)f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \dot{R}''_s(u) f''(u) du \\ &= f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^n w_j^{-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \Theta(s-u) \delta(v-u) du f''(v) dv \\ &= f'(0) + \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Theta(s-u) f''(u) du \\ &= f'(s) \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Define the two terms of the reproducing kernel $R(s, t) = R_s(t) = R_0(s, t) + R_1(s, t)$, where

$$R_0(s, t) = 1 + st \quad (56)$$

$$R_1(s, t) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ (t-u)_+ du \quad (57)$$

are both non-negative definite themselves. For R_0 there corresponds the space of polynomials $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{f : f'' = 0\}$ with an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = f(0)g(0) + f'(0)g'(0)$, and for R_1 there corresponds a sequence of orthogonal spaces $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(i)} = \{f : f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 0, \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f''(t)^2 dt < \infty\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}$. The inner product through the entire space \mathcal{H}_1 is $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f''(t)g''(t) dt$.

Given a sequence of paired sampling points $\{s_i, y_i, v_i\}, i = 1, \dots, n$ on the interval $[s_1, s_n]$, it can be transformed to $\{t_i, y_i, v_i\}$ on the interval $[0, 1]$, where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_{n+1} = 1$. The objective function of a V-spline on $[0, 1]$ is

$$J[f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(t_i))^2 + \frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i - f'(t_i))^2 + \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f''(t)^2 dt. \quad (58)$$

Any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{p.w.}^{(2)}[0, 1]$ can be written as

$$f(t) = d_1 + d_2 t + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1(t_j, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1(t_j, t) + \rho(t) \quad (59)$$

Thus, by substituting to the equation (58), it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} nJ[f] &= \left(y_i - d_1 - d_2 t_i - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1(t_j, t_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1(t_j, t_i) - \rho(t_i) \right)^2 \\ &+ \gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \left(v_i - d_2 - \sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1'(t_j, t_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1'(t_j, t_i) - \rho'(t_i) \right)^2 \\ &+ n \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j R_1''(t_j, t) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \dot{R}_1''(t_j, t) + \rho''(t) \right)^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Because of orthogonality, $\rho(t_i) = \langle R_1(t_i, \cdot), \rho \rangle = 0$, $\rho'(t_i) = \langle \dot{R}_1(t_i, \cdot), \rho' \rangle = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. For further use, we need to notice the property of the inner product and R_1 satisfy

$$\langle R_1(s, \cdot), \dot{R}_1(t, \cdot) \rangle = R_1'(s, t) \quad (61)$$

$$\langle \dot{R}_1(s, \cdot), \dot{R}_1(t, \cdot) \rangle = \dot{R}_1'(s, t) \quad (62)$$

By denoting the matrices $\{S\}_{ij} = (t_i)^{j-1}$, $j = 1, 2$, $\{Q\}_{ij} = R_1(t_j, t_i)$, $\{P\}_{ij} = \dot{R}_1(t_j, t_i)$ and $\{P'\}_{ij} = \dot{R}_1'(t_j, t_i)$, the above equation (60) becomes the matrix form

$$\begin{aligned} nJ[f] &= (\mathbf{y} - S\mathbf{d} - Q\mathbf{c} - P\mathbf{b})^\top (\mathbf{y} - S\mathbf{d} - Q\mathbf{c} - P\mathbf{b}) \\ &+ \gamma (\mathbf{v} - S'\mathbf{d} - Q'\mathbf{c} - P'\mathbf{b})^\top (\mathbf{v} - S'\mathbf{d} - Q'\mathbf{c} - P'\mathbf{b}) \\ &+ n\Lambda (\mathbf{c}^\top Q\mathbf{c} + 2\mathbf{c}^\top P\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^\top P'\mathbf{b}) + n\Lambda(\rho, \rho), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where $\lambda_i = \Lambda w_i$.

Thus, the solution to (58) is computed via the minimization of the first three terms in (63) with respect to \mathbf{d} , \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{b} .

Therefore, the calculation goes through the same process in Section 3.3 and the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 6. *The smoothing V-spline of (58) is the posterior mean of $f = f_0 + f_1 + \dot{f}_1$, where f_0 diffuses in span $\{1, t\}$ and f_1, \dot{f}_1 have Gaussian process priors with mean zero and covariance*

functions

$$\text{Cov}(f_1, f_1) = \beta R_1(s, t) = \beta \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s-u)_+ (t-u)_+ du, \quad (64)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{f}_1, f_1) = \beta \dot{R}_1(s, t) = \beta \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Theta(s-u) (t-u)_+ du, \quad (65)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\dot{f}_1, \dot{f}_1) = \beta \dot{R}'_1(s, t) = \beta \sum_{i=0}^n w_i^{-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \Theta(s-u) \Theta(t-u) du, \quad (66)$$

for $\beta = \sigma^2/n\Lambda$.

5 V-Spline with Correlated Random Errors

In most of the studies on polynomial smoothing splines, the random errors are assumed being independent. By contrast, observations are often correlated in applications, such as time series data and spatial data. It is known that the correlation greatly affects the selection of smoothing parameters, which are critical to the performance of smoothing spline estimates [Wang, 1998]. The parameter selection methods, such as generalized maximum likelihood (GML), generalized cross-validation (GCV), underestimate smoothing parameters when data are correlated.

Diggle and Hutchinson [1989] extend GCV for choosing the degree of smoothing spline to accommodate an autocorrelated error sequence, by which the smoothing parameter and autocorrelation parameters are estimated simultaneously. Kohn et al. [1992] propose an algorithm to evaluate the cross-validation functions, whose autocorrelated errors are modeled by an autoregressive moving average. Wang [1998] extend GML and unbiased risk (UBR), other than GCV, to estimate the smoothing parameters and correlation parameters simultaneously. In this section, we explore the extended GCV for V-spline with correlated errors.

First of all, consider observations $y = f(t) + \varepsilon_1$ and $v = f'(t) + \varepsilon_2$, where $\varepsilon_1 \sim N(0, \sigma^2 W^{-1})$, $\varepsilon_2 \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma} U^{-1})$ with variance parameter σ^2 , and the structures of correlation matrices W and U are known. The V-spline \hat{f} with correlated errors in the space $\mathcal{C}_{p.w.}^{(2)}[0, 1]$ is the minimizer of

$$\frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f})^\top W (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{f}) + \frac{\gamma}{n} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}')^\top U (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{f}') + \lambda \int_0^1 (f'')^2 dt. \quad (67)$$

Because of $f = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \theta_i N_i(t)$ is a linear combination of basis functions $\{N_i(t)\}_{i=1}^{2n}$, extended to the solution with covariance matrices, the coefficients is found by

$$\hat{\theta} = (B^\top W B + \gamma C^\top U C + n\Omega_\lambda)^{-1} (B^\top W \mathbf{y} + \gamma C^\top U \mathbf{v}). \quad (68)$$

Furthermore, in Gaussian process regression, the covariance matrix with correlated variances becomes

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} Q + n\lambda W & P \\ Q' & P' + \frac{n\lambda}{\gamma} U \end{bmatrix} \text{ and the rest stays the same.}$$

Additionally, it is known that the parameter $\hat{\theta} = (B^\top B + \gamma C^\top C + n\Omega_\lambda)^{-1} (B^\top \mathbf{y} + \gamma C^\top \mathbf{v})$ and will give us the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{f}} &= B\hat{\theta} = B (B^\top B + \gamma C^\top C + n\Omega_\lambda)^{-1} B^\top \mathbf{y} + B (B^\top B + \gamma C^\top C + n\Omega_\lambda)^{-1} C^\top \mathbf{v} \\ &= S\mathbf{y} + \gamma T\mathbf{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{f}}' &= C\hat{\theta} = C \left(B^\top B + \gamma C^\top C + n\Omega_\lambda \right)^{-1} B^\top \mathbf{y} + C \left(B^\top B + \gamma C^\top C + n\Omega_\lambda \right)^{-1} C^\top \mathbf{v} \\ &= U\mathbf{y} + \gamma V\mathbf{v}.\end{aligned}\quad (70)$$

Lemma 2. *The cross-validation score of a V-spline satisfies*

$$CV(\lambda, \gamma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\hat{f}(t_i) - y_i + \gamma \frac{T_{ii}}{1-\gamma V_{ii}} (\hat{f}'(t_i) - v_i)}{1 - S_{ii} - \gamma \frac{T_{ii}}{1-\gamma V_{ii}} U_{ii}} \right)^2 \quad (71)$$

where \hat{f} is the V-spline smoother calculated from the full data set $\{(t_i, y_i, v_i)\}$ with smoothing parameter λ and γ .

Followed by the approximation $S_{ii} \approx \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(S)$, $T_{ii} \approx \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(T)$, $U_{ii} \approx \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(U)$ and $V_{ii} \approx \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(V)$ [Syed, 2011], the GCV for the V-spline will be

$$\text{GCV}(\lambda, \gamma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\hat{f}(t_i) - y_i + \frac{\gamma \text{tr}(T)/n}{1-\gamma \text{tr}(V)/n} (\hat{f}'(t_i) - v_i)}{1 - \text{tr}(S)/n - \frac{\gamma \text{tr}(T)/n}{1-\gamma \text{tr}(V)/n} \text{tr}(U)/n} \right)^2, \quad (72)$$

which may provide further computational savings since it requires finding the trace rather than the individual diagonal entries of the hat matrix. Hence, it can be written in the form of

$$\text{GCV}(\lambda, \gamma) = \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y})^\top (\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y}) + \frac{2\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} (\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y})^\top (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v}) + \left(\frac{\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} \right)^2 (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v})^\top (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v})}{\left(\text{tr}(I - S - \frac{\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} U) \right)^2}. \quad (73)$$

A natural extension to the above GCV for V-spline with correlated errors is

$$\text{GCV}(\lambda, \gamma) = \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y})^\top W (\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y}) + \frac{2\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} (\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{y})^\top W^{1/2} U^{\top 1/2} (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v}) + \left(\frac{\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} \right)^2 (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v})^\top U (\hat{\mathbf{f}}' - \mathbf{v})}{\left(\text{tr} \left(I - S - \frac{\text{tr}(\gamma T)}{\text{tr}(I - \gamma V)} U \right) \right)^2}. \quad (74)$$

The GCV is used for finding the unknown constant parameter λ , instead of a piecewise constant $\lambda(t)$ at different intervals, and the parameter γ . If the errors are independent, in which way W and U become identity matrices, the solution \hat{f} degenerates to a conventional V-spline with constant λ through over the entire interval $[0, 1]$.

For a non-trivial V-spline, the parameter λ can be easily substituted by Λ , which then is optimized by the above formula.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed the correspondence between polynomial smoothing spline and Bayes estimates given improper priors. In fact, the smoothing spline is a particular case of Gaussian process regression. By following the work done by Gu [2013], we find the Bayes estimate for V-splines in two scenarios: constant penalty parameters λ and γ on the entire interval $[0, 1]$; parameters Λ and γ , but Λ is distributing on different subintervals and its value depending on the weight of that subinterval. Additionally, we give the formula of GCV for V-spline with correlated errors on y and v .

References

Aronszajn, N. (1950). Theory of reproducing kernels. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 68(3), 337–404.

- Berlinet, A. and C. Thomas-Agnan (2011). *Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces in probability and statistics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Branson, Z., M. Rischard, L. Bornn, and L. Miratrix (2017). A nonparametric Bayesian methodology for regression discontinuity designs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04858*.
- Chen, W.-K. (2017, June). *Feedback, nonlinear, and distributed circuits* (Third ed.). The Circuits and Filters Handbook. CRC Press.
- Cox, D. D. (1993, 06). An analysis of Bayesian inference for nonparametric regression. *The Annals of Statistics* 21(2), 903–923.
- Craven, P. and G. Wahba (1978). Smoothing noisy data with spline functions. *Numerische Mathematik* 31(4), 377–403.
- Dieudonné, J. (2013). *Foundations of modern analysis*. Read Books Ltd.
- Diggle, P. J. and M. F. Hutchinson (1989). On spline smoothing with autocorrelated errors. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics* 31(1), 166–182.
- Donoho, D. L., I. M. Johnstone, G. Kerkyacharian, and D. Picard (1995). Wavelet shrinkage: asymptopia? *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 57, 301–369.
- Ellis, D., E. Sommerlade, and I. Reid (2009). Modelling pedestrian trajectory patterns with gaussian processes. In *2009 IEEE 12th International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops, ICCV Workshops*, pp. 1229–1234. IEEE.
- Green, P. J. and B. W. Silverman (1993). *Nonparametric regression and generalized linear models: a roughness penalty approach*. CRC Press.
- Gu, C. (2013). *Smoothing spline ANOVA models*, Volume 297. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Gu, C. and G. Wahba (1991). Minimizing GCV/GML scores with multiple smoothing parameters via the Newton method. *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing* 12(2), 383–398.
- Hastie, T., R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman (2009). *The elements of statistical learning: data mining, inference, and prediction*. (Second ed.). Springer-Verlag.
- Hastie, T. J. and R. J. Tibshirani (1990). *Generalized additive models*, Volume 43. CRC Press.
- Heckman, N. E. and M. Woodroffe (1991). Minimax Bayes estimation in nonparametric regression. *The Annals of Statistics* 19, 2003–2014.
- Johnson, R. A. and D. W. Wichern (1992). *Applied multivariate statistical analysis*. (Third ed.). Englewood Cliffs (N.J.): Prentice-Hall.
- Judd, K. L. (1998). *Numerical methods in economics*. MIT press.
- Kim, Y.-J. and C. Gu (2004). Smoothing spline Gaussian regression: more scalable computation via efficient approximation. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 66(2), 337–356.
- Kimeldorf, G. and G. Wahba (1971). Some results on tchebycheffian spline functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 33(1), 82–95.

- Kimeldorf, G. S. and G. Wahba (1970). A correspondence between Bayesian estimation on stochastic processes and smoothing by splines. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 41(2), 495–502.
- Kohn, R., C. F. Ansley, and C.-M. Wong (1992). Nonparametric spline regression with autoregressive moving average errors. *Biometrika* 79(2), 335–346.
- Rasmussen, C. E. and C. K. I. Williams (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning*, Volume 1. MIT press Cambridge.
- Rudin, C. (2005). Stability analysis for regularized least squares regression. *arXiv preprint cs/0502016*.
- Schoenberg, I. J. (1964). Spline functions and the problem of graduation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 52(4), 947–950.
- Silverman, B. W. (1985). Some aspects of the spline smoothing approach to non-parametric regression curve fitting. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 47, 1–52.
- Speckman, P. L. and D. Sun (2003). Fully Bayesian spline smoothing and intrinsic autoregressive priors. *Biometrika* 90(2), 289–302.
- Syed, A. R. (2011). A review of cross validation and adaptive model selection. Master’s thesis, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA.
- Wahba, G. (1978). Improper priors, spline smoothing and the problem of guarding against model errors in regression. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 40, 364–372.
- Wahba, G. (1990). *Spline models for observational data*, Volume 59. Siam.
- Wahba, G. and Y. Wang (1990). When is the optimal regularization parameter insensitive to the choice of the loss function? *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 19(5), 1685–1700.
- Wang, Y. (1998). Smoothing spline models with correlated random errors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 93(441), 341–348.
- Wang, Y. (2011). *Smoothing splines: methods and applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Wecker, W. E. and C. F. Ansley (1983). The signal extraction approach to nonlinear regression and spline smoothing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 78(381), 81–89.
- Whittaker, E. T. (1922). On a new method of graduation. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* 41, 63–75.