

# THE SQUARE NEGATIVE CORRELATION PROPERTY ON $\ell_p^n$ -BALLS

DAVID ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ AND JULIO BERNUÉS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that for any  $p \in [2, \infty)$  the  $\ell_p^n$  unit ball,  $B_p^n$ , satisfies the square negative correlation property with respect to every orthonormal basis, while we show it is not always the case for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . In order to do that we regard  $B_p^n$  as the orthogonal projection of  $B_p^{n+1}$  onto the hyperplane  $e_{n+1}^\perp$ . We will also study the orthogonal projection of  $B_p^n$  onto the hyperplane orthogonal to the diagonal vector  $(1, \dots, 1)$ . In this case, the property holds for all  $p \geq 1$  and  $n$  large enough.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

A random vector  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is said to satisfy the square negative correlation property (SNCP) with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  if for every  $i \neq j$

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_i \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_j \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_i \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_j \rangle^2 \leq 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the expectation and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the standard scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The study of the SNCP of random vectors uniformly distributed on convex bodies with respect to *some* orthonormal basis appeared in [ABP] in the context of the central limit problem for convex bodies, where the authors showed that for any  $p \geq 1$  a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to the canonical basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . In [W], this result was extended to random vectors uniformly distributed on generalized Orlicz balls, also with respect to the canonical basis. A straightforward consequence is that, by the rotational invariance of  $B_2^n$ , a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_2^n$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to *every* orthonormal basis. The first non-trivial example in this new situation appeared in [AB1], where it was proved that any random vector uniformly distributed on any hyperplane projection of  $B_\infty^n$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to *every* orthonormal basis. In particular, the SNCP with respect to *every* orthonormal basis is satisfied by  $B_\infty^n$  itself. On the other hand, it is not hard to show that a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_1^n$  does not satisfy the SNCP with respect to every orthonormal basis (see Lemma 3.2 below).

The relation between the SNCP and the central limit problem comes from the fact (see, for instance, [AB2, Proposition 1.8]) that if a zero-mean random vector uniformly distributed on a convex body  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to *some* orthonormal basis, then it verifies the so called *General Variance Conjecture* which states:

---

*Date:* September 24, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52B09, Secondary 52A23.

Partially supported by Spanish grants MTM2016-77710-P and DGA E-64.

There exists an absolute constant  $C$  such that for every zero-mean random vector  $X$  uniformly distributed on a convex body

$$\text{Var}|X|^2 \leq C\lambda_X^2 \mathbb{E}|X|^2,$$

where  $\lambda_X^2 = \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \mathbb{E}\langle X, \xi \rangle^2$  is the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of  $X$  and  $\text{Var}$  denotes the variance.

Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean norm and  $S^{n-1}$  denotes the unit Euclidean sphere  $S^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ .

Furthermore, [AB2, Proposition 1.9], if a zero-mean random vector uniformly distributed on  $K$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to every orthonormal basis, then  $TK$  verifies the General Variance Conjecture for every linear isomorphism  $T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is a particular case of a well-known conjecture due to Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits (see [AB2], for detailed explanations on this topic).

In Section 3 we study the SNCP on random vectors uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n, p \geq 1$ , with respect to any orthonormal basis. The main result is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n, p \geq 1$ , and write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 + e_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Let  $f : S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}$  be the function*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

Then for every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S^{n-1}$  such that  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi_1, \xi_2) &\leq f(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq f(e_1, e_2), & \text{if } p \geq 2 \\ f(e_1, e_2) &\leq f(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq f(\xi_1, \xi_2), & \text{if } p \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the choice of  $e_1, e_2$  is not relevant as  $f(e_1, e_2) = f(e_i, e_j), \forall i \neq j$ . The analogous observation applies also to  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ .

We will compute  $f(e_1, e_2)$  and  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  in Lemma 3.2 and express them in terms of the  $\Gamma$  function in order to obtain the following

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $X$  a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n$ .*

- If  $p \geq 2$ ,  $X$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to every orthonormal basis.
- If  $1 \leq p < 2$ , there exists  $n_0(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \geq n_0$  there is an orthonormal basis  $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $X$  does not satisfy the SNCP with respect to  $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ .

Moreover, we will show that  $f(e_1, e_2) < 0$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , providing a new proof of the aforementioned result in [ABP].

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we will view  $B_p^n$  as the projection of  $B_p^{n+1}$  onto the coordinate hyperplane  $e_{n+1}^\perp$  orthogonal to  $e_{n+1}$  and we will make use of the techniques developed in [BN] and [AB3]. The details of this approach are explained in Section 2.

In Section 4 we apply the same strategy to a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n$ , the orthogonal projection of  $B_p^n$  onto  $\theta_0^\perp$ , where  $\theta_0 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . However, the computations become more involved, due to the fact that some of random variables that appear are no longer independent.

Denoting  $S_{\theta_0^\perp} = S^{n-1} \cap \theta_0^\perp$ , we prove the following

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . Let  $f : S_{\theta_0^\perp} \times S_{\theta_0^\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

*For every fixed  $p \geq 2$  there exists  $n_0(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$  then for every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  such that  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$  we have that,*

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \leq f(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2),$$

*and for every  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  there exists  $n_1(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_1$  then*

$$f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) \leq f(\eta_1, \eta_2) \leq f(\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

Studying the sign of  $f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$  and  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , (see Lemmas 4.8 and 4.10) we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . There exists  $n_2(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_2$ ,  $X$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to every orthonormal basis in  $\theta_0^\perp$ .*

As a consequence of [AB2, Proposition 1.9]

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $T(P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  linear isomorphism. There exists  $C(p) > 0$  (depending only on  $p$ ) such that  $X$  satisfies the General Variance Conjecture with  $C = C(p)$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we will introduce the preliminary results that we need in order to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We briefly review the tools developed in [BN] and [AB3]. Let  $\sigma_p^n$  be the surface measure (Hausdorff measure) on  $\partial B_p^n$ , the boundary of  $B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and denote by  $\mu_p^n$  the cone probability measure on  $\partial B_p^n$ , defined by  $\mu_p^n(A) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_p^n)} \text{Vol}(\{ta \in \mathbb{R}^n; a \in A, 0 \leq t \leq 1\})$ ,  $A \subseteq \partial B_p^n$ , where  $\text{Vol}$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

The following relation between the surface measure and the cone measure on  $\partial B_p^n$  was stated in [NR] (see also [AB3]): For almost every point  $x \in \partial B_p^n$

$$\frac{d\sigma_p^n(x)}{d\mu_p^n(x)} = n \text{Vol}(B_p^n) |\nabla(\|\cdot\|_p)(x)|.$$

The cone measure on  $\partial B_p^n$  was proved in [SZ] to have the following probabilistic description: Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be independent copies of a random variable  $g$  with density with respect to the Lebesgue measure given by  $\frac{e^{-|t|^p}}{2\Gamma(1+1/p)}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and denote  $S := (\sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Then

- The random vector  $\frac{G}{S} := \left(\frac{g_1}{S}, \dots, \frac{g_n}{S}\right)$  and the random variable  $S$  are independent.
- $\frac{G}{S}$  is distributed on  $\partial B_p^n$  according to the cone measure  $\mu_p^n$ .

Now, in order to compute the expectation of a suitable function  $f(X)$  for  $X$  a random vector uniformly distributed on the orthogonal projection of  $B_p^n$  onto some hyperplane orthogonal to  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ ,  $P_{\theta^\perp} B_p^n$ , we first use Cauchy's formula and

pass to an integration on  $\partial B_p^n$  with respect to the surface measure, then use the relation between the surface measure and the cone measure and finally the latter probabilistic representation of the cone measure (see [AB3] for the details). The final result is the starting point for the proof of our main results:

**Lemma 2.1.** [AB3] *Let  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ . If  $X$  is a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta^\perp} B_p^n$ ,  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are independent copies of  $g$  as above and  $S = (\sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , then for every integrable function  $f : P_{\theta^\perp} B_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathbb{E}f(X) = \frac{\mathbb{E}f\left(P_{\theta^\perp}\left(\frac{g_1}{S}, \dots, \frac{g_n}{S}\right)\right) \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \theta_i \right|}{\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \theta_i \right|}.$$

where  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}(g_i)$  denotes the sign of  $g_i$ .

The following lemma computes the expectation of the random variables involved in terms of the Gamma function:

**Lemma 2.2.** [AB3][BN] *Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ , let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be independent copies of  $g$  as above and  $S = (\sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{E}|g|^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{\alpha+1}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}S^\alpha = \frac{n}{n+\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+\alpha}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right)}.$$

Our last lemma concerns the so called Gurland's ratio for the Gamma function (see more details in [M]) and it will be crucial in our estimates.

**Lemma 2.3.** *The function*

$$F(x) := \Gamma(5x)\Gamma(x)/\Gamma(3x)^2$$

*is strictly increasing in  $(0, 1]$  and verifies  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $F$  is increasing if and only if its logarithm is increasing. Therefore, let us see that the function

$$h(x) = \log \Gamma(5x) + \log \Gamma(x) - 2 \log \Gamma(3x)$$

is increasing. Denoting by  $\psi$  the logarithmic derivative of the Gamma function, which verifies (see, for instance [ABR])

$$\psi(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{t} - \frac{e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}} \right) dt,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(x) &= 5\psi(5x) + \psi(x) - 6\psi(3x) = 5 \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{t} - \frac{e^{-5xt}}{1-e^{-t}} \right) dt + \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{t} - \frac{e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}} \right) dt - 6 \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-t}}{t} - \frac{e^{-3xt}}{1-e^{-t}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} (-5e^{-5xt} - e^{-xt} + 6e^{-3xt}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$xh'(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} (-5xe^{-5xt} - xe^{-xt} + 6xe^{-3xt}) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-5xt} + e^{-xt} - 2e^{-3xt}) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{(1-e^{-t})^2} ((e^{-5xt} - e^{-3xt}) - (e^{-3xt} - e^{-xt})) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $e^{-y}$  is convex, we have  $\frac{e^{-5xt} - e^{-3xt}}{2xt} \geq \frac{e^{-3xt} - e^{-xt}}{2xt}$ ,  $\forall x, t > 0$  and so the last integral is positive. Thus, for every  $x > 0$ ,  $h'(x) > 0$  and we obtain the result. It is clear that  $F(\frac{1}{2}) = 3$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE SCNP ON $B_p^n$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 + e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Let  $f : S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1}$  be the function*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

For every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S^{n-1}$  such that  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ , we have

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = f(e_1, e_2) + 2 \left( f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(e_1, e_2) \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2.$$

where  $\eta_j = (\eta_j(1), \dots, \eta_j(n))$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_p^n = P_{e_{n+1}^\perp} B_p^{n+1}$  and let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n$ . We first apply Lemma 2.1 to the function  $\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2$ . Here,  $S = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |g_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . By independence and symmetry of the  $g_i$ 's, it is straightforward to check that  $\mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E}g_1^2$  (it does not depend on  $\eta \in S^{n-1}$ ) and since  $\frac{G}{S}$  and  $S$  are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta_1 \right\rangle^2 \frac{|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}}}{\mathbb{E}\frac{|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 |g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}g_1^2}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1}}.$$

The estimates in Lemma 2.2 yield to

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+2}{p}\right)^2}.$$

In the same way, we apply Lemma 2.1 to  $\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta_1 \right\rangle^2 \left\langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta_2 \right\rangle^2 \frac{|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}}}{\mathbb{E}\frac{|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}}} \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2 |g_{n+1}|^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3} \mathbb{E}|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} \mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2
\end{aligned}$$

We compute the last product taking into account  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S^{n-1}$  and  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}g_1^4 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2 + (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(j)^2 + 2(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_1(i) \eta_2(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(j) \\
&= \mathbb{E}g_1^4 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2 + (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2\right) - 2(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2 \\
&= (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 + \left(\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 = \frac{(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} + \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} \left(\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2.$$

Notice that the first summand equals

$$\frac{(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 \mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}g_1^2 g_2^2 |g_{n+1}|^{p-1} \mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3} \mathbb{E}|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}} = \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, e_2 \rangle^2.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that

$$\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 = 2 \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{g_1 + g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{g_1 - g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 - \mathbb{E}g_1^2 g_2^2 \right)$$

and so the factor  $\frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} \left(\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2\right)$  in the second summand is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2 \mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3} \mathbb{E}|g_{n+1}|^{p-1}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{g_1 + g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{g_1 - g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 |g_{n+1}|^{p-1} - \mathbb{E}g_1^2 g_2^2 |g_{n+1}|^{p-1} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \mathbb{E}\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, e_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, e_2 \rangle^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that  $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta \rangle^2$  is independent of  $\eta \in S^{n-1}$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S^{n-1}$  with  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ . Then*

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

*The lower bound is attained at any two vectors of the canonical basis. The upper bound is attained at the vectors  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_i + e_j}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_i - e_j}{\sqrt{2}}$  for any  $i \neq j$ .*

*Proof.* The lower bound is trivial. For the upper bound consider the function  $F: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2$  which we want to maximize under the conditions  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i) \eta_2(i) = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_2(i)^2 = 1$ . Observe that if  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  is an extremal point so is  $(\pm \eta_1, \pm \eta_2)$  and  $(\eta_2, \eta_1)$ . The proof is a consequence of Lagrange multiplier's theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1 that

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{2 \mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} (\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2).$$

Therefore, its sign is equal to the sign of

$$\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)} - 3\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 \right),$$

where  $F(x) = \Gamma(5x)\Gamma(x)/\Gamma(3x)^2$ . By Lemma 2.3 its sign is negative if  $p \geq 2$  and positive if  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.1 the function  $f$  attains its maximum (resp. minimum) at  $(e_1, e_2)$  and its minimum (resp. maximum) at  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  depending on whether the sign of  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(e_1, e_2)$  is negative (resp. positive).  $\square$

In order to prove Corollary 1.1, we compute the value of  $f$  at the extremal pairs,

**Lemma 3.2.**

$$f(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+4}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+4}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+2}{p}\right)^2} \right),$$

and

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2 \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 1 - 2\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+4}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+2}{p}\right)^2} \right)}{2\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+4}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2}$$

*Proof.* We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1 that

$$f(e_1, e_2) = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 - \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}g_1^2}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1}} \right)^2$$

and that

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{2\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}} (\mathbb{E}g_1^4 - (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2) - \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}g_1^2}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1}} \right)^2.$$

Now substitute the expressions from Lemma 2.2, where  $S = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |g_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* Since  $n+2 = \frac{n+(n+4)}{2}$  and  $\log \Gamma(x)$  is strictly convex ([ABR]),  $f(e_1, e_2) < 0$  for every  $p \geq 1$ . If  $1 \leq p < 2$ , Lemma 2.3 implies  $F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) > 3$  and by Stirling's formula ([ABR], [M]),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+4}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+2}{p}\right)^2} = 1.$$

Thus, for every  $1 \leq p < 2$  there exists  $n_0(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  so that if  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) > 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* An statement fixing  $n$  first yields: For  $p = 2$   $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = f(e_1, e_2) < 0$  and so, by continuity, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $p_0(n) \in (1, 2)$  such that for every  $p \geq p_0$  a random vector uniformly distributed on  $B_p^n$  satisfies the SNCP with respect to every orthonormal basis.

4. THE SNCP ON A PROJECTION OF  $B_p^n$ 

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2. The general scheme is analogous to the one used in the previous section. The first Proposition below corresponds to Proposition 3.1 for  $B_p^n$ .

Recall that  $\theta_0 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$  denotes the diagonal direction and  $P_{\theta_0^\perp}$  the orthogonal projection onto the hyperplane  $\theta_0^\perp$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $f : S_{\theta_0^\perp} \times S_{\theta_0^\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

and write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . For every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  such that  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$  we have

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) + 4 \left( f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2.$$

In order to prove this proposition we first state two lemmas. Recall  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  denote independent copies of a random variable  $g$ , with density with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\frac{e^{-|t|^p}}{2\Gamma((1+1/p))}$ ,  $G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ , and  $S = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . We define  $\psi_{\theta_0} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n |g_i|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \right|$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *For every  $\eta \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta \rangle^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}g_1(g_1 - g_2)\psi_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}}$  and, in particular, it is independent of  $\eta$ .*

*Proof.*  $\eta = (\eta(1), \dots, \eta(n)) \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(i)^2 = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta(i) = 0$ . Apply Lemma 2.1 to the function  $\langle X, \eta \rangle^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta \rangle^2 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}\langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta \rangle^2 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \right|}{\mathbb{E}\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \right|} = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}g_i^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \eta(i)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}g_i g_j \psi_{\theta_0} \eta(i) \eta(j) \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}} \left( \mathbb{E}g_1^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta(i)^2 + \mathbb{E}g_1 g_2 \psi_{\theta_0} \sum_{i \neq j} \eta(i) \eta(j) \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+1} \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}} \left( \mathbb{E}g_1^2 \psi_{\theta_0} + \mathbb{E}g_1 g_2 \psi_{\theta_0} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \eta(i) \right)^2 - 1 \right) \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In the next lemma we rewrite several expressions in terms of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  with  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ . Then*

$$\bullet \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_1(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(j)^2 = - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2,$$

- $\sum_{i \neq j} \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(j)^2 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2,$
- $\sum_{i \neq j} \eta_1(i) \eta_2(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(j) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2,$
- $\sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) = -1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2,$
- $\sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \eta_1(i) \eta_2(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2,$
- $\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq l} \eta_1(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) \eta_2(l) = 1 - 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2.$

*Proof.* The first three identities are obtained by adding and subtracting the sum with  $i = j$  and taking into account that  $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2| = 1$  and  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ . For the fourth one, notice that since  $|\eta_1| = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) &= \sum_{k \neq j} \left( \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) - \eta_1(j)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) - \eta_1(k)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_2(j)^2 - \sum_{j \neq k} \left( \eta_1(j)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) + \eta_1(k)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then use the first identity. For the fifth one, notice that since  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$

$$\sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \eta_1(i) \eta_2(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) = \sum_{k \neq j} \left( 0 - \eta_1(j)^2 \eta_2(j) \eta_2(k) - \eta_1(j) \eta_1(k) \eta_2(k)^2 \right)$$

and then use the first identity. For the last one, we use  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq l} \eta_1(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) \eta_2(l) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq k \neq l} \left( 0 - \eta_1(j)^2 \eta_2(k) \eta_2(l) - \eta_1(j) \eta_1(k) \eta_2(k) \eta_2(l) - \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) \eta_1(l) \eta_2(l) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then use the fourth and the fifth identities.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* By Lemma 2.1 we have that for every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S_{\theta_0^+}$ , with  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 &= \frac{\mathbb{E} \langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle \frac{G}{S}, \eta_2 \rangle^2 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \right|}{\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|g_i|^{p-1}}{S^{p-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(g_i) \right|} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E} S^{p-1} \mathbb{E} \langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E} S^{p+3} \mathbb{E} \psi_{\theta_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the product and since the  $g_i$ 's are identically distributed, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \mathbb{E} g_i g_j g_k g_l \psi_{\theta_0} \eta_1(i) \eta_1(j) \eta_2(k) \eta_2(l)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E}g_1^4\psi_{\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(i)^2 \\
&+ \mathbb{E}g_1^3g_2\psi_{\theta_0} \left( 2 \sum_{i \neq j}^n \eta_1(i)\eta_1(j)\eta_2(j)^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(i)\eta_2(j) \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}g_1^2g_2^2\psi_{\theta_0} \left( \sum_{i \neq j}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(j)^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j}^n \eta_1(i)\eta_2(i)\eta_1(j)\eta_2(j) \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}g_1^2g_2g_3\psi_{\theta_0} \left( \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(j)\eta_2(k) + 4 \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^n \eta_1(i)\eta_2(i)\eta_1(j)\eta_2(k) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i \neq j \neq k}^n \eta_2(i)^2\eta_1(j)\eta_1(k) \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E}g_1g_2g_3g_4\psi_{\theta_0} \sum_{i \neq j \neq k \neq l} \eta_1(i)\eta_1(j)\eta_2(k)\eta_2(l).
\end{aligned}$$

and by the identities in Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\langle G, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \eta_2 \rangle^2 = \mathbb{E}(g_1^2g_2^2 - 2g_1^2g_2g_3 + g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0} \\
&+ (\mathbb{E}(g_1^4 - 4g_1^3g_2 - 3g_1^2g_2^2 + 12g_1^2g_2g_3 - 6g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0}) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(i)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can express the first summand as

$$\mathbb{E}(g_1^2g_2^2 - 2g_1^2g_2g_3 + g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(g_1 - g_2)^2(g_3 - g_4)^2\psi_{\theta_0} = \mathbb{E}\langle G, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2\psi_{\theta_0}.$$

and the factor  $\mathbb{E}(g_1^4 - 4g_1^3g_2 - 3g_1^2g_2^2 + 12g_1^2g_2g_3 - 6g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0}$  in the second summand as

$$\begin{aligned}
&4\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{g_1 - g_2 + g_3 - g_4}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g_1 - g_2 - g_3 + g_4}{2}\right)^2 \psi_{\theta_0} - 4\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{g_1 - g_2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{g_3 - g_4}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \\
&= 4\mathbb{E}\langle G, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \xi_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} - 4\mathbb{E}\langle G, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 &= \mathbb{E}\langle X, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 \\
&+ 4(\mathbb{E}\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2) \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(i)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and, since by Lemma 4.1 the value of  $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta \rangle^2$  does not depend on the vector  $\eta \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  we obtain the result.  $\square$

The following lemma is analogous to Lemma 3.1

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$  with  $\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle = 0$ ,  $n \geq 4$ . Then*

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2\eta_2(i)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

*The lower bound is attained at the vectors  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}}$ . The upper bound is attained at the vectors  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$  and  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{\sqrt{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* The lower bound is trivial. For the upper bound consider the function  $F: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $F(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2$  which we want to maximize under the conditions  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_2(i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)\eta_2(i) = 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_2(i)^2 = 1$ . Observe that if  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  is an extremal point so is  $(\pm\eta_1, \pm\eta_2)$  and  $(\eta_2, \eta_1)$ . Applying Lagrange multiplier's theorem, there exist  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the extremal points verify

$$\eta_1(i)\eta_2(i)^2 - A\eta_1(i) - B\eta_2(i) - C = 0 \quad \forall i = 1 \dots n$$

and, by the observation above, also verify the equality exchanging  $\eta_j$  and  $\pm\eta_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $\eta_2$  and  $\eta_1$ .

That implies  $B = C = 0$  and  $\eta_1(i)^2 = \eta_2(i)^2 \forall i = 1 \dots n$ . Write  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , for the number of non zero coordinates of  $\eta_1$  (or  $\eta_2$ ). Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_1(i)^2 \eta_2(i)^2 = A$  we have  $k\eta_1(i)^2 = k\eta_2(i)^2 = A$  for every non zero coordinate.  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$  do not verify the conditions, so the maximum value is attained at  $k = 4$  and corresponds to the vectors  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ .  $\square$

We now proceed to determining the sign of  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$ . We will use the following probabilistic argument:

**Lemma 4.4.** *Denote  $Y = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$  and  $Y_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{sgn}(g_i)|g_i|^{p-1}$ . Let  $Z$  be a symmetric real variable independent of  $Y$  and  $h: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  integrable. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_k)|Y_k + Z| = \mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_k)\mathbb{E}|Z| + \mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_k)(|Y_k| - |Z|)\chi_{\{|Y_k| \geq |Z|\}}$$

and

$$\left| \mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_k)(|Y_k| - |Z|)\chi_{\{|Y_k| \geq |Z|\}} \right| \leq (\mathbb{E}|h(g_1, \dots, g_k)|^2)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|Y_k|^2)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $h = h(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  for short. Our hypothesis readily imply,

$$\mathbb{E}h \cdot |Y_k + Z| = \mathbb{E}h \cdot \frac{1}{2}(|Y_k + Z| + |Y_k - Z|) = \mathbb{E}h \cdot \max\{|Y_k|, |Z|\}$$

Fix  $(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  and compute the expectation with respect to  $Z$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Z h(g_1, \dots, g_k) \cdot \max\{|Y_k|, |Z|\} &= h(g_1, \dots, g_k) \cdot \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}_Z\{\max\{|Y_k|, |Z|\} > t\} dt \\ &= h \cdot (|Y_k| + \int_{|Y_k|}^\infty \mathbb{P}_Z\{|Z| > t\} dt) = h \cdot (|Y_k| - \int_0^{|Y_k|} \mathbb{P}_Z\{|Z| > t\} dt) + h \cdot \mathbb{E}|Z| \\ &= h \cdot \mathbb{E}|Z| + h \cdot \int_0^{|Y_k|} \mathbb{P}_Z\{|Z| \leq t\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that

$$\int_0^{|Y_k|} \mathbb{P}_Z\{|Z| \leq t\} dt = |Y_k| \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_Z\{|Z| \leq t|Y_k|\} dt = |Y_k| \mathbb{E}_Z \left( 1 - \min \left\{ 1, \frac{|Z|}{|Y_k|} \right\} \right)$$

Taking now expectation  $\mathbb{E}_Y$  to finish the proof of the first statement. For the second one notice that  $|\mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_k)(|Y_k| - |Z|)\chi_{\{|Y_k| \geq |Z|\}}| \leq \mathbb{E}|h(g_1, \dots, g_k)| \cdot |Y_k|$  and use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.  $\square$

The following estimate will be useful in the sequel,

**Lemma 4.5.** [AB3] *For some absolute constants  $c, C > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{c}{\sqrt{p}} \leq \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{p}} \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p \leq n.$$

We have the following result regarding the sign of  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$ , which shall give Theorem 1.2 as a consequence.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0} B_p^n$  and let  $f : S_{\theta_0^\perp} \times S_{\theta_0^\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

Write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{2}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . For every  $p > 2$  there exists  $n_0(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) \leq 0$$

and for every  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  there exists  $n_1(p) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is constant for  $p = 2$ , we will focus on the cases  $p \neq 2$ . We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that

$$4(f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)) = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}} \mathbb{E}(g_1^4 - 4g_1^3g_2 - 3g_1^2g_2^2 + 12g_1^2g_2g_3 - 6g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0}$$

and so, the sign of  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2) - f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$  is equal to the sign of  $\mathbb{E}(h \psi_{\theta_0})$  where  $h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) = g_1^4 - 4g_1^3g_2 - 3g_1^2g_2^2 + 12g_1^2g_2g_3 - 6g_1g_2g_3g_4$ .

We apply then Lemma 4.4 to  $Y_4 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sgn}(g_i)|g_i|^{p-1}$ ,  $Z = \sum_{i=5}^n \text{sgn}(g_i)|g_i|^{p-1}$  and  $h$  as above and

$$\sqrt{n}\psi_{\theta_0} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^4 \text{sgn}(g_i)|g_i|^{p-1} + \sum_{i=5}^n \text{sgn}(g_i)|g_i|^{p-1} \right| = |Y_4 + Z|,$$

On one hand,

$$\mathbb{E}h(g_1, \dots, g_4)\mathbb{E}|Z| = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 \right) \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=5}^n |g_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(g_i) \right|$$

where  $F(x) = \Gamma(5x)\Gamma(x)/\Gamma(3x)^2$  since, the  $g_i$ 's are i.i.d. symmetric random variables and by the computation in the proof of Theorem 1.1

$$\mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) = \mathbb{E}g_1^4 - 3(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 \right)$$

Also, by Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\frac{c\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}} \leq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=5}^n |g_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(g_i) \right| \leq \frac{C\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}}$$

provided that  $1 \leq p \leq n$  for some absolute constants  $c, C > 0$ .

On the other hand, by straightforward computations

$$\mathbb{E}|h(g_1, \dots, g_4)|^2 = \mathbb{E}g_1^8 + 10\mathbb{E}g_1^6\mathbb{E}g_1^2 + 9(\mathbb{E}g_1^4)^2 + 144\mathbb{E}g_1^4(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 + 36(\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^4$$

which, by Lemma 2.2 is bounded by an absolute constant. Thus,

$$(\mathbb{E}|h(g_1, \dots, g_4)|^2)^{1/2} \mathbb{E}(|Y_4|^2)^{1/2} \leq C (\mathbb{E}|g_1|^{2p-2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{C'}{\sqrt{p}}$$

again by Lemma 2.2 for some absolute constant  $C' > 0$ .

Recall that factor  $F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3$  is positive for  $1 \leq p < 2$  and negative for  $p > 2$ . We put all estimates together and obtain for some absolute constants  $c_1, c_2, C_1, C_2 > 0$ :

Let  $p > 2$ , then for  $n \geq n_0(p)$

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) \psi_{\theta_0} \leq \frac{C_1 \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 \right) \right) + C_2}{\sqrt{p}} < 0$$

Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ , then for  $n \geq n_1(p)$

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbb{E} h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) \psi_{\theta_0} \geq \frac{c_1 \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 \right) \right) - c_2}{\sqrt{p}} > 0$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, using Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.1. □

Finally, in order to deduce Corollary 1.2 we shall compute the sign of  $f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$  for  $p \geq 2$  and  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . For that matter, we denote  $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_n$  i.i.d. copies of  $g$  and the  $g'_i$ s, and  $\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0} = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sgn}(\bar{g}_i) |\bar{g}_i|^{p-1} \right|$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $X$  a be random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp} B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $f : S_{\theta_0^\perp} \times S_{\theta_0^\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

Write  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . Then

$$f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) = \frac{\mathbb{E} S^{p-1} \mathbb{E} h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E} S^{p+3} \mathbb{E} \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}},$$

where  $h : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1, \dots, x_6) &= x_1 x_2^2 - 2x_1^2 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathbb{E} S^{p-1} \mathbb{E} S^{p+3}}{(\mathbb{E} S^{p+1})^2} (x_1^2 x_5^2 - 2x_1 x_2 x_5^2 + x_1 x_2 x_5 x_6) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\langle X, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 &= \frac{\mathbb{E} S^{p-1} \mathbb{E} (g_1 g_2^2 - 2g_1^2 g_2 g_3 + g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4) \psi_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E} S^{p+3} \mathbb{E} \psi_{\theta_0}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E} S^{p-1} \mathbb{E} (g_1 g_2^2 - 2g_1^2 g_2 g_3 + g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4) \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E} S^{p+3} \mathbb{E} \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}. \end{aligned}$$

and in the proof of Lemma 4.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 = \frac{(\mathbb{E}S^{p-1})^2 \mathbb{E}(g_1^2 - g_1 g_2)(\bar{g}_1^2 - \bar{g}_1 \bar{g}_2) \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{(\mathbb{E}S^{p+1})^2 \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{E}g_1 g_2 \bar{g}_1^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0} = \mathbb{E}\bar{g}_1 \bar{g}_2 g_1^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

Therefore, the sign of  $f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2)$  coincides with the sign of  $\mathbb{E}h\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}$ . We split the latter quantity in four terms by using Lemma 4.4.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Denote  $\bar{Y}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 |\bar{g}_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(\bar{g}_i)$ ,  $Y_4 = \sum_{i=1}^4 |g_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(g_i)$  and  $\bar{Z} = \sum_{i=3}^n |\bar{g}_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(\bar{g}_i)$ ,  $Z = \sum_{i=5}^n |g_i|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(g_i)$ . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} n \mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0} &= \mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) \mathbb{E}|Z| \mathbb{E}|\bar{Z}| \\ &+ \mathbb{E}|\bar{Z}| \mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) (|Y_4| - |Z|) \chi_{\{|Y_4| \geq |Z|\}} \\ &+ \mathbb{E}|Z| \mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) (|\bar{Y}_2| - |\bar{Z}|) \chi_{\{|\bar{Y}_2| \geq |\bar{Z}|\}} \\ &+ \mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) (|Y_4| - |Z|) \chi_{\{|Y_4| \geq |Z|\}} (|\bar{Y}_2| - |\bar{Z}|) \chi_{\{|\bar{Y}_2| \geq |\bar{Z}|\}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First condition on the random variables  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  and apply Lemma 4.4 with  $\bar{Y}_2$  and  $\bar{Z}$ . Then take expectations with respect to  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ , use Fubini's theorem and, conditioning on  $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_n$ , apply again Lemma with  $Y_4$  and  $Z$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $\bar{\xi}_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $\bar{\xi}_2 = \frac{e_3 - e_4}{\sqrt{2}} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . For every  $n \geq n_0(p)$  for some  $n_0(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) < 0$$

*Proof.* We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.2:

By Lemma 4.6, we will compute the sign of  $n\mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) \psi_{\theta_0} \bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}$ . For that matter we apply Lemma 4.7 and estimate each summand.

$$\mathbb{E}h = -\frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1} \mathbb{E}S^{p+3}}{(\mathbb{E}S^{p+1})^2} (\mathbb{E}g_1^2)^2 = -\frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n-1}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+3}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+1}{p}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} < -c$$

by definition of  $h$  and Lemma 2.2, for some absolute constant  $c > 0$ . Also,  $\mathbb{E}h^2(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) \leq C$ . In the sequel we shall use the same letter  $c, C, \dots$  to denote possibly different values of an absolute constant  $c, C, \dots > 0$ .

According to Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 2.2, the second summand has absolute value bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}|\bar{Z}| (\mathbb{E}h^2)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|\bar{Y}_2|^2)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{p}} \mathbb{E}|\bar{Z}|$$

and in the same way, the third summand has absolute value bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}|Z| (\mathbb{E}h^2)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}|Y_4|^2)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{p}} \mathbb{E}|Z|$$

Similarly, the fourth summand has absolute value bounded by  $\frac{C}{p}$  and finally, Lemma

4.5 implies  $c \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}} \leq \mathbb{E}|Z|, \mathbb{E}|\bar{Z}| \leq C \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}}$  whenever  $p \leq n$ .

We put all estimates together and conclude that for  $1 \leq p \leq n$  and some absolute constants:

$$\frac{np\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}f(\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2) \leq -C_1n + C_2\sqrt{n} + C_3\sqrt{n} + C_4.$$

The result now easily follows.  $\square$

In the following lemma we compute the value of  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be a random vector uniformly distributed on  $P_{\theta_0^\perp}B_p^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $f : S_{\theta_0^\perp} \times S_{\theta_0^\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by*

$$f(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_1 \rangle^2 \mathbb{E}\langle X, \eta_2 \rangle^2.$$

Write  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{2}$ . Then

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}\mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2)\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}},$$

where  $h : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) &= \frac{1}{4}x_1^4 - x_1^3x_2 - \frac{3}{4}x_1^2x_2^2 + x_1^2x_2x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_1x_2^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}}{(\mathbb{E}S^{p+1})^2}(x_1^2x_5^2 - 2x_1x_2x_5^2 + x_1x_2x_5x_6). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(g_1^4 - 4g_1^3g_2 - 3g_1^2g_2^2 + 12g_1^2g_2g_3 - 6g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0} &= \\ &= 4\mathbb{E}\langle G, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \xi_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} - 4\mathbb{E}\langle G, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \end{aligned}$$

and then, taking into account that

$$\mathbb{E}\langle G, \bar{\xi}_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} = \mathbb{E}(g_1g_2^2 - 2g_1^2g_2g_3 + g_1g_2g_3g_4)\psi_{\theta_0}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle^2 &= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}}\mathbb{E}\langle G, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle G, \xi_2 \rangle^2 \psi_{\theta_0} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{4}g_1^4 - g_1^3g_2 - \frac{3}{4}g_1^2g_2^2 + g_1^2g_2g_3 - \frac{1}{2}g_1g_2g_3g_4 + g_1g_2^2\right)\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 4.1

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle^2 \langle X, \xi_2 \rangle^2 = \frac{(\mathbb{E}S^{p-1})^2\mathbb{E}(g_1^2 - g_1g_2)(\bar{g}_1^2 - \bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2)\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{(\mathbb{E}S^{p+1})^2\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}}$$

and  $\mathbb{E}g_1g_2\bar{g}_1^2\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0} = \mathbb{E}\bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2g_1^2\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $\xi_1 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4}{2}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4}{2} \in S_{\theta_0^\perp}$ . There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$*

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2) < 0$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.8 we must compute the sign of  $\mathbb{E}h(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, \bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2)\psi_{\theta_0}\bar{\psi}_{\theta_0}$ . For that matter we apply, Lemma 4.7 with the same choice of random variables and  $h$  as above. The behaviour of the sign is determined by the sign of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}h &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} \left( F\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) - 3 - \frac{4\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n-1}{p}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+3}{p}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n+1}{p}\right)^2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2} (6 - 3 - 4) = -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)^2}{4\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As in Proposition 4.3, we bound the terms in Lemma 4.7 in the same manner and conclude as before that for some absolute constants,

$$\frac{np\mathbb{E}S^{p+3}\mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0}\overline{\psi}_{\theta_0}}{\mathbb{E}S^{p-1}}f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \leq -C_1n + C_2\sqrt{n} + C_3\sqrt{n} + C_4. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* It follows from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.10 and Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Remark.* By a closer study it is possible to state the results of this section letting  $p$  grow with  $n$ . Using the estimate  $\frac{c'\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}} \leq \mathbb{E}\psi_{\theta_0} \leq \frac{c'\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{p}}$ ,  $p \geq n$ , proven in [AB3], our method works for at least  $p \leq cn^2$ . However, by viewing the situation at  $p = \infty$  mentioned in the introduction, we believe Corollary 1.3 should hold for  $C$  independent of  $p$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ABR] Abramowitz M., Stegun I.A. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables*. National Bureau of Standards. Applied Mathematics Series 55 (1964).
- [AB1] D. ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ, J. BASTERO *The variance conjecture on some polytopes*. In Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Proceedings of the Fall 2010, Fields Institute Thematic Program, pp. 1–20, (Springer, 2013)
- [AB2] D. ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ, J. BASTERO *Approaching the Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits and variance conjectures*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer. Monograph. **2131**, (2015).
- [AB3] D. ALONSO-GUTIÉRREZ, J. BASTERO. *The variance conjecture on hyperplane projections of  $\ell_p^n$  balls*. To appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana.
- [ABP] M. ANTTILA, K. BALL, I. PERISSINAKI, *The central limit problem for convex bodies*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **355**, no. 12, (2003), pp. 4723–4735.
- [BN] F. BARTHE, A. NAOR, *Hyperplane Projections of the Unit Ball of  $\ell_p^n$* . Discrete and Computational Geometry **27** (2002), no. 2, 215–226.
- [M] M. MERKLE *Gurland's ratio for the gamma function*. Comp. and Math. with Appl. **49**,(2005), pp. 389–406.
- [NR] A. NAOR AND D. ROMIK, *Projecting the surface measure of the sphere of  $\ell_p^n$* . Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **39**, no 2. (2003) pp. 241–261.
- [SZ] G. SCHETMANN AND J. ZINN, *On the volume of intersection of two  $L_p^n$  balls*. Proceedings of the AMS **110**, no 1, (1990) pp. 217–224.
- [W] J. O. WOJTASZCZYK, *The square negative correlation property for generalized Orlicz balls*. Geometric aspects of functional analysis Israel seminar, Lecture Notes in Math., 1910, Springer, (2007), 305–313.

ÁREA DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS,  
UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009 ZARAGOZA (SPAIN), IUMA  
*E-mail address*, (David Alonso): [alonsod@unizar.es](mailto:alonsod@unizar.es)

ÁREA DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS,  
UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, PEDRO CERBUNA 12, 50009 ZARAGOZA (SPAIN), IUMA  
*E-mail address*, (Julio Bernués): [bernues@unizar.es](mailto:bernues@unizar.es)