

The lamppost model: effects of photon trapping, the bottom lamp and disc truncation

Andrzej Niedźwiecki^{1*} and Andrzej A. Zdziarski^{2*}

¹*Department of Physics, Łódź University, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Łódź, Poland*

²*Centrum Astronomiczne im. M. Kopernika, Bartycka 18, PL-00-716 Warszawa, Poland*

29 May 2022

ABSTRACT

We study the lamppost model, in which the primary X-ray sources in accreting black-hole systems are located symmetrically on the rotation axis on both sides of the black hole surrounded by an accretion disc. We show the importance of the emission of the source on the opposite side to the observer. Due to gravitational light bending, its emission can increase the direct (i.e., not re-emitted by the disc) flux by as much as an order of magnitude. This happens for near to face-on observers when the disc is even moderately truncated. For truncated discs, we also consider effects of emission of the top source gravitationally bent around the black hole. We also present results for the attenuation of the observed radiation with respect to that emitted by the lamppost as functions of the lamppost height, black-hole spin and the degree of disc truncation. This attenuation, which is due to the time dilation, gravitational redshift and the loss of photons crossing the black-hole horizon, can be as severe as by several orders of magnitude for low lamppost heights. We also consider the contribution to the observed flux due to re-emission by optically-thick matter within the innermost stable circular orbit.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks – black hole physics – galaxies: active – X-rays: binaries.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, X-ray emission in the lamppost geometry (Martocchia & Matt 1996; Miniutti & Fabian 2004; Dauser et al. 2010, 2016; García et al. 2014) has become a popular model for accreting black holes (BHs) for both binaries containing a BH and Seyfert galaxies, e.g., Parker et al. (2014, 2015); Keck et al. (2015); Beuchert et al. (2017); Basak et al. (2017); Xu et al. (2018); Tomsick et al. (2018). Also, it has been applied to accreting neutron stars, e.g., Degenaar et al. (2015). In it, a point-like X-ray source is located on the BH rotation axis, which is perpendicular to a surrounding flat disk. The height of the lamppost, h , and the disc inner truncation radius, r_{in} , are free parameters of the model, as well the BH dimensionless angular momentum, a . The BH horizon is at $r_{\text{hor}} = [1 + (1 - a^2)]^{1/2}$, and the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) is at $r_{\text{ISCO}}(a)$ derived by Bardeen et al. (1975). Hereafter r_{hor} , r_{ISCO} , h and r_{in} are expressed in units of the gravitational radius, $R_g \equiv GM/c^2$, where M is the BH mass.

In our previous work (Niedźwiecki, Zdziarski & Szanecki 2016), we pointed out the importance of the reduction of the observed luminosity from the lamppost due to photon trapping, time dilation and gravitational redshift, which effects have been neglected in most of lamppost studies. This reduction, severe for low

lamppost heights, which are often found as best fits, may require a dramatic decrease of the accretion efficiency and the corresponding increase of the inferred mass accretion rate. We also pointed out the importance of e^+e^- pair production within the lamppost, which implies that many of fitted models are not physical, with the pair production rate greatly exceeding that of the annihilation. However, that work concentrated on the spectra from the lamppost/disc model, and we did not explore the parameter space for the flux attenuation, which we present in the present work.

In this work, we point out in turn the importance of the radiation emitted by the lamp present on the side of the disc opposite to that on the side of the observer, which we hereafter refer as the bottom lamp. That emission is observable for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$, and then it can increase the total emission for close to face-on observers by as much as an order of magnitude. This effect has been neglected in all previous studies of the lamppost geometry, as well as in papers fitting the lamppost model to X-ray data. In particular, this effect is not taken into account in the popular fitting code `relxilllp` (García et al. 2014).

We also take into account the (usually neglected) radiation of the top lamp which is deflected back to the observer after crossing the equatorial plane twice, see, e.g., Luminet (1979). Also, we consider the emission of the bottom lamp circling around the BH on the side opposite to that of the observer.

These effects can have a major effect on spectral fitting yield-

* E-mail: niedzwiecki@uni.lodz.pl (AN), aaz@camk.edu.pl (AAZ).

ing truncated discs, e.g., in the hard state of BH binaries (e.g., Done & Díaz Trigo 2010; Kolehmainen, Done & Díaz Trigo 2014; Plant et al. 2015; Basak & Zdziarski 2016; Basak et al. 2017). This then can be of major importance for the ongoing discussion about the geometry of that state, see, e.g., Done, Gierliński & Kubota (2007), Poutanen, Veledina & Zdziarski (2018).

Finally, we point out that the free-falling matter within the ISCO is optically thick (for a disc without truncation) even for moderate mass accretion rates. Then, r_{in} can be equal to r_{hor} , and thus less than r_{ISCO} , which is usually imposed as the minimum possible disc radius in fitting routines.

We present the method of calculations in Section 2. Selected results showing the importance of the included effects are given in Section 3. We summarize our main conclusions in Section 4.

2 THE METHOD AND ASSUMPTIONS

In our calculations, we use the GR model of Niedźwiecki & Życki (2008), with which we calculate the observed luminosity of both of the lamps and of the disc. In addition, we consider material free-falling within r_{ISCO} , with the constants of motion of the ISCO (Cunningham 1975). We note that such a material remains optically thick already for relatively moderate mass accretion rates of $\dot{M} \gtrsim L_{\text{E}}/c^2$ (where L_{E} is the Eddington luminosity) even for non-rotating black holes (Reynolds & Begelman 1997). Therefore, we hereafter assume that the optically-thick disc extends all the way to the horizon in cases without truncation.

We neglect internal dissipation in the disc and we assume that only the external irradiation (from the lamppost) gives rise to the disc emission. For the disc emission, we assume that the total irradiating energy flux is locally re-emitted with an isotropic distribution with a constant specific intensity, i.e., the emitted flux in the disc rest frame is $F(\theta_{\text{em}}) \propto \cos \theta_{\text{em}}$, where θ_{em} is the emission angle with respect to the direction normal to the disc plane. Due to energy conservation, 100 per cent of the flux incident on an optically-thick disc (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) is locally re-emitted. This re-emission consists of three components, namely that from Compton reflection of the incident photons (dominant at hard X-rays), a modified blackbody due to thermalization of the absorbed photons within the disc (dominant at UV/soft X-rays), and many spectral lines across the spectrum (in particular the Fe K α line at 6.4–7.0 keV in the rest frame), see, e.g., García & Kallman (2010). Here, we consider only the total re-emission, i.e., the sum of these components. Secondary re-emission of disc radiation which returns to the disc (Cunningham 1976) is also taken into account.

3 RESULTS

We have performed a number of calculations of the dependence of the ratio of the observed luminosity, L_{obs} , to that intrinsically emitted by the lamppost, L_{rest} , on the parameters r_{in} , a , h and the viewing angle at infinity, θ_{obs} . Note that L_{rest} equals twice the luminosity of a single lamp. We first note a few effects, which are crucial for the bolometric luminosity at infinity of the lamps/disc system.

1. If no optically thick disc is present in the vicinity of the BH, all photon trajectories originating from the symmetry axis and crossing the equatorial plane at $r < r_{\text{trap}}$ are trapped under the event horizon, with the trapping radius of $r_{\text{trap}} \lesssim 3$, which only weakly depends on h and a . E.g., for $a = 0.998$, $r_{\text{trap}} \approx 2.5$ for $h = 1.5$, and $r_{\text{trap}} \approx 3.5$ for $h = 4$.

2. In the vicinity of rapidly rotating BHs, the reduction of the photon flux reaching the observer due to photon trapping for the disc re-radiation can be much weaker than the analogous reduction of the direct flux of the lamp. E.g., for $a = 0.998$, $n_{\text{esc}} \approx 0.04$ for a static source at $h = 1.5$ (a lamppost) and $n_{\text{esc}} \approx 0.28$ for a source on the Keplerian orbit at $r = 1.5$ (a ring of the disc). Here n_{esc} is defined as the fraction of photons emitted by either the lamppost or a Keplerian source in the disc midplane that escapes to infinity. This effect takes place only if the infall velocity, v^r , is not large, which requires r to be not much below $r_{\text{ISCO}}(a)$. E.g., $n_{\text{esc}} \approx 0.01$ for $a = 0.9$ at $r = 1.5$, where $v^r \approx -0.5c$.

3. The infall velocity within r_{ISCO} , and hence the collimation toward the BH horizon, increase with decreasing a . Therefore, weaker contribution of disc radiation from the innermost few gravitational radii can be expected for lower spin values, even if large optical thickness down to r_{hor} is assumed.

We present here our selected results. Fig. 1(a) shows $L_{\text{obs}}/L_{\text{rest}}$ as a function of the lamppost height, h for three values of the dimensionless BH spin illustrating the difference between the cases with no surrounding disc (dashed curves) and one with an untruncated disc (solid curves). As implied by the item 2 above, the latter always yields a higher L_{obs} . For given a and h , L_{obs} depends on r_{in} and it has values in the range constrained by dashed and solid curves. For high BH spin values and $h \lesssim 5$, the luminosity observed when the disc extends down to r_{hor} is substantially larger than that from a system with a truncated disc. This is due to re-emission of radiation, which would be trapped without the disc at $r \lesssim 3$. For high BH spin values and $h \gtrsim 5$, the effect of irradiation and re-emission at $r < 3$ is minor and the bolometric luminosity is almost independent of r_{in} . On the other hand, for low spin values, radiation re-emitted at $r \lesssim 3$ (i.e., by the optically-thick matter within r_{ISCO}) is collimated toward the BH and the presence of an optically thick material leads to a minor increase of the observed luminosity, see the item 3 above.

Fig. 1(b) shows components of $L_{\text{obs}}/L_{\text{rest}}$ for the case with an untruncated disc, and for $a = 0$ and 0.998. The dashed curves show the contribution of the direct radiation (that not hitting the disc). We see it is a tiny fraction of L_{rest} at low h and high spins, as well as it is then much less than the luminosity from the disc re-emission. For $a = 0.998$, the dotted and dot-dashed curves show the observed contribution of the 1st and 2nd order of the re-emission, i.e., the latter shows the contribution from radiation that returned to the disc and was re-emitted. We see the 2nd-order emission is higher than the first order for $h \lesssim 1.5$. Generally, the 2nd-order re-emission is important only for $a \gtrsim 0.9$, for small h , and when the disc is untruncated.

We have checked that the orders of the re-emission higher than two have only minor importance. We have found that the 3rd order has the luminosity of $\lesssim 30$ per cent of the 2nd order. Taking it into account would increase the total disc luminosity by the maximum of ~ 20 per cent (at low h) and $\lesssim 10$ per cent for $h > 2$.

For $a = 0$, the dotted and dot-dashed curves in Fig. 1(b) show the contributions from re-emission below and above r_{ISCO} , respectively. We see that the two components are comparable for $h \lesssim 3$. Generally, contribution of radiation re-emitted within r_{ISCO} is important only for $h \lesssim r_{\text{ISCO}}(a)$; for $a = 0$, it increases the total luminosity of the disc component by a factor of ~ 2 .

Figs. 2–3 illustrate the importance of the emission of the bottom lamp and its dependence on the disc truncation radius. The bottom lamp is observable for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$. Its radiation is gravitationally bent along the BH rotation axis, i.e. toward observers at $\cos \theta_{\text{obs}} \sim 1$. Then, surprisingly, its contribution to the angle-




Figure 1. The observed angle-integrated luminosity, L_{obs} , vs. the lamp height. (a) The cases without a disc and with an untruncated disc. The dashed curves show L_{obs} of two lamps located at h without an optically thick disc. The solid curves show L_{obs} of the top lamp and the disc with $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{hor}}$, including the second order re-emission. The red, blue and green curves are for $a = 0.998$, 0.9 and 0 , respectively. The dotted lines show the location of the event horizon. (b) The contributions of the disc and the lamp for the case with an untruncated disc, illustrating the effects of the 2nd order disc emission for $a = 0.998$ and of the emission from $r < r_{\text{ISCO}}$ for $a = 0$. The solid curves show L_{obs} of the top lamp with the disc for $a = 0.998$ and 0 , same as in panel (a). The dashed curves show the contribution of the direct radiation from the lamp. For $a = 0.998$, the red dot-dashed curve shows the luminosity observed due to the 2nd order re-emission of the returning disc radiation; the dotted red curve show luminosity from the re-emission of the primary emission only. For $a = 0$, the green dot-dashed and dotted curves show the luminosity of the disc material below and above r_{ISCO} , respectively.

averaged L_{obs} can be stronger than that of the top lamp, compare the dotted curves (for the bottom lamp) with the dashed curves (for the top lamp) in Fig. 2. This is an effect of the amplification by the BH acting as a lens. For $h = 1.48$, it happens for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$, and for $h = 4.1$, for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 9$. Fig. 2 also shows that the disc re-emission dominates over the direct emission from both lamps for $r_{\text{in}} \lesssim 3-4$.

For $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$, radiation of the bottom lamp crossing the equatorial plane contributes also to the disc irradiation, see Fig. 4(a). Its radial profile of irradiation is flatter than that of the top lamp and for small h both lamps give similar contributions to the disc re-emission at $r \gtrsim 10$, compare the solid and dot-dashed red curves in Fig. 3.

Similarly to the emission of the bottom lamp, radiation from the top lamp deflected back by the BH (i.e., crossing twice the equatorial plane, see Fig. 4(b)) can be observed only for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$. It does have a notable effect for small h , e.g. for $h = 1.48$ it increases the observed luminosity of the top lamp by ~ 50 per cent, as illustrated by the blue dashed curve in Fig. 2 increasing with the increasing r_{in} , while that emission would be constant without that effect.

Fig. 3 shows some cases of the angular dependence of the ob-

served radiation. It illustrates the effect of radiation from the bottom lamp propagating in front of the BH (with respect to the observer) being obscured by the disc for observation angles larger than a certain value, dependent on both h and r_{in} , see Fig. 4(a). E.g., for $h = 1.48$ and $r_{\text{in}} = 10$, it is obscured at $\cos \theta_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 0.25$, as shown by the drop of the red dashed curve at this θ_{obs} . However, this drop is to a level that still includes radiation from the bottom lamp going to the observer behind the BH, see Fig. 4(a), as shown by the difference between the black and red dashed curves at $\cos \theta_{\text{obs}} < 0.25$. A weaker drop at $\cos \theta_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.45$ is due to obscuration of the back-deflected radiation of the top lamp.

Fig. 2 shows that for $r_{\text{in}} \gtrsim 3$, the angle-averaged L_{obs} only weakly depends on the value of r_{in} . However, a change of r_{in} changes the relative contributions of the direct and disc radiation and thus it affects both the angular distribution and the spectrum at infinity. At a high spin, the disc radiation emitted from $r \lesssim 3$ is gravitationally and kinematically beamed along the equatorial plane. Then, for small h , the 1st-order re-emission is directed mostly edge-on, as shown by the dot-dashed black curve in Fig. 3. However, the




Figure 2. The total, angle-integrated, observed luminosity (thicker solid curves) and its components as a function of r_{in} for $h = 1.48$ (blue) and $h = 4.1$ (red); $a = 0.998$. The dotted curves are for the bottom lamp, the dashes curves are for the top lamp and the thinner solid curves are for the disc including the 2nd order emission.

radial redistribution of the irradiating flux by the returning radiation makes the dependence on θ_{obs} weaker, as shown by the solid black curve in Fig. 3, because the 2nd-order re-emission arises on average at larger distances than the 1st-order one.

An increase of r_{in} above ≈ 3 can result in a substantial increase of the direct flux, especially for face-on observers. This is illustrated for $h = 1.48$ in Fig. 3, where we see that the direct radiation from the lamps observed at $\theta_{\text{obs}} \lesssim 20^\circ$ increases by over an order of magnitude. This increase is due to the lensed contribution of the bottom lamp. For static lamps, the observed spectra of from both lamps are the same, and thus this rapid brightening occurs without the change of the spectrum.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a systematic study of the BH lamppost model taking into account the presence of the bottom lamp, which was neglected in its previous studies. We have calculated and presented the dependence of the angle-averaged attenuation of the intrinsically-generated radiation as functions of the lamppost height, the BH spin and disc truncation. As also stressed in our previous work (Niedźwiecki et al. 2016), that attenuation is very severe, by orders of magnitude, for low lamppost heights, which heights are often found when fitting the X-ray data (e.g., Keck et al. 2015; Degenaar et al. 2015; Parker et al. 2015).

We then take into account, for the first time, the emission of the bottom lamp. Its angle-integrated emission at infinity is larger than that of the top lamp for a truncated disc, which effect is due to gravitational lensing. In particular, the observed flux of the bottom lamp can exceed that of the top one by more than an order of magnitude for low viewing angles even when the truncation radius is small.

We also show that the effects of the emission of the top lamp circling the BH and crossing the equatorial plane twice and that of the emission of the bottom lamp circling the black hole on the side




Figure 3. Dependence of components of the observed radiation on the observation angle for $h = 1.48$ and $a = 0.998$. The blue dashed curve shows the luminosity of two lamps when no optically thick disc is present. The red curves are for two lamps and disc with $r_{\text{in}} = 10$; the solid curve shows the luminosity of the disc including irradiation by both lamps; the dot-dashed curve is for the disc including only irradiation by the top lamp; the dashed curve is for the two lamps. The black curves are for the lamp above the disc with $r_{\text{in}} = r_{\text{hor}}$; the dashed curve is for the top lamp (the bottom lamp is not observable); the solid curve is for the disc including the 2nd order emission; the dot-dashed curve is for the disc neglecting the 2nd order emission.




Figure 4. (a) An illustration of the radiation of the bottom lamp going around the BH and either irradiating the disc (path 3) or reaching the observer (path 2) even when the direct path (path 1) to the observer is obscured by the disc. (b) Radiation from the top lamp deflected back by the BH.

opposite to that of the observer are observable, and can substantially affect the observed fluxes.

A major observational importance of effects considered in this work concerns their impact on the reflection strength, i.e., the relative normalization of the primary and reflected components. Fitting the relativistic reflection can give tight constraints on both the disc truncation radius and the observer inclination, which determine the expected amount of the latter component. Then, contribution from the bottom lamp can significantly reduce the reflection strength. Thus, the considered effects should be taken into account when fitting X-ray data of accreting BHs. They can be used, in particular,

to test the self-consistency of the lamppost model, and provide a useful diagnostic to resolve the currently ongoing dispute about the nature of the hard state of BH binaries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Thomas Dauser and Joern Wilms for discussions. This research has been supported in part by the Polish National Science Centre grants 2013/10/M/ST9/00729, 2015/18/A/ST9/00746 and 2016/21/B/ST9/02388.

REFERENCES

- Bardeen J. M., Press W. H., Teukolsky S. A., 1972, *ApJ*, 178, 347
 Basak R., Zdziarski A. A., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 2199
 Basak R., Zdziarski A. A., Parker M., Islam N., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 4220
 Beuchert T., et al., 2017, *A&A*, 603, A50
 Cunningham C. T., 1975, *ApJ*, 202, 788
 Cunningham C., 1976, *ApJ*, 208, 534
 Dauser T., Wilms J., Reynolds C. S., Brenneman L. W., 2010, *MNRAS*, 409, 1534
 Dauser T., García J., Walton, D. J., Eikmann W., Kallman T., McClintock J., Wilms J., 2016, *A&A*, 590, A76
 Degenaar N., Miller J. M., Chakrabarty D., Harrison F. A., Kara E., Fabian A. C., 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, L85
 Done C., Díaz Trigo M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 2287
 Done C., Gierliński M., Kubota A., 2007, *A&ARv*, 15, 1
 Fürst F., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 122
 García J., Kallman T. R., 2010, *ApJ*, 718, 695
 García J. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 76
 Keck M. L., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 806, 149
 Kolehmainen M., Done C., Díaz Trigo M., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 316
 Luminet J.-P., 1979, *A&A*, 75, 228
 Martocchia A., Matt G., 1996, *MNRAS*, 282, L53
 Miniutti G., Fabian A. C., 2004, *MNRAS*, 349, 1435
 Niedźwiecki A., Życki P. T., 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 759
 Niedźwiecki A., Zdziarski A. A., Szanecki M., 2016, *ApJ*, 821, L1
 Parker M. L., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 443, 1723
 Parker M. L., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, 808, 9
 Plant D. S., Fender R. P., Ponti G., Muñoz-Darias T., Coriat M., 2015, *A&A*, 573, 120
 Poutanen J., Veledina A., Zdziarski A. A., 2018, *A&A*, in press, arXiv:1711.08509
 Reynolds C. S., Begelman M. C., 1997, *ApJ*, 488, 109
 Shakura N. I., Sunyaev R. A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337
 Tomsick J. A., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 855, 3
 Xu Y., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, 852, L34