

**STRONGLY RICKART OBJECTS IN ABELIAN CATEGORIES.
APPLICATIONS TO STRONGLY REGULAR
AND STRONGLY BAER OBJECTS**

SEPTIMIU CRIVEI AND GABRIELA OLTEANU

ABSTRACT. We show how the theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects may be employed in order to study strongly relative regular objects and (dual) strongly relative Baer objects in abelian categories. For each of them, we prove general properties, we analyze the behaviour with respect to (co)products, and we study the transfer via functors. We also give applications to Grothendieck categories, (graded) module categories and comodule categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects developed in the companion paper [7] is systematically used in the present paper in order to study strongly relative regular objects and (dual) strongly relative Baer objects in abelian categories. We also give applications to Grothendieck categories, (graded) module categories and comodule categories. For an introduction and motivation of the topic as well as for all needed concepts and notation the reader is referred to [7]. Usually the statements of our results have two parts, out of which we only prove the first one, the second one following by the duality principle in abelian categories.

In Section 2 we define strongly relative regular objects in abelian categories. Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is called *strongly M -regular* if N is strongly M -Rickart and dual strongly M -Rickart. Also, N is called *strongly self-regular* if N is strongly N -regular. We show that M is strongly self-regular if and only if $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is a strongly regular ring if and only if M is self-regular and weak duo. Also, we prove that N is strongly M -regular if and only if N is strongly M -Rickart and M is direct N -injective if and only if N is dual strongly M -Rickart and direct M -projective.

In Section 3 we study (co)products of strongly relative regular objects. We prove that if M, N_1, \dots, N_n are objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -regular if and only if N_i is strongly M -regular for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We show that if $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a direct sum decomposition of an object M of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , then M is strongly self-regular if and only if M_i is strongly self-regular for every $i \in I$, and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$. We derive a corollary on the structure of strongly self-regular modules over a Dedekind domain.

In Section 4 we deal with the transfer of the strong relative regular property via functors. We show various results involving fully faithful functors, adjoint pairs and adjoint triples of functors. Let (L, R) be an adjoint pair of covariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories such that L is exact, and let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$. Then we prove that the following are equivalent: (i) N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{B} ; (ii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is M -cyclic; (iii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f) \in \text{Stat}(R)$.

In Section 5 we define (dual) strongly relative Baer objects in abelian categories. Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is called *strongly M -Baer* if for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of

Date: February 5, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18E10, 18E15, 16D90, 16E50, 16T15, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. Abelian category, (dual) strongly Rickart object, strongly regular object, (dual) strongly Baer object, (graded) module, comodule.

M . Also, N is called *strongly self-Baer* if N is strongly N -Baer. We show that M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is self-Baer and weak duo. Also, if there exists the product M^I for every set I , then M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is strongly self-Rickart and has the strong summand intersection property. If there exists the product N^I for every set I , then we prove that N is strongly M -Baer and M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular if and only if M is strongly extending and N is M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular.

In Section 6 we study (co)products of (dual) strongly relative Baer objects. We prove that if M, N_1, \dots, N_n are objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -Baer if and only if N_i is strongly M -Baer for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We show that if $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a direct sum decomposition of an object M of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , then M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is strongly self-Baer for every $i \in I$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ for every direct summand N of M . We derive a corollary on the structure of strongly self-Baer modules over a Dedekind domain.

In Section 7 we study the transfer of the strong relative Baer property via functors, similarly to Section 4. For a right R -module M with $S = \text{End}_R(M)$, we show that the following are equivalent: (i) M is a strongly self-Baer right R -module; (ii) S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is M -cyclic; (iii) S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i) \in \text{Stat}(\text{Hom}_R(M, -))$; (iv) S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is a locally split submodule; (v) S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and M is quasi-retractable.

2. STRONGLY RELATIVE REGULAR OBJECTS

In this section we begin to systematically apply our theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects to the study of some corresponding regular-type objects of an abelian category, called strongly relative regular objects.

Let us first recall the concept of relative regular object in a category.

Definition 2.1. [8, Definition 2.1] Let M and N be objects of an arbitrary category \mathcal{C} . Then N is called:

- (1) *M -regular* if every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} has a generalized inverse, in the sense that there exists a morphism $g : N \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{C} such that $fgf = f$.
- (2) *self-regular* if N is N -regular.

Relative regular objects of abelian categories are characterized as follows.

Theorem 2.2. [8, Proposition 3.1], [5, Corollary 2.3] *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is M -regular if and only if for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a direct summand of M and $\text{Im}(f)$ is a direct summand of N , that is, N is M -Rickart and dual M -Rickart.*

In a similar way, we introduce the following concept.

Definition 2.3. Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is called:

- (1) *strongly M -regular* if for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of M and $\text{Im}(f)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of N , that is, N is strongly M -Rickart and dual strongly M -Rickart.
- (2) *strongly self-regular* if N is strongly N -regular.

As relative regularity has its root in von Neumann regularity of rings, soon we shall see that strong relative regularity is related to strong regularity of rings. Recall that a ring R is called *strongly regular* if for every $a \in R$ there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a = a^2b$ (equivalently, for every $a \in R$ there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a = ba^2$) [2]. We also recall the following well known characterization of strongly regular rings.

Proposition 2.4. *A ring R is strongly regular if and only if R is von Neumann regular and abelian.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then M is strongly self-regular if and only if its endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is strongly regular.*

Proof. Assume that M is strongly self-regular. Then M is self-regular, and so $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is von Neumann regular. Let $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ be an idempotent and $h \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$. Since every idempotent splits, there exists an object K and morphisms $k : K \rightarrow M$ and $p : M \rightarrow K$ such that $kp = e$ and $pk = 1_K$. Since k is a kernel and M is strongly self-Rickart, $hek = k\alpha$ for some morphism $\alpha : K \rightarrow K$. It follows that $ehk = ek\alpha = kpk\alpha = k\alpha = hek$, hence $ehe = ehkp = hekp = he$. Thus, e is left semicentral. Hence $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian. Then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is strongly regular by Proposition 2.4.

Conversely, assume that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is strongly regular. Then $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is von Neumann regular by Proposition 2.4, and so M is self-regular. It follows that M is self-Rickart and dual self-Rickart. We claim that M is weak duo. To this end, let $k : K \rightarrow M$ be a section and $p : M \rightarrow K$ the canonical projection. Then $pk = 1_K$ and $e = kp \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is idempotent. By Proposition 2.4, $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian, and so e is central. It follows that $hkp = he = eh = kph$, hence $hk = kphk$. Thus, k is a fully invariant section, and so M is weak duo. Finally, M is strongly self-Rickart and dual strongly self-Rickart by [7, Corollary 2.10]. Hence M is strongly self-regular. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is strongly self-regular.
- (ii) M is self-regular and weak duo.
- (iii) M is self-regular and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian.
- (iv) For every endomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$, $M = \text{Ker}(f) \oplus \text{Im}(f)$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first three conditions follows by [7, Corollary 2.10] and [7, Proposition 2.14]. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv) follows in the same way as [17, Theorem 2.2], whose proof works in abelian categories. \square

Example 2.7. (a) Consider the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, and its subrings $T = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid a_n \text{ is eventually constant}\}$ and $I = \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid a_n = 0 \text{ eventually}\} = \mathbb{Z}_2^{(\mathbb{N})}$. Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} T & T/I \\ 0 & T/I \end{pmatrix}$, the idempotent $e = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 1, \dots) & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in R$ and $M = eR = \begin{pmatrix} T & T/I \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then M is a self-Rickart right R -module [16, Example 2.18] and a dual self-Rickart right R -module [15, Example 4.1], hence M is self-regular. But $\text{End}_R(M) = \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is commutative, hence M is strongly self-regular by Corollary 2.6.

(b) The full 2×2 matrix ring $R = M_2(K)$ over a field K is a self-regular right R -module, which is not strongly self-regular.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $r : M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism and $s : N' \rightarrow N$ a monomorphism in an abelian category \mathcal{A} . If N is strongly M -regular, then N' is strongly M' -regular.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 2.17]. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , M' a direct summand of M and N' a direct summand of N . If N is strongly M -regular, then N' is strongly M' -regular.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Corollary 2.18]. \square

Next we explore further relationships between our concepts and strong relative regularity.

Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . We recall some generalizations of injectivity and projectivity that are useful in the study of relative regular objects. Following [19, p. 220],

M is called *direct N -injective* if every subobject of N isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand of N . Dually, N is called *direct M -projective* if for every factor object of M/K isomorphic to a direct summand of N , K is a direct summand of M . For $M = N$ the above notions particularize to direct injectivity and direct projectivity respectively. In this case, a direct injective object is also called a C_2 -object, while a direct projective object is also called a D_2 -object.

Theorem 2.10. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) N is strongly M -regular.
- (ii) N is strongly M -Rickart and M is direct N -injective.
- (iii) N is dual strongly M -Rickart and direct M -projective.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that N is strongly M -regular. Then N is strongly M -Rickart by Proposition 2.5 and N is M -regular. It follows that M is direct N -injective by [5, Theorem 5.3].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that N is strongly M -Rickart and M is direct N -injective. Then N is M -Rickart and M is weak duo by [7, Proposition 2.9]. By [5, Theorem 5.3], N is dual M -Rickart. Then N is dual strongly M -Rickart by [7, Proposition 2.9]. Hence N is strongly M -regular.

The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows by duality. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is strongly self-regular.
- (ii) M is strongly self-Rickart and direct injective.
- (iii) M is dual strongly self-Rickart and direct projective.

Theorem 2.10 allows one to use properties of strongly relative Rickart objects and direct relative injectivity (or equivalently, properties of dual strongly relative Rickart objects and direct relative projectivity) in order to deduce properties of strongly relative regular objects. We shall show several results which underline this technique.

Theorem 2.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) *Consider a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and an object M of \mathcal{A} such that N_1 and N_2 are strongly M -regular. Then N is strongly M -regular.

- (2) *Consider a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and an object N of \mathcal{A} such that N is dual strongly M_1 -regular and dual strongly M_2 -regular. Then N is dual strongly M -regular.

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 2.19] and [5, Lemma 5.2]. \square

Next we give some applications to graded rings and modules.

Definition 2.13. A G -graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ is called *strongly gr-regular* if for every $x_\sigma \in R_\sigma$ there exists $y \in R$ (which can be assumed to be in $R_{\sigma^{-1}}$) such that $x_\sigma = x_\sigma^2 y$.

Now we may easily give an analogue of [8, Theorem 5.2] for strongly gr-regular rings.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ be a G -graded ring. Then R is strongly gr-regular if and only if $R(\sigma)$ is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module for every $\sigma \in G$.*

Proof. Assume first that R is strongly gr-regular. Let $\sigma \in G$ and let $f : R \rightarrow R(\sigma)$ be a homomorphism of graded right R -modules. For $x_\sigma = f(1)$ there exists $y \in R_{\sigma^{-1}}$ such that $x_\sigma = x_\sigma^2 y$. Then $g : R(\sigma) \rightarrow R$ defined by $g(r) = yr$ is a homomorphism of graded right R -modules, and $f = f^2 g$. Hence $R(\sigma)$ is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module.

Conversely, assume that $R(\sigma)$ is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module for every $\sigma \in G$. Let $\sigma \in G$ and $x_\sigma \in R_\sigma$. Consider the homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R(\sigma)$ of graded right R -modules defined by $f(r) = x_\sigma r$. Then there exists a homomorphism $g : R(\sigma) \rightarrow R$ of graded right R -modules such that $f = f^2 g$. Then $x_\sigma = x_\sigma^2 f(1)$, which shows that R is strongly gr-regular. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ be a G -graded ring.*

- (i) *If R is strongly gr-regular, then R_σ is strongly R_e -regular for every $\sigma \in G$. In particular, R is strongly R_e -regular.*
- (ii) *If R is strongly graded and R_σ is strongly R_e -regular for every $\sigma \in G$, then R is strongly gr-regular.*

Proof. (i) Let $\sigma \in G$ and let $f : R_e \rightarrow R_\sigma$ be a homomorphism of right R_e -modules. Let $x_\sigma = f(1)$. There exists $y \in R_{\sigma^{-1}}$ such that $x_\sigma = x_\sigma^2 y$. Then $g : R_\sigma \rightarrow R_e$ defined by $g(r) = yr$ is a homomorphism of right R_e -modules, and $f = f^2 g$. Hence R_σ is strongly R_e -regular.

(ii) This follows by Theorem 2.14. \square

3. (CO)PRODUCTS OF STRONGLY RELATIVE REGULAR OBJECTS

We show several results on (co)products of (dual) strongly relative regular objects in abelian categories. They are naturally obtained by using the two main ways of deducing results of strongly relative regular objects from the theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects, namely Definition 2.3 and Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) *Let M and N_1, \dots, N_n be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -regular if and only if N_i is strongly M -regular for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (2) *Let M_1, \dots, M_n and N be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then N is strongly $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ -regular if and only if N is strongly M_i -regular for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. (1) By [7, Theorem 3.1], Theorem 2.10 and [5, Lemma 5.2], $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -regular if and only if [$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -Rickart, and M is direct $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ -injective] if and only if [N_i is strongly M -Rickart, and M is direct N_i -injective for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$] if and only if N_i is strongly M -regular for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square

Remark 3.2. [7, Example 3.3 (a)] also shows that Theorem 3.1 does not hold in general for arbitrary coproducts.

As an application of Theorem 3.1, we give the following property involving coproducts of (dual) strongly self-Rickart objects.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M_1, \dots, M_n be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that M_i is direct M_j -injective for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Rickart if and only if M_i is strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (2) *Assume that M_i is direct M_j -projective for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is dual strongly self-Rickart if and only if M_i is dual strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. (1) If $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Rickart, then M_i is strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ by [7, Corollary 2.18].

Conversely, assume that M_i is strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since M_i is direct M_j -injective for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, it follows by Theorem 2.10 that M_i is strongly M_j -regular for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-regular by Theorem 3.1. Finally, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Rickart by Theorem 2.10. \square

We also have the following result on arbitrary (co)products under some finiteness conditions.

Corollary 3.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) Assume that \mathcal{A} has coproducts, let M be a finitely generated object of \mathcal{A} , and let $(N_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of \mathcal{A} . Then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ is strongly M -regular if and only if N_i is strongly M -regular for every $i \in I$.
- (2) Assume that \mathcal{A} has coproducts, let N be a finitely cogenerated object of \mathcal{A} , and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of \mathcal{A} such that N is strongly M_i -regular for every $i \in I$. Then N is strongly $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ -regular if and only if N is strongly M_i -regular for every $i \in I$.

Proof. (1) By [7, Corollary 3.2], Theorem 2.10 and the immediate analogue of [26, 16.2] for abelian categories, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ is strongly M -regular if and only if $[\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ is strongly M -Rickart, and M is direct $\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ -injective] if and only if [N_i is strongly M -Rickart, and M is direct N_i -injective for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$] if and only if N_i is strongly M -regular for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square

Let G be a finite group and let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ be a G -graded ring. Then one may associate to R a ring $R\#G^*$, called *smash product*, defined as follows [18, Chapter 7]: $R\#G^* = \bigoplus_{x \in G} Rp_x$, where the family $(p_x)_{x \in G}$ is a basis of $R\#G^*$, and the multiplication is defined by $(rp_x)(sp_y) = rs_{xy^{-1}}p_y$ for every $r, s \in R$ and $x, y \in G$.

Now we can give an analogue of [8, Corollary 5.4] for strongly gr-regular rings.

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a finite group and let $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ be a G -graded ring. Then R is strongly gr-regular if and only if the smash product $R\#G^*$ is a strongly regular ring.*

Proof. Assume first that R is strongly gr-regular. Denote $U = \bigoplus_{\sigma} R(\sigma)$. Since R is strongly gr-regular, $R(\sigma)$ is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module for every $\sigma \in G$ by Theorem 2.14. But R is a finitely generated graded right R -module, hence U is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module by Corollary 3.4. Consider the σ -suspension functor $T_\sigma : \text{gr}(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$ defined by $T_\sigma(M) = M_\sigma$ for every graded right R -module M . Since T_σ is an isomorphism of categories for every $\sigma \in G$, $U = U(\sigma)$ is a strongly $R(\sigma)$ -regular graded right R -module. Since G is finite, it follows that U is a strongly self-regular graded right R -module by Theorem 3.1. Then $R\#G^* \cong \text{End}_{\text{gr}(R)}(U)$ [18, Theorem 7.2.1] is a strongly regular ring by Proposition 2.5.

Conversely, assume that $R\#G^*$ is a strongly regular ring. Then $\text{End}_{\text{gr}(R)}(U) \cong R\#G^*$ is a strongly regular ring, hence U is a strongly self-regular graded right R -module by Proposition 2.5. Using again the σ -suspension functor T_σ , it follows that U is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module. Then $R(\sigma)$ is a strongly R -regular graded right R -module for every $\sigma \in G$ by Corollary 2.9. Finally, R is strongly gr-regular by Theorem 2.14. \square

The next result gives a necessary condition for an infinite (co)product of objects to be a strongly self-regular object.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} such that $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ or $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is a strongly self-regular object. Then M_i is strongly M_j -regular for every $i, j \in I$.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 2.17], [7, Proposition 3.4] and the immediate analogues of [26, 16.2, 18.2] for abelian categories. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be a direct sum decomposition of an object M of \mathcal{A} . Then M is strongly self-regular if and only if M_i is strongly self-regular for every $i \in I$, and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 3.6]. \square

Finally, we deduce a result on the structure of strongly self-regular modules over a Dedekind domain, and in particular, on the structure of strongly self-regular abelian groups.

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a Dedekind domain.*

- (i) *A non-zero torsion R -module M is strongly self-regular if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} R/P_i$ for some distinct maximal ideals P_i of R .*

- (ii) A non-zero finitely generated R -module M is strongly self-regular if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/P_i$ for some distinct maximal ideals P_i of R .
- (iii) A non-zero injective R -module M is strongly self-regular if and only if $M \cong K$.

Proof. This follows by [7, Corollary 3.8]. \square

- Corollary 3.9.** (i) A non-zero torsion abelian group G is strongly self-regular if and only if $G \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for some distinct primes p_i .
- (ii) A non-zero finitely generated abelian group G is strongly self-regular if and only if $G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for some distinct primes p_i .
- (iii) A non-zero injective abelian group G is strongly self-regular if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. This follows by Corollary 3.8. \square

Example 3.10. The abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ (for some prime p) is self-regular, but not strongly self-regular by [7, Example 3.10].

4. STRONGLY RELATIVE REGULAR OBJECTS: TRANSFER VIA FUNCTORS

Our first result on the transfer of strongly relative regular property via (additive) functors involves a fully faithful covariant functor.

Theorem 4.1. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an exact fully faithful covariant functor between abelian categories, and let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is strongly $F(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 4.1]. \square

In the case of strong self-regularity, let us see that one can remove the exactness of the functor from Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a fully faithful covariant functor between abelian categories, and let M be an object of \mathcal{A} . Then M is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(M)$ is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Assume that M is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{A} . Let $u : F(M) \rightarrow F(M)$ be a morphism in \mathcal{B} . Since F is full, we have $u = F(f)$ for some morphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{A} . Since M is strongly self-regular, there exists a morphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ such that $f = f^2g$. Then $u = F(f) = F(f)^2F(g) = u^2F(g)$. This shows that $F(M)$ is strongly self-regular.

Conversely, assume that $F(M)$ is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{B} . Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a morphism $v : F(M) \rightarrow F(M)$ such that $F(f) = F(f)^2v$. Since F is full, we have $v = F(g)$ for some morphism $g : M \rightarrow M$. Then $F(f) = F(f)^2F(g) = F(f^2g)$, hence $f = f^2g$, because F is faithful. This shows that M is strongly self-regular. \square

For Grothendieck categories we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category with a generator U , $R = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$, $S = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$, and let M be an object of \mathcal{A} . Then M is a strongly self-regular object of \mathcal{A} if and only if $S(M)$ is a strongly self-regular right R -module.

Proof. By the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem [24, Chapter X, Theorem 4.1], S is a fully faithful functor. Then the conclusion follows by Theorem 4.2. \square

It is well-known that von Neumann regularity is a Morita invariant property. Next we show that this is still true for strong regularity.

Corollary 4.4. Strong regularity is a Morita invariant property.

Proof. Let R and S be two Morita equivalent rings via inverse equivalences $F : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S)$ and $G : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$. We show that strong regularity of one of the rings implies strong regularity of the other. Assume that S is a strongly regular ring. Then S is a strongly self-regular right S -module by Proposition 2.4. If $Q = G(S)$, then it is well known that Q is a progenerator in $\text{Mod}(R)$ and $F(Q) \cong S$. Hence $F(Q)$ is a strongly self-regular right S -module, and so Q is a strongly self-regular right R -module by Theorem 4.2. Since Q is a generator in $\text{Mod}(R)$, R is isomorphic to a direct summand of Q^n for some natural number $n \geq 1$. Since S a strongly self-regular right S -module, $Q = G(S)$ is a strongly self-regular right R -module by Theorem 4.2. It follows that Q^n is a strongly self-regular right R -module by Theorem 3.1. Finally, R is a a strongly self-regular right R -module by Corollary 2.9, and so R is a strongly regular ring by Proposition 2.4. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let (L, F, R) be an adjoint triple of covariant functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $L, R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) *Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} , and assume that F is fully faithful. Then N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is strongly $F(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} .*
- (2) *Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} , and assume that L (or R) is fully faithful. Then M is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{B} if and only if $R(M)$ is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{A} if and only if $L(M)$ is strongly self-Rickart in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. This follows by Theorem 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring epimorphism, and let M and N be right S -modules. Then N is a strongly M -regular right S -module if and only if N is a strongly M -regular right R -module.*

Proof. Since $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epimorphism, the restriction of scalars $\varphi_* : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$ is an exact fully faithful functor [24, Chapter XI, Proposition 1.2]. Then use Theorem 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let R be a strongly G -graded ring, and let M and N be right R_e -modules. Then N is a strongly M -regular right R_e -module if and only if $\text{Ind}(N)$ is a strongly $\text{Ind}(M)$ -regular graded right R -module.*

Proof. Since R is a strongly G -graded ring, the functors $\text{Ind}, \text{Coind} : \text{Mod}(R_e) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$ are functorially isomorphic. Now use [7, Corollary 4.5]. Alternatively, since R is a strongly G -graded ring, $\text{Ind}, \text{Coind} : \text{Mod}(R_e) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$ are equivalences of categories [18, Theorem 3.1.1] and use Theorem 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, \mathcal{C} an abelian full subcategory of \mathcal{A} and $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the inclusion functor.*

- (1) *Assume that \mathcal{C} is a coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{C} . Then N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{C} if and only if $i(N)$ is strongly $i(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} .*
- (2) *Assume that \mathcal{C} is a reflective subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Let M be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then M is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{C} if and only if $i(M)$ is strongly self-regular in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. (1) Note that i is exact fully faithful and use Theorem 4.1.

(2) Note that i is fully faithful and use Theorem 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let C be a coalgebra over a field, and let M and N be left C -comodules. Then N is strongly M -regular if and only if N is strongly M -regular as a right C^* -module.*

Proof. Note that ${}^C\mathcal{M}$ is a coreflective abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(C^*)$ and use Corollary 4.8. \square

In order to discuss the transfer of the strong relative regular property to endomorphism rings, we establish some general results involving adjoint functors.

First, we need the following property on the transfer of direct relative injectivity (projectivity).

Proposition 4.10. *Let (L, R) be an adjoint pair of covariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) Assume that L is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$. Then M is direct N -injective in \mathcal{B} if and only if $R(M)$ is direct $R(N)$ -injective in \mathcal{A} .
- (2) Assume that R is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Adst}(R)$. Then N is direct M -projective in \mathcal{A} if and only if $L(N)$ is direct $L(M)$ -projective in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. (1) Let $\varepsilon : LR \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow RL$ be the counit and the unit of adjunction respectively.

Assume that M is direct N -injective. Let $\alpha : P \rightarrow R(N)$ be a monomorphism with P isomorphic to a direct summand of $R(M)$, and let $\beta : P \rightarrow R(M)$ be a morphism. Since L is left exact and $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$, $\varepsilon_N L(\alpha) : L(P) \rightarrow N$ is a monomorphism, and $L(P)$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M . By the direct N -injectivity of M , there exists a morphism $h : M \rightarrow N$ such that $h\varepsilon_N L(\alpha) = \varepsilon_M L(\beta)$ [5, Lemma 5.1]. Let $\gamma = R(h) : R(N) \rightarrow R(M)$. Since (L, R) is an adjoint pair, we have $R(\varepsilon_N)\eta_{R(N)} = 1_{R(N)}$ and η is a natural transformation. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\alpha &= R(h)\alpha = R(h)R(\varepsilon_N)\eta_{R(N)}\alpha = R(h)R(\varepsilon_N)RL(\alpha)\eta_P \\ &= R(h\varepsilon_N L(\alpha))\eta_P = R(\varepsilon_M L(\beta))\eta_P = R(\varepsilon_M)RL(\beta)\eta_P = R(\varepsilon_M)\eta_{R(M)}\beta = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $R(M)$ is direct $R(N)$ -injective.

Conversely, assume that $R(M)$ is direct $R(N)$ -injective. Let $\alpha : P \rightarrow N$ be a monomorphism with P isomorphic to a direct summand of M , and let $\beta : P \rightarrow M$ be a morphism. Since R is left exact, $R(\alpha) : R(P) \rightarrow R(N)$ is a monomorphism, and $R(P)$ is clearly isomorphic to a direct summand of $R(M)$. By the direct $R(N)$ -injectivity of $R(M)$, there exists a morphism $h : R(N) \rightarrow R(M)$ such that $hR(\alpha) = R(\beta)$ [5, Lemma 5.1]. There exists a split epimorphism $p : M \rightarrow P$. Since $\varepsilon_P LR(p) = p\varepsilon_M$ is a split epimorphism, then so is ε_P . Hence there exists a morphism $r : P \rightarrow LR(P)$ such that $\varepsilon_P r = 1_P$. Since (L, R) is an adjoint pair, ε is a natural transformation. Also, ε_N is an isomorphism, because $N \in \text{Stat}(R)$. Let $\gamma = \varepsilon_M L(h)\varepsilon_N^{-1} : N \rightarrow M$. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\alpha &= \gamma\varepsilon_P r = \varepsilon_M L(h)\varepsilon_N^{-1}\alpha\varepsilon_P r = \varepsilon_M L(h)\varepsilon_N^{-1}\varepsilon_N LR(\alpha)r \\ &= \varepsilon_M L(hR(\alpha))r = \varepsilon_M LR(\beta)r = \beta\varepsilon_P r = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that M is direct N -injective. □

Theorem 4.11. *Let (L, R) be an adjoint pair of covariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) Assume that L is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$. Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{B} .
 - (ii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is M -cyclic.
 - (iii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f) \in \text{Stat}(R)$.
- (2) Assume that R is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Adst}(R)$. Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) $L(N)$ is strongly $L(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Coker}(f)$ is N -cocyclic.
 - (iii) $L(N)$ is strongly $L(M)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Coker}(f) \in \text{Adst}(R)$.

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 4.9], Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 4.10. □

Now we can extend Corollary 4.3 from self-regularity to relative regularity.

Corollary 4.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category with a generator U , $R = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$, $S = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$, and let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then N is a strongly M -regular*

object of \mathcal{A} if and only if $S(N)$ is a strongly M -regular right R -module and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is M -cyclic.

Proof. By the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem [24, Chapter X, Theorem 4.1], S is a fully faithful functor, hence $M \in \text{Stat}(S)$ for every object M of \mathcal{A} . Also, S has an exact left adjoint $T : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then the conclusion follows by Theorem 4.11. \square

For contravariant functors we have the following results.

Proposition 4.13. *Let (L, R) be an adjoint pair of contravariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) *Assume that (L, R) is left adjoint and L is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(R)$. Then M is direct N -injective in \mathcal{B} if and only if $R(M)$ is direct $R(N)$ -projective in \mathcal{A} .*
- (2) *Assume that (L, R) is right adjoint and R is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(L)$. Then N is direct M -projective in \mathcal{A} if and only if $L(N)$ is direct $L(M)$ -injective in \mathcal{B} .*

Theorem 4.14. *Let (L, R) be a pair of contravariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) *Assume that (L, R) is left adjoint and L is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(R)$. Then the following are equivalent:

 - (i) N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{B} .
 - (ii) $R(M)$ is strongly $R(N)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is M -cyclic.
 - (iii) $R(M)$ is strongly $R(N)$ -regular in \mathcal{A} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Ker}(f) \in \text{Refl}(R)$.*
- (2) *Assume that (L, R) is right adjoint and R is exact. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(L)$. Then the following are equivalent:

 - (i) N is strongly M -regular in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) $L(M)$ is strongly $L(N)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Coker}(f)$ is N -cocyclic.
 - (iii) $L(M)$ is strongly $L(N)$ -regular in \mathcal{B} and for every morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Coker}(f) \in \text{Refl}(L)$.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 4.10], Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 4.13. \square

Next we deduce the transfer of the strong self-regular property to endomorphism rings of (graded) modules. The following theorem generalizes [17, Proposition 4.3].

Theorem 4.15. *Let M be a right R -module, and let $S = \text{End}_R(M)$. Then:*

- (i) M is a strongly self-regular right R -module.
- (ii) S is a strongly self-regular right S -module.
- (iii) S is a strongly self-regular left S -module.

Proof. This follows by Proposition 2.5. Alternatively, if S is a strongly self-regular right (left) R -module, then S is a strongly regular ring. It follows that S is a unit regular ring, that is, for every $f \in S$, there exists an automorphism $g \in S$ such that $f = f g f$ [12]. By [12, Theorem 1], S is unit regular if and only if S is von Neumann regular and for every $f \in S$, one has $\text{Ker}(f) \cong \text{Coker}(f)$. Hence for every $f \in S$, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is M -cyclic and $\text{Coker}(f)$ is M -cocyclic. Now conclude by [7, Theorem 4.12]. \square

Corollary 4.16. *Let M be a graded right R -module, and let $S = \text{END}_R(M)$.*

- (i) M is a strongly self-regular graded right R -module.
- (ii) S is a strongly self-regular graded right S -module.
- (iii) S is a strongly self-regular graded left S -module.

Proof. This follows in a similar way as the alternative proof of Theorem 4.15 by using [7, Corollary 4.13]. \square

5. (DUAL) STRONGLY RELATIVE BAER OBJECTS

In this section we begin to systematically apply our theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects to the study of some corresponding Baer-type objects of an abelian category, called (dual) strongly relative Baer objects.

Let us first recall the following definition.

Definition 5.1. [5, Definition 6.1] Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is called:

- (1) *M-Baer* if for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is a direct summand of M .
- (2) *dual M-Baer* if for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is a direct summand of N .
- (3) *self-Baer* if N is N -Baer.
- (4) *dual self-Baer* if N is dual N -Baer.

Now we introduce and study a particular instance of both the (dual) strongly relative Rickart property and the (dual) relative Baer property.

Definition 5.2. Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then N is called:

- (1) *strongly M-Baer* if for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of M .
- (2) *dual strongly M-Baer* if for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of N .
- (3) *strongly self-Baer* if N is strongly N -Baer.
- (4) *dual strongly self-Baer* if N is dual strongly N -Baer.

Example 5.3. Consider the right R -module M from Example 2.7. We have seen that M is both strongly self-Rickart and dual strongly self-Rickart. But M is neither self-Baer [16, Example 2.18], nor dual self-Baer [15, Example 4.1], and so it is neither strongly self-Baer, nor dual strongly self-Baer.

Lemma 5.4. Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .

- (1) Assume that every direct summand of M is isomorphic to a subobject of N . Then N is strongly M -Baer if and only if N is M -Baer and M is weak duo.
- (2) Assume that every direct summand of N is isomorphic to a factor object of M . Then N is dual strongly M -Baer if and only if N is dual M -Baer and N is weak duo.

Proof. (1) Assume that N is strongly M -Baer. Clearly, N is M -Baer. Also, N is strongly M -Rickart. Then M is weak duo by [7, Proposition 2.9]. The converse is clear. \square

We immediately have the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.5. Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then:

- (1) M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is self-Baer and weak duo.
- (2) M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M is dual self-Baer and weak duo.

Corollary 5.6. Let M be an indecomposable object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then:

- (1) M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is self-Baer.
- (2) M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M is dual self-Baer.

Proposition 5.7. Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .

- (1) M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is self-Baer and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian.
- (2) M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M is dual self-Baer and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian.

Proof. (1) If M is strongly self-Baer, then M is clearly self-Baer and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian by [7, Proposition 2.14].

Conversely, assume that M is self-Baer and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian. Then M is self-Rickart and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ is abelian, and so M is strongly self-Rickart by [7, Proposition 2.14]. Then M is weak duo by [7, Corollary 2.10]. Finally, M is strongly self-Baer by Corollary 5.5. \square

We also have the following connection between (dual) strongly relative Baer objects and (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects.

Lemma 5.8. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I . Then N is strongly M -Baer if and only if N^I is strongly M -Rickart for every set I .*
- (2) *Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then N is dual strongly M -Baer if and only if N is dual strongly $M^{(I)}$ -Rickart for every set I .*

Proof. (1) This is immediate by using [6, Lemma 3.11]. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let $r : M \rightarrow M'$ be an epimorphism and $s : N' \rightarrow N$ a monomorphism in an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that \mathcal{A} has products. If N is strongly M -Baer, then N' is strongly M' -Baer.*
- (2) *Assume that \mathcal{A} has coproducts. If N is dual strongly M -Baer, then N' is dual strongly M' -Baer.*

Proof. (1) If N is strongly M -Baer, then N^I is strongly M -Rickart for every set I by Lemma 5.8. Then N'^I is strongly M' -Rickart for every set I by [7, Theorem 2.17]. Hence N' is strongly M' -Baer by Lemma 5.8. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , M' a direct summand of M and N' a direct summand of N .*

- (1) *If N is strongly M -Baer, then N' is strongly M' -Baer.*
- (2) *If N is dual strongly M -Baer, then N' is dual strongly M' -Baer.*

Corollary 5.11. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) *Consider a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and an object M in \mathcal{A} such that N_1 and N_2 are strongly M -Baer. Then N is strongly M -Baer.

- (2) *Consider a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

and an object N in \mathcal{A} such that N is dual strongly M_1 -Baer and dual strongly M_2 -Baer. Then N is dual strongly M -Baer.

Proof. (1) Since N_1 and N_2 are strongly M -Baer, N_1^I and N_2^I are strongly M -Rickart for every set I . We have an induced short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_1^I \rightarrow N^I \rightarrow N_2^I \rightarrow 0$ for every set I . Then N^I is strongly M -Rickart for every set I by [7, Theorem 2.19]. Hence N is strongly M -Baer by Lemma 5.8. \square

(Dual) strongly relative Baer objects and (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects are also related as follows.

Theorem 5.12. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I , and every direct summand of M is isomorphic to a subobject of N . Then N is strongly M -Baer if and only if N is strongly M -Rickart and M has SSIP.*

- (2) Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I , and every direct summand of N is isomorphic to a factor object of M . Then N is dual strongly M -Baer if and only if N is dual strongly M -Rickart and N has SSSP.

Proof. (1) Assume that N is strongly M -Baer. Then N is strongly M -Rickart. Also, N is M -Baer, and so M has SSIP by [5, Theorem 6.3].

Conversely, assume that N is strongly M -Rickart and M has SSIP. Then N is M -Rickart and M is weak duo by [7, Proposition 2.9]. It follows that N is M -Baer by [5, Theorem 6.3]. Finally, N is strongly M -Baer by Lemma 5.4. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) Assume that there exists the product M^I for every set I . Then M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is strongly self-Rickart and has SSIP. In particular, an indecomposable object is strongly self-Baer if and only if it is strongly self-Rickart.
- (2) Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M is dual strongly self-Rickart and has SSSP. In particular, an indecomposable object is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if it is dual strongly self-Rickart.

Recall that a (monotone) Galois connection between two partially ordered sets (A, \leq) and (B, \leq) consists of a pair (α, β) of two order-preserving functions $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow A$ such that for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, we have $\alpha(a) \leq b \Leftrightarrow a \leq \beta(b)$ (e.g., see [13]).

Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} , and denote $U = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$. We introduce and use the following notation (see [1]).

For every subobject X of M and every subobject Z of U , we denote:

$$l_U(X) = \{f \in U \mid X \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)\}, \quad r_M(Z) = \bigcap_{f \in Z} \text{Ker}(f).$$

For every subobject Y of N and every subobject Z of U , we denote:

$$l'_U(Y) = \{f \in U \mid \text{Im}(f) \subseteq Y\}, \quad r'_N(Z) = \sum_{f \in Z} \text{Im}(f).$$

For a lattice A we denote by A^{op} its dual lattice. One may extend [1, Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4] to the following theorem in abelian categories, which will be freely used.

Theorem 5.14. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then (r_M, l_U) is a Galois connection between the subobject lattices $L(U)$ and $L(M)^{\text{op}}$, and (r'_N, l'_U) is a Galois connection between the subobject lattices $L(U)$ and $L(N)$.*

Recall the following concepts adapted from module theory [4, 10] (extending and lifting modules), [11, 25] (strongly extending and strongly lifting modules).

Definition 5.15. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, M an object of \mathcal{A} and K a subobject of M .

Then K is called:

- (1) an *essential* subobject of M if for any subobject X of M , $K \cap X = 0$ implies $X = 0$.
- (2) a *superfluous* subobject of M if for any subobject X of M , $K + X = M$ implies $X = M$.

Then M is called:

- (3) (*strongly*) *extending* if every subobject of M is essential in a (fully invariant) direct summand of M .
- (4) (*strongly*) *lifting* if every subobject L of M contains a (fully invariant) direct summand K of M such that L/K is superfluous in M/K .

Next we introduce some relative versions of some notions which were used, under some different names, in the theory of (dual) Baer modules [14, 22], and which were slightly modified in [20] to fit the theory of Baer-Galois connections.

Definition 5.16. [5, Definition 9.4] Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .

Then N is called:

- (1) M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular if for any morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} , $\text{Ker}(f)$ essential in M implies $f = 0$.
- (2) M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular if for any subobject X of M , $l_U(X) = 0$ implies that X is essential in M .

Then M is called:

- (3) N - \mathcal{T} -nonsingular if for any morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} , $\text{Im}(f)$ superfluous in N implies $f = 0$.
- (4) N - \mathcal{T} -cononsingular if for any subobject Y of N , $l'_U(Y) = 0$ implies that Y is superfluous in M .

Now we may establish a result connecting the (dual) strongly relative Baer property to the strongly extending (strongly lifting) property. It is similar to [5, Theorem 9.5] (also see [20, Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2]), which relates the (dual) relative Baer property to the extending (lifting) property.

Theorem 5.17. *Let M and N be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I . Then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *N is strongly M -Baer and M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular.*
 - (ii) *M is strongly extending and N is M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular.*
- (2) *Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *N is dual strongly M -Baer and M is N - \mathcal{T} -cononsingular.*
 - (ii) *M is strongly lifting and N - \mathcal{T} -nonsingular.*

Proof. (1) (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that N is strongly M -Baer and M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular. Let L be a subobject of M . Denote

$$K = r_M(l_U(L)) = \bigcap \{ \text{Ker}(f) \mid f \in U \text{ and } L \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) \}.$$

Clearly, we have $L \subseteq K$. Since N is strongly M -Baer, K is a fully invariant direct summand of M , say $M = K \oplus K'$ for some subobject K' of M . Now one shows that L is essential in K as in the proof of [5, Theorem 9.5]. Hence L is essential in the fully invariant direct summand K of M , and so M is strongly extending. Finally, N is M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular also by [5, Theorem 9.5].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that M is strongly extending and N is M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular. Let I be a set, and let $f : M \rightarrow N^I$ be a morphism in \mathcal{A} . Since M is strongly extending, $\text{Ker}(f)$ is essential in a fully invariant direct summand L of $M = L \oplus L'$. Now one shows that $\text{Ker}(f) = L$ as in the proof of [5, Theorem 9.5]. Then $\text{Ker}(f)$ is a fully invariant direct summand of M . Hence N^I is strongly M -Rickart. It follows that N is strongly M -Baer by Lemma 5.8. Finally, N is M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular also by [5, Theorem 9.5]. \square

Corollary 5.18. *Let M be an object of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that there exists the product M^I for every set I . Then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *M is strongly self-Baer and M - \mathcal{K} -cononsingular.*
 - (ii) *M is strongly extending and M - \mathcal{K} -nonsingular.*
- (2) *Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then the following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *M is dual strongly self-Baer and M - \mathcal{T} -cononsingular.*
 - (ii) *M is strongly lifting and M - \mathcal{T} -nonsingular.*

Proof. (1) This follows by Theorem 5.17. Alternatively, note that M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M is self-Baer and weak duo by Corollary 5.5, while M is strongly extending if and only if M is extending and weak duo. Now the result follows by [5, Theorem 9.5]. \square

6. (CO)PRODUCTS OF (DUAL) STRONGLY RELATIVE BAER OBJECTS

We continue to apply our theory of (dual) strongly relative Rickart objects in order to obtain corresponding results for (co)products of (dual) strongly relative Baer objects.

Corollary 6.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category.*

- (1) *Let M and N_1, \dots, N_n be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -Baer if and only if N_i is strongly M -Baer for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (2) *Let M_1, \dots, M_n and N be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then N is dual strongly $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ -Baer if and only if N is dual strongly M_i -Baer for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. (1) By [7, Theorem 3.1] and Lemma 5.8, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ is strongly M -Baer if and only if $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i)^I \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i^I$ is strongly M -Rickart for every set I if and only if N_1^I, \dots, N_n^I are strongly M -Rickart for every set I if and only if N_1, \dots, N_n are strongly M -Baer. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, and let $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects of \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is strongly self-Baer. Then M_i is strongly self-Baer and strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in I$.*
- (2) *Assume that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ is dual strongly self-Baer. Then M_i is dual strongly self-Baer and dual strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in I$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is strongly self-Baer. Then M_i is strongly self-Baer and strongly M_j -Baer for every $i, j \in I$ by Corollary 5.9. By Theorem 5.12, M_i is strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in I$. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let M_1, \dots, M_n be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Assume that M_i is direct M_j -injective for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is strongly self-Baer and strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (2) *Assume that M_i is direct M_j -projective for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is dual strongly self-Baer and dual strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. (1) The direct implication follows by Lemma 6.2.

Conversely, assume that M_i is strongly self-Baer and strongly M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since M_i is direct M_j -injective, it follows that M_i is strongly M_j -regular for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ by Theorem 2.10. Furthermore, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-regular by Theorem 3.1, and so $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Rickart by Proposition 2.5. Since M_i is self-Baer and M_j -Rickart for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, it follows that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is self-Baer by [5, Theorem 7.3]. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ has SSIP by [5, Corollary 6.4]. Finally, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is strongly self-Baer by Corollary 5.13. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let M_1, \dots, M_n be objects of an abelian category \mathcal{A} such that M_i is strongly M_j -regular for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is (dual) strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is (dual) strongly self-Baer for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Theorem 6.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be a direct sum decomposition of an object M of \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) *Then M is strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is strongly self-Baer for every $i \in I$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ for every direct summand N of M .*
- (2) *Then M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if M_i is dual strongly self-Baer for every $i \in I$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ for every direct summand N of M .*

Proof. (1) Assume first that M is strongly self-Baer. Then M is strongly self-Rickart, hence we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$ by [7, Theorem 3.6]. Also, M is

self-Baer and weak duo by Corollary 5.5. It follows that M_i is self-Baer for every $i \in I$ by [23, Proposition 3.20]. Also, we have $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ for every direct summand N of M by [21, Theorem 2.7].

Conversely, assume that M_i is strongly self-Baer for every $i \in I$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ for every direct summand N of M . Then M_i is self-Baer and weak duo for every $i \in I$ by Corollary 5.5. It follows that M is self-Baer and weak duo for every $i \in I$ by [23, Proposition 3.20] and [21, Theorem 2.7]. Finally, M is strongly self-Baer by Corollary 5.5.

(2) This is not completely dual to (1), but it follows in a similar way as (1) by using images instead of kernels in order to prove a property as [23, Proposition 3.20] for dual Baer modules. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Let R be a Dedekind domain with quotient field K .*

- (1) (i) *A non-zero torsion R -module M is strongly self-Baer if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} R/P_i$ for some distinct maximal ideals P_i of R .*
- (ii) *A finitely generated R -module M is strongly self-Baer if and only if $M \cong J$ for some ideal J of R or $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R/P_i$ for some distinct maximal ideals P_i of R .*
- (iii) *A non-zero injective R -module M is strongly self-Baer if and only if $M \cong K$.*
- (2) *An R -module M is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ for some distinct R -modules M_i which are either K or $E(R/P_i)$ for some maximal ideals P_i of R , or $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M'_i$ for some distinct R -modules M'_i which are either K or R/P_i for some maximal ideals P_i of R .*

Proof. (1) Since every strongly self-Baer R -module is strongly self-Rickart, it follows by [7, Corollary 3.8] that every self-Baer that is non-zero torsion or finitely generated or non-zero injective has an indecomposable direct sum decomposition. But indecomposable strongly self-Baer R -modules coincide with indecomposable strongly self-Rickart R -modules by Corollary 5.13. Then the conclusion follows by [7, Corollary 3.8] and Theorem 6.5.

(2) Every dual self-Baer R -module has an indecomposable direct sum decomposition [14, Corollary 2.6]. The indecomposable dual self-Baer R -modules are K , $E(R/P)$ and R/P for some prime ideal P of R by [14, Theorem 3.4]. Using also the structure theorem of torsion weak duo R -modules, it follows that the indecomposable dual strongly self-Baer R -modules are K , $E(R/P)$ and R/P for some maximal ideal P of R . Alternatively, one can deduce the same by using Corollary 5.13 and [7, Corollary 3.8]. Now the conclusion follows by [7, Theorem 3.6]. \square

Corollary 6.7. (1) (i) *A non-zero torsion abelian group G is strongly self-Baer if and only if $G \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for some distinct primes p_i .*

(ii) *A finitely generated abelian group G is strongly self-Baer if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for some distinct primes p_i .*

(iii) *A non-zero injective abelian group G is strongly self-Baer if and only if $G \cong \mathbb{Q}$.*

(2) *An abelian group G is dual strongly self-Baer if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ for some distinct abelian groups M_i which are either \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^\infty}$ for some primes p_i , or $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M'_i$ for some distinct abelian groups M'_i which are either \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} for some primes p_i .*

Example 6.8. The abelian group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is self-Baer by [22, Proposition 2.19], but not strongly self-Baer by Corollary 6.7. The abelian group $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ is dual self-Baer by [14, Theorem 3.4], but not strongly dual self-Baer by Corollary 6.7.

7. (DUAL) STRONGLY RELATIVE BAER OBJECTS: TRANSFER VIA FUNCTORS

Our first result on the transfer of the (dual) strongly relative Baer property via (additive) functors involves a fully faithful covariant functor.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a fully faithful functor between abelian categories, and let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} .*

- (1) Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I , F is left exact and F preserves products. Then N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is strongly $F(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} .
- (2) Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I , F is right exact and F preserves coproducts. Then N is dual strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is dual strongly $F(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 4.1] and Lemma 5.8. □

For Grothendieck categories we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Grothendieck category with a generator U , $R = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$, $S = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} . Then N is a strongly M -Baer object of \mathcal{A} if and only if $S(N)$ is a strongly $S(M)$ -Baer right R -module.*

Proof. By the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem [24, Chapter X, Theorem 4.1], S is a fully faithful functor which has a left adjoint $T : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Since S is a right adjoint, it is left exact and preserves products. Then use Corollary 7.1. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let (L, F, R) be an adjoint triple of covariant functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $L, R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} , and assume that F is fully faithful.
 - (i) Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I . Then N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is strongly $F(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} .
 - (ii) Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then N is dual strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} if and only if $F(N)$ is dual strongly $F(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} .
- (2) Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} , and assume that L (or R) is fully faithful.
 - (i) Assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I . Then N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{B} if and only if $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) Assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Then N is dual strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{B} if and only if $L(N)$ is dual strongly $L(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. This follows by [7, Corollary 4.3], Lemma 5.8 and the facts that F preserves products and coproducts as a left and right adjoint, R preserves products, and L preserves coproducts. □

Corollary 7.4. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring epimorphism, and let M and N be right S -modules. Then N is a (dual) strongly M -Baer right S -module if and only if N is a (dual) strongly M -Baer right R -module.*

Proof. Since $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epimorphism, the restriction of scalars functor $\varphi_* : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$ is fully faithful [24, Chapter XI, Proposition 1.2]. Then use Corollary 7.3 for the adjoint triple of functors $(\varphi^*, \varphi_*, \varphi^!)$. □

Corollary 7.5. *Let R be a G -graded ring, and let M and N be right R_e -modules. Then:*

- (1) N is a strongly M -Baer right R_e -module if and only if $\text{Coind}(N)$ is a strongly $\text{Coind}(M)$ -Baer graded right R -module.
- (2) N is a dual strongly M -Baer right R_e -module if and only if $\text{Ind}(N)$ is a dual strongly $\text{Ind}(M)$ -Baer graded right R -module.

Proof. As in the proof of [7, Corollary 4.5], the functors Ind and Coind are fully faithful. Now use Corollary 7.3. □

Corollary 7.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category, \mathcal{C} an abelian full subcategory of \mathcal{A} and $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the inclusion functor.*

- (1) Assume that \mathcal{C} is a reflective subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Also, assume that there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$ for every set I . Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{C} . Then N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{C} if and only if $i(N)$ is strongly $i(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} .

- (2) Assume that \mathcal{C} is a coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{A} . Also, assume that there exists the product N^I for every set I . Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{C} . Then N is (dual) strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{C} if and only if $i(N)$ is (dual) strongly $i(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. This follows by Lemma 5.8 and [7, Corollary 4.6]. \square

For comodule categories we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.7. *Let C be a coalgebra over a field, and let M and N be left C -comodules. Then N is (dual) strongly M -Baer if and only if N is (dual) strongly M -Baer as a right C^* -module.*

Proof. Note that ${}^C\mathcal{M}$ is a coreflective abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}(C^*)$ and use Corollary 7.6. \square

In order to discuss the transfer of the (dual) strong relative Baer property to endomorphism rings, we give first some general results involving adjoint functors.

Corollary 7.8. *Let (L, R) be an adjoint pair of covariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$ and for every set I there exists the product N^I . Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{B} .
 - (ii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is M -cyclic.
 - (iii) $R(N)$ is strongly $R(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i) \in \text{Stat}(R)$.
- (2) Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Adst}(R)$ and for every set I there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$. Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is dual strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) $L(N)$ is dual strongly $L(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is N -cocyclic.
 - (iii) $L(N)$ is dual strongly $L(M)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i) \in \text{Adst}(R)$.

Proof. This follows by [7, Theorem 4.9], Lemma 5.8, [6, Lemma 3.11] and the facts that R preserves products and L preserves coproducts. \square

Corollary 7.9. *Let (L, R) be a pair of contravariant functors $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories.*

- (1) Assume that (L, R) is left adjoint. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{B} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(R)$ and for every set I there exists the product N^I . Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{B} .
 - (ii) $R(M)$ is dual strongly $R(N)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is M -cyclic.
 - (iii) $R(M)$ is dual strongly $R(N)$ -Baer in \mathcal{A} and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i) \in \text{Refl}(R)$.
- (2) Assume that (L, R) is right adjoint. Let M and N be objects of \mathcal{A} such that $M, N \in \text{Refl}(L)$ and for every set I there exists the coproduct $M^{(I)}$. Then the following are equivalent:
- (i) N is dual strongly M -Baer in \mathcal{A} .
 - (ii) $L(M)$ is strongly $L(N)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is N -cocyclic.
 - (iii) $L(M)$ is strongly $L(N)$ -Baer in \mathcal{B} and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i) \in \text{Refl}(L)$.

Proof. (2) This follows by [7, Theorem 4.10], Lemma 5.8, [6, Lemma 3.11] and the fact L converts coproducts into products. \square

Finally, we discuss the transfer of the (dual) strong relative Baer property to endomorphism rings of (graded) modules.

Corollary 7.10. *Let M be a right R -module, and let $S = \text{End}_R(M)$.*

- (1) *The following are equivalent:*
- (i) *M is a strongly self-Baer right R -module.*
 - (ii) *S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is M -cyclic.*
 - (iii) *S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i) \in \text{Stat}(\text{Hom}_R(M, -))$.*
 - (iv) *S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{ker}(f_i)$ is a locally split monomorphism.*
 - (v) *S is a strongly self-Baer right S -module and M is quasi-retractable.*
- (2) *The following are equivalent:*
- (i) *M is a dual strongly self-Baer right R -module.*
 - (ii) *S is a strongly self-Baer left S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is M -cocyclic.*
 - (iii) *S is a strongly self-Baer left S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i) \in \text{Adst}(\text{Hom}_R(M, -))$.*
 - (iv) *S is a strongly self-Baer left S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{im}(f_i)$ is a locally split epimorphism.*
 - (v) *S is a strongly self-Baer left S -module and M is quasi-coretractable.*

Proof. The equivalences (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follow by [7, Theorem 4.12], Lemma 5.8 and [6, Lemma 3.11]. The other equivalences follow in a similar way as the corresponding ones from [7, Theorem 4.12] with endomorphisms replaced by families of endomorphisms, kernels replaced by intersections of kernels, and cokernels replaced by sums of images. \square

Corollary 7.11. *Let M be a graded right R -module, and let $S = \text{END}_R(M)$.*

- (1) *The following are equivalent:*
- (i) *M is a strongly self-Baer graded right R -module.*
 - (ii) *S is a strongly self-Baer graded right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i)$ is M -cyclic.*
 - (iii) *S is a strongly self-Baer graded right S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\bigcap_{i \in I} \text{Ker}(f_i) \in \text{Stat}(\text{HOM}_R(M, -))$.*
- (2) *The following are equivalent:*
- (i) *M is a dual strongly self-Baer graded right R -module.*
 - (ii) *S is a strongly self-Baer graded left S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i)$ is M -cocyclic.*
 - (iii) *S is a strongly self-Baer graded left S -module and for every set I and for every family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ with each $f_i \in S$, $\sum_{i \in I} \text{Im}(f_i) \in \text{Refl}(\text{HOM}_R(-, M))$.*

Proof. This follows by [7, Corollary 4.13], Lemma 5.8 and [6, Lemma 3.11]. \square

REFERENCES

[1] T. Albu and C. Năstăsescu, *Relative finiteness in module theory*, Marcel Dekker, 1984.
 [2] R.F. Arens and I. Kaplansky, *Topological representations of algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 457–481.
 [3] F. Castaño Iglesias, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and R. Wisbauer, *Adjoint functors and equivalences of subcategories*, Bull. Sci. Math. **127** (2003), 379–395.
 [4] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting modules*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2006.
 [5] S. Crivei and A. Kör, *Rickart and dual Rickart objects in abelian categories*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **24** (2016), 797–824.
 [6] S. Crivei and G. Olteanu, *Rickart and dual Rickart objects in abelian categories: transfer via functors*, Appl. Categor. Struct. DOI: 10.1007/s10485-017-9509-8

- [7] S. Crivei and G. Olteanu, *Strongly Rickart objects in abelian categories*, Comm. Algebra, 2018. DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2018.1439046
- [8] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, A. Tudorache and L. Dăuș, *Relative regular objects in categories*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **14** (2006), 567–577.
- [9] L. Dăuș, *Relative regular modules. Applications to von Neumann regular rings*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **19** (2011), 859–863.
- [10] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending modules*, Pitman Research Notes, **313**, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1994.
- [11] S. Ebrahimi Atani, M. Khoramdel and S. Dolati Pish Hesari, *On strongly extending modules*, Kyungpook Math. J. **54** (2014), 237–247.
- [12] G. Ehrlich, *Units and one-sided units in regular rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (1976), 81–90.
- [13] M. Ern e, J. Koslowski, A. Melton and G.E. Strecker, *A primer on Galois connections*. In: Proceedings of the 1991 Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications in Honor of Mary Ellen Rudin and Her Work, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, **704**, 1993, pp. 103–125.
- [14] D. Keskin Tütüncü and R. Tribak, *On dual Baer modules*, Glasgow Math. J. **52** (2010), 261–269.
- [15] G. Lee, S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Rickart modules*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), 4005–4027.
- [16] G. Lee, S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Dual Rickart modules*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 4036–4058.
- [17] G. Lee, S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Modules whose endomorphism rings are von Neumann regular*, Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), 4066–4088.
- [18] C. Năstăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of graded rings*, Lect. Notes Math. **1836**, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] W.K. Nicholson and Y. Zhou, *Semiregular morphisms*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 219–233.
- [20] G. Olteanu, *Baer-Galois connections and applications*, Carpathian J. Math. **30** (2014), 225–229.
- [21] A.Ç. Özcan, A. Harmançı and P.F. Smith, *Duo modules*, Glasgow Math. J. **48** (2006), 533–545.
- [22] S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Baer and quasi-Baer modules*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), 103–123.
- [23] S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *On direct sums of Baer modules*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 682–696.
- [24] B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Grundlehren der Math., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [25] Y. Wang, *Strongly lifting modules and strongly dual Rickart modules*, Front. Math. China **12** (2017), 219–229.
- [26] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, STR. M. KOGĂLNICEANU 1, 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

E-mail address: `crivei@math.ubbcluj.ro`

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS-FORECASTS-MATHEMATICS, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, STR. T. MIHALI 58-60, 400591 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

E-mail address: `gabriela.olteanu@econ.ubbcluj.ro`