Weak Decays of Singly Heavy Baryons in Light-Front Approach

Zhen-Xing Zhao¹ *

¹ INPAC, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,

MOE Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology,

School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao-Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P.R. China

In this work, we perform a comprehensive analysis of weak decays of singly heavy baryons. The two spectator quarks in baryonic transitions are treated as a diquark and form factors are calculated in the light-front approach. The form factor results are applied to study the semi-leptonic and nonleptonic singly heavy baryon decays. We find that our results are comparable with the available experimental data and other theoretical predictions. Decay branching fractions for many channels are found to reach the level $10^{-3} \sim 10^{-2}$, which are promising to be discovered in the future measurments at BESIII, LHCb and BelleII. The SU(3) symmetry in semi-leptonic decays are examined and sources of symmetry breaking are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quite recently, the LHCb collaboration has announced the discovery of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} [1]. Undoubtedly this discovery will open a new door to study strong interactions in the presence of a pair of heavy quarks. Accordingly it has triggered great theoretical interests in studying doubly heavy baryons from different aspects [2–21].

Inspired by this discovery, we also expect a renaissance in the study of singly bottom or charm baryons. Particularly there are rapid progresses in the study of Λ_c decays at BESIII [22–27] and many studies have been performed on Λ_b and Λ_c decays by LHCb, some recent ones can be found in Refs. [28–33]. Many more decay modes will be established in future. Thus an up-to-date theoretical analysis is highly demanded, and this work aims to do so.

Quark model is a most successful tools in classifying mesons and baryons. A heavy baryon is composed of one heavy quark c/b and two light quarks. Light flavor SU(3) symmetry arranges the singly heavy baryons into the presentations $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{6} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{3}}$, as can be seen from Fig. 1. For charmed baryons, the irreducible representation $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ is composed of Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+,0}$ while the sextet is composed of $\Sigma_c^{++,+,0}$, $\Xi_c'^{+,0}$ and Ω_c^0 . They all have spin 1/2, but only 4 of them weakly decay predominantly: Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+,0}$ in the representation $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$ and Ω_c^0 in the representation 6. Others can decays into the lowest-lying states via strong or electromagnetic interactions. This is similar for bottomed baryons. In this article, we will focus on weak decays of singly heavy baryons and more explicitly we will consider only the following channels:

^{*} Email:star_0027@sjtu.edu.cn

• c sector:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_c^+(cud) &\to n(dud)/\Lambda(sud), \\ \Xi_c^+(cus) &\to \Sigma^0(dus)/\Lambda(dus)/\Xi^0(sus), \\ \Xi_c^0(cds) &\to \Sigma^-(dds)/\Xi^-(sds), \\ \Omega_c^0(css) &\to \Xi^-(dss); \end{split}$$

• b sector:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_b^0(bud) &\to p(uud)/\Lambda_c^+(cud), \\ \Xi_b^0(bus) &\to \Sigma^+(uus)/\Xi_c^+(cus), \\ \Xi_b^-(bds) &\to \Sigma^0(uds)/\Lambda(uds)/\Xi_c^0(cds), \\ \Omega_b^-(bss) &\to \Xi^0(uss)/\Omega_c^0(css). \end{split}$$

In the above, we have listed the quark contents of the baryons in the brakets and placed the quarks that participate in weak decay in the first place.

The light baryons in the final state are composed of 3 light quarks and belong to the baryon octet. Their wave functions, including the flavor and spin spaces, have the form [34]

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{8}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{p}_S \chi(M_S) + \mathbf{p}_A \chi(M_A)).$$
(1)

Here $p_{S(A)}$ stands for the mixed symmetric (antisymmetric) 8 in the SU(3) representation decmposition $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ in the flavor space, while $\chi(M_{S(A)})$ stands for the mixed symmetric (antisymmetric) 2 in the SU(2) representation decmposition $\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{4} \oplus \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{2}$ in the spin space. Here the "mixed symmetric (antisymmetric)" means the states are symmetric (antisymmetric) under interchange of the first two quarks. The wave functions for baryons in the initial and final states are collected in the Appendix A.

Many works have been done on the theoretical side, and some of them can be found in Refs. [35– 43]. In this work, we will adopt the light-front approach. This method has been widely used to study the properties of mesons [44–61]. Its application to baryons can be found in Refs. [62–65]. In the transition form factors, the two spectator quarks do not change and can be viewed as a diquark. In this diquark scheme, the two quarks are treated as a whole system, and thus its role is similar to that of the antiquark in the meson case, see Fig. 2. In the process like $\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c$, where the light quarks u and d are considered to form a scalar diquark, which is denoted by [ud], while in the process like $\Omega_b \to \Omega_c$, the light s quarks are believed to form an axial-vector diquark, which is denoted by {ss}.

Some recent works have been devoted to investigate the decay of heavy baryon with the help of flavor SU(3) symmetry [66–68]. Based on the available data, the SU(3) analysis can give predictions on a great number of decay modes ranging from semi-leptonic decays to multi-body nonleptonic



FIG. 1: Anti-triplets (panel a) and sextets (panel b) of charmed baryons with one charm quark and two light quarks. It is similar for the baryons with a bottom quark.



FIG. 2: Feynman diagrams for baryon-baryon transitions in the diquark picture. $P^{(\prime)}$ is the momentum of the incoming (outgoing) baryon, $p_1^{(\prime)}$ is the quark momentum, p_2 is the diquark momentum and the cross mark denotes the corresponding vertex of weak interaction.

decays. However, as we know, in the case of c quark decay, SU(3) symmetry breaking effects are sizable and can not be omitted. A quantitative study of SU(3) symmetry breaking effects will be conducted within the light-front approach.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we will present briefly the framework of light-front approach under the diquark picture, and the wave function factors are also given. Our results are shown in Sec. III, including the results for form factors, predictions on semi-leptonic and nonleptonic decay widths, and detailed discussions on the SU(3) symmetry and sources of symmetry breaking. A brief summary will be given in the last section.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section, we will briefly overview the theoretical framework for form factors using the light-front approach. More details can be found in Refs. [62] and [4]. It is necessary to point out the physical form factors should be multiplied by a factor due to the overlap of wave functions. These overlapping factors are determined by the wave functions of the baryons in the initial and final states.

A. Form factors

The transition matrix elements are parameterized as

$$\langle B'(P', S'_{z}) | V_{\mu} | B(P, S_{z}) \rangle = \bar{u}(P', S'_{z}) \left[\gamma_{\mu} f_{1}(q^{2}) + i\sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{q^{\nu}}{M} f_{2}(q^{2}) + \frac{q_{\mu}}{M} f_{3}(q^{2}) \right] u(P, S_{z}),$$

$$\langle B'(P', S'_{z}) | A_{\mu} | B(P, S_{z}) \rangle = \bar{u}(P', S'_{z}) \left[\gamma_{\mu} g_{1}(q^{2}) + i\sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{q^{\nu}}{M} g_{2}(q^{2}) + \frac{q_{\mu}}{M} g_{3}(q^{2}) \right] \gamma_{5} u(P, S_{z}),$$

$$(2)$$

where q = P - P', M denotes the mass of the parent baryon B, and f_i , g_i are form factors.

In the light-front approach, hadron states are written in terms of quark states, where the momentum and other quantum numbers are considered simultaneously. Then the weak transition matrix element can be obtained as

$$\langle B'(P', S'_z) | (V - A)_\mu | B(P, S_z) \rangle = \int \{ d^3 p_2 \} \frac{\phi'^*(x', k'_\perp) \phi(x, k_\perp)}{2\sqrt{p_1^+ p_1'^+ (p_1 \cdot \bar{P} + m_1 M_0)(p'_1 \cdot \bar{P}' + m'_1 M'_0)}} \\ \times \bar{u}(\bar{P}', S'_z) \bar{\Gamma}'(p'_1 + m'_1) \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5)(p_1 + m_1) \Gamma u(\bar{P}, S_z).$$
(3)

From Eqs. (2) and (3), we can extract the explicit expressions of form factors:

$$f_{1}(q^{2}) = \int \frac{dxd^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\phi'(x',k_{\perp}')\phi(x,k_{\perp})[k_{\perp}\cdot k_{\perp}' + (x_{1}M_{0} + m_{1})(x_{1}'M_{0}' + m_{1}')]}{\sqrt{\left[(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})^{2} + k_{\perp}^{2}\right]\left[(m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')^{2} + k_{\perp}'^{2}\right]}},$$

$$g_{1}(q^{2}) = \int \frac{dxd^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\phi'(x',k_{\perp}')\phi(x,k_{\perp})[-k_{\perp}\cdot k_{\perp}' + (x_{1}M_{0} + m_{1})(x_{1}'M_{0}' + m_{1}')]}{\sqrt{\left[(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})^{2} + k_{\perp}^{2}\right]\left[(m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')^{2} + k_{\perp}'^{2}\right]}},$$

$$\frac{f_{2}(q^{2})}{M} = \frac{1}{q_{\perp}^{2}} \int \frac{dxd^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\phi'(x',k_{\perp}')\phi(x,k_{\perp})[-(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})k_{\perp}' \cdot q_{\perp} + (m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}]}{\sqrt{\left[(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})^{2} + k_{\perp}^{2}\right]\left[(m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')^{2} + k_{\perp}'^{2}\right]}},$$

$$\frac{g_{2}(q^{2})}{M} = \frac{1}{q_{\perp}^{2}} \int \frac{dxd^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\phi'(x',k_{\perp}')\phi(x,k_{\perp})[-(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})k_{\perp}' \cdot q_{\perp} - (m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')k_{\perp} \cdot q_{\perp}]}{\sqrt{\left[(m_{1} + x_{1}M_{0})^{2} + k_{\perp}^{2}\right]\left[(m_{1}' + x_{1}'M_{0}')^{2} + k_{\perp}'^{2}\right]}},$$

$$(4)$$

for a scalar diquark involved in the initial and final baryons, or

$$\begin{split} f_{1}(q^{2}) &= \frac{1}{8P^{+}P'^{+}} \int \frac{dx_{2}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\varphi'(x',k'_{\perp})\varphi(x,k_{\perp})}{6\sqrt{x_{1}x'_{1}(p_{1}\cdot\bar{P}+m_{1}M_{0})(p'_{1}\cdot\bar{P}'+m'_{1}M'_{0})}} \\ &\times \operatorname{Tr}[(\bar{P}+M_{0})\gamma^{+}(\bar{P}'+M'_{0})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}(p'_{1}+m'_{1})\gamma^{+}(p_{1}+m_{1})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\beta}](\frac{p_{2}^{\alpha}p_{2}^{\beta}}{m_{2}^{2}}-g^{\alpha\beta}), \\ g_{1}(q^{2}) &= \frac{1}{8P^{+}P'^{+}} \int \frac{dx_{2}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\varphi'(x',k'_{\perp})\varphi(x,k_{\perp})}{2\sqrt{x_{1}x'_{1}(p_{1}\cdot\bar{P}+m_{1}M_{0})(p'_{1}\cdot\bar{P}'+m'_{1}M'_{0})}} \\ &\times \operatorname{Tr}[(\bar{P}+M_{0})\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}(\bar{P}'+M'_{0})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}(p'_{1}+m'_{1})\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}(p_{1}+m_{1})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\beta}](\frac{p_{2}^{\alpha}p_{2}^{\beta}}{m_{2}^{2}}-g^{\alpha\beta}), \\ \frac{f_{2}(q^{2})}{M} &= -\frac{1}{8P^{+}P'^{+}} \frac{iq_{\perp}^{i}}{q_{\perp}^{2}} \int \frac{dx_{2}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\varphi'(x',k'_{\perp})\varphi(x,k_{\perp})}{2\sqrt{x_{1}x'_{1}(p_{1}\cdot\bar{P}+m_{1}M_{0})(p'_{1}\cdot\bar{P}'+m'_{1}M'_{0})}} \\ &\times \operatorname{Tr}[(\bar{P}+M_{0})\sigma^{i+}(\bar{P}'+M'_{0})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}(p'_{1}+m'_{1})\gamma^{+}(p_{1}+m_{1})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\beta}](\frac{p_{2}^{\alpha}p_{2}^{\beta}}{m_{2}^{2}}-g^{\alpha\beta}), \\ \frac{g_{2}(q^{2})}{M} &= \frac{1}{8P^{+}P'^{+}} \frac{iq_{\perp}^{i}}{q_{\perp}^{2}} \int \frac{dx_{2}d^{2}k_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\varphi'(x',k'_{\perp})\varphi(x,k_{\perp})}{2\sqrt{x_{1}x'_{1}(p_{1}\cdot\bar{P}+m_{1}M_{0})(p'_{1}\cdot\bar{P}'+m'_{1}M'_{0})}} \\ &\times \operatorname{Tr}[(\bar{P}+M_{0})\sigma^{i+}\gamma_{5}(\bar{P}'+M'_{0})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\alpha}(p'_{1}+m'_{1})\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}(p_{1}+m_{1})\gamma_{5}\gamma_{\beta}](\frac{p_{2}^{\alpha}p_{2}^{\beta}}{m_{2}^{2}}-g^{\alpha\beta})$$
(5)

if an axial-vector diquark is involved.

B. Wave functions

In the last subsection, we have presented the explicit expressions of form factors. It should be noted that, the physical transition form factors should be multiplied by the overlapping factors:

$$f_1^{\text{physical}}(q^2) = \text{overlapping} \times f_1^{\text{in Sec. IIA}}(q^2),$$
 (6)

etc..

From the discussions in the Appendix A, we can obtain these factors and the corresponding results are collected in Tab. I.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

All the inputs will be collected in the first subsection. In the following subsections numerical results for form factors, semi-leptonic and nonleptonic processes will be given, and some comments will be presented.

A. Inputs

The quark masses used in the model are given as

 $m_u = m_d = 0.25 \text{GeV}, \quad m_s = 0.37 \text{GeV}, \quad m_c = 1.4 \text{GeV}, \quad m_b = 4.8 \text{GeV}.$

transitions	overlapping factors
$\Lambda_c^+(cud) \to n(dud)/\Lambda(sud)$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$
$\Xi_c^+(cus)\to \Sigma^0(dus)/\Lambda(dus)/\Xi^0(sus)$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$\Xi_c^0(cds) \to \Sigma^-(dds)/\Xi^-(sds)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
$\Omega_c^0(css) \to \Xi^-(dss)$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$
$\Lambda_b^0(bud) \to p(uud) / \Lambda_c^+(cud)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1$
$\Xi^0_b(bus)\to \Sigma^+(uus)/\Xi^+_c(cus)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1$
$\Xi_b^-(bds)\to \Sigma^0(uds)/\Lambda(uds)/\Xi_c^0(cds)$	$\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, 1$
$\Omega_b^-(bss) \to \Xi^0(uss) / \Omega_c^0(css)$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1$

TABLE I: The overlapping factors in the transitions

These values are widely adopted in Refs. [53–61]. The diquark masses are chosen as

$$\begin{split} m_{[ud]} &= 0.50 {\rm GeV}, \quad m_{[us]} = m_{[ds]} = 0.60 {\rm GeV}, \\ m_{\{uu\}} &= m_{\{ud\}} = m_{\{dd\}} = 0.77 {\rm GeV}, \quad m_{\{us\}} = m_{\{ds\}} = 0.87 {\rm GeV}, \quad m_{\{ss\}} = 0.97 {\rm GeV}. \end{split}$$

Here the square brackets (curly braces) denote a scalar (an axial-vector) diquark.

The beta parameters are given as

$$\begin{split} \beta_{b[ud]} &= 0.66 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{b[us]} = \beta_{b[ds]} = 0.68 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{b\{ss\}} = 0.78 \text{GeV}, \\ \beta_{c[ud]} &= 0.56 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{c[us]} = \beta_{c[ds]} = 0.58 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{c\{ss\}} = 0.66 \text{GeV}, \\ \beta_{s[ud]} &= 0.45 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{s[us]} = \beta_{s[ds]} = 0.46 \text{GeV}, \\ \beta_{d[ud]} &= 0.40 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{d[us]} = \beta_{d[ds]} = 0.41 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{d\{ss\}} = 0.44 \text{GeV}, \\ \beta_{u[ud]} &= 0.40 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{u[us]} = \beta_{u[ds]} = 0.41 \text{GeV}, \quad \beta_{u\{ss\}} = 0.44 \text{GeV}. \end{split}$$

Some comments on the above parameters are given in order.

- $m_{[ud]} = 0.50 \text{GeV}$ and $m_{\{ud\}} = 0.77 \text{GeV}$ are taken from Refs [62, 64]. Other diquark masses are taken as the above values since the s quark mass is expected to be 0.1 GeV higher than that of u or d quark.
- The beta parameters for baryons are constrained by the corresponding ones for mesons [48]. To be specific, $\beta_{b,di}$ are taken as between $\beta_{b\bar{s}} = 0.623$ and $\beta_{b\bar{c}} = 0.886$; $\beta_{c,di}$ are taken as between $\beta_{c\bar{s}} = 0.535$ and $\beta_{c\bar{c}} = 0.753$; $\beta_{d,di}$ are taken as between $\beta_{d\bar{s}} = 0.393$ and $\beta_{d\bar{c}} = 0.470$; $\beta_{s,di}$ are taken as between $\beta_{s\bar{s}} = 0.440$ and $\beta_{s\bar{c}} = 0.535$.

The masses and lifetimes of the parent baryons are given Tab. II and the masses of the daughter baryons are given in Tab. III [69].

TABLE II: Masses and lifetimes of parent baryons. A and Ξ are in the representation $\mathbf{\bar{3}}$ while Ω are in the representation $\mathbf{6}$.

baryon	Λ_c^+	Ξ_c^+	Ξ_c^0	Ω_c^0	Λ_b^0	Ξ_b^0	Ξ_b^-	Ω_b^-
$\mathrm{mass}/\mathrm{GeV}$	2.286	2.468	2.471	2.695	5.620	5.792	5.795	6.046
lifetime/fs	200	442	112	69	1466	1464	1560	1570

TABLE III: Masses of daughter baryons. They form the baryon octet.

baryon	p	n	Λ	Σ^+	Σ^0	Σ^{-}	Ξ^0	[I]
$\mathrm{mass}/\mathrm{GeV}$	0.938	0.940	1.116	1.189	1.193	1.197	1.315	1.322

Fermi constant and CKM matrix elements are also taken from PDG [69]:

$$G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^{-2},$$

$$|V_{ud}| = 0.974, \quad |V_{us}| = 0.225, \quad |V_{ub}| = 0.00357,$$

$$|V_{cd}| = 0.225, \quad |V_{cs}| = 0.974, \quad |V_{cb}| = 0.0411.$$
(7)

B. Form factors

The formulas for form factors are given in Sec. II. Results of parameterized form factors can be found in Tab. IV for charmed baryons and Tab. V for bottomed baryons. The following expressions have been used to fit the form factors

$$F(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{1 \mp \frac{q^2}{m_{\rm fit}^2} + \delta \left(\frac{q^2}{m_{\rm fit}^2}\right)^2},$$
(8)

where the F(0) is the form factor at $q^2 = 0$. The m_{fit} and δ are two parameters to be fitted from numerical results. For the form factor g_2 , a plus sign is adopted in Eq. (8) otherwise the fitted parameter m_{fit} becomes purely imaginary. The minus sign is taken for all the other situations.

Some comments are given in order.

- It should be noted that, in Tabs. IV and V, the overlapping factors are not taken into account. Then physical transition form factors should be multiplied by the corresponding factors, see Eq. (6).
- An advantage of the results in Tab. IV is that, they can be directly be used to explore SU(3) symmetry and its breaking effects. In fact, if we take the approximations

$$\begin{split} m_{d} &= m_{s}, \\ m_{[ud]} &= m_{[us]} = m_{[ds]} = m_{\{ss\}}, \\ \beta_{c[ud]} &= \beta_{c[us]} = \beta_{c[ds]} = \beta_{c\{ss\}}, \\ \beta_{d[ud]} &= \beta_{s[ud]} = \beta_{d[us]} = \beta_{s[us]} = \beta_{d[ds]} = \beta_{s[ds]} = \beta_{d\{ss\}} \end{split}$$

				Ŭ	-		
F	F(0)	$m_{\rm fit}$	δ	F	F(0)	$m_{\rm fit}$	δ
$f_1^{\Lambda_c^+ \to n}$	0.726	2.06	0.07	$f_2^{\Lambda_c^+ \to n}$	-0.376	1.71	0.21
$g_1^{\Lambda_c^+ \to n}$	0.626	2.40	0.19	$g_2^{\Lambda_c^+ \to n}$	-0.048	1.42	0.29
$f_1^{\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	0.810	2.19	0.06	$f_2^{\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	-0.384	1.81	0.20
$g_1^{\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	0.705	2.52	0.16	$g_2^{\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	-0.060	1.58	0.25
$f_1^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0}$	0.717	2.00	0.11	$f_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0}$	-0.421	1.69	0.22
$g_1^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0}$	0.614	2.34	0.22	$g_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0}$	-0.054	1.39	0.32
$f_1^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	0.717	2.00	0.11	$f_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	-0.421	1.69	0.22
$g_1^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	0.614	2.34	0.22	$g_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda}$	-0.054	1.39	0.32
$f_1^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0}$	0.802	2.13	0.10	$f_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0}$	-0.431	1.79	0.22
$g_1^{\Xi_c^+\to\Xi^0}$	0.694	2.46	0.19	$g_2^{\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0}$	-0.065	1.55	0.28
$f_1^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^-}$	0.717	2.00	0.11	$f_2^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^-}$	-0.422	1.69	0.22
$g_1^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^-}$	0.614	2.34	0.22	$g_2^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^-}$	-0.054	1.39	0.32
$f_1^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	0.802	2.13	0.10	$f_2^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	-0.432	1.79	0.22
$g_1^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	0.694	2.46	0.19	$g_2^{\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	-0.065	1.55	0.28
$f_1^{\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	0.653	1.51	0.35	$f_2^{\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	0.620	1.54	0.28
$g_1^{\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	-0.182	1.92	0.08	$g_2^{\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi^-}$	0.002^{*}	0.40^{*}	0.14^{*}

TABLE IV: Parameterized form factors for charmed baryon decays. The plus sign is adopted in the fit formula Eq. (8) for the ones with asterisk, and the minus sign is adopted for all the others.

and

$$m_{\Lambda_c^+} = m_{\Xi_c^+} = m_{\Xi_c^0} = m_{\Omega_c^0},$$

all the form factors will be the same with each other in different channels. From the results in Tab. IV, we can see that the SU(3) symmetry is not severely broken. In the discussion of semi-leptonic results, we will see that the sizable SU(3) breaking may come from baryon mass differences in the final state.

• We found that numerical results are not sensitive to the beta parameters.

C. Semi-leptonic results

The differential decay width for semi-leptonic process reads

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{d\Gamma_L}{dq^2} + \frac{d\Gamma_T}{dq^2},\tag{9}$$

with the polarized decay widths are given as

$$\frac{d\Gamma_L}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{CKM}|^2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{q^2 p}{24M^2} (|H_{\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}|^2), \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma_T}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{CKM}|^2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{q^2 p}{24M^2} (|H_{\frac{1}{2},1}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-1}|^2).$$
(11)

F	F(0)	$m_{\rm fit}$	δ	F	F(0)	$m_{\rm fit}$	δ
$f_1^{\Lambda_b^0 \to p}$	0.282	4.66	0.30	$f_2^{\Lambda_b^0 \to p}$	-0.084	3.94	0.37
$g_1^{\Lambda_b^0 \to p}$	0.273	4.81	0.32	$g_2^{\Lambda_b^0 \to p}$	-0.012	3.67	0.37
$f_1^{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+}$	0.670	5.62	0.23	$f_2^{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+}$	-0.132	4.67	0.32
$g_1^{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+}$	0.656	5.73	0.24	$g_2^{\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+}$	-0.012	4.37	0.28
$f_1^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+}$	0.260	4.46	0.34	$f_2^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+}$	-0.086	3.84	0.40
$g_1^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+}$	0.251	4.60	0.36	$g_2^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+}$	-0.012	3.56	0.41
$f_1^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+}$	0.654	5.42	0.27	$f_2^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+}$	-0.143	4.59	0.34
$g_1^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+}$	0.640	5.53	0.28	$g_2^{\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+}$	-0.015	4.33	0.30
$f_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0}$	0.260	4.46	0.34	$f_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0}$	-0.086	3.84	0.40
$g_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0}$	0.251	4.60	0.36	$g_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0}$	-0.012	3.56	0.41
$f_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda}$	0.260	4.46	0.34	$f_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda}$	-0.086	3.84	0.40
$g_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda}$	0.251	4.60	0.36	$g_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda}$	-0.012	3.56	0.41
$f_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0}$	0.654	5.42	0.27	$f_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0}$	-0.143	4.59	0.34
$g_1^{\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0}$	0.640	5.53	0.28	$g_2^{\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0}$	-0.015	4.33	0.30
$f_1^{\Omega_b^- \to \Xi^0}$	0.169	3.30	0.64	$f_2^{\Omega_b^- \to \Xi^0}$	0.193	3.45	0.49
$g_1^{\Omega_b^-\to\Xi^0}$	-0.033	4.38	0.20	$g_2^{\Omega_b^- \to \Xi^0}$	-0.041	4.32	0.65
$f_1^{\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0}$	0.566	3.92	0.49	$f_2^{\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0}$	0.531	4.08	0.41
$g_1^{\Omega_b^-\to\Omega_c^0}$	-0.170	4.80	0.23	$g_2^{\Omega_b^-\to\Omega_c^0}$	-0.031	9.02	5.05

TABLE V: Same as Tab. IV but for the bottomed baryon case.

Here the q^2 is the lepton pair invariant mass, $p = \sqrt{Q_+Q_-}/2M$ and $Q_{\pm} = (M \pm M')^2 - q^2$. M(M') is the mass of the parent (daughter) baryon.

The helicity amplitudes are related to the form factors through the following expressions:

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{V} = -i\frac{\sqrt{Q_{-}}}{\sqrt{q^{2}}} \left((M+M')f_{1} - \frac{q^{2}}{M}f_{2} \right),$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^{V} = i\sqrt{2Q_{-}} \left(-f_{1} + \frac{M+M'}{M}f_{2} \right),$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{A} = -i\frac{\sqrt{Q_{+}}}{\sqrt{q^{2}}} \left((M-M')g_{1} + \frac{q^{2}}{M}g_{2} \right),$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^{A} = i\sqrt{2Q_{+}} \left(-g_{1} - \frac{M-M'}{M}g_{2} \right),$$
(12)

where $Q_{\pm} = 2(P \cdot P' \pm MM')$. The negative helicity amplitudes are given as

$$H^{V}_{-\lambda',-\lambda_{W}} = H^{V}_{\lambda',\lambda_{W}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^{A}_{-\lambda',-\lambda_{W}} = -H^{A}_{\lambda',\lambda_{W}}.$$
(13)

The helicity amplitudes for the left-handed current are obtained as

$$H_{\lambda',\lambda_W} = H^V_{\lambda',\lambda_W} - H^A_{\lambda',\lambda_W}.$$
(14)

1	\cap	
Т	U	

channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}$	${\mathcal B}$	Γ_L/Γ_T
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n e^+ \nu_e$	6.62×10^{-15}	2.01×10^{-3}	1.78
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	5.36×10^{-14}	1.63×10^{-2}	1.96
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 e^+ \nu_e$	2.79×10^{-15}	1.87×10^{-3}	1.85
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	1.22×10^{-15}	8.22×10^{-4}	1.79
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	8.03×10^{-14}	5.39×10^{-2}	1.98
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$	5.57×10^{-15}	9.47×10^{-4}	1.86
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$	7.91×10^{-14}	1.35×10^{-2}	1.98
$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^- e^+\nu_e$	2.08×10^{-15}	2.18×10^{-4}	7.94

TABLE VI: Semi-leptonic decays for charmed baryons.

The numerical results are given in Tabs. VI and VIII. Comparison to some recent works and the experimental results can be found in Tabs. VII and IX.

TABLE VII: Comparis	son with some rece	nt works for semi-leptonic	charmed decays.

channel	this work	Ref. [68]	Ref. [67]	Ref. [72]	Ref. [73]	Experiment [69]
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n e^+ \nu_e$	2.01×10^{-3}		$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$		2.07×10^{-3}	
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	1.63×10^{-2}			2.72×10^{-2}		$(3.6\pm 0.4)\times 10^{-2}$
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 e^+ \nu_e$	1.87×10^{-3}		$(0.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$			
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	8.22×10^{-4}		$(2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$			
$\Xi_c^+\to \Xi^0 e^+\nu_e$	5.39×10^{-2}	$(3.38^{+2.19}_{-2.26}) \times 10^{-2}$	$(3.0\pm 0.5)\times 10^{-2}$			
$\Xi_c^0\to \Sigma^- e^+\nu_e$	9.47×10^{-4}		$(60\pm8)\times10^{-4}$			
$\Xi_c^0\to \Xi^- e^+\nu_e$	1.35×10^{-2}	$(4.87\pm1.74)\times10^{-2}$	$(11.9\pm 1.6)\times 10^{-2}$			
$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^- e^+\nu_e$	2.18×10^{-4}					

TABLE VIII: Semi-leptonic decays for bottomed baryons.

channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}$	${\mathcal B}$	Γ_L/Γ_T
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	1.41×10^{-16}	3.14×10^{-4}	1.25
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	3.96×10^{-14}	8.83×10^{-2}	1.71
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	1.27×10^{-16}	2.83×10^{-4}	1.27
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ e^- \bar\nu_e$	3.97×10^{-14}	8.83×10^{-2}	1.70
$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 e^- \bar\nu_e$	6.37×10^{-17}	1.51×10^{-4}	1.27
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	2.29×10^{-17}	5.42×10^{-5}	1.25
$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 e^- \bar\nu_e$	3.97×10^{-14}	9.42×10^{-2}	1.70
$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 e^- \bar\nu_e$	1.18×10^{-17}	2.82×10^{-5}	1.72
$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	1.14×10^{-14}	2.72×10^{-2}	6.26

channel	this work	Ref. [72]	Ref. [73]	Experiment [69]
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	3.14×10^{-4}		2.9×10^{-4}	$(4.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+e^-\bar\nu_e$	8.83×10^{-2}	$6.9 imes 10^{-2}$		$(6.2^{+1.4}_{-1.3}) \times 10^{-2}$

TABLE IX: Comparison with some recent works for semi-leptonic bottomed decays.

D. Non-leptonic results

The decay width for the $B \to B'P$ (P denotes a pseudoscalar meson) is given as

$$\Gamma = \frac{p}{8\pi} \left(\frac{(M+M')^2 - m^2}{M^2} |A|^2 + \frac{(M-M')^2 - m^2}{M^2} |B|^2 \right), \tag{15}$$

where p is the magnitude of the three-momentum of the daughter baryon B' in the rest frame of the parent baryon B. M(M') is the mass of the parent (daughter) baryon. For $B \to B'V(A)$ (V denotes a vector meson while A denotes an axial-vector meson) decay, the decay width is

$$\Gamma = \frac{p(E'+M')}{4\pi M} \left(2(|S|^2 + |P_2|^2) + \frac{E^2}{m^2} (|S+D|^2 + |P_1|^2) \right), \tag{16}$$

where E(E') is the energy of the meson (daughter baryon) in the final state, and

$$S = -A_{1},$$

$$P_{1} = -\frac{p}{E} \left(\frac{M+M'}{E'+M'} B_{1} + B_{2} \right),$$

$$P_{2} = \frac{p}{E'+M'} B_{1},$$

$$D = -\frac{p^{2}}{E(E'+M')} (A_{1} - A_{2}).$$

 $A, B, A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$ are given as

$$A = -\lambda f_P(M - M') f_1(m^2),$$

$$B = -\lambda f_P(M + M') g_1(m^2),$$

$$A_1 = -\lambda f_V m \left[g_1(m^2) + g_2(m^2) \frac{M - M'}{M} \right],$$

$$A_2 = -2\lambda f_V m g_2(m^2),$$

$$B_1 = \lambda f_V m \left[f_1(m^2) - f_2(m^2) \frac{M + M'}{M} \right],$$

$$B_2 = 2\lambda f_V m f_2(m^2).$$
(17)

Here $\lambda = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{CKM} V_{q_1q_2}^* a_1$ with $a_1 = C_1(\mu_c) + C_2(\mu_c)/3 = 1.07$ [70], the first CKM matrix element corresponds to the process of $B \to B'$ and the second comes from the production of the meson. M(M') is the mass of the parent (daughter) baryon and m is the mass of the emitted meson. For the decay mode with an axial-vector meson involved, f_V should be replaced by $-f_A$ in the expressions of $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$ in Eq. (17).

channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}$	${\mathcal B}$	channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}$	B
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n\pi^+$	3.97×10^{-15}	1.21×10^{-3}	$\Lambda_c^+ \to n \rho^+$	1.33×10^{-14}	4.04×10^{-3}
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n a_1^+$	9.88×10^{-15}	$3.00 imes 10^{-3}$	$\Lambda_c^+ \to n K^+$	3.04×10^{-16}	9.23×10^{-5}
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n K^{*+}$	6.71×10^{-16}	2.04×10^{-4}			
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda \pi^+$	4.77×10^{-14}	1.45×10^{-2}	$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda \rho^+$	1.39×10^{-13}	4.24×10^{-2}
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda K^{*+}$	6.47×10^{-15}	1.97×10^{-3}	$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda K^+$	3.47×10^{-15}	1.05×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^+\to \Sigma^0\pi^+$	1.90×10^{-15}	1.28×10^{-3}	$\Xi_c^+\to \Sigma^0 \rho^+$	6.21×10^{-15}	4.17×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 a_1^+$	2.96×10^{-15}	1.99×10^{-3}	$\Xi_c^+\to \Sigma^0 K^+$	1.45×10^{-16}	9.74×10^{-5}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 K^{*+}$	3.08×10^{-16}	2.07×10^{-4}			
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda \pi^+$	7.09×10^{-16}	4.76×10^{-4}	$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda \rho^+$	2.41×10^{-15}	1.62×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda a_1^+$	1.91×10^{-15}	1.29×10^{-3}	$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda K^+$	5.49×10^{-17}	3.69×10^{-5}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda K^{*+}$	1.23×10^{-16}	8.24×10^{-5}			
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 \pi^+$	7.30×10^{-14}	4.91×10^{-2}	$\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 \rho^+$	2.13×10^{-13}	1.43×10^{-1}
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 K^{*+}$	9.85×10^{-15}	$6.62 imes 10^{-3}$	$\Xi_c^+\to \Xi^0 K^+$	5.33×10^{-15}	3.58×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^0\to \Sigma^-\pi^+$	3.80×10^{-15}	6.46×10^{-4}	$\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^- \rho^+$	1.24×10^{-14}	2.11×10^{-3}
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^- a_1^+$	5.85×10^{-15}	9.95×10^{-4}	$\Xi_c^0\to \Sigma^- K^+$	2.90×10^{-16}	4.93×10^{-5}
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^- K^{*+}$	6.16×10^{-16}	1.05×10^{-4}			
$\Xi_c^0\to \Xi^-\pi^+$	7.26×10^{-14}	1.24×10^{-2}	$\Xi_c^0\to\Xi^-\rho^+$	2.11×10^{-13}	3.60×10^{-2}
$\Xi_c^0\to \Xi^- K^{*+}$	9.73×10^{-15}	1.66×10^{-3}	$\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- K^+$	5.29×10^{-15}	9.01×10^{-4}
$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^-\pi^+$	1.68×10^{-15}	1.76×10^{-4}	$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^-\rho^+$	4.28×10^{-15}	4.49×10^{-4}
$\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi^- a_1^+$	2.51×10^{-15}	2.63×10^{-4}	$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^- K^+$	1.49×10^{-16}	1.57×10^{-5}
$\Omega_c^0\to \Xi^- K^{*+}$	2.03×10^{-16}	2.13×10^{-5}			

TABLE X: Nonleptonic decays for charmed baryons.

TABLE XI: Comparison with some recent works for charmed nonleptonic decays.

channel	this work	Ref. [68]	Experiment [69]
$\Lambda_c^+\to\Lambda\pi^+$	1.45×10^{-2}		$(1.30\pm 0.07)\times 10^{-2}$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda \rho^+$	4.24×10^{-2}		$< 6 \times 10^{-2}$
$\Lambda_c^+\to\Lambda K^+$	1.05×10^{-3}		$(6.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$
$\Xi_c^+\to \Xi^0\pi^+$	4.91×10^{-2}	$(8.1 \pm 4.0) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Xi_c^0\to \Xi^-\pi^+$	1.24×10^{-2}	$(15.7\pm 0.7)\times 10^{-3}$	

The masses of the mesons in the final states can be taken from Ref. [69]. The decay constants are adopted as [48, 61, 71]

$$f_{\pi} = 130.4 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{\rho} = 216 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{a_1} = 238 \text{MeV}, \quad f_K = 160 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{K^*} = 210 \text{MeV},$$

 $f_D = 207.4 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{D^*} = 220 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{D_s} = 247.2 \text{MeV}, \quad f_{D_s^*} = 247.2 \text{MeV}.$ (18)

The numerical results are given in Tabs. X, XII and XIII. Comparison with some recent works and the experimental results can be found in Tab. XI.

TABLE XII: Nonleptonic decays for Λ_b and Ξ_b .

channels	$\Gamma/{ m GeV}$	B	channels	$\Gamma/{ m GeV}$	B
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^-$	3.99×10^{-18}	8.90×10^{-6}	$\Lambda_b^0 \to p \rho^-$	1.17×10^{-17}	2.61×10^{-5}
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p a_1^-$	1.56×10^{-17}	3.48×10^{-5}	$\Lambda_b^0 \to p K^-$	3.22×10^{-19}	7.18×10^{-7}
$\Lambda^0_b \to p K^{*-}$	6.02×10^{-19}	1.34×10^{-6}	$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D^-$	5.76×10^{-19}	1.28×10^{-6}
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D^{*-}$	8.95×10^{-19}	1.99×10^{-6}	$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D_s^-$	1.54×10^{-17}	3.44×10^{-5}
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D_s^{*-}$	2.19×10^{-17}	4.88×10^{-5}			
$\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$	3.83×10^{-15}	8.53×10^{-3}	$\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+\rho^-$	1.09×10^{-14}	2.44×10^{-2}
$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_ca^1$	1.40×10^{-14}	3.12×10^{-2}	$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c K^-$	3.04×10^{-16}	$6.78 imes 10^{-4}$
$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c K^{*-}$	5.59×10^{-16}	1.24×10^{-3}	$\Lambda_b^0\to\Lambda_c^+D^-$	4.26×10^{-16}	9.49×10^{-4}
$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_cD^{*-}$	6.90×10^{-16}	1.54×10^{-3}	$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c D^s$	1.10×10^{-14}	2.46×10^{-2}
$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c D^{*-}_s$	1.64×10^{-14}	3.65×10^{-2}			
$\Xi_b^0\to \Sigma^+\pi^-$	3.55×10^{-18}	7.91×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^0\to \Sigma^+\rho^-$	1.05×10^{-17}	2.33×10^{-5}
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ a_1^-$	1.41×10^{-17}	3.13×10^{-5}	$\Xi_b^0\to \Sigma^+ K^-$	2.87×10^{-19}	6.40×10^{-7}
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ K^{*-}$	5.39×10^{-19}	1.20×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ D^-$	5.30×10^{-19}	1.18×10^{-6}
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ D^{*-}$	8.23×10^{-19}	1.83×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ D_s^-$	1.42×10^{-17}	3.17×10^{-5}
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ D_s^{*-}$	2.02×10^{-17}	4.50×10^{-5}			
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+\pi^-$	3.76×10^{-15}	8.37×10^{-3}	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ \rho^-$	1.08×10^{-14}	2.40×10^{-2}
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ a_1^-$	1.38×10^{-14}	3.08×10^{-2}	$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ K^-$	3.00×10^{-16}	$6.67 imes 10^{-4}$
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ K^{*-}$	5.51×10^{-16}	1.23×10^{-3}	$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ D^-$	4.26×10^{-16}	$9.49 imes 10^{-4}$
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D^{*-}$	6.90×10^{-16}	1.54×10^{-3}	$\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ D_s^-$	1.11×10^{-14}	2.46×10^{-2}
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ D_s^{*-}$	1.64×10^{-14}	3.65×10^{-2}			
$\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 \pi^-$	1.78×10^{-18}	4.22×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 \rho^-$	5.23×10^{-18}	1.24×10^{-5}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 a_1^-$	7.03×10^{-18}	1.67×10^{-5}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 K^-$	1.44×10^{-19}	$3.41 imes 10^{-7}$
$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 K^{*-}$	2.70×10^{-19}	6.40×10^{-7}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 D^-$	2.65×10^{-19}	$6.29 imes 10^{-7}$
$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 D^{*-}$	4.12×10^{-19}	9.76×10^{-7}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Sigma^0 D_s^-$	7.13×10^{-18}	1.69×10^{-5}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 D_s^{*-}$	1.01×10^{-17}	2.40×10^{-5}			
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda \pi^-$	6.03×10^{-19}	1.43×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda \rho^-$	1.77×10^{-18}	4.21×10^{-6}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda a_1^-$	2.39×10^{-18}	5.66×10^{-6}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda K^-$	4.88×10^{-20}	1.16×10^{-7}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda K^{*-}$	9.15×10^{-20}	2.17×10^{-7}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda D^-$	9.02×10^{-20}	2.14×10^{-7}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda D^{*-}$	1.40×10^{-19}	3.32×10^{-7}	$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda D_s^-$	2.43×10^{-18}	5.75×10^{-6}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda D_s^{*-}$	3.44×10^{-18}	8.16×10^{-6}			
$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0\pi^-$	3.76×10^{-15}	8.93×10^{-3}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 \rho^-$	1.08×10^{-14}	2.56×10^{-2}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 a_1^-$	1.38×10^{-14}	3.28×10^{-2}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 K^-$	3.00×10^{-16}	7.11×10^{-4}
$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 K^{*-}$	5.51×10^{-16}	$1.31 imes 10^{-3}$	$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 D^-$	4.27×10^{-16}	$1.01 imes 10^{-3}$
$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 D^{*-}$	6.91×10^{-16}	1.64×10^{-3}	$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 D_s^-$	1.11×10^{-14}	2.62×10^{-2}
$\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 D_s^{*-}$	1.64×10^{-14}	3.90×10^{-2}			

		1	÷		
channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}$	\mathcal{B}	channels	$\Gamma/{ m GeV}$	B
$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0\pi^-$	6.05×10^{-19}	1.44×10^{-6}	$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 \rho^-$	1.73×10^{-18}	4.13×10^{-6}
$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 a_1^-$	2.23×10^{-18}	5.33×10^{-6}	$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 K^-$	5.00×10^{-20}	1.19×10^{-7}
$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 K^{*-}$	8.86×10^{-20}	2.11×10^{-7}	$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 D^-$	1.21×10^{-19}	2.88×10^{-7}
$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 D^{*-}$	1.13×10^{-19}	2.69×10^{-7}	$\Omega_b^-\to \Xi^0 D_s^-$	3.32×10^{-18}	7.91×10^{-6}
$\Omega_b^- \to \Xi^0 D_s^{*-}$	2.68×10^{-18}	6.40×10^{-6}			
$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0 \pi^-$	1.68×10^{-15}	4.00×10^{-3}	$\Omega_b^-\to\Omega_c^0\rho^-$	4.54×10^{-15}	1.08×10^{-2}
$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0 a_1^-$	5.37×10^{-15}	1.28×10^{-2}	$\Omega_b^-\to\Omega_c^0K^-$	1.36×10^{-16}	$3.26 imes 10^{-4}$
$\Omega_b^-\to \Omega_c^0 K^{*-}$	2.28×10^{-16}	5.44×10^{-4}	$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0 D^-$	2.66×10^{-16}	6.36×10^{-4}
$\Omega_b^-\to \Omega_c^0 D^{*-}$	2.14×10^{-16}	$5.11 imes 10^{-4}$	$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0 D_s^-$	7.17×10^{-15}	1.71×10^{-2}
$\Omega_b^-\to \Omega_c^0 D_s^{*-}$	4.90×10^{-15}	1.17×10^{-2}			

TABLE XIII: Nonleptonic decays for Ω_b .

E. SU(3) analysis

From the overlapping factors above, we would expect the following relations

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \to ne^{+}\nu_{e})/(\frac{1}{2}|V_{cd}|^{2}) = \Gamma(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \to \Lambda e^{+}\nu_{e})/(\frac{1}{3}|V_{cs}|^{2})$$

$$= \Gamma(\Xi_{c}^{+} \to \Sigma^{0}e^{+}\nu_{e})/(\frac{1}{4}|V_{cd}|^{2}) = \Gamma(\Xi_{c}^{+} \to \Lambda e^{+}\nu_{e})/(\frac{1}{12}|V_{cd}|^{2}) = \Gamma(\Xi_{c}^{+} \to \Xi^{0}e^{+}\nu_{e})/(\frac{1}{2}|V_{cs}|^{2})$$

$$= \Gamma(\Xi_{c}^{0} \to \Sigma^{-}e^{+}\nu_{e})/(|V_{cd}|^{2}) = \Gamma(\Xi_{c}^{0} \to \Xi^{-}e^{+}\nu_{e})/(|V_{cs}|^{2})$$
(19)

for c-baryon sector and

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \to p e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / (\frac{1}{2}) = \Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \to \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / (\frac{1}{2}) = \Gamma(\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / (\frac{1}{4}) = \Gamma(\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e) / (\frac{1}{12}),$$

$$\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e) = \Gamma(\Xi_b^0 \to \Xi_c^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e) = \Gamma(\Xi_b^- \to \Xi_c^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$$
(20)

for b-baryon sector, if the flavor SU(3) symmetry is respected. These relations for charmed case are consistent with the corresponding ones in Ref. [67], while the ones of bottomed case are firstly derived in this work.

In the following, we will investigate the SU(3) symmetry breaking effects. The corresponding results are collected in Tabs. XIV and XV. Take $\Lambda_c^+ \to n e^+ \nu_e$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$ as examples. we can see that:

- If we have considered the differences of CKM and overlappings but take all the other parameters as the same, we get the precise SU(3) symmetry prediction $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \to ne^+\nu_e)/(\frac{1}{2}|V_{cd}|^2) = \Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+\nu_e)/(\frac{1}{3}|V_{cs}|^2)$. This prediction is also obtained in Refs. [66, 67].
- If we consider only the difference of daughter baryon mass but take all the other parameters as the same, we get a result of 0.538. It means that SU(3) symmetry is broken by about 50% between these two modes. The more accurate number is 35% (see Tab. XIV), if we have also considered all the other relevant parameters.

channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}~({\rm LFQM})$	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}~({\rm SU}(3))$	$ \mathrm{LFQM}-\mathrm{SU}(3) /\mathrm{SU}(3)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \to n e^+ \nu_e$	6.62×10^{-15}	6.62×10^{-15}	
$\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	5.36×10^{-14}	8.27×10^{-14}	35%
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Sigma^0 e^+ \nu_e$	2.79×10^{-15}	3.31×10^{-15}	16%
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$	1.22×10^{-15}	1.10×10^{-15}	11%
$\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	8.03×10^{-14}	1.24×10^{-13}	35%
$\Xi_c^0 \to \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$	5.57×10^{-15}	6.62×10^{-15}	16%
$\Xi_c^0\to \Xi^- e^+\nu_e$	7.91×10^{-14}	1.24×10^{-13}	36%

TABLE XIV: Quantitative predictions of SU(3) breaking for charmed semi-leptonic decays.

TABLE XV: Same as Tab. XIV but for bottomed case.

channels	$\Gamma/{\rm GeV}~({\rm LFQM})$	$\Gamma/{ m GeV}$ (SU(3))	$ \mathrm{LFQM}-\mathrm{SU}(3) /\mathrm{SU}(3)$
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	1.41×10^{-16}	1.41×10^{-16}	
$\Xi_b^0\to \Sigma^+ e^- \bar\nu_e$	1.27×10^{-16}	1.41×10^{-16}	9.9%
$\Xi_b^- \to \Sigma^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	6.37×10^{-17}	7.05×10^{-17}	9.6%
$\Xi_b^- \to \Lambda e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	2.29×10^{-17}	2.35×10^{-17}	2.6%
$\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c e^-\bar\nu_e$	3.96×10^{-14}	3.96×10^{-14}	
$\Xi_b^0\to \Xi_c^+ e^- \bar\nu_e$	3.97×10^{-14}	3.96×10^{-14}	0.25%
$\Xi_b^-\to \Xi_c^0 e^- \bar\nu_e$	3.97×10^{-14}	3.96×10^{-14}	0.25%

We can see from Tabs. XIV and XV:

- The SU(3) symmetry breaking is sizable for c-baryon decays while it is small for the b-baryon decays. This can be understood due to a much smaller phase space in c-baryon decays, and thus the result is sensitive to the mass deviation of the baryons in the initial and final states.
- SU(3) symmetry is broken more severely in the c → s processes than in the c → d processes because of the larger mass of s quark than u and d quarks. The typical value of SU(3) symmetry breaking for c → s processes is 35% while that for c → d processes is 15%.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have calculated the transition form factors of the singly heavy baryons using the light-front approach under the diquark picture. Then these form factors are used to predict semileptonic and nonleptonic decays of singly heavy baryons. Most of our results are comparable to the available experimental data and other theoretical results. We have also derived the overlapping factors that can be used to reproduce the SU(3) predictions on these decays. Using the calculated form factors, we pointed out that the SU(3) symmetry breaking is sizable in the charmed baryon decays while in the bottomed case, the SU(3) symmetry breaking is small.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Prof. Wei Wang for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.11575110, 11655002, 11735010, Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai under Grant No. 15DZ2272100.

Appendix A: Wave fuctions in initial and final states

1. Wavefunctions in the standard flavor-spin basis

The wave functions of singly heavy baryons in the representation $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{\overline{3}}$ in the standard flavor-spin basis are given as follows.

For \mathcal{B}_{cqq} ($\Sigma_c^{++,0}$ and Ω_c^0),

$$|\mathcal{B}_{cqq},\uparrow\rangle = (qqc) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow+\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow-2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)\right)$$
(A1)

q = u, d, s for $\Sigma_c^{++,0}$ and Ω_c^0 , respectively. For $\mathcal{B}_{cq_1q_2}^{\mathbf{6}}$ (Σ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{\prime+,\prime 0}$),

$$|\mathcal{B}^{\mathbf{6}}_{cq_1q_2},\uparrow\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_1q_2 + q_2q_1)c\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow -2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)\right)$$
(A2)

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (u, s), (d, s)$ for Σ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{\prime+,\prime 0}$, respectively. For $\mathcal{B}^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{cq_1q_2}$ (Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+,0}$),

$$|\mathcal{B}_{cq_1q_2}^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}},\uparrow\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(ud - du)c\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)\right) \tag{A3}$$

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (u, s), (d, s)$ for Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+,0}$, respectively.

For the b sector, we have similar expressions.

The wave functions of baryon octet in the standard flavor-spin basis are given as follows. For $\mathcal{B}_{q_1q_1q_2}$ $(p, n, \Sigma^{+,-}, \Xi^{0,-})$,

$$|\mathcal{B}_{q_1q_1q_2},\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Biggl\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (q_1q_2q_1 + q_2q_1q_1 - 2q_1q_1q_2) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - 2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow) \right) \\ + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (q_1q_2q_1 - q_2q_1q_1) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow) \right) \Biggr\},$$
(A4)

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (d, u), (u, s)(d, s), (s, u), (s, d)$ for $p, n, \Sigma^{+,-}, \Xi^{0,-}$, respectively.

For Σ^0 and Λ ,

$$\begin{split} |\Sigma^{0},\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Biggl\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (sdu + dsu + sud + usd - 2dus - 2uds) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - 2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} (-sdu + dsu - sud + usd) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow) \right) \Biggr\}, \end{split}$$
(A5)
$$\begin{split} |\Lambda,\uparrow\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Biggl\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} (sdu + dsu - sud - usd) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow + \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow - 2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (sdu - dsu - sud + usd - 2dus + 2uds) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow) \right) \Biggr\}. \end{aligned}$$
(A6)

2. Wavefunctions in the diquark basis

For the coupling of two angular momenta $j_1 = 1$ and $j_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we know that

$$|J = \frac{1}{2}, M = \frac{1}{2}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|m_1 = 1, m_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|m_1 = 0, m_2 = \frac{1}{2}\rangle.$$

So, we recognize that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}q_1 \downarrow (q_2 q_3)_{11} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}q_1 \uparrow (q_2 q_3)_{10} = |q_1(q_2 q_3)_A, \uparrow\rangle,$$

where, $(q_2q_3)_{11} = (q_2q_3)(\uparrow\uparrow)$ and $(q_2q_3)_{10} = (q_2q_3)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow)\right)$.

Go a step further, we can arrive at

$$q_1q_2q_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow-\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow)\right) = -\frac{1}{2}(q_1\uparrow(q_2q_3)_S) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|q_1(q_2q_3)_A,\uparrow\rangle,$$

where $(q_2q_3)_S = (q_2q_3)_{00} = (q_2q_3)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow)\right).$

In the same way, we can also prove that:

$$q_1q_2q_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow+\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow-2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(q_1\uparrow(q_2q_3)_S) + \frac{1}{2}|q_1(q_2q_3)_A,\uparrow\rangle.$$

The wave functions of singly heavy baryons in the presentation $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{\overline{3}}$ in the diquark basis are given as follows.

For \mathcal{B}_{cqq} ($\Sigma_c^{++,0}$ and Ω_c^0),

$$\mathcal{B}_{cqq} = -c(qq)_A,\tag{A7}$$

 $q = u, d, s \text{ for } \Sigma_c^{++,0} \text{ and } \Omega_c^0$, respectively. For $\mathcal{B}_{cq_1q_2}^A$ (Σ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{\prime+,\prime 0}$),

$$\mathcal{B}^{A}_{cq_{1}q_{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-c(q_{1}q_{2})_{A} - c(q_{2}q_{1})_{A}), \tag{A8}$$

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (u, s), (d, s) \text{ for } \Sigma_c^+ \text{ and } \Xi_c'^{+, \prime 0}, \text{ respectively.}$ For $\mathcal{B}_{cq_1q_2}^S$ (Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+, 0}$),

$$\mathcal{B}_{cq_1q_2}^S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c(q_1q_2)_S - c(q_2q_1)_S), \tag{A9}$$

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (u, s), (d, s)$ for Λ_c^+ and $\Xi_c^{+,0}$, respectively.

For the b sector, we have the similar expressions.

The wave functions of baryon octet in the diquark basis are given as follows.

For $\mathcal{B}_{q_1q_1q_2}$ $(p, n, \Sigma^{+,-} \text{ and } \Xi^{0,-}),$

$$\mathcal{B}_{q_1q_1q_2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q_1(q_1q_2)_S + \frac{1}{2} q_1(q_1q_2)_A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q_1(q_2q_1)_S + \frac{1}{2} q_1(q_2q_1)_A - q_2(q_1q_1)_A \right),$$
(A10)

 $(q_1, q_2) = (u, d), (d, u), (u, s)(d, s), (s, u), (s, d)$ for $p, n, \Sigma^{+,-}, \Xi^{0,-}$, respectively. For Σ^0 and Λ ,

$$\Sigma^{0} = -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{3}d(su)_{A} + \sqrt{3}d(us)_{A} + 3d(su)_{S} - 3d(us)_{S} - 2\sqrt{3}s(du)_{A} - 2\sqrt{3}s(ud)_{A} + \sqrt{3}u(ds)_{A} + \sqrt{3}u(sd)_{A} - 3u(ds)_{S} + 3u(sd)_{S});$$
(A11)

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} (3d(su)_{A} + 3d(us)_{A} - \sqrt{3}d(su)_{S} + \sqrt{3}d(us)_{S} - 2\sqrt{3}s(du)_{S} + 2\sqrt{3}s(ud)_{S} - 3u(ds)_{A} - 3u(sd)_{A} - \sqrt{3}u(ds)_{S} + \sqrt{3}u(sd)_{S}).$$
(A12)

- R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, no. 11, 112001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.112001 [arXiv:1707.01621 [hep-ex]].
- [2] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 3, 031501 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.031501 [arXiv:1707.01779 [hep-ph]].
- [3] F. S. Yu, H. Y. Jiang, R. H. Li, C. D. L, W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, arXiv:1703.09086 [hep-ph].
- W. Wang, F. S. Yu and Z. X. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, no. 11, 781 (2017) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5360-1 [arXiv:1707.02834 [hep-ph]].
- [5] H. S. Li, L. Meng, Z. W. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 7, 076011 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.076011 [arXiv:1707.02765 [hep-ph]].
- [6] L. Meng, N. Li and S. l. Zhu, arXiv:1707.03598 [hep-ph].
- W. Wang, Z. P. Xing and J. Xu, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, no. 11, 800 (2017) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5363-y [arXiv:1707.06570 [hep-ph]].
- [8] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, no. 20, 202001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.202001 [arXiv:1707.07666 [hep-ph]].
- T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Krner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 5, 054013 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054013 [arXiv:1708.00703 [hep-ph]].
- [10] H. S. Li, L. Meng, Z. W. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1708.03620 [hep-ph].

- [11] Z. H. Guo, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 7, 074004 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.074004 [arXiv:1708.04145 [hep-ph]].
- [12] Q. F. L, K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, arXiv:1708.04468 [hep-ph].
- [13] L. Y. Xiao, K. L. Wang, Q. f. Lu, X. H. Zhong and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 9, 094005 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094005 [arXiv:1708.04384 [hep-ph]].
- [14] N. Sharma and R. Dhir, arXiv:1709.08217 [hep-ph].
- [15] Y. L. Ma and M. Harada, arXiv:1709.09746 [hep-ph].
- [16] L. Meng, H. S. Li, Z. W. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1710.08283 [hep-ph].
- [17] R. H. Li, C. D. L, W. Wang, F. S. Yu and Z. T. Zou, Phys. Lett. B 767, 232 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.02.003 [arXiv:1701.03284 [hep-ph]].
- [18] Y. J. Shi, W. Wang, Y. Xing and J. Xu, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, no. 1, 56 (2018) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5532-7 [arXiv:1712.03830 [hep-ph]].
- [19] X. H. Hu, Y. L. Shen, W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, arXiv:1711.10289 [hep-ph].
- [20] W. Wang, and J. Xu, arXiv:1803.01476 [hep-ph].
- [21] C. Y. Wang, C. Meng, Y. Q. Ma and K. T. Chao, arXiv:1708.04563 [hep-ph].
- [22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no. 11, 112001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.112001 [arXiv:1611.02797 [hep-ex]].
- [23] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **767**, 42 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.01.047 [arXiv:1611.04382 [hep-ex]].
- [24] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 22, 221805 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.221805 [arXiv:1510.02610 [hep-ex]].
- [25] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 5, 052001 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.052001 [arXiv:1511.08380 [hep-ex]].
- [26] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 11, 111102 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.111102 [arXiv:1702.05279 [hep-ex]].
- [27] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **772**, 388 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2017.06.065 [arXiv:1705.11109 [hep-ex]].
- [28] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], [arXiv:1712.07938 [hep-ex]].
- [29] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1712.07051 [hep-ex].
- [30] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], JHEP **1802**, 098 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)098
 [arXiv:1711.05490 [hep-ex]].
- [31] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1711.01157 [hep-ex].
- [32] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 96, no. 11, 112005 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.112005 [arXiv:1709.01920 [hep-ex]].
- [33] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, no. 6, 062001 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.062001 [arXiv:1704.07900 [hep-ex]].
- [34] F. Halzen and A. D. Martin, New York, Usa: Wiley (1984) 396p
- [35] H. Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D 46, 1042 (1992) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 55, 1697 (1997)].
 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1697, 10.1103/PhysRevD.46.1042
- [36] M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 89, no. 3, 037501 (2014) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 11, 119902 (2015)] doi:10.1103/physrevd.91.119902, 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.037501 [arXiv:1312.5730 [hep-ph]].
- [37] X. G. He and G. N. Li, Phys. Lett. B 750, 82 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.08.048

[arXiv:1501.00646 [hep-ph]].

- [38] M. J. Savage and R. P. Springer, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1527 (1990). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.42.1527
- [39] R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, no. 11, 628 (2016) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4492-z [arXiv:1610.00957 [hep-ph]].
- [40] H. Y. Cheng, X. W. Kang and F. Xu, arXiv:1801.08625 [hep-ph].
- [41] C. F. Li, Y. L. Liu, K. Liu, C. Y. Cui and M. Q. Huang, J. Phys. G 44, no. 7, 075006 (2017) doi:10.1088/1361-6471/aa68f1 [arXiv:1610.05418 [hep-ph]].
- [42] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, T. M. Yan and H. L. Yu, JHEP 1603, 028 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)028 [arXiv:1512.01276 [hep-ph]].
- [43] J. Zhu, H. W. Ke and Z. T. Wei, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, no. 5, 284 (2016) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4134-5 [arXiv:1603.02800 [hep-ph]].
- [44] W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. D 60, 054026 (1999). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.60.054026
- [45] W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. D 41, 3394 (1990). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.41.3394
- [46] W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. D 44, 2851 (1991). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.44.2851
- [47] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung and C. W. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D 55, 1559 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.55.1559 [hep-ph/9607332].
- [48] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and C. W. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074025 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.074025 [hep-ph/0310359].
- [49] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 69, 094007 (2004) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 81, 059901 (2010)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.094007, 10.1103/PhysRevD.81.059901 [hep-ph/0401141].
- [50] H. W. Ke, X. Q. Li and Z. T. Wei, Phys. Rev. D 80, 074030 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.074030 [arXiv:0907.5465 [hep-ph]].
- [51] H. W. Ke, X. Q. Li and Z. T. Wei, Eur. Phys. J. C 69, 133 (2010) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1383-6 [arXiv:0912.4094 [hep-ph]].
- [52] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 81, 114006 (2010) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 82, 059904 (2010)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.81.114006, 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.059904 [arXiv:0909.4627 [hep-ph]].
- [53] C. D. Lu, W. Wang and Z. T. Wei, Phys. Rev. D 76, 014013 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.014013 [hep-ph/0701265 [HEP-PH]].
- [54] W. Wang, Y. L. Shen and C. D. Lu, Eur. Phys. J. C 51, 841 (2007) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0334-3 [arXiv:0704.2493 [hep-ph]].
- [55] W. Wang, Y. L. Shen and C. D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 79, 054012 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.054012 [arXiv:0811.3748 [hep-ph]].
- [56] W. Wang and Y. L. Shen, Phys. Rev. D 78, 054002 (2008). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.054002
- [57] X. X. Wang, W. Wang and C. D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 79, 114018 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.114018 [arXiv:0901.1934 [hep-ph]].
- [58] C. H. Chen, Y. L. Shen and W. Wang, Phys. Lett. B 686, 118 (2010) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2010.02.056 [arXiv:0911.2875 [hep-ph]].
- [59] G. Li, F. l. Shao and W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 82, 094031 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.094031 [arXiv:1008.3696 [hep-ph]].
- [60] R. C. Verma, J. Phys. G 39, 025005 (2012) doi:10.1088/0954-3899/39/2/025005 [arXiv:1103.2973 [hep-ph]].
- [61] Y. J. Shi, W. Wang and Z. X. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, no. 10, 555 (2016) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4405-1 [arXiv:1607.00622 [hep-ph]].

- [62] H. W. Ke, X. Q. Li and Z. T. Wei, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014020 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.014020 [arXiv:0710.1927 [hep-ph]].
- [63] Z. T. Wei, H. W. Ke and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. D 80, 094016 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.094016 [arXiv:0909.0100 [hep-ph]].
- [64] H. W. Ke, X. H. Yuan, X. Q. Li, Z. T. Wei and Y. X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 86, 114005 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.114005 [arXiv:1207.3477 [hep-ph]].
- [65] J. Zhu, Z. T. Wei and H. W. Ke, arXiv:1803.01297 [hep-ph].
- [66] C. D. L, W. Wang and F. S. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 5, 056008 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.056008 [arXiv:1601.04241 [hep-ph]].
- [67] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao, C. W. Liu and T. H. Tsai, JHEP **1711**, 147 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2017)147 [arXiv:1709.00808 [hep-ph]].
- [68] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao, C. W. Liu and T. H. Tsai, arXiv:1801.03276 [hep-ph].
- [69] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C 40, no. 10, 100001 (2016). doi:10.1088/1674-1137/40/10/100001
- [70] H. n. Li, C. D. Lu and F. S. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 86, 036012 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.036012 [arXiv:1203.3120 [hep-ph]].
- [71] N. Carrasco *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 5, 054507 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.054507
 [arXiv:1411.7908 [hep-lat]].
- [72] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Krner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, P. Santorelli and N. Habyl, Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 7, 074001 (2015) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 11, 119907 (2015)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.074001, 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.119907 [arXiv:1502.04864 [hep-ph]].
- [73] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Krner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and P. Santorelli, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 11, 114033 (2014) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D 94, no. 5, 059902 (2016)] doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.114033, 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.059902 [arXiv:1410.6043 [hep-ph]].