Possible scheme for observing acceleration (Unruh) radiation

A. C. Melissinos

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627-0171, USA

1 Introduction

What is acceleration radiation and why is it interesting to observe it?

Following Hawking's papers on the evaporation of black holes [1], it was soon realized that by the correspondence principle, something analogous must hold for an accelerated observer. Unruh and Davies [2, 3] pointed out that an accelerated observer in his own frame of reference sees an altered vacuum, and this should lead to a virtual thermal radiation spectrum at temperature

$$T = \frac{\hbar\alpha}{2\pi ck_B} \tag{1}$$

where α is the *proper* acceleration of the observer.

In principle there is no new Physics, except that since acceleration is equivalent to a gravitational field, it was speculated that observation of acceleration radiation could elucidate issues in gravitation and in particular the crossing of a black hole horizon. Forty years after the Unruh publication there has been no explicit (experimental) observation of acceleration radiation in spite of many proposals [4, 5], and analogies to other systems where shock waves appear [6]. One concludes that acceleration radiation is nothing new, yet it would be interesting to observe it in the electromagnetic domain. The difficulty is that the radiation is very weak, and as Eq(1) shows, a temperature of 1 K is reached for an acceleration $\alpha = 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ m/s}^2 \approx 3 \times 10^{19} \text{g}_{\odot}$. Furthermore, accelerated electric charges radiate profusely and this can mask the acceleration radiation. For instance, for an electric charge in a circular orbit, the acceleration radiation becomes equal to the Larmor (synchrotron) radiation for acceleration $\alpha \approx 3 \times 10^{31} \text{ m/s}^2$; this corresponds to $T \approx 10^{11} \text{ K}$.

Linear acceleration of the order mentioned above is difficult to generate, while high acceleration is reached in circular motion especially of relativistic particles. Another difference is that (constant) linear acceleration leads to hyperbolic motion [7], which lies outside the light cone and is confined within its own cone (or "Rindler" wedge). This raises the question whether acceleration radiation is restricted to linear acceleration or is also present for circular motion. A careful analysis of the polarization of accelerated electrons in the LEP ring [8] failed to show exact agreement with the temperature predicted by the acceleration radiation, but instead agrees exactly with the QED prediction [9].

The "Unruh" photons are, of course, virtual [10]. To detect them we must indirectly observe an effect that is dependent on the presence of the thermal bath; this is the idea proposed in [8], and by Rogers [4]. An alternate approach is to consider the scattering of the virtual (Unruh) photons by energetic electrons, by which process the photons are placed on the mass shell and can be directly detected. Such conditions can be realized in a free-electron-laser and we consider the case of the LCLS (Linac Coherent Light Source) at Stanford [11, 12]. An important aspect of the presently operating FEL's is that the electron beam becomes microbunched. This enhances the signal of the scattered Unruh photons, which nevertheless is difficult to detect due to the copious spontaneous radiation in the same energy range.

2 LCLS parameters

We use the specifications from the 1998 LCLS study [11] and the 2010 first lasing report [12]. For the beam energy we use

E = 14 GeV

and for the undulator mean field

B = 1.4 T

These values lead to proper acceleration

$$\alpha = \frac{e}{m} B\gamma\beta c = 2.1 \times 10^{24} \text{ m/s}^2 \tag{2}$$

and thus to an "Unruh temperature"

T = 8,560 K or $k_B T = 0.74 \text{ eV}$

The virtual (Unruh) photon number density, per unit energy, in the electron rest frame is

$$\frac{dn}{\hbar d\omega} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 (\hbar c)^3} \frac{(\hbar \omega)^2}{e^{\hbar \omega / k_b T} - 1}$$
(3)

The virtual photons are distributed isotropically in the electron rest frame and scatter off the (comoving) electrons in the beam. This changes their angular orientation (which remains isotropic), but not their energy; virtual photons that have scattered off the beam are now real, isotropically distributed and in the electron rest frame have an energy distribution given by the Planck law of Eq(3), where for the scattering probability we use the Thomson cross section, $\sigma_T = (8\pi/3)r_0^2$. In the lab frame the photon energy is

$$E = \overline{\gamma}\omega_0(1 + \overline{\beta}\cos\theta) \tag{4}$$

with $\overline{\gamma}$ the Lorentz factor of the electron's *forward* motion and θ is the direction of the photon $(\theta = 0 \text{ in the forward direction})$ in the electron rest frame. We can safely set $\overline{\beta} = 1$. In Eq(4) $\overline{\gamma}$ differs from $\gamma = E/mc^2$ by the so-called K-factor that depends on the strength of the undulator, and for the LCLS, $K = (e/m)(B\lambda_0/c) = 3.71$. We have

$$\overline{\gamma} = \gamma / \sqrt{1 + K^2 / 2}$$

. For the LCLS parameters, the scattered (Unruh) photon spectrum in the lab frame is shown in Fig.1 and peaks at ~ 10.4 keV.

To calculate the rate of scattered photons we use the following parameters from the LCLS report [11]

Number of bunches per second $n_b = 120/$ s. Number of microbunches per bunch $n_{\mu b} = 60$. Electrons/bunch (1 nC in each bunch)¹ $N_b = 6 \times 10^9$. Electrons/microbunch $N_{\mu b} = 10^8$. Undulator length $L_u = 10^4$ cm. Forward Lorentz factor $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma/2.81 \approx 10^4$.

Due to the well known FEL instability [13] the electron current is microbunched and at half saturation the microbunch length (in the lab frame) is $\Delta t_L^{\mu b} \approx 0.2$ fs = 10^{-16} s. The cloud of virtual photons in the electron rest frame persists for a time interval

$$\Delta t_B^v = \hbar/\delta E \approx 6 \times 10^{-16} (\text{eV})/0.7 (\text{eV}) \approx 1 \text{ fs.}$$

This is to be compared with the duration of the microbunch passage as seen in the electron rest frame $\Delta t_R^{\mu b} = \overline{\gamma} \Delta t_L^{\mu b} \approx 2 \times 10^{-12}$ s. Thus only a fraction

$$R = \Delta t^v / \Delta t^{\mu b} \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$$

of the electrons in the microbunch will scatter coherently from the Unruh photons². The scattering rate for Unruh photons in a single microbunch is $(RN_{\mu b})^2$ times that for a single electron.

In transforming the scattered photon spectrum (i.e. the distribution in energy), we pick the (rest frame) emission angle randomly and integrate over all events in a given lab energy bin. Of course the lab angle and energy are correlated, but due to the Lorentz boost almost all photons are contained in a forward cone of angular range $\Theta \sim 1/\overline{\gamma}$. The resulting spectrum in the lab frame is shown in Fig.1 for the LCLS parameters specified above. The peak of the distribution is at $E_L = 10.4$ keV, and the Unruh photon rate at the peak is

$$dn/dE_L = 6 \times 10^4 \text{ photons/s/keV.}$$
(5)

The peak of the Unruh radiation lies above the end point of the first harmonic (8.3 keV). The spontaneous emission in this energy range comes from all higher harmonics. In Fig.2 we show the contribution from the second harmonic as calculated according to [14], for a bandwith of .03% (adopted in [11]), so that at E = 10.4 keV,

$$dn/dE|_{spont} = 2 \times 10^{10} \text{ photons/s/}(3 \times 10^{-4}E) \approx 7 \times 10^{12} \text{ photons/s/keV}.$$
 (6)

This rate is eight orders of magnitude higher than the peak Unruh rate precluding the direct identification of the contribution of the Unruh radiation.

I thank Profs. K.T. McDonald and David Reis for pointing out an error in the first version of this note, and for extended discussions.

¹This assignment leads to an average current of 120 nA, instead of the quoted average current of 72 nA.

²Assuming full transverse coherence.

References

- S.W. Hawking, Nature (London) 248, 30 (1974), and Commun. Math. Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [2] W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D14, 870 (1976)
- [3] P.C.W. Davies, J. Phys. A 8, 609 (1975).
- [4] J. Rogers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2113 (1988); P. Chen and T. Tajima, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 256 (1999).
- [5] L.C.B. Crispino et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 787 (2008); G. Cozzella et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 161102 (2017), arXiv:1701.03446v2 [gr-qc].
- [6] See for instance H.C. Rosu arXiv:9406012v6 [gr-qc].
- [7] W. Rindler, Essential Relativity (Van Nostrand, New York, 1969).
- [8] J.S. Bell and J.M. Leinaas, Nucl. Phys. **B212**, 131 (1983).
- [9] J.D. Jackson, "On effective Temperature and electron spin polarization in storage rings", in Quantum Aspects of Beam Physics (p.622), Pisin Chen Editor, (World Scientific, 1999).
- [10] J.F. Donoghue and B. Holstein, Am. J. Phys. **52**, 730 (1984).
- [11] Linac Coherent Light Source design Study Report SLAC-R-521, Dec.1998.
- [12] http://inspirehep.net/record/1378993/ First Lasing of the LCLS X-Ray FEL at 1.5 A, P. Emma, last modified 2017-09-04.
- [13] R. Bonifacio, C. Pellegrini and L. Narducci, Opt. Commun. 50(6), 1985.
- [14] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975), 3rd ed.



Figure 1: Spectrum of scattered Unruh photons as seen in the lab frame. Some of the virtual Unruh photons present in the electron rest frame scatter from the beam electrons and become real.



Figure 2: The spontaneous radiation spectrum at the LCLS, in the region of the peak of the Unruh spectrum. The contribution of the 2nd harmonic is shown.