

Spectroscopy of Ω_{ccb} baryon in Hypercentral Quark Model

Zalak Shah¹⁾ Ajay Kumar Rai²⁾

Department of Applied Physics, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Surat, Gujarat, India-395007

Abstract: We extract the mass spectra of triply heavy baryon Ω_{ccb} using Hypercentral constituent quark model. The first order correction is also added to the potential term of Hamiltonian. The radial and orbital excited state masses are also determined. Moreover, the Regge trajectories and magnetic moments are also given for this baryon.

Key words: Baryons, Potential Model, Regge trajectories

PACS: 12.39.pn, 12.40.Yx, 14.20.-c

1 Introduction

Last year, the affirmation of particles have been found in heavy hadron sector. LHCb has determined the five excited states of Ω_c baryon and the ground state of Ξ_{cc}^{++} baryon [1, 2]. Laterally, Belle-II also approved the excited states of Ω_c baryon [3]. Reviewing the heavy baryon sector, we can observe that the ground states of singly heavy baryons and two doubly heavy baryons are known experimentally till now [4]. And most of the excited state masses are well-known only for the singly heavy baryons in charm sector [5, 6]. Now, we can expect such discovery of triply heavy baryons as well. The triply heavy baryon is a combination of three heavy quarks (c and/or b). These baryons are at the top most layer of SU(3) flavor symmetry. The spectroscopic properties of triply charm Ω_{ccc} and triply bottom Ω_{bbb} baryons have already been produced in our recent work [7]. In this article, we discuss, one of the triply heavy baryon which is Ω_{ccb} . Many theoretical approaches have determined the masses of this baryon. They are, non-relativistic quark model [8], Fadeev approach [9], Sum rules [10–12], Bag model [13], di-quark model [14], Lattice QCD [15], relativistic quark model [16] and variational cornell [17].

The hypercentral constituent quark model (hCQM) with color Coulomb plus linear potential has been used for systematic calculations of this baryon. Here, we have also incorporated the first order correction to the potential energy term of the Hamiltonian as it was in case of quarkonia [18]. The excited state mass spectra of heavy flavor baryons (singly, doubly and also some triply) are determined in our previous work using the same model [5, 7, 19–22]. Likewise, here, we determined the mass spectroscopy of Ω_{ccb} baryon which is a com-

ination of two charm and one bottom quark. We also construct the Regge trajectories for these baryons in the (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) planes, where one can test several properties from the graph such as linearity, divergence, parallelism. We also calculate the magnetic moment for the ground state mass. We calculate it for both $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ state.

This paper is organized as follows. A description of the hypercentral constituent quark model (hCQM) is given in Section 2. A systematic mass spectroscopy calculation has been performed in this model and we analyze and discuss our results in Section 3. We also plot the Regge trajectories and moreover, the magnetic moments are also determined. Finally, we draw conclusions in Section 4.

2 The model

The Hyper central Constitute Quark Model(hCQM) has successfully given the mass spectroscopy of the baryons in heavy sector [19–23]. We use the same methodology in this paper. The brief description of hCQM model is as follows:

The relevant degrees of freedom for the motion of heavy quarks are related by the Jacobi coordinates ($\vec{\rho}$ and $\vec{\lambda}$) are [24–26]

$$\vec{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2) \quad (1a)$$

$$\vec{\lambda} = \frac{m_1\vec{r}_1 + m_2\vec{r}_2 - (m_1 + m_2)\vec{r}_3}{\sqrt{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + (m_1 + m_2)^2}} \quad (1b)$$

Here m_i and \vec{r}_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denote the mass and coordinates of the i-th constituent quark. Here, we only

1) E-mail: zalak.physics@gmail.com

2) E-mail: raiajayk@gmail.com

discuss, Ω_{ccb} system, so that ($i=1,2$) for c quark and ($i=3$) for b quark.

The Hamiltonian of system is defined as

$$H = \frac{P_x^2}{2m} + V(x) \quad (2)$$

where m is the reduced mass and x is the six-dimensional radial hyper central coordinate of the three body system. The respective reduced masses are given by

$$m_\rho = \frac{2m_1m_2}{m_1+m_2} \quad (3a)$$

$$m_\lambda = \frac{2m_3(m_1^2+m_2^2+m_1m_2)}{(m_1+m_2)(m_1+m_2+m_3)} \quad (3b)$$

In the center of mass frame ($R_{c.m.} = 0$), the kinetic energy operator can be written as

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\Delta_\rho + \Delta_\lambda) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{5}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{L^2(\Omega)}{x^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

where $L^2(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\lambda, \xi)$ is the quadratic Casimir operator of the six-dimensional rotational group $O(6)$ and its eigenfunctions are the hyperspherical harmonics $Y_{[\gamma]l_\rho l_\lambda}(\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\lambda, \xi)$ satisfying the eigenvalue relation $L^2 Y_{[\gamma]l_\rho l_\lambda}(\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\lambda, \xi) = -\gamma(\gamma+4) Y_{[\gamma]l_\rho l_\lambda}(\Omega_\rho, \Omega_\lambda, \xi)$. Here, γ is the grand angular momentum quantum number.

The reduced six-dimensional hyperradial Schrodinger equation corresponding to the Eqn. (2) of Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\left[\frac{-1}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{15}{4} + \frac{\gamma(\gamma+4)}{2mx^2} + V(x) \right] \phi_\gamma(x) = E \phi_\gamma(x). \quad (5)$$

The hypercentral potential $V(x)$ as the color Coulomb plus linear potential with first order correction as well as spin interaction is defined by [18, 27-29]

$$V(x) = V^0(x) + \left(\frac{1}{m_\rho} + \frac{1}{m_\lambda} \right) V^{(1)}(x) + V_{SD}(x) \quad (6)$$

$$V^{(0)}(x) = \frac{\tau}{x} + \beta x \quad \& \quad V^{(1)}(x) = -C_F C_A \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4x^2} \quad (7)$$

$$V_{SD}(x) = V_{SS}(x)(\vec{S}_\rho \cdot \vec{S}_\lambda) + V_{\gamma S}(x)(\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{S}) + V_T(x) \left[S^2 - \frac{3(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{x})(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{x})}{x^2} \right] \quad (8)$$

Here, the hyper-Coulomb strength $\tau = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha_s$ where α_s corresponds to the strong running coupling constant; $\frac{2}{3}$ is the color factor for baryons, and β corresponds to the string tension for baryons. C_F and C_A are the Casimir charges of the fundamental and adjoint representation with values $\frac{2}{3}$ and 3. The spin-dependent part

of Eqn. (8), $V_{SD}(x)$, contains three types of interaction terms, including the spin-spin term $V_{SS}(x)$, the spin-orbit term $V_{\gamma S}(x)$ and a tensor term $V_T(x)$ [19]. We numerically solve the six-dimensional Schrodinger equation using Mathematica notebook [30]. The values of quark masses are $m_c=1.275$ GeV and $m_b=4.67$ GeV in calculation. We fix the ground state mass and after that we calculate radial and orbital excited state masses.

Table 1. Ground state masses of Ω_{ccb} baryon (in GeV)

J^P	Our work		Refs.			
	A	B	[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	8.005	8.005	8.005	8.007	8.018	8.018
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	8.049	8.049	8.027	8.037	8.025	8.046

3 Mass spectra, Regge Trajectories and Magnetic moments

The ground state (1S), radial excited states (2S-4S) and orbital excited states (1P-5P, 1D-4D, 1F-2F) are calculated for Ω_{ccb} in this paper. We consider the total spin $S = \frac{3}{2}^+$ and obtained possible number of states for P, D and F are 3, 4, 4, respectively. The possible J^P values for S states are $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+$; P states are $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-$; D states are $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+$; F states are $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^-, \frac{7}{2}^-, \frac{9}{2}^-$. The masses are tabulated in Tables (1-3). The radial and orbital excited states are determined by adding first order correction to the potential. A are the masses without adding the correction and B are the masses by adding first order correction. Both results are given in Tables (2-3). We do not have many theoretical prediction of masses to compare with our outcomes, though available predictions are mentioned.

Table 2. Radial excited state masses of Ω_{ccb} baryon (in GeV)

State	A	B	[8]
2S($\frac{1}{2}^+$)	8.611	8.621	8.537
3S($\frac{1}{2}^+$)	9.203	9.224	
4S($\frac{1}{2}^+$)	9.792	9.823	
5S($\frac{1}{2}^+$)	10.379	10.424	
2S($\frac{3}{2}^+$)	8.627	8.637	8.553
3S($\frac{3}{2}^+$)	9.211	9.232	
4S($\frac{3}{2}^+$)	9.797	9.828	
5S($\frac{3}{2}^+$)	10.421	10.424	

1S state is computed for both $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ in Table (1).

Table 3. Orbital excited state masses of Ω_{ccb} baryon (in GeV)

State	A	B	[8]	[10]	[31]
$(1^4P_{1/2})$	8.388	8.400	8.418		8.36
$(1^4P_{3/2})$	8.372	8.383	8.420	8.35	8.36
$(1^4P_{5/2})$	8.354	8.365	8.432		
$(2^4P_{1/2})$	8.970	8.992			
$(2^4P_{3/2})$	8.954	8.976			
$(2^4P_{5/2})$	8.934	8.955			
$(3^4P_{1/2})$	9.554	9.585			
$(3^4P_{3/2})$	9.538	9.569			
$(3^4P_{5/2})$	9.516	9.547			
$(4^4P_{1/2})$	10.139	10.181			
$(4^4P_{3/2})$	10.122	10.164			
$(4^4P_{5/2})$	10.099	10.140			
$(5^4P_{1/2})$	10.723	10.775			
$(5^4P_{3/2})$	10.706	10.758			
$(5^4P_{5/2})$	10.684	10.735			
$(1^4D_{1/2})$	8.828	8.848			
$(1^4D_{3/2})$	8.810	8.831			
$(1^4D_{5/2})$	8.788	8.808	8.568		
$(1^4D_{7/2})$	8.760	8.780			
$(2^4D_{1/2})$	9.405	9.437			
$(2^4D_{3/2})$	9.388	9.420			
$(2^4D_{5/2})$	9.366	9.396			
$(2^4D_{7/2})$	9.338	9.368			
$(3^4D_{1/2})$	9.988	10.031			
$(3^4D_{3/2})$	9.970	10.012			
$(3^4D_{5/2})$	9.947	9.988			
$(3^4D_{7/2})$	9.918	9.957			
$(4^4D_{1/2})$	10.571	10.622			
$(4^4D_{3/2})$	10.553	10.605			
$(4^4D_{5/2})$	10.529	10.582			
$(4^4D_{7/2})$	10.499	10.553			
$(1^4F_{3/2})$	9.250	9.280			
$(1^4F_{5/2})$	9.225	9.254			
$(1^4F_{7/2})$	9.193	9.222			
$(1^4F_{9/2})$	9.156	9.184			
$(2^4F_{3/2})$	9.827	9.864			
$(2^4F_{5/2})$	9.802	9.840			
$(2^4F_{7/2})$	9.771	9.809			
$(2^4F_{9/2})$	9.734	9.773			

The obtained results are closer to the other theoretical predictions [14–17]. Refs. [10] and [31] have also calculated the ground states with value 8.5 GeV and 8.23, respectively. These values are much higher than mentioned results in Table (1).

The orbital excited state P, D and F states are mentioned in Table (3). In 1P state, refs. [10, 31] are 28 and 38 MeV less than our determined masses. $1D(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ state value is (200 MeV) higher than [8]. As per our knowledge, we are first to determine the F states of this triply heavy Ω_{ccb} baryon.

Regge Trajectories

The calculated masses are used to plot the Regge trajectories for triply heavy Ω_{ccb} baryon in the $M^2 \rightarrow n$ and $M^2 \rightarrow J$ plane. We use

$$n = \beta M^2 + \beta_0, \quad (9)$$

$$J = \alpha M^2 + \alpha_0, \quad (10)$$

where β, α , and β_0, α_0 are the slope and intercept, respectively, and $n = \mathbf{n} - 1$, where \mathbf{n} is the principal quantum number. The values of β and β_0 are shown in Table 4 and the values of α and α_0 are shown in Table 5. As described in the previous section, we have calculated the masses of the S, P and D states which are used to construct Regge trajectories. The ground and radial excited states S with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and the orbital excited state P with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, D with $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^+$ are plotted in Fig. (1) in (n, M^2) plane. The Regge trajectories for natural and unnatural parities are drawn in Fig. (2) [32]. Straight lines were obtained by linear fitting in both figures. We observe that the square of the calculated masses fits very well to a linear trajectory and is almost parallel and equidistant in the S, P and D states. We can determine the possible quantum numbers and prescribe them to a particular Regge trajectory with the help of our obtained results.

 Table 4. Fitted slope (β) and intercept (β_0) of the Regge trajectories

Baryon	J^P	State	β	β_0
Ω_{ccb}	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	S	0.092 ± 0.0017	-5.816 ± 0.151
	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	P	0.0894 ± 0.0019	-6.231 ± 0.177
	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	D	0.089 ± 0.0017	-6.848 ± 0.169

 Table 5. Fitted slope (α) and intercept (α_0) of the Regge trajectories




Fig. 1. Regge trajectory in (n, M^2) plane.




Fig. 2. Regge trajectories (J, M^2) plane for natural and unnatural parities.

Table 6. Magnetic moments (in nuclear magnetons)

Baryons function[33]		Our	[35]	[34]	[23]	[14]
Ω_{ccb}^+	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_c - \frac{1}{3}\mu_b$	0.606	0.505	0.522	0.502	
Ω_{ccb}^{*+}	$\mu_b + 2\mu_c$	0.819	0.659	0.703		0.807

Baryon J^P	State	α	α_0
$\Omega_{ccb} \frac{3}{2}^+$	S	0.090 ± 0.002	-4.725 ± 0.191
$\frac{5}{2}^-$	P	0.090 ± 0.002	-5.218 ± 0.181
$\frac{7}{2}^+$	D	0.089 ± 0.0017	-5.835 ± 0.166

Magnetic moments

The magnetic moments of baryons are obtained in terms of the spin, charge and effective mass of the bound quarks as [5, 23]

$$\mu_B = \sum_i \langle \phi_{sf} | \mu_{iz} | \phi_{sf} \rangle$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{e_i \sigma_i}{2m_i^{eff}}, \quad (11)$$

where e_i is a charge and σ_i is the spin of the respective constituent quark corresponding to the spin flavor wave-function of the baryonic state. The effective mass for each of the constituting quarks m_i^{eff} can be defined as

$$m_i^{eff} = m_i \left(1 + \frac{\langle H \rangle}{\sum_i m_i} \right) \quad (12)$$

where $\langle H \rangle = E + \langle V_{spin} \rangle$. The spin flavor wave function and magnetic moments of the baryon is given in Table (6).

4 Conclusion

We discuss the baryon with the combination of two charm quark and one beauty quark in this paper. The Ω_{ccb} baryon is unknown from experimental side. The

mass spectra of Ω_{ccb} baryon are listed using the Hyper-central Constituent Quark Model starting from S state to F state. We also added the first order correction to the potential (see Eqn. (6)), the results without correction(A) and with correction(B) are tabulated in Tables (1-3). The ground states have already been studied by various models and the results of some of them are in same range of our prediction. Although, the excited states are calculated by very few theorist. We also notice that first radial(2S) and orbital(1P) excited states masses are in range with other predictions. While for higher excited state 1D, the difference is higher. The 2S state is only determined by Roberts et al. [8] as per our knowledge. Their values are 74(84) MeV lesser for 2S state. Although, the difference between the isospin doublet states are 16 MeV in [8] and in similar manner our 2S state masses ($\frac{3}{2}^+ - \frac{1}{2}^+$) also have 16 MeV difference. Thus, the obtained masses are very much useful to obtain the resonances of higher excited states.

The first order correction for the excited state varies from 0.1% - 0.4% for 1S-4S and 1P-4P states; 0.2% - 0.5% for 1D-4D states; 0.3% for F states. It is clear from the results that as we move towards the higher excited states, the contribution of the correction is increasing simultaneously. It will be interesting to see this effect of correction in the light sector baryons [36].

The paper also features the values of ground state magnetic moments of Ω_{ccb} and Ω_{ccb}^* baryons. Our obtained results are reasonably close to the other predictions. The acquired Regge trajectories will also be helpful to define unknown states of Ω_{ccb} baryon and J^P values can be assigned.

References

- 1 R. Aaij et al., (LHCb Collaboration), JHEP **05**, 030 (2017).
- 2 R. Aaij et al., (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 182001 (2017).
- 3 J. Yelton et al., [Belle Collaboration] *arXiv:1711.07927v1* (2017).
- 4 C. Patrignani et al., (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **40**, 100001 (2016) and 2017 update.
- 5 Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai and P.C. Vinodkumar, Chin. Phys. C. **40**, 123102 (2016).
- 6 H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y.R. Liu, S.L. Zhu, Rept. Prog. Phys. **80**, 076201 (2017).
- 7 Z. Shah and A. K. Rai Eur. Phys. J. A **53**, 195 (2017).
- 8 W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 2817 (2008).
- 9 A. Bernotas, V. Simonis, Lith. J. Phys. **49**, 19 (2009).
- 10 T.M. Aliev, K. Azizi, M. Savcs, J. Phys. G **41**, 065003 (2014).
- 11 T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, M. Savcs, JHEP **04**, 042 (2013).
- 12 Z.F. Sun, Z.W. Liu, X. Liu, S.L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **91**(9), 094030 (2015).
- 13 P. Hasenfratz, R.R. Horgan, J. Kuti, J.M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 401 (1980).
- 14 K. Thakkar, A. Majethiya, P.C. Vinodkumar, Eur. Phys. J.

- Plus **131**(9), 339 (2016).
- 15 Z. S. Brown, W. Detmold, S. Meinel, and K. Orginos, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094507 (2014).
 - 16 A.P. Martyntenko, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 317 (2008)
 - 17 J. M. Flynn, E. Hernandez, J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 014012 (2012).
 - 18 Y. Koma, M. Koma, H. Wittig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 122003 (2006).
 - 19 Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai and P.C. Vinodkumar, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 313 (2016).
 - 20 Z. Shah, K. Thakkar and A. K. Rai, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 530 (2016).
 - 21 Z. Shah, K. Thakkar and A. K. Rai, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 129 (2017).
 - 22 K. Thakkar, Z. Shah, A. K. Rai and P.C. Vinodkumar, Nucl. Phys. A **965**, 57 (2017).
 - 23 B. Patel, A. K. Rai and P. C. Vinodkumar, Pramana J. Phys. **70**, 797 (2008); J. Phys. G **35**, 065001 (2008).
 - 24 R Bijker, F Iachello and A Leviatan, Ann. Phys. **284**, 89 (2000).
 - 25 E. Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 022201 (2005).
 - 26 M. M. Giannini and E. Santopinto, Chin. J.Phys. **53**, 020301 (2015).
 - 27 N. Devlani, V. Kher, and A. K. Rai, Eur. Phys. J. A **50**, 154 (2014).
 - 28 A. K. Rai, D. P. Rathaud, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 462 (2015).
 - 29 M B Voloshin, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 455 (2008).
 - 30 W. Lucha, and F. Schoberls, Int. J. Mod. Phys.C. **10**, 607 (1999).
 - 31 Z. G. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **58**, 723 (2012).
 - 32 A. K. Rai and Z. Shah, Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **934**, 012035 (2017).
 - 33 Fayyazuddin, Riazuddin, A Modern Introduction To Particle Physics (Allied Pvt. Ltd. and World Scientific Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd, 2000).
 - 34 R. Dhir and R. C. Verma, Eur. Phys. J. A **42**, 243 (2009).
 - 35 A. Bernotas and V. Simonis, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 074016 (2013).
 - 36 A. K. Rai, Z. Shah and K. Gandhi, AIP Conf. Proc. (2018) [Accepted].