

# Component edge connectivity of the folded hypercube

Shuli Zhao, Weihua Yang \*

Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology,

Taiyuan Shanxi-030024, China

**Abstract.** The  $g$ -component edge connectivity  $c\lambda_g(G)$  of a non-complete graph  $G$  is the minimum number of edges whose deletion results in a graph with at least  $g$  components. In this paper, we determine the component edge connectivity of the folded hypercube  $c\lambda_{g+1}(FQ_n) = (n+1)g - (\sum_{i=0}^s t_i 2^{t_i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^s i \cdot 2^{t_i})$  for  $g \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$  and  $n \geq 5$ , where  $g$  be a positive integer and  $g = \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{t_i}$  be the decomposition of  $g$  such that  $t_0 = \lfloor \log_2 g \rfloor$ , and  $t_i = \lfloor \log_2 (g - \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} 2^{t_r}) \rfloor$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Keywords: Component edge connectivity; Folded hypercube; Fault-tolerance; Conditional connectivity

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a non-complete graph. A  $g$ -component edge cut of  $G$  is a set of edges whose deletion results in a graph with at least  $g$  components. The  $g$ -component edge connectivity  $c\lambda_g(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the size of the smallest  $g$ -component edge cut of  $G$ . By the definition of the  $c\lambda_g(G)$ , it can be seen that  $c\lambda_{g+1}(G) \geq c\lambda_g(G)$  for every positive integer  $g$ .

An interconnection network is usually modeled by a connected graph in which vertices represent processors and edges represent links between processors. The usual edge connectivity  $\lambda(G)$

---

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: ywh222@163.com, yangweihua@tyut.edu.cn

of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of edges whose deletion results in a disconnected graph. The edge connectivity is one of the important parameters to evaluate the reliability and fault tolerance of a network. The  $g$ -component edge connectivity is an extension of the usual edge connectivity  $c\lambda_2(G)$ . The  $g$ -component connectivity and  $g$ -component edge connectivity were introduced in [2] and [10] independently. In [5, 13], Hsu et al. and Zhao et al. determined the  $g$ -component connectivity of the hypercube  $Q_n$  for  $2 \leq g \leq n+1$  and  $n+2 \leq g \leq 2n-4$  respectively. As an invariant of the hypercube, the folded hypercube was first proposed by El-Amawy and Latifi [4], is one of the most potential interconnection networks. There are some results about the folded hypercubes [12, 14, 15, 7, 11], so in this paper, we determine the  $g$ -component edge connectivity of the folded hypercube  $FQ_n$  for  $g \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}, n \geq 5$ .

The  $n$ -dimensional hypercube  $Q_n$  is an undirected graph  $Q_n = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = 2^n$  and  $|E| = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ . Each vertex can be represented by an  $n$ -bit binary string. There is an edge between two vertices whenever their binary string representation differs in only one bit position. The folded hypercube is an enhancement of the hypercube  $Q_n$  and  $FQ_n$  is obtained by adding a perfect matching  $M$  on the hypercube, where  $M = \{(u, \bar{u}) | u \in V(Q_n)\}$  and  $\bar{u}$  represents the complement of the vertex  $u$ , that is, all their binary strings are complement and  $\bar{0} = 1$  and  $\bar{1} = 0$ . One can see that  $E(FQ_n) = E(Q_n) \cup M$ . For convenience,  $FQ_n$  can be expressed as  $D_0 \otimes D_1$ , where  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  are  $(n-1)$ -dimensional subcubes induced by the vertices with the  $i$ -th coordinate 0 and 1 respectively. The 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional folded hypercubes are shown in the following Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.




Figure 1. The 3-dimensional Folded hypercube.




Figure 2. The 4-dimensional Folded hypercube.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $m$  be an integer and  $m = \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{t_i}$  be the decomposition of  $m$  such that  $t_0 = \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$  and  $t_i = \lfloor \log_2(m - \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} 2^{t_r}) \rfloor$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $X$  be a vertex set of a graph  $G$  with  $|X| = m$ . We denote by  $\frac{ex_m}{2}$  the maximum number of edges of the subgraph of a graph  $G$  induced by  $m$  vertices, i.e.,  $ex_m = \max\{2|E(G[X])| : X \subset V(G) \text{ and } |X| = m\}$ , is the maximum sum of degrees of the vertices in the subgraph of a graph  $G$  induced by  $m$  vertices. In [8], the authors determined the  $ex_m(Q_n)$ , which play an important role in this proof.

**Theorem 1.** ([8]) *Let  $X$  be a vertex set of  $Q_n$  with size  $m$ . Then  $ex_m(Q_n) = \sum_{i=0}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=0}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i}$ .*

**Lemma 2.** ([8]) *If  $m_0 \leq m_1$ . Then  $ex_{m_0+m_1}(Q_n) \geq ex_{m_0}(Q_n) + ex_{m_1}(Q_n) + 2m_0$ .*

Before our main results, we need several results focused on the induced subgraph of  $FQ_n$  with maximum number of edges [1, 3, 6, 8, 9]

**Definition 3.** ([1]) *A set of  $m$  vertices of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$  is said to be a composite set for  $m$  if the number of edges of the subgraph induced by these  $m$  vertices is not less than the number of edges of subgraphs induced by any other set of  $m$  vertices of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ . A composite folded hypercube of  $FQ_n$  (composite hypercube of  $Q_n$ ) is defined to be a subgraph of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ , which is induced by some composite set of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ .*

For convenience, the vertex  $u = u_n u_{n-1} \cdots u_1$  of  $n$ -dimensional folded hypercube also can be represented by decimal number  $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i 2^{i-1}$  in this paper.

**Definition 4.** ([6, 9]) The subgraph induced by vertex set  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  (under decimal representation) of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ , denoted by  $LF_m(L_m)$ , is called as an incomplete folded hypercube (incomplete hypercube) on  $m$  vertices of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ .

**Definition 5.** ([9]) A reverse incomplete folded hypercube (reverse incomplete hypercube) on  $m$  vertices of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$  is the subgraph induced by  $\{2^n - 1, 2^n - 2 \dots, 2^n - m\}$  and is denoted by  $RF_m(R_m)$ , for  $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$ .

**Lemma 6.** ([9])  $(L_m)$  is isomorphic to  $R_m$  and  $(LF_m)$  is isomorphic to  $RF_m$ , for  $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$ .

**Lemma 7.** ([3, 6, 9]) For  $1 \leq m \leq 2^n$ , both  $V(LF_m)$  and  $V(RF_m)$  ( $V(L_m)$  and  $V(R_m)$ ) are composite sets of  $FQ_n(Q_n)$ .

**Lemma 8.**

$$ex_m(FQ_n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=0}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i}, & 1 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1} \\ \sum_{i=0}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=0}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i} + m - 2^{n-1}, & 2^{n-1} \leq m \leq 2^n \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1 and Lemma 7, the results holds.  $\square$

For  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ , we note that  $ex_m(FQ_n) = ex_m(Q_n)$ .

Denote by  $E_X$  the set of edges in which each edge contains exactly one end vertex in  $X$ . By the lemma above, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 9.** Let  $X$  be a subset of  $V(FQ_n)$  with  $|X| = m$ , where  $m$  be an positive integer and  $m = \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{t_i}$ . Then  $E_X$  contains at least  $(n+1)|X| - ex_m$  edges. Moreover, the function  $\xi(m) = (n+1)|X| - \frac{ex_m}{2}$  is strictly increasing (respect to  $m$ ) for  $m \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 8, the first part is clearly. As  $m \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$  and  $m = \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{t_i}$ , then  $\xi(m+1) - \xi(m) = (n+1) - (s+1)$ , which implies  $\xi(m)$  is strictly increasing (respect to  $m$ ) for  $m \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** Let  $X$  be a subset of  $V(FQ_n)$  with  $|X| = m$ , if  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ , then  $(n-1)m - ex_m(FQ_n) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $FQ_n = D_0 \otimes D_1$ , as  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ , by Definition 4, Lemma 7 and 8, we can take a subgraph  $G_0$  of a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional subcube  $D_i$  ( $i=0, 1$ ) such that  $2|E(G_0)| = ex_m$ . Thus, if  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$ , then  $(n-1)m - ex_m(FQ_n) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *If  $\sum_{i=1}^r ex_{m_i} = m$  and  $m_i > 0$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^r ex_{m_i} \leq ex_{m-r+1}$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the result, we just need to prove that when  $k \leq l$ ,  $ex_{k+1} + ex_l \leq ex_{k+l}$ .

Note that  $ex_{k+1} = ex_k + 2(s+1)$ , where  $k = 2^{t_0} + \dots + 2^{t_s}$ . By Lemma 2,  $ex_{k+l} \geq ex_k + ex_l + 2k = ex_{k+1} + ex_l + 2k - 2(s+1) \geq ex_{k+1} + ex_l$ . By using the inequality, it can be seen that  $ex_{m_1} + ex_{m_2} + \dots + ex_{m_r} \leq ex_{m_1+m_2-1} + ex_{m_3} + \dots + ex_{m_r} \leq \dots \leq ex_{m-r+1}$ .

$\square$

### 3 Main Results

In this section, we determine the  $c\lambda_g(FQ_n)$ .

**Theorem 12.**  $c\lambda_{g+1}(FQ_n) = (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$  for  $g \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ .

*Proof.* For convenience sake, we assume that  $n$  is odd.

First, we show that  $c\lambda_{g+1}(FQ_n) \leq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$  by constructing a  $(g+1)$ -component edge cut  $F$  with size  $(n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ . Let  $G_1$  be the subgraph induced by the vertex set  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$ , where  $g \leq 2^{n-1}$  and  $g = \sum_{i=0}^s 2^{t_i}$ , then by Definition 4 Lemma 7 and 8,  $|E(G_1)| = \sum_{i=0}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=0}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i} = ex_g(FQ_n)$ . Let  $F = E_{V(G_1)} \cup E(G_1)$ , then  $F$  is a  $(g+1)$ -component edge cut with size  $(n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ .

Next, we show that  $c\lambda_{g+1}(FQ_n) \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ . Let  $F$  be a  $c\lambda_{g+1}$ -cut, then we have that  $FQ_n - F$  has exactly  $g+1$  components. We denote by  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{g+1}$  the  $g+1$  components in  $FQ_n - F$ , and  $C_{g+1}$  be the largest one. We will prove the result by the following cases:

Case 1.  $|V(C_{g+1})| < 2^{n-2}$

If  $|V(C_{g+1})| < 2^{n-2}$ , then there exists a partition of  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{g+1}\}$  such that  $|\cup_{j=1}^k V(G_{i_j})| \geq 2^{n-2}$  and  $|\cup_{j=k+1}^{g+1} V(G_{i_j})| \geq 2^{n-2}$ . So we just need to show that  $|\cup_{i=1}^{g+1} E_{V(C_i)}(FQ_n)| \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ .

Let  $X \subset V(FQ_n)$  and  $\overline{X} = V(FQ_n) \setminus X$ . If  $2^{n-2} \leq |X| \leq |\overline{X}|$  and let  $|X| = m$ , then denote by  $m' = m - 2^{t_0}$ . Clearly,  $m' < 2^{n-2}$ . By Lemma 10, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)m - ex_m &= (n+1)2^{t_0} + (n+1)(2^{t_1} + \cdots + 2^{t_s}) - t_0 2^{t_0-1} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=1}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i} \right) \\
&\geq (n+1-t_0)2^{t_0} + (n+1) \sum_{i=1}^s 2^{t_i} - \left( \sum_{i=1}^s t_i 2^{t_i} + \sum_{i=1}^s 2 \cdot i \cdot 2^{t_i} \right) \\
&= (n+1-t_0)2^{t_0} + (n+1)r' - ex_{r'} - 2r' \\
&= (n+1-t_0)2^{t_0} + (n-1)r' - ex_{r'} \\
&\geq (n+1-t_0)2^{t_0} \\
&= (n+1-t_0) \cdot 2^{(t_0 - \frac{n+1}{2})} \cdot 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $n-2 \leq t_0 \leq n-1$ , then we have  $(n+1-t_0) \cdot 2^{(t_0 - \frac{n+1}{2})} \geq \frac{3(n+1)}{4}$  for  $n \geq 7$ . So one can see that  $|F| \geq (n+1) \cdot 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} - \frac{n+1}{4} \times 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \frac{3(n+1)}{4} \times 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$  when  $|V(C_{g+1})| < 2^{n-2}$ .

Case 2.  $|V(C_{g+1})| \geq 2^{n-2}$

Let  $|V(C_i)| = m_i$  and  $m = \sum_{i=1}^g m_i$ . Clearly, we may assume  $m < 2^{n-2}$ .

If  $m = g$ , then  $m_i = 1$  and thus  $|F| \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ . Therefore, we may assume  $m > g$ . Let  $S = \cup_{i=1}^g V(C_i)$  and  $F' = F \cap E(G[S])$ . Note that  $E_{V(C_i)} \subset F$ , then we have  $|F| \geq |\cup_{i=1}^g E_{V(C_i)}| = |E_{V(C_1)}| + \cdots + |E_{V(C_g)}| - |F'|$ . Since  $|E_{V(C_i)}| = m_i \cdot (n+1) - 2|E(C_i)|$  and  $|F'| \leq \frac{ex_m}{2} - |\cup_{i=1}^g E(C_i)|$ , we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
|F| &\geq |\cup_{i=1}^g E_{V(C_i)}| = |E_{V(C_1)}| + \cdots + |E_{V(C_g)}| - |F'| \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^g (m_i \cdot (n+1) - 2|E(C_i)|) - \frac{ex_m}{2} + |\cup_{i=1}^g E(C_i)| \\
&= m(n+1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^g |E(C_i)| - \frac{ex_m}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^g |E(C_i)| \\
&= m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^g |E(C_i)| \\
&\geq m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{ex_{m_i}}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 11, we have  $|F| \geq m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{ex_{m_i}}{2} \geq m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \frac{ex_{m-g+1}}{2}$ . We next show that

$$m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \frac{ex_{m-g+1}}{2} \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}.$$

For any  $g \leq 2^{\frac{(n+1)}{2}}$  and  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_g\}$ ,  $|\cup_{i=1}^g E_{v_i}| \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$  holds as  $|\cup_{i=1}^g E_{v_i}| \geq (n+1)g - 2|E(FQ_n[S])| + |E(FQ_n[S])| = (n+1)g - |E(FQ_n[S])| \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ .

Let  $FQ_n = D_0 \otimes D_1$ . Since  $m < 2^{n-2}$ , we may pick a subgraph  $G_1$  of  $m$  vertices in  $D_0$ , where  $G_1$  is the subgraph of  $FQ_n$  induced by  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . So  $|E(G_1)| = \frac{ex_m}{2}$ . Since  $m-g+1 < m$ , we may pick a subgraph  $G_2 \subset G_1$  such that  $|E(G_2)| = \frac{ex_{m-g+1}}{2}$  (here we pick the subgraph  $G_2$  has the same structural property as  $G_1$ ). Label the vertices in  $G_2$  by  $v_m, v_{m-1}, \dots, v_{g+1}, v_g$  such that  $d_{G_2}(v_g) = s+1$ , where  $m-g = 2^{t_0} + 2^{t_1} + \dots + 2^{t_s}$ , and label the vertices in  $V(G_1 - G_2)$  by  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{g-1}$ . See Figure 1 (a).




Figure 1. The edges between the components.

Let  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_{g-1}\}$  and  $f_0 = |E(FQ_n[S])|$ . Clearly,  $f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5 = m(n+1) - 2|E(G_1)| + (|E(G_1)| - |E(G_2)|) = m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \frac{ex_{m-g+1}}{2}$ . See Figure 1 (b). By Claim 5,  $f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_6 \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ . Thus if  $f_5 \geq f_6$ , then  $m(n+1) - \frac{ex_m}{2} - \frac{ex_{m-g+1}}{2} = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5 \geq f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_6 \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ . Note that  $G_1 \subset D_0$ , then there  $f_5 \geq m-g \geq s+1 = f_6$

We omit the argument for even  $n$ .

Thus,  $|F| \geq (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$  and then  $c\lambda_{g+1}(FQ_n) = (n+1)g - \frac{ex_g}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $F$  be a  $c\lambda_{g+1}$ -cut of the hypercube  $FQ_n$ . Then  $FQ_n - F$  contains  $g$  isolated vertices for  $g \leq 2^{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ .*

*Proof.* The result holds easily.  $\square$

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied the component edge connectivity of the folded hypercube. The component (edge) connectivity is a generalization of standard (edge) connectivity of graphs, see [2, 10], which can be viewed as a measure of robustness of interconnection networks. The standard connectivity of folded hypercubes (or classic networks) have been studied by many authors, but there are few papers on the component (edge) connectivity of networks. This paper introduced an idea to consider the  $g$ -component edge connectivity of the folded hypercube (or cube-based networks), but our result is not complete. The problem is still open for  $g > 2^{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil} + 1$ .

## 5 Acknowledgements

The research is supported by NSFC (No.11671296, 11301217, 11301085), SRF for ROCS, SEM and Natural Sciences Foundation of Shanxi Province (No. 2014021010-2), Fund Program for the Scientific Activities of Selected Returned Overseas Professionals in Shanxi Province

**Remark 14.** *The work was included in the MS thesis of the first author in [On the component connectivity of hypercubes and folded hypercubes, MS Thesis at Taiyuan University of Technology, 2017].*



## References

- [1] A. Boals, A. Gupta and N. Sherwani, Incomplete hypercubes: algorithms and embeddings, *J. Supercomput.* 8 (1994) 263-294.
- [2] G. Chartrand, S.F. Kapoor, L. Lesniak, and D.R. Lick, Generalized connectivity in graphs, *Bull. Bombay Math. Colloq.* 2 (1984) 1-6.
- [3] H. Chen and N. Tzing, A Boolean expression -based approach for maximum incomplete subcube identification in faulty hypercubes, *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 8 (1997) 1171-1183.
- [4] A. El-Amawy and S. Latifi, Properties and performance of folded hypercubes, *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 2 (1991) 31-42.
- [5] L.-H. Hsu, E. Cheng, L. Liptk, J.M. Tan, C-K Lin and T-Y Ho, Component connectivity of the hypercubes, *International Journal of Computer Mathematics* 89 (2012) 137-145.
- [6] H. Katseff, Incomplete hypercubes, *IEEE Transactions on Computers* 37 (1988) 604-608.
- [7] C.N. Lai, G.H. Chen and D.R. Duh, Constructing one-to-many disjoint paths in folded hypercubes, *IEEE Transactions on Computers* 51 (2002) 33-45.
- [8] H. Li and W. Yang, Bounding the size of the subgraph induced by  $m$  vertices and extra edge-connectivity of hypercubes, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 161 (2012) 2753-2757.
- [9] I. Rajasingh, M. Arockiaraj, Linear wirelength of folded hypercubes, *Math. Comput. Sci.* 5 (2001) 101-111.
- [10] E. Sampathkumar, Connectivity of a graph – A generalization, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* 9 (1984) 71-78.
- [11] J.M Xu and M. Ma, Cycles in folded hypercubes, *Applied Mathematics Letters* 19 (2006) 140-145.
- [12] M-M. Zhang, J-X. Zhou, On  $g$ -extra connectivity of folded hypercubes, *Theoretical Computer Science* 593 (2015) 146-153.

- [13] S.Zhao, W. Yang and S. Zhang, Component connectivity of the hypercubes, *Theoretical Computer Science* 640 (2016) 115-118.
- [14] Q. Zhu and J.M. Xu, On restricted edge connectivity and extra edge connectivity of hypercubes and foled hypercubes, *J. University of Science and Technology of China* 36 (2006) 246-253.
- [15] Q. Zhu, J. Xu, X. Hou, M. Xu, On reliability of the folded hypercubes, *Information Sciences* 177 (2007) 1782-1788.