

Understanding and Improving Multi-Sense Word Embeddings via Extended Robust Principal Component Analysis

Haoyue Shi [†], Yuqi Sun [†], Junfeng Hu ^{§,‡}

[‡] School of Electronics Engineering and Computer Science, Peking University

[§] Key Laboratory of Computational Linguistics (Ministry of Education), Peking University

{hyshi, sun_yq, hujf}@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

Unsupervised learned representations of polysemous words generate a large of pseudo multi senses since unsupervised methods are overly sensitive to contextual variations. In this paper, we address the pseudo multi-sense detection for word embeddings by dimensionality reduction of sense pairs. We propose a novel principal analysis method, termed Ex-RPCA, designed to detect both pseudo multi senses and real multi senses. With Ex-RPCA, we empirically show that pseudo multi senses are generated systematically in unsupervised method. Moreover, the multi-sense word embeddings can be improved by a simple linear transformation based on Ex-RPCA. Our improved word embedding outperform the original one by 5.6 points on Stanford contextual word similarity (SCWS) dataset. We hope our simple yet effective approach will help the linguistic analysis of multi-sense word embeddings in the future.

1 Introduction

Multi-sense word embedding is widely applied for learning representations of polysemous words. In general, existing works can be divided into two groups: through *unsupervised learning* and *semi-supervised learning*.

Most of unsupervised methods exploit contextual information as the guidance for sense clustering (Reisinger and Mooney, 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Neelakantan et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2014; Li and Jurafsky, 2015; Iacobacci et al., 2015; Cheng and Kartsaklis, 2015; Lee and Chen, 2017). As shown in Shi et al. (2016), nevertheless, these methods are so sensitive to contextual variations that they often embed one single sense into several vectors, also referred to as *pseudo multi-senses*. As for supervised methods (Chen et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2017), external knowledge base, *e.g.*, WordNet (Miller, 1995) is utilized to define senses. The

limitation of such supervised methods is that it requires manually designed senses of words.

In this paper, we aim to address the pseudo multi-sense problem associated with *unsupervised* methods. Since pseudo multi-senses constitute a overwhelming majority of all sense pairs (Shi et al., 2016), intuitively, if we construct a matrix of which columns are the differences of sense vectors of each word, dimensionality reduction algorithms such as principal component analysis (PCA) (Hotelling, 1933; Jolliffe, 1986) can be utilized to decompose it into two additive terms, *i.e.*, a low-rank matrix and a Gaussian noise term (Mika et al., 1999). As we will show, the column space of the low-rank matrix represents the most salient “pseudo multi-sense directions”, while the error term stands for the random error occurred during training of neural word embeddings.

Unfortunately, PCA ignores the effect of real multi-sense, of which the vector representations should have salient difference (Neelakantan et al., 2014), and their vector differences shall no longer be viewed as Gaussian noise of pseudo multi-sense directions. Inspired by robust PCA (RPCA) (Wright et al., 2009), we propose an effective method, termed extended robust PCA (Ex-RPCA), which is able to fit the dense property of word embeddings by jointly considering a Gaussian noise (random noise) and a sparse yet large noise (salient difference noise). We also propose two solutions for the optimization of Ex-RPCA.

With the principal components of sense-wise difference matrix, a transformation matrix is generated based on the objective that the vector representations of pseudo multi-senses should be as closed as possible. We construct the transformation matrix with the property that its kernel space is spanned by principal components in PCA or Ex-RPCA. The final multi-sense word embeddings can be obtained by a simple linear transformation

with the transformation matrix. Our main contributions are as follows:

1. We frame the pseudo multi-sense detection for word embeddings as a dimensionality reduction problem. We empirically show the extracted principal components reflect the dominant directions of pseudo multi-sense pairs.

2. A new principal component analysis algorithm, namely Ex-RPCA, is proposed to address the issue of robustness of PCA. Ex-RPCA is able to tolerate large noise and also fit the property of word embeddings. Moreover, Ex-RPCA serves as a good indicator for linguistic analysis of multi-sense word embeddings.

3. We evaluate the proposed framework on SCWS dataset. Significantly, we improve performance on contextual word similarity task over a strong reference (Neelakantan et al., 2014) by a margin of absolute 5.6 points.

2 Problem Formulation

For multi-sense word vector set V with n words, let $\mathbf{v}_s^w \in V$ denote the vector of the s^{th} sense of word w , and let n_w denote the sense number of w . Define sense-wise difference matrix $M = (\mathbf{v}_1^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_2^{w_1}, \mathbf{v}_2^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_1^{w_1}, \mathbf{v}_1^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_3^{w_1}, \mathbf{v}_3^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_1^{w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_1}-1}^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_1}}^{w_1}, \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_1}}^{w_1} - \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_1}-1}^{w_1}, \mathbf{v}_1^{w_2} - \mathbf{v}_2^{w_2}, \mathbf{v}_2^{w_2} - \mathbf{v}_1^{w_2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_n}-1}^{w_n} - \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_n}}^{w_n}, \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_n}}^{w_n} - \mathbf{v}_{n_{w_n}-1}^{w_n})$, which has $\sum_{w \in V} n_w \times (n_w - 1)$ columns. PCA with respect to the columns of M decomposes the matrix into two additive terms:

$$M = L + E \quad (1)$$

where L is a low-rank matrix and E is the Gaussian noise term.

However, PCA is not robust enough to handle *strong* noise. Hence, robust PCA (RPCA) is introduced (Wright et al., 2009) to decompose a matrix to a low-rank term and a sparse noise term:

$$M = L + S \quad (2)$$

The sparse term is able to tolerate extremely strong noise. RPCA can be solved via convex optimization. Unfortunately, RPCA is not able to reduce matrix to an explicitly fixed dimensionality, as what we can do with the matrix in PCA.

Therefore, we propose the extend RPCA and will show an iterative solution to tackle this issue:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{L,E,S} \text{rank}(L) + \lambda_1 \|E\|_F^2 + \lambda_2 \|S\|_0 \\ \text{s.t. } M = L + E + S \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are weights for the noise terms. Let $V^e = \{\mathbf{v}_0^e, \mathbf{v}_1^e, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k^e\}$ denote the set of the first k principal components extracted by Ex-RPCA and let V^p denote the set extracted by PCA. We will empirically show that vectors in both V^p and V^e represent dominant directions of pseudo multi-sense pairs.

3 Our Approach

In this section, we propose two solutions to Ex-RPCA problem from different angles of view, and describe the algorithm for elimination of pseudo multi-sense directions using linear transformation.

3.1 Convex Optimization

Following Wright et al. (2009), we re-formulate Eq. (3) to a convex-optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{L,E,S} \|L\|_* + \lambda_1 \|E\|_F^2 + \lambda_2 \|S\|_1 \\ \text{s.t. } M = L + E + S \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which can be solved by inexact augmented Lagrange method (Lin et al., 2011) (Appendix B).

While solving Problem (4), we cannot directly control the $\text{rank}(L)$ instead of controlling λ_1 and λ_2 , either. In order to seek a fair comparison against PCA which can set $\text{rank}(L)$ as a hyper-parameter, we introduce an iterative solution.

3.2 Iterative Solution via PCA

In Eq. (3), E is a zero-averaged *i.i.d.* Gaussian noise term with variance σ^2 . If we apply PCA to reduce the column space of M to less than 5 dimensions, the percentage of elements in E which are outside the range of $(-3\sigma_E, 3\sigma_E)$ is 2.7%, where σ_E is the standard deviation of E . This is much higher than the expected percentage (0.3%) based on three-sigma rule of Gaussian distribution.

To overcome the issue, we propose an iterative solution for Ex-RPCA. The motivation is to erase the strong noise of E gradually, with $\text{rank}(L)$ fixed as a hyper-parameter. At the t^{th} iteration of the iterative solution, we first apply PCA to decompose $M^{(t)}$ into $L^{(t)} + E^{(t)}$, as described in Eq (1). After that, we extract the sparse noise $S^{(t)}$ from $E^{(t)}$. We compute a 0-1 matrix $P^{(t)}$ to mask the large noise elements in $E^{(t)}$ by:

$$P_{i,j}^{(t)} = \begin{cases} 0, & -3\sigma_{E^{(t)}} \leq E_{i,j}^{(t)} \leq 3\sigma_{E^{(t)}} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and compute the sparse noise by

$$S^{(t)} = P^{(t)} \circ E^{(t)} \quad (6)$$

Algorithm 1 Iterative solution for Ex-RPCA.**Input:** sense-wise difference matrix M , dimensionality of principal components d **Output:** low-rank matrix L , sparse noise matrix S , Gaussian noise matrix E

- 1: Let $M^{(0)} = M, t = 0, S = \text{zero matrix}$
- 2: **while not converged do**
- 3: Compute $L^{(t)} + E^{(t)} = M^{(t)}$ using PCA
- 4: Compute $P^{(t)}$ using Eq (5)
- 5: Compute $S^{(t)}$ using Eq (6)
- 6: Let $S = S + S^{(t)}$
- 7: Let $M^{(t+1)} = M^{(t)} - S^{(t)}$
- 8: Let $t = t + 1$
- 9: **end while**
- 10: **return** $L^{(t)}, E^{(t)}, S$

where \circ represents element-wise product of two matrices. After obtaining the sparse noise term $S^{(t)}$, let $M^{(t+1)} = M^{(t)} - S^{(t)}$ and go to the next iteration. Algorithm 1 summarizes the inexact iterative solution for Ex-RPCA. The proof of convergence of this algorithm is trivial.

3.3 Pseudo Multi Sense Elimination

With the extracted principal components, we can directly calculate a linear transformation to eliminate the pseudo multi senses of word embeddings. Based on the property of kernel space, there exists a unique linear transformation which satisfies that: (1) Each principal component is projected to $\mathbf{0}$. (2) Each vector orthogonal to all principal components is projected to itself. Due to the limited space, the proof is in Appendix A.

With the linear transformation, the original word embeddings V can be projected to a new space

$$\tilde{V} = \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s^w = T\mathbf{v}_s^w | \mathbf{v}_s^w \in V\} \quad (7)$$

In the new space \tilde{V} , the effect of pseudo multi senses is much lower than that in the original space V .

4 Experiments

The experiment section is organized as follows: we first analyze the properties of the principal components extracted by PCA and Ex-RPCA in Section 4.1; then we qualitatively analyze the sparse noise term of Ex-RPCA in Section 4.2; finally, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods in Section 4.3 on contextual word

Model	#	Pairs
PCA	1	income _{2,4/4,5} , campaigns _{1,5} , age _{6,7} , development _{4,5/1,4/2,5} , goals _{2,6}
	2	Berlin _{0,6} , Martin _{3,4} , Greek _{0,3} , Jan _{0,4/0,6} , name _{1,3}
	3	quarterback _{3,9} , playoff _{3,9/1,9/6,9} , NBA _{0,1} , Houston _{1,3} , mayor _{0,6}
Ex-RPCA, rank(L) =3	1	after _{1,2} , eventually _{0,1} , whilst _{0,1} , again _{1,2} , finally _{1,2}
	2	although _{1,2} , well _{2,3} , initially _{1,2} , more _{1,4} , both _{0,2}
	3	Brian _{1,2} , February _{0,2} , Daniel _{1,2} , September _{2,7} , Frank _{0,2}

Table 1: Representative sense pairs for principal components. The subscripts refer to sense ids in pairs.

Component	Feature	Avg. cos	ρ_{var}
PCA#1	political words	0.27	12.3
PCA#2	proper nouns	0.22	8.9
PCA#3	sports related	0.20	5.8
sum _{PCA}	N/A	0.69	27.0
Ex-RPCA#1	conj. or adv.	0.76	13.7
Ex-RPCA#2	conj. or adv.	0.72	9.9
Ex-RPCA#3	proper nouns	0.64	6.4
sum _{Ex-RPCA}	N/A	2.12	30.0

Table 2: Manually summarized common features of senses listed in Table 1 for each principal component, average cosine value of shown senses to each principal component, and explained variance ratio ($\times 100$) of each principal component.

similarity task.

4.1 Analysis of Principal Components

In Table 1, we show the words of which sense pairs have the top-5 largest cosine similarity with the first three principal components extracted by PCA and Ex-RPCA. Interestingly, most of the words listed do not have multiple senses, *e.g.*, “Berlin” for PCA and “eventually” for Ex-RPCA, yet they are assigned with several sense ids. For those words with multiple senses, we manually investigate what sense it represents through its nearest neighbors. With no exception, the listed sense pairs are all pseudo multi-senses. This phenomenon indicates that pseudo multi-senses are generated systematically rather than accidentally.

Table 2 collects the manually summarized common features of sense pairs related to each principal component. According to the results, PCA

Word	Nearest Neighbors	$\ S_p\ _2$
prime _{s0}	minister, cabinet, parliament	3.35
prime _{s1}	modulo, space, equivalently, real	
yard _{s0}	touchdown, interception, kickoff	2.75
yard _{s1}	lawn, backyard, garden, porch	
engine _{s0}	jeep, truck, wheel, vehicle, car	0.61
engine _{s1}	camshaft, turbine, gearbox	
cat _{s0}	dog, pet, wolf, bird, animal	0
cat _{s1}	dog, pets, puppy, cats, fox	

Word	Sense #0	Sense #1
prime	(political) role	a kind of number
yard	unit of measure	outdoor enclosure
engine	railroad locomotive	machine
cat	animal	animal

Table 3: Above: k nearest neighbors of senses in random selected pairs, as well as the 2-norm of the corresponding columns in noise matrix. Below: inferred meaning for each sense.

is more sensitive to senses with specific meanings, while Ex-RPCA is able to capture part of speech (POS) features, which is considered more general than topical features captured by PCA. Experimental results demonstrate that Ex-RPCA is more capable to discover systematical relations.

4.2 Analysis of Sparse Noise in Ex-RPCA

Ex-RPCA decomposes a matrix into a low-rank term for pseudo multi-senses, a Gaussian error term for errors randomly occurred during training, and a sparse noise term for salient difference of real multi-senses. We choose several words and select two senses of each word, manually infer the meaning of each sense through nearest neighbors, and compare the 2-norm of the corresponding column vector in sparse noise matrix. As shown in Table 3, the pairs of real multi senses (*i.e.*, two senses of word “prime” and “yard”) have larger noise than pairs of pseudo multi senses (*i.e.*, two senses of word “cat”). As for the word “engine”, we can infer that there is indeed a slight difference between its two senses, although people sometimes prefer combining them into a single sense. From our observation, the sparse noise term in the proposed Ex-RPCA can serve as an accurate indicator of real multi senses.

4.3 Word Similarity

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of our framework, we choose WordSim-353 (WS-353)

Vector	WS353	SCWS	Rank(L)
V	68.6	59.8	N/A
$\tilde{V}_{\text{WordNet}}$	69.1	62.3	N/A
\tilde{V}_{PCA}	69.2	65.3	5
$\tilde{V}_{\text{Ex-RPCA}}$	69.2	65.4	3

Table 4: Spearman rank correlation ($\rho \times 100$) on Word Sim 353 dataset and SCWS dataset. V refers to the 300-dimensional non-parametric multi-sense skip-gram model (Neelakantan et al., 2014), and the results of $\tilde{V}_{\text{WordNet}}$ are extracted from Shi et al. (2016). Rank(L) is the dimensionality of L when reaching the best performance for each type of vectors.

dataset (Finkelstein et al., 2001) and Stanford Contextual Word Similarity (SCWS) dataset (Huang et al., 2012) for quantitative evaluation.

WS-353 dataset provides a list of word pairs and human-rated similarity score to each pair. SCWS dataset consists of 2003 word pairs and their sentential contexts. It is worth noting that the scores to each word pairs in WS-353 are given without any contextual information, thus result on SCWS dataset is more reliable.

To ensure a fair comparison with Neelakantan et al. (2014), we use the word embeddings released by them. For evaluation metrics, we adopt avgSim on WS-353 and localSim (also referred to maxSimC proposed by Reisinger and Mooney (2010)) on SCWS dataset, respectively. In Table 4, we report the Spearman rank correlation between similarity scores of models and the human judgments in the datasets. V refers to the 300-dimensional non-parametric multi-sense skip-gram model (Neelakantan et al., 2014), and $\tilde{V}_{\text{WordNet}}$ refers to the method in Shi et al. (2016). Our method boosts performance by remarkable 5.6 points, even better than semi-supervised method. PCA and Ex-RPCA achieves almost the same results on two dataset. While equipped with the real multi-sense indicator, Ex-RPCA has a wider field of application with good prospects.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we frame the pseudo multi-sense detection into a dimensionality reduction problem. Through proposed Ex-RPCA for principal component analysis, we demonstrate that unsupervised multi-sense word embedding models produce pseudo multi-senses systematically. Moreover, the multi-sense word embeddings can be improved by a simple linear transformation based on

Ex-RPCA. Our method boosts performance of the baseline by a large margin. We expect future applications of the proposed method on linguistic analysis for multi-sense word embeddings in the future.

References

- Yixin Cao, Lifu Huang, Heng Ji, Xu Chen, and Juanzi Li. 2017. Bridge text and knowledge by learning multi-prototype entity mention embedding. In *Proc. ACL*.
- Xinxiong Chen, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2014. A unified model for word sense representation and disambiguation. In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Jianpeng Cheng and Dimitri Kartsaklis. 2015. Syntax-aware multi-sense word embeddings for deep compositional models of meaning. In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Lev Finkelstein, Evgeniy Gabrilovich, Yossi Matias, Ehud Rivlin, Zach Solan, Gadi Wolfman, and Eytan Ruppin. 2001. Placing search in context: The concept revisited. In *Proc. WWW*.
- Jiang Guo, Wanxiang Che, Haifeng Wang, and Ting Liu. 2014. Learning sense-specific word embeddings by exploiting bilingual resources. In *Proc. COLING*.
- Harold Hotelling. 1933. Analysis of a complex of statistical variables into principal components. *Journal of educational psychology*.
- Eric H Huang, Richard Socher, Christopher D Manning, and Andrew Y Ng. 2012. Improving word representations via global context and multiple word prototypes. In *Proc. ACL*.
- Ignacio Iacobacci, Mohammad Taher Pilehvar, and Roberto Navigli. 2015. Senseembed: Learning sense embeddings for word and relational similarity. In *Proc. ACL*.
- Ian T Jolliffe. 1986. Principal component analysis and factor analysis. In *Principal component analysis*.
- Guang-He Lee and Yun-Nung Chen. 2017. Muse: Modularizing unsupervised sense embeddings. In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Jiwei Li and Dan Jurafsky. 2015. Do multi-sense embeddings improve natural language understanding? In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Zhouchen Lin, Risheng Liu, and Zhixun Su. 2011. Linearized alternating direction method with adaptive penalty for low-rank representation. In *Proc. NIPS*.
- Sebastian Mika, Bernhard Schölkopf, Alex J Smola, Klaus-Robert Müller, Matthias Scholz, and Gunnar Rätsch. 1999. Kernel pca and de-noising in feature spaces. In *Proc. NIPS*.
- George A Miller. 1995. WordNet: a lexical database for English. *Communications of the ACM* 38(11):39–41.
- Arvind Neelakantan, Jeevan Shankar, Alexandre Passos, and Andrew McCallum. 2014. Efficient non-parametric estimation of multiple embeddings per word in vector space. In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Joseph Reisinger and Raymond J Mooney. 2010. Multi-prototype vector-space models of word meaning. In *Proc. NAACL-HLT*.
- Haoyue Shi, Caihua Li, and Junfeng Hu. 2016. Real multi-sense or pseudo multi-sense: An approach to improve word representation. *Proc. of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Linguistic Complexity*.
- John Wright, Arvind Ganesh, Shankar Rao, Yigang Peng, and Yi Ma. 2009. Robust principal component analysis: Exact recovery of corrupted low-rank matrices via convex optimization. In *Proc. NIPS*.

Supplemental Materials

A Proof of the Existence and Uniqueness of Linear Transformation in Section 3.3

A.1 Problem

Let W be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ be a group of orthogonal basis of W . We will prove that there exists a unique matrix T , which satisfies

$$T\mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0}, & \mathbf{x} \in W \\ \mathbf{x}, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - W \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

A.2 Proof

It is easy to extend $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ to $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$, which are a group of orthogonal basis of \mathbb{R}^n . Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^T \\ \alpha_2^T \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n^T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{1,n} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \dots & \alpha_{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha_{n,1} & \alpha_{n,2} & \dots & \alpha_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{cases} T\alpha_1 = 0 \\ T\alpha_2 = 0 \\ \dots \\ T\alpha_k = 0 \\ T\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_{k+1} \\ \dots \\ T\alpha_n = \alpha_n \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_1^T T_i^T = 0 \\ \alpha_2^T T_i^T = 0 \\ \dots \\ \alpha_k^T T_i^T = 0 \\ \alpha_{k+1}^T T_i^T = \alpha_{k+1,i} \\ \dots \\ \alpha_n^T T_i^T = \alpha_{n,i} \end{cases} \quad \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (10)$$

Here, T_i^T denotes the i^{th} column of T^T . The right part of Eq (10) can be rewrite to

$$AT_i = \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha_{k+1,i}, \dots, \alpha_{n,i})^T}_{k \times 0} \quad (11)$$

Let \mathbf{c}_i denote the right part in Eq (11). There exists a unique solution for $T_i = A^{-1}\mathbf{c}_i$ since $\text{rank}(A) = n, \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, there exists a unique solution for T . #

B Solution for Extended Robust PCA via Convex Optimization

The augmented Language equation for problem (4) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(L, E, S, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \sigma_i + \lambda_1 \|E\|_F^2 + \lambda_2 \|S\|_1 + \langle Y, M - L - E - S \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - L - E - S\|_F^2 \quad (12)$$

where Y is the Lagrange multiplier, $\langle X, Y \rangle$ represents the inner product of two matrices X and Y , m is the number of singular values of L .

Before solving the problem, we first define two operators R_a and D_a :

$$R_a(X) = \text{sgn}(X) \max(|X| - a, 0) \quad (13)$$

$$D_a(X) = UR_a(\Sigma)V^T \quad (14)$$

s.t. $X = U\Sigma V^T$

where a is a real number, X is a matrix, U, Σ, V is the result of singular value decomposition of X .

In each iteration t we update L, E, S and Y according to the following equations. For the convenient of express, all the variables without superscript denote the value at the t^{th} iteration.

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(t+1)} &= \underset{L}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - L - E - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y\|_F^2 \\ &= D_{\frac{1}{\mu}}(M - L - E - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(t+1)} &= \underset{E}{\text{argmin}} \lambda_1 \|E\|_F^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - L - E - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y\|_F^2 \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\mu + 2\lambda_1} (M - L - E - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(t+1)} &= \underset{S}{\text{argmin}} \lambda_2 \|S\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - E - L - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y\|_F^2 \\ &= R_{\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu}}(M - L - E - S + \frac{1}{\mu} Y) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$Y^{(t+1)} = Y + \mu(M - L - E - S) \quad (18)$$

Algorithm 2 Solution for Ex-RPCA via convex optimization.

Input: sense-wise difference matrix M , weight terms λ_1, λ_2


Output: low-rank matrix L , sparse noise matrix S , Gaussian noise matrix E

- 1: Initialize $\mu^{(0)}$ by $\frac{0.5}{\|\text{sgn}(M)\|_2}$
- 2: Initialize ρ by 6
- 3: Initialize t by 0
- 4: Random initialize L, E, Y, S
- 5: **while not converged do**
- 6: Update $L^{(t+1)}$ by Eq (15)
- 7: Update $E^{(t+1)}$ by Eq (16)
- 8: Update $S^{(t+1)}$ by Eq (17)
- 9: Update $Y^{(t+1)}$ by Eq (18)
- 10: Update $\mu^{(t+1)}$ by Eq (19)
- 11: Let $M^{(t+1)} = M^{(t)} - S^{(t)}$
- 12: Let $t = t + 1$
- 13: **end while**
- 14: **return** $L^{(t)}, E^{(t)}, S$

According to Lin et al. (2011), we design the update policy for μ as

$$\mu^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} \rho\mu & \text{if } \sqrt{\mu} \frac{\|E^{(t+1)} + S^{(t+1)} - E - S\|_F}{\|M\|_F} < \mu \\ \mu & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

The procedure to solve this problem is summarized in Algorithm 2.



Example Sentences

The domestic **cat.s0** is a small, typically furry, carnivorous mammal.

Tortoiseshell is a **cat.s1** coat coloring named for its similarity to tortoiseshell material.

Stubbs (a cat's name) was a **cat.s2** who was the mayor of Talkeetna, Alaska.

Figure 1: An overview of *pseudo multi-sense*. The figure above shows some word vectors (reduced to 2-D) in multi-sense word embeddings released by Neelakantan et al. (2014). The example sentences below are selected from Wikipedia by their contextual information of *cat*.

C An Overview of Pseudo Multi-Sense

Are pseudo multi-sense generated systematically or accidentally? Figure 1 provides a direct evidence. In the figure, each *dog* is associated with a *cat*, and each *tiger* is associated with a *lion*. What is more, the example sentences show that the three senses of *cat* should have the same meaning, *i.e.*, pseudo multi-senses.

D More Experimental Results

Figure 2 shows the relation between Spearman rank correlation and the number of dimension reduced in our methods. The performance drops very slowly with the increasing reduced dimensionality at first, but speeds up after reducing two-thirds of the original dimensions. Interestingly, only one-third of its dimensions is needed to keep the original performance on SCWS dataset.




Figure 2: Dimension reduced-SCWS Spearman correlation curve.