A Generalization of the Exponential-Logarithmic Distribution for Reliability and Life Data Analysis

Mohieddine Rahmouni^{*}, Ayman Orabi[†]

January 5,2018

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new two-parameter lifetime distribution, called the exponential-generalized truncated logarithmic (EGTL) distribution, by compounding the exponential and generalized truncated logarithmic distributions. Our procedure generalizes the exponential-logarithmic (EL) distribution modelling the reliability of systems by the use of first-order concepts, where the minimum lifetime is considered (Tahmasbi & Rezaei 2008). In our approach, we assume that a system fails if a given number k of the components fails and then, we consider the k^{th} -smallest value of lifetime instead of the minimum lifetime. The reliability and failure rate functions as well as their properties are presented for some special cases. The estimation of the parameters is attained by the maximum likelihood, the expectation maximization algorithm, the method of moments and the Bayesian approach, with a simulation study performed to illustrate the different methods of estimation. The application study is illustrated based on two real data sets used in many applications of reliability.

Keywords: Lifetime distributions, reliability, failure rate, order statistics, exponential distribution, truncated logarithmic distribution.

1 Introduction

Lifetime distributions are often used in reliability theory and survival analysis for modelling real data. They play a fundamental role in reliability in diverse disciplines such as finance, manufacture, biological sciences, physics and engineering. The exponential distribution is a basic model in reliability theory and survival analysis. It is often used to model system reliability at a component level, assuming the failure rate is constant (Balakrishnan & Basu 1995, Barlow & Proschan 1975, Sinha & Kale 1980). In recent years, a growing number of scholarly papers has been devoted to accommodate lifetime distributions with increasing or decreasing failure rate functions. The motivation is to give a parametric fit for real data sets where the underlying failure rates, arising on a latent competing risk problem base, present monotone shapes

^{*}Corresponding author, ESSECT, University of Tunis, Tunisia, and Community College in Abqaiq, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia. E-mail address: mohieddine.rahmouni@gmail.com

[†]Community College in Abqaiq, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia.

(nonconstant hazard rates). The proposed distributions are introduced as extensions of the exponential distribution, following Adamidis & Loukas (1998) and Kuş (2007), by compounding some useful lifetime and truncated discrete distributions (for review, see Barreto-Souza & Cribari-Neto (2009), Chahkandi & Ganjali (2009), Silva et al. (2010), Barreto-Souza et al. (2011), Cancho et al. (2011), Louzada-Neto et al. (2011), Morais & Barreto-Souza (2011), Hemmati et al. (2011), Nadarajah et al. (2013), Bakouch et al. (2014), and others). The genesis is stated on competing risk scenarios in presence of latent risks, i.e. there is no information about the causes of the component's failure (Basu & Klein 1982). In fact, a system may experience multiple failure processes that compete against each other, and whichever occurs first can cause the system to fail (Rafiee et al. 2017, Kalbfleisch & Prentice 2002, Andersen et al. 2002, Tsiatis 1998). The term competing risks refers to duration data where two or more causes are competing to determine the observed time-to-failure. The potential multiple causes of failure are not mutually exclusive but the interest lies in the time to the first coming one (Putter et al. 2007, Bakoyannis & Touloumi 2012). For further details see Basu (1981).

In the same way, the exponential-logarithmic (EL) distribution was proposed by Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008) as a log-series mixture of exponential random variables. This two-parameter distribution with decreasing failure rate (DFR) is obtained by mixing the exponential and logarithmic distributions. It is based on the idea of modelling the system's reliability where the time-to-failure occurs due to the presence of an unknown number of initial defects of some components is considered. Suppose the breakdown (failure) of a system of components occurs due to the presence of a non-observable number, Z, of initial defects of the same kind, that can be identifiable only after causing failure and are repaired perfectly (Adamidis & Loukas 1998, Kuş 2007). Let T_i be the failure time of the system due to the i^{th} defect. for $i \geq 1$. If we assume that $T = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_Z)$ are iid exponential random variables independent of Z, that follows a truncated logarithmic distribution, then the time to the first failure is adequately modelled by the EL distribution (Barreto-Souza & Silva 2015, Bourguignon et al. 2014, Ross 1976). For reliability studies, $X_{(1)} = min\{T_i\}_{i=1}^Z$ and $X_{(Z)} = max\{T_i\}_{i=1}^Z$ are used respectively in serial and parallel systems with identical components (Chahkandi & Ganjali 2009, Ramos et al. 2015). However, one may determine the distribution of the k^{th} smallest value of the time-to-failure (k^{th} order statistic), instead of the minimum lifetime (first order statistic).

There is a huge literature on the order statistics for reliability engineering (for review, see Barlow & Proschan (1975), Sarhan & Greenberg (1962), Barlow & Proschan (1965), Pyke (1965), Gnedenko et al. (1969), Pledger & Proschan (1971), Barlow & Proschan (1981), David (1981), Bain & Englehardt (1991), and references contained therein). The motivation arises in reliability theory, where the so-called k-out-of-n systems are studied (Xie & Wang 2008, Xie et al. 2005, Proschan & Sethuraman 1976, Kim et al. 1988). An engineering system consisting of n components is working if at least k out of the total n components are operating and it breaks down if (n - k + 1) or more components fail. Hence, a k-out-of-n system fails at the time of the $(n - k + 1)^{th}$ component failure (Barlow & Proschan 1975, Kamps 1995, Cramer & Kamps 2001). This binary-state context is based on the assumption that a system or its components can be either fully working or completely failing. However, in reality, a system may provide its specified function at less than full capacity when some of its components operate in a degraded state (Ramirez-Marquez & Coit 2005). The binary k-out-of-n system

reliability models have been extended to multi-state k-out-of-n system reliability models by allowing more than two performance levels for the system and its components (Eryilmaz 2014). Multi-state systems contain units presenting two or more failed states with multiple modes of failure and one working state (Anzanello 2009). Reliability models provide, through multistate, more realistic representations of engineering systems (Yingkui & Jing 2012). Many authors have made contributions about the reliability estimation approaches for multi-state systems (Jenney & Sherwin 1986, Page & Perry 1988, Rocco et al. 2005, Ramirez-Marquez & Coit 2004, Ramirez-Marquez & Levitin 2008, Levitin 2007, Levitin & Amari 2008).

In this paper, we generalize the EL distribution (Tahmasbi & Rezaei 2008) modelling the time to the first failure, to a distribution more appropriate for modelling any order statistic (second, third, or any k^{th} lifetime). For instance, suppose a machine produces a random number, Z units, of light bulbs or wire fuses which are put through a life test. Each item has a random lifetime T_i , i = 1, 2, ..., Z. The EL distribution (Tahmasbi & Rezaei 2008) is focused only on the minimum time-to-failure of the first of the Z functioning components. However, we may be interested in the k^{th} duration and then determine the lifetime distribution for the order statistics, assuming the system will fail if k of the units fail. We may let $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < ... < X_{(Z)}$ be the order statistics of z independent observations of the time T_i and then, we consider the k^{th} -smallest value of lifetime instead of the minimum lifetime. We assume that the T_i 's are not observable, but that $X_{(k)}$ is. We would like to estimate the lifetime distribution given the observations on $X_{(k)}$. The proposed new family of lifetime distributions is obtained by compounding the exponential and generalized truncated logarithmic distributions, named exponential-generalized truncated logarithmic (EGTL) distribution.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present the new family of lifetime distributions and the probability density function (pdf) for some special cases. The moment generating function, the r^{th} moment, the reliability, the failure rate function and the random number generation are discussed in this section. The estimation of parameters for this new family of distributions will be discussed in section 3. It is attained by maximum likelihood (MLEs) and expectation maximization (EM) algorithms. The method of moments and the Bayesian approach are also presented as possible alternatives to the MLEs method. As illustration of these three methods of estimation, numerical computations will be performed in section 4. The application study is illustrated based on two real data sets in section 5. The last section concludes the paper.

2 Properties of the distribution

2.1 Distribution

The derivation of the new family of lifetime distributions depends on the generalization of the compound exponential and truncated logarithmic distributions as follows: Let $T = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_Z)$ be iid exponential random variables with scale parameter $\theta > 0$ and a pdf given by: $f(t) = \theta e^{-\theta t}$, for t > 0, where Z is a discrete random variable following a logarithmicseries distribution with parameter 0 and a probability mass function (pmf), <math>P(Z = z), given by:

$$P(Z=z) = \frac{1}{-\ln(1-p)} \frac{p^z}{z}; z \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$
(1)

If Z is a truncated at k-1 logarithmic random variable with parameter p, then the probability function $P_{k-1}(Z=z)$ will be given by:

$$P_{k-1}(Z=z) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \frac{p^z}{z}; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, z \text{ and } z = k, k+1, \dots$$
 (2)

where,

$$A(p,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{p^j}{j} = -\ln(1-p) - \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^j}{j}$$
(3)

and,

$$\psi(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } k = 2, 3, ..., z \end{cases}$$
(4)

The pdf of the k^{th} order statistic $X_{(k)}$ (the k^{th} -smallest value of lifetime) exponentially distributed is given by the equation (5) (see, David & Nagaraja (1970), Balakrishnan & Cohen (1991), Balakrishnan (1996)):

$$f_k(x/z,\theta) = \frac{\theta\Gamma(z+1)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1} ; \, \theta, x > 0$$
(5)

From equations (2) and (5) the joint probability density is derived as¹:

$$g_k(x, z/p, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} \frac{\theta p^z e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)}$$
(6)

where, x is the lifetime of a system and z is the last order statistic. In equation (6) we consider the ascending order $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < ... < X_{(Z)}$. The joint probability density is determined by compounding a truncated at k - 1 logarithmic series distribution and the pdf of the k^{th} order statistic (k = 1, 2, ..., z). The use of the truncated at k - 1 logarithmic distribution is motivated by mathematical interest because we are interested in the k^{th} order statistic. There is a left-truncation scheme, where only (z - k + 1) individuals who survive a sufficient time are included, i.e. we observe only individuals or units with $X_{(k)}$ exceeding the time of the event that truncates individuals. In comparison with the formulation of Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008) and Adamidis & Loukas (1998), we consider the k^{th} -smallest value of lifetime instead of the minimum lifetime $X_{(1)} = min\{T_i\}_{i=1}^Z$. So, our proposed new lifetime distribution, named exponential-generalized truncated logarithmic (EGTL) distribution, is the marginal density distribution of x given by:

$$g_k(x/p,\theta,k) = \frac{\theta p^k e^{-\theta x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)(1-pe^{-\theta x})^k} ; \quad x \in [0,\infty)$$
(7)

where $0 is the shape parameter and <math>\theta$ is the scale parameter. This distribution is more appropriate for modelling any k^{th} order statistic $(2^{nd}, 3^{rd} \text{ or any } k^{th} \text{ lifetime})$. The particular case of the EGTL density function, for k = 1, is the EL distribution modelling the time of the first failure, $X_{(1)} = min\{T_i\}_{i=1}^Z$, given by Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008):

 $^{^{1}}$ The proofs of all steps and equations are presented in the appendix.

$$g_1(x; p, \theta) = \frac{p\theta e^{-\theta x}}{-\ln(1-p)(1-pe^{-\theta x})}$$

For k = 1, this pdf decreases strictly in x and tends to zero as $x \to \infty$. The modal value of the density of the EL distribution, at x = 0, is given by $\frac{\theta p}{-(1-p)\ln(1-p)}$ and hence, its median is $x_{\text{median}} = -\frac{1}{\theta} \ln \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-p}}{p}\right)$. The EL distribution tends to an exponential distribution with rate parameter θ , as $p \to 1$. The function is concave upward on $[0, \infty)$. The graphs of the density resemble those of the exponential and Pareto II distributions (see, Figure 1).



Figure 1: Probability functions of the EGTL distribution for k = 1, 2, 3, 4

Also, the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of x corresponding to the pdf in equation (7) is given by:

$$G_k(x/p,\theta,k) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-1)^r (1-p)^r I_{(x,r)}$$
(8)

where,

$$I_{(x,r)} = \int_{1-p}^{1-pe^{-\theta x}} t^{-(r+1)} dt$$

Then, the final cdf can be reduced to:

$$G_{k}(x/p,\theta,k) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(py)^{j}}{j}$$

= $\frac{1}{A(p,k)} \Big[-\ln(1-py) - \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(py)^{j}}{j} \Big]$ (9)
= $\frac{\ln(1-py) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(py)^{j}}{j}}{\ln(1-p) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^{j}}{j}}$

where,

$$y = \frac{1 - e^{-\theta x}}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}$$

2.2 Moment generating function and r^{th} Moment

Suppose x has the pdf in equation (7), then the moment generating function (mgf) is given by:

$$E(e^{tx}) = \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{k-1+i}{i} p^i \beta(i-\frac{t}{\theta}+1,k)$$
(10)

where,

$$\beta(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

and hence, we can write the mgf as:

$$E(e^{tx}) = \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{i+j-\frac{t}{\theta}+1}$$
(11)

$$E(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(e^{tx})/_{t=0}$$

= $\frac{p^k}{\theta A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{(i+j-\frac{t}{\theta}+1)^2}/_{t=0}}$
= $\frac{p^k}{\theta A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{(i+j+1)^2}}$

The r^{th} moment is given by:

$$E(x^{r}) = \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{\theta^{r}} \frac{p^{k}}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^{i} (-1)^{j} \frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{r+1}}$$
(12)

2.3 Reliability and failure rate functions

It is well known that the reliability (survival) function is the probability of being alive just before duration x, given by $S(x) = Pr(X > x) = 1 - G(x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(t)dt$ which is the probability that the event of interest has not occurred by duration x. So, the reliability S(x)is the probability that a system will be successful in the interval from time 0 to time x, where X is a random variable denoting the time-to-failure or failure time. One may refer to the literature on reliability theory (Barlow & Proschan 1975, 1981, Basu 1988). The survival function, corresponding to the pdf in equation (7), is given by equation (13). Table (1) presents the reliability function for some special cases.

$$S_k(x/p,\theta,k) = 1 - \frac{\ln\left(1 - p\frac{1 - e^{-\theta x}}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}\right) + \psi(k)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{1}{j}\left(p\frac{1 - e^{-\theta x}}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}\right)^j}{\ln(1-p) + \psi(k)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{p^j}{j}}$$
(13)

Table 1: R	eliability	y function for some special cases
order statistic	k	$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{x})$
first	k = 1	$\frac{\ln(1-pe^{-\theta x})}{\ln(1-p)}$
second	k = 2	$\frac{\ln(1-pe^{-\theta x})-p\left[\frac{1-e^{-\theta x}}{1-pe^{-\theta x}}-1\right]}{\ln(1-p)+p}$
third	k = 3	$\frac{\ln(1-pe^{-\theta x}) + p + \frac{p^2}{2} - p \frac{1-e^{-\theta x}}{1-pe^{-\theta x}} - \frac{p^2}{2} \left(\frac{1-e^{-\theta x}}{1-pe^{-\theta x}}\right)^2}{\ln(1-p) + p + \frac{p^2}{2}}$

The failure rate, known as hazard rate function h(x), is the instantaneous rate of occurrence of the event of interest at duration x (i.e. the rate of event occurrence per unit of time). Mathematically, it is equal to the pdf of events at x, divided by the probability of surviving to that duration without experiencing the event. Thus, we define the failure rate function as in Barlow et al. (1963) by h(x) = g(x)/S(x). The hazard function for some special cases is given in table (2).

The failure rate function is analytically related to the failure's probability distribution. It leads to the examination of the increasing (IFR) or decreasing failure rate (DFR) properties of life-length distributions. G is an IFR distribution, if h(x) increases for all X such that G(X) < 1. The motivation of the EGTL lifetime distribution is the realistic features of the hazard rate in many real-life physical and non-physical systems, which is not monotonically increasing, decreasing or constant hazard rate. If k = 1, the hazard rate function is decreasing following Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008). In fact, if $x \to 0$ then $h(x/p, \theta, k) = \frac{-p\theta}{(1-p)\ln(1-p)}$ and if $x \to \infty$ then $h(x/p, \theta, k) \to \theta$. For k > 1, there is an increasing failure rate. Indeed, if $x \to 0$ then $h(x/p, \theta, k) \to 0$. If $x \to \infty$ then $h(x/p, \theta, k) > 0$ (see Figure 2).

2.4 Random number generation

We can generate a random variable from the cdf of x in equation (9) using the following steps:





Figure 2: Hazard functions of the EGTL distribution for k = 1, 2, 3, 4

- Generate a random variable U from the standard uniform distribution.
- Solve the non linear equation in y:

$$U = \frac{\ln(1-py) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(py)^j}{j}}{\ln(1-p) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^j}{j}}$$
(14)

where,

$$\psi(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } k = 2, 3, ..., z \end{cases}$$

• Calculate the values of X such as:

$$X = -\frac{1}{\theta} \ln\left(\frac{1-y}{1-py}\right) \tag{15}$$

where X is EGTL random variable with parameters θ and p. Note that for the special case k = 1, we generate X directly from the following equation:

$$X = -\frac{1}{\theta} \ln\left(\frac{1 - (1 - p)^{1 - u}}{p}\right)$$
(16)

3 Estimation of the parameters

In this section, we will determine the estimates of the parameters p and θ for the EGTL new family of distributions. There are many methods available for estimating the parameters of interest. We present here the three most popular methods: Maximum likelihood, method of moments and Bayesian estimations.

3.1 Maximum Likelihood estimation

Let (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) be a random sample from the EGTL distribution. The log-likelihood function given the observed values, $x_{obs} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, is:

$$\ln L = n \ln(\theta) + nk \ln(p) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1 - e^{-\theta x_i}) - n \ln A(p,k) - k \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1 - pe^{-\theta x_i})$$
(17)

We subsequently derive the associated gradients:

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial p} = \frac{np^{k-1}}{(1-p)\left[\ln(1-p) + \psi(k)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{p^j}{j}\right]} + \frac{nk}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{e^{\theta x_i} - p}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{e^{\theta x_i} - 1} - kp \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{e^{\theta x_i} - p}$$

We need the Fisher information matrix for interval estimation and tests of hypotheses on the parameters. It can be expressed in terms of the second derivatives of the log-likelihood function:

$$\mathcal{I}(\widehat{p},\widehat{\theta}) = - \left(\begin{array}{c} E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial p^2}\right) & E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial p \partial \theta}\right) \\ E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta \partial p}\right) & E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2}\right) \end{array} \right) \bigg|_{\theta = (\widehat{p},\widehat{\theta})}$$

The maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) \hat{p} and $\hat{\theta}$ of the EGTL parameters p and θ , respectively, can be found analytically using the iterative EM algorithm to handle the incomplete data problems (Dempster et al. 1977, Krishnan & McLachlan 1997). The iterative method consists in repeatedly updating the parameter estimates by replacing the "missing data" with the new estimated values. The standard method used to determine the MLEs is the Newton-Raphson algorithm that requires second derivatives of the log-likelihood function for all iterations. The main drawback of the EM algorithm is its rather slow convergence, compared to the Newton-Raphson method, when the "missing data" contain a relatively large amount of information (Little & Rubin 1983). Recently, several researchers have used the EM method such as Adamidis & Loukas (1998), Adamidis et al. (2005), Karlis & Xekalaki (2003), Ng et al. (2002) and others. Newton-Raphson is required for the M-step of the EM algorithm. To start the algorithm, a hypothetical distribution of complete-data is defined with the pdf in equation (6) and then, we drive the conditional mass function as:

$$p(z/x, p, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} p^{z-k} e^{-\theta(z-k)x} (1-pe^{-\theta x})^k$$
(18)

E-step:

$$E(z/x, p, \theta) = \frac{k}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}$$
(19)

M-step:

$$\hat{p}^{(r+1)} = \frac{-\left(1 - p^{(r+1)}\right) \left[\ln\left(1 - p^{(r+1)}\right) + \psi(k)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^j}{j}\right]}{n\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k}{1 - p^{(r)}e^{-\theta^{(r)}x_i}} \tag{20}$$

$$\widehat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{kx_i}{1 - p^{(r)} e^{-\theta^{(r)} x_i}} - (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-\theta^{(r+1)} x_i}} \right]^{-1}$$
(21)

3.2 Method of moments estimation

The method of moments involves equating theoretical with sample moments. The estimate of r^{th} moment is $\hat{\mu}_r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r$. For the EGTL distribution, the r^{th} moment is given by equation (12). The corresponding first and second moments are given by:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i} = E(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}\frac{p^{k}}{A(p,k)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1+i}{i}\binom{k-1}{j}p^{i}(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{2}}$$
(22)

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} = E(x^{2}) = \frac{2}{\theta^{2}}\frac{p^{k}}{A(p,k)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1+i}{i}\binom{k-1}{j}p^{i}(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{3}}$$
(23)

From equation (22) we obtain:

$$\theta = \frac{p^k}{\overline{x}A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{(i+j+1)^2}$$
(24)

and then, we should solve the following equation in p:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} - \frac{2\overline{x}^{2}A(p,k)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1+i}{i}\binom{k-1}{j}p^{i}(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{3}}}{p^{k}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1+i}{i}\binom{k-1}{j}p^{i}(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{2}}\right]^{2}} = 0$$
(25)

Thereafter, we determine $\hat{\theta}$ by replacing p with its estimated value, \hat{p} , in the equation (24).

3.3 Bayesian estimation

In the Bayesian approach inferences are expressed in a posterior distribution for the parameters which is, according to Bayes' theorem, given in terms of the likelihood and a prior density function by:

$$P_k(p,\theta/x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = \frac{g_k(x/p,\theta).\pi_k(p,\theta)}{g_k(x)}$$
(26)

where, $\pi_k(p,\theta)$ is a prior probability distribution function and $g_k(x/p,\theta)$ is the likelihood of observations $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. Note that $g_k(x)$ is the normalizing constant for the function $\pi_k(p,\theta)g_k(x/p,\theta)$ given by:

$$\int \int \pi_k(p,\theta) g_k(x/p,\theta) dp d\theta$$
(27)

We should first specify our initial beliefs or other sorts of knowledge on the prior distribution $\pi_k(p,\theta)$. Here, we suppose that the standard uniform distribution on the interval [0,1] is a prior distribution for the parameter p and gamma, G(a, b), is a prior distribution for the parameter θ , where a is a shape parameter and b is a scale parameter. The prior probability function is then equal to:

$$\pi_k(p,\theta) = \pi_{1,k}(p)\pi_{2,k}(\theta) \tag{28}$$

where, $\pi_{1,k}(p) = 1$ and $\pi_{2,k}(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta}$.

Using the mean square error as a risk function, we obtain the Bayes estimates as the means of the posterior distribution:

$$\widehat{\theta} = E(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \theta P_k(p, \theta/x) d\theta dp$$
(29)

$$\widehat{p} = E(p) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty p P_k(p, \theta/x) dp d\theta$$
(30)

4 Simulation study

As an illustration of the three last methods of estimation, numerical computations have been performed using the steps presented in section 2.4 for the random number generation. The numerical study was based on 1000 random samples of the sizes 20, 50 and 100 from the EGTL distribution for each of the 3 values of $\lambda = (p, \theta)$ and the three cases $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$. We have considered the initial values (0.5; 0.5), (0.7; 1.5) and (0.3; 2). For this purpose, we have used the program Mathcad 14.0. After determining the parameter estimates $\hat{\lambda} = (\hat{p}, \hat{\theta})$ we compute the biases, the variances and the mean square errors (MSEs), where $MSE(\hat{\lambda}) = E(\hat{\lambda} - \lambda)^2 =$ $Bias^2(\hat{\lambda}) + var(\hat{\lambda})$ and $Bias(\hat{\lambda}) = E(\hat{\lambda}) - \lambda$. An estimator $\hat{\lambda}$ is said to be efficient if its mean square error (MSE) is minimum among all competitors. In fact, $\hat{\lambda}_1$ is more efficient than $\hat{\lambda}_2$ if $MSE(\hat{\lambda}_1) < MSE(\hat{\lambda}_2)$.

Table 3 reports the results from the simulated data where the variances and the MSEs of the parameters are given. The results show that, for each case $k = \{1, 2, 3\}$, the variances and the MSEs decrease when the sample size increases. We see that the values from the Bayesian method are generally lower than those obtained using the ML approach.

5 Application examples

In this section, we fit the EGTL distribution to two real data sets using the MLEs. The first set (table 4) consists of "107 failure times for right rear brakes on D9G-66A caterpillar tractors", reproduced from Barlow & Campo (1975) and used also by Chang & Rao (1993). These data are used in many applications of reliability (Adamidis et al. 2005, Tsokos 2012, Shahsanaei et al. 2012). The second set of data involves 100 observations (table 5) of the results from an experiment concerning "the tensile fatigue characteristics of a polyester/viscose yarn". These data were presented by Picciotto (1970) to study the problem of warp breakage during weaving. The observations were obtained on the cycles to failure of a 100 cm yarn sample put to test under 2.3% strain level. The sample is used in Quesenberry & Kent (1982) as an example to illustrate selection procedure among probability distributions used in reliability. The reliability function of these two data sets belongs to the increasing failure rate class (Doksum & Yandell 1984, Adamidis et al. 2005). In addition to our class of distributions, the gamma and Weibull distributions are $f_1(x, \lambda_1, \beta_1) = \lambda_1^{\beta_1} x^{\beta_1-1} exp(-\lambda_1 x) \Gamma(\beta_1)^{-1}$ and $f_2(x, \lambda_2, \beta_2) = \beta_2 \lambda_2^{\beta_2} x^{\beta_2-1} exp(-\lambda_2 x)^{\beta_2}$.

Table 6 shows the fitted parameters, the calculated values of Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) and their respective *p*-values for the two sets of data. It should be noted that the K-S test compares an empirical distribution with a known (not estimated) one. It is used to decide if a sample comes from a population with a specific distribution (H_0 : the data follow a

	п	¥	(p, heta)	$var(\widehat{p})$	$\frac{\mathbf{Maximu}}{var(\widehat{\theta})}$	m likelihoc $MSE(\hat{p})$	$\frac{MSE(\hat{\theta})}{M}$	$var(\widehat{p})$	$\frac{\text{Method}}{var(\hat{\theta})}$	of Momen $\underline{MSE(\widehat{p})}$	$\frac{1}{MSE(\hat{\theta})}$	$var(\widehat{p})$		$\frac{\mathbf{Bayesia}}{var(\hat{\theta})}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Bayesian \ method} \\ var(\widehat{\theta}) MSE(\widehat{p}) \end{array}$
	$n{=}20$, T			
		-	(0.2 ; 0.5) (0.7 ; 1.5)	0.2779	0.6980	0.2130 0.2861	0.2043 0.7145	0.3066	0.2241 1.0227	0.2849 0.3078	1.05	361 361	351 0.1/3/ 361 0.2208	361 0.1737 0.1414 361 0.2208 0.5794	361 0.1737 0.1414 0.1835 361 0.2208 0.5794 0.2287
			(0.3;2)	0.4250	1.0930	0.4680	1.1160	0.6890	1.7260	0.7270	1.89	30	30 0.2110	30 0.2110 0.5010	30 0.2110 0.5010 0.2420
		7	(0.5; 0.5)	0.2725	0.2013	0.2773	0.2027	0.3087	0.2327	0.3347	0.239	x	8 0.1934	8 0.1934 0.1227	8 0.1934 0.1227 0.1957
			$(0.7\ ;\ 1.5)\ (0.3\ ;\ 2)$	0.1105 0.2700	1.1326 0.6230	$0.1114 \\ 0.3660$	$1.1380 \\ 0.6520$	$0.1318 \\ 0.5750$	1.2050 1.0000	0.1409 0.6810	1.2078 1.1900		0.0742 0.1000	0.0742 $0.97300.1000$ 0.3690	0.0742 0.9730 $0.07960.1000$ 0.3690 0.1230
		°	(0.5 ; 0.5)	0.1407	0.1164	0.1193	0.1536	0.2186	0.1498	0.2245	0.1515		0.1054	0.1054 0.0490	0.1054 0.0490 0.1065
= 50 $ = 10 $ $ = 10$			(0.7 cmes 1.5) (0.3 cmes 2)	$0.1782 \\ 0.4090$	0.6809 0.8630	0.1938 0.4410	$0.7694 \\ 0.9040$	0.2247 1.2390	0.9003 0.6680	0.2269 1.2930	0.9290 0.7200		0.1653 0.1590	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.1653 & 0.5840 \\ 0.1590 & 0.3380 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	1=50	1	(0.5 ; 0.5)	0.1099	0.1032	0.1119	0.1049	0.1534	0.1280	0.1848	0.1308		0.0681	0.0681 0.0493	0.0681 0.0493 0.0723
			(0.7 ; 1.5) (0.3 ; 2)	$0.1221 \\ 0.3630$	$0.5182 \\ 0.8440$	0.1257 0.3820	0.5431 0.9340	0.1426 0.6330	0.6526 1.6030	$0.1532 \\ 0.6580$	0.6929 1.6480		0.0567 0.1380	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.0567 & 0.3863 \\ 0.1380 & 0.3710 \end{array}$	0.0567 0.3863 0.0625 0.1380 0.3710 0.1730
		c					00010	0100.0	00110	1100 0			7 FOF 0		
		N	(0.7 ± 1.5)	0.1051	0.1097 1.0626	0.1072	0.1239	0.1287	0.1422	0.1381	0.1544 1.1923		0.0691	0.1014 $0.04430.0691$ 0.9795	0.1014 0.0443 $0.11190.0691$ 0.9795 0.0735
			(0.3; 2)	0.2350	0.5620	0.2510	0.5770	0.5330	0.8980	0.5650	0.9390		0.0340	0.0340 0.2670	0.0340 0.2670 0.0740
		ŝ	(0.5 ; 0.5)	0.0941	0.0782	0.0947	0.0896	0.1782	0.1134	0.1810	0.1167		0.0624	0.0624 0.0185	0.0624 0.0185 0.0686
			(0.7 ; 1.5)	0.0606	0.5371	0.0608	0.5459	0.1005	0.6989	0.1067	0.7072		0.0433	0.0433 0.4644	0.0433 0.4644 0.0438
	=100		(0.3; 2	0.3360	0.7130	0.3790	0.8170	0.5770	1.1460	0.6340	1.1800		0.1310	0.1310 0.2140	0.1310 0.2140 0.1430
		Ч	(0.5 ; 0.5)	0.0652	0.0398	0.0663	0.0592	0.1049	0.0827	0.1098	0.0879		0.0185	0.0185 0.0022	0.0185 0.0022 0.0327
			$(0.7 \ ; \ 1.5)$	0.0531	0.4206	0.0605	0.4663	0.0870	0.5859	0.0901	0.5876		0.0013	0.0013 0.1941	0.0013 0.1941 0.0052
2 (0.5; 0.5) 0.1059 0.0733 0.1140 0.0804 0.1897 0.1971 0.1162 (0.7; 1.5) 0.0522 0.9943 0.0614 1.0109 0.0849 1.1288 0.0889 1.1395 (0.3; 2) 0.1739 0.4719 0.2009 0.5239 0.4229 0.7759 0.4979 0.8269 3 (0.3; 2) 0.0749 0.0560 0.0814 0.0613 0.1255 0.4979 0.8269 10.13 0.0749 0.0560 0.0814 0.0613 0.1255 0.4979 0.8269 (0.7; 1.5) 0.0174 0.4517 0.0199 0.4680 0.0543 0.1471 0.0901 (0.3; 2) 0.2183 0.4733 0.2386 0.4923 0.4923 1.0643			(0.3; 2)	0.2588	0.6168	0.2828	0.7518	0.4918	1.2348	0.5318	1.3888		0.0118	8600.0 8110.0	0.0118 0.0058 0.0618
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	(0.5 ; 0.5)	0.1059	0.0733	0.1140	0.0804	0.1897	0.1097	0.1971	0.1162		0.0479	0.0479 0.0051	0.0479 0.0051 0.0574
			(0.7 ; 1.5)	0.0522	0.9943	0.0614	1.0109	0.0849	1.1288	0.0889	1.1395		0.0002	0.0002 0.8165	0.0002 0.8165 0.0039
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(0.3;2)	0.1739	0.4719	0.2009	0.5239	0.4229	0.7759	0.4979	0.8269		0.0049	0.0049 0.0149	0.0049 0.0149 0.0219
		ŝ	(0.5; 0.5)	0.0749	0.0560	0.0814	0.0613	0.1255	0.0888	0.1471	0.0901		0.0247	0.0247 0.0005	0.0247 0.0005 0.0505
			$(0.7 \ ; \ 1.5)$	0.0174	0.4517 0.4733	0.0199	0.4680 0.5973	0.0543 0.4423	0.6477	0.0586 0.4923	0.6599 1 0643		0.0006	0.0006 0.3827	0.0006 0.3827 0.0011

Table 4: "Ordered Failure Times (in hours) of 107 Right Rear Brakes on D9G-66A Caterpillar Tractors" Barlow & Campo (1975), Chang & Rao (1993)

LIGCOOL		110.00	o cam	PO (10	,	5110116		(1000	,					
56	753	1153	1586	2150	2624	3826	83	763	1154	1599	2156	2675	3995	104
806	1193	1608	2160	2701	4007	116	834	1201	1723	2190	2755	4159	244	838
1253	1769	2210	2877	4300	305	862	1313	1795	2220	2879	4487	429	897	1329
1927	2248	2922	5074	452	904	1347	1957	2285	2986	5579	453	981	1454	2005
2325	3092	5623	503	1007	1464	2010	2337	3160	6869	552	1008	1490	2016	2351
3185	7739	614	1049	1491	2022	2437	3191	661	1069	1532	2037	2454	3439	673
1107	1549	2065	2546	3617	683	1125	1568	2096	2565	3685	685	1141	1574	2139
2584	3756													

Table 5: "Results of Model Selection Program on Yarn Data" (Quesenberry & Kent 1982)

86	146	251	653	98	249	400	292	131	169	175	176	76	264	15
364	195	262	88	264	157	220	42	321	180	198	38	20	61	121
282	224	149	180	325	250	196	90	229	166	38	337	65	151	341
40	40	135	597	246	211	180	93	315	353	571	124	279	81	186
497	182	423	185	229	400	338	290	398	71	246	185	188	568	55
55	61	244	20	284	393	396	203	829	239	286	194	277	143	198
264	105	203	124	137	135	350	193	188	236					

specified distribution). We estimate some special cases (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) of the EGTL family of distributions at 5% significant level. The *p*-values are only significant for the case k = 1 for the Barlow & Campo (1975) and Quesenberry & Kent (1982) data sets. In fact, the data exhibit increasing failure rates but, the EGTL distribution is a decreasing failure rate if k = 1 (see figure 2). The new lifetime distribution provides good fit to the data sets. The K-S test shows that the EGTL distribution is an attractive alternative to the popular gamma and Weibull distributions. It generalizes the reliability lifetime distributions to any k^{th} order statistics. Indeed, as shown in section 2.3, If k = 1, the hazard rate function is decreasing following Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008) and there is an increasing hazard rate for k > 1.

Table 6: The Goodness of Fit for some Special Cases

Distributions	\widehat{p}	$\widehat{\theta}$	K-S value	p-value
Barlow & Campo (1975) data set $(n = 107)$:				
First order (k=1)	0.0500	$5.00 \ 10^{-6}$	0.9611	0.0000
Second order $(k=2)$	0.0232	$7.32 \ 10^{-4}$	0.0639	0.7746
Third order (k=3)	0.8811	$4.38 \ 10^{-4}$	0.1106	0.1456
Fourth order $(k=4)$	0.4209	$8.84 \ 10^{-4}$	0.0723	0.6305
Gamma	(0.94	3; 1.908)	0.0680	0.7343
Weibull	(0.44	7; 1.486)	0.0490	0.9999
Quesenberry & Kent (1982) data set $(n = 100)$:				
First order (k=1)	0.1901	$4.22 \ 10^{-3}$	0.1955	0.0009
Second order $(k=2)$	0.0248	$6.65 \ 10^{-3}$	0.1078	0.1952
Third order (k=3)	0.2127	$7.66 \ 10^{-3}$	0.0879	0.4218
Fourth order $(k=4)$	0.1031	$9.10\ 10^{-3}$	0.0786	0.5657
Gamma	(1.00	(8; 2.239)	0.0950	0.3118
Weibull	(0.40	(3; 1.604)	0.0760	0.6080

6 Conclusion

We define a new two-parameter lifetime distribution so-called EGTL distribution. Our procedure generalizes the EL distribution proposed by Tahmasbi & Rezaei (2008). We derive some mathematical properties and we present the plots of the pdf and the failure rate functions for some special cases. The estimation of the parameters is attained by the maximum likelihood, EM algorithm, the method of moments and the Bayesian approach, with numerical computations performed as illustration of the different methods of estimation. The application study is illustrated based on two real data sets used in many applications of reliability. We have shown that our proposed EGTL distribution is suitable for modelling the time to any failure and not only the time to the first or the last failure. It is very competitive compared with its standard counterpart's distributions.

Ordered random variables are already known for their ascending order. The paper may be extended to the concept of dual generalized ordered statistics, introduced by Burkschat et al. (2003), that enables a common approach to the descending ordered spacings like the reverse ordered statistics and the lower record values.

Appendix

Let $T = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_Z)$ be iid exponential r.v. with pdf given by: $f(t) = \theta e^{-\theta t}$, for t > 0, where Z is a log-series r.v. with pmf, P(Z = z), given by:

$$P(Z=z) = \frac{1}{-\ln(1-p)} \frac{p^z}{z}; z \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$$
(31)

From the Taylor series, for |x| < 1 we have:

$$ln(1+x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} x^j$$

then,

$$\ln(1-p) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^j}{j}$$

The truncated at k-1 logarithmic distribution with parameter p is:

$$P_{k-1}(Z=z) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \frac{p^z}{z}; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, z \text{ and } z = k, k+1, \dots$$
(32)

where,

$$A(p,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{p^j}{j} = -\ln(1-p) - \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^j}{j}$$
(33)

and,

$$\psi(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } k = 2, 3, ..., z \end{cases}$$
(34)

The pdf of the k^{th} order statistic is:

$$f_k(x/z,\theta) = \frac{\theta\Gamma(z+1)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1} ; \, \theta, x > 0$$
(35)

Then, the joint distribution from eq. (32) and (35) is:

$$g_{k}(x, z/p, \theta) = f_{k}(x/z, \theta)P_{k-1}(z/p)$$

$$= \frac{\theta\Gamma(z+1)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)}e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x}(1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}\frac{1}{A(p,k)}\frac{p^{z}}{z}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)}\frac{\theta p^{z}e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x}(1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)}$$
(36)

Let, $f = \theta e^{-\theta x}$, $F = 1 - e^{-\theta x}$, and $a = p e^{-\theta x} = p(1 - F)$

$$g_k(x, z/p, \theta) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \frac{(z-1)!p^k}{(z-k)!(k-1)!} f F^{k-1} a^{z-k}$$
(37)

the marginal density of x is:

$$g_k(x/p,\theta) = \frac{p^k f F^{k-1}}{A(p,k)} \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} \frac{(z-1)!}{(z-k)!(k-1)!} a^{z-k}$$
(38)

Let, z - k = s, z = k + s, k - 1 = z - s - 1

$$g_{k}(x/p,\theta) = \frac{p^{k}fF^{k-1}}{A(p,k)} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s+k-1)!}{s!(k-1)!} a^{s}$$

$$= \frac{p^{k}fF^{k-1}}{A(p,k)} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{s+k-1}{s} a^{s}$$

$$= \frac{p^{k}fF^{k-1}}{A(p,k)} \frac{1}{(1-a)^{k}}$$

$$= \frac{\theta p^{k}e^{-\theta x}(1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)(1-pe^{-\theta x})^{k}}; \quad x \in [0,\infty)$$
(39)

$$G_k(x/p,\theta) = \int_0^U \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} U^{k-1} \frac{1}{1-p(1-U)^k} dU$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \int_0^U \frac{U^{k-1}}{1-p(1-U)^k} dU$$
(40)

let y = 1 - p(1 - U); $J = \frac{1}{p}$; q = 1 - p

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(x/p,\theta) &= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \int_q^y \left(\frac{y-q}{p}\right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{y^k} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \int_q^y (y-q)^{k-1} y^{-k} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \int_q^y \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-q)^r y^{-(r+1)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-q)^r \int_q^y y^{-(r+1)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-q)^r \int_q^y I_{(x,r)} \\ &= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} (-1)^r (1-p)^r I_{(x,r)} \end{aligned}$$
(41)

where,

$$I_{(x,r)} = \int_{1-p}^{1-pe^{-\theta x}} t^{-(r+1)} dt$$

Otherwise, Let $y = \frac{U}{1-p(1-U)}$, then $U = \frac{y(1-p)}{1-py}$ and $1-p(1-U) = \frac{1-p}{1-py}$ $dU = \frac{1-p}{(1-py)^2}$

$$G(x) = \int_0^y \frac{p^k y^{k-1}}{1 - py} dy$$
(42)

if v = py; dv = pdy

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \int_{0}^{v} \frac{v^{k-1}}{1-v} dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{v} v^{k+r-1} dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{k+r}}{k+r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} \frac{v^{z}}{z} ; z = k+r$$

$$= \frac{-ln(1-v) - \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{v^{j}}{j}}{A(p,k)}$$

$$= \frac{A(py,k)}{A(p,k)}$$

$$y = \frac{1-e^{-\theta x}}{1-pe^{-\theta x}}$$

$$G(x) = \frac{ln(\frac{1-p}{1-pe^{-\theta x}})}{ln(1-p)}$$
(44)

for k = 1

The median is a solution of G(x) = 0.5, then:

$$x_{\text{median}} = -\frac{1}{\theta} \ln\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-p}}{p}\right) \tag{45}$$

The mgf is $E(e^{tx}) = E[(e^{-\theta x})^{-t/\theta}]$; let $u = e^{-\theta x}$

$$E(e^{tx}) = \frac{1}{A(p,k)} \int_{u=0}^{1} \frac{p^k u^{-t/\theta} (1-u)^{k-1}}{(1-pu)^k} du$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \int_{u=0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} (pu)^i u^{-t/\theta} (1-u)^{k-1} du$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} p^i \int_{u=0}^{1} u^{i-t/\theta} (1-u)^{k-1} du$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} p^i \beta(i-\frac{t}{\theta}+1,k)$$
(46)

where,

$$\beta(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

from the binomial theorem, we have:

$$(1-u)^{k-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} (-u)^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} (-1)^j u^j$$

then,

$$E(e^{tx}) = \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} p^i \int_{u=0}^{1} u^{i-t/\theta} (1-u)^{k-1} du$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} p^i \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1}{j}} (-1)^j \int_{u=0}^{1} u^{i+j-t/\theta} du$$

$$= \frac{p^k}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1+i}{i}} {\binom{k-1}{j}} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{i+j-\frac{t}{\theta}+1}$$
(47)

$$E(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(e^{tx})/_{t=0}$$

= $\frac{p^k}{\theta A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1+i}{j} \binom{k-1}{j}} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{(i+j-\frac{t}{\theta}+1)^2}/_{t=0}$
= $\frac{p^k}{\theta A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j}} p^i (-1)^j \frac{1}{(i+j+1)^2}$

The r^{th} moment is given by:

$$E(x^{r}) = \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{\theta^{r}} \frac{p^{k}}{A(p,k)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1+i}{i} \binom{k-1}{j} p^{i} (-1)^{j} \frac{1}{(i+j+1)^{r+1}}$$
(48)

Reliability or survival function:

$$S(x) = Pr(X \ge x) = 1 - G(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} f(t)dt$$

= $1 - \frac{A(py, k)}{A(p, k)}$
= $1 - \frac{\ln(1 - py) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(py)^{j}}{j}}{\ln(1 - p) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^{j}}{j}}$
= $1 - \frac{\ln\left(1 - p\frac{1 - e^{-\theta x}}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}\right) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j} \left(p\frac{1 - e^{-\theta x}}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}}\right)^{j}}{\ln(1 - p) + \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^{j}}{j}}$ (49)

EM algorithm:

Using the joint distribution $g_k(z, x/p, \theta)$ we drive the conditional mass function as:

$$p(z/x, p, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} p^{z-k} e^{-\theta(z-k)x} (1-pe^{-\theta x})^k$$
(50)

For |a| < 1, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+i}{i} a^i = \frac{1}{(1-a)^{n+1}}$$

E-step:

$$\begin{split} E(z/x, p, \theta) &= \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} zp(z/x, p, \theta) \\ &= \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} k \binom{z}{k} (pe^{-\theta x})^{z-k} (1 - pe^{-\theta x})^k \\ &= k(1 - pe^{-\theta x})^k \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} \binom{z}{k} (pe^{-\theta x})^{z-k} \\ &= k(1 - pe^{-\theta x})^k \sum_{z=k}^{\infty} \binom{z}{z-k} (pe^{-\theta x})^{z-k} \\ &= k(1 - pe^{-\theta x})^k \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+t}{t} (pe^{-\theta x})^t \\ &= \frac{k}{1 - pe^{-\theta x}} \end{split}$$

$$(51)$$

M-step:

Let $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ a random sample. The likelihood given the joint distribution $g_k(z, x/p, \theta)$ is:

$$L(p,\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_{k}(z, x/p, \theta)$$

=
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(z-k+1)} \frac{\theta p^{z} e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)}$$

=
$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta p^{z} e^{-\theta(z-k+1)x} (1-e^{-\theta x})^{k-1}}{A(p,k)}$$

=
$$\propto \theta^{n} p^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}} e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_{i}-k+1)x_{i}} A(p,k)^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-e^{-\theta x_{i}})^{k-1}$$
(52)

The log-likelihood is:

$$LL = n \ln(\theta) + \ln(p) \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i - \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - k + 1) x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - e^{-\theta x_i})^{k-1} - n \ln(A(p, k))$$
(53)
$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - k + 1) x_i + (k - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i e^{-\theta x_i}}{1 - e^{-\theta x_i}} = 0$$
$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i x_i - (k - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-\theta(r+1)x_i}} \right]^{-1}$$
eplace z_i with $E(z/x, p^{(r)}, \theta^{(r)}) = \frac{k}{(1 - e^{-\theta(r+1)x_i}}$

we re $(z/x, p^{c}),$ $1-p^{(r)}e^{-\theta^{(r)}x_i}$) —

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{kx_i}{1 - p^{(r)} e^{-\theta^{(r)} x_i}} - (k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{1 - e^{-\theta^{(r+1)} x_i}} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{p} - n \frac{A(p,k)'}{A(p,k)} = 0$$
(54)

where,

$$A(p,k) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{p^{j}}{j} = -\ln(1-p) - \psi(k) \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{p^{j}}{j}$$
$$A(p,k)' = \frac{\partial A(p,k)}{\partial p} = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} p^{j-1} = \frac{p^{k-1}}{1-p}$$

then,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{p} = n \frac{A(p,k)'}{A(p,k)}$$

$$p^{(r+1)} = \frac{A(p,k)}{nA(p,k)'} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$$

$$= \left[\frac{1-p^{(r+1)}}{n\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^{k-1}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^j}{j}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$$

$$= \left[\frac{1-p^{(r+1)}}{n\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^{k-1}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^j}{j}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k}{1-p^{(r)}e^{-\theta^{(r)}x_i}}$$
(55)

$$\widehat{p}^{(r+1)} = \frac{-(1-p^{(r+1)})\left[\ln\left(1-p^{(r+1)}\right)+\psi(k)\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\frac{\left(p^{(r+1)}\right)^j}{j}\right]}{n(p^{(r+1)})^{k-1}}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k}{1-p^{(r)}e^{-\theta^{(r)}x_i}}$$
(56)

References

- Adamidis, K., Dimitrakopoulou, T. & Loukas, S. (2005), 'On an extension of the exponential-geometric distribution', *Statistics & probability letters* 73(3), 259–269.
- Adamidis, K. & Loukas, S. (1998), 'A lifetime distribution with decreasing failure rate', *Statistics & Probability Letters* **39**(1), 35–42.
- Andersen, P. K., Abildstrom, S. Z. & Rosthøj, S. (2002), 'Competing risks as a multi-state model', Statistical methods in medical research 11(2), 203–215.
- Anzanello, M. J. (2009), 'A simplified approach for reliability evaluation and component allocation in three-state series and parallel systems composed of non-identical components', *Gestão & Produção* **16**(1), 54–62.
- Bain, L. & Englehardt, M. (1991), Statistical analysis of reliability and life-testing models: theory and methods, Vol. 115, CRC Press.
- Bakouch, H. S., Jazi, M. A., Nadarajah, S., Dolati, A. & Roozegar, R. (2014), 'A lifetime model with increasing failure rate', Applied Mathematical Modelling 38(23), 5392–5406.
- Bakoyannis, G. & Touloumi, G. (2012), 'Practical methods for competing risks data: A review', Statistical methods in medical research **21**(3), 257–272.
- Balakrishnan, K. (1996), Exponential distribution: theory, methods and applications, CRC press.
- Balakrishnan, N. & Basu, A. (1995), 'The exponential distribution: Theory', Methods and Applications, Gordon and Breach Publishers.
- Balakrishnan, N. & Cohen, A. (1991), Order statistic and Inference, Academic Press Inc.
- Barlow, R. E. & Campo, R. (1975), Total time on test procedures and applications to failure data analysis, in R. E. Barlow, R. Fussel & N. D. Singpurwalla, eds, 'Reliability and Fault Tree Analysis', SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 451–481.
- Barlow, R. E., Marshall, A. W. & Proschan, F. (1963), 'Properties of probability distributions with monotone hazard rate', *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* pp. 375–389.
- Barlow, R. E. & Proschan, F. (1965), Mathematical theory of reliability, SIAM, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. Philadelphia.
- Barlow, R. E. & Proschan, F. (1975), Statistical theory of reliability and life testing: Probability models, Technical report, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- Barlow, R. E. & Proschan, F. (1981), Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing: Probability Models, To begin with.
- Barreto-Souza, W. & Cribari-Neto, F. (2009), 'A generalization of the exponential-poisson distribution', Statistics & Probability Letters 79(24), 2493–2500.
- Barreto-Souza, W., de Morais, A. L. & Cordeiro, G. M. (2011), 'The weibull-geometric distribution', Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 81(5), 645–657.
- Barreto-Souza, W. & Silva, R. B. (2015), 'A likelihood ratio test to discriminate exponential-poisson and gamma distributions', *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* **85**(4), 802–823.

- Basu, A. P. (1981), Identifiability problems in the theory of competing and complementary risks a survey, *in* 'Statistical Distributions in Scientific Work', Springer, pp. 335–347.
- Basu, A. P. (1988), 'Multivariate exponential distributions and their applications in reliability', Handbook of Statistics 7, 467–477.
- Basu, A. P. & Klein, J. P. (1982), Some recent results in competing risks theory, *in J. R. Crowley, J.*, ed., 'Survival Analysis', Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, pp. 216–229.
- Bourguignon, M., Silva, R. B. & Cordeiro, G. M. (2014), 'A new class of fatigue life distributions', Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 84(12), 2619–2635.
- Burkschat, M., Cramer, E. & Kamps, U. (2003), 'Dual generalized order statistics', *Metron* **61**(1), 13–26.
- Cancho, V. G., Louzada-Neto, F. & Barriga, G. D. (2011), 'The poisson-exponential lifetime distribution', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 55(1), 677–686.
- Chahkandi, M. & Ganjali, M. (2009), 'On some lifetime distributions with decreasing failure rate', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 53(12), 4433–4440.
- Chang, M. N. & Rao, P. V. (1993), 'Improved estimation of survival functions in the new-better-thanused class', *Technometrics* 35(2), 192–203.
- Cramer, E. & Kamps, U. (2001), Sequential k-out-of-n systems, in 'Advances in Reliability (Handbook Statist.20)', North-Holland, Amsterdam, pp. 301–372.
- David, H. (1981), Order statistics, Wiley Online Library.
- David, H. A. & Nagaraja, H. N. (1970), Order statistics, Wiley Online Library.
- Dempster, A. P., Laird, N. M. & Rubin, D. B. (1977), 'Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the em algorithm', Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (methodological) pp. 1–38.
- Doksum, K. A. & Yandell, B. S. (1984), Tests for exponentiality, *in* 'Handbook of statistics', Vol. 4, Elsevier, pp. 579–611.
- Eryilmaz, S. (2014), 'Lifetime of multistate k-out-of-n systems', Quality and Reliability Engineering International 30(7), 1015–1022.
- Gnedenko, B., Belyayev, Y. & Solovyev, A. (1969), Mathematical methods of reliability theory, number 6, New York:[sn].
- Hemmati, F., Khorram, E. & Rezakhah, S. (2011), 'A new three-parameter ageing distribution', Journal of statistical planning and inference 141(7), 2266–2275.
- Jenney, B. & Sherwin, D. (1986), 'Open & short circuit reliability of systems of identical items', *IEEE transactions on reliability* 35(5), 532–538.
- Kalbfleisch, J. D. & Prentice, R. L. (2002), The statistical analysis of failure time data, second edn, New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Kamps, U. (1995), 'A concept of generalized order statistics', Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference 48(1), 1–23.
- Karlis, D. & Xekalaki, E. (2003), 'Choosing initial values for the em algorithm for finite mixtures', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 41(3), 577–590.

- Kim, J. S., Proschan, F. & Sethuraman, J. (1988), 'Stochastic comparisons of order statistics, with applications in relibility', Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods 17(7), 2151–2172.
- Krishnan, T. & McLachlan, G. (1997), 'The em algorithm and extensions', Wiley 1(997), 58–60.
- Kuş, C. (2007), 'A new lifetime distribution', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 51(9), 4497– 4509.
- Levitin, G. (2007), 'Block diagram method for analyzing multi-state systems with uncovered failures', Reliability Engineering & System Safety **92**(6), 727–734.
- Levitin, G. & Amari, S. V. (2008), 'Multi-state systems with multi-fault coverage', Reliability Engineering & System Safety 93(11), 1730–1739.
- Little, R. J. & Rubin, D. B. (1983), 'On jointly estimating parameters and missing data by maximizing the complete-data likelihood', *The American Statistician* **37**(3), 218–220.
- Louzada-Neto, F., Cancho, V. G. & Barriga, G. D. (2011), 'The poisson-exponential distribution: a bayesian approach', Journal of Applied Statistics 38(6), 1239–1248.
- Morais, A. L. & Barreto-Souza, W. (2011), 'A compound class of weibull and power series distributions', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 55(3), 1410–1425.
- Nadarajah, S., Cancho, V. G. & Ortega, E. M. (2013), 'The geometric exponential poisson distribution', Statistical Methods & Applications 22(3), 355–380.
- Ng, H., Chan, P. & Balakrishnan, N. (2002), 'Estimation of parameters from progressively censored data using em algorithm', *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **39**(4), 371–386.
- Page, L. B. & Perry, J. E. (1988), 'Optimal'series-parallel'networks of 3-state devices', *IEEE transac*tions on reliability 37(4), 388–394.
- Picciotto, R. (1970), Tensile Fatigue Characteristics of a Sized Polyester/Viscose Yarn and Their Effect on Weaving Performance.
- Pledger, P. & Proschan, F. (1971), Comparisons of order statistics and of spacings from heterogeneous distributions, in J. S. Rustagi, ed., 'Optimizing methods in statistics', Academic Press New York, pp. 89–113.
- Proschan, F. & Sethuraman, J. (1976), 'Stochastic comparisons of order statistics from heterogeneous populations, with applications in reliability', *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 6(4), 608–616.
- Putter, H., Fiocco, M. & Geskus, R. B. (2007), 'Tutorial in biostatistics: competing risks and multistate models', *Statistics in medicine* 26(11), 2389–2430.
- Pyke, R. (1965), 'Spacings', Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) pp. 395– 449.
- Quesenberry, C. & Kent, J. (1982), 'Selecting among probability distributions used in reliability', *Technometrics* 24(1), 59–65.
- Rafiee, K., Feng, Q. & Coit, D. W. (2017), 'Reliability assessment of competing risks with generalized mixed shock models', *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* **159**, 1–11.
- Ramirez-Marquez, J. E. & Coit, D. W. (2004), 'A heuristic for solving the redundancy allocation problem for multi-state series-parallel systems', *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 83(3), 341– 349.

- Ramirez-Marquez, J. E. & Coit, D. W. (2005), 'Composite importance measures for multi-state systems with multi-state components', *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* **54**(3), 517–529.
- Ramirez-Marquez, J. E. & Levitin, G. (2008), 'Algorithm for estimating reliability confidence bounds of multi-state systems', *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* **93**(8), 1231–1243.
- Ramos, M. W. A., Percontini, A., Cordeiro, G. M. & da Silva, R. V. (2015), 'The burr xii negative binomial distribution with applications to lifetime data', *International Journal of Statistics and Probability* 4(1), 109–125.
- Rocco, S., Claudio, M. & Muselli, M. (2005), 'Approximate multi-state reliability expressions using a new machine learning technique', *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* 89(3), 261–270.
- Ross, S. M. (1976), 'On the time to first failure in multicomponent exponential reliability systems', Stochastic Processes and their Applications 4(2), 167–173.
- Sarhan, A. E. & Greenberg, B. G. (1962), Contributions to order statistics, Wiley New York.
- Shahsanaei, F., Rezaei, S. & Pak, A. (2012), 'A new two-parameter lifetime distribution with increasing failure rate', *Economic Quality Control* 27(1), 1–17.
- Silva, R. B., Barreto-Souza, W. & Cordeiro, G. M. (2010), 'A new distribution with decreasing, increasing and upside-down bathtub failure rate', *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 54(4), 935–944.
- Sinha, S. S. K. & Kale, B. K. (1980), Life testing and reliability estimation, Wiley Eastern Limited.
- Tahmasbi, R. & Rezaei, S. (2008), 'A two-parameter lifetime distribution with decreasing failure rate', Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 52(8), 3889–3901.
- Tsiatis, A. (1998), 'Competing risks', Encyclopedia of biostatistics.
- Tsokos, C. (2012), The theory and applications of reliability with emphasis on Bayesian and nonparametric methods, Elsevier.
- Xie, L. & Wang, Z. (2008), Reliability degradation of mechanical components and systems, in K. B. Misra, ed., 'Handbook of performability engineering', Springer, pp. 413–429.
- Xie, L., Zhou, J., Wang, Y. & Wang, X. (2005), 'Load-strength order statistics interference models for system reliability evaluation', *International Journal of Performability Engineering* 1(1), 23–36.
- Yingkui, G. & Jing, L. (2012), 'Multi-state system reliability: A new and systematic review', Procedia Engineering 29, 531–536.