

Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying D -wave singly heavy baryons

Ya-Xiong Yao¹, Kai-Lei Wang¹, Xian-Hui Zhong^{1,2} *

1) Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, and Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China and
2) Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA), Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

The strong and radiative decays of the low-lying λ -mode D -wave $\Lambda_{c(b)}$, $\Sigma_{c(b)}$, $\Xi_{c(b)}$, $\Xi'_{c(b)}$, and $\Omega_{c(b)}$ baryons are studied in a constituent quark model. Our calculation shows the following: (i) The missing λ -mode D -wave $\Omega_{c(b)}$, Λ_b , and Ξ_b baryons have a relatively narrow decay width of a few MeV or a few tens of MeV and their dominant strong and radiative decay channels can be ideal for searching for their signals in future experiments. (ii) The λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations in the $\Sigma_{c(b)}$ and $\Xi'_{c(b)}$ families appear to have a relatively broad width of $\sim 50 - 200$ MeV. Most of the $1D$ -wave states have large decay rates into the $1P$ -wave heavy baryons via the pionic or kaonic strong decay processes, which should be taken seriously in future observations. (iii) Both $\Lambda_c(2860)$ and $\Xi_c(3050)$ seem to favor the $J^P = 3/2^+$ excitation [$^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+$] of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$, while both $\Lambda_c(2880)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$ may be assigned as the $J^P = 5/2^+$ excitation [$^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+$] of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$. The nature of $\Xi_c(3050)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$ could be tested by the radiative transitions $\Xi_c(3055)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2790)^0\gamma$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2815)^0\gamma$, respectively.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The LHC facility provides good opportunities for us to discover some of the missing heavy baryons. Recently, five extremely narrow Ω_c states, $\Omega_c(3000)$, $\Omega_c(3050)$, $\Omega_c(3066)$, $\Omega_c(3090)$, and $\Omega_c(3119)$, were observed in the $\Xi_c^+ K^-$ channel by the LHCb Collaboration [1]. Most of them may be interpreted as the P -wave excited states of Ω_c [2–9]. Lately, the LHCb Collaboration observed a new structure $\Xi_b(6227)^-$ in both the $\Lambda_b^0 K^-$ and $\Xi_b^0 \pi^-$ invariant mass spectra [10]. The mass of this structure and the observed decay modes are consistent with expectations of a P -wave excited state in the Ξ'_b family [11–23]. Besides the missing P -wave heavy baryons, some low-lying D -wave singly heavy baryons should be also observed at the LHC in forthcoming experiments. Furthermore, the Belle II experiments will also offer the possibility of studying excited heavy baryons. Thus, the theoretical studies of the low-lying P - and D -wave singly heavy baryons will provide very useful references for searching for them in future experiments. Considering that the decay properties of heavy baryons should be sensitive to its inner structure, one may better understand the nature of the heavy baryons by studying their decays. In our recent work [11], we systematically studied the strong and radiative decay properties of the P -wave singly heavy baryons. As a continuation of Ref. [11], we study the strong and radiative decays of the low-lying D -wave singly heavy baryons in the present work.

In the heavy baryon resonances listed in the Review of Particle Physics (RPP) [24], there are several good D -wave candidates, such as $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$, $\Xi_c(3055)^{0,+}$, $\Xi_c(3080)^{0,+}$, and $\Xi_c(3123)^+$. Recently, a new D -wave candidate in the Λ_c family, i.e., $\Lambda_c(2860)^+$, was observed in the $D^0 p$ channel by the LHCb Collaboration [25]. However, no candidates of the D -wave bottom baryons have been found in experiments. To look for the missing D -wave singly heavy baryons, and to

identify these possible D -wave heavy baryons observed in experiments, many theoretical studies were carried out with various phenomenological methods. For example, the mass spectra were calculated in various quark models [18–23, 26–33], the Faddeev method [34], the lattice QCD [35, 36], the QCD sum rules [37–39], and so on. Furthermore, the strong decay properties of the low-lying D -wave charmed baryons were also studied within some methods, such as the 3P_0 model [20, 33, 40–43], the heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory [44–46], the chiral quark model (ChQM) [47–49], and so on. It should be pointed out that there are few discussions of the radiative decays of the D -wave charmed and bottom baryons and the strong decays of the D -wave bottom baryons, although there are many discussions about the radiative decays [50–68] and strong decays [7–9, 69–88] for the low-lying S - and/or P -wave singly heavy baryons. More details about the status for the studies of the heavy baryons can be found in Refs. [88–91]. As a whole, it is necessary to carry out a systematical study of the strong and radiative decays for the D -wave singly charmed and bottom baryons.

In this work, we apply a nonrelativistic constituent quark model to study the strong decays with emission of one light pseudoscalar meson and the radiative decays with emission of one photon for the low-lying D -wave singly heavy baryons. By an analysis of the decay properties for the D -wave states, we will suggest ideal decay channels to observe missing states in future experiments. For a simplicity, the harmonic oscillator wave functions of the heavy baryons are adopted in our calculations. To deal with the strong decays of a hadron, an effective chiral Lagrangian at the tree level [92] is introduced. In this interaction, the emitted light pseudoscalar mesons are treated as Goldstone bosons, which only couple with the light constituent quarks. Since the quark-meson coupling is invariant under the chiral transformation, some of the low-energy properties of QCD are retained [92–94]. This method (i.e., ChQM) has been successfully applied to study the strong decays of heavy-light mesons and charmed and strange baryons [2, 47–49, 95–100]. The chiral quark model used in this work is different from the often-used 3P_0

*E-mail: zhongxh@hunnu.edu.cn

model [101–103]; the differences between them have been pointed out in Ref. [11]. Meanwhile, to treat the radiative decay of a hadron, we apply an effective quark-photon electromagnetic (EM) coupling at the tree level. The higher EM multipole contributions are included by a multipole expansion of the EM interactions. This approach has been successfully applied to deal with the radiative decays of $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems [104, 105], and recently it has been extended to study the radiative transitions of heavy baryons [2, 99, 106].

The paper is organized as follows. Section II is our framework, in which we give a brief review of the quark model classification of the singly heavy baryons and the quark model description of the strong and radiative decays. Then, the numerical results for the heavy baryons belonging to $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$ and $\mathbf{6}_F$ are presented and discussed in Secs. III and IV, respectively. Finally, a summary is given in Sec. V.

II. FRAMEWORK

A. Spectra

The heavy baryon containing a heavy quark violates the SU(4) symmetry. However, the SU(3) symmetry between the other two light quarks (u , d , or s) is approximately kept. The heavy baryons containing a single heavy quark belong to two different SU(3) flavor representations: the symmetric sextet $\mathbf{6}_F$ and antisymmetric antitriplet $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$ [11]. In the singly charmed (bottom) baryons, there are two families, Λ_c and Ξ_c (Λ_b and Ξ_b) belonging to $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$, while there are three families, Σ_c , Ξ'_c , and Ω_c (Σ_b , Ξ'_b , and Ω_b), belonging to $\mathbf{6}_F$ [11].

The spatial wave function of a heavy baryon is adopted the harmonic oscillator form in the constituent quark model [47]. For a $q_1 q_2 Q$ basis state, it contains two light quarks q_1 and q_2 with equal mass m and a heavy quark Q with mass m' . The basis states are generated by the oscillator Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{P_{cm}^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2m_\rho} \mathbf{p}_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2m_\lambda} \mathbf{p}_\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{2} K(\rho^2 + \lambda^2). \quad (1)$$

The constituent quarks are confined in an oscillator potential with the potential parameter K independent of the flavor quantum number. The Jacobi coordinates ρ and λ and c.m. coordinate $\mathbf{R}_{c.m.}$ can be related to the coordinate \mathbf{r}_j of the j th quark. The momenta \mathbf{p}_ρ , \mathbf{p}_λ , and $\mathbf{P}_{c.m.}$ are defined by $\mathbf{p}_\rho = m_\rho \dot{\rho}$, $\mathbf{p}_\lambda = m_\lambda \dot{\lambda}$, $\mathbf{P}_{c.m.} = M \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{c.m.}$, with $M = 2m + m'$, $m_\rho = m$, and $m_\lambda = \frac{3mm'}{2m+m'}$. The wave function of an oscillator is give by

$$\psi_{l_\sigma m}^{n_\sigma}(\sigma) = R_{n_\sigma l_\sigma}(\sigma) Y_{l_\sigma m}(\sigma), \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma \equiv \rho, \lambda$. In the wave functions, there are two oscillator parameters, i.e., the potential strengths α_ρ and α_λ . The parameters α_ρ and α_λ satisfy the following relation [47]:

$$\alpha_\lambda^2 = \sqrt{\frac{3m'}{2m+m'}} \alpha_\rho^2. \quad (3)$$

The details of the classifications of the heavy baryons in the constituent quark model can be found in Refs. [47]. Since

the bottom and charm quark masses are much larger than the light quark mass ($m_Q > m_q$), the λ -mode excitations of singly heavy baryons should be easily formed than the ρ -mode excitations [27]. Thus, in the present work, we only study the λ -mode excitations. The mass spectra of the single heavy baryons up to the 1D-wave excitations predicted within various quark models are summarized in Tables I and II.

B. Decays

In this work, strong decays of the D -wave singly heavy baryons with emission of one light pseudoscalar meson are studied within ChQM [92]. This model has been successfully applied to study the strong decays of heavy-light mesons and charmed and strange baryons [2, 47–49, 95–100]. In this model, the light pseudoscalar mesons, i.e., π , K , and η , are treated as fundamental states, which only couple with the light constituent quarks of a hadron via the simple chiral Lagrangian [92]

$$H_m = \sum_j \frac{1}{f_m} \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_\mu^j \gamma_5^j \psi_j \partial^\mu \phi_m, \quad (4)$$

where ψ_j represents the j th quark field in the hadron, ϕ_m is the pseudoscalar meson field, and f_m is the pseudoscalar meson decay constant.

Meanwhile, to treat the radiative decay of a hadron, we apply the constituent quark model, which has been successfully applied to study the radiative decays of $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems [104, 105]. In this model, the quark-photon EM coupling at the tree level is adopted as

$$H_e = - \sum_j e_j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_\mu^j A^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_j) \psi_j, \quad (5)$$

where A^μ represents the photon field with 3-momenta \mathbf{k} . e_j and \mathbf{r}_j stand for the charge and coordinate of the constituent quark ψ_j , respectively.

To match the nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator wave functions, in the calculations, one should adopt the nonrelativistic forms for the quark-pseudoscalar and quark-photon EM couplings listed in Eqs. (4) and (5), which have been given in the previous works [2, 47, 48, 93–100, 104–112].

For a strong decay process, the partial decay width can be calculated with [47]

$$\Gamma_m = \left(\frac{\delta}{f_m} \right)^2 \frac{(E_f + M_f) |\mathbf{q}|}{4\pi M_i (2J_i + 1)} \sum_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}} |\mathcal{M}_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}}|^2, \quad (6)$$

while for a radiative decay process, the partial decay width can be calculated with [104, 105]

$$\Gamma_\gamma = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{\pi} \frac{2}{2J_i + 1} \frac{M_f}{M_i} \sum_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}} |\mathcal{A}_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}}|^2, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{J_{fz}, J_{iz}}$ correspond to the strong and radiative transition amplitudes, respectively. The quantum numbers J_{iz} and J_{fz} stand for the third components of the total

TABLE I: Mass spectra of the singly heavy baryons of $\bar{3}_F$ up to D wave from various quark models [21, 22, 27, 33] compared with the data from the Particle Data Group [24].

State	Λ_c				Λ_b		
	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	NQM [33]	PDG [24]	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	PDG [24]
$1^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}$	2286	2285	2286	2286	5620	5618	5620
$1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{-}$	2598	2628	2614	2592	5930	5938	5912
$1^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{-}$	2627	2630	2639	2628	5942	5939	5920
$1^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{+}$	2874	2920	2843	2860?	6190	6211	?
$1^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}$	2880	2922	2851	2880?	6196	6212	?
State	Ξ_c				Ξ_b		
	RQM [21]	NQM [22]	NQM [33]	PDG [24]	RQM [21]	NQM [22]	PDG [24]
$1^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}$	2476	2466	2470	2468	5803	5806	5795
$1^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{-}$	2792	2773	2793	2792	6120	6090	?
$1^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{-}$	2819	2783	2820	2817	6130	6093	?
$1^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{+}$	3059	3012	3033	3055?	6366	6311	?
$1^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}$	3076	3004	3040	3080?	6373	6300	?

angular momenta of the initial and final heavy baryons, respectively. E_f and M_f are the energy and mass of the final heavy baryon, and M_i is the mass of the initial heavy baryon. δ as a global parameter accounts for the strength of the quark-meson couplings. It has been determined in our previous study of the strong decays of the charmed baryons and heavy-light mesons [47, 96]. Here, we fix its value the same as that in Refs. [47, 96], i.e., $\delta = 0.557$.

In the calculation, we adopt the same quark model parameter set as that in Ref. [11], which has been collected in Table III. The masses of the well-established hadrons used in the calculations are adopted from the RPP [24].

III. RESULTS FOR SINGLY HEAVY BARYONS OF $\bar{3}_F$

A. Λ_c states

In the Λ_c family, there are two λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{+}\rangle$ and $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}\rangle$ according to the quark model classification. The masses for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Λ_c excitations are predicted to be ~ 2.9 GeV in various models (see Table I). The resonances $\Lambda_c(2860)$ with $J^P = 3/2^+$ and $\Lambda_c(2880)$ with $J^P = 5/2^+$ listed in RPP [24] most likely correspond to the two λ -mode $1D$ -wave Λ_c excitations $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{+}\rangle$ and $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}\rangle$, respectively.

1. $J^P = 5/2^+$ state and $\Lambda_c(2880)$

The $\Lambda_c(2880)$ state was first observed in $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ by CLEO [113]. It was confirmed in $\Sigma_c \pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520) \pi$ channels by Belle [114] and in the $D^0 p$ channel by BABAR [115] and LHCb [25]. It has a narrow decay width of $\Gamma \simeq 5.6$ MeV [24]. The spin-parity numbers were determined to be $J^P = 5/2^+$ by Belle [114] and were confirmed by LHCb [25] recently.

The $\Lambda_c(2880)$ state may be classified as the $1D$ -wave charmed baryons [23, 30, 34, 40, 44]. If $\Lambda_c(2880)$ is a conventional λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitation, it should be assigned to $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}\rangle$. With this assignment, the width of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ can be reasonably understood by ChQM [47]. It is found that the main decay channel of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ should be $\Sigma_c(2520) \pi$ (see Table IV). The partial width ratio, $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)\pi]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)\pi]} \simeq 3.3$, predicted by us is too large to compare with the measured value $\mathcal{R} \simeq 0.225$ at Belle [114]. The recent 3P_0 analysis of the strong decays of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ in Ref. [41] is consistent with our predictions.

It should be mentioned that the measured ratio $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)\pi]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)\pi]} \simeq 0.225$ of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ may be strongly affected by its nearby state $\Lambda_c(2860)_{\frac{3}{2}^{+}}$ newly observed in the $D^0 p$ channel at LHCb [25]. Thus, the measured ratio from Belle [114] should not be a genuine ratio for $\Lambda_c(2880)$. This situation is very similar to that of $D_{sJ}(2860)$ before two largely overlapping states $D_{s1}(2860)$ and $D_{s3}(2860)$ were found by LHCb [116]. Considering $D_{sJ}(2860)$ as the $J^P = 3^-$ state 1^3D_3 , the measured partial width ratio $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma[D^* K]}{\Gamma[D K]} \simeq 1.1$ cannot be explained by ChQM [95, 96] and many other approaches [117–119]. Then, people proposed an alternative solution that there might exist two largely overlapping resonances at about 2.86 GeV [95, 120], which was confirmed by LHCb recently [116].

To better understand the properties of $\Lambda_c(2880)$, considering it as the $1D$ -wave state $|\Lambda_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{+}\rangle$, we further study its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table V. It is found that most of the partial radiative widths of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ into the $1P$ -wave states are $\mathcal{O}(100)$ eV. Combining these partial widths with the total decay width of $\Lambda_c(2880)$, we find the branching fractions for the main radiative decay channels are $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$. The small decay rates indicate that the radiative decays of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ into the $1P$ -wave states might be hard to observe in experiments.

TABLE II: Mass spectra of the singly heavy baryons of $\mathbf{6}_F$ up to D wave from various quark models [21, 22, 27, 33] compared with the data from the Particle Data Group [24].

State	Σ_c				Σ_b		
	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	NQM [33]	PDG [24]	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	PDG [24]
$1^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}^+$	2443	2460	2456	2455	5808	5823	5811
$1^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}^+$	2519	2523	2515	2520	5834	5845	5832
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	2713	2802	2702	?	6101	6127	?
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	2798	2807	2785	?	6096	6132	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	2799	2826	2765	?	6095	6135	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	2773	2837	2798	?	6087	6141	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}-}$	2789	2839	2790	?	6084	6144	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3043	3065	2952	?	6326	6356	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3038	3099	2942	?	6284	6397	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	3041	3103	2949	?	6311	6395	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3040	3094	2964	?	6285	6393	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3023	3114	2962	?	6270	6402	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}+}$	3013	...	2943	?	6260	...	?
State	Ξ'_c				Ξ'_b		
	RQM [21]	NQM [22]	NQM [33]	PDG [24]	RQM [21]	NQM [22]	PDG [24]
$1^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}^+$	2579	2592	2579	2575	5936	5958	5935
$1^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}^+$	2649	2650	2649	2645	5963	5982	5955
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	2936	2859	2839	?	6233	6192	?
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	2935	2871	2921	?	6234	6194	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	2854	...	2900	?	6227	...	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	2912	...	2932	?	6224	...	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}-}$	2929	2905	2927	?	6226	6204	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3167	...	3089	?	6459	...	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3166	...	3091	?	6432	6402	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	3163	...	3075	?	6447	...	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3160	...	3081	?	6431	...	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3153	3080	3077	?	6420	...	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}+}$	3147	3094	3078	?	6414	6405	?
State	Ω_c				Ω_b		
	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	NQM [22]	PDG [24]	RQM [21]	NQM [27]	PDG [24]
$1^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}^+$	2698	2731	2718	2695	6064	6076	6046
$1^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}^+$	2768	2779	2776	2770	6088	6094	?
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	2966	3030	2977	?	6330	6333	?
$1^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	3029	3033	2986	?	6331	6336	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	3055	3048	2990	?	6339	6340	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}-}$	3054	3056	2994	?	6340	6344	?
$1^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}-}$	3051	3057	3014	?	6334	6345	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3282	3257	3262	?	6530	6528	?
$1^2D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3286	3288	3273	?	6520	6561	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	3287	3292	3275	?	6540	6561	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+}$	3298	3285	3280	?	6549	6559	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}+}$	3297	3299	...	?	6529	6566	?
$1^4D_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}+}$	3283	...	3327	?	6517	...	?

TABLE III: Quark model parameters adopted in present work.

Parameter	$m_{u(d)}$	m_s	m_c	m_b	α_p (for $\Lambda_{c(b)}$ and $\Sigma_{c(b)}$)	α_p (for $\Xi_{c(b)}^{(\prime)}$)	α_p (for $\Omega_{c(b)}$)	f_π	f_K
Value (MeV)	330	450	1480	5000	400	420	440	132	160

TABLE IV: Partial widths of strong decays for the λ -mode D -wave Λ_c and Λ_b baryons. The masses of the D -wave Λ_c (Λ_b) states $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ are taken as 2856 and 2881 (6190 and 6196) MeV, respectively. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (-10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_p .

Decay mode	$ \Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(2856)$	$ \Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(2881)$
	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Sigma_c\pi$	$4.57^{+1.09}_{-1.20}$	$1.33^{-0.35}_{+0.50}$
$\Sigma_c^*\pi$	$0.95^{-0.03}_{+0.09}$	$4.38^{+0.67}_{-0.74}$
Sum	$5.52^{+1.06}_{-1.11}$	$5.71^{+0.32}_{-0.24}$
Decay mode	$ \Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6190)$	$ \Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6196)$
	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Sigma_b\pi$	$6.32^{+1.78}_{-1.91}$	$1.83^{-0.47}_{+0.67}$
$\Sigma_b^*\pi$	$2.76^{-0.22}_{+0.41}$	$7.42^{+1.38}_{-1.43}$
Sum	$9.08^{+1.56}_{-1.50}$	$9.25^{+0.91}_{-0.76}$

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ state and $\Lambda_c(2860)$

Recently, besides the confirmation of $\Lambda_c(2880)$ in the D^0p channel, the LHCb Collaboration observed a new charmed baryon state, $\Lambda_c(2860)$, with a broad width of $\Gamma \simeq 67.6^{+10.1}_{-8.1}$ MeV in the same channel [25]. The determined spin-parity quantum numbers are $J^P = 3/2^+$ [25]. Both the mass and decay modes of $\Lambda_c(2860)$ indicate that it might be assigned to the λ -mode excited $1D$ -wave charmed baryon state $|\Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ [21, 33, 41]. Considering $\Lambda_c(2860)$ as the $|\Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ state, we predict its partial widths into the $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ channels,

$$\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)\pi] \simeq 4.6 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)\pi] \simeq 1.0 \text{ MeV}, \quad (8)$$

which roughly agree with the predictions in Ref. [41]. Combining these predicted partial widths with the measured width of $\Lambda_c(2860)$, we further estimate that the branching fractions of the $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ channels can reach up to 7% and 2%, respectively. The relatively large branching fractions indicate that $\Lambda_c(2860)$ might be observed in the $\Sigma_c(2455)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ channels as well.

Considering $\Lambda_c(2860)$ as the $1D$ -wave state $|\Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, we also study its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave states. Our results are listed in Table V as well. It is found that the radiative decay rates into the $1P$ -wave states are small. Their partial decay widths are $\mathcal{O}(10)$ eV. Combining these partial widths with the total decay width of $\Lambda_c(2860)$, we find the branching fractions, $\mathcal{B}[\Lambda_c(2860) \rightarrow 1P\gamma]$, are $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$, which indicates the radiative decays of $\Lambda_c(2860)$ into the $1P$ -wave states might be hard to observe in experiments.




FIG. 1: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Λ_b states $|\Lambda_b\ D_{\lambda\lambda}3/2^+\rangle$ and $|\Lambda_b\ D_{\lambda\lambda}5/2^+\rangle$ as functions of their mass. The solid curves stand for the total widths. The masses of the P -wave heavy baryons in final states are adopted from the quark model predictions in Ref. [21] (see Table II).

B. Λ_b states

In the Λ_b family, there are two λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ according to the quark model classification. The masses for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Λ_b excitations are predicted to be ~ 6.2 GeV in various models (see Table I). In the possible mass region of the $1D$ -wave Λ_b excitations, we study their strong decay properties, which have been shown in Fig. 1. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states as predicted in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21] we further present the results in Table IV.

1. $J^P = 3/2^+$ state

From Fig. 1, it is found that if the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ is ~ 6200 MeV as predicted in theory [21, 27] it should be

TABLE V: Partial widths of radiative decays for the λ -mode D -wave Λ_c and Λ_b baryons. The masses of the D -wave Λ_c (Λ_b) states $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ are taken as 2856 and 2881 (6190 and 6196) MeV, respectively. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (Λ_c) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(2856)$	$ \Lambda_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(2881)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Lambda_c\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2592	0.01	$0.13_{+0.03}^{-0.03}$
$ \Lambda_c\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2628	0.07	$0.26_{+0.03}^{-0.02}$
$ \Sigma_c\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2713	$0.23_{+0.05}^{-0.04}$	$0.80_{+0.15}^{-0.12}$
$ \Sigma_c\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2798	0.01	0.05
$ \Sigma_c\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2799	< 0.01	< 0.01
$ \Sigma_c\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2773	0.08	$0.13_{+0.03}^{-0.02}$
$ \Sigma_c\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2789	< 0.01	$0.19_{+0.04}^{-0.03}$

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6190)$	$ \Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6196)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Lambda_b\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	5912	$19.7_{+3.7}^{-3.0}$	$1.67_{+0.49}^{-0.34}$
$ \Lambda_b\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	5920	$6.26_{+1.45}^{-1.08}$	$24.1_{+4.7}^{-3.7}$
$ \Sigma_b\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6101	0.04	0.09
$ \Sigma_b\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6096	$0.17_{+0.04}^{-0.03}$	$0.18_{+0.03}^{-0.03}$
$ \Sigma_b\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6095	0.08	0.01
$ \Sigma_b\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6087	$0.34_{+0.07}^{-0.05}$	$0.21_{+0.04}^{-0.04}$
$ \Sigma_b\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6084	$0.11_{+0.02}^{-0.02}$	$0.75_{+0.16}^{-0.12}$

a narrow state with a width of $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \simeq 10$ MeV. The decays may be saturated by the $\Sigma_b\pi$ and $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ channels, and the partial width ratio between them is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma_b\pi)}{\Gamma(\Sigma_b^*\pi)} \simeq 2, \quad (9)$$

which is less sensitive to the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$. On the other hand, if the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ is larger than 6240 MeV, more strong decay channels may open. The $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ state may have a large decay rate into the $|\Sigma_b\ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\pi$ channel as well (see Fig. 1). To establish the missing D -wave state $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, the decay channel $\Sigma_b\pi$ might be the ideal channel to be observed in future experiments.

We further estimate the radiative decays of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ into the $1P$ -wave states. Our results are listed in Table V. It is found that $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ has a relatively large decay rate into $\Lambda_b(5912)\frac{1}{2}^-\gamma$, and the partial width of $\Gamma[|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5912)\frac{1}{2}^-\gamma]$ can reach up to ~ 20 keV. Combining it with our predicted total width, we find the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}[|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5912)\gamma]$ is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, which indicates that $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ has the possibility of being observed in the $\Lambda_b(5912)\frac{1}{2}^-\gamma$ channel.

2. $J^P = 5/2^+$ state

If the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ is less than 6200 MeV as predicted in various quark models [21, 27], the decays of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ may be saturated by the $\Sigma_b\pi$ and $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ channels. The $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ state has a narrow width of $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \simeq 10$ MeV, which is comparable with that of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ (see Fig. 1). However, the strong decays of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ are governed by the $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ channel. The partial width ratio between $\Sigma_b\pi$ and $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma_b\pi)}{\Gamma(\Sigma_b^*\pi)} \simeq 0.25, \quad (10)$$

which shows few sensitivities to the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$. On the other hand, if the mass of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ is larger than 6240 MeV, more strong decay channels may open. The $|\Sigma_b\ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\pi$ decay mode may play an important role in the decays as well. To establish the missing D -wave state $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, the decay channel $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ should be the ideal channel to be observed in future experiments.

To know more properties of $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, we further estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave states. Our results are listed in Table V. It is found that the radiative process $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5920)\frac{3}{2}^-\gamma$ has a relatively large partial width ~ 24 keV. Combining it with our predicted total width, we find the branching fraction of $\mathcal{B}[|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5920)\frac{3}{2}^-\gamma]$ is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, which indicates that $|\Lambda_b\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ has the possibility of being observed in the $\Lambda_b(5920)\frac{3}{2}^-\gamma$ channel.

C. Ξ_c states

In the Ξ_c family, there are two λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations $|\Xi_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|\Xi_c\ ^2D_{\lambda\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ξ_c excitations are ~ 3.05 GeV within various quark model predictions (see Table I). From the point of view of mass, the charmed-strange baryons $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ observed in the $\Lambda_c\bar{K}\pi$ final state by the Belle [121] and *BABAR* [122] Collaborations are good candidates of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave states. Recently, a new decay mode $D^+\Lambda$ for both $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ was observed by the Belle Collaboration [123]. They first reported the following partial width ratios:

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3055)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda D^+]}{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3055)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} = 5.09 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.76, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda D^+]}{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} = 1.29 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.15, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2520)^{++}K^-]}{\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} = 1.07 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.01. \quad (13)$$




FIG. 2: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Ξ_b states $|\Xi_b D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ and $|\Xi_b D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle$ as functions of their mass. The solid curves stand for the sum of the strong decay partial widths.

Furthermore, more accurate widths for both $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ were obtained by the Belle Collaboration, i.e., $\Gamma_{\Xi_c(3055)^+} = 7.8 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5$ and $\Gamma_{\Xi_c(3080)^+} = 3.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$ MeV [123].

1. $J^P = 3/2^+$ state and $\Xi_c(3055)$

In Ref. [48], the strong decay properties of the $1D$ -wave states were studied within ChQM. It is found that $\Xi_c(3055)$ seems to favor the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [21, 41]. Based on the SU(4) symmetry we estimated the partial width of $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3055)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda D^+]$, which is too small to compare with the observation at Belle [123]. The serious SU(4) symmetry breaking might lead to our failed description of the decays into the $D^+\Lambda$ channel. Assigning $\Xi_c(3055)$ as $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, it should have relatively large decay rates into $\Xi'_c\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2455)K$ channels (see Table VI). The predicted partial width ratio between these two channels is

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi'_c\pi]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} \simeq 0.78. \quad (14)$$

Combining the predicted partial width of $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3055)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-] \simeq 2.4$ MeV with Eq. (11), we estimate the

TABLE VI: Partial widths of strong decays for the λ -mode D -wave Ξ_c and Ξ_b baryons. The masses of the D -wave Ξ_c (Ξ_b) states $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ and $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle$ are taken as 3055 and 3080 (6373 and 6366) MeV, respectively. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (-10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle(3055)$	$ \Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle(3080)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Xi'_c\pi$	2575	$1.93^{+0.57}_{-0.61}$	$0.75^{-0.18}_{+0.27}$
$\Xi'^*_c\pi$	2645	$0.60^{-0.04}_{+0.07}$	$2.08^{+0.38}_{-0.40}$
$\Sigma_c K$	2455	$2.49^{+0.38}_{-0.29}$	$0.22^{<-0.01}_{+0.10}$
$\Sigma^*_c K$	2520	$0.14^{<-0.01}_{<+0.01}$	$1.68^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$
$ \Xi'_c 1^4 P_{\lambda} 1/2^-\rangle\pi$	2854	<0.01	$1.42^{+0.39}_{-0.34}$
$ \Xi'_c 1^4 P_{\lambda} 3/2^-\rangle\pi$	2912	0.04	$0.20^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$
$ \Xi'_c 1^4 P_{\lambda} 5/2^-\rangle\pi$	2929	...	$0.54^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$
Sum		$5.20^{+0.91}_{-0.83}$	$6.90^{+0.84}_{-0.64}$
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi_b 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle(6366)$	$ \Xi_b 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle(6373)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Xi'_b\pi$	5935	$2.41^{+0.79}_{-0.81}$	$0.90^{-0.22}_{+0.30}$
$\Xi'^*_b\pi$	5955	$1.45^{-0.13}_{+0.25}$	$3.22^{+0.68}_{-0.68}$
$\Sigma_b K$	5811	$2.47^{+0.20}_{-0.27}$	0.07
$\Sigma^*_b K$	5832	$0.30^{+0.04}_{-0.01}$	$2.10^{+0.12}_{-0.16}$
$ \Xi'_b 1^4 P_{\lambda} 1/2^-\rangle\pi$	6227	<0.01	$0.28^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$
$ \Xi'_b 1^4 P_{\lambda} 3/2^-\rangle\pi$	6224	$0.11^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$0.10^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
$ \Xi'_b 1^4 P_{\lambda} 5/2^-\rangle\pi$	6226	0.06	$0.45^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$
Sum		$6.8^{+0.92}_{-0.02}$	$7.11^{+0.75}_{-0.71}$

partial width into the ΛD channel: $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3055)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda D^+] \simeq 12.2 \pm 4.2$ MeV. Finally, the total width of $\Xi_c(3055)$ is estimated to be $\Gamma \simeq 17.5 \pm 4.2$ MeV, which is close to the upper limit of the observation. Other interpretations of $\Xi_c(3055)$ also can be found in the literature [42, 43, 45]. To further confirm the nature of $\Xi_c(3055)$, the ratio of $\Gamma[\Xi'_c\pi^+]/\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]$ is worth observing in future experiments.

Furthermore, the nature of $\Xi_c(3055)$ can be tested by its radiative decays. Assigning $\Xi_c(3055)$ as the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, we study its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table VII. It is found that the $\Xi_c(3055)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2790)^0\gamma$ process has a relatively large partial decay width, ~ 80 keV. Combining it with the measured width of $\Xi_c(3055)$, we predict the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}[\Xi_c(3055)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2790)^0\gamma] \simeq 1.0\%$. Thus, the neutral state $\Xi_c(3055)^0$ is most likely to be observed in the $\Xi_c(2790)^0\gamma$ channel if it corresponds to the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ indeed.

TABLE VII: Partial widths of radiative decays for the λ -mode D -wave Ξ_c and Ξ_b baryons. The masses of the D -wave Ξ_c (Ξ_b) states $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ are taken as 3055 and 3080 (6366 and 6373) MeV, respectively. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3055)$	$ \Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3080)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Xi_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2792	$1.09_{+0.33}^{-0.22}$	$0.36_{+0.08}^{-0.06}$
$ \Xi_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$79.0_{+16.7}^{-12.8}$	$7.62_{+1.97}^{-1.41}$
$ \Xi_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2815	$0.57_{+0.15}^{-0.11}$	$0.28_{+0.11}^{-0.07}$
$ \Xi_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$21.1_{+4.9}^{-3.6}$	$85.1_{+18.2}^{-13.9}$
$ \Xi_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2936	0.06	$0.26_{+0.05}^{-0.04}$
$ \Xi_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2935	$0.22_{+0.05}^{-0.04}$	$0.42_{+0.08}^{-0.07}$
$ \Xi_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2854	$0.97_{+0.16}^{-0.13}$	$0.21_{+0.03}^{-0.02}$
$ \Xi_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2912	$0.66_{+0.13}^{-0.11}$	$0.63_{+0.12}^{-0.10}$
$ \Xi_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2929	0.09	$1.24_{+0.27}^{-0.20}$
$ \Xi_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6366)$	$ \Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6373)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Xi_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6120	$3.62_{+0.64}^{-0.51}$	$0.33_{+0.10}^{-0.07}$
$ \Xi_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$32.0_{+6.2}^{-4.9}$	$2.58_{+0.76}^{-0.53}$
$ \Xi_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6130	$1.09_{+0.26}^{-0.19}$	$4.78_{+0.88}^{-0.71}$
$ \Xi_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$9.40_{+2.19}^{-1.63}$	$39.5_{+7.9}^{-6.2}$
$ \Xi_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6233	$0.17_{+0.04}^{-0.02}$	$0.37_{+0.07}^{-0.05}$
$ \Xi_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6234	$0.57_{+0.13}^{-0.09}$	$0.56_{+0.12}^{-0.09}$
$ \Xi_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6227	$0.31_{+0.05}^{-0.05}$	0.05
$ \Xi_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6224	$1.04_{+0.22}^{-0.16}$	$0.59_{+0.11}^{-0.08}$
$ \Xi_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0
$ \Xi_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6226	$0.27_{+0.06}^{-0.04}$	$1.82_{+0.38}^{-0.29}$
$ \Xi_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0

2. $J^P = 5/2^+$ state and $\Xi_c(3080)$

The $\Xi_c(3080)$ resonance is suggested to be the ρ -mode $2S$ -wave state with $J^P = 1/2^-$ in Ref. [48]. The observation of $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ in the $D^+\Lambda$ channel excludes this assignment because the $D^+\Lambda$ decay mode should be forbidden [48]. The mass and decay modes observed in experiments indicate that $\Xi_c(3080)$ is most likely to be the λ -mode $1D$ excitation of Ξ_c with $J^P = 5/2^+$ (i.e., $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$) [21, 41, 44]. Considering $\Xi_c(3080)^+$ as the $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ state, we find that it has relatively large decay rates into the $\Sigma_c^*(2520)K$ and $\Xi_c^*(2645)\pi$

(see Table VI). The partial width ratio between these two main channels is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c^*(2645)\pi^+]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)^{++}K^-]} \simeq 1.2. \quad (15)$$

Combining it with the predicted partial width of $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-] \sim 0.22$ MeV, we estimate that $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^+ \rightarrow \Lambda D^+] \simeq 0.2$ MeV. Finally, the total width of $\Xi_c(3080)$ is estimated to be $\Gamma \simeq 6.9$ MeV, which is close to the upper limit of the observation from the Belle Collaboration [123]. However, our predicted partial width ratio between the $\Sigma_c K$ and $\Sigma_c^* K$ channels

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c^{++}(2520)K^-]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} \simeq 7.6, \quad (16)$$

is about an order of magnitude larger than the observed ratio listed in Eq. (13), and a similar phenomenon is found by Chen *et al.* within their 3P_0 analysis [41]. It should be mentioned that the measured ratio $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c^{++}(2520)K^-]}{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2455)^{++}K^-]} \simeq 1.07 \pm 0.27$ of $\Xi_c(3080)$ may be strongly affected by its nearby states, such as $\Xi_c(3055)$. Thus, the measured ratio from Belle [123] may not be a genuine ratio for $\Xi_c(3080)$.

Furthermore, assigning $\Xi_c(3080)$ as the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, we study its radiative decays. Our results are listed in Table VII. It is found that the $\Xi_c(3080)^0$ should have a relatively large decay rate into $\Xi_c(2815)^0 \frac{3}{2}^- \gamma$. The partial decay width is predicted to be $\Gamma[\Xi_c(3080)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2815)^0 \gamma] \simeq 85$ keV. Combining it with the measured width of $\Xi_c(3080)$, we predict the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}[\Xi_c(3055)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2815)^0 \gamma] \simeq 3\%$. The neutral state $\Xi_c(3080)^0$ is most likely to be observed in the $\Xi_c(2815)^0 \gamma$ channel if it corresponds to the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ indeed.

D. Ξ_b states

In the Ξ_b family, there are two λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations $|\Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ and $|\Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ξ_b excitations are 6.3 – 6.4 GeV within various quark model predictions (see Table I). In the possible mass regions, the strong decays of these $1D$ -wave states are studied with ChQM. Our results have been shown in Fig. 2. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states obtained in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we give the predicted widths in Table VI.

1. $J^P = 3/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ might be a narrow state with a width of a few MeV. It mainly decays into $\Xi_b^* \pi$, $\Xi_b^* \pi$ and $\Sigma_b K$ channels. The partial widths of $\Xi_b^* \pi$, $\Xi_b^* \pi$ are less sensitive to the mass of $|\Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$; however, the partial width for the $\Sigma_b K$ channel shows a significant linear dependence on the mass (see Fig. 2). If the mass of $|\Xi_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$

takes the predicted value ~ 6.37 GeV in Ref. [21], the branching fractions for the main channels are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b'\pi, \Xi_b^*\pi, \Sigma_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} = 35\%, 21\%, 36\%. \quad (17)$$

The $\Xi_b'\pi$ and $\Sigma_b K$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for this missing $1D$ -wave Ξ_b baryon in future experiments.

Furthermore, we study the radiative decays of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table VII. It is found that the charged state $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $\Xi_b^-(\frac{1}{2}^-)\gamma$. The partial decay width can reach up to ~ 30 keV if the mass for $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken to be ~ 6366 MeV as predicted in the relativistic quark model [21]. Combining the predicted total width of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, we estimate the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}[|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_b^-(\frac{1}{2}^-)\gamma] \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 5/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ may be a narrow state with a width comparable to the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ (i.e., a few MeV). The decays of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ are governed by $\Xi_b^*\pi$, which is less sensitive to its mass. If the mass of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken to be ~ 6.37 GeV as the prediction in Ref. [21], the decay channel $\Sigma_b^* K$ becomes important as well (see Table VI). In this case, the branching fractions for the $\Xi_b'\pi$, $\Xi_b^*\pi$ and $\Sigma_b K$ channels are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b'\pi, \Xi_b^*\pi, \Sigma_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} = 12\%, 45\%, 29\%. \quad (18)$$

To establish this missing $1D$ -wave Ξ_b baryon with $J^P = 5/2^+$, its dominant decay modes $\Xi_b^*\pi$ and $\Sigma_b K$ are worth observing in future experiments.

We also study the radiative decays of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table VII as well. It is found that the charged state $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $\Xi_b^-(\frac{3}{2}^-)\gamma$. The partial decay width can reach up to ~ 40 keV. If the mass for $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken to be ~ 6373 MeV as predicted in the relativistic quark model [21]. Combining the predicted total width of $|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, we estimate the branching fraction $\mathcal{B}[|\Xi_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_b^-(\frac{3}{2}^-)\gamma] \simeq \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

IV. RESULTS FOR SINGLY HEAVY BARYONS OF 6_F

A. Σ_c

In the Σ_c family, according to the quark model classification, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, and

$|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$. However, no D -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Σ_c excitations are predicted to be ~ 3.0 GeV within various quark models (see Table II). In the possible mass range, we study their strong decay transitions within ChQM. Our results are shown in Fig. 3. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states as predicted in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we further present the results in Table VIII.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a broad state. If its mass is taken as the prediction 3041 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 160$ MeV (see Table VIII). This state has large decay rates into $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ final states. The ratios between the partial decay widths for the $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2595)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 33\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 40\%. \quad (19)$$

Both $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative transitions into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, and their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(100)$ keV. The branching fractions for these main radiative decay processes may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ dominantly decays into the P -wave states through the pionic decay modes $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ and $|\Sigma_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, while its decay rate into $\Sigma_c\pi$ is sizable. It has a width of $\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV, which significantly depends on its mass. If the mass is taken as the prediction 3043 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 100$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial decay widths for the $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 50\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 7\%. \quad (20)$$

The $\Sigma_c\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, one finds that it has large decay rates into the P -wave states through the pionic decay modes $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\pi$. Its width should be broader than that of $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$. Furthermore, the decay rate into $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ is sizable as well. If its mass is taken as the prediction 3040 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic




FIG. 3: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Σ_c states as functions of their mass. The bold solid curves stand for the sum of the partial widths. The masses of the P -wave heavy baryons in final states are adopted from the quark model predictions in Ref. [21] (see Table II).

decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 120$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratios between the partial widths of $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 35\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 6\%. \quad (21)$$

The $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ and $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate the radiative transitions of these $J^P = 3/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave states. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, while $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rates into $\Lambda_c(2595)^+\gamma$, and their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(100)$ keV. The branching fractions for these main radiative decay processes may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$. The $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ may have the possibility of being observed in the $\Lambda_c(2595)^+\gamma$ channel.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

The $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a narrow state with a width of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV (see Fig. 3). It has large decay rates into $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Sigma_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ with comparable partial decay widths. If its mass is taken as the prediction

3038 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is about $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 60$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial widths for the main decay modes, $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Sigma_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$, and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c\pi, \Sigma_c\pi, \Lambda_c(2595)\pi, \Lambda_c(2625)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 20\%, 15\%, 18\%, 19\%. \quad (22)$$

The $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Sigma_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for the missing $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$.

The other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might also be a narrow state with a width of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV. This state has relatively large decay rates into $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, $|\Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$. If its mass is taken as the prediction 3023 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is about $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 70$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratios between the partial widths for the main decay modes, $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_c(2520)\pi, \Lambda_c(2595)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 17\%, 16\%. \quad (23)$$

The $\Sigma_c(2520)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$ may be ideal channels for a search for $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.




FIG. 4: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Σ_b states as functions of their mass. The bold solid curves stand for the sum of the partial widths. The masses of the P -wave heavy baryons in final states are adopted from the quark model predictions in Ref. [21], if there are no observations (see Table II).

The radiative transitions of these $J^P = 5/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states are estimated as well. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that for the $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ state the main radiative decay processes are $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, and $|\Sigma_c^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595, 2625)^+\gamma$, while for the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, the main radiative decay processes are $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, and $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595, 2625)^+\gamma$. The partial radiative decay widths for these processes are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may be $O(10^{-4})$. These radiative transitions may be hard to observe in experiments.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively narrow width of $O(10)$ MeV. It mainly decays into $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ channels. If one adopts the predicted mass 3013 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is estimated to be $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 60$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial decay widths for these main channels, $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and

$\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$, and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c\pi, \Lambda_c(2595)\pi, \Lambda_c(2625)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 22\%, 19\%, 41\%. \quad (24)$$

The $\Lambda_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ may be ideal channels for a search for $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative transitions into the $1P$ -wave states are estimated as well. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that the main radiative decay processes are $|\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_c^{++(0)} 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Sigma_c^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_c(2595)^+\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions are $O(10^{-4})$. These radiative transitions may be hard to observe in experiments.

B. Σ_b

In the Σ_b family, according to the quark model classification, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, and $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$. However, no $1D$ -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Σ_b excitations are predicted to be ~ 6.3 within various quark models (see Table II). In the possible mass ranges, we study their strong decay transitions within ChQM. Our results are shown in Fig. 4. To be more specific, taking the masses of the

TABLE VIII: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode D -wave Σ_c and Σ_b baryons, the masses (MeV) of which are taken from the quark model predictions of Ref. [21]. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Sigma_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle(3043)$	$ \Sigma_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle(3038)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle(3041)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle(3040)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle(3023)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle(3013)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Lambda_c \pi$	2286	$1.29^{+2.54}_{-1.22}$	$11.9^{-1.65}_{+1.51}$	$2.62^{+5.09}_{-2.46}$	$1.15^{+2.32}_{-1.09}$	$3.1^{-0.46}_{+0.42}$	$13.1^{-2.06}_{+1.83}$
$\Sigma_c \pi$	2455	$7.06^{+4.66}_{-3.95}$	$8.78^{-1.86}_{+2.25}$	$3.53^{+2.31}_{-1.96}$	$1.77^{+1.14}_{-0.98}$	$0.54^{-0.11}_{+0.14}$	$2.18^{-0.48}_{+0.60}$
$\Sigma_c^* \pi$	2520	$2.44^{-0.33}_{+0.48}$	$2.91^{+0.72}_{-0.61}$	$1.71^{+0.79}_{-0.76}$	$7.47^{+2.04}_{-1.77}$	$11.6^{+0.80}_{-0.34}$	$1.45^{+0.13}_{-0.02}$
$\Xi_c K$	2470	$1.17^{+0.12}_{-0.14}$	0.03	$2.27^{+0.22}_{-0.27}$	$1.12^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$	<0.01	0.01
$ \Lambda_c^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2592	$4.93^{+0.25}_{-0.19}$	$10.3^{-0.83}_{+0.68}$	$52.6^{-3.2}_{+2.7}$	$3.06^{+2.44}_{-1.70}$	$11.2^{-0.8}_{+0.9}$	$11.1^{+3.5}_{-3.0}$
$ \Lambda_c^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2628	$52.8^{+6.76}_{-5.77}$	$10.9^{+2.1}_{-2.4}$	$64.0^{+22.2}_{-18.8}$	$43.1^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	$1.92^{+0.02}_{<+0.01}$	$24.2^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$
$ \Sigma_c^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2713	$4.09^{+0.35}_{-0.29}$	$5.85^{-0.75}_{+0.86}$	$7.56^{-0.77}_{+0.89}$	$2.10^{+0.99}_{-0.82}$	$1.13^{-0.16}_{+0.18}$	$2.83^{+0.76}_{-0.68}$
$ \Sigma_c^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2798	$25.6^{+4.82}_{-4.29}$	$0.76^{-0.05}_{+0.18}$	$15.8^{+4.0}_{-3.6}$	$3.58^{+0.39}_{-0.34}$	0.01	$0.95^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$
$ \Sigma_c^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2799	0.09	$1.30^{+0.38}_{-0.33}$	$0.46^{-0.07}_{+0.10}$	$22.4^{+3.4}_{-3.0}$	$12.1^{+2.1}_{-1.9}$	<0.01
$ \Sigma_c^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2773	$3.42^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$	$1.26^{+0.11}_{-0.09}$	$4.18^{+0.05}_{+0.02}$	$18.9^{+2.4}_{-2.0}$	$4.54^{+2.16}_{-1.80}$	$1.03^{-0.03}_{+0.04}$
$ \Sigma_c^4 P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	2789	$2.20^{+0.06}_{<+0.01}$	$4.51^{+0.57}_{-0.45}$	$6.1^{+1.96}_{-1.72}$	$16.8^{+4.8}_{-4.3}$	$23.0^{+3.3}_{-2.9}$	$1.26^{-0.05}_{+0.09}$
Sum		$105^{+19.5}_{-15.5}$	$58.5^{-1.3}_{+1.6}$	$160.8^{+32.6}_{-25.9}$	$121.5^{+24.4}_{-19.8}$	$69.1^{+6.9}_{-5.3}$	$58.1^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle(6326)$	$ \Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle(6284)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle(6311)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle(6285)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle(6270)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle(6260)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Lambda_b \pi$	5620	$0.56^{+2.76}_{-0.46}$	$17.3^{-1.1}_{+1.6}$	$1.56^{+5.81}_{-1.51}$	$1.21^{+3.07}_{-1.20}$	$4.43^{-0.58}_{+0.45}$	$18.5^{-2.6}_{+2.1}$
$\Sigma_b \pi$	5811	$8.28^{+5.54}_{-4.66}$	$6.32^{+1.44}_{+1.82}$	$4.16^{+2.51}_{-2.20}$	$2.03^{+1.03}_{-0.96}$	$0.37^{-0.09}_{+0.11}$	$1.43^{-0.33}_{+0.46}$
$\Sigma_b^* \pi$	5832	$4.21^{-0.58}_{+0.80}$	$3.26^{+0.81}_{-0.70}$	$2.05^{+1.08}_{-1.00}$	$8.36^{+2.26}_{-2.01}$	$12.6^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$1.54^{+0.15}_{-0.05}$
$\Xi_b K$	5794	$0.89^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$...	$0.88^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$
$ \Lambda_b^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	5912	$5.70^{+0.22}_{-0.13}$	$6.96^{-0.61}_{+0.54}$	$51.6^{-2.9}_{+2.2}$	$3.05^{+2.13}_{-1.55}$	$7.68^{-0.65}_{+0.66}$	$8.89^{+2.77}_{-2.34}$
$ \Lambda_b^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	5920	$70.0^{+8.3}_{-7.5}$	$10.4^{-2.0}_{+2.3}$	$66.0^{+24.1}_{-20.1}$	$40.5^{+4.1}_{-3.4}$	$1.90^{-0.001}_{+0.02}$	$21.1^{+0.06}_{-0.1}$
$ \Sigma_b^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	6101	$1.18^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	$0.13^{-0.02}_{+0.03}$	$0.71^{-0.07}_{+0.09}$	$0.81^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$	0.01	$0.43^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$
$ \Sigma_b^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	6096	$25.6^{+4.8}_{-4.2}$	$0.28^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$	$13.1^{+3.2}_{-2.9}$	$1.49^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$	<0.01	$0.24^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$
$ \Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	6095	$0.10^{-0.04}_{+0.07}$	$0.79^{+0.21}_{-0.18}$	$0.33^{-0.06}_{+0.07}$	$10.5^{+1.81}_{-1.55}$	$5.30^{+1.0}_{-0.90}$	<0.01
$ \Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	6087	$2.53^{+0.16}_{-0.12}$	$0.41^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$2.24^{+0.04}_{-0.01}$	$6.44^{+1.01}_{-0.88}$	$3.52^{+1.09}_{-0.97}$	$0.15^{+0.01}_{<-0.01}$
$ \Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$	6084	$2.39^{+0.32}_{+0.03}$	$2.09^{+0.32}_{-0.27}$	$5.46^{+1.72}_{-1.52}$	$10.7^{+2.8}_{-2.5}$	$10.8^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$	$0.34^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$
Sum		$121.4^{+21.4}_{-16.4}$	$47.9^{-3.7}_{+5.0}$	$148.0^{+35.5}_{-26.9}$	$85.1^{+18.6}_{-14.4}$	$48.5^{+3.5}_{-2.7}$	$52.6^{+0.2}_{-0.05}$

1D-wave states obtained in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we present the results in Table VIII.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a broad state with a width of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV. If its mass is taken as the prediction in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths for the pionic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 150$ MeV (see Table VIII). This state might mainly decay into the P -wave Λ_b states $\Lambda_b(5912) \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\Lambda_b(5920) \frac{3}{2}^-$ via pionic decay modes $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$. The ratios between the partial decay widths for the $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5912)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 35\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 44\%. \quad (25)$$

Both $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative transitions. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that $|\Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, and their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(100)$ keV. The branching fractions for these main radiative decay processes may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ has large decay rates into the P -wave states through the pionic decay modes $\Lambda_b(5920) \frac{3}{2}^- \pi$ and $|\Sigma_b^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$. It has a width of $\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV, which obviously depends on its mass (see Fig. 4). If the mass is taken as the prediction 6326 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 120$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ra-

TABLE IX: Partial widths of radiative decays for the λ -mode D -wave Σ_c and Σ_b baryons, the masses (MeV) of which are taken from the quark model predictions of Ref. [21]. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Sigma_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3043)$	$ \Sigma_c^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3038)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(3041)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3040)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3023)$	$ \Sigma_c^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(3013)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Sigma_c^{++} 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2713	$231.9_{-27.7}^{+30.6}$	$58.4_{-7.1}^{+8.1}$	$15.01_{-1.9}^{+2.3}$	$23.6_{-2.7}^{+2.9}$	$7.40_{-0.92}^{+1.04}$	0.017
$ \Sigma_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$1.50_{-0.01}^{+0.08}$	$2.27_{-0.21}^{+0.19}$	$2.56_{-0.37}^{+0.46}$	$2.58_{-0.33}^{+0.37}$	$0.80_{-0.11}^{+0.13}$	0.001
$ \Sigma_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$164.2_{-23.2}^{+28.2}$	$22.6_{-3.2}^{+4.0}$	$0.45_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$2.71_{-0.24}^{+0.22}$	$0.87_{-0.09}^{+0.09}$	0.004
$ \Sigma_c^{++} 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2798	$78.5_{-12.0}^{+15.1}$	$34.9_{-5.5}^{+7.1}$	$1.93_{-0.29}^{+0.36}$	$0.59_{-0.10}^{+0.13}$	$4.90_{-0.69}^{+0.85}$	$3.94_{-0.55}^{+0.67}$
$ \Sigma_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$1.82_{-0.23}^{+0.28}$	$0.81_{-0.14}^{+0.18}$	$0.20_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$	0.06	$0.52_{-0.08}^{+0.09}$	$0.42_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$
$ \Sigma_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$38.6_{-6.1}^{+8.0}$	$47.52_{-8.0}^{+10.6}$	$0.24_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$	0.08	$0.60_{-0.08}^{+0.09}$	$0.49_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$
$ \Sigma_c^{++} 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2799	$5.09_{-0.63}^{+0.70}$	$0.29_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$	$136.5_{-13.6}^{+23.5}$	$52.7_{-7.6}^{+9.4}$	$9.79_{-1.48}^{+1.88}$	$1.30_{-0.28}^{+0.41}$
$ \Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.55_{-0.08}^{+0.08}$	0.03	$0.97_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$	$0.45_{-0.05}^{+0.05}$	$0.14_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$	0.005
$ \Sigma_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.60_{-0.06}^{+0.06}$	0.03	$94.3_{-14.5}^{+18.4}$	$38.61_{-6.0}^{+7.8}$	$5.64_{-0.90}^{+1.17}$	$1.00_{-0.20}^{+0.28}$
$ \Sigma_c^{+} 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2773	$11.9_{-1.6}^{+1.8}$	$4.73_{-0.54}^{+0.59}$	$94.6_{-13.6}^{+16.5}$	$121.8_{-17.0}^{+20.5}$	$48.5_{-7.2}^{+8.9}$	$13.5_{-2.0}^{+2.5}$
$ \Sigma_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$1.27_{-0.17}^{+0.21}$	$0.51_{-0.06}^{+0.08}$	$1.26_{-0.13}^{+0.14}$	$0.90_{-0.06}^{+0.04}$	$0.47_{-0.06}^{+0.08}$	$0.17_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$
$ \Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$1.42_{-0.16}^{+0.17}$	$0.55_{-0.05}^{+0.04}$	$55.9_{-8.6}^{+10.9}$	$84.22_{-12.8}^{+16.3}$	$44.2_{-7.1}^{+9.2}$	$8.22_{-1.3}^{+1.7}$
$ \Sigma_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$2.66_{-0.39}^{+0.48}$	$12.93_{-1.8}^{+2.3}$	$10.1_{-2.0}^{+2.7}$	$32.3_{-5.1}^{+6.5}$	$49.4_{-7.5}^{+9.5}$	$23.3_{-3.8}^{+4.9}$
$ \Sigma_c^{++} 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2789	$0.28_{-0.04}^{+0.05}$	$1.36_{-0.20}^{+0.25}$	$0.10_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$	$0.42_{-0.06}^{+0.06}$	$0.35_{-0.03}^{+0.04}$	$0.55_{-0.08}^{+0.11}$
$ \Sigma_c^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.33_{-0.04}^{+0.05}$	$1.59_{-0.20}^{+0.25}$	$6.72_{-1.28}^{+1.8}$	$19.6_{-3.3}^{+4.2}$	$35.0_{-5.7}^{+7.3}$	$30.5_{-5.2}^{+6.9}$
$ \Lambda_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2592	$17.53_{-0.02}^{+0.84}$	$25.36_{-0.62}^{+1.2}$	$24.7_{-1.7}^{+1.2}$	$88.8_{-4.6}^{+2.3}$	$31.3_{-2.2}^{+1.7}$	$0.35_{-0.14}^{+0.24}$
$ \Lambda_c^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	2628	$64.6_{-5.6}^{+5.0}$	$42.95_{-3.0}^{+2.1}$	$21.2_{-2.2}^{+2.2}$	$7.71_{-1.07}^{+1.27}$	$53.9_{-3.5}^{+2.5}$	$45.4_{-2.4}^{+1.2}$
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6326)$	$ \Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6284)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(6311)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6285)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6270)$	$ \Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(6260)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	6101	$216.0_{-32.4}^{+40.65}$	$15.90_{-2.59}^{+3.42}$	$2.22_{-0.31}^{+0.39}$	$2.79_{-0.41}^{+0.50}$	$0.72_{-0.11}^{+0.14}$	< 0.01
$ \Sigma_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$15.9_{-2.4}^{+3.0}$	$1.09_{-0.18}^{+0.24}$	$0.11_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$	$0.17_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$	0.04	< 0.01
$ \Sigma_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$45.3_{-6.7}^{+8.4}$	$3.61_{-0.58}^{+0.77}$	$0.67_{-0.10}^{+0.12}$	$0.72_{-0.11}^{+0.13}$	$0.19_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$	< 0.01
$ \Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	6096	$141.0_{-21.9}^{+28.2}$	$74.4_{-12.5}^{+16.5}$	$1.50_{-0.22}^{+0.28}$	$0.26_{-0.04}^{+0.06}$	$2.04_{-0.30}^{+0.36}$	$1.53_{-0.22}^{+0.27}$
$ \Sigma_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$9.84_{-1.55}^{+1.98}$	$5.86_{-0.99}^{+1.31}$	0.09	0.02	$0.12_{-0.01}^{+0.03}$	0.09
$ \Sigma_b^- 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$31.4_{-4.9}^{+6.2}$	$14.3_{-2.36}^{+3.18}$	$0.39_{-0.06}^{+0.07}$	0.06	$0.52_{-0.07}^{+0.10}$	$0.39_{-0.05}^{+0.07}$
$ \Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	6095	$4.92_{-0.50}^{+0.49}$	$0.13_{-0.01}^{+0.02}$	$243.8_{-35.9}^{+44.7}$	$67.54_{-10.5}^{+13.6}$	$9.69_{-1.58}^{+2.05}$	$1.64_{-0.34}^{+0.48}$
$ \Sigma_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.30_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$	0.008	$17.6_{-2.6}^{+3.3}$	$4.94_{-0.78}^{+1.00}$	$0.68_{-0.11}^{+0.15}$	$0.12_{-0.02}^{+0.04}$
$ \Sigma_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$1.27_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$	0.034	$52.1_{-7.5}^{+9.4}$	$14.2_{-2.2}^{+2.9}$	$2.14_{-0.35}^{+0.45}$	$0.34_{-0.07}^{+0.10}$
$ \Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	6087	$9.18_{-1.11}^{+1.25}$	$1.74_{-0.21}^{+0.25}$	$124.8_{-13.4}^{+24.0}$	$124.9_{-19.4}^{+24.9}$	$62.7_{-0.77}^{+13.3}$	$11.0_{-1.8}^{+2.3}$
$ \Sigma_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.56_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$	$0.11_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$	$8.78_{-1.42}^{+1.71}$	$9.05_{-1.82}^{+4.1}$	$4.75_{-1.02}^{+1.02}$	$0.78_{-0.13}^{+0.16}$
$ \Sigma_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$2.37_{-0.29}^{+0.32}$	$0.45_{-0.06}^{+0.06}$	$27.5_{-4.1}^{+5.2}$	$26.6_{-5.3}^{+5.3}$	$12.7_{-2.1}^{+2.7}$	$2.39_{-0.39}^{+0.51}$
$ \Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	6084	$2.88_{-0.39}^{+0.48}$	$6.53_{-0.94}^{+1.15}$	$19.9_{-4.0}^{+5.8}$	$37.4_{-6.3}^{+8.3}$	$62.8_{-10.2}^{+13.3}$	$50.4_{-8.6}^{+11.4}$
$ \Sigma_b^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.17_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$	$0.40_{-0.07}^{+0.06}$	$1.43_{-0.29}^{+0.40}$	$2.65_{-0.45}^{+0.59}$	$4.57_{-0.75}^{+0.97}$	$4.01_{-0.68}^{+0.91}$
$ \Sigma_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$		$0.74_{-0.10}^{+0.13}$	$1.68_{-0.24}^{+0.30}$	$4.31_{-0.87}^{+1.25}$	$8.18_{-1.36}^{+1.80}$	$13.3_{-2.1}^{+2.8}$	$9.59_{-1.62}^{+2.15}$
$ \Lambda_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	5912	$19.0_{-0.9}^{+2.1}$	$21.6_{-0.7}^{+2.2}$	$27.6_{-1.6}^{+0.8}$	$79.0_{-4.3}^{+2.3}$	$27.4_{-2.0}^{+1.6}$	$0.35_{-0.14}^{+0.25}$
$ \Lambda_b^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$	5920	$99.0_{-5.9}^{+3.7}$	$45.8_{-2.6}^{+1.6}$	$30.2_{-2.7}^{+2.5}$	$9.06_{-1.28}^{+1.56}$	$56.1_{-3.0}^{+1.5}$	$45.4_{-1.7}^{+0.03}$

tio between the partial decay width for the $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ channel and Γ_{Sum} is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 58\%. \quad (26)$$

The $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ decay channel may be an ideal channel for our search for $|\Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ in future experiments.

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b^4 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, one finds that

this state mainly decays into the P -wave states through the pionic decay modes $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$, $|\Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Sigma_b^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$. Furthermore, the decay rate into $\Sigma_b^*(5832)\pi$ is sizable as well.

Its width should be narrower than that of $|\Sigma_b^2 D_{\lambda\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$. If its mass is taken as the predictions in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 85$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratios between the partial widths of $\Gamma[\Sigma_b^*(5832)\pi]$ and $\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)\pi]$ and Γ_{Sum} are pre-




FIG. 5: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Ξ'_c states as functions of their mass. The bold solid curves stand for the sum of the partial widths. The masses of the P -wave heavy baryons in final states are adopted from the quark model predictions in Ref. [21], if there are no observations (see Table II).

dicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_b^*(5832)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 10\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 46\%. \quad (27)$$

Both $\Sigma_b^*(5832)\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ may be ideal channels for a search for $|\Sigma_b^* 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative decays of the $J^P = 3/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states are estimated as well. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that $|\Sigma_b^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$, while $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $|\Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^-\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(100)$ keV, while the branching fractions may reach up to $O(10^{-3})$.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

The $|\Sigma_b^* 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a relatively narrow state with a width of $O(10)$ MeV. It has large decay rates into $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$. If its mass is taken as the prediction 6284 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is about $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 50$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial decay widths for these main channels, $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$, and Γ_{Sum} are

predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b\pi, \Sigma_b\pi, \Lambda_b(5912)\pi, \Lambda_b(5920)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 35\%, 13\%, 14\%, 21\%. \quad (28)$$

The $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_b^* 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

For the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b^* 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, it has a width of $O(10) - O(100)$ MeV, which significantly depends on the mass. This state has large decay rates into $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b^*\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, $|\Sigma_b^* 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Sigma_b^* 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^-\rangle\pi$. If its mass is taken as the prediction 6270 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is about $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 50$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial decay widths for the $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b^*\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$ final states and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b\pi, \Sigma_b^*\pi, \Lambda_b(5912)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 9\%, 26\%, 16\%. \quad (29)$$

The $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Sigma_b^*\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$ decay channels might be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_b^* 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative decays of these $J^P = 5/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states are estimated as well. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that for the $|\Sigma_b^* 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ state the main radiative decay processes




FIG. 6: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Ξ'_b states as functions of their mass. The bold solid curves stand for the sum of the partial widths. The masses of the P -wave heavy baryons in final states are adopted from the quark model predictions in Ref. [21], if there are no observations (see Table II).

are $|\Sigma_b^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda 1/2}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 2P_{\lambda 1/2}^-\rangle\gamma$, and $|\Sigma_b^0 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5912, 5920)^+\gamma$, while for the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle$, the main radiative decay processes are $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda 3/2}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda 5/2}^-\rangle\gamma$, and $|\Sigma_b^0 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5912, 5920)^+\gamma$. The partial radiative decay widths for these processes are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may be $O(10^{-4}) - O(10^{-3})$.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle$ might have a width of $O(10) - O(100)$ MeV, which strongly depends on its mass (see Fig. 4). This state has large decay rates into $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ channels. If one adopts the predicted mass 6260 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic decays is estimated to be $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 50$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial decay widths for these main channels, $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$, and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b\pi, \Lambda_b(5912)\pi, \Lambda_b(5920)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 35\%, 16\%, 40\%. \quad (30)$$

The $\Lambda_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Sigma_b 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that the main radiative decay processes are $|\Sigma_b^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Sigma_b^+ 4P_{\lambda 5/2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Sigma_b^0 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_b(5920)^0\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may be $O(10^{-4}) - O(10^{-3})$. The neutral $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Sigma_b^0 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle$ may have the possibility of being observed in the $\Lambda_b(5920)^0\gamma$ channel.

C. Ξ'_c

In the Ξ'_c family, according to the quark model classification, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Xi'_c 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$, $|\Xi'_c 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, $|\Xi'_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, $|\Xi'_c 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle$, $|\Xi'_c 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 5/2^+\rangle$, and $|\Xi'_c 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 7/2^+\rangle$. However, no $1D$ -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ξ'_c excitations are ~ 3.14 within various quark model predictions (see Table II).

In Ref. [48], the strong decay properties of the D -wave excited Ξ'_c states were studied in their possible mass ranges. However, we did not give correct predictions of the partial widths of the D -wave excited Ξ'_c states decaying into the P -wave charmed baryons. In this work, we update our predictions, which have been shown in Fig. 5. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states predicted within the




FIG. 7: Strong decay partial widths of the main decay modes for the λ -mode $1D$ -wave excited Ω_c and Ω_b states as functions of their mass. The bold solid curves stand for the total widths.

relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we give our predictions in Table X.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

If the mass of the $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$ is less than 3.12 GeV, this state should be a rather narrow state with a width of ~ 10 MeV; its main decay modes are $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Lambda_c K$, and $\Sigma_c K$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 3163 MeV in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states should open, and the decay channels $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ will play dominant roles. In this case, the $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$ might be a broad state, and the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 120$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 0.33, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c(2815)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 0.33. \quad (31)$$

The decay channels $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is

found that $|\Xi_c'^0 4D_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Xi_c'^0 4P_{\lambda\lambda} 1/2^-\rangle\gamma$, and the partial radiative decay width is estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(100)$ keV. The branching fractions for this main radiative decay process may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

For $|\Xi_c' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$, if its mass is less than 3.12 GeV, it should be a rather narrow state with a width of ~ 10 MeV, and its main decay modes are $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Xi_c'\pi$, and $\Sigma_c K$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi_c' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 3167 MeV in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states should open, and the decay channels $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ will play dominant roles. In this case, the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ might be a broad state, and the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 90$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 12\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c(2815)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 54\%. \quad (32)$$

The $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for our search for $|\Xi_c' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} 3/2^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

TABLE X: Partial widths of strong decays for the λ -mode D -wave Ξ'_c and Ξ'_b baryons, the masses (MeV) of which are taken from the quark model predictions of Ref. [21]. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3167)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3166)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(3163)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3160)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3153)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(3147)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Xi_c\pi$	2470	$2.43^{+2.13}_{-1.59}$	$5.13^{+0.96}_{-1.05}$	$4.92^{+4.18}_{-3.19}$	$2.48^{+2.06}_{-1.60}$	$1.32^{+0.25}_{-0.29}$	$5.68^{+1.10}_{-1.24}$
$\Xi'_c\pi$	2578	$3.51^{+1.79}_{-1.66}$	$2.77^{+0.62}_{-0.77}$	$1.75^{+0.88}_{-0.81}$	$0.87^{+0.44}_{-0.40}$	$0.17^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	$0.73^{+0.17}_{-0.21}$
$\Xi_c^*\pi$	2645	$0.74^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$	$1.18^{+0.27}_{-0.26}$	$0.76^{+0.27}_{-0.28}$	$2.94^{+0.72}_{-0.69}$	$4.28^{+0.34}_{-0.24}$	$0.56^{+0.06}_{-0.04}$
$\Lambda_c K$	2286	$1.23^{+1.13}_{-0.83}$	$1.25^{+0.43}_{-0.53}$	$2.51^{+2.24}_{-1.67}$	$1.27^{+1.12}_{-0.84}$	$0.72^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$	$3.09^{+0.58}_{-0.63}$
$\Sigma_c K$	2455	$6.37^{+1.97}_{-2.07}$	$1.72^{+0.43}_{-0.58}$	$3.16^{+0.96}_{-1.0}$	$1.57^{+0.46}_{-0.49}$	$0.11^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$0.40^{+0.10}_{-0.14}$
$\Sigma_c^* K$	2520	$0.47^{+0.01}_{-0.04}$	$1.57^{+0.25}_{-0.28}$	$1.22^{+0.23}_{-0.26}$	$3.97^{+0.62}_{-0.70}$	$4.18^{+0.37}_{-0.40}$	$0.59^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$
$ \Lambda_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle K$	2592	$0.84^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	$0.55^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$3.66^{+0.30}_{-0.38}$	$3.55^{+1.22}_{-1.06}$	$0.65^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$	$10.1^{+2.5}_{-2.3}$
$ \Lambda_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle K$	2628	$10.9^{+2.4}_{-2.1}$	$0.24^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$	$39.1^{+9.5}_{-8.6}$	$7.25^{+1.53}_{-1.36}$	$0.15^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$1.15^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$
$ \Xi_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2792	$1.85^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	$1.83^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	$14.5^{+1.28}_{-2.11}$	$9.28^{+1.53}_{-1.43}$	$3.37^{+0.31}_{-0.36}$	$14.4^{+4.0}_{-3.5}$
$ \Xi_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2815	$47.3^{+7.7}_{-7.3}$	$3.54^{+0.82}_{-1.13}$	$40.2^{+11.9}_{-10.5}$	$11.8^{+1.7}_{-1.4}$	$0.67^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$8.81^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2936	$0.39^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.21^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.26^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	$0.51^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$	0.03	$0.92^{+0.21}_{-0.19}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2935	$8.53^{+1.70}_{-1.50}$	$0.22^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$5.50^{+1.33}_{-1.21}$	$1.0^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$	<0.01	$0.33^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2854	$0.23^{+0.07}_{-0.10}$	$1.00^{+0.32}_{-0.30}$	$0.75^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$	$20.5^{+2.9}_{-2.6}$	$13.0^{+2.1}_{-2.0}$	<0.01
$ \Xi'_c \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2912	$1.01^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	$0.41^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$1.10^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$5.62^{+0.82}_{-0.72}$	$2.85^{+1.08}_{-0.94}$	$0.34^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	2929	$0.59^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	$1.46^{+0.22}_{-0.18}$	$2.23^{+0.64}_{-0.57}$	$5.75^{+1.51}_{-1.35}$	$7.55^{+1.17}_{-1.05}$	$0.37^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$
Sum		$86.4^{+19.1}_{-17.2}$	$23.1^{+1.9}_{-2.8}$	$121.6^{+30.4}_{-25.5}$	$78.4^{+16.9}_{-14.9}$	$39.1^{+4.3}_{-3.7}$	$47.5^{+5.1}_{-4.1}$

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi'_b \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6459)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6432)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(6447)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6431)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6420)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(6414)$
		Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)	Γ_i (MeV)
$\Xi_b\pi$	5795	$2.02^{+3.07}_{-1.72}$	$9.41^{+1.51}_{-1.44}$	$4.41^{+6.04}_{-3.64}$	$2.43^{+2.93}_{-1.89}$	$2.42^{+0.40}_{-0.40}$	$10.3^{+1.73}_{-1.81}$
$\Xi'_b\pi$	5935	$4.18^{+2.37}_{-2.13}$	$2.82^{+0.64}_{-0.81}$	$2.06^{+1.10}_{-0.99}$	$1.01^{+0.48}_{-0.46}$	$0.17^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	$0.71^{+0.17}_{-0.22}$
$\Xi_b^*\pi$	5955	$1.60^{+0.21}_{-0.29}$	$1.59^{+0.39}_{-0.35}$	$1.00^{+0.47}_{-0.45}$	$4.03^{+1.09}_{-0.99}$	$6.16^{+0.46}_{-0.29}$	$0.79^{+0.08}_{-0.03}$
$\Lambda_b K$	5620	$1.04^{+1.67}_{-0.90}$	$2.45^{+0.89}_{-0.83}$	$2.39^{+3.36}_{-1.98}$	$1.40^{+1.47}_{-1.19}$	$1.29^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$5.45^{+0.90}_{-0.90}$
$\Sigma_b K$	5811	$7.80^{+2.16}_{-2.31}$	$0.86^{+0.22}_{-0.33}$	$4.03^{+0.98}_{-1.07}$	$1.83^{+0.37}_{-0.42}$	0.04	$0.16^{+0.04}_{-0.06}$
$\Sigma_b^* K$	5835	$0.85^{+0.04}_{-0.08}$	$1.95^{+0.28}_{-0.32}$	$1.77^{+0.34}_{-0.39}$	$5.11^{+0.74}_{-0.85}$	$4.77^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	$0.68^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$
$ \Lambda_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle K$	5912	$0.77^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	0.06	$1.54^{+0.10}_{-0.14}$	$3.18^{+0.81}_{-0.74}$	0.04	$3.65^{+0.79}_{-0.71}$
$ \Lambda_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle K$	5920	$14.6^{+3.07}_{-2.8}$	$0.17^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	$30.2^{+7.48}_{-6.65}$	$5.87^{+1.25}_{-1.13}$	0.06	...
$ \Xi_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6120	$1.90^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	$1.35^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$	$13.2^{+1.19}_{-1.28}$	$7.36^{+1.22}_{-1.13}$	$2.15^{+0.20}_{-0.25}$	$11.0^{+3.0}_{-2.6}$
$ \Xi_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6130	$54.4^{+8.22}_{-6.92}$	$2.80^{+0.66}_{-0.93}$	$39.3^{+12.0}_{-10.5}$	$10.5^{+1.47}_{-1.29}$	$0.56^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	$6.79^{+0.07}_{-0.01}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6233	$0.47^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$0.10^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$0.28^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$0.43^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	0.01	$0.65^{+0.14}_{-0.14}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6234	$9.60^{+1.87}_{-1.66}$	$0.14^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	$5.33^{+1.31}_{-1.18}$	$0.72^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	0.001	$0.18^{+0.01}_{-0.012}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6227	0.03	$0.43^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	$0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$5.80^{+0.94}_{-0.87}$	$3.35^{+0.61}_{-0.56}$	<0.01
$ \Xi'_b \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6224	$0.89^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$0.21^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.81^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	$3.16^{+0.49}_{-0.43}$	$1.80^{+0.59}_{-0.52}$	$0.12^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle \pi$	6226	$0.72^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$	$0.96^{+0.14}_{-0.13}$	$2.21^{+0.65}_{-0.58}$	$4.80^{+1.23}_{-1.12}$	$5.26^{+0.89}_{-0.76}$	$0.20^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$
Sum		$100.8^{+22.6}_{-18.4}$	$25.3^{+2.7}_{-3.3}$	$108.7^{+32.4}_{-26.0}$	$57.6^{+14.7}_{-12.7}$	$28.1^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$	$40.7^{+1.3}_{-4.6}$

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, if its mass is less than 3.12 GeV, it should be a rather narrow state with a width of ~ 10 MeV as well, and its main decay modes are $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Xi'_c\pi$, and $\Sigma_c^* K$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 3160 MeV in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states should open, and the decay channels $\Lambda_c(2625)K$, $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$, and $|\Xi'_c \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\pi$ will dominate the decays of $|\Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$. In this case, the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 80$ MeV (see Table X),

while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Lambda_c(2625)K$, $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_c(2625)K, \Xi_c(2790)\pi, \Xi_c(2815)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 10\%, 12\%, 16\%(33)$$

The $\Lambda_c(2625)K$, $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for a search for $|\Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative decays of these $J^P = 3/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states are also estimated. Our results

TABLE XI: Partial widths of radiative decays for the λ -mode D -wave Ξ'_c and Ξ'_b baryons, the masses (MeV) of which are taken from the quark model predictions of Ref. [21]. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Ref. [21]. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3167)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3166)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(3163)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(3160)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(3153)$	$ \Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(3147)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Xi'_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2792	$7.91^{+0.45}_{-0.58}$	$12.4^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	$9.33^{+1.09}_{-1.03}$	$34.2^{+3.5}_{-3.5}$	$12.4^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$	0.05
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
$ \Xi'_c \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2815	$24.4^{+3.2}_{-2.9}$	$17.5^{+2.0}_{-1.9}$	$7.49^{+1.12}_{-0.96}$	$2.41^{+0.46}_{-0.36}$	$22.0^{+2.4}_{-2.4}$	$19.5^{+1.9}_{-1.9}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
$ \Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2936	0.02	$0.32^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$0.49^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$	$0.47^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$	$0.16^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	< 0.01
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$64.2^{+13.6}_{-10.3}$	$6.38^{+1.41}_{-1.07}$	0.03	$0.30^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$0.10^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	< 0.01
$ \Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2935	$0.45^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$1.98^{+0.48}_{-0.35}$	$0.13^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	0.04	$0.40^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$0.35^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$29.2^{+6.4}_{-4.8}$	$50.1^{+11.2}_{-8.5}$	0.08	0.03	$0.25^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	$0.22^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2854	$1.36^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$	0.08	< 0.01	$0.54^{+0.10}_{-0.16}$	0.05	< 0.01
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$0.69^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$	0.04	$184.92^{+33.9}_{-27.2}$	$74.7^{+11.3}_{-14.2}$	$13.1^{+2.0}_{-2.6}$	$2.71^{+0.57}_{-0.85}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2912	$0.90^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$	$0.40^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	0.07	0.04	$0.73^{+0.13}_{-0.18}$	0.02
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$0.54^{+0.08}_{-0.16}$	$0.23^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$42.00^{+8.7}_{-6.7}$	$66.24^{+13.7}_{-10.5}$	$43.1^{+7.1}_{-9.3}$	$7.26^{+1.19}_{-1.57}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	2929	$0.19^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$0.98^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$	0.02	0.04	0.05	$1.25^{+0.22}_{-0.22}$
$ \Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$0.12^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$0.61^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$	$5.15^{+1.36}_{-0.97}$	$14.00^{+3.2}_{-2.4}$	$31.0^{+5.1}_{-6.7}$	$33.7^{+5.7}_{-7.7}$
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Xi'_b \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6459)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6432)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle(6447)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle(6431)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle(6420)$	$ \Xi'_b \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}}^{\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle(6414)$
		Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)	Γ_i (keV)
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6120	$5.16^{+0.38}_{-0.43}$	$10.00^{+0.25}_{-0.55}$	$9.66^{+1.04}_{-1.02}$	$29.7^{+3.0}_{-3.0}$	$10.0^{+1.1}_{-1.2}$	0.05
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6130	$18.2^{+2.6}_{-2.2}$	$16.7^{+1.8}_{-1.7}$	$9.33^{+1.30}_{-1.15}$	$2.60^{+0.51}_{-0.40}$	$20.5^{+2.1}_{-2.1}$	$17.7^{+1.6}_{-1.6}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6233	$14.9^{+2.9}_{-2.4}$	$1.21^{+0.27}_{-0.20}$	0.07	$0.16^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	0.05	< 0.01
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$37.5^{+7.1}_{-5.6}$	$3.72^{+0.79}_{-0.61}$	$0.52^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$	$0.68^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$	$0.20^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$	< 0.01
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6234	$7.73^{+1.62}_{-1.24}$	$6.88^{+1.54}_{-1.16}$	0.06	0.01	$0.11^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	0.09
$ \Xi'_b \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$22.4^{+4.5}_{-3.6}$	$14.3^{+3.1}_{-2.4}$	$0.25^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	0.05	$0.48^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$	$0.41^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6227	$0.21^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	< 0.01	$16.7^{+3.2}_{-2.6}$	$5.61^{+1.15}_{-0.89}$	$0.81^{+0.17}_{-0.13}$	$0.17^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$0.92^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$	0.03	$43.9^{+8.1}_{-6.5}$	$14.3^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$	$2.32^{+0.48}_{-0.38}$	$0.43^{+0.13}_{-0.10}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6224	$0.35^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	0.09	$7.36^{+1.49}_{-1.15}$	$9.32^{+1.90}_{-1.48}$	$5.58^{+1.21}_{-0.91}$	$0.92^{+0.20}_{-0.15}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$1.54^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$	$0.39^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$20.8^{+4.0}_{-3.2}$	$24.4^{+4.8}_{-3.8}$	$12.9^{+2.7}_{-2.1}$	$2.56^{+0.55}_{-0.41}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6226	$0.10^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	$0.30^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$1.14^{+0.32}_{-0.22}$	$2.44^{+0.55}_{-0.42}$	$4.70^{+1.01}_{-0.77}$	$5.36^{+1.21}_{-0.91}$
$ \Xi'_b \ ^+ \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$		$0.43^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$	$1.31^{+0.24}_{-0.19}$	$3.06^{+0.87}_{-0.61}$	$6.76^{+1.50}_{-1.13}$	$12.2^{+2.5}_{-2.0}$	$10.0^{+2.3}_{-1.7}$

are listed in Table XI. It is found that for the $|\Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ state the main radiative decay processes are $|\Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_c^+(2815)\gamma$, $|\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma, |\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$, while for the $|\Xi'_c \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ state, the main radiative decay processes are $|\Xi'_c \ ^+ \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_c^+(2790)\gamma$, $|\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma, |\Xi'_c \ ^0 \ ^4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may reach up to $O(10^{-4}) - O(10^{-3})$.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

For $|\Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, if its mass is less than 3.12 GeV, it should be a rather narrow state with a width of ~ 10 MeV, and its

main decay modes are $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Xi'_c\pi$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 3166 MeV in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states should open, and the $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ decay mode together with $\Xi_c\pi$ and $\Xi'_c\pi$ dominates its decays. In this case, the width of $|\Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ might be still fairly narrow, and the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays is $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 20$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Xi'_c\pi$ and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c\pi, \Xi'_c\pi, \Xi_c(2815)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 22\%, 12\%, 15\%. \quad (34)$$

The $\Xi_c\pi$, $\Xi'_c\pi$, and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ decay modes may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi'_c \ ^2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$ in future experiments.

For the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, if its mass is less than 3.12 GeV, it should be a rather narrow states with a width of ~ 10 MeV as well, its main decay modes are $\Xi_c'^* \pi$ and $\Sigma_c^* K$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 3153 MeV in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states should open, and the $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, $|\Xi_c' 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Xi_c' 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\pi$ decay modes together with $\Xi_c'^* \pi$ and $\Sigma_c^* K$ will dominate the decays of $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$. In this case, the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 40$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Xi_c'^* \pi$, $\Sigma_c^* K$, and $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c'^* \pi, \Sigma_c^* K, \Xi_c(2790)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 11\%, 11\%, 9\%. \quad (35)$$

The decay modes $\Xi_c'^* \pi$, $\Sigma_c^* K$, and $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$ may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative decays of these $J^P = 5/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states are also estimated. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that for the $|\Xi_c' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ state the main radiative decay processes are $|\Xi_c'^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_c^+(2790, 2815)\gamma$, $|\Xi_c'^0 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_c'^0 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, while for the $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ state, the main radiative decay processes are $|\Xi_c'^+ 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_c^+(2790, 2815)\gamma$, $|\Xi_c'^0 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_c'^0 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Xi_c'^0 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, $|\Xi_c'^0 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

If the mass of the $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ is less than 3.12 GeV, it should be a rather narrow state with a width of a few MeV, and its main decay modes are $\Xi_c \pi$ and $\Lambda_c K$. However, if the mass of $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken to be 3147 MeV as predicted in Ref. [21], the decay channels into the P -wave charmed baryon final states, $\Lambda_c(2595)K$, $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$, become dominant. In this case, the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 50$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the main channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c \pi, \Lambda_c(2595)K, \Xi_c(2790)\pi, \Xi_c(2815)\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 12\%, 20\%, 30\%, 19\%. \quad (36)$$

The $\Xi_c \pi$, $\Lambda_c K$, $\Lambda_c(2595)K$, $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, and $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$ decay modes may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

The radiative decays of $|\Xi_c' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states are also estimated. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that the main radiative decay processes are $|\Xi_c'^+ 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow \Xi_c^+(2815)\gamma$, $|\Xi_c'^0 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_c'^0 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

D. Ξ_b'

In the Ξ_b' family, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Xi_b' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Xi_b' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ and $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$. However, no $1D$ -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ξ_b' excitations are ~ 6.44 GeV within various quark model predictions (see Table II). In the possible mass ranges, we study their strong decay transitions by emitting one light pseudoscalar meson within the ChQM. Our results are shown in Fig. 6. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states obtained in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we give the predicted widths in Table X.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a broad state with a width of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV, which obviously depends on its mass. This state might mainly decay into the P -wave bottom baryons via pionic decay modes $|\Xi_b' 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$ and $|\Xi_b' 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$ and kaonic decay mode $\Lambda_b(5920)K$. If its mass is taken as the prediction 6447 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 110$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratio between the partial width for the $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ channel and Γ_{Sum} is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 27\%. \quad (37)$$

The $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ decay channel may be an ideal channel for our search for $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that $|\Xi_b' 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Xi_b' 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Xi_b' 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, and the partial radiative decay width is estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV. The branching fractions for these main radiative decay processes may be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ has a width of $\mathcal{O}(10) - \mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV, which significantly depends on the mass. It might mainly decay into the P -wave bottom baryons via the $|\Xi_b' 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ decay modes. The decay rate into $\Sigma_b \bar{K}$ is also sizeable. If its mass is taken as the prediction in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 100$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Sigma_b K$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ channels and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 7\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Lambda_b(5920)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 14\%. \quad (38)$$

The $\Sigma_b K$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ decay modes may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi_b' 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, one finds that it has large decay rates into the P -wave states through the decay modes $\Lambda_b(5912)K$, $\Lambda_b(5920)K$, $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$. Furthermore, the decay rates into $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$ and Σ_b^*K are sizable as well. Its width should be about a factor of 2 narrower than that of $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$. If its mass is taken as the predictions in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic and kaonic decays can reach up to $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 60$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$, Σ_b^*K , $\Lambda_b(5912)K$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ decay modes and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[|\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi, \Sigma_b^*K, \Lambda_b(5912)K, \Lambda_b(5920)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 7\%, 8\%, 5\%, 10\%. \quad (39)$$

The $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$, Σ_b^*K , $\Lambda_b(5912)K$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)K$ decay modes may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate the radiative decays of these $J^P = 3/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ the main radiative processes are $|\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Xi_b^{\prime -2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime -2} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma, |\Xi_b^{\prime -2} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, while for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, the main radiative processes are $|\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Xi_b^{\prime -4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime -4} P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma, |\Xi_b^{\prime -4} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV, while the branching fractions may be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

The $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might be a narrow state with a width of a few tens of MeV. Its dominant decay mode is $\Xi_b\pi$. If the mass of $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ is taken as the prediction 6432 MeV in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths for the pionic and kaonic decays is $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 25$ MeV (see Table X), while the ratio between the partial width for the $\Xi_b\pi$ channel and Γ_{Sum} is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 37\%. \quad (40)$$

To look for this state, the $\Xi_b\pi$ decay mode is worth observing in future experiments.

The other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ might be also a narrow state with a width of a few tens of MeV. It has large decay rates into $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$ and Σ_b^*K . If its mass is taken as the predictions in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic and kaonic decays is $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 30$ MeV (see Table VIII), while the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$ and Σ_b^*K decay modes and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 20\%, \quad \frac{\Gamma[\Sigma_b^*K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 16\%. \quad (41)$$

To look for this state, the $\Xi_b^{\prime*}\pi$ and Σ_b^*K decay modes are worth observing in future experiments.

We also estimate the radiative decays of these $J^P = 5/2^+$ states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ the main radiative processes are $|\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Xi_b^{\prime -2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime -2} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, while for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, the main radiative processes are $|\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime 0} {}^2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Xi_b^{\prime -4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Xi_b^{\prime -4} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma, |\Xi_b^{\prime -4} P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$. Their partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\sim 10 - 20$ keV, while the branching fractions is no more than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively narrow width of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV. This state mainly decays into $\Xi_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b K$, $\Lambda_b(5912)K$, $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\pi$, and $|\Xi_b^{\prime 2} P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\pi$ channels. If one adopts the predicted mass in Ref. [21], the sum of the partial widths of the pionic and kaonic decays is estimated to be $\Gamma_{\text{Sum}} \sim 40$ MeV (see Table VIII), and the ratios between the partial widths for the $\Xi_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b K$, and $\Lambda_b(5912)K$ decay modes and Γ_{Sum} are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b\pi, \Lambda_b K, \Lambda_b(5912)K]}{\Gamma_{\text{Sum}}} \simeq 24\%, 13\%, 8\%. \quad (42)$$

The $\Xi_b\pi$, $\Lambda_b K$, and $\Lambda_b(5912)K$ decay modes may be ideal modes for our search for $|\Xi_b^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ in future experiments.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XI. It is found that the decay rates for these radiative transitions are small. Their branching fractions may be no more than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

E. Ω_c

In the Ω_c family, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Omega_c^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Omega_c^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Omega_c^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Omega_c^{\prime 2} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, $|\Omega_c^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, and $|\Omega_c^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$. However, no D -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ω_c excitations are ~ 3.3 within various quark model predictions (see Table II). In the possible mass ranges, we study their strong decay transitions within the ChQM. Our results are shown in Fig. 7. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states obtained in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we give the predicted widths in Table XII.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^{\prime 4} D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^+\rangle$ may be a narrow state with a width of $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 20$ MeV. Its decays are dominated by the $\Xi_c(2470)K$. The decay rates into $\Xi_c^{\prime}(2575)K$ and $\Xi_c^{\prime*}(2645)K$ are sizable as well. The branching fractions for the $\Xi_c(2470)K$, $\Xi_c^{\prime}(2575)K$, and $\Xi_c^{\prime*}(2645)K$ modes are pre-

TABLE XII: Partial widths of strong and radiative decays for the λ -mode D -wave Ω_c and Ω_b baryons, the masses (MeV) of which are taken from the quark model predictions of Ref. [21]. M_f stands for the masses of P -wave heavy baryons (MeV) in the final states, which are adopted from the RPP [24] and Refs. [1, 21]. The units for the partial widths of radiative and strong decays are keV and MeV, respectively. The superscript (subscript) stands for the uncertainty of a prediction with a +10% (−10%) uncertainty of the oscillator parameter α_ρ .

Decay mode	M_f	$ \Omega_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}(3282)\rangle$		$ \Omega_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}(3286)\rangle$		$ \Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}(3287)\rangle$		$ \Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}(3298)\rangle$		$ \Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}(3297)\rangle$		$ \Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}(3283)\rangle$	
		Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)
$\Xi_c K$	2470	$7.97^{+3.85}_{-3.61}$	44.7	$5.16^{+1.13}_{-1.42}$	57.3	$15.9^{+7.8}_{-7.2}$	71.4	$7.90^{+4.08}_{-3.73}$	48.2	$1.65^{+0.35}_{-0.44}$	19.8	$6.43^{+1.43}_{-1.77}$	81.7
$\Xi'_c K$	2575	$9.26^{+2.34}_{-2.55}$	51.9	$1.77^{+0.45}_{-0.64}$	19.7	$4.71^{+1.22}_{-1.34}$	20.8	$2.44^{+0.68}_{-0.73}$	14.8	$0.15^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	1.79	$0.54^{+0.14}_{-0.20}$	6.73
$\Xi_c^* K$	2645	$0.50^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$	2.8	$2.05^{+0.28}_{-0.32}$	22.8	$1.65^{+0.25}_{-0.31}$	7.4	$5.97^{+0.90}_{-1.03}$	36.4	$6.61^{+0.60}_{-0.64}$	79.2	$0.88^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$	11.2
$ \Omega_c^2 1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	3000	$91.8^{+14.5}_{-18.8}$	0.51	$9.79^{+1.60}_{-2.12}$	0.11	0.03	<0.01	$0.49^{+0.05}_{-0.06}$	<0.01	$0.19^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	<0.01	≈ 0.0	<0.01
$ \Omega_c^2 1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	3066	$18.4^{+3.1}_{-4.0}$	0.10	$39.7^{+6.7}_{-8.9}$	0.44	0.04	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	$0.17^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	<0.01	$0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	<0.01
$ \Omega_c^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	3050	$0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	<0.01	0.008	<0.01	$70.3^{+11.3}_{-14.8}$	0.32	$34.7^{+5.6}_{-7.3}$	0.21	$5.56^{+0.91}_{-1.20}$	0.07	$1.07^{+0.21}_{-0.30}$	0.01
$ \Omega_c^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	3050	$0.2^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	<0.01	0.09	<0.01	$27.5^{+4.5}_{-5.9}$	0.12	$54.1^{+8.8}_{-11.4}$	0.33	$39.8^{+6.6}_{-8.6}$	0.47	$5.62^{+0.94}_{-1.23}$	0.07
$ \Omega_c^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	3090	0.03	<0.01	$0.14^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	<0.01	$2.44^{+0.45}_{-0.62}$	<0.10	$7.95^{+1.36}_{-1.82}$	0.05	$19.6^{+3.3}_{-4.3}$	0.24	$23.1^{+3.9}_{-5.3}$	0.29
Sum		$17.84^{+6.16}_{-6.16}$		$9.03^{+1.30}_{-1.73}$		$22.33^{+9.25}_{-8.83}$		$16.21^{+5.64}_{-5.47}$		$8.34^{+0.98}_{-1.11}$		$7.82^{+1.46}_{-1.85}$	
Decay mode	M_f	$ \Omega_b^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}(6530)\rangle$		$ \Omega_b^2 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}(6520)\rangle$		$ \Omega_b^4 D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}(6540)\rangle$		$ \Omega_b^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}(6549)\rangle$		$ \Omega_b^4 D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}(6529)\rangle$		$ \Omega_b^4 D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}(6517)\rangle$	
		Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)	Γ_i	\mathcal{B}_i (%)
$\Xi_b K$	5795	$11.2^{+5.5}_{-5.1}$	55.5	$6.18^{+1.36}_{-1.71}$	75.83	$22.1^{+11.7}_{-10.5}$	76.98	$10.9^{+6.1}_{-5.4}$	53.48	$2.07^{+0.49}_{-0.48}$	28.09	$7.83^{+1.69}_{-2.13}$	90.84
$\Xi'_b K$	5935	$8.43^{+1.17}_{-1.38}$	41.8	$0.30^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$	3.68	$4.69^{+0.73}_{-0.86}$	16.34	$2.55^{+0.44}_{-0.51}$	12.51	0.03	0.41	0.09	1.04
$\Xi_b^* K$	5955	$0.51^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$	2.52	$1.65^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$	20.25	$1.86^{+0.23}_{-0.27}$	6.48	$6.87^{+1.85}_{-1.01}$	33.71	$5.24^{+0.37}_{-0.43}$	71.10	$0.68^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	7.89
$ \Omega_b^2 1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6301	$33.2^{+5.1}_{-6.5}$	0.16	$4.03^{+0.66}_{-0.86}$	0.05	$0.55^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$	<0.01	$1.20^{+0.16}_{-0.19}$	<0.01	$0.32^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	<0.01	≈ 0.0	<0.01
$ \Omega_b^2 1^2 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6304	$17.9^{+2.9}_{-3.7}$	0.09	$16.8^{+2.8}_{-3.6}$	0.21	$0.26^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$	<0.01	$0.10^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	<0.01	$0.73^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	<0.01	$0.56^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$	<0.01
$ \Omega_b^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6312	$0.47^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	<0.01	0.024	<0.01	$40.4^{+6.1}_{-7.5}$	0.14	$18.8^{+2.9}_{-3.5}$	0.09	$2.72^{+0.43}_{-0.57}$	0.04	$0.50^{+0.11}_{-0.15}$	<0.01
$ \Omega_b^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6311	$0.74^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$	<0.01	$0.27^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	<0.01	$17.9^{+2.8}_{-3.5}$	0.06	$31.0^{+4.7}_{-5.9}$	0.15	$15.4^{+2.5}_{-3.2}$	0.21	$2.70^{+0.44}_{-0.58}$	0.03
$ \Omega_b^4 1^4 P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$	6311	$0.21^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	<0.01	$0.91^{+0.13}_{-0.17}$	<0.01	$2.83^{+0.56}_{-0.81}$	0.01	$8.98^{+1.50}_{-1.98}$	0.04	$14.6^{+3.3}_{-2.0}$	0.20	$12.1^{+2.0}_{-2.6}$	0.14
Sum		$20.19^{+6.57}_{-6.57}$		$8.15^{+1.30}_{-1.68}$		$28.71^{+12.65}_{-11.61}$		$20.38^{+8.38}_{-6.91}$		$7.37^{+0.11}_{-0.04}$		$8.62^{+1.63}_{-2.06}$	

dicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c K, \Xi'_c K, \Xi_c^* K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 71\%, 21\%, 7\%. \quad (43)$$

From Fig. 7, it is found that the strong decay properties of $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$ are less sensitive to its mass. The $\Xi_c(2470)K$ and $\Xi'_c(2575)K$ may be optimal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_c^0 4 D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$ might have relatively large decay rates into $|\Omega_c^0 4 P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Omega_c^0 4 P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$, and the partial radiative decay width is estimated to be $O(10)$ keV. The branching fractions for these main radiative decay processes may be $O(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

For the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 18$ MeV, which is less sensitive to the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). It is found that $\Xi_c(2470)K$ together with $\Xi'_c(2575)K$ governs the decays of $|\Omega_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$.

Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c K, \Xi'_c K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 45\%, 52\%. \quad (44)$$

The $\Xi_c(2470)K$ and $\Xi'_c(2575)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$.

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, if its mass is below the threshold of $\Xi_c(2790)K$, the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 16$ MeV, which is less sensitive to the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). In this case, both $\Xi_c(2470)K$ and $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ are the dominant decay channels of $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, while the decay rate into $\Xi'_c(2575)K$ is sizeable as well. Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c K, \Xi_c^* K, \Xi'_c K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 48\%, 36\%, 15\%. \quad (45)$$

However, if the $\Xi_c(2790)K$ decay channel is open, this decay mode should be the dominant decay mode. The decay width of $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ can reach up to 30 – 40 MeV. The $\Xi_c(2470)K$ and $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c^4 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$.

We also estimate the radiative decays of these $J^P = 3/2^+$ Ω_c states into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_c^0 2 D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow$

$|\Omega_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Omega_c^0 4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma, |\Omega_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$ might have relatively large decay rates. The partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while their branching fractions may reach up to $O(10^{-3})$.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

For the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, if we take its mass as the prediction 3286 MeV in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 9$ MeV, which is slightly dependent on the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). The decays of $|\Omega_c 2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$ are governed by the $\Xi_c(2470)K$ channel, while the decay rates into $\Xi'_c(2575)K$ and $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ are sizeable as well. Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c K, \Xi_c^* K, \Xi'_c K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 57\%, 23\%, 20\%. \quad (46)$$

The $\Xi_c(2470)K$, $\Xi'_c(2575)K$, and $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$.

For the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, if we take its mass as the prediction 3297 MeV in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 8$ MeV, which is slightly dependent on the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). The $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ are the dominant decay channels of $|\Omega_c 2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, while the decay rate into $\Xi_c(2470)K$ is sizeable as well. Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c^* K, \Xi_c K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 78\%, 19\%. \quad (47)$$

The $\Xi_c(2470)K$ and $\Xi_c^*(2645)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$.

We also estimate the radiative decays of the $J^P = 5/2^+$ Ω_c states into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_c^0 2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_c^0 2P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Omega_c^0 4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$ might have relatively large decay rates. The partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while their branching fractions may reach up to $O(10^{-3})$.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle$ may be a narrow state with a width of a few MeV. If its mass is taken to be 3283 MeV as predicted in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 8$ MeV, which shows some sensitivities to the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). The decays of $|\Omega_c 4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle$ are governed by the $\Xi_c(2470)K$ channel. The branching fraction is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_c K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 80\%. \quad (48)$$

The $\Xi_c(2470)K$ may be an ideal channel for our search for this missing $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Omega_c 4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle$.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave charmed baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_c^0 4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $|\Omega_c^0 4P_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$, and the partial radiative decay width is estimated to be $O(10)$ keV. The branching fraction for this main radiative decay process may be $O(10^{-3})$.

F. Ω_b

In the Ω_b family, according to the quark model classification, there are six λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations: $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$, $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, $|\Omega_b 2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, $|\Omega_b 2D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{5}{2}^+}\rangle$, and $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{7}{2}^+}\rangle$. However, no D -wave states have been established. The typical masses of the λ -mode $1D$ -wave Ω_b excitations are ~ 6.6 GeV within various quark model predictions (see Table II). In these possible mass ranges, we study their strong decay transitions by emitting one light pseudoscalar meson within the ChQM. Our results are shown in Fig. 7. To be more specific, taking the masses of the $1D$ -wave states obtained in the relativistic quark-diquark picture [21], we give the predicted widths in Table XII.

1. $J^P = 1/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$ may be a narrow state with a width of $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 30$ MeV. Its decays are dominated by the $\Xi_b(5795)K$. The decay rates into $\Xi'_b(5935)K$ and $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ are sizeable as well. The branching fractions for the $\Xi_b(5795)K$, $\Xi'_b(5935)K$, and $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ modes are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b K, \Xi'_b K, \Xi_b^* K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 76\%, 16\%, 7\%. \quad (49)$$

From Fig. 7, it is found that the strong decay properties of $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$ are less sensitive to its mass. $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and $\Xi'_b(5935)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 1/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b 4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^+}\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $|\Omega_b^- 4P_{\lambda\frac{1}{2}^-}\rangle\gamma$. The partial radiative decay width of this process is estimated to be $O(10)$ keV, while the branching fraction may be $O(10^{-3})$.

2. $J^P = 3/2^+$ states

For the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b 2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$, the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 20 \pm 5$ MeV, which is slightly dependent on the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). It is found that $\Xi_b(5795)K$ together with $\Xi'_b(5935)K$ governs the decays of $|\Omega_b 2D_{\lambda\frac{3}{2}^+}\rangle$. If its mass is taken to be 6530 MeV as predicted in Ref. [21], the branching fractions for the $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and

$\Xi'_b(5935)K$ modes are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b K, \Xi'_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 55\%, 42\%, \quad (50)$$

The $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and $\Xi'_b(5935)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$.

For the other $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$, if its mass is taken to be 6549 MeV as predicted in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 20$ MeV. The decays are dominated by the $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ channels, while the decay rate into $\Xi'_b(5935)K$ is sizeable as well. Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b K, \Xi_b^* K, \Xi'_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 53\%, 34\%, 12\%, \quad (51)$$

which is sensitive to the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ may be ideal channels for our search for this missing $J^P = 3/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$.

We also estimate the radiative decays of these $J^P = 3/2^+$ Ω_b states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_b^- 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{1}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_b^- 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ might have relatively large decay rates. The partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV, while their branching fractions may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

3. $J^P = 5/2^+$ states

For the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, if we take its mass as the prediction 6520 MeV in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 8$ MeV. The decays of $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ are governed by the $\Xi_b(5795)K$ channel, while the decay rate into $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ are sizeable as well. Their branching fractions is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b K, \Xi_b^* K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 76\%, 20\%. \quad (52)$$

It should be pointed out that the decay properties of $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ show some sensitivities to its mass (see Fig. 7). $\Xi_b(5795)K$ and $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$.

For the other $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, if we take its mass as the prediction 6549 MeV in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 8$ MeV. $\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ is the dominant decay channel of $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$, while the decay rate into $\Xi_b(5790)K$ is sizeable as well. Their branching fractions are predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b^* K, \Xi_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 74\%, 25\%. \quad (53)$$

The decay properties of $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$ show some uncertainties with its mass changes (see Fig. 7). $\Xi_b(5790)K$ and

$\Xi_b^*(5955)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for this missing $J^P = 5/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$.

We also estimate the radiative decays of the $J^P = 5/2^+$ Ω_b states into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_b^- 2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_b^- 2P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ and $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle \rightarrow |\Omega_b^- 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^-\rangle\gamma, |\Omega_b^- 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$ might have relatively large decay rates. The partial radiative decay widths are estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV, while their branching fractions may reach up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

4. $J^P = 7/2^+$ state

The $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ may be a narrow state with a width of a few MeV or a few tens of MeV. If its mass is taken to be 6517 MeV as predicted in Ref. [21], the width is predicted to be $\Gamma_{\text{total}} \sim 8$ MeV, which shows some sensitivities to the phase space of strong decays (see Fig. 7). The decays of $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ are governed by the $\Xi_b(5970)K$ channel. The branching fraction is predicted to be

$$\frac{\Gamma[\Xi_b K]}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \simeq 89\%. \quad (54)$$

$\Xi_b(5970)K$ may be an ideal channel for us to search for this missing $J^P = 7/2^+$ state $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$.

We also estimate its radiative decays into the $1P$ -wave bottom baryon states. Our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $|\Omega_b^- 4D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{7}{2}^+\rangle$ might have a relatively large decay rate into $|\Omega_b^- 4P_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^-\rangle\gamma$, and the partial radiative decay width is estimated to be $\mathcal{O}(10)$ keV. The branching fraction for this main radiative decay process may be $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$.

V. SUMMARY

In this work, we carry out a systematic study of the strong decays with emission of one light pseudoscalar meson and the radiative decays with emission one photon of the low-lying D -wave singly heavy baryons in a constituent quark model. Our results may provide helpful references to establish these missing D -wave singly heavy baryons in future experiments. Several key results from this study can be learned as follows.

The λ -mode $1D$ -wave $J^P = 3/2^+$ and $J^P = 5/2^+$ excitations of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$ (i.e., $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ and $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$) in the Λ_c and Ξ_c families might have been observed in experiments. Both $\Lambda_c(2860)$ and $\Xi_c(3050)$ seem to favor the $J^P = 3/2^+$ excitation $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{3}{2}^+\rangle$ of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$, while $\Lambda_c(2880)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$ seem to favor assigning the $J^P = 5/2^+$ excitation $|^2D_{\lambda\lambda} \frac{5}{2}^+\rangle$. The nature of $\Xi_c(3050)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$ may be tested by the radiative transitions $\Xi_c(3055)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2790)^0\gamma$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^0 \rightarrow \Xi_c(2815)^0\gamma$, respectively.

The missing λ -mode $1D$ -wave $J^P = 3/2^+$ and $J^P = 5/2^+$ excitations of $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$ in the Λ_b and Ξ_b families have a large potential to be found in forthcoming experiments. They might be narrow states with a width of ~ 10 MeV. In the Λ_b family, the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state might be established in the $\Sigma_b\pi$ and

$\Lambda_b(5912)\gamma$ final states, while the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state might be established in the $\Sigma_b^*\pi$ and $\Lambda_b(5920)\gamma$ final states. In the Ξ_b family, the $J^P = 3/2^+$ state might be established in the $\Xi_b'\pi$, $\Xi_b^{*}\pi$ and $\Sigma_b K$ final states, while the $J^P = 5/2^+$ state might be established in the $\Sigma_b^* K$ and $\Xi_b^{*}\pi$ final states.

The λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations of $\mathbf{6}_F$ in the Ω_c and Ω_b families have a large potential to be found in forthcoming experiments as well. They are fairly narrow states with a width of a few MeV or a few tens of MeV. The kaonic decay channels $\Xi_c(2470)K$, $\Xi_c'(2575)K$, and $\Xi_c^{*}(2645)K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for these missing $1D$ -wave excited Ω_c states, while the kaonic decay channels $\Xi_b K$, $\Xi_b' K$, and $\Xi_b^{*} K$ may be ideal channels for us to search for these missing $1D$ -wave excited Ω_b states.

The λ -mode $1D$ -wave excitations in the $\Sigma_{c(b)}$ and $\Xi'_{c(b)}$ families appear to have relatively broad widths. The sum of the partial widths with emission of a one-pion meson and one-kaon meson is about $50 \sim 200$ MeV. These $1D$ -wave states might have large decay rates into the $1P$ -wave heavy baryon states via the pionic and/or kaonic decays. The $\Lambda_c(2595)\pi$ and $\Lambda_c(2625)\pi$ channels may be ideal channels for looking for the missing $1D$ -wave excitations in the Σ_c family. The $\Xi_c(2790)\pi$, $\Xi_c(2815)\pi$, $\Lambda_c(2595)K$, and $\Lambda_c(2625)K$ decay channels may be ideal channels for looking for the missing $1D$ -wave excita-

tions in the Ξ'_c family. The $\Lambda_b(5912)\pi$, and $\Lambda_b(5920)\pi$ decay channels may be ideal channels for looking for the missing D -wave excitations in the Σ_b family.

Finally, it should be pointed out that some of our predictions bear a fairly large uncertainty from the nonrelativistic harmonic oscillator wave functions adopted in the calculations. Considering a 10% uncertainty of the oscillator parameter, one finds that the uncertainty of our predictions can reach up to $\sim 30\%$. In some senses, our results are only a semiquantitative estimation based on the SU(3) symmetry. Fortunately, it is found that most of the featured results of the singly heavy baryons predicted in the present work and previous work [11] are consistent with other model approaches and observations, which indicates that the constituent quark model can still serve as a useful tool for investigating the heavy baryon mesonic decays and radiative transitions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Qiang Zhao for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11775078.

-
- [1] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of Five New Narrow Ω_c^0 States Decaying to $\Xi_c^+ K^-$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 182001 (2017).
- [2] K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, X. H. Zhong, and Q. Zhao, Understanding the newly observed Ω_c states through their decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 116010 (2017).
- [3] M. Padmanath and N. Mathur, Quantum Numbers of Recently Discovered Ω_c^0 Baryons from Lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 042001 (2017).
- [4] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Quantum numbers of Ω_c states and other charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 094018 (2017).
- [5] W. Wang and R. L. Zhu, Interpretation of the newly observed Ω_c^0 resonances, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 014024 (2017).
- [6] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Very narrow excited Ω_c baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 114012 (2017).
- [7] B. Chen and X. Liu, New Ω_c^0 baryons discovered by LHCb as the members of $1P$ and $2S'$ states, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 094015 (2017).
- [8] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, Decay properties of P -wave charmed baryons from light-cone QCD sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 094008 (2017).
- [9] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, Interpretation of the new Ω_c^0 states via their mass and width, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 395 (2017).
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of a New Ξ_b^- Resonance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 072002 (2018).
- [11] K. L. Wang, Y. X. Yao, X. H. Zhong, and Q. Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying S - and P -wave singly heavy baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 116016 (2017).
- [12] B. Chen, K. W. Wei, X. Liu, and A. Zhang, The role of newly discovered $\Xi_b(6227)^-$ for constructing excited bottom baryon family, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 031502 (2018).
- [13] Q. Mao, H. X. Chen, W. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, QCD sum rule calculation for P -wave bottom baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 114007 (2015).
- [14] C. Garcia-Recio, J. Nieves, O. Romanets, L. L. Salcedo, and L. Tolos, Odd parity bottom-flavored baryon resonances, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 034032 (2013).
- [15] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$ heavy and doubly heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **47**, 81 (2011).
- [16] J. Vijande, A. Valcarce, T. F. Carames, and H. Garcilazo, Heavy hadron spectroscopy: A quark model perspective, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **22**, 1330011 (2013).
- [17] K. Thakkar, Z. Shah, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Excited state mass spectra and Regge trajectories of bottom baryons, *Nucl. Phys. A* **965**, 57 (2017).
- [18] A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, and J. Vijande, Towards an understanding of heavy baryon spectroscopy, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **37**, 217 (2008).
- [19] M. Karliner, B. Keren-Zur, H. J. Lipkin, and J. L. Rosner, The quark model and b Baryons, *Ann. Phys.(Amsterdam)* **324**, 2 (2009).
- [20] B. Chen, K. W. Wei, and A. Zhang, Assignments of Λ_Q and Ξ_Q baryons in the heavy quark-light diquark picture, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **51**, 82 (2015).
- [21] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Spectroscopy and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 014025 (2011).
- [22] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, Heavy baryons in a quark model, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23**, 2817 (2008).
- [23] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Masses of excited heavy baryons in the relativistic quark-diquark picture, *Phys. Lett. B* **659**, 612 (2008).
- [24] Particle Data Group, C. Patrignani *et al.*, Review of particle physics, *Chin. Phys. C* **40**, 100001 (2016).

- [25] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Study of the $D^0 p$ amplitude in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow D^0 p \pi^-$ decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2017), 030.
- [26] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, and V. O. Galkin, Masses of heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 034026 (2005).
- [27] T. Yoshida, E. Hiyama, A. Hosaka, M. Oka, and K. Sadato, Spectrum of heavy baryons in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 114029 (2015).
- [28] K. Maltman and N. Isgur, Baryons with strangeness and charm in a quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 1701 (1980).
- [29] L. A. Copley, N. Isgur, and G. Karl, Charmed baryons in a quark model with hyperfine interactions, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 768 (1979); Erratum, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 817(E) (1981).
- [30] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 2809 (1986).
- [31] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Excited state mass spectra of singly charmed baryons, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **52**, 313 (2016).
- [32] Z. Shah, K. Thakkar, A. K. Rai, and P. C. Vinodkumar, Mass spectra and Regge trajectories of Λ_c^+ , Σ_c^0 , Ξ_c^0 and Ω_c^0 baryons, *Chin. Phys. C* **40**, 123102 (2016).
- [33] B. Chen, K. W. Wei, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, Low-lying charmed and charmed-strange baryon states, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 154 (2017).
- [34] H. Garcilazo, J. Vijande, and A. Valcarce, Faddeev study of heavy baryon spectroscopy, *J. Phys. G* **34**, 961 (2007).
- [35] P. Pérez-Rubio, S. Collins, and G. S. Bali, Charmed baryon spectroscopy and light flavor symmetry from lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 034504 (2015).
- [36] M. Padmanath, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur, and M. Pearson, Excited-state spectroscopy of singly, doubly and triply-charmed baryons from lattice QCD, arXiv:1311.4806
- [37] Q. Mao, H. X. Chen, A. Hosaka, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, D -wave heavy baryons of the $SU(3)$ flavor $\mathbf{6}_F$, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 074021 (2017).
- [38] H. X. Chen, Q. Mao, A. Hosaka, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, D -wave charmed and bottomed baryons from QCD sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 114016 (2016).
- [39] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $\Lambda_c(2860)$, $\Lambda_c(2880)$, $\Xi_c(3055)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$ as D -wave baryon states with QCD sum rules, *Nucl. Phys. B* **926**, 467 (2018).
- [40] C. Chen, X. L. Chen, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, and S. L. Zhu, Strong decays of charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 094017 (2007).
- [41] B. Chen, X. Liu, and A. Zhang, Newly observed $\Lambda_c(2860)^+$ at LHCb and its D -wave partners $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$, $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3080)^+$, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 074022 (2017).
- [42] Z. Zhao, D. D. Ye, and A. Zhang, Nature of charmed strange baryons $\Xi_c(3055)$ and $\Xi_c(3080)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 114020 (2016).
- [43] D. D. Ye, Z. Zhao, and A. Zhang, Study of $2S$ - and $1D$ - excitations of observed charmed strange baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 114003 (2017).
- [44] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Strong decays of charmed baryons in heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 014006 (2007).
- [45] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Strong decays of charmed baryons in heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory: An update, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 074014 (2015).
- [46] H. Y. Cheng, Charmed baryons circa 2015, *Front. Phys. (Beijing)* **10**, 101406 (2015). doi:10.1007/s11467-015-0483-z
- [47] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Charmed baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 074008 (2008).
- [48] L. H. Liu, L. Y. Xiao, and X. H. Zhong, Charm-strange baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 034024 (2012).
- [49] H. Nagahiro, S. Yasui, A. Hosaka, M. Oka, and H. Noumi, Structure of charmed baryons studied by pionic decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 014023 (2017).
- [50] S. Tawfiq, J. G. Korner, and P. J. O'Donnell, Electromagnetic transitions of heavy baryons in the $SU(2N_f) \times O(3)$ symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 034005 (2001).
- [51] C. K. Chow, Radiative decays of excited Λ_Q baryons in the bound state picture, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3374 (1996).
- [52] D. Gamermann, C. E. Jimenez-Tejero, and A. Ramos, Radiative decays of dynamically generated charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 074018 (2011).
- [53] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, and V. E. Lyubovitskij, One photon transitions between heavy baryons in a relativistic three quark model, *Phys. Lett. B* **448**, 143 (1999).
- [54] H. Bahtiyar, K. U. Can, G. Erkol, M. Oka, and T. T. Takahashi, $\Xi_c \gamma \rightarrow \Xi'_c$ transition in lattice QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **772**, 121 (2017).
- [55] H. Bahtiyar, K. U. Can, G. Erkol, and M. Oka, $\Omega_c \gamma \rightarrow \Omega_c^*$ transition in lattice QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **747**, 281 (2015).
- [56] S. L. Zhu and Y. B. Dai, Radiative decays of heavy hadrons from light cone QCD sum rules in the leading order of HQET, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 114015 (1999).
- [57] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the vertexes $\Xi_Q^* \Xi'_Q V$, $\Sigma_Q^* \Sigma_Q V$ and radiative decays $\Xi_Q^* \rightarrow \Xi'_Q \gamma$, $\Sigma_Q^* \rightarrow \Sigma_Q \gamma$, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **44**, 105 (2010).
- [58] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the vertexes $\Omega_Q^* \Omega_Q \phi$ and radiative decays $\Omega_Q^* \rightarrow \Omega_Q \gamma$, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 036002 (2010).
- [59] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, Radiative $\Omega_Q^* \rightarrow \Omega_Q \gamma$ and $\Xi_Q^* \rightarrow \Xi'_Q \gamma$ transitions in light cone QCD, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 14 (2015).
- [60] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and A. Ozpineci, Radiative decays of the heavy flavored baryons in light cone QCD sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 056005 (2009).
- [61] T. M. Aliev, T. Barakat, and M. Savcı, Analysis of the radiative decays $\Sigma_Q \rightarrow \Lambda_Q \gamma$ and $\Xi'_Q \rightarrow \Xi_Q \gamma$ in light cone sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 056007 (2016).
- [62] M. J. Savage, $E2$ strength in the radiative charmed baryon decay $\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Lambda_c \gamma$, *Phys. Lett. B* **345**, 61 (1995).
- [63] M. C. Banuls, A. Pich, and I. Scimemi, Electromagnetic decays of heavy baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 094009 (2000).
- [64] H. Y. Cheng, C. Y. Cheung, G. L. Lin, Y. C. Lin, T. M. Yan, and H. L. Yu, Chiral Lagrangians for radiative decays of heavy hadrons, *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 1030 (1993).
- [65] N. Jiang, X. L. Chen, and S. L. Zhu, Electromagnetic decays of the charmed and bottom baryons in chiral perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 054017 (2015).
- [66] A. Bernotas and V. Šimonis, Radiative M1 transitions of heavy baryons in the bag model, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 074016 (2013).
- [67] T. M. Aliev, M. Savcı, and V. S. Zamiralov, Vector meson dominance and radiative decays of heavy spin-3/2 baryons to heavy spin-1/2 baryons, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27**, 1250054 (2012).
- [68] J. Dey, V. Shevchenko, P. Volkovitsky, and M. Dey, Radiative decays of S wave charmed baryons, *Phys. Lett. B* **337**, 185 (1994).
- [69] D. D. Ye, Z. Zhao, and A. Zhang, Study of P -wave excitations of observed charmed strange baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 114009 (2017).
- [70] S. L. Zhu, Strong and electromagnetic decays of P wave heavy baryons Λ_{c1} , Λ_{c1}^* , *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 114019 (2000).

- [71] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, Decay widths of the excited Ω_b baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 094011 (2017).
- [72] M. Q. Huang, Y. B. Dai, and C. S. Huang, Decays of excited charmed Lambda type and Sigma type baryons in heavy hadron chiral perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 3986 (1995); Erratum, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7317(E) (1997).
- [73] G. Chiladze and A. F. Falk, Phenomenology of new baryons with charm and strangeness, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, R6738 (1997).
- [74] P. L. Cho, Strong and electromagnetic decays of two new Λ_c^* baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3295 (1994).
- [75] D. Pirjol and T. M. Yan, Predictions for S wave and P wave heavy baryons from sum rules and constituent quark model: Strong interactions, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 5483 (1997).
- [76] A. E. Blechman, A. F. Falk, D. Pirjol, and J. M. Yelton, Threshold effects in excited charmed baryon decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 074033 (2003).
- [77] S. Tawfiq, P. J. O'Donnell, and J. G. Korner, Charmed baryon strong coupling constants in a light front quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 054010 (1998).
- [78] S. Tawfiq and P. J. O'Donnell, P wave to S wave pion transitions of charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 014013 (1999).
- [79] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and A. G. Rusetsky, Strong and radiative decays of heavy flavored baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 094002 (1999).
- [80] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, and A. G. Rusetsky, One pion charm baryon transitions in a relativistic three quark model, *Phys. Lett. B* **442**, 435 (1998).
- [81] F. Hussain, J. G. Korner, and S. Tawfiq, One pion transitions between heavy baryons in the constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 114003 (2000).
- [82] C. Albertus, E. Hernandez, J. Nieves, and J. M. Verde-Velasco, Study of the strong $\Sigma_c \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi$, $\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi$ and $\Xi_c^* \rightarrow \Xi_c \pi$ decays in a nonrelativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 094022 (2005).
- [83] C. W. Hwang, Combined chiral dynamics and MIT bag model study of strong $\Sigma_Q^* \rightarrow \Lambda_Q \pi$ decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **50**, 793 (2007).
- [84] X. H. Guo, K. W. Wei, and X. H. Wu, Strong decays of heavy baryons in Bethe-Salpeter formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 036003 (2008).
- [85] E. Hernandez and J. Nieves, Study of the strong $\Sigma_b \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi$ and $\Sigma_b^* \rightarrow \Lambda_b \pi$ in a non-relativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 057902 (2011).
- [86] A. Limphirat, C. Kobdaj, P. Suebka, and Y. Yan, Decay widths of ground-state and excited Ξ_b baryons in a nonrelativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **82**, 055201 (2010).
- [87] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and M. Savci, Strong coupling constants of light pseudoscalar mesons with heavy baryons in QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **696**, 220 (2011).
- [88] J. G. Korner, M. Kramer, and D. Pirjol, Heavy baryons, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **33**, 787 (1994).
- [89] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, A review of the open charm and open bottom systems, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 076201 (2017).
- [90] V. Crede and W. Roberts, Progress towards understanding baryon resonances, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **76**, 076301 (2013).
- [91] E. Klempt and J. M. Richard, Baryon spectroscopy, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1095 (2010).
- [92] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Chiral quarks and the nonrelativistic quark model, *Nucl. Phys. B* **234**, 189 (1984).
- [93] Z. P. Li, H. X. Ye, and M. H. Lu, A unified approach to pseudoscalar meson photoproductions off nucleons in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **56**, 1099 (1997).
- [94] Q. Zhao, J. S. Al-Khalili, Z. P. Li, and R. L. Workman, Pion photoproduction on the nucleon in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 065204 (2002).
- [95] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of newly observed D_{sJ} states in a constituent quark model with effective Lagrangians, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 014031 (2010).
- [96] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong decays of heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 014029 (2008).
- [97] X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of the newly observed $D(2550)$, $D(2600)$, $D(2750)$, and $D(2760)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 114014 (2010).
- [98] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Strong decays of higher excited heavy-light mesons in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 074029 (2014).
- [99] L. Y. Xiao, K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü, X. H. Zhong, and S. L. Zhu, Strong and radiative decays of the doubly charmed baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 094005 (2017).
- [100] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Ξ baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 094002 (2013).
- [101] L. Micu, Decay rates of meson resonances in a quark model, *Nucl. Phys. B* **10**, 521 (1969).
- [102] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model of strong interaction vertices, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 2223 (1973).
- [103] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J.-C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model and baryon decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 1415 (1974).
- [104] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Spectrum and electromagnetic transitions of bottomonium, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 074002 (2017).
- [105] W. J. Deng, H. Liu, L. C. Gui, and X. H. Zhong, Charmonium spectrum and their electromagnetic transitions with higher multipole contributions, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 034026 (2017).
- [106] Q. F. Lü, K. L. Wang, L. Y. Xiao, and X. H. Zhong, Mass spectra and radiative transitions of doubly heavy baryons in a relativized quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 114006 (2017).
- [107] S. J. Brodsky and J. R. Primack, The electromagnetic interactions of composite systems, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **52**, 315 (1969).
- [108] Z. P. Li, The threshold pion photoproduction of nucleons in the chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5639 (1994).
- [109] Q. Zhao, Z. p. Li, and C. Bennhold, Vector meson photoproduction with an effective Lagrangian in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **58**, 2393 (1998).
- [110] L. Y. Xiao, X. Cao, and X. H. Zhong, Neutral pion photoproduction on the nucleon in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 035202 (2015).
- [111] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, η photoproduction on the quasi-free nucleons in the chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **84**, 045207 (2011).
- [112] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, η' photoproduction on the nucleons in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **84**, 065204 (2011).
- [113] M. Artuso *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Observation of New States Decaying into $\Lambda_c^+ \pi^- \pi^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 4479 (2001).
- [114] K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Experimental Constraints on the Possible J^P Quantum Numbers of the $\Lambda_c(2880)^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 262001 (2007).
- [115] B. Aubert *et al.* (BABAR Collaboration), Observation of a Charmed Baryon Decaying to $D^0 p$ at a Mass Near 2.94-GeV/ c^2 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 012001 (2007).
- [116] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of Overlapping Spin-1 and Spin-3 $\bar{D}^0 K^-$ Resonances At Mass 2.86GeV/ c^2 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 162001 (2014).
- [117] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, and S. Nicotri, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ resonance

- and the $s_\ell^P = \frac{5}{2}^- c\bar{s}$ doublet, Phys. Lett. B **642**, 48 (2006).
- [118] B. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, and S. L. Zhu, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{sJ}(2715)$, Eur. Phys. J. C **50**, 617 (2007).
- [119] F. De Fazio, Investigating quantum numbers of new $c\bar{s}$ states, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **186**, 363 (2009).
- [120] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Comment on ‘Study of D_{sJ} decays to D^*K in inclusive e^+e^- interactions,’ Phys. Rev. D **81**, 118101 (2010).
- [121] R. Chistov *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Observation of New States Decaying into $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ and $\Lambda_c^+ K_S^0 \pi^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 162001 (2006).
- [122] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), A study of excited charm-strange baryons with evidence for new baryons $\Xi_c(3055)^+$ and $\Xi_c(3123)^+$, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 012002 (2008).
- [123] Y. Kato *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Studies of charmed strange baryons in the ΛD final state at Belle, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 032002 (2016).