

Fertilizer usage and cadmium in soils, crops and food.

M.W.C. Dharma-wardana

*National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada, K1A 0R6 and
Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.*

(Dated: 10-January-2018)

Phosphate fertilizers were first implicated by Schroeder and Balassa in 1963 for increasing the Cd concentration in cultivated soils and crops. This suggestion has become a part of the accepted paradigm on soil toxicity. Consequently, stringent fertilizer control programs to monitor Cd have been launched. Attempts to link Cd toxicity and fertilizers to chronic diseases, sometimes with good evidence, but mostly on less certain data are frequent. A re-assessment of this “accepted” paradigm is timely, given the larger body of data available today. The data show that both the input and output of Cd per hectare from fertilizers are negligibly small compared to the total amount of Cd/hectare usually present in the soil itself. Calculations based on current agricultural practices are used to show that it will take about 18 centuries to double the ambient soil-cadmium level, and about 8 centuries to double the soil-fluoride level, even after neglecting leaching and other removal effects. Hence the concern of long-term agriculture should be the depletion of available phosphate fertilizers, rather than the contamination of the soil by trace metals or fluoride. The conclusion is confirmed by showing that the claimed correlations between fertilizer input and cadmium accumulation in crops are not robust. Alternative scenarios that explain the data are presented. Thus soil acidulation on fertilizer loading, and the effect of magnesium, zinc, and fluoride ions contained in fertilizers are considered using recent Cd^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and F^- ion-association theories. The protective role of ions like Zn, Se, Fe, etc., is emphasized, and the question of cadmium toxicity in the presence of other ions is considered. These help to clarify and rectify difficulties found in the standard point of view. This analysis does *not modify* the accepted views on Cd contamination by airborne delivery, smoking, and industrial activity, or P-contamination causing algal blooms.

Keywords: Cadmium, metal toxins, fluoride, phosphate, crops, fertilizers, soils, food, mathematical ecology

I. INTRODUCTION

That fertilizers could be a serious source of Cd contamination of agricultural soils, and consequently the diet, was suggested almost half a century ago by Schroeder et al [1]. This view has now become a mainstream paradigm [2, 3] that has raised much public concern [4, 5], as also with the overuse of pesticides [6]. Cadmium in the environment, augmented by industrial activity, years of coal and fossil-fuel usage, mining etc., is a serious health hazard and its monitoring is essential, given its known accumulation in the food chain with the potential for causing chronic diseases of the renal, pulmonary, cardiovascular and musculoskeletal systems [7, 8]. However, controversy exists regarding a number of aspects [9, 10], and a re-assessment of the “accepted” view of Cd enrichment of soils by Cd in P-fertilizers is timely, given the larger body of data available on fertilizer use [11, 12].

Many tropical agricultural communities (e.g., in India, Sri Lanka, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Egypt, China) are facing a new type of chronic kidney disease of unknown aetiology (CKDu) appearing even though recognized causes (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, etc.) are absent [13, 14]. Such CKDu is also found in the developed world including Canada [15]. Some authors have suggested cadmium and other heavy metals to be causative of such chronic kidney disease [13, 16, 17], while the existence of CKDu communities adjacent to non-CKDu communities is consistent with other explanations [18–20]. Traditional agricultural communities have a relatively low fertilizer usage.

For instance, in 2002 El Salvadore (which has CKDu) used about 71 kg/ha while New Zealand (no significant CKDu) used 1836 kg/ha according to [12] data. These show an anti-correlation with fertilizer use and chronic disease, but many authors readily implicate the “green revolution” and P-fertilizers for chronic health issues of unknown aetiology, e.g., [21].

In this study we deal mainly with cadmium toxicity, while our discussion can be easily adapted to other heavy metal contaminants as well. We review the evidence and counter-evidence that exist to claim that increased fertilizer usage is correlated with increased metal-toxin levels in the soil, together with an increase of Cd in crops grown in such soil. International regulatory bodies have set a 60-70 μg tolerable maximum daily intake for an average adult [22], although some societies traditionally consume rice, or sea-food in diets with Cd exceeding such limits, while remaining quite healthy [23]. Hence, noting possible counter-action among heavy-metal contaminants and micronutrients, a simple model for joint toxicity effects is considered in the last part of this study.

It is argued here that contrary to the commonly held paradigm that ‘the addition of phosphate fertilizers to the soil proportionately increases the bio-available soil cadmium’, simple mass conservation limits any such increase to extremely small margins, well within the uncertainties of soil chemistry, bio-availability and uptake of metal ions by crops. It is suggested that controlling the Cd content in fertilizers will have no discernible effect on the cadmium content in soil, and in crops. Hence the

increasingly restrictive efforts of some governments, esp. in the EU to minimize dietary cadmium inputs via fertilizer control will prove to be futile. The European Food and safety Authority (EFSA) set the recommended tolerable weekly level in the diet at $2.5 \mu\text{g Cd/kg}$ of body weight in 2012, and proposals to reduce the Cd content in fertilizers correspondingly have been made. Even according to 2001 regulations more than a decade ago, the amount of Cd allowed was set at 400 mg/kg in USA (e.g., in Washington state, for, 45% P_2O_5 product), while the EU countries proposed setting limits averaging close to 20 mg/kg of P_2O_5 . In countries like Sri Lanka where public concern has been raised, impractical limits as low as 3 mg/kg have been imposed with no basis in science [24]. Roberts, commenting on this restrictive trend remarks in 2012 that “the rationale for the limits provided by the proposal provides little scientific evidence justifying a limit of $20 \text{ mg Cd/kg P}_2\text{O}_5$ and there is little evidence in the scientific literature suggesting that Cd would accumulate in soils through using P fertilizers containing less than $60 \text{ mg Cd/kg P}_2\text{O}_5$, much less pose human health risks” [10]. Similar views are found in recent risk-assessment studies by other authors, e.g., Chaney [9], or the Wageningen University report [25].

In the following we present further evidence against the conventional paradigm of Cd accumulation by fertilizer inputs, and examine mechanisms where fertilizer addition into soils trigger *existing soil Cd* making it bio-available to plants. Mechanisms like (i) the effect of increased acidulation due to fertilizer addition, (ii) competition from ionic forms of Zn, Se, Fe, (iii) salinity effects, (iv) dissolved organic carbon, soil and plant characteristic etc, are usually examined, but in addition we consider ionic mechanisms due to added fluoride and magnesium, previously inadequately treated in discussions of cadmium dynamics in soils. Hence, if the analysis given here is found to be valid on further investigation, cadmium uptake by crops from soils may also require controlling the magnesium, and fluoride content in fertilizers and in the soil and ensuring an excess of bio-available zinc ions over bio-available cadmium ions.

II. CADMIUM ACCUMULATION AND FERTILIZER USE

Subsequent to the suggestion of Schroeder and Balassa [1] that the use of phosphate fertilizer leads to cadmium accumulation in soils, interest in monitoring soils for cadmium grew rapidly, with Kjellstrom reporting in 1979 that measured cadmium levels in wheat doubled from 1920 to 1979 [26], while Singh claimed in 1994 that the application of phosphate fertilizer for a period of 36 years resulted in a 14-fold increase in Cd content of surface soils [27]. A noteworthy step was the publication of the soil bulletin No. 65 (FAO65) of the food and agriculture organization (FAO) [11], presenting the status of cadmium, cobalt, and selenium in soils and plants of




FIG. 1. (On line colour)(a) Boxes, Soil cadmium (extracted using a mild reagent) C_{Cd}^a as functions of P-fertilizer input, (FAO Soil Bulletin No. 65) and extension (dashed lines) after imposing asymptotic constraints. Solid line: cadmium in crop per kg of dry matter. (b) Soil pH calculated using a regression relation between pH and C_{Cd}^a from FAO65 and after constraining the alkaline regime (pH_i7) to ambient natural C_{Cd}^b . (c) The fraction of available Cd in the soil, with the mean total soil cadmium taken at 0.12 mg/L of soil.

thirty countries, determined within a uniform protocol enabling international comparisons. Data from Figure 5 given in FAO65 are shown in Fig.1(a), where a clear correlation of the Cd content in the soil extracted using a mild reagent (see below) are shown for a time duration of three years of P-fertilizer application. A similar curve for the cadmium content in crops is also given in Fig.1(a) and show a similar dependence on cadmium loading. Sillanpää *et al.* concluded that “although the fertilization data covers only three years, the relatively firm correlations leave no doubt as to the increasing effect of phosphorous fertilization on the Cd contents of both plants and soils”. However, from this observation it also needs one further tacit *assumption* to conclude that this increased cadmium comes from the cadmium impurities contained in the P-fertilizers *added* to the soil annually. Sillanpää *et al.* do not in fact make that assumption, but many readers may easily do so. This may be called the “Soil-Cd Enhancement by Fertilizers” (SCdEF) assumption, and is articulated quite clearly in many other works [5, 28–33]. In the following we argue that the data can be more correctly interpreted as a case of the P-fertilizer triggering the conversion of already existing soil cadmium to an ‘available form’ of cadmium extractable by mildly acidic reagents.

Hence it is instructive to reexamine many of the studies of the period when the SCdEF paradigm was developed. McLaughlin *et al.* present a soil-balance calculation in the caption to their Table 2 which states the following. “Assumes 20 kg P/ha applied per wheat crop and 80 kg P/ha per potato crop and fertilizer contains (per kg P) 50 mg As , 300 mg Cd (250 mg Cd for potatoes), 5 mg

TABLE I. Cadmium and phosphorus concentrations in some rock phosphate sources for fertilizers.

Source	Cd [mg/kg]	P %	Cd [mg Cd /kg P]
Russia ^a	0.2	17	1
China(Yunan) ^a	5	14	35
Sri Lanka:			
(Eppawala) [†]	< 3	14	34
(imported) ^{b†}	2.3-46	7-20	325
India (Mussoorie) ^{c†}	8	12	62
Egypt ^a	8-9	13	61-67
Morocco ^a	12-34	14-15	88-240
USA (N.C.) ^c	33	15	240
Nauru (NZ) ^a	100	15.6	641

^a [29]; ^b [34]; ^c [35]; †Estimated.

Hg, 200 mg Pb and 200 g F. Element inputs in irrigation water assumed to be negligible, although F may be a significant impurity in some waters". They also assume a fertilizer-application depth of 100 mm of soil, taken to have a density of 1.3 kg per litre. No leaching of the metal impurities added to the soil via the fertilizer is included, but such corrections can be easily applied. McLaughlin *et al.* report analytical data for a variety of phosphate fertilizers. We have included a selection of these in Table I together with other data, e.g., for Sri Lanka and India where some regions are affected by chronic kidney disease. Columns 2 and three in the Table enable one to roughly convert among the various methods of indicating the Cd concentration in rock-phosphates, viz., as mg/kg of rock, mg/kg of P, or mg per kg of P₂O₅, with a ratio of 0.22 for P/P₂O₅. In citing published work we have retained the concentration units used by the cited authors.

For single superphosphate (SSP) produced by reacting phosphate rock with sulphuric acid, and triple super phosphate (TSP) produced by acting on phosphate rock with phosphoric acid, all the Cd in the phosphate rock is transferred to the SSP or TSP. In wet-process phosphoric acid (WPA), About 55-90% of the Cd is transferred to the acid with the balance to the gypsum (a by product). Ammonium phosphates (e.g. monoammonium phosphate [MAP] and diammonium phosphate [DAP]) are produced from WPA. Their Cd content can range from < 1 to > 100 mg/kg, depending on the mineral.

A. Cd input into the soil on application of phosphate fertilizer.

In order to examine more closely the validity of the SCdEF assumption, we recalculate the incremental change in the soil-cadmium concentration, ΔC_{Cd}^s on addition of P-fertilizer to the soil. We summarize the result using the symbols A^F for the amount of fertilizer (kg/ha)

applied annually, C_{Cd}^F for the concentration of Cadmium (mg/kg) in the fertilizer, d_s the depth of the soil layer in cm., while ρ_s is the density of the soil in kg/litre. The total concentration of soil cadmium is denoted by C_{Cd}^s . Then the change ΔC_{Cd}^s on fertilizer loading is:

$$\Delta C_{Cd}^s = \frac{A^F C_{Cd}^F}{d_s \rho_s} \times 10^{-8}, \text{ Cd, g/kg of soil} \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{10 A^F C_{Cd}^F}{d_s \rho_s}, \text{ Cd ng/kg of soil} \quad (2)$$

The change of Cd concentration, being very small, is given in nanograms per kg of soil in Eq. 2. We have ignored the additional inputs (e.g., via airborne Cd and via irrigation water) although airborne Cd may be a major source of Cd deposited on soils in industrialized countries. The Cd inputs via irrigation water can be neglected in normal farming environments in most countries like the EU, Canada and USA, and even in less regulated non-industrial environments.

For instance, in a publication relating to CKDu in Sri Lanka [36] the authors considered the non-point source transport of phosphate by the irrigation waters of one of the major rivers (Mahaweli) of Sri Lanka, but the amounts of Cd and other metal toxins transported in the same manner would be quite negligible, being present in parts per million compared to macro-nutrients. The latter (e.g., phosphates) are important pollutants that cause algae blooms. Diyabalanage et al [37] confirmed by detailed analytical studies of Mahaweli river water that metal toxin levels are indeed below maximum allowed limits (MALs). Similarly, Jayasinghe et al [38] showed that toxin levels in irrigation waters were well below the usual MALs and hence required no reverse-osmosis treatment to render them safe. McLaughlin et al [29] also disregard irrigation-water inputs of Cd into farm soils. A study of the translocation and dispersion of pesticides by irrigation waters of the Mahaweli river also showed the effect to be negligible [39].

Essentially the same analysis as for Cd can be used for As, Pb and other heavy-metal additions to crops via fertilizers, be they wheat, barley, rice, or any other crop, and the concentration increment ΔC_{Cd}^s turns out to be in parts per trillion to fractions of parts per billion ($\mu\text{g/kg}$ of soil). Only a fraction of this, say 0.2-0.8 would be bio-available. This is further lowered if we take into account any leaching effects of rain fall and irrigation wash-off (esp. under monsoonal conditions in the tropical belt).

Thus, even after a millennium of industrial agriculture using a typical rock-phosphate fertilizer (see Table I), the total Cd inputs remain negligible even for accumulations over centuries [20].

In contrast, the calculations of the "cadmium budget" given in publications by various authors usually extract a different conclusion that supports the SCdEF paradigm. The ambient total cadmium C_{Cd}^s in European soils (within the 'plough layer') can range from 0.05 mg/kg to higher values (in industrialized areas e.g., in Belgium, Hungary). Soil cadmium amounts in Shipham,

Wales, UK, ranges from 9 mg/kg – 360 mg/kg [4]. A mean value of 0.4 mg/kg is sometimes used in model calculations for the EU [25], while 0.3 mg/kg has been proposed by [40] as an average for the EU. Scandinavian soils have a lower average of 0.2 mg/kg [41].

Interestingly, the Cd concentrations in the soil of Sri Lanka are reported to range from 0.42 mg/kg in forest soils, to as high as 5 mg/kg in lake sediments [42]. However, most of the cadmium in Sri Lankan soils is found as bound cadmium, since the Cd contents in water and in soil solution were found to be $< 3\mu\text{g/L}$ and are below the MAL [13].

Tòth et al [5] raises the interesting possibility that the low values of Cd in E. Europe, in comparison to W. Europe, are possibly due to the use of Russian P-fertilizer in E. Europe, as opposed to Moroccan fertilizer used in W. Europe. However, the calculations presented in Sec. II A show that the Cd content of Moroccan P-fertilizer cannot account for such a difference. The high content of soil Cd in industrialized regions (e.g., in W. Europe) should be attributed to industrial activity, coal-power production, and Cd deposition from emissions. These are in fact more important than Cd inputs via P-fertilizer applications. Fortunately, according to Smolders et al [40] airborne Cd sources have decreased by a factor of five between 1980 and 2005. They propose a $0.35\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$ as the mean Cd airborne deposition rate for the EU region currently. We limit our study to soil cadmium and Cd from fertilizer inputs.

In order to understand the difference between our conclusions and the traditional approach to the soil budget for cadmium, we review such a calculation [30] for southern Sweden. Eriksson considers the cadmium inputs and outputs ($\text{g ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$) in his cadmium budget.

1. P-fertilizer, 0.12g from 10 kg P ha^{-1} containing 12 mg Cd kg^{-1}
2. Deposition: 0.7g from airborne sources, rain etc. (Note that Smolders et al [40] proposed a 0.3 g annual addition from deposition as an EU average in 2013).
3. From lime, 0.02g added for soil remediation.
4. Hence total Cd input = $0.84\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$

Cadmium removal from soil is evaluated as follows:

1. crops, 0.23g by plant uptake, removal of roughage, stubble etc.
2. leaching, 0.40g Cd, assuming a top soil layer 25 cm deep.(N.B., much higher leaching rates are proposed in recent studies as European averages, e.g., in [40]).
3. Total amount removed = 0.63g.

This leads to a total accumulation of $0.21\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$, 1/3 of which is due to deposition. The amount that may

be claimed for P-fertilizer is $0.12\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$, and this is taken to support the SCdEF paradigm, leading to the conclusion that accumulation of Cd impurities in fertilizers pose a serious health risk. However, this accumulation occurs in a soil volume 25cm deep over an area of a hectare, i.e., in a soil volume of 25×10^5 liters, corresponding to a soil weight of 3.25×10^6 kg with a soil density of 1.3 kg/L, producing a *change* in cadmium concentration $\Delta C_{\text{Cd}}^s = 43\times 10^{-9}$ g/kg of soil, i.e., a change of the order of 40 ng/kg. Thus Eriksson’s Cd budget, and those of other workers are consistent with our calculation giving mere nanogram/kg changes in cadmium *concentration* in the soil. The mean median cadmium concentration in top soils (0.2 mg/kg) and subsoils (0.1 mg/kg) as reported by Eriksson [41] are trillion times bigger. The total soil cadmium in the plough layer is 650 kg/ha. Hence the parts per trillion increase in cadmium concentration due to fertilizers is negligible. Unlike the $0.12\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$ Cd input of the P-fertilizer, the $0.7\text{ g ha}^{-1}\text{yr}^{-1}$ airborne deposition of airborne Cd does not necessarily get ploughed into a 25cm deep soil layer, but affects a few centimeters of the topmost layer, causing more drastic changes in the soil-Cd concentration in the near surface.

In Loganathan et al [3], Table 2, those authors present a calculation to estimate the time taken for doubling the concentration of Cd and F in top soils (up to 10 cm) when P-fertilizer is applied annually. We reconstitute their data in Table II and present additional estimates. While [3] used the maximum allowed limits for Cd and F in P-fertilizers in their calculations, perhaps to signal the “worst-case scenario”, it is clear that the use of figures conforming to actual usage patterns gives a very different picture. It will take a millennium to double the concentration of soil Cd and soil F, even if we neglect leaching and and removal when crops, roughage, straw etc., are taken away from farmland. Our figure of 46 years in the 1st row of Table II using the inputs of Loganathan et al differs from their estimate of 36 years because they used a soil density of 1 kg/L (similar to that of water), while we use 1.3 kg/L following McLaughlin. Hence the major concern of long-term agriculture should be the depletion of stocks of P-fertilizer and not below-threshold contributions to the concentration of trace metals or fluoride coming from fertilizer inputs.

Some caution must be used with published data. Page 27 of the Wageningen study [25] states that “the average annual inputs of fertilizers to agricultural soils are in the order of one to three g/ha/yr. At a Cd level in soil of 0.4 mg/kg, assuming a rooting zone of 20 cm and bulk density of 1.2 kg/L, this amounts to a total Cd pool of approx. 960 g/ha”. In effect, the correct value is 960 kg/ha. Thus the maximum 3 g/ha/yr corresponds to a change of about three parts per million, and not parts per thousand, as implied there. Nevertheless the authors had correctly noted that “reducing the Cd load by fertilizer would have a very minor effect on the cadmium pool during the first few decades ...”. In fact it can be further

TABLE II. Estimated time for doubling the concentrations of Cadmium and fluoride in topsoils (density 1.3 kg/L) when 30kg/ha of P-fertilizer are applied annually.

Element X	amount of X in P-Fert. [mg/kg]	depth of soil d_s [cm]	Input of X [g/ha/ys]	change in conc. of X ΔC^s [$\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$]	conc. of X in soil C_X^s [mg/kg]	Years to double C_X^s
Cd ^a	280	10	8.4	6.46	0.300	46
Cd ^b	15	20	0.45	0.173	0.300	1734
F ^a	200,000	10	6000	4615	300.0	65
F ^c	31,000	20	1200	358	300.0	839

^a Loganathan *et al.*(2008) use the maximum allowed limit of Cd, and F in Fertilizers. ^b We have used a value as in McLaughlin *et al.*(1996). ^c Here we use the actual average value of F found in P-fertilizers from Table 4 of Loganathan *et al.*

strengthened to say that there would be a very minor effect even in centuries, rather than decades. A similar analysis can be used to show that trace amounts of arsenic found in P-fertilizers have a negligible effect on the ambient concentration of soil arsenic [20]. Hence public policies on Cd and As content in P-fertilizers, driven by the SCdEF paradigm cannot be justified by the available scientific data. In fact, the available world reserves of P-fertilizers would probably run out long before the soils reach anywhere near the MALs for adverse health effects.

Furthermore, the origin of the increased Cd content detected in the soil, and in crops, c.f., Fig.1(a) using a mild reagent, cannot be due to the Cd coming from the fertilizer, but caused to be released from the soil itself, by the action of the fertilizer. We examine this further in the next section.

B. The effect of P-fertilizer on available soil cadmium.

The discussion in the previous paragraphs shows that modifying the cadmium content in the P-fertilizer, e.g., using a low-Cd fertilizer as opposed to a high-Cd fertilizer should show no effect on the Cd levels available in soil solution to crops grown in most soils. In this section we give experimental evidence in support of this conclusion that we obtained from considerations of mass conservation.

Figure 1(a), usually invoked to support the SCdEF paradigm actually implies the opposite (see Sec. III A). Soil cadmium data reported in [11] had been determined using the Acetate-Ammonium Acetate Na_2EDTA (AAAc-EDTA) reagent which measures ‘available’ or ‘easily extractable’ Cd rather than the total concentration of Cd per kg of soil. The plot shows that on application of $70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$ of P-fertilizer for three years, the available soil Cd concentration had reached 0.12 mg/L , while a much weaker loading at $\simeq 5 \text{ mg/kg}$ gives $C_{\text{Cd}} \simeq 0.04 \text{ mg/L}$. Taking the density of soil to be 1.3 kg/L , mean increment, $\Delta C_{\text{cd}}^s = (0.12 - 0.04)/(3 \times 1.3) = 0.0205 \text{ mg}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{year})$. However typical ΔC_{cd}^s are in the nano

to microgram range (at the most), as seen from calculations given in sec. II A and Eq. 1. Hence this result is 20,000 to 20 times too large for it to have originated from the Cd amounts that were input via the P-fertilizer used. As airborne Cd and other inputs were excluded in the experiments reported in FAO65, conservation of mass implies that almost all of it originated from *the pre-existing cadmium pool* in the soil, but initially not extractable using AAAc-EDTA. It is converted to ‘available’ Cd by some mechanism (see below) activated by the agrochemical inputs, and converted to ionic Cd accessible with the mild reagents like AAAc-EDTA, and by plants. Of course, the total Cd concentration C_{Cd}^s can be determined by standard methods using extraction with strong acids (e.g., 2M nitric acid). Experimentally fitted relations (or equivalent data) connecting the AAAc-EDTA extractable cadmium, taken to be of the form $C_{\text{Cd}}^a = a + bC_{\text{Cd}}^s$ or expressed as log-scaled regressions have been quoted by many authors [29, 40], and in FAO65.

Field experiments showing that the cadmium content of the fertilizer may have little or no impact on the soil cadmium concentration and on the crop-Cd content are found in the literature of the period, and are alluded to in reviews and studies by various several authors, e.g., [29, 43]. Here we refer to a number of such examples, (a) Sparrow *et al.* (1993) compared Cd uptake by potatoes fertilized with both low- and high-Cd DAP in field trials. They found little differences in Cd uptake between the two cases, with Cd concentration in tubers being related to the rate of P applied, rather than to the amount of Cd applied.

The Cd content of durum wheat fertilized with MAP containing varying amounts of Cd (0.2, 7.8, and 186 mg/kg), and grown at 11 different locations over a three-year period had no significant dependence on the Cd content in MAP [43]. Thus, in spite of soil differences in the 11 locations, the result remained robust.

(b) P-fertilizers are usually applied to the soil together with N and K fertilizers. Nitrogen-fertilizers (e.g., urea, ammonium salts) are converted by soil bacteria to nitrates, generating acids (H^+ ions) in the soil, while base

ions are transferred to plants. Hence additional availability of Cd in the presence of phosphates may also be caused by accompanying N fertilizers. This may be viewed as due to increased leaching of cadmium fixed in the soil and conversion to Cd^{2+} in soil solution, caused by decreased pH.

Already in 1976 Williams and David (as reviewed in [29]) showed that the concentration of Cd in wheat grains harvested from soils treated with superphosphate and ammonium nitrate exceeded that with superphosphate alone by a factor of two.

(c) McLaughlin has also discussed the work of Sparrow *et al.* (1993), and those of Williams and David (1977) where it is shown that the addition of P to a soil (with no change in Cd input) increases Cd uptake through a stimulation of root proliferation in the zone into which P is added.

(d) Onyatta *et al.* [44] report an increase of available cadmium in the soil, induced by the use of P-fertilizer used in the form of Idaho mono-ammonium phosphate. They attribute their observations to the release of pre-existing Cd from the soil as well as to the cadmium coming from the fertilizer.

(e) Comparisons between Cd content in crops grown using commercial P-fertilizer, and organic fertilizers in field experiments for rye, carrots, potatoes showed no significant differences in Cd levels [45],

III. EFFECT OF PHOSPHATE FERTILIZER ON THE SOIL.

Soil is a complex subsystem containing clay, organic materials loosely called ‘humus’, water, electrolytes, and dissolved gases, interacting with a penetrating subsystem consisting of living organisms made up of micro-organisms, insects, ‘bugs’ and plants. The plants as well as the soil organisms need the nutrients, water as well as some of the organic matter for their existence, and exchange material among them mostly via the soil. The exchange of nutrients between the plant subsystem and the soil can be described by transfer coefficients, and they need to be determined experimentally. From Fig.1(a) we see that the transfer coefficient is nearly unity at least for moderate fertilizer loadings.

Even the processes that occur entirely in the soil, the behaviour of the added fertilizer, and its partitioning among clay, humus and the aqueous phase of the soil (called the ‘soil solution’) are too complex for treatment using first-principles atomistic models. Hence it has become the practice to characterize the soil by various macro-parameters of the soil, e.g.,

(a) the pH, soil texture characterized by a texture index (TI, see FAO65), organic matter content (OMC) γ , cation-exchange capacity (CEC) ξ , salinity ζ , hardness η , and electrical conductivity σ ;

(b) the concentrations of specific ions (micronutrients) like B, Cu, Mn, Mo, Zn etc.;

(c) elements toxic to humans, like Cd, Pb, Hg, As.

However, while concentrations of micronutrients and toxins are specified in ‘defining’ a soil, macronutrients like N, K, P are not specified as they are overwhelmingly controlled by fertilizer loading. Fertilizers themselves affect the pH of the soil, and nitrogen fertilizers trigger soil-microbial action generating acids. Hence the crop soils need pH adjustments which are usually achieved by the addition of agricultural limes like calcite and dolomite. An element like Cd can exist in several forms in the soil:

(i) Cadmium ions chemically replacing Al or Mg atoms in octahedral environments, or replacing Si atoms in tetrahedral environments in clays. These are soil-bound cadmium with a concentration C_{Cd}^b . They can be dislodged using strong reagents.

(ii) Fully or partially hydrated exchangeable Cd ions electrostatically attached to edges, oxide groups etc., with a concentration $C_{\text{Cd}}^{\text{xb}}$. These ions may migrate into internal sites with time, becoming strongly bound.

(iii) Fully hydrated cadmium ions ‘available’ in soil solution at a concentration of C_{Cd}^a . These aqueous cadmium ions carry a solvation sheath of water molecules. However stable associations with other ions like fluoride forming a strong $(\text{Cd-F})^+$ complex ion (see Fig.2)




FIG. 2. (On line colour) A schematic diagram of the $(\text{Cd-F})^+$ ion-pair together with the hydration shells of the Cd^{2+} ion and the F^- ion prior to pairing. The divalent Cd^{2+} ion holds tightly two hydration shells, while the monovalent F^- holds only a single hydration shell. The water outside the joint hydration shell of the pair ‘sees’ an ion of effective charge $Z_p = 1$. The reduction in solvation energy on pairing is offset by the pairing energy when persistent ion pairs are formed.

cases, essentially all the aqueous cadmium ions are in associated form since the F^- concentration is largely in excess of the Cd^{2+} concentration in most soil solutions. To complicate matters, such associated ions can also attach electrostatically to edges, and surfaces of soil particles, and hence also contribute to C_{Cd}^{xb} . As they have a lowered positive charge, they are more weakly bound electrostatically.

Thus, while even the specification of the concentration of cadmium is complex due to the several forms, viz. (i)-(iii), reagent chemistry can usually distinguish only between “total cadmium” concentration (extracted using strong acids), and the ‘available’ cadmium concentration extracted using milder reagents like AAAC-EDTA. Their dependence on macro-soil parameters is obtained from field trials. The use of such macro-parameters without using a more microscopic physico-chemical model of the soil implies that experimental data connecting them have to be linked by purely numerical regression relations (curve fitting) containing coefficients without a clear physical meaning. For instance, the bio-available or ‘accessible’ cadmium concentration C_{Cd}^a in a soil measured (with a mild reagent) as a function of the P-fertilizer loading A^F can be fitted to a regression relation as given in Figure 5 of FAO65,

$$\log(C_{Cd}^a) = -1.641 + 0.365 \log(A^F). \quad (3)$$

Logarithms to the base 10 are implied. Similar empirical relationships have been constructed connecting other pairs of parameters like pH, OMC etc., but it is hard to assign error bars and domains of validity to them. Usually, additional field trials fail to reproduce such fits in actual farm situations as additional factors weigh in. Furthermore, the use of log-scaled parameters drowns much sensitivity, and renders such equations to be full of pit falls if one were to use several equations in succession to eliminate variables and link a pair of parameters which have not been directly fitted to experiments from field trials. Nevertheless, currently used computer codes make wide use of such empirical fits and results of “regression trees” to provide data bases for algorithms whose outputs are rarely physically transparent.

Another approach useful in colloid chemistry is to exploit surface complexation modeling of titration data on clean minerals like gibbsite, kaolinite, providing rate constants for Cd absorption, retention etc [46]. However, most such experiments deal with Cd^{2+} solutions in the 0.01 Molar solution range or higher, where as the ambient exchangeable cadmium levels in soil solutions are in the milli-molar regime (the bound part of the cadmium pool may be 10 times larger in more alkaline soils). Nevertheless, as valid microscopic models are not available, we follow a strategy where empirical regression fits are judiciously used by constraining them to known asymptotic behaviours within simplified physico-chemical models.

It is instructive to look at a possible first-principles model of soil even though we will not exploit it fully in

this study. The clay component can be modeled using a crystal structure where tetrahedral SiO_2 sheets and octahedral sheets (mainly Al or Mg oxide sheets with various cations replacing them) are the building blocks, as in montmorillonite (MMT), illite, or vermiculite. The highly-reactive edge sites and surface defects control the stabilization of soil organic matter, colloidal and rheological properties [47, 48] The edges of the sheet structure of MMT-type clays represent the boundary that solutes must cross in going between interlayer nanopores and micropores. The dissolution of clay nanoparticles has been observed to proceed predominantly from such edge surfaces [49]. Hence we may consider such structures where Cd, Mg, Zn and other ions may replace the Al ions in the MMT-type octahedral sites, while some cationic substitution of the tetrahedral Si sites is also possible. Hydrated ions can remain in the channels between layers, and constitute electrostatically held exchangeable cations in equilibrium with the cations in the soil solution.

The addition of P-fertilizers and other agrochemicals can influence the ambient pool of cadmium in the soil in a variety of ways. These are:

- (i) change of soil pH due to P-fertilizer loading, releasing soil-bound Cd into the soil solution,
- (ii) change of concentration of competing ions like Zn, Ca, and micronutrient ions,
- (iii) we examine the effect of fluoride and magnesium added to the soil via fertilizer loading, and their ion-pair formation, topics which have not been adequately addressed in the past.
- (iv) The effect of agrochemicals via their ionicity and ionic strength in modifying the OMC of the soil. Here we use ‘ionic strength’ as used in the theory of strong electrolytes, while ‘ionicity’ is used to indicate the capacity of an ionic mixture for denaturing or breaking up complex structures (e.g., in humus, proteins etc.) by the Hofmeister mechanism [36, 50].

In the following we examine the first three items in greater detail.

A. Change of soil pH due to P-fertilizer loading, releasing Cd into the soil solution.

Although the data given in the FAO soil bulletin No. 65 (FAO65) are somewhat dated, they form a consistent set of continued interest for theoretical modeling. Here we examine the plot II given by [11] using the regression equations given there to clarify possible mechanisms for the increase in Cd content in the soil as P-fertilizer is loaded over a time period.

The amount of cadmium in the soil available to plants depends on the soil pH and its cation-exchange capacity (CEC), as the H^+ ions compete with the Cd ions for electrostatic binding to edges and surfaces of octahedral and tetrahedral building blocks of clays. Also, decrease of pH hydrolyzes ions bound to humic acids as they are weak organic acids. The data for the cadmium content

TABLE III. Initial pH effect of some common fertilizers on soil acidity, and their nominal Ca and Mg content.

Source	initial pH-effect	Ca %	Mg %
MAP	decreases to ~ 3.5	–	–
DAP	increases to ~ 8.5	–	–
Rock-P	needs low pH to act	5-25	5-20
SSP	negligible effect	5-20	5-15
TSP	,,	5-13	5-8
Dolomite	increases pH	22	12

Sources: [51] and Manufacturers' data sheets.

in soil used in Fig. 1(a), obtained from FAO65 [11] are for cadmium determined using the AAAC-EDTA reagent as described in FAO65. This in effect extracts essentially the bio-available Cd, with a concentration C_{Cd}^a , while strongly bound Cd located on clay sites are not extracted. Plants are also able to serve themselves of this 'available' cadmium. Sillanpää *et al.* give the regression relation, Eq. 3, connecting C_{Cd}^a with the the P-fertilizer loading A^F . Here we examine the extent of of pH increase that is needed to explain these data (Fig. II(a)) and if such a pH-based model is plausible. The rise in the pH associated with the loading of fertilizer may be due to its intrinsic acidity (Table III), or due to bacterial actions triggered by increased availability of fertilizer.

At the high-loading end we may assume that almost all the exchangeable cadmium in the soil has been released. The large-x asymptote to the curve, being a log-log regression is somewhat poorly defined, as the fitting has not used such a boundary condition. Nevertheless, we can judiciously take it to be close to the value attained at the highest loading, viz. $C_{Cd}^a=0.12$ mg/L. Furthermore FAO65 provides a regression equation connecting the available cadmium and the pH, viz.,

$$C_{Cd}^a = 0.175 - 0.0111\text{pH} \quad (4)$$

The pH used in this equation is the pH determined using a CaCl_2 buffer as defined in FAO65. The use of this equation with Eq. 3 by eliminating C_{Cd}^a is justified only for $\text{pH} \geq 7$ as the AAAC-EDTA extraction becomes ineffective in alkaline media. At $C_{Cd}^a=0.10$ mg/L, this equation predicts a pH of 6.1. Thus the acidulation needed to achieve the observed increase in available soil cadmium is eminently reasonable as continuously fertilized soils are known to reach even higher pH (close to 4) unless remedied with ag-lime. In order to model the higher (alkaline) range of pH, we assume (using the data in the Appendix 1, FAO65) that the unfertilized initial soil had been adjusted to a pH of 7.5 at a zero fertilizer loading, viz., $A^F = 0$, while the available cadmium in the initial neutral soil is 25% of the total available soil cadmium. In effect, we constrain the regression to satisfy the boundary conditions for small x and large x. The resulting acidula-

TABLE IV. Cadmium, selenium, zinc and fluoride concentrations in some rock phosphate sources for fertilizers. The indicated Zn concentrations are a lower bound.

Source	Cd [mg/kg]	Se [mg/kg]	Zn [mg/kg]	F [g/kg]
USSR ^{a,d}	0.1-0.2	n.a	19	n.a.
Tunisia ^{a,d}	38-53	11	385	41
Morocco ^{a,d}	3-34	3	209	n.a.
USA (N.C.) ^{c,d}	39	5	333	35
Nauru (NZ) ^{a,d}	100	n.a.	1000?	30

^a [29]; ^b [34]; ^c [35]; ^d [52]

tion curve, i.e., pH due to fertilizer loading A^F is shown in Fig.1(b).

The purpose of the exercise is to demonstrate that while we may qualitatively state that increased acidulation of the soil triggered by fertilizer inputs can explain soil-Cd enhancement data like those of the FAO65 set, they can in fact be addressed *quantitatively* and the results are indeed quite plausible. However, while this might constitute an explanation, it is by no means the only possible scenario that could lead to the observation that the addition of P-fertilizer to the soil increases the cadmium available in the soil and hence in crops grown therein. In fact, given that there are many factors affecting the concentrations of available C_{Cd}^a and bound soil cadmium C_{Cd}^b soil have to be given as a function of at least the major variables. For instance, a popular empirical model is to use the form

$$C_{Cd}^s = C_{Cd}^b + C_{Cd}^a, \quad C_{Cd}^b = K_D C_{Cd}^a \quad (5)$$

$$\log K_D = a_1 + a_2\text{pH} + a_3 \log C_{Cd}^b + a_4 \log \gamma \quad (6)$$

$$+ a_5 \log(C_{Clay}) + a_5 \xi + \dots,$$

where five variables are included via the coefficients $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Equation 5 is written in the form of a mass-action law using the constant K_D although this may not be justifiable as full equilibrium is rarely attained. C_{Cd}^b consists of lattice-Cd atoms which may be embedded in the tetrahedral -Si and octahedral -Al lattice sites of the clay particles, as well as Cd adsorbed to edges and surfaces of the nanopores and channels of the clay particles and humic acids. The adsorbed Cd is likely to be in equilibrium with the "available" cadmium present in the soil solution, but not with the lattice-embedded cadmium. In fact, no microscopic model will lead to such a form as the above equation. In fact, Eqs. 5,6 are really a testimony to our lack of a quantitative understanding of the processes involved. When data are analyzed using such fits, in most cases one finds that the pH dependence associated with the fit parameter a_2 carries the dominant effect, providing a basis for the use of the simpler form given in Eq.4.

As a counter argument to focusing on pH, we note that there are many inconsistent results obtained in attempts to control the available soil cadmium by soil-pH remedi-

ation using, say, ag-lime addition (e.g., see Jansson [32] and references therein).


B. Effect of competing ions like Zn, Mg, Fe, etc., on the available cadmium in the soil.

Equation 6 does not make a serious attempt to take account of the effect of other ions like Zn, Mg, Fe etc on the cadmium balance in the soil solution. Zn is in the same group of elements as Cd in the periodic table, and has very similar chemical properties, with Zn being by far the more reactive of the two. The radii of the hydrated Cd^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions are nearly equal, being about 4.2-4.4 Å depending on the aqueous environment. The evidence for such competition between Zn and Cd is widely available in the literature. In addition to their co-action in the aqueous ‘soil solution’ phase, they also compete for sites for incorporation in the inner substitution sites in octahedral and tetrahedral locations of clay crystals. The ionic radii in the crystal lattice largely favours Mg (0.86Å), then Zn (0.88Å) and least of all Cd (1.09Å). Thus long-term fixation by incorporation into the clay lattice applies for Mg, and Zn, but less so for Cd. More attention has been paid in the literature to exchange with Ca^{2+} ions (radius in crystals, 1.14Å), but its ionic radius is less favourable than that of Mg^{2+} which is likely to have a larger impact on cadmium dynamics in the soil, as further discussed below.

Nevertheless, effects of such competing ions are all lumped into the exchangeable cation term ξ in Eq. 6, and in many cadmium ‘risk-assessment’ simulations. This shortcoming is also reflected in the reports of experiments on Cd in soil and in crops that fail to report the amount of Zn present together with cadmium, leading to inconsistent conclusions. Greenhouse pot experiments using “simulated” fertilizer mixtures using pure phosphates and cadmium salts cannot be used to derive conclusions about actual farming outcomes where fertilizers typically have a Cd/Zn ratio (Table. IV) that may range from 1/10 to 1/100 [9]. That is, Zn largely dominates the Cd input from fertilizers and this effect cannot be ignored, or lumped into a global ‘cation-exchange’ term.

The role of Zn has two contradictory effects. We examine them below:

(i) In Sec. II A we showed that the effect of the Cd input from P-fertilizers can be neglected, but the Zn input, being possibly a ten to hundred times larger than the Cd input, cannot be neglected, and has a strong impact on the *pre-existing* available soil cadmium C_{Cd}^a as the Zn-ions will free up many cadmium ions (denoted by Cd^{bx}) bound on to soil particles and humic acids moieties.



The above equations must be coupled with the equation for the solubility product for the Cd^{2+} and PO_4^{3-} equilibrium since cadmium phosphate is relatively insoluble and the phosphate concentrations in the plough layer are

TABLE V. Concentrations of Cd, Zn and Se present in rice grown in the endemic ‘Dry Zone’(DZ) of Sri Lanka, where a form of chronic kidney disease is found and those in the ‘wet zone’ (WZ) which is free of the disease. Median amounts have been used where possible using the data from Diyabalanage et al [55], and Meharg et al[56]. The data are for the grain, while the straw usually has 2-3 times more Cd and Zn content.

Rice unit	DZ	WZ
Cd $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$	52	79
Se $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$	26	19
Zn mg/kg	14	16

quite high, thereby suppressing cadmium dissolution into the soil solution.

By making the assumption that the exchangeably bound zinc, Zn^{xb} , and also the available zinc (i.e, Zn^{2+}) concentrations are quite large compared to the corresponding cadmium amounts, the observed enhancement of available cadmium on fertilizer addition displayed in Fig.1(a) can be explained using a rate constant K_D used in Eq. 5, with K_D in the range of 1-100 depending on various reasonable assumptions that one may make regarding the initial amounts of bound and available Cd, Zn etc., in the soil prior to fertilizer application. Here we keep the pH fixed as we wish to see if the data of Fig. 1(a) can be explained purely in terms of the impact of cadmium dynamics in the soil. As reported by Smolders et al [40], values for K_d obtained by fitting to data bases can vary up to even 2300. Hence we see that the increase in available cadmium concentration in soils as observed on fertilizer loading can also be accounted for quite easily by just the effect of Zn addition that occurs automatically via the fertilizer loading, even if the pH were kept constant by calcite addition.

(ii) Even when the available cadmium concentration is augmented by various means, this may not be reflected to the same extent in the plant because the Zn ions will also compete with cadmium ions in the rizosphere. Furthermore, the plant will take up both Cd and Zn ions, and the high Zn component will also be reflected in the chemical content of the plant. For instance, taking the rice plant *Oriza Satavia*, a strong phyto-accumulator of Cd as an example, we show in Table V a typical 1:1000 Cd/Zn ratio in both CKDu-endemic regions and CKDu-free regions. While the Cd to Zn ratio in the soil may be typically only 1:10 to 1:100, the phyto-accumulation of Zn may be much stronger than that of Cd, further increasing the plant zinc content compared to cadmium. It is believed that this high intake of Zn (and also Se) suppresses the toxin intake of Cd in the gut, and may account for the physiological counteraction of Zn in the diet [53]; and indeed such information has been available in the literature for perhaps over four decades [54].

C. Magnesium and Fluoride mediated enhancement of available cadmium in the soil.

Mclaughlin et al [57] drew attention to the impact of salinity and chloride ions on the available cadmium concentration in P-fertilized soils, and proposed that $\text{Cd}^{2+} + \text{Cl}^-$ complex formation in the soil solution has to be taken into account as a function of the chloride concentration in the soil solution. Smolders et al [58] reported similar results and a linear trend between crop cadmium and soil cadmium. Similarly, Loganathan et al [3] drew attention to the importance of fluoride added to soils via P-fertilizer loading, where they considered mainly fluoride toxicity.

However at the time the impact of fluoride ions on the cadmium balance, or possible synergies of fluoride, magnesium and cadmium were not suspected. Recently such synergies among F, Mg and Cd have been proposed to cause enhanced nephrotoxicity [19, 20] via naturally occurring fluoride and hard water in dug wells rather than from agricultural inputs. Unfortunately, it is not easy in field trials to control or recognize the role played by many variables like fluoride, chloride, and cadmium levels etc. Furthermore, glass-house experiments do not simulate the multiple interactions present in actual soils [9]. Of course, results of simplified experiments can be used in principle to construct the synergies and buffering actions that come in to play, but in practice this is full of pitfalls.

Most of the multiple ionic interactions occur in the *aqueous phase* of the soil solution and hence they can in fact be treated rather rigorously using methods of electrochemistry and thermodynamics. Manoharan et al [59] have discussed the complex formation between Al^{3+} ions and fluoride as a function of soil pH. However, possible interactions of the fluoride with Cd ions were not discussed.

In [20] we show by calculations of the change in Gibbs free energy that Cd forms a complex CdF^+ which is more stable than CaCl^+ . Thus the increased presence of F^- ions in the soil solution will bring pre-existing exchangeably soil-bound Cd into soil solution by forming CdF^+ ions. This effect can contribute to an observed cadmium enhancement associated with fertilizer addition, as in Fig. 1(a). However, while Mg, or Al, taken individually with fluoride may show complex formation, a mixture of many ions tends to have a buffering action on each other, and the effects of multiple ions become less marked. This was found to be the case not only from calculations of ionic Gibbs free energies, but also from studies of nephrotoxicity using laboratory mice [19].

IV. CADMIUM CONTENT IN CROPS LIKE RICE (*ORIZA SATIVA*)

Fig.1(a) shows the close correlation of the cadmium content in soil and in the plant. Although the rate of up-

take of cadmium from the soil solution during the growth of a plant depends on the growth stage, sunlight, water availability etc., it is possible to make a simple estimate of the final concentration of cadmium, e.g. in paddy and in the water in which it is grown, using a number of simplifying assumptions. We present two simple but fairly robust models for the cadmium uptake by a grass or a rice-like plant.

A. Model based on harvest volume

The rice plant absorbs water and cadmium from the ground and grows from a negligible volume v_0 to its final large volume V_F during its life time. The water absorbed is in fact proportional to this increase in volume $V_F - v_0$. Let the volume *change* at any moment of its growth be dV . Let the concentration of cadmium in the neighbourhood of the roots be denoted by C_w at the moment when the plant has a volume V .

Then the amount of cadmium absorbed by the plant in changing its volume by dV is $C_w dV$. There is also a transfer coefficient f_{sp} connecting the Cd concentration in the soil and the Cd concentration in the plant. As seen from Fig.1(a) this factor f_{sp} may be taken to be of the order of unity in typical cases. Hence the total mass of cadmium M_{Cd} absorbed by the plant is:

$$M_{Cd} = \int_{v_0}^{V_F} f_{sp} \cdot C_w \cdot dV \quad (8)$$

If we assume that f_{sp} and C_w can be replaced by their average values during the lifetime of the plant, we can take them out of the integral sign and write:

$$M_d = C_w \cdot f_{sp} \cdot (V_F - v_0) \quad (9)$$

So, neglecting v_0 , setting $f_{sp} = 1$ the cadmium absorbed by the plant during its life is $M_{Cd} = C_w V_F$. The final volume V_F used here is the *wet volume at harvest* and not the dry volume. We consider a crop grown on a hectare of land. Let the average height of a plant to be h_p , while the packing fraction is taken to be f_p . Then the volume of plant matter, and also the weight W_F of the total wet growth are given by

$$V_F = (1 \text{ hectare}) \times h_p f_p; \quad W_F = V_F \rho. \quad (10)$$

In the above, ρ is the density, and may be taken to be close to that of water (i.e., 1 kg per litre for order-of-magnitude calculations). The packing fraction f_p allows for the fact that there is space among plants unoccupied by them. In the case of paddy, we may assume that h_p at harvest is 0.5 to 0.75 m, while the packing fraction f_p may be 0.75 to 0.95 in the full grown condition at harvest time. The above analysis assumes that the water supply to the soil solution remains more or less unchanged at saturation level during growth. In dry-zone cultivation, the soil water may be cutoff at later stages of growth but

such correction effects are indirectly included in the final plant height and hence on the average high h_p used in the model.

We may now apply the above analysis to compare the predicted values with the Cd data given in Table V reported by Diyabanage et. al Ref. [55]. For the case considered, the paddy is grown in a soil containing $0.24 \mu\text{g}$ of Cd per liter of soil solution [13]. We assume an average height of 0.5m-0.75m for the rice plant at harvest, and a harvest of 4 metric tons of rice per hectare. Typically 1 kg of paddy with 25% moisture content at harvest is brought down to $\sim 14\%$ moisture content for storage. Thus taking the total wet-harvest weight W_F to be 4-6 metric tons/hectare, we find that the Cd concentration in paddy plants is likely to be in the range 20-100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, in consistence with the experimental data of Table V.

B. Model based on water intake

Minerals enter the plants through water intake as well as via aerial deposition. Here we ignore the aerial delivery which may be important in industrial neighbourhoods. The water supply needed through out the plant's life is used up partly in evaporation, and partly by uptake into the plant. If the daily water supply is stated as a height h_w (e.g, 0.10 m), the water volume V_w per hectare is $10^4 \times h_w \text{ m}^3$ per day. Of this, a fraction f_e is lost by evaporation and the uptake by the plant is $10^4 h_w (1 - f_e)$. We define the uptake factor $f_u = (1 - f_e)$. At planting and at the initial stages f_e is significant and may be as high as 50-60% of that of the grown plant, while most of the water is taken up by the plant during its mid-season growth when the crop is fully developed and in the flowering and grain-setting stage. In 'dry-harvested' crops like maize, sunflower or paddy, the end-season water needs are minimal. Thus $h_w(t)f_u(t)$ are functions of the growth time t , which extents from $t = 0$ at planting to $t = T$ at harvesting. Let the cadmium concentration in the water near the roots at the time t be $C_w(t)$. The soil-to-plant transfer coefficient is $f_{sp}(t)$. Thus the total mass of cadmium (or any other ion) absorbed is

$$M_{\text{Cd}} = \int_0^T dt 10^4 \times C_w(t) h_w(t) f_u(t) f_{sp}(t) \quad (11)$$

If C_w, f_u, f_{sp} are replaced by their average values during growth, and treated as constants, then we may write the total cadmium absorbed by one hectare of crop during its growth season T as

$$M_{\text{Cd}} = 10^4 \times C_w h_w f_e T = C_w f_e V_w \quad (12)$$

. Here $V_w = V_w$ is the total water input during the season. For a 90-day crop requiring an average of 5mm-7mm per day of water, this amounts to 450-600 mm of water per hectare for the whole growth period. Using the average values $f_{sp} \simeq 1$, $f_e \simeq 0.2$, $h_w = 7\text{mm}$ $C_w = 0.24 \mu\text{g}/\text{litre}$, we can estimate the cadmium uptake

by one hectare of a rice plantation during a putative 90-day growth season. Assuming this to yield 4 metric tons of rice grain, and assuming a distribution of 2:1 or possibly 2.5:1 of cadmium between the straw and grain, the calculated concentration in the rice grain (30-80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) are completely consistent with the values given in Table rice-tab.

Similar calculations can be done for other ions like fluoride or Zinc. Zn is found in large excess over cadmium according to Table V. Such calculations show that the measured concentrations of ions in crops (e.g., as given in Table V) are in *grosso modo* agreement with the concentrations of ions measured in the soil solution, establishing their consistence.

V. TOXICITY EFFECTS OF CADMIUM IN THE PRESENCE OF OTHER IONS.

The neglect of competitive ionic effects seen in many reported experiments is also seen in the dietary specifications on Cd intake. Thus, as already stated, Se, Zn, Mg, and Fe in the diet have an antagonistic action on Cd toxicity [9, 53, 60, 61] but this is not included or even alluded to in specifying the recommended tolerable monthly intake limits (TMIL) on cadmium in the diet. Of course, local authorities may re-interpret the TMIL to mean that if the Zn inputs are over-overwhelmingly large, then the Cd inputs may be ignored. This happens mainly on the strength of tradition. Sunflower kernels and other foods like shellfish are high in Cd and yet show no adverse effects when consumed [23]. Farming communities in the UK in regions with high Cd in the soil consume diets rich in potatoes and cereals without any adverse effects [9]. Similarly, the lack of chronic cadmium toxicity in many communities, where rice containing cadmium in amounts exceeding the TMILs has been consumed for generations, can be explained by the protective action of adequate amounts of ions like Zn, Se or Fe in the diet (see Sec.7 of [20], and Sec. 5.4 of [9]). Conversely, when Cd-toxicity from crop products occurs, it is mostly likely that the diet is grossly deficient in protective micronutrients like Fe, Zn or Se. Table V shows that Sri Lankan Chronic Kidney disease is uncorrelated with cadmium in rice. Hence other explanations have been considered [19, 62].

The Codex Alimentarius [63] uses a single- variable step-function model for stipulating a chronic toxicity-onset amount m_{Cd} per kg of body weight per day, week or month, as is appropriate. No synergies or counter-effects of other contaminants are included in the specification. If for example the daily inputs of Cd, and Zn, Fe ... are I_{Cd}, I_j , $j = \text{Zn, Fe}$, only the amounts scaled by their bioavailable fractions f_j^a are of importance. Many studies, e.g., Premarathne [33], by Smolders et al [40], values for K_d obtained by fitting to data bases can vary up to even 2300. show that f_j^a if the order of 30-50% for common vegetables, rice etc., i.e., $f_j^a \sim 0.4$. Furthermore,

each ion has an uptake factor f_j^u for intestinal absorption. Only about 2.5-6% of the bioavailable Cd is absorbed in the intestines, with $f_{Cd}^u \sim 0.05$ [64]. According to Kim et al [65] cadmium absorption in the gut involves a ferrous transporter, which also takes up Zn, while Zn has other transporters associated with its uptake, and hence the details are unclear [66]. Furthermore, iron deficiencies can cause higher Cd absorption. Both ferrous ions and zinc ions are believed to be more actively taken up by this transporter, but even if we assume that the uptake factors f^u are the same for the three elements, the cadmium uptake will be reduced to a third or less if ferrous and zinc ions are present in equal amounts to compete with Cd. That is, using the simplest picture of a linear model (i.e., without including synergies), it is only if the potential amount of cadmium available in the gut for uptake exceed its competitor ions that there would be absorption. That is, it is reasonable to conclude that the condition

$$I_{Cd} f_{Cd}^a f_{Cd}^u > \sum_j I_j f_j^a f_j^u \quad (13)$$

has to be satisfied for any significant cadmium absorption by the gut to set in.

However, the Cadmium TMIL stipulated by CODEX does not even include the bioavailability corrections; it makes no mention of them. The bioavailability factors may also depend on the other components in the diet. The uptake factors f^u have to be determined empirically and perhaps locally as f^u might be sensitive to the overall diet as well. This complexity is the reason why regulatory bodies cannot in fact venture into more complex specifications of tolerable daily or monthly intakes of contaminants in the food unless some acceptable ‘general’ values of factors like f_j^a, f_j^u can be constructed.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have reviewed the widely held hypothesis that “soil-cadmium concentrations get enhanced by the use of P-fertilizer at rates which are likely to create dangerous conditions for human health in a few decades”, and shown that (a) this strong concern is not at all justified at current levels of fertilizer usage, and (b) the causes of such changes in bioavailable soil cadmium are most probably found in other factors that cause the release of *pre-existing soil cadmium*.

These conclusions follow since the incremental change in the bio-available soil cadmium concentration on ad-

dition of P-fertilizer is in fractions of micrograms/kg of soil per year, while ambient soil cadmium levels are millions of times larger. The factors that cause the increase in bio-available soil cadmium are most likely to be the following. (i) Change in soil pH due to fertilizer action and associated action of micro-organisms, (ii) The effect of ionic forms of Zn, Mg, F, Cl, Ca, etc., on the ionic equilibria of the soil solution, given that such ionic forms are found in P-fertilizers, ag-lime and such agrochemicals. (iii) Competitive effects on clay adsorption sites, humic acid moieties, and in the rizosphere, (iv) Ionicity effects on organic matter and other effects that we have not discussed in this study.

In the case of fluoride, Loganathan et al (2008) had rightly pointed out that additions from fertilizer inputs to soil can be more significant than for Cd, and called for its monitoring since fluoride occurs in g/kg (and not in $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, as with Cadmium). However, as shown in Table-II, while Loganathan *et al* concluded that ambient soil-fluoride amounts will be doubled within a mere 65 years, we show using more realistic calculations based on more typical agricultural usage patterns, that it will take some 8-9 *centuries* for that to happen, even after neglecting any leaching away by rainfall. Hence there is absolutely no cause for alarm.

We have also pointed out that the neglect of ion synergies (e.g., Zn in suppressing Cd toxicity), in specifying tolerable maximum weekly intake values can lead to paradoxical situations where healthy communities have been found to be consuming diets that would appear to be dangerous to health if judged according to the CODEX alimentarius stipulations. In contrast, the synergy of Mg (found as a component of hard water) with fluoride or Cd in showing enhanced nephrotoxicity is also not yet alluded to in regulatory stipulations.

Furthermore, we conclude that attempting to control the enhancement of bio-available cadmium in soils caused by P-fertilizer loading may require controlling their fluoride, magnesium, and zinc content rather than the cadmium content. In addition, if these considerations are correct, then the push by the European Food Safety Agency (as well as similar organizations in other countries), to reduce the cadmium content in crops by continued lowering of the allowed cadmium levels in P-fertilizers would turn out to be an expensive and futile exercise.

Acknowledgement – The author thanks Dr. Sarath Amarasiri for reading and commenting on a version of this manuscript.

-
- [1] H. A. Schroder and J. J. Balassa, “Cadmium: uptake by vegetables from superphosphate in soil,” *Science* **140** no. 3568, (1963) 819–120.
 [2] M. J. McLaughlin and B. R. Singh, *cadmium in Soils and Plants*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland,

1999.
 [3] P. Loganathan, M. J. Headly, and N. D. Grace, “Pasture soils contaminated with fertilizer-derived cadmium and fluorine,” *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **129** (2008) 29–66.

- [4] E. Wales University, "Science for environmental policy in-depth report: Soil contamination: Impacts on human health.," tech. rep., EU, via Science Communication Unit, University of Wales UK, 2013. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/integration/research/newsalert/pdf/IR5_en.pdf.
- [5] G. Tóth, T. Hermann, M. R. Da Silva, and L. Montanarella, "Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the European Union with implications for food safety," *Environment International* **88** (2016) 299–239.
- [6] M. Lechenet, F. Dessaint, G. Py, D. Makowski, and N. Munier-Jolain, "Reducing pesticide use while preserving crop productivity and profitability on arable farms," *Nature Plants* **3** (2017) 17008.
- [7] ATSDR-USA, "Cadmium toxicity U.S. standards for cadmium exposure," 2013. Website: <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/csem.asp?csem=6&po=7>.
- [8] L. Jarup, M. Berglund, C. G. Elinder, and et al., "Health effects of cadmium exposure - a review of the literature and a risk estimate.," *Scand. J. Work Environ Health*. **24** (1998) 1–51.
- [9] R. L. Chaney, "Chapter two - food safety issues for mineral and organic fertilizers.," *Advances in Agronomy* **117** (2012) 51–116.
- [10] T. L. Roberts, "Cadmium and phosphorous fertilizers: The issues and the science," *Procedia Engineering* **83** (2014) 52–57.
- [11] M. Sillanpää and H. Jansson, "Status of cadmium, lead, cobalt, and selenium in soils and plants of thirty countries," tech. rep., FAO, Geneva, 1992.
- [12] World-Bank, "Fertilizer consumption (kilograms per hectare of arable land)," tech. rep., Food and Agriculture Organization, 2016. Website: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/AG.CON.FERT.ZS>.
- [13] N. Jayatilake, M. S., P. Maheepala, Metha, F. R., and CKDu National Research project Team., "Chronic kidney disease of uncertain aetiology, prevalence and causative factors in a developing country.," *BMC Nephrology* **14** (2013) 180.
- [14] F. J. Gifford, R. M. Gifford, M. Eddleston, and N. Dhaun, "Endemic nephropathy around the world," *Kidney Int. Rep.* **2** (2017) .
- [15] P. Arora, P. Vasa, D. Brenner, K. Iglar, P. McFarlane, H. Morrison, and A. Badawi, "Prevalence estimates of chronic kidney disease in Canada: results of a nationally representative survey.," *CMAJ* **185** (2013) E417 – E423.
- [16] K. Wanigasuriya, "Aetiological factors of chronic kidney disease in the north central province of Sri Lanka: A review of evidence to-date.," *Journal of the College of Community Physicians of Sri Lanka* **17** (2012) 17–20.
- [17] S. Mott, W. Hoy, G. Gobe, S. Satarug, and T. Abeysekera, "Assessment of cadmium load in renal biopsies from Sri Lankan people with chronic kidney disease of unknown origin," *NEPHROLOGY Journal* **18** (2013) 15–17.
- [18] O. A. Illeperuma, H. A. Dharmagunawardhane, and K. R. P. Herath, "Dissolution of aluminium from sub-standard utensils under high fluoride stress: A possible risk factor for chronic renal failures in the North-Central province," *Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka* **37** (2009) 219–222.
- [19] H. M. S. Wasana, G. D. R. K. Perera, P. d. S. Panduka De S. Gunawardena, P. S. Fernando, and J. Bandara, "WHO water quality standards vs synergic effect(s) of fluoride, heavy metals and hardness in drinking water on kidney tissues," *Nature-scientific reports* (2017) .
- [20] M. W. C. Dharma-wardana, "Chronic kidney disease of unknown etiology and the effect of multiple-ion interactions," *Environ Geochem Health* (2017) .
- [21] J. Bandara, H. Wijewardena, J. Liyanage, M. Upul, and J. Bandara, "Chronic renal failure in Sri Lanka caused by elevated dietary cadmium: Trojan horse of the green revolution," *Toxicol Lett.* **198** no. 1, (2010) :33–39.
- [22] JECFA, "Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme CODEX committee on contaminants in foods fifth session," tech. rep., WHO-FAO, Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 2011. website: ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/meetings/CCCF/cocf5/cf05_INF.pdf.
- [23] V. Sirot, C. Sarnieri, J.-L. Volatier, and J.-C. LeBlanc, "Cadmium dietary intake and biomarker data in French high seafood consumers," *J. Expos. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* **28** (2008) 400–409.
- [24] SLSI, "private communication.," 2016. <https://www.iso.org/member/2091.html>.
- [25] R. Rietra, G. Mol, I. Rietjens, and P. Römkens, "Cadmium in soil, crops and resultant dietary exposure," tech. rep., Wageningen Environmental Research, Alterra - Sustainable soil management, 2017. Wageningen Environmental Research Rapport 2784.
- [26] T. Kjellstrom, "Exposure and accumulation of cadmium in populations from Japan, the United States, and Sweden.," *Environ Health Perspect.* **28** (1979) 169?97.
- [27] B. Singh, "Trace element availability to plants in agricultural soils, with special emphasis on fertilizer inputs.," *Environ Rev.* **2** (1994) 133–146.
- [28] D. J. Mulla, A. L. Page, and T. J. Ganje, "Cadmium accumulations and bioavailability in soils from long-term phosphorus fertilization.," *J. Environ. Qual* **9** (1980) 408–12.
- [29] M. J. McLaughlin, K. G. Tiller, R. Naidu, and D. P. Stevens, "Review: the behaviour and environmental impact of contaminants in fertilizers," *Aust. J. Soil Res.* **34** (1996) 1–54.
- [30] J. Eriksson, "Critical load set to 'no further increase in Cd content of agricultural soils' consequences.," *Proceedings. Soil Science and Conservation Research Institute. Bratislava, Slovak Republic, Ad hoc International Expert Group on Effect-based Critical Limits for Heavy Metals* (2001) 54–58. Bratislava, Slovak Republic 11th 13th October 2000.
- [31] S. Moolenaar, "Heavy metal balances, part II. management of cadmium, copper, lead and zinc in European agro-ecosystems.," *Journal of Industrial Ecology* **3** (1999) 41–53.
- [32] G. Jansson, *Cadmium in Arable crops*. PhD thesis, Uppsala University of Agricultural Science, Sweden, 2002.
- [33] H. M. P. L. Premarathne, "Soil and crop contamination by toxic trace elements," Master's thesis, Post Graduate Institute of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka., 2006. Technical Report.
- [34] F. Zapata and R. N. Roy, "Use of phosphate rocks for sustainable agriculture. fertilizer and plant nutrition, bulletin 13, FAO, Rome, Italy.," tech. rep., Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2004.
- [35] S. J. Van Kauwenbergh, *Cadmium and other minor elements in world resources of phosphate rock*. The Fertiliser Society, 400; Proceedings. The Peterborough Fertiliser

- Society, P.O.Box 04, York, UK, 1997.
- [36] M. W. C. Dharma-wardana, S. L. Amarasiri, N. Dharmawardene, and C. R. Panabokke, "Chronic kidney disease of unknown aetiology and ground-water ionicity: study based on Sri Lanka.," *Environ Geochem Health* **37** (2015) 221–231.
- [37] S. Diyabalanage, S. Abekoon, I. Watanabe, and *et al.*, "Has irrigated water from Mahaweli river contributed to the kidney disease of uncertain etiology in the dry zone of Sri Lanka?," *Environ Geochem Health* **38** (2016) 439–454.
- [38] P. Jayasinghe, B. Herath, and N. Wickremasinghe, "Technical review report based on visit to Anuradhapura CKDu affected areas; review of input-output water of reverse-osmosis installations," tech. rep., COSTI (Coordinating Office for Science and Technology Innovation, Sri Lanka), 2015. <https://dh-web.org/placenames/posts/COSTI-Jaysinghe-R0.pdf>.
- [39] P. Aravinna, N. Priyantha, A. Pitawala, and S. K. Yatigammana, "Use pattern of pesticides and their predicted mobility into shallow groundwater and surface water bodies of paddy lands in Mahaweli river basin in Sri Lanka," *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B* **52** no. 1, (2017) 37–47.
- [40] E. Smolders and L. Six, "Revisiting and updating the effect of phosphate fertilizers to cadmium accumulation in european agricultural soils," tech. rep., KU Leuven, Belgium, 2013. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/environmental_risks/docs/scher_o_168_rd_en.pdf.
- [41] J. Eriksson, A. Andersson, and R. Andersson, "Current status of Swedish arable soils," tech. rep., Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Report 4778, Solna. (In Swedish with English summary), 1997.
- [42] T. A. Rohana Chandrajith and C. B. Dissanayake, "The status of cadmium in the geo-environment of Sri Lanka," *Ceylon Journal of Science (Physical Sciences)* **16** (2012) 47–53.
- [43] C. Grant, J. T. Bailey, L. D. Harapiak, and N. A. Flore, "Effect of phosphate source, rate and cadmium content and use of Penicillium bilaii on phosphorus, zinc, and cadmium concentration in durum wheat.," *J. Sci. Fd. Agric.* **82** (2002) 301–308.
- [44] J. Onyatta and P. Huang, "Phosphate-induced cadmium release from soils, Science Publishers, Enfield, NH USA," 2005.
- [45] L. Jorhem and P. Slanina, "Does organic farming reduce content of Cd and certain other trace metals in plant foods?," *J. Sci. Food. Agr.* **80** (2000) 43–48.
- [46] R. Weerasooriya, H. K. D. K. Wijesekara, and A. Bandara, "Surface complexation modeling of cadmium adsorption on gibbsite," *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **207** (2002) 13–24.
- [47] G. Sposito, *The Chemistry of Soils, 2nd ed.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2008.
- [48] E. Tombacz and M. Szekeres, "Colloidal behavior of aqueous montmorillonite suspensions: The specific role of pH in the presence of indifferent electrolytes.," *Appl. Clay Sci.* **27** (2004) 75–94.
- [49] B. Bickmore, D. Bosbach, M. Hochella, L. Charlet, and E. Rufe, "In situ atomic force microscopy study of hectorite and nontronite dissolution: Implications for phyllosilicate edge surface structures and dissolution mechanisms.," *Am. Mineral.* **86** (2001) .
- [50] R. L. Baldwin, "How Hofmeister ion interactions affect protein stability," *Biophys J.* **71** (1996) 2056–2063.
- [51] U. CTAHR-Hawaii, "Fertilizer material," tech. rep., College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources, University of Hawaii, 2018. https://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/mauisoil/c_material.aspx.
- [52] J. Bech, M. Suarez, F. Reverter, T. P., P. Sánchez, N. Roca, and A. Lansac, "Selenium and other trace element in phosphorites: a comparison between those of the Bayovar-Sechura and other provenances," *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* **107** (2010) 146–160.
- [53] ARL, "Cadmium toxicity and Zn.," tech. rep., Analytical Research labs, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona, USA, 2012. website:<http://www.arlnta.com/Articles/CadmiumToxDoc.htm>.
- [54] R. M. Jacobs, A. O. L. Jones, B. E. J. Fry, and R. M. s. Fox, "Decreased long term retention of cadmium in Japanese quail produced by a combined supplement of zinc, copper and manganese," *J. Nutr.* **108** (1978) 901–910.
- [55] S. Diyabalanage, T. Navarathna, T. A. Abeysundara, and *et al.*, "Trace elements in native and improved paddy rice from different climatic regions of Sri Lanka: implications for public health," *Springer Plus* **5** (2016) 1684.
- [56] A. A. Meharg, G. Norton, V. Deacon, P. Williams, E. Adomako, A. Price, Y. Zhu, G. Li, F. Zhao, and S. McGarth, "Variation in rice cadmium related to human exposure.," *Environ Sci Technol* **47** (2013) 5613–5618.
- [57] M. J. McLaughlin, K. G. Tiller, T. A. Beech, and M. K. Smart, "Soil salinity causes elevated cadmium concentrations in field-grown potato tubers.," *J. Environ. Qual.* **34** (1994) 1013–1018.
- [58] E. Smolders, "Cadmium uptake by plants," *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health* **14** (2001) 177–183.
- [59] V. Manoharan, P. Loganathan, R. W. Tillman, and R. L. Parfitt, "Interactive effects of soil acidity and fluorine on soil solution aluminum chemistry and barley (*hordeum vulgare* L.) root growth.," *Environ Pollut* **145** (2007) 778–786.
- [60] M. M. Brzóska and J. Moniuszko-Jkoniuk, "Interactions between cadmium and zinc in the organism," *Food and Chemical Toxicology* **39** (2001) 967–980.
- [61] V. Matović, A. Buha, Z. Bulat, and D. Dukić-Ćosić, "Cadmium toxicity revisited: Focus on oxidative stress induction and interactions with, Zn and Mg," *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* **62** (2011) 65–76.
- [62] M. Thammitiyagodage, M. Gunatillaka, N. Ekanayaka, C. Rathnayake, N. Horadagoda, R. Jayathissa, U. K. Gunaratne, W. G. Kumara, and P. Abeynayake, "Ingestion of dug well water from an area with high prevalence of chronic kidney disease of unknown etiology (CKDu) and development of kidney and liver lesions in rats," *Ceylon Med J.* **62** (2017) 20–24.
- [63] CCF12, "Codex alimentarius, codex committee on contaminants in food," tech. rep., FAO, Rome, 2018.
- [64] ASTDR-USA, "Notice of the revised priority list of hazardous substances that will be the subject of toxicological profiles.," 2008. Website: <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/TP.asp?id=191&tid=34>.
- [65] D.-W. Kim, B.-S. Kim, K.-Y. and Choi, P. Youn, D.-Y. Ryu, C. E. Klassen, and C.-D. Park, "Regulation of metal transporters by dietary Fe, and the relationship be-

- tween body iron levels and Cd uptake.," *Arch. Toxicol.* **81** (2007) 327–334.
- [66] R. Iyengar, V. Pullakhandam and K. P. M. Nair, "Fe-Ze interaction during uptake in human intestinal Caco-2 cell line: Kinetic analyses and possible mechanism," *Indian journal of biochemistry & biophysics* **46** (2009) 299–306.