

Difference equations related to Jacobi-type pencils.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

1 Introduction.

The theory of orthogonal polynomials on the real line has a lot of old and new contributions and applications, see [11], [10], [4], [7]. This theory is closely related to the theory of Jacobi matrices, by using of the associated second order linear difference equation as a link. The classical theory of orthogonal polynomials has various generalizations, e.g., we can mention the matrix and operator orthogonal polynomials. In this paper we shall deal with orthogonal polynomials associated with Jacobi-type pencils [15],[16]. In particular, these orthogonal polynomials are related to a discrete grid model for a certain linear pencil of fourth-order differential operators. The latter linear pencil of differential operators appears in some physical applications and it was recently studied by Ben Amara, Vladimirov and Shkalikov, see [2].

Observe that linear pencils of Jacobi matrices lead to (bi)orthogonal rational functions. Such pencils and their properties are intensively studied nowadays, see [17],[5] and references therein.

Recall the following definition from [15].

Definition 1 *A set $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, J_3 is a Jacobi matrix and J_5 is a semi-infinite real symmetric five-diagonal matrix with positive numbers on the second subdiagonal, is said to be a **Jacobi-type pencil (of matrices)**.*

From this definition we see that matrices J_3 and J_5 have the following form:

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_k > 0, b_k \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad (1)$$

$$J_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 & \gamma_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \beta_0 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \gamma_0 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \gamma_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \gamma_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2)$$

With a Jacobi-type pencil of matrices Θ one associates a system of polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, such that

$$p_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad p_1(\lambda) = \alpha\lambda + \beta, \quad (3)$$

and

$$(J_5 - \lambda J_3)\vec{p}(\lambda) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{p}(\lambda) = (p_0(\lambda), p_1(\lambda), p_2(\lambda), \dots)^T$. Here the superscript T means the transposition. Polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are said to be **associated to the Jacobi-type pencil of matrices Θ** .

In particular, for each system of orthonormal polynomials on the real line (with positive leading coefficients and $p_0 = 1$) one may choose J_3 to be the corresponding Jacobi matrix (which elements are the recurrence coefficients), $J_5 = J_3^2$, and α, β being the coefficients of p_1 ($p_1(\lambda) = \alpha\lambda + \beta$).

One can rewrite relation (4) in the scalar form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_{n-2}p_{n-2}(\lambda) + (\beta_{n-1} - \lambda a_{n-1})p_{n-1}(\lambda) + (\alpha_n - \lambda b_n)p_n(\lambda) + \\ &+ (\beta_n - \lambda a_n)p_{n+1}(\lambda) + \gamma_n p_{n+2}(\lambda) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $p_{-2}(\lambda) = p_{-1}(\lambda) = 0$, $\gamma_{-2} = \gamma_{-1} = a_{-1} = \beta_{-1} = 0$.

In this paper we shall study various difference equations related to Jacobi-type pencils. Let us briefly describe the content of the present paper. In the next section, for the convenience of the reader, we recall some basic definitions and results from [15], [16] which will be used in what follows. In Section 3 we investigate a 4-th order linear difference equation generated by the recurrence relation (5). A basic set of solution is constructed. An analog of the Christoffel-Darboux formula is given. Some spectral properties of the corresponding truncated pencil are investigated.

Section 4 is devoted to a special perturbation of orthonormal polynomials on the real line. In this case, the associated polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$ have a transparent explicit representation. Some special matrix orthonormality relations hold for $p_n(\lambda)$. These polynomials satisfy a non-standard three term recurrence relation. Differential equations for classical orthogonal polynomials on the real line imply some differential equations for the corresponding perturbed polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$. It turns out that the perturbation $y_n(t)$ of Jacobi polynomials satisfies the following 4-th order differential equation with polynomial coefficients (not depending on n):

$$\begin{aligned} &c(t)y^{(4)}(t) + d(t)y'''(t) + f(t)y''(t) + g(t)y'(t) + h(t)y(t) + \\ &+ \lambda(\varphi(t)y''(t) + \psi(t)y'(t) + \theta(t)y(t)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The polynomial y_n is a unique, up to a constant multiple, n -th degree real polynomial solution of equation (6). Moreover, the constant λ is uniquely determined. Thus, we have a transparent analogy with classical systems of orthogonal polynomials on the real line. Notice that orthogonal polynomials, satisfying 4-th order differential equations like in (6), but with $\varphi(t) = \psi(t) = 0$, appeared in the literature, see the book [8] and historical references therein. On the other hand, polynomial solutions for second order differential operators, like in (6) but with $c(t) = d(t) = 0$, were studied in [9],[3].

Section 5 is devoted to the following question: *when the associated polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$ are related to a single block Jacobi matrix and matrix orthogonal polynomials?* It is known that a block Jacobi matrix generates matrix orthonormal polynomials as well as scalar orthonormal polynomials on radial rays, see, e.g. [6], [13]. The latter systems of polynomials have a simple algebraic interrelation. However, the scalar polynomials have different properties of zeros [14]. We shall show that the associated polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$ are related to a single block Jacobi matrix if and only if the associated operator \mathcal{A} of the pencil has a symmetric power. For example, we show that some polynomials from Section 4 are not related to a single block Jacobi matrix.

Notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$, the sets of real numbers, complex numbers, positive integers, integers and non-negative integers, respectively. By \mathbb{P} we denote the set of all polynomials with complex coefficients.

By l_2 we denote the usual Hilbert space of all complex sequences $c = (c_n)_{n=0}^\infty = (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots)^T$ with the finite norm $\|c\|_{l_2} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^\infty |c_n|^2}$. Here T means the transposition. The scalar product of two sequences $c = (c_n)_{n=0}^\infty, d = (d_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in l_2$ is given by $(c, d)_{l_2} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \overline{d_n}$. We denote $\vec{e}_m = (\delta_{n,m})_{n=0}^\infty \in l_2, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By $l_{2,fin}$ we denote the set of all finite vectors from l_2 , i.e. vectors with all, but finite number, components being zeros.

By $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the set of all Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} . If σ is a (non-negative) bounded measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ then by L_σ^2 we denote a Hilbert space of all (classes of equivalences of) complex-valued functions f on \mathbb{R} with a finite norm $\|f\|_{L_\sigma^2} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 d\sigma}$. The scalar product of two functions $f, g \in L_\sigma^2$ is given by $(f, g)_{L_\sigma^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\sigma$. By $[f] = [f]_{L_\sigma^2}$ we denote the class of equivalence in L_σ^2 which contains the representative f . By \mathcal{P} we denote a set of all (classes of equivalence which contain) complex polynomials in L_σ^2 . As usual, we sometimes use the representatives instead of their classes in formulas. Let B be an arbitrary linear operator in L_σ^2 with the domain \mathcal{P} . Let $f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{P}$ be nonzero and of degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+, f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^d d_k \lambda^k, d_k \in \mathbb{C}$.

We set

$$f(B) = \sum_{k=0}^d d_k B^k; \quad B^0 := E|_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

If $f \equiv 0$, then $f(B) := 0|_{\mathcal{P}}$.

If H is a Hilbert space then $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ mean the scalar product and the norm in H , respectively. Indices may be omitted in obvious cases. For a linear operator A in H , we denote by $D(A)$ its domain, by $R(A)$ its range, and A^* means the adjoint operator if it exists. If A is invertible then A^{-1} means its inverse. \bar{A} means the closure of the operator, if the operator is closable. If A is bounded then $\|A\|$ denotes its norm. For a set $M \subseteq H$ we denote by \bar{M} the closure of M in the norm of H . By $\text{Lin } M$ we mean the set of all linear combinations of elements of M , and $\overline{\text{span}} M := \overline{\text{Lin } M}$. By $E = E_H$ ($0 = 0_H$) we denote the identity operator in H , i.e. $E_H x = x$, $x \in H$ (respectively the null operator in H , i.e. $0_H x = 0$, $x \in H$). If H_1 is a subspace of H , then $P_{H_1} = P_{H_1}^H$ is an operator of the orthogonal projection on H_1 in H .

2 Preliminaries.

In this section we recall basic definitions and results from [15], [16] which will be used later. Set

$$u_n := J_3 \vec{e}_n = a_{n-1} \vec{e}_{n-1} + b_n \vec{e}_n + a_n \vec{e}_{n+1}, \quad (7)$$

$$w_n := J_5 \vec{e}_n = \gamma_{n-2} \vec{e}_{n-2} + \beta_{n-1} \vec{e}_{n-1} + \alpha_n \vec{e}_n + \beta_n \vec{e}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \vec{e}_{n+2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (8)$$

Here and in what follows by \vec{e}_k with negative k we mean (vector) zero. The following operator:

$$Af = \frac{\zeta}{\alpha} (\vec{e}_1 - \beta \vec{e}_0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n w_n,$$

$$f = \zeta \vec{e}_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n u_n \in l_{2,fin}, \quad \zeta, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (9)$$

with $D(A) = l_{2,fin}$ is said to be **the associated operator for the Jacobi-type pencil** Θ . Notice that in the sums in (9) only finite number of ξ_n are nonzero. We shall always assume this in the case of elements from the linear span. In particular, we have

$$AJ_3 \vec{e}_n = J_5 \vec{e}_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and therefore

$$AJ_3 = J_5. \quad (10)$$

As usual, the matrices J_3 and J_5 define linear operators with the domain $l_{2,fin}$ which we denote by the same letters.

For an arbitrary non-zero polynomial $f(\lambda) \in \mathbb{P}$ of degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^d d_k \lambda^k$, $d_k \in \mathbb{C}$, we set $f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^d d_k A^k$. Here $A^0 := E|_{l_{2,fin}}$. For $f(\lambda) \equiv 0$, we set $f(A) = 0|_{l_{2,fin}}$. Denote by $\{r_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $r_0(\lambda) = 1$, the system of polynomials satisfying

$$J_3 \vec{r}(\lambda) = \lambda \vec{r}(\lambda), \quad \vec{r}(\lambda) = (r_0(\lambda), r_1(\lambda), r_2(\lambda), \dots)^T. \quad (11)$$

These polynomials are orthonormal on the real line with respect to a (possibly non-unique) non-negative finite measure σ on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ (Favard's theorem). Consider the following operator:

$$U \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n \vec{e}_n = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n r_n(x) \right], \quad \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (12)$$

which maps $l_{2,fin}$ onto \mathcal{P} . Here by \mathcal{P} we denote a set of all (classes of equivalence which contain) complex polynomials in L_σ^2 . Denote

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma = UAU^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

The operator $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ is said to be **the model representation in L_σ^2 of the associated operator A** .

Theorem 1 ([15]) *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil. Let $\{r_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $r_0(\lambda) = 1$, be a system of polynomials satisfying (11) and σ be their (arbitrary) orthogonality measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$. The associated polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy the following relations:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n(\mathcal{A})(1) \overline{p_m(\mathcal{A})(1)} d\sigma = \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (14)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the model representation in L_σ^2 of the associated operator A .

Definition 2 ([16]) *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil and $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the associated polynomials to Θ . A sesquilinear functional $S(u, v)$, $u, v \in \mathbb{P}$, satisfying the following relation:*

$$S(p_n, p_m) = \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (15)$$

is said to be **the spectral function of the Jacobi-type pencil Θ** .

The spectral function of the Jacobi-type pencil satisfy the following properties:

$$\overline{S(u, v)} = S(v, u), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{P}; \quad (16)$$

and

$$S(u, u) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 2 ([16]) *A sesquilinear functional $S(u, v)$, $u, v \in \mathbb{P}$, satisfying relations (16), (17), is the spectral function of a Jacobi-type pencil if and only if it admits the following integral representation:*

$$S(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\mathcal{A})(1) \overline{v(\mathcal{A})(1)} d\sigma, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (18)$$

where σ is a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with all finite power moments, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\sigma = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|^2 d\sigma > 0$, for any non-zero complex polynomial g , and \mathcal{A} is a linear operator in L_{σ}^2 with the following properties:

- (i) $D(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{P}$;
- (ii) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ it holds:

$$\mathcal{A}x^k = \xi_{k, k+1} x^{k+1} + \sum_{j=0}^k \xi_{k, j} x^j, \quad (19)$$

where $\xi_{k, k+1} > 0$, $\xi_{k, j} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($0 \leq j \leq k$);

- (iii) The operator $\mathcal{A}\Lambda_0$ is symmetric. Here by Λ_0 we denote the operator of the multiplication by an independent variable in L_{σ}^2 restricted to \mathcal{P} .

Corollary 1 ([16]) *Let σ be a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with all finite power moments, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\sigma = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|^2 d\sigma > 0$, for any non-zero complex polynomial g . A linear operator \mathcal{A} in L_{σ}^2 is a model representation in L_{σ}^2 of the associated operator of a Jacobi-type pencil if and only if properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2 hold.*

3 The fourth order linear difference equation related to a Jacobi-type pencil.

Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi type pencil with matrices J_3, J_5 having form (1),(2). Consider the following 4-th order linear difference equation with complex unknowns $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$:

$$\gamma_{n-2} y_{n-2} + (\beta_{n-1} - \lambda a_{n-1}) y_{n-1} + (\alpha_n - \lambda b_n) y_n + (\beta_n - \lambda a_n) y_{n+1} +$$

$$+\gamma_n y_{n+2} = 0, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (20)$$

Let us construct the basic set of solutions for equation (20). Of course, the associated (to Θ) polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ give a solution to equation (20). Let $S(u, v)$ be the spectral function for the pencil Θ . Then we may introduce the following system of polynomials:

$$q_n(\lambda) = S_t \left(\frac{p_n(\lambda) - p_n(t)}{\lambda - t}, 1 \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (21)$$

where the subscript t means that the arguments of S are polynomials of t . It is natural to call $q_n(\lambda)$ **the polynomials of the second kind for the Jacobi-type pencil Θ** . A direct calculation, with a use of the recurrence relation (5), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_{n-2} q_{n-2}(\lambda) + (\beta_{n-1} - \lambda a_{n-1}) q_{n-1}(\lambda) + (\alpha_n - \lambda b_n) q_n(\lambda) + (\beta_n - \lambda a_n) q_{n+1}(\lambda) + \\ &+\gamma_n q_{n+2}(\lambda) = S_t (a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(t) + b_n p_n(t) + a_n p_{n+1}(t), 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 2, 3, \dots \\ a_0, & n = 1 \\ b_0, & n = 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus, q_n give another solution of (20). In order to construct more solutions of difference equation (20) we need the following definition.

Definition 3 Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil, with matrices J_3, J_5 as in (1), (2). Let J'_3, J'_5 be semi-infinite matrices obtained respectively from J_3, J_5 , by removing of the first row and the first column. The Jacobi-type pencil $\Theta' = \left(J'_3, J'_5, \frac{\alpha_0}{\gamma_0}, -\frac{\beta_0}{\gamma_0} \right)$ is said to be **the shifted pencil (for the pencil Θ)**.

Consider the shifted pencil $\Theta' = \left(J'_3, J'_5, \frac{\alpha_0}{\gamma_0}, -\frac{\beta_0}{\gamma_0} \right)$ for Θ . Denote by $f_n(\lambda)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) the associated polynomials for Θ' . Set

$$u_0(\lambda) = 0, \quad u_k(\lambda) = f_{k-1}(\lambda), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (23)$$

By the recurrence relation for f_n it follows that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy relation (20) for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. On the other hand, the choice of the constants in Θ' provides that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy relation (20) for $n = 0$. We shall call $\{u_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ **the shifted polynomials for the pencil Θ** .

In a similar manner, consider the shifted pencil for Θ' :

$$\tilde{\Theta} := (\Theta')' = \left((J'_3)', (J'_5)', \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_1}, -\frac{\beta_1}{\gamma_1} \right).$$

Denote by $\tilde{f}_n(\lambda)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) the associated polynomials for $\tilde{\Theta}$ and set

$$w_0(\lambda) = 0, \quad w_1(\lambda) = 0, \quad w_k(\lambda) = \tilde{f}_{k-2}(\lambda), \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \quad (24)$$

By the recurrence relation for \tilde{f}_n we conclude that $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a solution of difference equation (20) (for $n \geq 2$). The choice of the constants in Θ'' provides that $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy relation (20) for $n = 1$. The polynomials $\{w_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are said to be **the double-shifted polynomials for the pencil Θ** .

Thus, using the associated polynomials for some Jacobi-type pencils and the spectral function we obtained four solutions to the difference equation (20). Below we shall show that these solutions are linearly independent.

We should remark that the construction of the associated orthogonal polynomials is not an easy matter. However, there exists at least a determinant representation. In fact, consider the moments of the spectral function $S(u, v)$ of the pencil Θ :

$$s_{m,n} := S(\lambda^m, \lambda^n), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (25)$$

By the integral representation (18) and the fact that $\mathcal{A}(1)$ is a real polynomial (see the formula after (3.7) in [16, p. 9]) it follows that $s_{m,n}$ are real, and $s_{m,n} = s_{n,m}$. The spectral function $S(u, v)$ defines an inner product on the complex vector space \mathbb{P} , see [16, p. 9]. In particular, the property

$$(S(u, u) = 0) \Rightarrow (u = 0) \quad (26)$$

holds. Choose an arbitrary complex polynomial $u(\lambda)$ of degree n :

$$u(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^n \xi_j \lambda^j, \quad \xi_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We may write:

$$0 \leq S(u, u) = \sum_{j,k=0}^n \xi_j \bar{\xi}_k s_{j,k}. \quad (27)$$

By (26) we see that the quadratic form in (27) is positive. Therefore

$$\Delta_n := |s_{j,k}|_{j,k=0}^n = |s_{k,j}|_{j,k=0}^n > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \Delta_{-1} := 1. \quad (28)$$

In a standard way (see, e.g., [10]) it can be checked that the following polynomials:

$$\mathbf{p}_0(\lambda) = 1,$$

$$\mathbf{p}_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{n-1}\Delta_n}} \begin{vmatrix} s_{0,0} & s_{1,0} & \cdots & s_{n,0} \\ s_{0,1} & s_{1,1} & \cdots & s_{n,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{0,n-1} & s_{1,n-1} & \cdots & s_{n,n-1} \\ 1 & \lambda & \cdots & \lambda^n \end{vmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (29)$$

are orthonormal with respect to S . In fact, expanding the determinant for \mathbf{p}_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) by the last row we get

$$S(\mathbf{p}_n(\lambda), \lambda^k) = \begin{cases} 0, & k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_n}{\Delta_{n-1}}}, & k = n \end{cases},$$

and then it remains to use the properties of S .

Since \mathbf{p}_n and p_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) have positive leading coefficients, then $\mathbf{p}_n - \xi p_n$ is a real polynomial of degree at most $n-1$, for a suitable $\xi > 0$. Then we may write:

$$S(\mathbf{p}_n - \xi p_n, \mathbf{p}_n - \xi p_n) = S(\mathbf{p}_n, \mathbf{p}_n - \xi p_n) - \xi S(p_n, \mathbf{p}_n - \xi p_n) = 0.$$

By (26) we obtain that $\mathbf{p}_n = \xi p_n$. Since

$$1 = S(\mathbf{p}_n, \mathbf{p}_n) = \xi^2 S(p_n, p_n) = \xi^2,$$

then $\xi = 1$. Thus, $\mathbf{p}_n = p_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$).

Theorem 3 *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil, with matrices J_3, J_5 as in (1),(2). The basic set of solutions of the difference equation (20) is given by the associated polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, the polynomials of the second kind $\{q_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, the shifted polynomials $\{u_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and the double-shifted polynomials $\{w_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$.*

Proof. It remains to verify that the above four solutions are linearly independent. Choose arbitrary complex constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 such that

$$c_1 p_n(\lambda) + c_2 q_n(\lambda) + c_3 u_n(\lambda) + c_4 w_n(\lambda) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (30)$$

Suppose that $c_2 \neq 0$. Then

$$q_n(\lambda) = -\frac{c_1}{c_2} p_n(\lambda) - \frac{c_3}{c_2} u_n(\lambda) - \frac{c_4}{c_2} w_n(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (31)$$

We obtained a contradiction, since the right-hand side of (31) satisfy relation (20) for $n = 1$, while $q_n(\lambda)$ do not satisfy it. Therefore $c_2 = 0$. Suppose that $c_1 \neq 0$. Then

$$p_n(\lambda) = -\frac{c_3}{c_1} u_n(\lambda) - \frac{c_4}{c_1} w_n(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (32)$$

Choosing $n = 0$ we get a contradiction. Thus, $c_1 = 0$. Suppose that $c_3 \neq 0$. Then

$$u_n(\lambda) = -\frac{c_4}{c_3}w_n(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (33)$$

Since $w_1 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$ we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $c_3 = 0$. Since $w_2 = 1$, we conclude that $c_4 = 0$. Therefore the above four solutions are linearly independent. \square

The following analog of the Christoffel-Darboux formula holds.

Theorem 4 *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil, with matrices J_3, J_5 as in (1), (2). Let $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the associated polynomials to Θ . For arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda, y \in \mathbb{C}$: $\lambda \neq y$, the following relation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n (a_{k-1}p_{k-1}(y) + b_k p_k(y) + a_k p_{k+1}(y)) p_k(\lambda) = \\ & = \gamma_{n-1} \frac{p_{n+1}(\lambda)p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n+1}(y)p_{n-1}(\lambda)}{\lambda - y} + \gamma_n \frac{p_{n+2}(\lambda)p_n(y) - p_{n+2}(y)p_n(\lambda)}{\lambda - y} + \\ & \quad + (\beta_n - a_n \lambda) \frac{p_{n+1}(\lambda)p_n(y) - p_{n+1}(y)p_n(\lambda)}{\lambda - y}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Proof. Multiply the recurrence relation (5) by $p_n(y)$, $y \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{n-2} p_{n-2}(\lambda) p_n(y) + \beta_{n-1} p_{n-1}(\lambda) p_n(y) + \alpha_n p_n(\lambda) p_n(y) + \\ & \quad + \beta_n p_{n+1}(\lambda) p_n(y) + \gamma_n p_{n+2}(\lambda) p_n(y) = \\ & = \lambda (a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(\lambda) p_n(y) + b_n p_n(\lambda) p_n(y) + a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda) p_n(y)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Changing the roles of λ and y we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{n-2} p_{n-2}(y) p_n(\lambda) + \beta_{n-1} p_{n-1}(y) p_n(\lambda) + \alpha_n p_n(y) p_n(\lambda) + \\ & \quad + \beta_n p_{n+1}(y) p_n(\lambda) + \gamma_n p_{n+2}(y) p_n(\lambda) = \\ & = y (a_{n-1} p_{n-1}(y) p_n(\lambda) + b_n p_n(y) p_n(\lambda) + a_n p_{n+1}(y) p_n(\lambda)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Subtracting the above relations we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\Gamma_{n-2} - \Phi_{n-1} + \Phi_n + \Gamma_n = a_{n-1} (\lambda p_{n-1}(\lambda) p_n(y) - y p_{n-1}(y) p_n(\lambda)) + \\ & + (\lambda - y) b_n p_n(\lambda) p_n(y) + a_n (\lambda p_{n+1}(\lambda) p_n(y) - y p_{n+1}(y) p_n(\lambda)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_n &:= \gamma_n(p_{n+2}(\lambda)p_n(y) - p_{n+2}(y)p_n(\lambda)), \\ \Phi_n &:= \beta_n(p_{n+1}(\lambda)p_n(y) - p_{n+1}(y)p_n(\lambda)),\end{aligned}$$

and $\Gamma_{-2} = \Gamma_{-1} = \Phi_{-1} = 0$. The right-hand side of relation (37) can be written as

$$-A_{n-1} + A_n + (\lambda - y)(a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(y) + b_n p_n(y) + a_n p_{n+1}(y))p_n(\lambda), \quad (38)$$

where

$$A_n := a_n \lambda (p_{n+1}(\lambda)p_n(y) - p_{n+1}(y)p_n(\lambda)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

and $A_{-1} = 0$. Summing in (37) for $n = 0$ to $n = r$ and changing indexes we obtain relation (34). \square

Denote by $J_{3;k}, J_{5;k}$ the matrices standing on the intersection of the first $(k + 1)$ rows and the first $(k + 1)$ columns of matrices J_3, J_5 , respectively ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). Set

$$D_j(\lambda) := \det(J_{5;j-1} - \lambda J_{3;j-1}), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad D_0(\lambda) := 1; \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (39)$$

The polynomial $D_j(\lambda)$ is said to be *the characteristic polynomial* of the self-adjoint linear matrix pencil $\Phi_j = J_{5;j-1} - \lambda J_{3;j-1}$. Its roots need not to be real values. However, if $J_{3;j-1} > 0$ they should be real, see [12, p. 337]. The roots of $D_j(\lambda)$ are said to be *the eigenvalues of the matrix pencil* Φ_j . If $\vec{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{j-1})^T$ is a non-zero complex vector satisfying

$$(J_{5;j-1} - \lambda_0 J_{3;j-1})\vec{x} = 0, \quad (40)$$

for an eigenvalue λ_0 , then \vec{x} is said to be *an eigenvector of the pencil* Φ_j *corresponding to (the eigenvalue)* λ_0 . The subspace in \mathbb{C}^j , consisting of all eigenvectors of Φ_j corresponding to λ_0 and zero vector, is said to be *the eigensubspace of the pencil* Φ_j *corresponding to* λ_0 . Let us calculate $D_j(\lambda)$ in terms of p_j, u_j , and show that for the corresponding eigenvectors a sort of orthogonality holds (cf. [17]).

Proposition 1 *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil, with matrices J_3, J_5 as in (1),(2). Let $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the associated polynomials to Θ , and $\{u_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the shifted polynomials for Θ . Then the characteristic polynomial $D_j(\lambda)$ of the pencil $\Phi_j = J_{5;j-1} - \lambda J_{3;j-1}$ has the following form:*

$$D_j(\lambda) = c_j \det \begin{pmatrix} p_j(\lambda) & u_j(\lambda) \\ p_{j+1}(\lambda) & u_{j+1}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (41)$$

The eigenspaces of the pencil Φ_j are at most two-dimensional. Let \vec{x}' , \vec{x}'' be some eigenvectors of the pencil Φ_j , corresponding to different eigenvalues. Then

$$[J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}', \vec{x}'']_C = 0, \quad (42)$$

where

$$[\vec{a}, \vec{b}]_C := (\vec{a}, C\vec{b})_{\mathbb{C}^j}, \quad (43)$$

and $C\vec{y} := \bar{\vec{y}}$, $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{C}^j$.

Proof. Choose an arbitrary root λ_0 of the polynomial $D_{k+1}(\lambda)$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$). Consider the corresponding eigenvector $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(\lambda_0) = (x_0(\lambda_0), \dots, x_k(\lambda_0))$:

$$(J_{5;k} - \lambda_0 J_{3;k})\vec{x} = 0.$$

Observe that the components $x_0(\lambda_0), \dots, x_k(\lambda_0)$ of \vec{x} satisfy the recurrent relation (20) for $n = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$, with $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Since $\{p_n(\lambda_0)\}_{n=0}^k$ and $\{u_n(\lambda_0)\}_{n=0}^k$ satisfy the same relations, then

$$\vec{x}(\lambda_0) = \tilde{c}_1 \begin{pmatrix} p_0(\lambda_0) \\ \vdots \\ p_k(\lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{c}_2 \begin{pmatrix} u_0(\lambda_0) \\ \vdots \\ u_k(\lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (44)$$

Therefore the eigensubspace corresponding to λ_0 is at most two-dimensional. On the other hand, the components $x_0(\lambda_0), \dots, x_k(\lambda_0)$ of \vec{x} satisfy the following two relations:

$$\gamma_{k-3}x_{k-3} + (\beta_{k-2} - \lambda_0 a_{k-2})x_{k-2} + (\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_0 b_{k-1})x_{k-1} + (\beta_{k-1} - \lambda_0 a_{k-1})x_k = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\gamma_{k-2}x_{k-2} + (\beta_{k-1} - \lambda_0 a_{k-1})x_{k-1} + (\alpha_k - \lambda_0 b_k)x_k = 0. \quad (46)$$

Observe that $v_n := \tilde{c}_1 p_n(\lambda_0) + \tilde{c}_2 u_n(\lambda_0)$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) satisfy the recurrent relation (20) with $\lambda = \lambda_0$, for $n = k-1, k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{k-3}v_{k-3} + (\beta_{k-2} - \lambda_0 a_{k-2})v_{k-2} + (\alpha_{k-1} - \lambda_0 b_{k-1})v_{k-1} + (\beta_{k-1} - \lambda_0 a_{k-1})v_k + \\ + \gamma_{k-1}v_{k+1} = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{k-2}v_{k-2} + (\beta_{k-1} - \lambda_0 a_{k-1})v_{k-1} + (\alpha_k - \lambda_0 b_k)v_k + (\beta_k - \lambda_0 a_k)v_{k+1} + \\ + \gamma_k v_{k+2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Comparing relations (45),(46) with relations (47),(48) we conclude that

$$v_{k+1} = 0, \quad v_{k+2} = 0. \quad (49)$$

The last relation can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{k+1}(\lambda_0) & u_{k+1}(\lambda_0) \\ p_{k+2}(\lambda_0) & u_{k+2}(\lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_1 \\ \tilde{c}_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (50)$$

Therefore

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} p_{k+1}(\lambda_0) & u_{k+1}(\lambda_0) \\ p_{k+2}(\lambda_0) & u_{k+2}(\lambda_0) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (51)$$

Conversely, if relation (51) holds with some complex λ_0 , then relation (50) is valid for some complex \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 , not both zeros. Then we get relation (49), where $v_n = \tilde{c}_1 p_n(\lambda_0) + \tilde{c}_2 u_n(\lambda_0)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore $\vec{v} := (v_0, \dots, v_k)^T$ satisfies the following relation:

$$(J_{5;k} - \lambda_0 J_{3;k})\vec{v} = 0, \quad \vec{v} \neq 0.$$

Thus, λ_0 is a root of D_{k+1} . The first statement of the proposition is proved.

In order to prove relation (42) we shall proceed similar to considerations in [17]. Suppose that vectors \vec{x}' , \vec{x}'' correspond to eigenvalues λ, μ , respectively. We may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda [J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}', \vec{x}'']_C &= [J_{5;j-1}\vec{x}', \vec{x}'']_C = (J_{5;j-1}\vec{x}', C\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \\ &= (\vec{x}', CCJ_{5;j-1}C\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = (\vec{x}', CJ_{5;j-1}\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \mu (\vec{x}', CJ_{3;j-1}\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \\ &= \mu (\vec{x}', CJ_{3;j-1}CC\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \mu (\vec{x}', J_{3;j-1}C\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \mu (J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}', C\vec{x}'')_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \\ &= \mu [J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}', \vec{x}'']_C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda \neq \mu$, we conclude that $[J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}', \vec{x}'']_C = 0$. \square

Suppose that \vec{x}, \vec{y} are eigenvectors of the matrix pencil Φ_j , corresponding to eigenvalues λ', λ'' , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' (J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}, \vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j} &= (J_{5;j-1}\vec{x}, \vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j} = (\vec{x}, J_{5;j-1}\vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \\ &= \overline{\lambda''} (\vec{x}, J_{3;j-1}\vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j} = \overline{\lambda''} (J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}, \vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

If $\lambda' \neq \overline{\lambda''}$ then $(J_{3;j-1}\vec{x}, \vec{y})_{\mathbb{C}^j} = 0$. In the case $J_{3;j-1} > 0$ this fact implies (by choosing $\vec{x} = \vec{y}$), as it was noticed above, that all eigenvalues are real.

4 Non-standard three term recurrent relations and classical-type orthogonal polynomials.

Let σ be a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with all finite power moments, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\sigma = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|^2 d\sigma > 0$, for any non-zero complex polynomial g . By Corollary 1, a linear operator \mathcal{A} in L^2_{σ} is a model representation in L^2_{σ} of the associated operator of some Jacobi-type pencil if and only if properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2 hold. It is readily checked that the following operator:

$$\mathcal{A}[p(\lambda)] = \Lambda_0[p(\lambda)] + p(0)[c\lambda + d], \quad p \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (53)$$

where $c > -1$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfies properties (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2. By Theorem 2 we conclude that $S(u, v)$, given by (18), is the spectral function of some Jacobi-type pencil. Such a Jacobi-type pencil $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ was explicitly constructed in the proof of the Sufficiency of Theorem 3.1 in [16]. In our case, the matrix J_3 is the Jacobi matrix, which corresponds to the measure σ , and $J_5 = J_3^2$ (see the formula after (3.8) in [16]). The constants α, β are given by the following formula:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\xi_{0,1}\sqrt{\Delta_1}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{\xi_{0,1}s_1 + \xi_{0,0}}{\xi_{0,1}\sqrt{\Delta_1}}. \quad (54)$$

Here s_j are the power moments of σ , while $\Delta_n := \det(s_{k+l})_{k,l=0}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; $\Delta_{-1} := 1$, are the Hankel determinants. The coefficients $\xi_{k,j}$ are taken from property (ii) of Theorem 2.

Theorem 5 *Let σ be a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$ with all finite power moments, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\sigma = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)|^2 d\sigma > 0$, for any non-zero complex polynomial g ; and \mathcal{A} be given by (53). Define $S(u, v)$ by relation (18). Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be the Jacobi-type pencil with the spectral function S , constructed by (53)-(54). Denote by $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the associated polynomials to the pencil Θ , and denote by $\{r_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the orthonormal polynomials (with positive leading coefficients) with respect to the measure σ . Then*

$$p_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c+1}r_n(\lambda) - \frac{d}{c+1} \frac{r_n(\lambda) - r_n(0)}{\lambda} + \frac{c}{c+1}r_n(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad (55)$$

$$r_n(\lambda) = (c+1)p_n(\lambda) + (c+1)d \frac{p_n(\lambda) - p_n(d)}{\lambda - d} - cp_n(d), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (56)$$

In (55), (56) we mean the limit expressions at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = d$, respectively. The following recurrent relation, involving three subsequent associated poly-

nomials, holds:

$$\lambda p_n(\lambda) = \frac{p_n(d)}{c+1}(c\lambda+d) + a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(\lambda) + b_n p_n(\lambda) + a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (57)$$

The following orthonormality relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{d\}} (p_n(\lambda), p_n(d)) \begin{pmatrix} (c+1)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-d}\right)^2 & (-c-1) \frac{\lambda(c\lambda+d)}{(\lambda-d)^2} \\ (-c-1) \frac{\lambda(c\lambda+d)}{(\lambda-d)^2} & \left(\frac{c\lambda+d}{\lambda-d}\right)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_m(\lambda) \\ p_m(d) \end{pmatrix} d\sigma + \\ & + (p_n(d), p'_n(d)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (c+1)d \\ (c+1)d & (c+1)^2 d^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_m(d) \\ p'_m(d) \end{pmatrix} \sigma(\{d\}) = \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Proof. In view of relation (14) it will be useful to calculate $p_n(\mathcal{A})(1)$. By the induction argument one can verify that

$$\mathcal{A}^n[1] = [(c+1) \{ \lambda^n + d\lambda^{n-1} + d^2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d^{n-1}\lambda \} + d^n], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (59)$$

Relation (59) can be written in a more compact form (and including the case $n = 0$):

$$\mathcal{A}^n[1] = \left[(c+1) \frac{\lambda(d^n - \lambda^n)}{d - \lambda} + d^n \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (60)$$

Here we mean the limit expression for $\lambda = d$. Let $u(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^r a_k \lambda^k$ be an arbitrary complex polynomial of degree r . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathcal{A})[1] &= \sum_{k=0}^r a_k \mathcal{A}^k[1] = \left[\frac{(c+1)\lambda}{d-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^r (a_k d^k - a_k \lambda^k) + \sum_{k=0}^r a_k d^k \right] = \\ &= \left[\frac{(c+1)\lambda}{d-\lambda} (u(d) - u(\lambda)) + u(d) \right] = \\ &= \left[(c+1)u(\lambda) + (c+1)d \frac{u(\lambda) - u(d)}{\lambda - d} - cu(d) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

By relation (14) we conclude that the following orthogonality relations hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ (c+1)p_n(\lambda) + (c+1)d \frac{p_n(\lambda) - p_n(d)}{\lambda - d} - cp_n(d) \right\} *$$

$$* \left\{ (c+1)p_m(\lambda) + (c+1)d \frac{p_m(\lambda) - p_m(d)}{\lambda - d} - cp_m(d) \right\} d\sigma = \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (62)$$

Observe that expressions in brackets $\{\dots\}$ in (62) are real polynomials of degrees n and m with positive leading coefficients. Since the orthonormal system of polynomials with respect to σ (having positive leading coefficients) is unique (e.g. [10]) then relation (56) holds.

Multiplying relation (56) by $\lambda - d$ and simplifying the resulting expression we get

$$(c+1)\lambda p_n(\lambda) - (c\lambda + d)p_n(d) = r_n(\lambda)(\lambda - d), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (63)$$

For $\lambda = 0$ we obtain that $-dp_n(d) = -dr_n(0)$. If $d \neq 0$, then $p_n(d) = r_n(0)$. If $d = 0$, then relation (63) becomes

$$(c+1)\lambda p_n(\lambda) - c\lambda p_n(0) = \lambda r_n(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For $\lambda \neq 0$ we may write:

$$(c+1)p_n(\lambda) - cp_n(0) = r_n(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (64)$$

Passing to the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $p_n(0) = r_n(0)$. Thus, for arbitrary $d \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds that

$$p_n(d) = r_n(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (65)$$

By relations (65),(63) we obtain that relation (55) is valid.

Multiplying the three-term recurrent relation for $r_n(\lambda)$ by $(\lambda - d)$ and using relation (63), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & (c+1)\lambda(a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(\lambda) + (b_n - \lambda)p_n(\lambda) + a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda)) = \\ & = (c\lambda + d)(a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(d) + (b_n - \lambda)p_n(d) + a_n p_{n+1}(d)), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

By relation (65) and the recurrent relation for r_n we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & (c+1)\lambda(a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(\lambda) + (b_n - \lambda)p_n(\lambda) + a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda)) = \\ & = (c\lambda + d)(-\lambda)p_n(d), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore recurrent relation (57) is valid. Orthogonality relations (58) are equivalent to relations (62), since expressions under the integrals coincide (the term for $\lambda = d$ is written separately). \square

The following example shows that polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$ from Theorem 5 can have multiple or complex roots.

Example 1 Consider the Jacobi-type pencil Θ from Theorem 5 with an additional assumption $c = 0$. The recurrent relation (57) takes the following form:

$$\lambda p_n(\lambda) = dp_n(d) + a_{n-1}p_{n-1}(\lambda) + b_n p_n(\lambda) + a_n p_{n+1}(\lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (66)$$

Using this relation we calculate several first polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$:

$$p_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad p_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_0}(\lambda - b_0 - d),$$

$$p_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_0 a_1} \{ \lambda^2 - (b_0 + b_1 + d)\lambda + (b_0 + b_1)d + b_0 b_1 - a_0^2 \}. \quad (67)$$

The discriminant D of the quadratic expression in the brackets in (67) is equal to

$$D = d(d - 2b_0 - 2b_1) + (b_0 - b_1)^2 + 4a_0^2. \quad (68)$$

If the following assumptions hold:

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_0 = b_1 = 1, \quad d = 1,$$

then $D = -2$. On the other hand, if

$$a_0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad b_0 = b_1 = 1, \quad d = 1,$$

then $D = 0$. Thus, the polynomial p_2 can have multiple or complex roots.

Consider the differential equation (6), where λ is a real parameter and

$$c(t) = \sum_{j=0}^4 c_j t^j, \quad d(t) = \sum_{j=0}^3 d_j t^j, \quad f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^2 f_j t^j,$$

$$g(t) = g_1 t + g_0, \quad h(t) = h_0; \quad (69)$$

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_2 t^2 + \varphi_1 t + \varphi_0, \quad \psi(t) = \psi_1 t + \psi_0, \quad \theta(t) = \theta_0, \quad (70)$$

where all $c_j, d_j, f_j, g_j, h_j, \varphi_j, \psi_j, \theta_j$ are some real numbers. The following lemma, which reflects the idea from [1], will be useful.

Lemma 1 *Let the differential equation (6) be given, with a real parameter λ and some polynomial coefficients of the form (69),(70). Let n be an arbitrary non-negative integer. A polynomial of the following form:*

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mu_k t^k, \quad \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (71)$$

is a solution of equation (6) if and only if $\{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^n$ is a solution of the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)c_4 + j(j-1)(j-2)d_3 + j(j-1)f_2 + jg_1 + h_0 + \\ & \quad + \lambda(j(j-1)\varphi_2 + j\psi_1 + \theta_0)\}\mu_j + \\ & \{(j+1)j(j-1)(j-2)c_3 + (j+1)j(j-1)d_2 + (j+1)jf_1 + (j+1)g_0 + \\ & \quad + \lambda((j+1)j\varphi_1 + (j+1)\psi_0)\}\mu_{j+1} + \\ & \{(j+2)(j+1)j(j-1)c_2 + (j+2)(j+1)jd_1 + (j+2)(j+1)f_0 + \\ & \quad + \lambda(j+2)(j+1)\varphi_0\}\mu_{j+2} + \\ & \{(j+3)(j+2)(j+1)jc_1 + (j+3)(j+2)(j+1)d_0\}\mu_{j+3} + \\ & (j+4)(j+3)(j+2)(j+1)c_0\mu_{j+4} = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Here $\mu_{n+1} = \mu_{n+2} = \mu_{n+3} = 0$.

Proof. It is enough to substitute the expression for $y(t)$ into the left-hand side of differential equation (6) and calculate the coefficients by t^j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The coefficient by t^j equals to the left-hand side of (72). \square

Consider the Jacobi-type pencil Θ from Theorem 5 with an additional assumption $d = 0$. Relations (55),(56) take the following form:

$$p_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{c+1}r_n(\lambda) + \frac{c}{c+1}r_n(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad (73)$$

$$r_n(\lambda) = (c+1)p_n(\lambda) - cp_n(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (74)$$

Suppose that r_n satisfy the following differential equation:

$$v(t)r_n''(t) + w(t)r_n'(t) + \gamma_n r_n(t) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (75)$$

where $v(t), w(t)$ are some real polynomials, $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{R}$. After the differentiation we obtain that r_n satisfy the third order differential equation:

$$v(t)y'''(t) + (v'(t) + w(t))y''(t) + w'(t)y'(t) + \gamma_n y(t) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (76)$$

Since the derivatives of r_n and p_n of orders $1, 2, \dots$, differ by a constant factor, then p_n satisfy equation (76). Thus, all classical orthogonal polynomials r_n admit generalizations p_n by formula (73), which satisfy the third order differential equation (76). If $c \neq 0$, then p_n is not a unique (up to a constant multiple) real polynomial solution of order n for differential equation (76). There exists a case where the unicity (up to a constant multiple) takes place. It is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 6 *In assumptions of Theorem 5 we additionally suppose that σ and J_3 correspond to orthonormal Jacobi polynomials $r_n(\lambda) = P_n(\lambda; a, b)$ ($a, b > -1$) and $c = 0$; $d = 1$. In this case, the associated polynomial p_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$):*

$$p_n(\lambda) = r_n(\lambda) - \frac{r_n(\lambda) - r_n(0)}{\lambda}, \quad (77)$$

is a unique, up to a constant multiple, real n -th order polynomial solution of the following 4-th order differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & -(t+1)t(t-1)^2y^{(4)}(t) + (t-1)(-(a+b+10)t^2 + (b-a)t+4)y^{(3)}(t) + \\ & + (-3(2a+2b+8)t^2 + (a+9b+22)t+3a-3b)y''(t) + (-6(a+b+2)t+2a+6b+8)y'(t) + \\ & + \lambda_n(t(t-1)y''(t) + 2(2t-1)y'(t) + 2y(t)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where $\lambda_n = n(n+a+b+1)$.

Moreover, there exists a unique $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that differential equation (78) has a real n -th order polynomial solution.

Proof. The orthonormal Jacobi polynomials $r_n(t)$ satisfy the following differential equation:

$$(1-t^2)y''(t) + (b-a-(a+b+2)t)y'(t) + n(n+a+b+1)y(t) = 0. \quad (79)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(t) &= \frac{tp_n(t) - p_n(1)}{t-1}, \quad r'_n(t) = (p_n(t) + tp'_n(t)) \frac{1}{t-1} - (tp_n(t) - p_n(1)) \frac{1}{(t-1)^2}, \\ (1-t^2)r''_n(t) &= -(t+1) \left\{ 2p'_n(t) + tp''_n(t) - \frac{2(p_n(t) + tp'_n(t))}{t-1} + \frac{2(tp_n(t) - p_n(1))}{(t-1)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the latter expressions into equation (79), multiplying by $(t-1)^2$ and simplifying we get

$$-(t+1)t(t-1)^2p''_n(t) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(-2(t+1)(t-1)^2 + 2(t+1)(t-1)t + (b-a-(a+b+2)t)t(t-1))p_n'(t) + \\
& +(2(t+1)(t-1)-2(t+1)t-(b-a-(a+b+2)t))p_n(t) + n(n+a+b+1)t(t-1)p_n(t) + \\
& \quad + d(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $d(t) := (2(t+1) + (b-a-(a+b+2)t - n(n+a+b+1)(t-1)))p_n(1)$. Differentiating the latter expression two times we can remove $d(t)$ and obtain equation (78).

In order to apply Lemma 1 we notice that in our case it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_4 &= -1, \quad c_3 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_1 = -1, \quad c_0 = 0; \\
d_3 &= -(a+b+10), \quad d_2 = 2b+10, \quad d_1 = a-b+4, \quad d_0 = -4; \\
f_2 &= -6(a+b+4), \quad f_1 = a+9b+22, \quad f_0 = 3a-3b; \\
g_1 &= -6(a+b+2), \quad g_0 = 2a+6b+8; \quad h_0 = 0; \\
\varphi_2 &= 1, \quad \varphi_1 = -1, \quad \varphi_0 = 0; \quad \psi_1 = 4, \quad \psi_0 = -2; \quad \theta_0 = 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (72) for $j = n$ uniquely determines $\lambda = n(n+a+b+1)$. Equations (72) with $j = n-1, n-2, \dots, 0$, uniquely determine the coefficients of a polynomial solution of equation (78). Thus, $p_n(t)$ is a unique real n -th order polynomial solution of equation (78). \square

5 High-order difference equations and matrix orthogonal polynomials.

Let $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (p_n has degree n and a positive leading coefficient) is a set of complex polynomials satisfying the following difference equation:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\overline{\alpha_{k-j,j}} p_{k-j}(\lambda) + \alpha_{k,j} p_{k+j}(\lambda)) + \alpha_{k,0} p_k(\lambda) = \lambda^N p_k(\lambda), \quad (80)$$

with some complex coefficients $\alpha_{m,n}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$): $\alpha_{m,N} > 0$, $\alpha_{m,0} \in \mathbb{R}$; $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\alpha_{m,n}$ and p_k with negative indices are zero. Equation (80) can be written in the following matrix form:

$$J\vec{p}(\lambda) = \lambda^N \vec{p}(\lambda), \quad \vec{p}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} p_0(\lambda) \\ p_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (81)$$

where J is a complex Hermitian $(2N + 1)$ -diagonal semi-infinite matrix. Observe that J can be viewed as a block Jacobi matrix. Thus, $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ have a transparent algebraic connection with the corresponding orthonormal matrix polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ [6]:

$$P_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} R_{N,0}(p_{nN})(x) & \dots & R_{N,N-1}(p_{nN})(x) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ R_{N,0}(p_{nN+N-1})(x) & \dots & R_{N,N-1}(p_{nN+N-1})(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

where

$$R_{N,m}(p)(t) := \sum_n \frac{p^{(nN+m)}(0)}{(nN+m)!} t^n, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}.$$

Denote $P_N = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^N \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and let ε be a primitive N -th root of unity. Polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are orthonormal with respect to the following functional:

$$B(u, v) = \int_{P_N} (u(\lambda), u(\lambda\varepsilon), \dots, u(\lambda\varepsilon^{N-1})) dW(\lambda) \overline{\begin{pmatrix} v(\lambda) \\ v(\lambda\varepsilon) \\ \vdots \\ v(\lambda\varepsilon^{N-1}) \end{pmatrix}} + (u(0), u'(0), \dots, u^{(N-1)}(0)) M \overline{\begin{pmatrix} v(0) \\ v'(0) \\ \vdots \\ v^{(N-1)}(0) \end{pmatrix}}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (83)$$

where $W(\lambda)$ is a non-decreasing matrix-valued function on $P_N \setminus \{0\}$, $M \geq 0$ is a $(N \times N)$ complex matrix (at $\lambda = 0$ the integral is understood as improper). The sesquilinear functional B has the following properties: $\overline{B(u, v)} = B(v, u)$, and

$$B(\lambda^N u(\lambda), v(\lambda)) = B(u(\lambda), \lambda^N v(\lambda)), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (84)$$

Theorem 7 *Let $\Theta = (J_3, J_5, \alpha, \beta)$ be a Jacobi-type pencil. Denote by $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ the associated polynomials to the pencil Θ , and denote by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ the associated operator for Θ . Polynomials $\{p_n(\lambda)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy recurrent relation (80) with a positive integer N and with some complex coefficients $\alpha_{m,n}$ ($\alpha_{m,N} > 0$, $\alpha_{m,0} \in \mathbb{R}$) if and only if \mathcal{A}^N is a symmetric operator.*

Proof. *Necessity.* Suppose that the associated polynomials $p_n(\lambda)$ satisfy recurrent relation (80), with a positive integer N and with some complex coefficients $\alpha_{m,n}$. Denote by $S(u, v)$ the spectral function of Θ . Since p_n are orthonormal with respect to sesquilinear functionals B (from (83)) and S , then $B = S$. By (84),(18) we may write:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda^N u(\lambda))(\mathcal{A})(1) \overline{v(\mathcal{A})(1)} d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\mathcal{A})(1) \overline{(\lambda^N v(\lambda))(\mathcal{A})(1)} d\sigma, \quad (85)$$

for arbitrary $u, v \in \mathbb{P}$. Since

$$(\lambda^N u(\lambda))(\mathcal{A})[1] = \mathcal{A}^N \{u(\mathcal{A})[1]\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{P}, \quad (86)$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}^N \{u(\mathcal{A})(1)\} \overline{v(\mathcal{A})(1)} d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\mathcal{A})(1) \overline{\mathcal{A}^N \{v(\mathcal{A})(1)\}} d\sigma, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (87)$$

It remains to check that for an arbitrary complex polynomial $w(\lambda)$ there exists a representation: $[w] = u(\mathcal{A})[1]$ with a suitable $u \in \mathbb{P}$. Observe that polynomials \tilde{g}_n :

$$[\tilde{g}_n] = \mathcal{A}^n[1], \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

form a linear basis in \mathbb{P} , see the formula following (3.7) in [16]. Then

$$[w] = \sum_j \tilde{a}_j [\tilde{g}_j] = \left(\sum_j \tilde{a}_j \mathcal{A}^j \right) [1],$$

for some complex numbers \tilde{a}_j .

Sufficiency. Suppose that \mathcal{A}^N is symmetric ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}^N [w(\lambda)] \overline{[g(\lambda)]} d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [w(\lambda)] \overline{\mathcal{A}^N [g(\lambda)]} d\sigma, \quad w, g \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (88)$$

In particular, relation (88) implies relation (87). By (86) we conclude that relation (85) holds. Relation (85) means that the spectral function S satisfies the following relation:

$$S(\lambda^N u(\lambda), v(\lambda)) = S(u(\lambda), \lambda^N v(\lambda)), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{P}. \quad (89)$$

Since p_k are real polynomials, $\deg p_k = k$, we may write:

$$\lambda^N p_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+N} \xi_{k,i} p_i(\lambda), \quad \xi_{k,i} \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (90)$$

By the orthogonality we get

$$\xi_{k,j} = S(\lambda^N p_k(\lambda), p_j(\lambda)), \quad 0 \leq j \leq k + N. \quad (91)$$

For i : $0 \leq i < k - N$, we may write:

$$\xi_{k,i} = S(\lambda^N p_k(\lambda), p_i(\lambda)) = S(p_k(\lambda), \lambda^N p_i(\lambda)) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lambda^N p_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=k-N}^{k+N} \xi_{k,i} p_i(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\xi_{k,k-j} p_{k-j}(\lambda) + \xi_{k,k+j} p_{k+j}(\lambda)) + \xi_{k,k} p_k(\lambda). \quad (92)$$

Set

$$\alpha_{k,0} = \xi_{k,k}, \quad \alpha_{k,j} = \xi_{k,k+j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_+). \quad (93)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{k,k-j} &= S(\lambda^N p_k(\lambda), p_{k-j}(\lambda)) = S(p_k(\lambda), \lambda^N p_{k-j}(\lambda)) = \\ &= \overline{S(\lambda^N p_{k-j}(\lambda), p_k(\lambda))} = \overline{\xi_{k-j,k}} = \overline{\alpha_{k-j,j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$: $k - j \geq 0$. By (92)-(94) we conclude that relation (80) holds. \square

Let us return to polynomials p_n from the previous section. Namely, assume that assumptions of Theorem 5 hold. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ be the associated operator for the pencil Θ . Assume additionally that $c \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Let us show that for an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator \mathcal{A}^N is not symmetric. In fact, by the induction argument one can check that

$$\mathcal{A}^n[p(\lambda)] = [\lambda^n p(\lambda) + p(0)(c\lambda + d)(\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{n-2}d + \dots + d^{n-1})], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (95)$$

Relation (95) can be written in a compact form:

$$\mathcal{A}^n[p(\lambda)] = \Lambda_0^n[p(\lambda)] + p(0) \left[(c\lambda + d) \frac{d^n - \lambda^n}{d - \lambda} \right], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (96)$$

Thus, \mathcal{A}^N is not symmetric if the following operator

$$B[p] := p(0) \left[(c\lambda + d) \frac{d^N - \lambda^N}{d - \lambda} \right], \quad (p \in \mathbb{P}),$$

is not symmetric. Denote

$$\varphi(\lambda) = (c\lambda + d) \frac{d^N - \lambda^N}{d - \lambda}.$$

Let $\{r_n(x)\}_0^\infty$ ($r_0 = 1$) be orthonormal polynomials (having positive leading coefficients) with respect to σ (where σ , as usual, corresponds to J_3). Choose an arbitrary $m > N$ such that $r_m(0) \neq 0$. It is always possible according to the three-term recurrent relation for r_n . Then

$$(B[\varphi], [r_m])_{L_\sigma^2} = d^N([\varphi], [r_m])_{L_\sigma^2} = 0,$$

since φ is a polynomial of degree at most N . On the other hand,

$$([\varphi], B[r_m])_{L_\sigma^2} = r_m(0)([\varphi], [\varphi])_{L_\sigma^2} \neq 0.$$

Thus, \mathcal{A}^N is not symmetric.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Prof. Zhedanov for pointing him references [3] and [9].

References

- [1] Azad, H.; Laradji, A.; Mustafa, M. T. Polynomial solutions of differential equations. *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2011, 2011:58, 12 pp.
- [2] Ben Amara J., Vladimirov A. A., Shkalikov A. A. Spectral and Oscillatory Properties of a Linear Pencil of Fourth-Order Differential Operators. *Math. Notes*, 94:1 (2013), 49–59.
- [3] Chaundy, T. W. Second-order linear differential equations with polynomial solutions. *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. (2)* 4, (1953). 81–95.
- [4] Chihara, T. S. An introduction to orthogonal polynomials. *Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 13. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London-Paris, 1978. xii+249 pp.
- [5] Derevyagin, Maxim; Tsujimoto, Satoshi; Vinet, Luc; Zhedanov, Alexei. Bannai-Ito polynomials and dressing chains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 142 (2014), no. 12, 4191–4206.
- [6] Durán, A. J.; Van Assche, W. Orthogonal matrix polynomials and higher-order recurrence relations. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 219 (1995), 261–280.
- [7] Ismail, Mourad E. H. Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. With two chapters by Walter Van Assche. With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. xviii+706 pp.

- [8] Krall, Allan M. Hilbert space, boundary value problems and orthogonal polynomials. *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 133. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 2002. xiv+352 pp.
- [9] Sawyer, W. W. Differential equations with polynomial solutions. *Quart. J. Math.*, Oxford Ser. 20, (1949). 22–30.
- [10] Suetin, P. K. Classical orthogonal polynomials. Third edition. Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2005. 480 pp. (Russian)
- [11] Szegő, Gábor. Orthogonal polynomials. Fourth edition. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975. xiii+432 pp.
- [12] Wilkinson, J. H. The algebraic eigenvalue problem Clarendon Press, Oxford 1965 xviii+662 pp.
- [13] Zagorodnyuk, Sergey M. On generalized Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials. *New York J. Math.* 9 (2003), 117–136 (electronic).
- [14] Zagorodnyuk S.M., Orthogonal polynomials on rays: properties of zeros, related moment problems and symmetries.—*Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, **4**, No. 3 (2008), 395-419.
- [15] Zagorodnyuk, S.M. Orthogonal polynomials related to some Jacobi-type pencils. *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **68**, no. 9 (2016), 1180–1190.
- [16] Zagorodnyuk S.M., The inverse spectral problem for Jacobi-type pencils.—*SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, **13**, Paper No. 085 (2017), 16 pp.
- [17] Zhedanov A., Biorthogonal rational functions and the generalized eigenvalue problem.—*J. Approx. Theory*, **101**, (1999), no. 2, 303–329.

Difference equations related to Jacobi-type pencils.

S.M. Zagorodnyuk

In this paper we study various difference equations related to Jacobi-type pencils. By a Jacobi-type pencil one means the following pencil: $J_5 - \lambda J_3$, where J_3 is a Jacobi matrix and J_5 is a semi-infinite real symmetric five-diagonal matrix with positive numbers on the second subdiagonal. The basic set of solutions for the corresponding 4-th order difference equation is

constructed. Spectral properties of the truncated pencil and some special matrix orthogonality relations are investigated. Classical type orthogonal polynomials satisfying a 4-th order differential equation are constructed.