

**IMPROVED RESULTS ON THE OSCILLATION
OF THE MODULUS OF THE RUDIN-SHAPIRO
POLYNOMIALS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE**

TAMÁS ERDÉLYI

September 12, 2018

Dedicated to Paul Nevai on the occasion of his 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let either $R_k(t) := |P_k(e^{it})|^2$ or $R_k(t) := |Q_k(e^{it})|^2$, where P_k and Q_k are the usual Rudin-Shapiro polynomials of degree $n - 1$ with $n = 2^k$. In a recent paper we combined close to sharp upper bounds for the modulus of the autocorrelation coefficients of the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials with a deep theorem of Littlewood to prove that there is an absolute constant $A > 0$ such that the equation $R_k(t) = (1 + \eta)n$ has at least $An^{0.5394282}$ distinct zeros in $[0, 2\pi)$ whenever η is real, $|\eta| < 2^{-11}$, and n is sufficiently large. In this paper we show that the equation $R_k(t) = (1 + \eta)n$ has at least $(1/2 - |\eta| - \varepsilon)n/2$ distinct zeros in $[0, 2\pi)$ for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ denote the open unit disk of the complex plane. Let $\partial D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ denote the unit circle of the complex plane. The Mahler measure $M_0(f)$ is defined for bounded measurable functions f on ∂D by

$$M_0(f) := \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(e^{it})| dt \right).$$

It is well known, see [HL-52], for instance, that

$$M_0(f) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0^+} M_q(f),$$

Key words and phrases. polynomials, restricted coefficients, oscillation of the modulus on the unit circle, Rudin-Shapiro polynomials, oscillation of the modulus on the unit circle, number of real zeros in the period.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications. 11C08, 41A17, 26C10, 30C15

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

where

$$M_q(f) := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{it})|^q dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad q > 0.$$

It is also well known that for a function f continuous on ∂D we have

$$M_\infty(f) := \max_{t \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(e^{it})| = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} M_q(f).$$

It is a simple consequence of the Jensen formula that

$$M_0(f) = |c| \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |z_j|\}$$

for every polynomial of the form

$$f(z) = c \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j), \quad c, z_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

See [BE-95, p. 271] or [B-02, p. 3], for instance.

Let \mathcal{P}_n^c be the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients. Let \mathcal{T}_n be the set of all real (that is, real-valued on the real line) trigonometric polynomials of degree at most n . Finding polynomials with suitably restricted coefficients and maximal Mahler measure has interested many authors. The classes

$$\mathcal{L}_n := \left\{ f : f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j, \quad a_j \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}$$

of Littlewood polynomials and the classes

$$\mathcal{K}_n := \left\{ f : f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |a_j| = 1 \right\}$$

of unimodular polynomials are two of the most important classes considered. Observe that $\mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{K}_n$ and

$$M_0(f) \leq M_2(f) = \sqrt{n+1}, \quad f \in \mathcal{K}_n.$$

Beller and Newman [BN-73] constructed unimodular polynomials $f_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ such that $M_0(f_n) \geq \sqrt{n} - c/\log n$ with an absolute constant $c > 0$.

Section 4 of [B-02] is devoted to the study of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials. Littlewood asked if there were polynomials $f_{n_k} \in \mathcal{L}_{n_k}$ satisfying

$$c_1 \sqrt{n_k + 1} \leq |f_{n_k}(z)| \leq c_2 \sqrt{n_k + 1}, \quad z \in \partial D,$$

with some absolute constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, see [B-02, p. 27] for a reference to this problem of Littlewood. To satisfy just the lower bound, by itself, seems very hard, and no such sequence (f_{n_k}) of Littlewood polynomials $f_{n_k} \in \mathcal{L}_{n_k}$ is known. A sequence of Littlewood polynomials that satisfies just the upper bound is given by the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials. The Rudin-Shapiro polynomials appear in Harold Shapiro's 1951 thesis [S-51] at MIT and are sometimes called just Shapiro polynomials. They also arise independently in Golay's paper [G-51]. They are remarkably simple to construct and are a rich source of counterexamples to possible conjectures. The Rudin-Shapiro polynomials are defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(z) &:= 1, & Q_0(z) &:= 1, \\ P_{k+1}(z) &:= P_k(z) + z^{2^k} Q_k(z), \\ Q_{k+1}(z) &:= P_k(z) - z^{2^k} Q_k(z), \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Note that both P_k and Q_k are polynomials of degree $n - 1$ with $n := 2^k$ having each of their coefficients in $\{-1, 1\}$. In signal processing, the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials have good autocorrelation properties and their values on the unit circle are small. Binary sequences with low autocorrelation coefficients are of interest in radar, sonar, and communication systems.

It is well known and easy to check by using the parallelogram law that

$$|P_{k+1}(z)|^2 + |Q_{k+1}(z)|^2 = 2(|P_k(z)|^2 + |Q_k(z)|^2), \quad z \in \partial D.$$

Hence

$$(1.1) \quad |P_k(z)|^2 + |Q_k(z)|^2 = 2^{k+1} = 2n, \quad z \in \partial D.$$

It is also well known (see Section 4 of [B-02], for instance), that

$$Q_k(-z) = P_k^*(z) = z^{n-1} P_k(1/z), \quad z \in \partial D,$$

and hence

$$(1.2) \quad |Q_k(-z)| = |P_k(z)|, \quad z \in \partial D.$$

Let $K := \mathbb{R} \pmod{2\pi}$. Let $m(A)$ denote the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $A \subset K$. In 1980 Saffari conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let P_k and Q_k be the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials of degree $n - 1$ with $n := 2^k$. We have*

$$M_q(P_k) = M_q(Q_k) \sim \frac{2^{(k+1)/2}}{(q/2 + 1)^{1/q}}$$

for all real exponents $q > 0$. Equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m \left(\left\{ t \in K : \left| \frac{P_k(e^{it})}{\sqrt{2^{k+1}}} \right|^2 \in [\alpha, \beta] \right\} \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m \left(\left\{ t \in K : \left| \frac{Q_k(e^{it})}{\sqrt{2^{k+1}}} \right|^2 \in [\alpha, \beta] \right\} \right) = 2\pi(\beta - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$.

This conjecture was proved for all even values of $q \leq 52$ by Doche [D-05] and Doche and Habsieger [DH-04]. Recently B. Rodgers [R-16] proved Saffari's Conjecture 1.1 for all $q > 0$. See also [EZ-17]. An extension of Saffari's conjecture is Montgomery's conjecture below.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let P_k and Q_k be the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials of degree $n - 1$ with $n := 2^k$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m \left(\left\{ t \in K : \frac{P_k(e^{it})}{\sqrt{2^{k+1}}} \in E \right\} \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m \left(\left\{ t \in K : \frac{Q_k(e^{it})}{\sqrt{2^{k+1}}} \in E \right\} \right) = 2m(E) \end{aligned}$$

for any measurable set $E \subset D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

B. Rodgers [R-16] proved Montgomery's Conjecture 1.2 as well.

2. NEW RESULTS

Let either $R_k(t) := |P_k(e^{it})|^2$ or $R_k(t) := |Q_k(e^{it})|^2$. In [AC-18] we combined close to sharp upper bounds for the modulus of the autocorrelation coefficients of the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials with a deep theorem of Littlewood (see Theorem 1 in [L-66]) to prove that there is an absolute constant $A > 0$ such that the equation $R_k(t) = (1 + \eta)n$ with $n := 2^k$ has at least $An^{0.5394282}$ distinct zeros in K whenever η is real, $|\eta| \leq 2^{-11}$, and n is sufficiently large. In this paper we improve this result substantially.

Theorem 2.1. *The equation $R_k(t) = n$ has at least $n/4 + 1$ distinct zeros in K . Moreover, with the notation $t_j := 2\pi j/n$, there are at least $n/2 + 2$ values of $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ for which the interval $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ has at least one zero of the equation $R_k(t) = n$.*

Theorem 2.2. *The equation $R_k(t) = (1 + \eta)n$ has at least $(1/2 - |\eta| - \varepsilon)n/2$ distinct zeros in K for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$.*

3. LEMMA

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need the lemma below stated and proved as Lemma 3.1 in [E-16].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $n := 2^k$, and let*

$$z_j := e^{it_j}, \quad t_j := \frac{2\pi j}{n}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(z_j) &= 2P_{k-2}(z_j), & j = 2u, \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ P_k(z_j) &= (-1)^{(j-1)/2} 2i Q_{k-2}(z_j), & j = 2u + 1, \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where i is the imaginary unit.

4. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that $R_k(t) = |P_k(e^{it})|^2$, the proof in the case $R_k(t) = |Q_k(e^{it})|^2$ is the same. For the sake of brevity let

$$A_j := R_{k-2}(t_j) - n/4, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Using the notation of Lemma 3.1 we study the $(n+1)$ -tuple $\langle A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle$. Observe that R_{k-2} is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree $n/4 - 1 = 2^k/4 - 1$, and hence $R_{k-2}(t) - n/4$ has at most $n/2 - 2$ zeros in K . Therefore the Intermediate Value Theorem yields that the number of sign changes in the $(n+1)$ -tuple $\langle A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle$ is at most $n/2 - 2$. Thus there are integers

$$0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq n - 1$$

with $m \geq n - (n/2 - 2) = n/2 + 2$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad A_{j_\nu} A_{j_\nu+1} \geq 0, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we have either

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 16A_{j_\nu} A_{j_\nu+1} &= (4(R_{k-2}(t_{j_\nu}) - n/4))(4(R_{k-2}(t_{j_\nu+1}) - n/4)) \\ &= (4|P_{k-2}(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(4|P_{k-2}(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n) \\ &= (|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(|Q_k(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n) \\ &= (|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(n - |P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 16A_{j_\nu} A_{j_\nu+1} &= (4(R_{k-2}(t_{j_\nu})) - n/4)(4(R_{k-2}(t_{j_\nu+1}) - n/4)) \\ &= (4|P_{k-2}(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(4|P_{k-2}(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n) \\ &= (|Q_k(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n) \\ &= (|n - |P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2)(|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3), we can deduce that

$$(|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu}})|^2 - n)(|P_k(e^{it_{j_\nu+1}})|^2 - n) = -16A_{j_\nu} A_{j_\nu+1} \leq 0, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence the the Intermediate Value Theorem implies that $R_k(t) - n = |P_k(e^{it})|^2 - n$ has at least one zero in each of the intervals

$$[t_{j_\nu}, t_{j_\nu+1}], \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Recalling that $m \geq n/2 + 2$ we conclude that $R_k(t) - n = |P_k(e^{it})|^2 - n$ has at least $m/2 = n/4 + 1$ distinct zeros in K . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. This follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 combined with B. Rodgers's resolution of Saffari's Conjecture 1.1. Assume that $R_k(t) = |P_k(e^{it})|^2$, the proof in the case $R_k(t) = |Q_k(e^{it})|^2$ is the same. Also, we may assume that $\eta > 0$, the case $\eta = 0$ is contained in Theorem 2.1. We use the notation in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Recall that each of the intervals

$$[t_{j_\nu}, t_{j_\nu+1}], \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

has at least one zero of R_k . On the other hand, by Saffari's Conjecture proved by Rodgers [R-16] we have

$$m(\{t \in K : |R_k(t) - n| \leq |\eta|n\}) < 2\pi(1 + \varepsilon)|\eta|$$

for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$. Hence, with the notation

$$B_\eta := \{t \in K : |R_k(t) - n| \leq |\eta|n\},$$

there are at least $m - (1 + \varepsilon)|\eta|n$ distinct values of $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$[t_{j_\nu}, t_{j_\nu+1}] \setminus B_\eta \neq \emptyset$$

for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$. Hence by the Intermediate Value Theorem there are at least $m - (1 + \varepsilon)|\eta|n$ distinct values of $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for which $|R_k(t) - n| = |\eta|n$ has a zero in $(t_{j_\nu}, t_{j_\nu+1})$ for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$. Now observe that (1.1) and (1.2) imply that

$$|P_k(z)|^2 + |P_k(-z)|^2 = 2n, \quad z \in \partial D,$$

that is,

$$R_k(t) - n = n - R_k(t + \pi), \quad t \in K.$$

Hence for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ the number of distinct zeros of $|R_k(t) - n| = |\eta|n$ in K is exactly twice the number of distinct zeros of $R_k(t) = (1 + \eta)n$ in K . We conclude that there are at least

$$\frac{1}{2}(m - (1 + \varepsilon)\eta n) \geq (1/2 - |\eta| - \varepsilon)n/2$$

distinct values of $\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for which $R_k(t) - n = \eta n$ has a zero in $(t_{j_\nu}, t_{j_\nu+1})$ for every $\eta \in (-1/2, 1/2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large $k \geq k_{\eta, \varepsilon}$. \square

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks Stephen Choi for checking the details of this paper carefully before its submission.

REFERENCES

- AC-17. J.-P. Allouche, K.-K. S. Choi, A. Denise, T. Erdélyi, and B. Saffari, *Bounds on autocorrelation coefficients of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials*, manuscript.
- B-02. P. Borwein, *Computational Excursions in Analysis and Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 2002.

- BE-95. P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- BN-73. E. Beller and D.J. Newman,, *An extremal problem for the geometric mean of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1973), 313–317.
- D-05. Ch. Doche, *Even moments of generalized Rudin-Shapiro polynomials*, Math. Comp. **74** (2005), no. 252, 1923–1935.
- DH-04. Ch. Doche and L. Habsieger, *Moments of the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **10** (2004), no. 5, 497–505.
- E-16. T. Erdélyi, *The Mahler measure of the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials*, Constr. Approx. **43** (2016), no. 3, 357-369.
- EZ-17. S.B. Ekhad and D. Zeilberger, *Integrals involving Rudin-Shapiro polynomials and sketch of a proof of Saffari’s conjecture*, To appear in the Proceedings of the Alladi60 conference.
- G-51. M.J. Goley, *Static multislit spectrometry and its application to the panoramic display of infrared spectra.*, J. Opt. Soc. America **41** (1951), 468–472.
- HL-52. G.H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- L-66. J.E. Littlewood, *The real zeros and value distributions of real trigonometrical polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 336-342.
- R-16. B. Rodgers, *On the distribution of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials and lacunary walks on $SU(2)$* , to appear in Adv. Math., arxiv.org/abs/1606.01637.
- S-51. H.S. Shapiro, *Extremal problems for polynomials and power series*, Master thesis, MIT, 1951.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

E-mail address: `terdelyi@math.tamu.edu`