

Multilayer Network Modeling of Integrated Biological Systems

Comment on “Network Science of Biological Systems at Different Scales: A Review” by Gosak et al.[☆]

Manlio De Domenico

Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Via Sommarive 18, 38123 Povo (TN), Italy

Biological systems, from a cell to the human brain, are inherently complex. A powerful representation of such systems, described by an intricate web of relationships across multiple scales, is provided by complex networks. Recently, several studies are highlighting how simple networks – obtained by aggregating or neglecting temporal or categorical description of biological data – are not able to account for the richness of information characterizing biological systems. More complex models, namely multilayer networks, are needed to account for interdependencies, often varying across time, of biological interacting units within a cell, a tissue or parts of an organism.

Gosak et al [1] review the most recent advances in the application of multilayer networks for modeling complex biological systems, from molecular interactions within a cell to neuronal connectivity of the human brain.

1. Network Science of Biological Systems

Biology provides a fertile ground for some of the most exciting applications of Network Science. The essential molecular components of a cell are related by functional interdependencies of different nature (e.g., genetic, physical, etc.) at different scales (e.g., genetic, metabolic, etc.), making network modeling an essential tool for their modeling and analysis.

Complex networks have improved our understanding of life and disease. On the one hand, the function of a human cell is the result of interacting

Email address: mdedomenico@fbk.eu (Manlio De Domenico)

proteins which are responsible for the underlying modular and hierarchical organization into complexes, organelles and signal transduction pathways that build the human interactome [2]. Because of this interdependency, the alteration of single genes can quickly propagate a perturbation to the protein-protein interaction network, causing abnormal functions in tissues and organs that culminate in diseases. Network medicine [3] is a developing branch of Network Science which provides theoretical and computational tools for analyzing and predicting the potential effects of such perturbations, by linking genome, transcriptome, proteome and metabolome to human diseases, allowing for the study of the human diseasome, the network of disease-disease interactions [4].

On the other hand, understanding the complex mechanisms behind the function of human brain and cognition is a challenge where promising results have been obtained within the framework of network neuroscience [5]. This developing branch of Network Science allows for modeling and analysis of structural interactions and functional interdependencies within the human brain. The alteration of structural or functional connectivity due, for instance, to localized abnormalities in specific areas of the brain or in their functional relationships, can quickly propagate, causing systemic failures that culminate in neurodegenerative diseases [6], neurological [7] or psychiatric disorders [8].

2. Multilayer Networks: a Framework for Integrated Biological Systems

Distinct complex networks provide a fair description of isolated networked systems, consisting of static units which are related by a single type of relationships. Nowadays, such systems are named single-layer networks or, less frequently, simplex networks.

However, biological systems exhibit a higher level of complexity, with interdependencies within and across different networks that can also vary over time. Multilayer network models [9, 10, 11, 12] provide a powerful representation [13] of such systems and allow for the integration of multiple types of interactions among biological units of different types, while reducing loss or aggregation of available information. In this framework, each network is encoded into a different layer of the system, while layers can be coupled each other in a complex way, to resemble complex interaction patterns observed in biology.

Gosak et al [1] propose exciting perspectives for an even more pervasive use of multilayer network modeling in biology. More specifically, they investigate possible applications to intercellular interaction patterns, where multilayer networks built from simultaneous multicellular recordings allow for integrated network descriptions at multicellular and tissue level. However, the scarcity of experimental data is not the only obstacle to our comprehension of the interplay between structure, dynamics and function of cells.

2.1. Multi-omics

Despite the efforts [14, 15], integrating different sources of genetic data is still challenging. The ultimate goal is to gain novel insights and new knowledge about life and disease from system-level molecular interactions [16]. To this aim, multiple sources of omics data encode different layers, representing a biological system as a network of networks. This integrated perspective allows for more predictive performances [17, 18, 19] and has been shown to better characterize the evolution of complex diseases such as cancer [20], as well as to better understand the response to genetic and metabolic perturbations in complex organisms like *E. coli* [21].

2.2. Connectomics

Another open challenge concerns with the integration of multiple relationships among units of a nervous system. Only recently multilayer network models have been used to map the connectomes of complex organisms at different scales, from nematoda to *Homo Sapiens* (see [22] for a review). In the case of the model organism *C. elegans*, a multilayer network with alternative modes of interaction (synaptic, gap junction, and neuromodulator) between neurons has been introduced [23, 24], allowing for a better understanding of aminergic and peptidergic modulation of behaviour [25]. Anatomical and functional information has been integrated to gain insights about the macro-scale topology of the Macaque monkey [26] and the human brain [27]. Temporal [28, 29] and multi-frequency [30, 31, 32, 33] decompositions of human brain activity, followed by their successive integration into multilayer networks, have been used to improve our understanding of brain function in cognitive tasks and brain diseases, such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's or Schizophrenia.

3. Open Challenges

Despite the success of multilayer network modeling and analysis in systems biology and systems medicine, some methodological challenges are still to be tackled to build consistent, replicable and reproducible [34, 35, 36, 37] representations of multi-omics, connectomics and intercellular interactions as the ones central to the review of Gosak et al [1].

In fact, the extraction of functional connectivity patterns, being them of molecular, neuronal or intercellular origin, still suffers from i) the lack of a robust choice of statistical similarity descriptors (e.g., cross-correlation, spectral coherence, information-theoretic measures) and ii) the absence of objective criteria to threshold the resulting similarity matrices for filtering the observed correlations.

Network scientists are investigating alternatives to traditional approaches, grounded on the development of objective null models based, for instance, on random matrix theory [38] or problem-specific topological principles [39]. In fact, the lack of objective and robust methodologies – for dealing with simplex and multilayer networks inferred from measurements – might alter the interpretation of results based on the calculation of centrality measures and the determination of meso-scale structures [40].

Further research is definitively needed to assess the statistical variability of network descriptors and make the interpretation of results more robust.

References

- [1] M. Gosak, R. Markovič, J. Dolenšek, M. Rupnik, M. Marhl, A. Stožer, M. Perc, Network science of biological systems at different scales: a review, *Physics of Life Reviews* 2017 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2017.11.003> [this issue].
- [2] E. L. Huttlin, R. J. Bruckner, J. A. Paulo, J. R. Cannon, L. Ting, K. Baltier, G. Colby, F. Gebreab, M. P. Gygi, H. Parzen, et al., Architecture of the human interactome defines protein communities and disease networks, *Nature* 545 (7655) (2017) 505–509.
- [3] A.-L. Barabási, N. Gulbahce, J. Loscalzo, Network medicine: a network-based approach to human disease, *Nature Reviews Genetics* 12 (1) (2010) nrg2918.

- [4] K.-I. Goh, M. E. Cusick, D. Valle, B. Childs, M. Vidal, A.-L. Barabási, The human disease network, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104 (21) (2007) 8685–8690.
- [5] D. S. Bassett, O. Sporns, Network neuroscience, *Nature Neuroscience* 20 (3) (2017) 353–364.
- [6] C. J. Stam, B. Jones, G. Nolte, M. Breakspear, P. Scheltens, Small-world networks and functional connectivity in alzheimer’s disease, *Cerebral cortex* 17 (1) (2006) 92–99.
- [7] M. Chavez, M. Valencia, V. Navarro, V. Latora, J. Martinerie, Functional modularity of background activities in normal and epileptic brain networks, *Physical Review Letters* 104 (11) (2010) 118701.
- [8] M.-E. Lynall, D. S. Bassett, R. Kerwin, P. J. McKenna, M. Kitzbichler, U. Muller, E. Bullmore, Functional connectivity and brain networks in schizophrenia, *Journal of Neuroscience* 30 (28) (2010) 9477–9487.
- [9] M. Kivelä, A. Arenas, M. Barthelemy, J. P. Gleeson, Y. Moreno, M. A. Porter, Multilayer networks, *Journal of complex networks* 2 (3) (2014) 203–271.
- [10] S. Boccaletti, G. Bianconi, R. Criado, C. I. Del Genio, J. Gómez-Gardenes, M. Romance, I. Sendina-Nadal, Z. Wang, M. Zanin, The structure and dynamics of multilayer networks, *Physics Reports* 544 (1) (2014) 1–122.
- [11] Z. Wang, L. Wang, A. Szolnoki, M. Perc, Evolutionary games on multilayer networks: a colloquium, *Eur. Phys. J. B* 88 (2015) 124.
- [12] M. De Domenico, C. Granell, M. A. Porter, A. Arenas, The physics of spreading processes in multilayer networks, *Nature Physics* 12 (10) (2016) 901–906.
- [13] M. De Domenico, A. Solé-Ribalta, E. Cozzo, M. Kivelä, Y. Moreno, M. A. Porter, S. Gómez, A. Arenas, Mathematical formulation of multilayer networks, *Physical Review X* 3 (4) (2013) 041022.
- [14] S. Mostafavi, Q. Morris, Fast integration of heterogeneous data sources for predicting gene function with limited annotation, *Bioinformatics* 26 (14) (2010) 1759–1765.

- [15] M. Bersanelli, E. Mosca, D. Remondini, E. Giampieri, C. Sala, G. Castellani, L. Milanesi, Methods for the integration of multi-omics data: mathematical aspects, *BMC bioinformatics* 17 (Suppl 2) (2016) 15.
- [16] K. Sun, N. Buchan, C. Larminie, N. Pržulj, The integrated disease network, *Integrative Biology* 6 (11) (2014) 1069–1079.
- [17] M. De Domenico, V. Nicosia, A. Arenas, V. Latora, Structural reducibility of multilayer networks, *Nature communications* 6 (2015) 6864.
- [18] M. Kim, N. Rai, V. Zorraquino, I. Tagkopoulos, Multi-omics integration accurately predicts cellular state in unexplored conditions for *escherichia coli.*, *Nature Communications* 7 (2016) 13090.
- [19] M. Zitnik, J. Leskovec, Predicting multicellular function through multilayer tissue networks, *Bioinformatics* 33 (14) (2017) i190–i198.
- [20] F. Tordini, M. Aldinucci, L. Milanesi, P. Liò, I. Merelli, The genome conformation as an integrator of multi-omic data: The example of damage spreading in cancer., *Frontiers in genetics* 7 (2016) 194.
- [21] D. F. Klosik, A. Grimbs, S. Bornholdt, M.-T. Hütt, The interdependent network of gene regulation and metabolism is robust where it needs to be, *Nature Communications* 8 (1) (2017) 534.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00587-4>
- [22] M. De Domenico, Multilayer modeling and analysis of human brain networks, *Giga Science* 6 (5) (2017) 1–8.
- [23] M. De Domenico, M. A. Porter, A. Arenas, Muxviz: a tool for multilayer analysis and visualization of networks, *Journal of Complex Networks* 3 (2) (2015) 159–176.
- [24] V. Nicosia, V. Latora, Measuring and modeling correlations in multiplex networks, *Physical Review E* 92 (3) (2015) 032805.
- [25] B. Bentley, R. Branicky, C. L. Barnes, Y. L. Chew, E. Yemini, E. T. Bullmore, P. E. Vértés, W. R. Schafer, The multilayer connectome of *caenorhabditis elegans*, *PLoS computational biology* 12 (12) (2016) e1005283.

- [26] J. J. Crofts, M. Forrester, R. D. O’Dea, Structure-function clustering in multiplex brain networks, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* 116 (1) (2016) 18003.
- [27] F. Battiston, V. Nicosia, M. Chavez, V. Latora, Multilayer motif analysis of brain networks, *Chaos* 27 (4) (2017) 047404.
- [28] D. S. Bassett, N. F. Wymbs, M. A. Porter, P. J. Mucha, J. M. Carlson, S. T. Grafton, Dynamic reconfiguration of human brain networks during learning, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108 (18) (2011) 7641–7646.
- [29] D. Vidaurre, S. M. Smith, M. W. Woolrich, Brain network dynamics are hierarchically organized in time, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2017) 201705120.
- [30] M. De Domenico, S. Sasai, A. Arenas, Mapping multiplex hubs in human functional brain networks., *Frontiers in neuroscience* 10 (2016) 326.
- [31] P. Tewarie, A. Hillebrand, B. W. van Dijk, C. J. Stam, G. C. O’Neill, P. Van Mieghem, J. M. Meier, M. W. Woolrich, P. G. Morris, M. J. Brookes, Integrating cross-frequency and within band functional networks in resting-state meg: a multi-layer network approach, *Neuroimage* 142 (2016) 324–336.
- [32] M. Yu, M. Engels, A. Hillebrand, E. C. van Straaten, A. A. Gouw, C. Teunissen, W. M. van der Flier, P. Scheltens, C. J. Stam, Selective impairment of hippocampus and posterior hub areas in alzheimer’s disease: an meg-based multiplex network study, *Brain* 140 (5) (2017) 1466–1485.
- [33] J. Guillon, Y. Attal, O. Colliot, V. La Corte, B. Dubois, D. Schwartz, M. Chavez, F. D. V. Fallani, Loss of brain inter-frequency hubs in alzheimer’s disease, *Scientific Reports* 7 (1) (2017) 10879.
- [34] C. Drummond, Replicability is not reproducibility: Nor is it good science, in: *In Proceedings of the Evaluation Methods for Machine Learning Workshop at the 26th ICML*, Citeseer, 2009.

- [35] J. P. Ioannidis, D. B. Allison, C. A. Ball, I. Coulibaly, X. Cui, A. C. Culhane, M. Falchi, C. Furlanello, L. Game, G. Jurman, et al., Repeatability of published microarray gene expression analyses, *Nature genetics* 41 (2) (2009) 149–155.
- [36] J. P. Ioannidis, M. J. Khoury, Improving validation practices in ‘omics’ research, *Science* 334 (6060) (2011) 1230–1232.
- [37] S. N. Goodman, D. Fanelli, J. P. Ioannidis, What does research reproducibility mean?, *Science translational medicine* 8 (341) (2016) 341ps12–341ps12.
- [38] M. MacMahon, D. Garlaschelli, Unbiased community detection for correlation matrices, *Physical Review X* 5 (2013) 021006.
- [39] F. D. V. Fallani, V. Latora, M. Chavez, A topological criterion for filtering information in complex brain networks, *PLoS computational biology* 13 (1) (2017) e1005305.
- [40] F. D. V. Fallani, J. Richiardi, M. Chavez, S. Achard, Graph analysis of functional brain networks: practical issues in translational neuroscience, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 369 (1653) (2014) 20130521.