

# A model of Josephson Junctions on Boson Systems - Currents and Entropy Production Rate -

Tomohiro Kanda

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University,  
744 Motoka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, JAPAN  
t-kanda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

**Abstract:** Non-equilibrium steady states (NESS), in the sense of D. Ruelle [Comm. Math. Phys. **224**, 3–16 (2001)], of Boson systems with Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) are investigated with the aid of the  $C^*$ -algebraic method. The model consists of a quantum particle and several bosonic reservoirs. We show that the mean entropy production rate is strictly positive, independent of phase differences provided that the temperatures or the chemical potentials of reservoirs are different. Moreover, Josephson currents occur without entropy production, if the temperatures and the chemical potentials of reservoirs are identical.

**Keywords:** CCR algebra, BEC, NESS, Mourre estimate, Spectrum of the adjacency operator of graphs.  
**AMS subject classification:** 82B10

# 1 Introduction

In the present paper, we study non-equilibrium steady states (NESS) of a model, which consists of a quantum particle and several bosonic reservoirs with Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) (figure 1). The reservoirs consist of free Bose particles on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or on graphs. We denote the annihilation and the creation operators of




Figure 1: Coupled model

the system (resp. the  $k$ -th reservoir) by  $a$  and  $a^\dagger$  (resp.  $a_{x,k}$  and  $a_{x,k}^\dagger$ ). These operators satisfy Canonical Commutation Relations (CCR):

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1, \quad [a_{x,k}, a_{y,l}^\dagger] = \delta_{k,l} \delta(x-y), \quad k, l = 1, \dots, N, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $N$  is the number of reservoirs. In the case of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , the Hamiltonian  $H$  of our coupled model is formally given by

$$H = H_0 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^N W_k, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  and

$$H_0 = \Omega a^\dagger a + \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dp \frac{|p|^2}{2} a_{p,k}^\dagger a_{p,k}, \quad W_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dp \{ \overline{g_k(p)} a^\dagger a_{p,k} + g_k(p) a a_{p,k}^\dagger \}. \quad (1.3)$$

When we consider the case of graphs, we replace the integral part of (1.3) by the sum over the set of vertices of graphs and  $|x|^2/2$  by the adjacency operators of graphs. Following ideas of D. Ruelle [19], we say that a state is a NESS, if it is a weak  $*$ -limit point of the net

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \omega_0 \circ \alpha_t dt \mid T > 0 \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\omega_0$  is the initial state and  $\alpha_t$  is the Heisenberg time evolution of our coupled model defined by  $\alpha_t(Q) = e^{itH} Q e^{-itH}$  for a quantum observable  $Q$ . The initial state is given by a product state of a state of a finite system and equilibrium states with the temperatures, the chemical potentials, and BEC. The mathematical studies of BEC has a long history (cf. [23]). Our line of research is based on a classical paper of J.T. Lewis and J. V. Pulè [14] and papers of F. Fidaleo et al. [7], F. Fidaleo [8], and T. Matsui [16]. We obtain explicit formulas of NESS,

currents, and the mean entropy production rate. We prove rigorously that the mean entropy production rate is strictly positive, if the temperatures or the chemical potentials of reservoirs are different and if there exists an open channel, specified in Section 4. Moreover, we show that Josephson currents occur without entropy production, if the temperatures and the chemical potentials of reservoirs are identical.

V. Jakšić, C.-A. Pillet, and their coworkers investigated various aspects of NESS (to take a few examples, [1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12]). However, the case of bosonic reservoirs with BEC was hardly studied before except works of S. Tasaki and T. Matsui [21].

In Section 2, we have an explicit formula of the coupled time evolution (Theorem 2.3). In Section 3, we define the initial state on the Weyl CCR algebra and obtain an explicit formula of NESS (Theorem 3.3). Section 4 contains our main results: explicit formulas of currents and the (strict) positivity of the mean entropy production rate (Corollary 4.1, Proposition 4.2, and Theorem 4.3). In Section 5, we give calculations of currents in the case of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , and of graphs. To verify our conditions for the adjacency operators of undirected graphs, we introduce works of M. Măntoiu et al. [15]. They studied the spectrum of the adjacency operators of undirected graphs using Mourre estimate techniques. After introduction of notations, we consider typical examples of graphs: periodic graphs and comb graphs.

## 2 Time Evolutions

In this section, we briefly recall the definition of the Weyl CCR algebras and give an explicit formula of the coupled time evolution.

### 2.1 Weyl Operators and Weyl CCR Algebras

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a subspace of a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . On the Bose–Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathfrak{h})$ , we can define the annihilation operators  $a(f)$ , and the creation operators  $a^\dagger(f)$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{h}$ . (See e.g. [3].) The operators  $a(f)$  and  $a^\dagger(f)$  are closed and satisfy the equations:

$$[a(f), a(g)] = 0 = [a^\dagger(f), a^\dagger(g)], \quad [a(f), a^\dagger(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle \mathbb{1}, \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ . The field operators  $\Psi(f)$  are defined by

$$\Psi(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{\{a(f) + a^\dagger(f)\}}^{\text{op.cl.}}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\overline{A}^{\text{op.cl.}}$  means the closure of operator  $A$ . Then the operators  $\Psi(f)$  are (unbounded) self-adjoint and satisfy CCR:

$$[\Psi(f), \Psi(g)] = \text{Im} \langle f, g \rangle \mathbb{1} := \sigma(f, g) \mathbb{1}, \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.3)$$

The Weyl operators  $W(f)$  are defined by

$$W(f) = \exp(i\Psi(f)), \quad f \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad (2.4)$$

and satisfy the following equations:

$$W(0) = \mathbb{1}, \quad W(f)^* = W(-f), \quad W(f)W(g) = e^{-i\frac{\sigma(f,g)}{2}} W(f+g), \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.5)$$

The Weyl CCR algebra  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{h})$  is the unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by unitaries  $W(f)$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Generally, the Weyl CCR algebra  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{h})$  is the unital universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by unitaries  $W(f)$ ,  $f \in \mathfrak{h}$ , which satisfy (2.5). (See e.g. [3, Theorem 5.2.8].)

### 2.2 Time Evolutions

In this subsection, we give an explicit formula of the coupled time evolutions. The model is defined on the Boson–Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{K})$  over the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} := \mathbb{C} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathfrak{R}_k \right)$  equipped with the inner product

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} c^{(1)} \\ \psi_1^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c^{(2)} \\ \psi_1^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \overline{c^{(1)}} c^{(2)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \psi_k^{(1)}, \psi_k^{(2)} \rangle_k, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $c^{(1)}, c^{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}$ , for each  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_k$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ , and  $\psi_k^{(1)}, \psi_k^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{R}_k$ . The free Hamiltonian  $H_0$  on  $\mathcal{F}_+(\mathcal{K})$  is given by  $H_0 = d\Gamma(h_0)$ , where  $d\Gamma$  is the second quantization (see e.g. [3, Section 5.2]),  $h_0$  is the positive self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{K}$  defined by

$$h_0 \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega c \\ h_{0,1}\psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{0,N}\psi_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

$\Omega > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_{0,k}$  is the positive one-particle Hamiltonian on each reservoirs,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $\psi_k$  is a vector in the domain of  $h_{0,k}$ . The Hamiltonian  $H$  of our coupled model is given by  $H = d\Gamma(h)$ , where  $h$  is the self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{K}$  defined by

$$h \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega c + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^N \langle g_k, \psi_k \rangle \\ h_{0,1}\psi_1 + \lambda c g_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{0,N}\psi_N + \lambda c g_N \end{pmatrix} =: (h_0 + \lambda V) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.8)$$

$\lambda > 0$ , and  $g_k \in \mathfrak{R}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . On the Weyl CCR algebra  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{K})$ , the map  $\alpha_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$\alpha_t(W(f)) = e^{itd\Gamma(h)} W(f) e^{-itd\Gamma(h)} = W(e^{ith} f), \quad f \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (2.9)$$

is a one-parameter group of automorphisms on  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{K})$ .

For simplicity, we denote vectors  ${}^t(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)$ ,  ${}^t(g_1, \dots, g_N)$ , and the self-adjoint operator  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^N h_{0,k}$  by  $\psi$ ,  $g$ , and  $h_{0,0}$ , respectively, where  ${}^t(\dots)$  means transposition.

To obtain an explicit formula of the coupled time evolution, we need some conditions.

(Abs) For  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , a pair  $(\psi, \xi)$  of vectors  $\psi, \xi \in \mathfrak{R}_k$  satisfy

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0} \left| \langle \psi, (v - h_{0,k} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} \xi \rangle \right| < \infty. \quad (2.10)$$

For simplicity, if vectors  $\psi_k, \xi_k \in \mathfrak{R}_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , satisfy condition (Abs), then we say that  $(\psi, \xi)$  has condition (Abs).

(A) The form factor  $g$  defined in (2.8) has condition (Abs), i.e.,  $(g, g)$  has condition (Abs).

(B) We define the function  $\eta(z)$  by

$$\eta(z) := z - \Omega - \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{z - v} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $E_0$  is the spectral measure of  $h_{0,0}$  and  $\sigma_0$  is the set of spectrum of  $h_{0,0}$ . Then  $1/\eta_+ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\eta_+(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \eta(x + i\varepsilon)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** By condition (A), there exists a constant  $C_g > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0} \left| \langle g, (v - h_{0,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right| < C_g. \quad (2.12)$$

If  $\Omega \in \sigma_0$ ,  $\lambda$  is sufficiently small, and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle}{dv} > C \quad (2.13)$$

for a.e.  $v \in [\Omega - 2\lambda^2 C_g, \Omega + 2\lambda^2 C_g]$ , then the function  $\eta$  satisfies condition (B).

We define the sets  $\mathfrak{h}_k(g_k)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(g)$  by

$$\mathfrak{h}_k(g_k) = \{ \psi \in \mathfrak{R}_k \mid (\psi, g_k) \text{ has condition (Abs)} \}, \quad \mathfrak{h}(g) = \{ {}^t(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N) \mid \psi_k \in \mathfrak{h}_k(g_k) \}. \quad (2.14)$$

For any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and any  $\psi \in \mathfrak{h}(g)$ , we put  $f = {}^t(c, \psi)$ ,

$$F(v; f) := c + \lambda \langle g, (v - h_{0,0} - i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle \left( = c + \lambda \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \langle g, (v - h_{0,0} - i\varepsilon)^{-1} \psi \rangle \right), \quad \text{a.e. } v \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\varphi_l(f) := \psi_l + \lambda \frac{F(h_{0,0}; f)}{\eta_-(h_{0,0})} g_l, \quad \varphi(f) := \psi + \lambda \frac{F(h_{0,0}; f)}{\eta_-(h_{0,0})} g.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a Hilbert space. For any  $\xi, \zeta, \psi \in \mathfrak{H}$ , we set

$$(\xi \otimes \zeta) \psi = \langle \zeta, \psi \rangle \xi, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product of  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $h_0$  and  $h$  be the operators defined in (2.7) and (2.8). Then we have

$$(z - h)^{-1} = (z - h_0)^{-1} + B(z)(z - h_0)^{-1} \quad (2.16)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{Im}z \neq 0$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) = & \lambda^2 \frac{\langle g, (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \rangle}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \frac{z - \Omega}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and the function  $\eta(z)$  is defined in (2.11).

**Proof.** By resolvent formula, we have

$$(z - h)^{-1} = (z - h_0)^{-1} + B(z)(z - h_0)^{-1}. \quad (2.18)$$

Since  $V$  is a finite rank operator,  $B(z)$  has the form of

$$B(z) = \xi_1(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \xi_2(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.19)$$

for some  $\xi_1(z), \xi_2(z) \in \mathfrak{H}$ . By multiplying the equation (2.18) by  $z - h$  from the right, we have

$$B(z) = \lambda(z - h_0)^{-1}V + \lambda B(z)(z - h_0)^{-1}V. \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.19), we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \xi_2(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} = & \lambda \begin{pmatrix} (z - \Omega)^{-1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \lambda \langle g, (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \rangle \xi_2(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda}{z - \Omega} \xi_1(z) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

and the forms of  $\xi_1(z)$  and  $\xi_2(z)$ :

$$\xi_1(z) = \lambda \frac{z - \Omega}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^2 \frac{\langle g, (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \rangle}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\xi_2(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z - h_{0,0})^{-1}g \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.23)$$

Thus, we get the equation (2.17). ■

**Theorem 2.3.** Under conditions (A) and (B), for any  $c, d \in \mathbb{C}$  and any  $\psi, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}(g)$ , which  $(\psi, \xi)$  has condition (Abs),  $e^{ith}$  has the following form:

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} d \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}, e^{ith} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = dc(t) + \langle \xi, \psi(t) \rangle, \quad (2.24)$$

where

$$c(t) = \lambda \left\langle g, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_+(h_{0,0})} \varphi(f) \right\rangle, \quad (2.25)$$

$$\langle \xi, \psi(t) \rangle = \langle \xi, e^{ith_{0,0}} \varphi(f) \rangle - \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle \xi, (h_{0,0} - v - i0)^{-1}g \rangle d \langle g, E_0(v) \varphi(f) \rangle. \quad (2.26)$$

To prove the above theorem, we will use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that conditions (A) and (B). For any  $R > \|h_{0,0}\|$  and any  $\zeta, \xi \in \mathfrak{h}(g)$ , which  $(\zeta, \xi)$  has condition (Abs), we have the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \left\{ \frac{e^{it(x-i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x-i\varepsilon)} - \frac{e^{it(x+i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x+i\varepsilon)} \right\} dx = \lambda^2 \left\langle g, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{|\eta_-(h_{0,0})|^2} g \right\rangle, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \left\{ \frac{e^{it(x-i\varepsilon)} \langle \zeta, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \xi \rangle}{\eta(x-i\varepsilon)} - \frac{e^{it(x+i\varepsilon)} \langle \zeta, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \xi \rangle}{\eta(x+i\varepsilon)} \right\} dx \\ &= \left\langle \zeta, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_+(h_{0,0})} \xi \right\rangle + \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv} \langle \zeta, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \xi \rangle}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$= \left\langle \zeta, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_-(h_{0,0})} \xi \right\rangle - \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv} \langle \zeta, (h_{0,0}-v-i0)^{-1} \xi \rangle}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle, \quad (2.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \left\{ \frac{e^{it(x-i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x-i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{e^{it(x+i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x+i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_-(v)} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \zeta \rangle d\langle \xi, E_0(v)g \rangle + \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\zeta \rangle \\ & \quad + \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{\lambda^2 e^{itv}}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

**Proof.** Since the equations (2.27), (2.28), (2.29), and (2.30) can be shown by similar calculations, we only prove (2.30). For the left hand side of the equation (2.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-R}^R \left\{ \frac{e^{it(x-i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x-i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{e^{it(x+i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x+i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{itx}}{|\eta(x-i\varepsilon)|^2} \left\{ e^{i\varepsilon} \eta(x+i\varepsilon) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{-i\varepsilon} \eta(x-i\varepsilon) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right\} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

The integrand in (2.31) has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\varepsilon} \eta(x+i\varepsilon) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \\ & \quad - e^{-i\varepsilon} \eta(x-i\varepsilon) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \\ &= e^{i\varepsilon} \eta(x+i\varepsilon) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \left( \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle - \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + e^{-i\varepsilon} \eta(x-i\varepsilon) \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \left( \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle - \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \left( e^{i\varepsilon} \eta(x+i\varepsilon) - e^{-i\varepsilon} \eta(x-i\varepsilon) \right) \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

By conditions (Abs), (A), and (B) and (2.32), the limit of (2.31) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \left\{ \frac{e^{it(x-i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x-i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}-i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{e^{it(x+i\varepsilon)}}{\eta(x+i\varepsilon)} \langle g, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (x-h_{0,0}+i\varepsilon)^{-1} g \rangle \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_-(v)} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \zeta \rangle d\langle \xi, E_0(v)g \rangle + \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\zeta \rangle \\ & \quad + \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{\lambda^2 e^{itv}}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the lemma. ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** By Cauchy's integral formula,  $e^{ith}$  has the form of

$$e^{ith} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{st}\text{-lim}_{R \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{\varepsilon,R}} \frac{e^{itz}}{z-h} dz, \quad (2.33)$$

where st-lim is the strong limit,  $R > \|h_{0,0}\|$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $C_{\varepsilon,R}$  is as follows:




Figure 2: The Contour  $C_{\varepsilon,R}$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (z-h)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda}{\eta(z)} \langle g, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \rangle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda c}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} g \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\lambda^2 \langle g, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \rangle}{\eta(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} g \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

The equation (2.34), the definition of  $\eta$ , Lemma 2.4, and conditions (A) and (B) imply the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{\varepsilon,R}} \frac{e^{itz}}{\eta(z)} dz = \lambda^2 \left\langle g, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{|\eta_-(h_{0,0})|^2} g \right\rangle, \quad (2.35)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{\varepsilon,R}} \frac{\lambda e^{itz}}{\eta(z)} \langle g, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \rangle dz = \lambda \left\langle g, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_+(h_{0,0})} \psi \right\rangle + \lambda^3 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{\varepsilon,R}} \frac{\lambda c e^{itz}}{\eta(z)} \langle \xi, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} g \rangle dz \\ &= \lambda c \left\langle \xi, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_-(h_{0,0})} g \right\rangle - \lambda^3 c \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv} \langle \xi, (h_{0,0}-v-i0)^{-1} g \rangle}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{\varepsilon,R}} \frac{\lambda^2 e^{itz}}{\eta(z)} \langle g, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \rangle \langle \xi, (z-h_{0,0})^{-1} g \rangle dz \\ &= \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_-(v)} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle d\langle \xi, E_0(v)g \rangle + \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\psi \rangle \\ &+ \lambda^4 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle \langle \xi, (v-h_{0,0}+i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} c(t) &= \lambda \left\langle g, \frac{e^{ith_{0,0}}}{\eta_+(h_{0,0})} \psi \right\rangle + \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \{c + \lambda \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle\} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle, \\ \langle \xi, \psi(t) \rangle &= \langle \xi, e^{ith_{0,0}} \psi \rangle + \lambda \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_-(v)} \{c + \lambda \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle\} d\langle \xi, E_0(v)g \rangle \\ &- \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle \xi, (h_{0,0}-v-i0)^{-1} g \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\psi \rangle \\ &- \lambda^3 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv} \langle \xi, (h_{0,0}-v-i0)^{-1} g \rangle}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \{c + \lambda \langle g, (v-h_{0,0}-i0)^{-1} \psi \rangle\} d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved the theorem. ■

### 3 NESS

In this section, we give the initial state and an explicit formula of NESS. In the present paper, we consider the case that  $h_{0,k}$  is the multiplication operator of  $|p|^2/2$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , or  $h_{0,k} = \|A_{G_k}\| \mathbb{1} - A_{G_k}$ , where

$G_k$  are undirected connected graphs with bounded degree, with countable infinite vertices, with no loops, and with no multiple edges and  $A_{G_k}$  is the adjacency operator of  $G_k$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . We recall the definition of Perron–Frobenius weights (PF weights, for short).

If  $h_{0,k}$  is the multiplication operator of  $|p|^2/2$ , then we define the PF weight  $v_k$  by  $v_k := \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the Dirac’s delta function.

Let  $G$  be an undirected connected graph with bounded degrees, with countable infinite vertices, with no loops, and with no multiple edges. We denote the set of vertices of  $G$  by  $VG$ .

Fix a positive preserving operator  $B$  acting on  $\ell^2(VG)$ . The sequence  $\{v(x)\}_{x \in VG}$  is called a PF weight if it has positive entries and

$$\sum_{y \in VG} B_{xy} v(y) = \text{spr}(B) v(x), \quad x \in VG, \quad (3.1)$$

where “spr” stands for spectral radius. If such a vector belongs to  $\ell^2(VG)$  it is a standard eigenvector for  $B$ . For the adjacency operator  $A_G$  of  $G$ , the existence of  $v$  proved in [7, Proposition 4.1]. We regard a PF weight  $v$  as a densely defined linear functional on  $\ell^2(VG)$ . We define the domain  $\mathcal{D}(v)$  of  $v$  by

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = \left\{ \psi \in \ell^2(VG) \mid \sum_{x \in VG} v(x) |\psi(x)| < \infty \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\psi(x) = \langle \delta_x, \psi \rangle$  and  $\delta_x$  is the delta function such that  $\delta_x(y) = 0$  for any  $y \neq x$  and  $\delta_x(x) = 1$ . If  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(v)$ , we denote  $\sum_{x \in VG} v(x) \psi(x)$  by  $\langle v, \psi \rangle$ . Note that PF weights for the adjacency operator of an infinite graph may not be unique. (See e.g. [7], [8], and [17].) When  $v$  is a PF weight for  $A_G$ , we say that  $v$  is a PF weight for  $\|A_G\| \mathbb{1} - A_G$ .

For an operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space, we denote the domain of  $A$  by  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . For each  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we denote the inverse temperature and the chemical potential of  $k$ -th reservoir by  $\beta_k > 0$  and  $\mu_k \leq 0$ , respectively. Let  $v_k$  be PF weights for  $h_{0,k}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . We set  $\mathcal{D}(v) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{D}(v_k)$  and  $(\psi_k) = {}^t(0, 0, \dots, 0, \psi_k, 0, \dots, 0)$  for  $\psi_k \in \mathfrak{K}_k$ , i.e.  $(\psi_k)$  means the  $k$ -th component is equal to  $\psi_k$  and the others are equal to zero. Suppose  $\psi_k \in \mathcal{D}(v_k) \cap \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k(h_{0,k} - \mu_k)} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ . We consider the initial state  $\omega_0$  of the  $k$ -th reservoir given by

$$\omega_0(W((\psi_k))) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \langle \psi_k, (N_k(h_{0,k}) + 1/2) \psi_k \rangle\right) e^{i\Theta_k(\langle v_k, \psi_k \rangle)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$N_k(x) = (e^{\beta_k(x - \mu_k)} - 1)^{-1} \quad (3.4)$$

and  $\Theta_k$  is real valued real linear functional on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Detail forms of  $\Theta_k(\langle v_k, \cdot \rangle)$  is given in Section 5. We assume that if  $\mu_k < 0$ , then  $\Theta_k \equiv 0$ . To obtain an explicit formula of NESS, we assume that the following conditions for initial states and form factors:

(C) The initial state  $\omega_0$  satisfies

$$|\omega_0(a^{\natural_1} a^{\natural_2} \dots a^{\natural_n})| \leq n! K_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $a^{\natural_j} = a({}^t(1, 0))$  or  $a^\dagger({}^t(1, 0))$  and  $K_n (> 0)$  satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n+1}/K_n = 0$ .

(D) The form factors  $g_k$  are in  $\mathcal{D}(v_k) \cap \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If the form factors  $g_k$  and vectors  $\psi_k$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ , then  $P_k e^{ith} {}^t(c, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $P_k$  is the projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $\mathfrak{K}_k$ .*

**Proof.** For simplicity, we assume that  $t > 0$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\psi_k \in \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we have that

$$e^{ith} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n i^n \int_0^t dt_n \dots \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \alpha_{t_1}^0(V) \alpha_{t_2}^0(V) \dots \alpha_{t_n}^0(V) e^{ith_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

by Dyson series expansion, where  $\alpha_t^0(V) = e^{ith_0} V e^{-ith_0}$ ,  $V$  is the operator defined in (2.8), and  $\psi = {}^t(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{t_1}^0(V) \alpha_{t_2}^0(V) \dots \alpha_{t_n}^0(V) e^{ith_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, e^{-it_1 h_0} \alpha_{t_2}^0(V) \dots \alpha_{t_n}^0(V) e^{ith_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle e^{it_1 h_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix}, e^{-it_1 h_0} \alpha_{t_2}^0(V) \dots \alpha_{t_n}^0(V) e^{ith_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle e^{it_1 h_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$



and

$$\left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} P_k \alpha_{t_1}^0(V) \alpha_{t_2}^0(V) \cdots \alpha_{t_n}^0(V) e^{i t h_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \|V\|^{n-1} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\| \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} g_k \right\|. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} P_k e^{i t h_0} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\| &\leq \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} \psi_k \right\| + \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} g_k \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\| \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda^n \|V\|^{n-1} \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} \psi_k \right\| + \frac{e^{\lambda \|V\|} - 1}{\|V\|} \left\| (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2} g_k \right\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} c \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\| < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. ■

**Remark 3.2.** Note that  $\mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2}) = \mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2})$ . (cf. Paragraphs before [8, Theorem 4.5].) In fact, we consider the continuous function

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} & x = 0 \\ (e^x - 1)^{-1} - x^{-1} & x > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (3.10)$$

The function  $q$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $(e^x - 1)^{-1} = q(x) + x^{-1}$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the following equation holds:

$$\langle \psi, (e^{\beta_k(h_{0,k} + \varepsilon)} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, q(\beta_k(h_{0,k} + \varepsilon)) \psi \rangle + \langle \psi, (\beta_k(h_{0,k} + \varepsilon))^{-1} \psi \rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

If  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ , then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle \psi, (e^{\beta_k(h_{0,k} + \varepsilon)} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi \rangle < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

By the boundedness of  $q$ ,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle \psi, (\beta_k(h_{0,k} + \varepsilon))^{-1} \psi \rangle < \infty$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2}) \subset \mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2})$ . By similar discussion, we obtain  $\mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2}) \subset \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ . As a consequence, we have that  $\mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2}) = \mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2})$ .

We define the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} z > 0\}$  and the Hardy space  $\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$  on the upper half-plane defined by

$$\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+) := \left\{ f : \text{holomorphic on } \mathbb{C}_+ \mid \|f\|_\infty := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}_+} |f(z)| < \infty \right\}. \quad (3.13)$$

We denote the Hardy space over the lower half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_-$  by  $\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_-)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Under conditions (A) ~ (D), we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_0 \circ \alpha_t(W(f)) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} S(f) + i \Lambda(f) \right\} =: \omega_+(W(f)) \quad (3.14)$$

for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , any  $\psi \in \mathfrak{f} := \mathfrak{h}(g) \cap \mathcal{D}(v) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2}) \right)$ , and  $f = {}^t(c, \psi)$ , where

$$S(f) = \sum_{l=1}^N \langle \varphi_l(f), (\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2) \varphi_l(f) \rangle, \quad \Lambda(f) = \sum_{l=1}^N \Theta_l(\langle v_l, \varphi_l(f) \rangle), \quad (3.15)$$

and  $\langle v_l, \varphi_l(f) \rangle$  is defined by

$$\langle v_l, \varphi_l(f) \rangle := \langle v_l, \psi_l \rangle + \frac{\lambda c \langle v_l, g_l \rangle}{\eta(0)} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \langle v_l, g_l \rangle \langle g, (h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi \rangle. \quad (3.16)$$

**Remark 3.4.** The above theorem implies that NESS  $\omega_+$  exists uniquely and has the form of

$$\omega_+(\Psi(f)) = \pi^{3/2} \sum_{l=1}^N \Theta_l(\langle v_l, \varphi_l(f) \rangle), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\omega_+(\Psi(f)^2) - \omega_+(\Psi(f))^2 = \sum_{l=1}^N \langle \varphi_l(f), (\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2) \varphi_l(f) \rangle. \quad (3.18)$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** For a vector  $f \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote the scalar part and  $\mathfrak{R}_k$ -part of  $f$  by  $f_0$  and  $f_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , respectively. By (3.3), for  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , and  $f = {}^t(c, \psi)$ , we have that

$$\omega_0 \circ \alpha_t(W(f)) = \omega_0(W((e^{ith} f)_0)) \prod_{k=1}^N \omega_0(W((e^{ith} f)_k)). \quad (3.19)$$

First, we consider the limit of  $\omega_0(W((e^{ith} f)_0))$ . Condition (C) and Theorem 2.3 imply

$$\left| \omega_0(\{\Phi((e^{ith} f)_0)\}^m) \right| \leq m!(2|c(t)|)^m K_m. \quad (3.20)$$

Since  $1/\eta_- \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\eta_+(v)} \frac{d\langle g, E_0(v)\psi \rangle}{dv}, \quad \frac{F(v; f)}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \frac{d\langle g, E_0(v)g \rangle}{dv} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.21)$$

Thus,  $c(t)$  is bounded independent of  $t$ . A theorem of Riemann–Lebesgue (see e.g. [22]) implies  $c(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega_0(\exp(i\Phi((e^{ith} f)_0))) - 1 \right| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left| \omega_0(\{\Phi((e^{ith} f)_0)\}^m) \right| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2|c(t)|)^m K_m \\ &= \frac{|c(t)|}{C} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2C)^m K_m \rightarrow 0, \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where  $C := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |c(t)|$ .

Next, we consider the quadratic part of  $\log \omega_0(W((e^{ith} f)_l))$ . For  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ , we put

$$\psi_{\varepsilon, l}(t) := e^{ith_0, l} \varphi_l(f) - \lambda^2 \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} (h_{0, l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle, \quad (3.23)$$

where the convergence of vector valued integral of (3.23) is in the strong operator topology. Note that  $(h_{0, l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1}$  is bounded. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi_{\varepsilon, l}(t), \{\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0, l}) + 1/2\} \psi_{\varepsilon, l}(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_l(f), \{\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0, l}) + 1/2\} \varphi_l(f) \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle e^{ith_0, l} \varphi_l(f), \{\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0, l}) + 1/2\} (h_{0, l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \right\} \\ &\quad + \lambda^4 \int_{\sigma_0} \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \langle (h_{0, l} - v' - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l, \{\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0, l}) + 1/2\} (h_{0, l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The second term on the right side in (3.24) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{itv}}{\eta_+(v)} \langle e^{ith_0, l} \varphi_l(f), \{\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0, l}) + 1/2\} (h_{0, l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{\eta_+(v)} \int_{\sigma_1} \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{v' - v - i\varepsilon} \{\mathcal{N}_l(v') + 1/2\} d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \\ &= ie^{t\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{\eta_+(v)} \int_{\sigma_1} \int_0^\infty e^{i(t+s)(v-v'+i\varepsilon)} ds \{\mathcal{N}_l(v') + 1/2\} d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \\ &= ie^{t\varepsilon} \int_t^\infty e^{-s\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{e^{isv}}{\eta_+(v)} d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \int_{\sigma_1} e^{-isv'} \{\mathcal{N}_l(v') + 1/2\} d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Since  $g, \psi \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{D}((e^{\beta_k h_{0, k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1/2})$ , we have that  $g, \psi \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{D}((h_{0, k})^{-1/2})$  by Remark 3.2. Thus, we obtain  $\varphi_l(f) \in \mathcal{D}((h_{0, l})^{-1/2})$  for any  $l = 1, \dots, N$  and

$$\mathcal{N}_l(v') \frac{d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle}{dv'} = v' \mathcal{N}_l(v') \frac{d\langle (h_{0, l})^{-1/2} \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')(h_{0, l})^{-1/2} g_l \rangle}{dv'}. \quad (3.26)$$

The above equation (3.26) and conditions (A), (B), and (D) imply that the following functions

$$\frac{1}{\eta_+(v)} \frac{d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle}{dv}, \quad \{\mathcal{N}_l(v') + 1/2\} \frac{d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle}{dv'} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.27)$$

Thus, there exist functions  $w_1, w_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^\infty e^{-s\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{isy}}{\eta_+(v)} d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \int_{\sigma_t}^\infty e^{-isy'} \{N_l(v') + 1/2\} d\langle \varphi_l(f), E_l(v')g_l \rangle ds \\ &= \int_t^\infty e^{-s\varepsilon} \overline{w_1(s)} w_2(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

by Plancherel theorem. The integral (3.28) is absolutely convergent independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $t$ .

We consider the third term on the right side in (3.24). Since  $N_l(x) + 1/2$  is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}, d\langle g_l, E_l(x)g_l \rangle)$ , the third term on the right side in (3.24) has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^4 \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \langle (h_{0,l} - v' - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l, \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} (h_{0,l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle \\ &= \lambda^4 \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \int_{\sigma_t}^\infty \frac{N_l(x + \delta) + 1/2}{(x - v' + i\varepsilon)(x - v - i\varepsilon)} d\langle g_l, E_l(x)g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

We define the holomorphic function  $u_\delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}_+$  by

$$u_\delta(z) (= u_\delta(x + iy)) := \frac{1}{\pi} \{N_l(z + \delta) + 1/2\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y}{(x-w)^2 + y^2} \frac{d\langle g_l, E_l(w)g_l \rangle}{dw} dw. \quad (3.30)$$

Note that the support of  $d\langle g_l, E_l(x)g_l \rangle/dx$  is contained in  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $d\langle g_l, E_0(w)g_l \rangle/dw$  is in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by condition (A), and  $N_l(z + \delta)$  is analytic and bounded in  $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}z > 0, -\delta' < \text{Re}z < \infty\}$ , where  $0 < \delta' < \delta$ . Thus, for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$  with  $\text{Re}z < 0$ ,  $u_\delta(z) = 0$ ,  $u_\delta \in \mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , and  $u_\delta(x + iy)$  converges to  $\{N_l(x + \delta) + 1/2\} d\langle g_l, E_0(x)g_l \rangle/dx$  as  $y \searrow 0$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by [11, Theorem 3.13.]. For any  $R > \|h_{0,0}\|$ , we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\sigma_t}^\infty \frac{N_l(x + \delta) + 1/2}{(x - v' + i\varepsilon)(x - v - i\varepsilon)} d\langle g_l, E_l(x)g_l \rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \delta' \searrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \frac{u_\delta(x + \delta')}{(x - v' + i\varepsilon)(x - v - i\varepsilon)} dx \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, \delta' \searrow 0} \int_{\gamma_{R,\delta'}} \frac{u_\delta(z + \delta')}{(z - v' + i\varepsilon)(z - v - i\varepsilon)} dz = 2\pi i \frac{u_\delta(v + i\varepsilon)}{v - v' + 2i\varepsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

where  $\gamma_{R,\delta'}$  is the contour from  $[-\delta', R]$  through  $[R, R + iR]$  and  $[R + iR, -\delta' + iR]$  to  $[-\delta + iR, -\delta']$ . By (3.27), (3.29), and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ , the last term on the right side in (3.24) has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^4 \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \langle (h_{0,l} - v' - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l, (N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2)(h_{0,l} - v - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_l \rangle d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle \\ &= 2\pi i \lambda^4 \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{it(v-v')}}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \frac{u_\delta(v + i\varepsilon)}{v - v' + 2i\varepsilon} d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle \\ &= 2\pi \lambda^4 e^{2i\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{u(v + i\varepsilon)}{\eta_-(v')\eta_+(v)} \int_t^\infty e^{is(v-v'+2i\varepsilon)} ds d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle \\ &= 2\pi \lambda^4 e^{2i\varepsilon} \int_t^\infty e^{-2s\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{isy} u(v + i\varepsilon)}{\eta_+(v)} d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{-isy'}}{\eta_-(v')} d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where  $u(v + i\varepsilon) = \lim_{\delta \searrow 0} u_\delta(v + i\varepsilon)$ . By (3.27), there exist functions  $w_3, w_4 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^\infty e^{-2s\varepsilon} \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{isy} u(v + i\varepsilon)}{\eta_+(v)} d\langle g, E_0(v)\varphi(f) \rangle \int_{\sigma_0}^\infty \frac{e^{-isy'}}{\eta_-(v')} d\langle \varphi(f), E_0(v')g \rangle ds \\ &= \int_t^\infty e^{-2s\varepsilon} \overline{w_3(s)} w_4(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

by Plancherel theorem. The integral (3.33) is absolutely convergent independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $t$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \psi_l(t), \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} \psi_l(t) \rangle = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \langle \psi_{\varepsilon,l}(t), \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} \psi_{\varepsilon,l}(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_l(f), \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} \varphi_l(f) \rangle - \lambda^2 \text{Re} \left\{ i \int_t^\infty \overline{w_1(s)} w_2(s) ds \right\} + 2\pi \lambda^4 \int_t^\infty \overline{w_3(s)} w_4(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \psi_l(t), \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} \psi_l(t) \rangle = \langle \varphi_l(f), \{N_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2\} \varphi_l(f) \rangle. \quad (3.35)$$

Finally, we discuss the term  $\Theta_l(\langle v_l, (e^{ith}f)_l \rangle)$  in (3.3). If  $h_{0,k}$  are the multiplication operator of  $|p|^2/2$ , then we obtain the statement by [21, Theorem 3.1]. Thus, we consider the case that  $h_{0,k}$  are the adjacency operators of graphs. For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_k$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}_k := P_k \mathfrak{k}$ , we obtain

$$\left| \langle \delta_x, (z - h_{0,k})^{-1} \xi \rangle \right| = \left| \int_{\sigma_k} (z - \nu)^{-1} d\langle \delta_x, E_k(\nu) \xi \rangle \right| \leq \sup_{\nu \in \sigma_k} |z - \nu|^{-1} \int_{\sigma_k} d|\langle \delta_x, E_0(\nu) \xi \rangle| = \sup_{\nu \in \sigma_k} |z - \nu|^{-1} |\langle \delta_x, \xi \rangle|. \quad (3.36)$$

Since  $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}_k \subset \mathcal{D}(v_k)$ ,  $(z - h_{0,k})^{-1} \xi \in \mathcal{D}(v_k)$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_k$ . It follows that

$$\langle v_k, (z - h_{0,k})^{-1} \xi \rangle = z^{-1} \langle v_k, (z - h_{0,k})(z - h_{0,k})^{-1} \xi \rangle = z^{-1} \langle v_k, \xi \rangle. \quad (3.37)$$

By condition (D), the above discussion, and a theorem of Riemann–Lebesgue, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_l(e^{ith}f) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \Theta_l \left( \frac{\langle v, \psi_l \rangle}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow 0, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{R,\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{itz}}{z} dz \right) + \Theta_l \left( \frac{\lambda c \langle v_l, g_l \rangle}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow 0, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{R,\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{itz}}{\eta(z)z} dz \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Theta_l \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \langle v_l, g_l \rangle}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \nearrow 0, \varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{C_{R,\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{itz} \langle g, (z - h_{0,0})^{-1} \psi_l \rangle}{\eta(z)z} dz \right) \right] = \Theta_l(\langle v_l, \varphi_l(f) \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 3.3. ■

## 4 Currents and Entropy Production Rate

We set  $(c) = {}^t(c, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Following ideas of W. Aschbacher et al. [1] and [2], V. Jakšić et al. [9], for any  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , currents  $J_l$  and  $E_l$  from  $l$ -th reservoir to the system is formally defined by

$$J_l = i\lambda a((1))a^\dagger((g_l)) - i\lambda a((g_l))a^\dagger((1)), \quad (4.1)$$

$$E_l = i\lambda a((1))a^\dagger((h_{0,l}g_l)) - i\lambda a((h_{0,l}g_l))a^\dagger((1)), \quad (4.2)$$

which are given by the following formal equations:

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \tau_t(d\Gamma(P_0)) \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{l=1}^N J_l, \quad -\frac{d}{dt} \tau_t(d\Gamma(P_0 h_0)) \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{l=1}^N E_l, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $P_0$  is the projection from  $\mathcal{K}$  onto  $0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{l=1}^n \mathfrak{R}_l)$ . Moreover, we define the mean entropy production rate by

$$Ep(\omega_+) = \omega_+(\sigma), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\sigma = - \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k (E_k - \mu_k J_k). \quad (4.5)$$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Currents at NESS are given by the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_+(J_l) &= 2\pi\lambda^4 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(\nu)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(\nu) - \mathcal{N}_k(\nu)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(\nu)g_k \rangle}{d\nu} d\langle g_l, E_l(\nu)g_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \sum_{k=1}^N \{ \Theta_k(\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(i\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) - \Theta_k(i\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\omega_+(E_l) = 2\pi\lambda^4 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{\nu}{|\eta_-(\nu)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(\nu) - \mathcal{N}_k(\nu)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(\nu)g_k \rangle}{d\nu} d\langle g_l, E_l(\nu)g_l \rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

**Proof.** For any  $l = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\xi_l \in \mathfrak{k}_l$ , we have

$$a((c))a^\dagger((\xi_l)) - a^\dagger((c))a((\xi_l)) = i\Psi((ic))\Psi((\xi_l)) - i\Psi((c))\Psi((i\xi_l)). \quad (4.8)$$

Since  $[\Psi((c)), \Psi((\xi_l))] = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\omega_+(\Psi((c))\Psi((\xi_l))) = \omega_+(\{\Psi((c) + (\xi_l))\}^2) - \omega_+(\{\Psi((c) - (\xi_l))\}^2) \\ & = \omega_+(\{\Psi((c) + (\xi_l))\}^2) - \omega_+(\Psi((c) + (\xi_l)))^2 - \omega_+(\{\Psi((c) - (\xi_l))\}^2) + \omega_+(\Psi((c) - (\xi_l)))^2 \\ & \quad + 4\omega_+(\Psi((c)))\omega_+(\Psi((\xi_l))). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

By Theorem 3.3 and (4.9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_+(\Psi((1))\Psi((i\xi_l))) - \omega_+(\Psi((i))\Psi((\xi_l))) \\ & = 2\lambda \text{Im}\langle \xi_l, \{(\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2)/\eta_-(h_{0,l})\}g_l \rangle + 2\lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \text{Im}\left\langle F(h_{0,k}, (\xi_l))g_k, \left\{(\mathcal{N}_k(h_{0,k}) + 1/2)/|\eta_-(h_{0,k})|^2\right\}g_k \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \pi^3 \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k(\langle v_k, \varphi_k((1)) \rangle) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k(\langle v_k, \varphi_k((i\xi_l)) \rangle) \right) - \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k(\langle v_k, \varphi_k((i)) \rangle) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k(\langle v_k, \varphi_k((\xi_l)) \rangle) \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

by linearity of  $\varphi_k(f)$  in  $f$ . Note that the element  $\xi_l$  is equal to  $g_l$  or  $h_{0,l}g_l$ . Thus the first term of (4.10) has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}\langle \xi_l, \{(\mathcal{N}_l(h_{0,l}) + 1/2)/\eta_-(h_{0,l})\}g_l \rangle \\ & = \lambda^2 \pi \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \left( \mathcal{N}_l(v) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle \xi_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

If  $\xi_l = g_l$  or  $h_{0,l}g_l$ , then

$$\text{Im}(F(v, (\xi_l))) = \lambda \pi \frac{d\langle g_l, E_l(v)\xi_l \rangle}{dv} = \lambda \pi \frac{d\langle \xi_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle}{dv} \quad (4.12)$$

for Lebesgue a.e.  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . The second term of (4.10) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}\left\langle F(h_{0,k}, (\xi_l))g_k, \left\{(\mathcal{N}_k(h_{0,k}) + 1/2)/|\eta_-(h_{0,k})|^2\right\}g_k \right\rangle \\ & = -\lambda \pi \int_{\sigma_k} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \frac{d\langle g_l, E_l(v)\xi_l \rangle}{dv} \left( \mathcal{N}_k(v) + \frac{1}{2} \right) d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

By equation (3.16), we have that

$$\langle v_k, \varphi((1)) \rangle = \frac{\lambda \langle v_k, g_k \rangle}{\eta(0)}, \quad \langle v_k, \varphi((\xi_l)) \rangle = \delta_{k,l} \langle v_l, \xi_l \rangle + \frac{\lambda^2 \langle v_k, g_k \rangle \langle g_l, (h_{0,l})^{-1} \xi_l \rangle}{\eta(0)}. \quad (4.14)$$

Since  $g_k \in \mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2})$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\eta(0)$  is finite and real valued. By the equations (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), and (4.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_+(\Psi((1))\Psi((i\xi_l))) - \omega_+(\Psi((i))\Psi((\xi_l))) \\ & = 2\pi\lambda^3 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(v) - \mathcal{N}_k(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle \xi_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle \\ & \quad + \pi^3 \left[ \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k \left( \frac{\lambda \langle v_k, g_k \rangle}{\eta(0)} \right) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k \left( \delta_{k,l} \langle v_l, \xi_l \rangle + \frac{\lambda^2 \langle v_k, g_k \rangle \langle g_l, (h_{0,l})^{-1} \xi_l \rangle}{\eta(0)} \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k \left( \frac{\lambda \langle v_k, g_k \rangle}{\eta(0)} \right) \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \Theta_k \left( \delta_{k,l} \langle v_l, \xi_l \rangle + \frac{\lambda^2 \langle v_k, g_k \rangle \langle g_l, (h_{0,l})^{-1} \xi_l \rangle}{\eta(0)} \right) \right\} \right] \\ & = 2\pi\lambda^3 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(v) - \mathcal{N}_k(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle \xi_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^3 \lambda}{\eta(0)} \sum_{k=1}^N \{ \Theta_k(\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(i \langle v_l, \xi_l \rangle) - \Theta_k(i \langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(\langle v_l, \xi_l \rangle) \} \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^3}{\eta(0)^2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \{ \Theta_j(\langle v_j, g_j \rangle) \Theta_k(i \langle v_k, g_k \rangle) - \Theta_j(i \langle v_j, g_j \rangle) \Theta_k(\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \} \langle g_l, (h_{0,l})^{-1} \xi_l \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

since  $\xi_l = g_l$  or  $h_{0,l}g_l$  and  $\langle g_l, (h_{0,l})^{-1}\xi_l \rangle$  is real valued. If  $\xi_l = g_l$  (resp.  $\xi_l = h_{0,l}g_l$ ), then we have the equation (4.6) (resp. (4.7)). ■

For each  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , we define Josephson currents at NESS by

$$\text{Jos}_l(\omega_+) = \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \sum_{k=1}^N \{ \Theta_k(\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(i\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) - \Theta_k(i\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) \}. \quad (4.16)$$

By using Corollary 4.1, we get an explicit formula of the mean entropy production rate.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The mean entropy production rate  $Ep(\omega_+)$  has the form of*

$$Ep(\omega_+) = \sum_{k,l=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{\lambda^4 \pi}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \{ \beta_l(v - \mu_l) - \beta_k(v - \mu_k) \} (\mathcal{N}_k(v) - \mathcal{N}_l(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle. \quad (4.17)$$

**Proof.** By Corollary 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{l=1}^N \beta_l(\omega_+(E_l) - \mu_l \omega_+(J_l)) \\ &= 2\lambda^4 \pi \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\beta_l v - \beta_l \mu_l) (\mathcal{N}_k(v) - \mathcal{N}_l(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)\xi_l \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \sum_{l=1}^N \beta_l \mu_l \sum_{k=1}^N \{ \Theta_k(\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(i\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) - \Theta_k(i\langle v_k, g_k \rangle) \Theta_l(\langle v_l, g_l \rangle) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

If  $\mu_l \neq 0$ , then  $\Theta_l \equiv 0$  and if  $\Theta_l \neq 0$ , then  $\mu_l = 0$ . Thus, the last term of (4.18) is equal to zero. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{l=1}^N (\beta_l \omega_+(E_l) - \beta_l \mu_l \omega_+(J_l)) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{\lambda^4 \pi}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\beta_l v - \beta_l \mu_l - \beta_k v + \beta_k \mu_k) (\mathcal{N}_k(v) - \mathcal{N}_l(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)\xi_l \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Thus, we have done. ■

By the above proposition, the mean entropy production rate is independent of phase terms. Thus, Josephson currents  $\text{Jos}_l(\omega_+)$  may occur without entropy production, if the temperatures and the chemical potentials of reservoirs are identical.

For any  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , the function

$$\frac{\lambda^4 \pi}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} \frac{d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle}{dv} \quad (4.20)$$

corresponds to the ‘‘total transmission probability’’ ([2], [24], and [25]). As in [2], we say that the channel  $k \rightarrow l$  is open if the set

$$\left\{ v \in \sigma_k \cap \sigma_l \mid \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} \frac{d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle}{dv} > 0 \right\} \quad (4.21)$$

has a positive Lebesgue measure. If  $\beta_k \neq \beta_l$  or  $\mu_k \neq \mu_l$ , then the function

$$\{ \beta_l(v - \mu_l) - \beta_k(v - \mu_k) \} (\mathcal{N}_k(v) - \mathcal{N}_l(v)) \quad (4.22)$$

is strictly positive for any finite interval. Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If there exists an open channel  $k \rightarrow l$  such that either  $\beta_k \neq \beta_l$  or  $\mu_k \neq \mu_l$  for some  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , then  $Ep(\omega_+) > 0$ .*

## 5 Examples

In this section, we give calculations of currents on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and graphs.

## 5.1 $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , $d \geq 3$ , case

In this subsection, we put  $\mathfrak{R}_k = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . The case that a model consists of a quantum particle and two reservoirs is calculated in [20] and [21]. We consider the case of the number of reservoirs more than two and the case of phase terms different from [21]. The Hamiltonians  $h_{0,k}$  are Fourier transform of positive Laplacian on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e. the multiplication operator of  $|p|^2/2$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $g_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $g_k$  are continuous with respect to  $|x|$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , then  $g_k$  satisfies condition (A) and we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \text{Im} \langle g_k, (v - h_{0,k} - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_k \rangle = \begin{cases} C(d)v^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |g(\sqrt{2v})|^2 & (v \geq 0) \\ 0 & (v < 0) \end{cases}, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $C(d)$  is the dimension dependent constant. Thus, we can find  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\Omega > 0$  such that the function  $\eta(z)$ , defined in (2.11), satisfies condition (B). We fix such  $g$ ,  $\lambda$ , and  $\Omega$ .

Since the form factors  $g_k$  are in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there are compact sets  $K_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\text{supp} g_k \subset K_k$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  be the Hilbert spaces defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^N L^2(K_k) \right), \quad \mathcal{K}_2 = 0 \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^N L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K_k) \right). \quad (5.2)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$ ,  $h \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_2} = h_0 \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ ,  $h\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_1$ , and  $h\mathcal{K}_2 \subset \mathcal{K}_2$ . As a consequence, we have that

$$e^{ith} = e^{ith} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_1} \oplus e^{ith_0} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_2} \quad (5.3)$$

on  $\mathcal{K}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}_2$ . Since  $h \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_1}$  and  $h_0 \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_1}$  are bounded, we can use Lemma 2.4 and obtain an explicit formula for  $e^{ith} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ .

For any  $\psi, \xi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have that  $(\psi, \xi)$ ,  $(\psi, g)$ , and  $(\xi, g)$  satisfy condition (Abs) by using Mourre estimate techniques. (See e.g. [24] and [25].) Since  $d \geq 3$ ,  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{D}((h_{0,k})^{-1/2})$ . The PF weight for  $|x|^2/2$  is the delta function  $\delta(x)$ . We put  $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^N C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we set  $\mu_k = 0$  and

$$\Theta_k^{(1)}(\alpha) = e^{i\tau_k} D_k^{1/2} \alpha + e^{-i\tau_k} D_k^{1/2} \bar{\alpha}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\Theta_k^{(2)}(\alpha) = s_{1,k} D_k^{1/2} \text{Re} \alpha + s_{2,k} D_k^{1/2} \text{Im} \alpha, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\tau_k \in [0, 2\pi)$ ,  $D_k > 0$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the complex conjugate for  $\alpha$ . The terms  $\Theta_k^{(1)}$  and  $\Theta_k^{(2)}$  appear in a component of a factor decomposition of quasi-free states with BEC. (See [3, Section 5.2.5], [16, (1.18)], and [13, Theorem 4.5].) For  $\psi_k \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define the initial states  $\omega_0^{(1)}$  and  $\omega_0^{(2)}$  by

$$\omega_0^{(1)}(W((\psi_k))) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \langle \psi_k, (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi_k \rangle + i\Theta_k^{(1)}(\psi_k(0)) \right\}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\omega_0^{(2)}(W((\psi_k))) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \langle \psi_k, (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi_k \rangle + i\Theta_k^{(2)}(\psi_k(0)) \right\}. \quad (5.7)$$

We assume that  $\omega_0^{(1)}$  and  $\omega_0^{(2)}$  satisfy condition (C). Since the vectors  $g, \psi \in \mathfrak{h}$  satisfy conditions (Abs), (A), (B), and (D), there are  $\omega_+^{(1)}$  and  $\omega_+^{(2)}$  and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_+^{(1)}(J_l) &= 2\pi\lambda^4 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(v) - \mathcal{N}_k(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{4\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} D_l^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^N D_k^{1/2} \text{Im} \left( e^{i(\tau_k - \tau_l)} g_k(0) \overline{g_l(0)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_+^{(2)}(J_l) &= 2\pi\lambda^4 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(v) - \mathcal{N}_k(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} D_l^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^N D_k^{1/2} \left\{ (s_{1,k} s_{1,l} + s_{2,k} s_{2,l}) \text{Im} \left( g_k(0) \overline{g_l(0)} \right) + (s_{1,k} s_{2,l} - s_{1,l} s_{2,k}) \text{Re} \left( g_k(0) \overline{g_l(0)} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

## 5.2 Graphs

In this subsection, we give calculations of currents on periodic graphs and comb graphs. To verify our conditions for the adjacency operators of undirected graphs, we apply results of M. Măntoiu et al. [15].

Let  $G = (VG, EG)$  be an undirected graph, where  $VG$  is the set of vertices in  $G$  and  $EG$  is the set of edges in  $G$ . Two vertices  $x, y \in VG$  are said to be adjacent, if there exists an edge  $(x, y) \in EG$  joining  $x$  and  $y$ , and we write  $x \sim y$ . For any  $x \in VG$ , we denote the degree of vertex  $x \in VG$  by  $\deg_G(x)$ , i.e.

$$\deg_G(x) = \#\{y \in VG \mid (x, y) \in EG\}, \quad (5.10)$$

and

$$\deg_G := \sup_{x \in VG} \deg_G(x). \quad (5.11)$$

In this paper, we assume that  $G$  is connected with no loops and with no multiple edges,  $VG$  is a countable set, and  $\deg_G < \infty$ . Let  $\ell^2(VG)$  be the set of square summable sequence labeled by the vertices in  $VG$ . Let  $A_G$  be the adjacency operator of  $G$  defined by

$$\langle \delta_x, A_G \delta_y \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) \in EG \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \notin EG \end{cases}. \quad (5.12)$$

### 5.2.1 Adapted Graphs

We recall the definition of adapted graphs introduced in [15]. For any  $x \in VG$ , we denote the set of neighbors of  $x$  by  $N_G(x)$ , i.e.  $N_G(x) := \{y \in VG \mid (x, y) \in EG\}$ .

**Definition 5.1.** ([15, Definition 3.1.]) *A function  $\Phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is adapted to the graph  $G$  if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exists  $c \geq 0$  such that  $|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq c$  for any  $x, y \in VG$  with  $(x, y) \in EG$ .*
- (ii) *For any  $x, y \in VG$ , one has*

$$\sum_{z \in N(x) \cap N(y)} \{2\Phi(z) - \Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

- (iii) *For any  $x, y \in VG$ , one has*

$$\sum_{z \in N(x) \cap N(y)} \{\Phi(z) - \Phi(x)\} \{\Phi(z) - \Phi(y)\} \{2\Phi(z) - \Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\} = 0. \quad (5.14)$$

A pair  $(G, \Phi)$  is said to be adapted if  $\Phi$  is adapted to  $G$ .

Let  $(G, \Phi)$  be an adapted graph. We define the unbounded multiplication operator  $\Phi$  on  $\ell^2(VG)$  by  $(\Phi f)(x) = \Phi(x)f(x)$ ,  $x \in VG$ , where  $f \in \ell^2(VG)$  with  $\sum_{x \in VG} \Phi(x)^2 |f(x)|^2 < \infty$ . We denote the domain of  $\Phi$  by  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ . We define the operator  $K$  on  $\ell^2(VG)$  by

$$(K\xi)(x) := i \sum_{y \in N(x)} \{\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)\} \xi(y), \quad \xi \in \ell^2(VG), \quad x \in VG. \quad (5.15)$$

The operator  $K$  is self-adjoint and bounded by condition (i) in Definition 5.1. Note that  $K$  and  $A_G$  are commute. Since  $K$  is self-adjoint, we have that the orthogonal decomposition of  $\ell^2(VG)$  by

$$\ell^2(VG) = \ker K \oplus \overline{\text{ran}K}, \quad (5.16)$$

where  $\text{ran}K$  is the range of  $K$ . We denote the restriction of  $A_G$  onto  $\overline{\text{ran}K}$  by  $A_{G,0}$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** [15, Theorem 3.3] *Let  $(G, \Phi)$  be an adapted graph.*

- (i) *For any  $\xi \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , there exists a constant  $c_\xi > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0} \left| \left\langle \xi, (\mu - A_{G,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} \xi \right\rangle \right| \leq c_\xi. \quad (5.17)$$

- (ii) *The operator  $A_{G,0}$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum.*



### 5.2.2 Radon–Nikodym Derivative for the Spectral Measure of the Adjacency Operators

In this subsection, we collect facts of the Radon–Nikodym derivative of the adjacency operator of an adapted graph  $(G, \Phi)$ . We use the same notation in the above subsection. By Theorem 5.2, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $(G, \Phi)$  be an adapted graph. Then for any  $\xi, \zeta \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , there exists  $c_{\xi, \zeta} > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0} \left| \langle \xi, (\mu - A_{G,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \right| < c_{\xi, \zeta}. \quad (5.18)$$

**Proof.** By polarization identity, we have that

$$4 \langle \xi, (\mu - A_{G,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^3 (-i)^k \langle (\xi + i^k \zeta), (\mu - A_{G,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} (\xi + i^k \zeta) \rangle. \quad (5.19)$$

Since  $\xi + i^k \zeta \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , we obtain the statement by Theorem 5.2. ■

By the above lemma, for any  $\zeta, \xi \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , the function  $\langle \xi, (z - A_{G,0})^{-1} \zeta \rangle$  is in  $\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $\xi, \zeta \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , the function  $f_{\xi, \zeta}(z) := \langle \xi, (z - A_{G,0})^{-1} \zeta \rangle$  is in  $\mathbb{H}^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ . Moreover, for Lebesgue a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the limit*

$$f_{\xi, \zeta}^+(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} f_{\xi, \zeta}(x + i\varepsilon) \quad (5.20)$$

exists and  $f_{\xi, \zeta}^+ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $A_{G,0}$  is self-adjoint,  $f_{\xi, \zeta}$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C}_+$ . By [11, Theorem 3.13] and Lemma 5.3, we have the statement. ■

For any  $\xi, \zeta \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , there exists the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$ , where  $E$  is the spectral measure of  $A_{G,0}$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *For any  $\xi, \zeta \in \text{ran}K \cap \mathcal{D}(\Phi)$ , Radon–Nikodym derivative  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$  exists and is in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Moreover,  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$  is given by*

$$\frac{d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle}{dv} = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \langle \xi, (v - A_{G,0} - i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle - \langle \xi, (v - A_{G,0} + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \right\} \quad (5.21)$$

for Lebesgue a.e.  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ . The support of the function  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$  is contained in the set of the spectrum of  $A_{G,0}$ .

**Proof.** Since the measure  $d\langle \xi, E(\lambda)\zeta \rangle$  is a finite complex measure and absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, by Radon–Nikodym theorem (see e.g. [18, 6. 10]), the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$  is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that for Lebesgue a.e.  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\frac{d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle}{dv} = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left\{ \langle \xi, (v - A_{G,0} - i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle - \langle \xi, (v - A_{G,0} + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \zeta \rangle \right\} \quad (5.22)$$

by [11, Theorem 4.15] and polarization identity. Since  $\langle \xi, (v - A_{G,0} \pm i0)^{-1} \zeta \rangle$  is in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by Lemma 5.4,  $d\langle \xi, E(v)\zeta \rangle/dv$  is in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . If  $v \notin [-\|A_G\|, \|A_G\|]$ , i.e.  $v$  is in the resolvent set of  $A_{G,0}$ , then  $(v - A_{G,0})^{-1}$  is bounded, and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (v - A_{G,0} \pm i\varepsilon)^{-1} = (v - A_{G,0})^{-1} \quad (5.23)$$

in norm sense. Thus, we have the statement. ■

### 5.2.3 $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , $d \geq 3$ , case

In this subsection, we consider  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , as graphs. We note that  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  has an adapted function  $\Phi$  defined by

$$\Phi((x_1, \dots, x_d)) = \sum_{k=1}^d x_k, \quad (5.24)$$

where  $x_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the operator  $K$  defined in (5.15) has the form of

$$K\delta_x = i \sum_{k=1}^d (\delta_{x-e_k} - \delta_{x+e_k}), \quad (5.25)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $e_k$  is the element of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and the  $k$ -th component of  $e_k$  is equal to 1 and otherwise equal to 0. We put  $\mathfrak{R}_k = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $h_{0,k} = \|A_{\mathbb{Z}^d}\| \mathbb{1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ , and

$$\mathfrak{h}_k := \text{span} \{ e^{it h_{0,k}} \delta_x \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \} \quad (5.26)$$

for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . For example, we set

$$g_k = K \delta_{x_k} = i \sum_{j=1}^d (\delta_{x_k - e_j} - \delta_{x_k + e_j}) \quad (5.27)$$

for some  $x_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . By Theorem 5.2,  $g_k$  satisfies condition (A). Using the Fourier transformation, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \text{Im} \langle g_k, (\nu - h_{0,k} - i\varepsilon)^{-1} g_k \rangle = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \delta(\nu - \sum_{j=1}^d \sin^2(\theta_j/2)) \left| \sum_{j=1}^d \sin \theta_j \right|^2 d\theta. \quad (5.28)$$

We put  $\lambda^2 > 0$  such that  $\lambda^2 C_g \ll 1$  and there exists  $\Omega \in (0, \|h_{0,0}\|)$  such that the right hand side of (5.28) has some strictly positive lower bound for any  $\nu \in [\Omega - \lambda^2 C_g, \Omega + \lambda^2 C_g]$ . Thus, condition (B) are satisfied. Since  $h_{0,k}$  are transient, i.e.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \delta_x, (h_{0,k})^{-1} \delta_x \rangle < \infty, \quad (5.29)$$

by  $d \geq 3$ , the form factor  $g$  satisfies condition (D). Note that  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^N (\mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran} K) \subset \mathfrak{h}(g)$  by Lemma 5.3, where  $\mathfrak{h}(g)$  is the set defined in (2.14). For an initial state  $\omega_0$  with condition (C) and with the form of (3.3), there exists NESS  $\omega_+$  which is a state on  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f}, \sigma)$ , where  $\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^N (\mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran} K) \right)$ . If the PF weight  $\nu$  is defined by  $\nu(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then  $\langle \nu_k, g_k \rangle = 0$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus,  $\text{Jos}_l(\omega_+) = 0$  for any  $l = 1, \dots, N$ .

#### 5.2.4 Regular Admissible Graphs

A graph  $G$  is called regular, if for any  $x, y \in VG$ ,  $\deg_G(x) = \deg_G(y)$ . Recall the definition of admissible graphs (cf. [15]). In this subsection, we assume that  $G$  is deduced from a directed graph, i.e., some relation  $<$  is given on  $G$  such that, for any  $x, y \in VG$ ,  $x \sim y$  is equivalent to  $x < y$  or  $y < x$ , and one can not both  $y < x$  and  $x < y$ . We also write  $y > x$  for  $x < y$ . Then for any  $x \in VG$ , the neighbor of  $x$ ,  $N_G(x)$ , decompose into a disjoint union  $N_G(x) = N_G^+(x) \cup N_G^-(x)$ , where

$$N_G^+(x) := \{y \in VG \mid x < y\}, \quad N_G^-(x) := \{y \in VG \mid y < x\}. \quad (5.30)$$

When directions have been fixed, we use the notation  $(G, <)$  for the directed graph and say that  $(G, <)$  is subjacent to  $G$ .

Let  $p = x_0 x_1 \cdots x_n$  be a path. We define the index of path  $p$  by

$$\text{ind}(p) := |\{j \mid x_{j-1} < x_j\}| - |\{j \mid x_{j-1} > x_j\}|. \quad (5.31)$$

**Definition 5.6.** [15, Definition 5.1] *A directed graph  $(G, <)$  is called admissible if*

- (i) *It is univoque, i.e., any closed path in  $G$  has index zero.*
- (ii) *It is uniform, i.e., for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\#(N_G^-(x) \cap N_G^-(y)) = \#(N_G^+(x) \cap N_G^+(y))$ .*

*A graph  $G$  is called admissible if there exists an admissible directed graph  $(G, <)$  subjacent to  $G$ .*

**Definition 5.7.** [15, Definition 5.2] *A position function on a directed graph  $(G, <)$  is a function  $\Phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\Phi(x) + 1 = \Phi(y)$  if  $x < y$ .*

**Lemma 5.8.** [15, Lemma 5.3]

- (i) *A directed graph  $(G, <)$  is univoque if and only if it admits a position function.*
- (ii) *Any position function on an admissible graph  $G$  is surjective.*
- (iii) *A position function on a directed graph  $G$  is unique up to constant.*

**Remark 5.9.** *If  $G$  is an admissible graph, then there exists a position function  $\Phi$ . The function  $\Phi$  satisfies Definition 5.1. Thus, an admissible graph is an adapted graph as well.*

**Remark 5.10.** When  $G$  is an infinite regular graph, we only consider the PF weight  $v$  for the adjacency operator  $A_G$  defined by  $v(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in VG$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** Let  $G$  be an admissible regular graph. Assume that  $g \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \text{ran}K$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{h} := \text{span} \{ e^{it(\|A_G\| \mathbb{1} - A_G)} \delta_x \mid x \in VG, t \in \mathbb{R} \}. \quad (5.32)$$

Then  $\langle v, g \rangle = 0$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{D}(v)$  by [8, Theorem 4.5]. Since  $g \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \text{ran}K$ , there exists  $\zeta \in \ell^2(VG)$  such that  $g = K\zeta$ , where  $K$  is the operator defined in (5.15). Then the vector  $g$  has the form of

$$\langle \delta_x, g \rangle = i \sum_{y \in N_G^+(x)} \zeta(y) - i \sum_{y \in N_G^-(x)} \zeta(y), \quad (5.33)$$

where  $\zeta(y) = \langle \delta_y, \zeta \rangle$ . Then we have that

$$\langle v, g \rangle = i \left\{ \sum_{x \in VG} \sum_{y \in N_G^+(x)} \zeta(y) - \sum_{x \in VG} \sum_{y \in N_G^-(x)} \zeta(y) \right\} = 0. \quad (5.34)$$

Thus, we have done. ■

By the above proposition, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.12.** Let  $G_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , be admissible regular graphs. Fix  $g \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^N (\mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran}K_k)$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_k$  are defined in (5.32) and  $K_k$  are the operators defined in (5.15). For any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , we assume that  $h_{0,k} = \|A_{G_k}\| \mathbb{1} - A_{G_k}$  is transient, there exist  $\Omega, \lambda > 0$  such that the function  $\eta(z)$  defined in (2.11) satisfies condition (B), and the initial state  $\omega_0$  satisfies condition (C). Then there exists NESS  $\omega_+$  which is a state on  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{f}, \sigma)$ , where  $\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^N (\mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran}K_k)$ . Moreover, for any  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , we have that

$$\omega_+(J_l) = 2\pi\lambda^4 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\sigma_l} \frac{1}{|\eta_-(v)|^2} (\mathcal{N}_l(v) - \mathcal{N}_k(v)) \frac{d\langle g_k, E_k(v)g_k \rangle}{dv} d\langle g_l, E_l(v)g_l \rangle, \quad (5.35)$$

where  $J_l$  is defined in (4.1).

**Proof.** By assumptions, Theorem 3.3, Corollary 4.1, and Proposition 5.11, we have the statement. ■

### 5.3 Comb Graphs

In this subsection, we consider typical example of non-regular graphs: comb graphs. BEC on comb graphs studied in [6], [7], and [8]. In [6], R. Burioni et al. calculated the spectral measure of the adjacency operators of comb graphs  $\mathbb{Z}^d \uparrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Using their results, we calculate currents on comb graphs. First, we recall the definition of comb graphs.

**Definition 5.13.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be graphs, and let  $o \in VG_2$  be a given vertex. Then the comb product  $X := G_1 \uparrow (G_2, o)$  is a graph with vertex  $VX := VG_1 \times VG_2$ , and  $(g_1, g_2), (g'_1, g'_2) \in VX$  are adjacent if and only if  $g_1 = g'_1$  and  $g_2 \sim g'_2$  or  $g_2 = g'_2 = o$  and  $g_1 \sim g'_1$ . We call  $G_1$  the base graph, and  $G_2$  the fiber graph. When  $o \in VG_2$  is understood from the context, we omit it, and write  $G_1 \uparrow G_2$ .

We consider the graphs  $G_d := \mathbb{Z}^d \uparrow (\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ ,  $d \geq 3$ . As the case of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , the function  $\Phi$  defined in (5.24) is adapted to  $G_{d-1}$ . Put  $h_{0,l} = \|A_{G_d}\| \mathbb{1} - A_{G_d}$  for any  $l = 1, \dots, N$ . For  $J \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the operators  $K_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , have the form of

$$K\delta_{J,x} = \begin{cases} i\delta_{J,x-1} - i\delta_{J,x+1} & (x \neq 0) \\ i \sum_{l=1}^d (\delta_{J-e_l,x} - \delta_{J+e_l,x}) + i\delta_{J,1} - i\delta_{J,-1} & (x = 0) \end{cases}. \quad (5.36)$$

Put  $g_l = K\delta_{J_l,x_l}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, N$ , where  $J_l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $x_l \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|x_l| \gg 1$ . Then, by Theorem 5.2 and [7, Theorem 10.14], the form factor  $g$  satisfies conditions (A) and (D). By [7, Lemma 9.4], a PF weight  $v$  has the following form:

$$v(J, x) = \frac{e^{-|x|\theta_d}}{2 \left\| (2\sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\| \sinh \theta_d}, \quad J \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (5.37)$$

with  $2 \cosh \theta_d = 2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1}$ . Another example of  $v$  is given in [8]. The form of the spectral measure of  $A_{G_d}$  is in [6]. Thus, we can find  $\Omega, \lambda > 0$  which satisfy condition (B).

The pairing of  $g_l$  and the PF weight  $v_l = v$  has the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, g_l \rangle &= i \frac{e^{-|x_l-1|\theta_d}}{2 \left\| (2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\| \sinh \theta_d} - i \frac{e^{-|x_l+1|\theta_d}}{2 \left\| (2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\| \sinh \theta_d} \\ &= i \frac{e^{-|x_l|\theta_d}}{\left\| (2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\|}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Thus, we define the initial states  $\omega_0^{(1)}$  and  $\omega_0^{(2)}$  with condition (C) by

$$\omega_0^{(1)}(W((\psi_k))) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \langle \psi_k, (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi_k \rangle + i \Theta_k^{(1)}(\langle v_k, \psi_k \rangle) \right\}, \quad (5.39)$$

$$\omega_0^{(2)}(W((\psi_k))) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \langle \psi_k, (e^{\beta_k h_{0,k}} - \mathbb{1})^{-1} \psi_k \rangle + i \Theta_k^{(2)}(\langle v_k, \psi_k \rangle) \right\} \quad (5.40)$$

for  $\psi_k \in \mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran} K$ , where  $\Theta_k^{(1)}$  defined in (5.4) and  $\Theta_k^{(2)}$  defined in (5.5). Note that  $\mathfrak{k} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^N (\mathfrak{h}_k \cap \text{ran} K) \subset \mathfrak{h}(g)$  by Lemma 5.3. Thus, there exists NESS  $\omega_+$ , which is a state on  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{k}, \sigma)$ . If the temperatures are identical, then Josephson currents have the form of

$$\omega_+^{(1)}(J_l) = \text{Jos}_l(\omega_+^{(1)}) = \frac{4\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \sum_{k=1}^N D_k^{1/2} D_l^{1/2} \sin(\tau_k - \tau_l) \frac{e^{-(|x_k|+|x_l|)\theta_d}}{\left\| (2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\|^2}, \quad (5.41)$$

$$\omega_+^{(2)}(J_l) = \text{Jos}_l(\omega_+^{(2)}) = \frac{\pi^3 \lambda^2}{\eta(0)} \sum_{k=1}^N D_k^{1/2} D_l^{1/2} \{s_{1,k} s_{2,l} - s_{1,l} s_{2,k}\} \frac{e^{-(|x_k|+|x_l|)\theta_d}}{\left\| (2 \sqrt{d^2 + 1} - A_{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1} \delta_0 \right\|^2}. \quad (5.42)$$

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor Taku Matsui for discussions and comment of this work.

## References

- [1] Aschbacher, W., Jakšić, V., Pautrat, Y., Pillet, C.-A.: *Topics in non-equilibrium quantum statistical mechanics*. Open quantum systems. III, 1–66, Lecture Notes in Math., 1882, (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- [2] Aschbacher, W., Jakšić, V., Pautrat, Y., Pillet, C.-A.: *Transport properties of quasi-free fermions*. J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), no. 3, 032101, 28 pp.
- [3] Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.: *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics II*, 2nd edition (Springer, 1997).
- [4] Bruneau, L., Jakšić, V., Pillet, C.-A.: *Landauer-Büttiker formula and Schrödinger conjecture*. Comm. Math. Phys. **319** (2013), no. 2, 501–513.
- [5] Bruneau, L., Jakšić, V., Last, Y., Pillet, C.-A.: *Landauer-Büttiker and Thouless conductance*. Comm. Math. Phys. **338** (2015), no. 1, 347–366.
- [6] Burioni, R., Cassi, D., Rasetti, M., Sodano, P., Vezzani, A.: *Bose-Einstein condensation on inhomogeneous complex networks*. J. Phys. B **34**, 4697–4710 (2001)
- [7] Fidaleo, F., Guido, D., Isola, T.: *Bose-Einstein condensation on inhomogeneous amenable graphs*. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **14** (2011), no. 2, 149–197.
- [8] Fidaleo, F.: *Harmonic analysis on inhomogeneous amenable networks and the Bose-Einstein condensation*. J. Stat. Phys. **160** (2015), no. 3, 715–759.
- [9] Jakšić, V., Pillet, C.-A.: *On entropy production in quantum statistical mechanics*. Comm. Math. Phys. **217** (2001), no. 2, 285–293.
- [10] Jakšić, V., Pillet, C.-A.: *Non-equilibrium steady states of finite quantum systems coupled to thermal reservoirs*. (English summary) Comm. Math. Phys. **226** (2002), no. 1, 131–162.

- [11] Jakšić, V.: *Topics in spectral theory*. Open quantum systems. I, 235–312, Lecture Notes in Math., 1880, (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- [12] Jakšić, V., Ogata, Y., Pillet, C.-A.: *The Green-Kubo formula and the Onsager reciprocity relations in quantum statistical mechanics*. Comm. Math. Phys. **265** (2006), no. 3, 721–738.
- [13] Kanda, T.: *Remarks on BEC on graphs*, Rev. Math. Phys. **29** (2017), no. 8, 1750024, 19 pp.
- [14] Lewis, J. T., Pulè, J. V.: *The equilibrium states of the free Boson gas*. Comm. Math. Phys. **36** (1974), 1–18.
- [15] Măntoiu, M., Richard, S., Tiedra de Aldecoa, R.: *Spectral Analysis for Adjacency Operators on Graphs*. Ann. Henri Poincaré. **8** (2007), no. 7, 1401–1423.
- [16] Matsui, T.: *BEC of free bosons on networks*. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **9** (2006), no. 1, 1–26.
- [17] Pruitt, W. E.: *Eigenvalues of non-negative matrices*. Ann. Math. Statist. **35** (1964) 1797–1800.
- [18] Rudin, W.: *Real and complex analysis*, Third edition (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987)
- [19] Ruelle, D.: *Entropy production in quantum spin systems*. Comm. Math. Phys. **224** (2001), no. 1, 3–16.
- [20] Tasaki, S., Accardi, L.: *Nonequilibrium steady states for a harmonic oscillator interacting with two Bose fields - stochastic approach and  $C^*$ -algebraic approach -*, in Quantum Information and Computing, Proc. of the International Conference QBIC08 (2003), QP–PQ, Vol. XIX, eds. L. Accardi, M. Ohya and N. Watanabe (World Scientific, 2006).
- [21] Tasaki, S., Matsui, T.: *Nonequilibrium steady states with Bose-Einstein condensates*. Stochastic analysis: classical and quantum, 211–227, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [22] Titchmarsh, E. C.: *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*. Third edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, (1986).
- [23] Verbeure, A. F.: *Many-body boson systems. Half a century later*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. x+189 pp.
- [24] Yafaev, D. R.: *Mathematical scattering theory. General theory*. Translated from the Russian by J. R. Schulenberger. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 105. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. x+341 pp.
- [25] Yafaev, D. R.: *Mathematical scattering theory. Analytic theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 158. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.