

Veli Shakhmurov

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Okan University, Akfirat, Tuzla 34959
Istanbul, Turkey,

E-mail: veli.sahmurov@okan.edu.tr

On the dynamics of a cancer tumor growth model with multiphase structure

Abstract

In this paper, we study a phase-space analysis of a mathematical model of tumor growth with an immune response. Mathematical analysis of the model equations with multipoint initial condition, regarding to dissipativity, boundedness of solutions, invariance of non-negativity, nature of equilibria, local and global stability will be investigated. We study some features of behavior of one three-dimensional tumor growth model with dynamics described in terms of densities of three cells populations: tumor cells, healthy host cells and effector immune cells. We find the upper and lower bounds for the effector immune cells population, with $t \rightarrow \infty$. Further, we derive sufficient conditions under which trajectories from the positive domain of feasible multipoint initial conditions tend to one of equilibrium points. Here cases of the small tumor mass equilibrium point; the healthy equilibrium point; the “death” equilibrium point are examined. Biological implications of our results are considered.

Keywords: Cancer tumour model, Mathematical modelling, Immune system, Positively invariant domain, Stability, Attraction sets

1. Introduction

Beginning with this article we intend to attempt to investigate the problems of Mathematical and Biological approaches to modelings of cancer growth dynamics processes and operations. It is important to take into account “the nonlinear property of cancer growth processes” in construction of mathematical logistic models. This nonlinearity approach appears very convenient to display unexpected dynamics in cancer growth processes, expressed in different reactions of the dynamics to different concentrations of immune cells at different stages of cancer growth developments [1 – 10]. Taking into account all the complex processes, nonlinear mathematical models can be estimated capable of compensation and minimization the inconsistencies between different mathematical models related to cancer growth-anticancer factor affections. The elaboration of mathematical non-spatial models of the cancer tumor growth in the broad framework of tumor immune interactions studies is one of intensively developing areas in the modern mathematical biology, see works [1 – 7]. Of course, the development of powerful cancer immunotherapies requires first an understanding of the mechanisms governing the dynamics of tumor growth. One of main reasons for a creation of non-spatial dynamical models of this nature is related to the fact that they are described by a system of ordinary differential equations which can be efficiently investigated by powerful methods of qualitative

theory of ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems theory. In this paper we examine the dynamics of one cancer growth model proposed in [5] but possess multiphase structure, i.e. we consider the dynamical system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{T} &= r_1 T (1 - k_1^{-1} T) - a_{12} N T - a_{13} T I, \\ \dot{N} &= r_2 N (1 - k_2^{-1} N) - a_{21} N T, \\ \dot{I} &= \frac{r_3}{k_3 + T} - a_{31} I T - d_3 I,\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

with multipoint initial condition

$$\begin{aligned}T(t_0) &= T_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{1k} T(t_k), \quad N(t_0) = N_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{2k} N(t_k), \\ I(t_0) &= I_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{3k} I(t_k), \quad t_0 \in [0, T], \quad t_k \in (0, T),\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

where $T = T(t)$, $N = N(t)$, $I = I(t)$ denote the density of tumor cells, healthy host cells and the effector immune cells, respectively at the moment t , α_{jk} are real numbers and m is a natural number. The first term of the first equation corresponds to the logistic growth of tumor cells in the absence of any effect from other cells populations with the growth rate of r_1 and maximum carrying capacity k_1 . The competition between host cells and tumor cells $T(t)$ which results in the loss of the tumor cells population is given by the term $a_{12}NT$. Next, the parameter a_{13} refers to the tumor cell killing rate by the immune cells $I(t)$. In the second equation, the healthy tissue cells also grow logarithmically with the growth rate of r_2 and maximum carrying capacity k_2 . We assume that the cancer cells proliferate faster than the healthy cells which gives $r_1 > r_2$. The tumor cells also inactivate the healthy cells at the rate of a_{21} . The third equation of the model describes the change in the immune cells population with time t . The first term of the third equation illustrates the stimulation of the immune system by the tumor cells with tumor specific antigens. The rate of recognition of the tumor cells by the immune system depends on the antigenicity of the tumor cells. The model of the recognition process is given by the rational function which depends on the number of tumor cells with positive constants r_3 and k_3 . The immune cells are inactivated by the tumor cells at the rate of a_{31} as well as they die naturally at the rate d_3 , here we suppose that the constant influx of the activated effector cells into the tumor microenvironment is zero.

One of main aim is derivation of sufficient conditions under which the possible biologically feasible dynamics is local and global stable and a convergence to one of equilibrium points. Since these equilibrium points have a biological sense we notice that understanding limit properties of dynamics of cells populations based on solving problems (1.1) – (1.2) may be of an essential interest for the prediction of health conditions of a patient without a treatment. Note that the local and global stability properties of (1.1) with classical initial condition

were studied in [8] and [9], respectively. We prove that all orbits are bounded and must converge to one of several possible equilibrium points. Therefore, the long-term behavior of an orbit is classified according to the basin of attraction in which it starts.

By scaling $x_1 = Tk_1^{-1}$, $x_2 = Nk_2^{-1}$, $x_3 = Ik_3^{-1}$, $\tilde{t} = r_1t$ in (1.1) – (1.2) and omitting the tilde notation we obtain the multipoint initial value problem (IVP)

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_1x_2 - a_{13}x_1x_3,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = r_2x_2(1 - x_2) - a_{21}x_1x_2, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = \frac{r_3x_1x_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1x_3 - d_3x_3, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$x_1(t_0) = x_{10} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{1k}x_1(t_k), \quad x_2(t_0) = x_{20} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{2k}x_2(t_k), \quad (1.4)$$

$$x_3(t_0) = x_{30} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{3k}x_3(t_k), \quad t_0 \in [0, T], \quad t_k \in (0, T),$$

where α_{jk} are real numbers and m is a natural number such that

$$x_{j0} + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{jk}x_j(t_k) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that, for $\alpha_{j1} = \alpha_{j2} = \dots \alpha_{jm} = 0$ the problem (1.3) – (1.4) turns to be the classical IVP

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_1x_2 - a_{13}x_1x_3,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = r_2x_2(1 - x_2) - a_{21}x_1x_2, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\dot{x}_3 = \frac{r_3x_1x_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1x_3 - d_3x_3, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

$$x_1(t_0) = x_{10}, \quad x_2(t_0) = x_{20}, \quad x_3(t_0) = x_{30}, \quad t_0 \in [0, T].$$

2. Notations and background.

Consider the multipoint IVP for nonlinear equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} = f(u), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(t_0) = u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k u(t_k), \quad t_0 \in [0, T], \quad t_k \in (0, T),$$

in a Banach space E , where α_k are complex numbers and m is a natural number and $u = u(t)$ is a E valued function. Note that, for $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots \alpha_m = 0$ the problem (2.1) become to be the following local Cauchy problem

$$\frac{du}{dt} = f(u), \quad u(t_0) = u_0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad t_0 \in [0, T]. \quad (2.2)$$

We can generalized classical Picard existence theorem for nonlocal nonlinear problem (2.1), i.e. by reasoning as a classical case we obtain

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a Banach space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies local Lipschitz condition on a closed ball $\bar{B}_r(v_0) \subset X$, where $r > 0$, i.e.

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_E \leq L \|u - v\|_E$$

for each $u, v \in \bar{B}_r(v_0)$, where

$$v_0 = u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k u(t_k)$$

and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$t_k \in O_\delta(t_0) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t - t_0| < \delta\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$M = \sup_{u \in \bar{B}_r(v_0)} \|f(u)\|_X < \infty.$$

Then problem (2.1) has a unique continuously differentiable local solution $u(t)$, for $t \in O_\delta(t_0)$, where $\delta \leq \frac{r}{M}$.

Proof. We rewrite the initial value problem (2.1) as the integral equation

$$u = v_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(u(s)) ds.$$

For $0 < \eta < \frac{r}{M}$ we define the space

$$Y = C([- \eta, \eta]; \bar{B}_r(v_0)).$$

Let

$$Qu = v_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(u(s)) ds.$$

First, note that if $u \in Y$ then

$$\|Qu - v_0\|_X \leq \left\| \int_{t_0}^t f(u(s)) ds \right\|_X \leq M\eta < r.$$

Hence, $Qu \in Y$ so that $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$. Moreover, for all $u, v \in Y$ we have

$$\|Qu - Qv\|_X \leq \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(u(s)) - f(v(s))] ds \right\|_X \leq L_f \eta \|u - v\|_X, \quad (2.3)$$

where L_f is a Lipschitz constant for f on $\bar{B}_r(v_0)$. Hence, if we choose

$$\eta < \min \left\{ \frac{r}{M}, \frac{1}{L_f} \right\} \quad (2.4)$$

then Q is a contraction on Y and it has a unique fixed point. Since η depends only on the Lipschitz constant of f and on the distance r of the initial data from the boundary of $\bar{B}_r(v_0)$, repeated application of this result gives a unique local solution defined for $|t - t_0| < \frac{r}{M}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let X be a Banach space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies global Lipschitz condition, i.e.

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\|_E \leq L \|u - v\|_E$$

for each $u, v \in E$. Moreover, let

$$M = \sup_{u \in E} \|f(u)\|_X < \infty.$$

Then problem (2.1) has a unique continuously differentiable local solution $u(t)$, for $|t - t_0| < \delta$, where $\delta \leq \frac{r}{M}$.

Proof. The key point of proof is to show that the constant δ of Theorem 2.1 can be made independent of the v_0 . It is not hard to see that the independence of v_0 comes through the constant M in term $\frac{r}{M}$ in (2.4). Since in the current case the Lipschitz condition holds globally, one can choose r arbitrary large. Therefore, for any finite M , we can choose r large enough and by using (2.3), (2.4) we obtain the assertion.

3. Boundedness, invariance of non-negativity, and dissipativity

In this section, we shall show that the model equations are bounded with negative divergence, positively (non-negatively) invariant with respect to a region in R_+^3 and dissipative. As we are interested in biologically relevant solutions of the system, the next two results show that the positive octant is invariant and that all trajectories in this octant are recurrent. Let

$$B = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R_+^3 : 0 \leq x_i \leq K_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}. \quad (3.0)$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume

$$d_3 > 1 + r_2, r_i > 0, k_i > 0, a_{ij} > 0, r_3 < k_3 a_{31}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then:

- (1) B is positively invariant with respect (1.2) – (1.3);
- (2) all solutions of problem (1.2) – (1.3) with initial values $x_{i0} > 0$ are uniformly bounded and are attracted into the region B ;
- (3) the system (1.2) is with negative divergence;
- (4) the system (1.2) is dissipative.

Proof. (1); Consider the first equation of the system (1.2):

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_1(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_1x_2 - a_{13}x_1x_3.$$

By condition (3.1) we get

$$\dot{x}_1 < x_1(1 - x_1).$$

It is clear that

$$x_1(1 - x_1) = 0, \frac{d}{dx_1}[x_1(1 - x_1)] = 1 - 2x_1 < 0$$

for $x_1 = 1$. Thus

$$x_1(t) \leq \max \left\{ 1, x_{10} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{1k} x_1(t_k) \right\},$$

$$\dot{x}_1 < 0 \text{ for } x_1 > 1.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_1(t) \leq K_1 = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

For

$$\dot{x}_2 = r_2x_2(1 - x_2) - a_{21}x_1x_2,$$

a similar analysis gives

$$x_2(t) \leq \max \left\{ K_2, x_{20} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{2k} x_2(t_k) \right\},$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_2(t) \leq K_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Now consider

$$\dot{x}_3 = \frac{r_3x_1x_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1x_3 - d_3x_3.$$

From (3.1) we have

$$\dot{x}_3 < \frac{r_3 x_1 x_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} x_1 x_3 = x_1 x_3 \left(\frac{r_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} \right) < 0.$$

Then by reasoning as the case of x_1 we deduced

$$x_3(t) \leq \max \left\{ K_3, x_{30} - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_{1k} x_3(t_k) \right\},$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_3(t) \leq K_3. \quad (3.4)$$

Hence, from (3.2) – (3.4) we obtain (1) and (2) assumptions. Now, let us show (3)-(4). Since

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3} = 1 - 2x_1 - a_{12}x_2 + r_2 - 2r_1x_2 - a_{21}x_1 +$$

$$\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 = (1 + r_2 - d_3) - (2 + a_{21})x_1 -$$

$$(2r_1 + a_{12})x_2 + \left[\frac{r_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} \right] x_1. \quad (3.5)$$

By condition (3.1) from (3.5) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3} < 0 \text{ for } x \in B,$$

i.e. the system (1.2) is with negative divergence and is dissipative.

4.1 The equilibria, existence and local stability

The equilibria of system (1.2) are obtained by solving the system of isocline equations

$$x_1(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_1x_2 - a_{13}x_1x_3 = 0,$$

$$r_2x_2(1 - x_2) - a_{21}x_1x_2 = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\frac{r_3x_1x_3}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1x_3 - d_3x_3 = 0.$$

Since we are interested in biologically relevant solutions of (4.1) we find sufficient conditions under which this system have positive solutions.

Condition 4.1. Assume:

- (1) $r_3 > d_3 + a_{31}k_3$;
- (2) $(r_3 - a_{31}k_3 - d_3)^2 \geq 4d_3k_3a_{31}$.

Lemma 4.1. Let the Condition 4.1 hold. Then the system (1.2) have the following equilibria points

$$E_0(0, 0, 0), E_1(1, 0, 0), E_2(0, 1, 0), E\left(\frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, 0, \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}\right),$$

$$E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij}), i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2, \quad (4.2)$$

where the points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ will defined in bellow.

Proof. The possible equilibria are of the form

$$E_0(0, 0, 0), E_1(1, 0, 0), E_2(0, 1, 0), E_3\left(\frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, 0, \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}\right), E_{ij}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3).$$

It is clear to see that the points E_0 , E_1 and E_2 are equilibria points. It remain to find the points

$$E_{ij} = E_{ij}(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3), E\left(\frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, 0, \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}\right).$$

From the third equation of (4.1) for $x_3 \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} x_1 - d_3 = 0,$$

i.e. we have the following square algebraic equation

$$a_{31} x_1^2 + (a_{31} k_3 + d_3 - r_3) x_1 + d_3 k_3 = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

By solving the equation (4.3) we get

$$x_1 = \frac{-(a_{31} k_3 + d_3 - r_3) \pm \sqrt{D}}{2a_{31}},$$

where

$$D = (a_{31} k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 - 4d_3 k_3 a_{31} \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$x_{11} = \frac{-(a_{31} k_3 + d_3 - r_3) + \sqrt{D}}{2a_{31}}, x_{12} = \frac{-(a_{31} k_3 + d_3 - r_3) - \sqrt{D}}{2a_{31}}. \quad (4.4)$$

From first and second equation of (4.1) we have

$$(1) x_1 = \frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, x_2 = 0, x_3 = \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}},$$

i.e. the point $E\left(\frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, 0, \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}\right)$ is a equilibria point; By taking (4.4) in the second equation of (4.1) we get

$$(2) x_1 > 0, x_2 = \frac{r_2 - a_{21} x_1}{r_2}, x_2 \neq 0;$$

For the case of (2) we get

$$x_{21} = \frac{r_2 - a_{21}x_{11}}{r_2}, x_{22} = \frac{r_2 - a_{21}x_{12}}{r_2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, by taking (4.5) in the first equation of (4.1) we obtain

$$x_{3ij} = \frac{1 - x_{1i} - a_{12}x_{2j}}{a_{13}}, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus we obtain that the points (4.2) are equilibria points the Jacobian matrix due to liberalization of the system (1.2), where x_{1i} , x_{2j} , x_{3ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$ are defined by (4.4) – (4.6).

Remark 4.1. For $a_{21} > r_2$ the system (1.2) have the biologically feasible equilibria points

$$E_0(0, 0, 0), E_1(1, 0, 0), E_2(0, 1, 0), E_3\left(\frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, 0, \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}\right).$$

Indeed, our system (1.2) describe the biological possess we have to consider this system in positive domain. So, all roots of (4.1) must be positive. For case of (2) $x_{11} > 0$ and $x_{12} < 0$ when $r_3 > d_3 + a_{31}k_3$; for $r_3 < d_3 + a_{31}k_3$ both roots x_{11} , x_{12} are negative; the root x_3 is positive if $x_1 < 1$, i.e.

$$r_3 - (a_{31}k_3 + d_3) + \sqrt{D} < 2a_{31}. \quad (4.7)$$

The roots x_{21} , x_{22} are positive when

$$r_3 \geq d_3 + a_{31}k_3 + D. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, x_{3ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$ positive when

$$a_{12} < 1, a_{12}a_{21} < r_2 \text{ or } a_{12} > 1, a_{12}a_{21} > r_2. \quad (4.9)$$

Hence, if Condition 4.1 and (4.7)-(4.9) are satisfied, then the equilibria points (4.2) belong to positive domain

$$R_+^3 = \{x \in R^3: x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3\}.$$

Remark 4.2. There exist 3 type dead case: (1) for equilibrium $E_0(0, 0, 0)$ three type cell population are zero; (2) for point $E_1(1, 0, 0)$ tumor cells survive but normal and immune cells population are zero; (3) for point $E(a, 0, b)$ normal cells are zero but tumor and immune cells population are survived; (4) $E_2(0, 1, 0)$ -tumor-free and immune free case; in this category, normal cells survive but tumor and immune cells population are zero; (5) The equilibrium points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$, $i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2$ correspond the cases when tumor, normal and immune population are survived. We now discuss the (local) linearized stability of system (1.2) – (1.3) restricted to a neighborhood of the equilibrium points (4.2).

The Jacobian matrix due to the liberalization of (1.2) about an arbitrary equilibrium point $E(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is given by

$$A_{E(x_1, x_2, x_3)} = \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2x_1 - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3 & -a_{12}x_1 & -a_{13}x_1 \\ -a_{21}x_2 & r_2 - 2r_2x_2 - a_{21}x_1 & 0 \\ \frac{k_1r_3x_3}{(x_1+k_1)^2} - a_{31}x_3 & 0 & \frac{r_3x_1}{x_1+k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The linearized matrices for equilibria points $E_0(0, 0, 0)$, $E_1(1, 0, 0)$, $E_2(0, 1, 0)$ will be correspondingly as:

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 - a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{r_3}{1+k_3} - a_{31} - d_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ -a_{21} & -r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have the linearized matrices for equilibria points $E_3(a, 0, b)$:

$$A_3 = A_{E(a, 0, b)} = \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -a & -a_{12}a & -a_{13}a \\ 0 & r_2 - a_{21}a & 0 \\ \left(\frac{k_1r_3}{(a+k_1)^2} - a_{31}\right)b & 0 & \frac{r_3a}{a+k_3} - a_{31}a - d_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$a = \frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, \quad b = \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}.$$

In a similar way, we find that the linearized matrices A_{ij} for equilibria points E_{ij} is the following

$$A_{ij} = A_{E(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})} = \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2x_{1i} - a_{12}x_{2j} - a_{13}x_{3ij} & -a_{12}x_{1i} & -a_{13}x_{1i} \\ -a_{21}x_2 & r_2 - 2r_2x_{2j} - a_{21}x_{1i} & 0 \\ \frac{k_1r_3x_{3ij}}{(x_{1i}+k_1)^2} - a_{31}x_{3ij} & 0 & \frac{r_3x_{1i}}{x_{1i}+k_3} - a_{31}x_{1i} - d_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. local stability analysis of points $E_0(0, 0, 0)$ and $E_1(0, 1, 0)$

In this section we show the following result:

Theorem 5.1. (1) The point E_0 is unstable point for the linearized system of (1.2); (2) The point E_1 is locally asymptotically stable point for the linearized system of (1.2) when $a_{21} > r_2$, $a_{31} + d_3 > \frac{r_3}{1+k_3}$ and is an unstable point when $a_{21} < r_2$ and $a_{31} + d_3 < \frac{r_3}{1+k_3}$;

(3) The point E_2 is locally asymptotically stable point for linearized system of (1.2) when $a_{12} > 1$ and is an unstable point when $a_{12} < 1$.

Proof. Indeed, the eigenvalues of $A_{E_0(0,0,0)}$ are $1, r_2, -d_3$, eigenvalues of $A_1(1, 0, 0)$ are

$$-1, r_2 - a_{21}, \frac{r_3}{1+k_3} - a_{31} - d_3;$$

and eigenvalues of $E_2(0, 1, 0)$ are

$$1 - a_{12}, -r_2, -d_3.$$

Since $1, r_2 > 0$, then by [5, Theorem 8.12] E_0 is unstable point. Due to negativity of $-1, r_2 - a_{21}, \frac{r_3}{1+k_3} - a_{31} - d_3$ and $1 - a_{12}, -r_2, -d_3$ by [5, Theorem 8.12] we get that E_1 and E_2 are locally asymptotically stable points.

Now, consider the Jacobian matrices $A_{ij} = [b_{km}]$, $k, m = 1, 2, 3$ to the linearized system of (1.2) on points E_{ij} defined by (4.10). Let

$$b_{11} = b_{11}(ij) = 1 - 2x_{1i} - a_{12}x_{2j} - a_{13}x_{3ij}, b_{21} =$$

$$b_{21}(ij) = -a_{21}x_2, b_{22} = b_{22}(ij) = r_2 - 2r_2x_{2j} - a_{21}x_{1i},$$

$$b_{13} = b_{13}(ij) = -a_{13}x_{1i}, b_{31} = b_{31}(ij) =$$

$$\frac{k_1 r_3 x_{3ij}}{(x_{1i} + k_1)^2} - a_{31}x_{3ij}, b_{33} = b_{33}(ij) = \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} - a_{31}x_{1i} - d_3.$$

Here we prove the following results:

Theorem 5.2. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$;
- (2) $\frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} < a_{31}x_{1i} + d_3$ and $2x_{1i} + a_{12}x_{2j} + a_{13}x_{3ij} + a_{31}x_{1i} > 1$;
- (3) $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} > 0$.

Then the points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are locally asymptotically stable points to the linearized system of (1.2).

Proof. Eigen value of A_{ij} are find as roots of the equations

$$|A_{ij} - \lambda| = \begin{vmatrix} b_{11}(ij) - \lambda & b_{12}(ij) & b_{13}(ij) \\ b_{21}(ij) & b_{22}(ij) - \lambda & 0 \\ b_{31}(ij) & 0 & b_{33}(ij) - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|A_{ij} - \lambda| = (b_{11} - \lambda)(b_{22} - \lambda)(b_{33} - \lambda) - b_{13}b_{31}(b_{22} - \lambda) -$$

$$b_{21}b_{13}(b_{33} - \lambda) = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

From (4.10) we get that if $\lambda = \lambda_1 = b_{33}$, then the equation (5.1) reduced to

$$(b_{22} - \lambda)[(b_{11} - \lambda)(b_{33} - \lambda) - b_{13}b_{31}] = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lambda^2 - (b_{11} + b_{33})\lambda + b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

By solving (5.2) we obtain

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{(b_{11} + b_{33}) + \sqrt{(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 + 4b_{13}b_{31}}}{2}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{(b_{11} + b_{33}) - \sqrt{(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 + 4b_{13}b_{31}}}{2};$$

Since $b_{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu\nu}(ij)$, then eigen values $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ will dependent on i, j , i.e., the sets $(\lambda_1(ij), \lambda_2(ij), \lambda_3(ij))$ are eigen values of matrices A_{ij} , respectively. Hence, by assumption (2) roots λ_2, λ_3 of (5.2) are real and

$$\lambda_1 = b_{33}(ij) = \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} - a_{31}x_{1i} - d_3 < 0,$$

$$b_{11} + b_{33} = 1 - 2x_{1i} - a_{12}x_{2j} - a_{13}x_{3ij} + \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} - a_{31}x_{1i} - d_3 < 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Then from assumption (3) and from (5.2) – (5.4) we get that $\lambda_k < 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ when

$$(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 \geq -4b_{13}b_{31}.$$

But λ_1 is a negative number and λ_2, λ_3 are complex numbers with negative real part, when

$$(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 < -4b_{13}b_{31}.$$

That is, under assumption (1)-(3) the points E_{ij} are locally asymptotically stable points of (1.2).

Remark 5.1. In view of assumptions (2), if

$$\left[a_{31} - \frac{k_1 r_3}{(x_{1i} + k_1)^2} \right] x_{1i} x_{3ij} \geq 0,$$

then condition (3) holds.

Remark 5.2. It is clear to see that the assumptions

$$(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 \geq -4b_{13}b_{31}, (b_{11} - b_{33})^2 < -4b_{13}b_{31}$$

are satisfied under condition on coefficients of (1.2). One can find this condition according to its.

Theorem 5.3. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$;
- (2) $a_{31}x_{1i} + d_3 < \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3}$ and $2x_{1i} + a_{12}x_{2j} + a_{13}x_{3ij} < 1$;
- (3) $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} > 0$.

Then the points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are locally unstable points for the linearized system of (1.2).

Proof. Indeed, by assumption (2) roots λ_2, λ_3 of (4.8) are real and

$$\lambda_1 = b_{33}(ij) = \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} - a_{31} x_{1i} - d_3 > 0 \quad (5.5)$$

$$b_{11} + b_{33} = 1 - 2x_{1i} - a_{12}x_{2j} - a_{13}x_{3ij} + \frac{r_3 x_{1i}}{x_{1i} + k_3} - a_{31}x_{1i} - d_3 > 0.$$

From assumption (3), from (5.5) and (5.2)–(5.3) we get that if $(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 \geq -4b_{13}b_{31}$, then $\lambda_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$. But if $(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 < -4b_{13}b_{31}$, then λ_1 is a positive number and λ_2, λ_3 are complex numbers with positive real part, i.e., under this assumptions the points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are locally unstable points of the system (1.2).

Theorem 5.4. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$;
- (2) $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} < 0$.

Then the points $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are saddle points for the linearized system of (1.2).

Proof. Indeed, by assumption (2) eigenvalues λ_2, λ_3 are real and of opposite sign, i.e. $E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are saddle points of the system (1.2).

Theorem 5.5. Let (4.2) holds $b_{11} = b_{33} = 0$ and $b_{13}b_{22}b_{31} < 0$. Then $TrA_{ij} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ and $Det A_{ij} > 0$, i.e. the points $E(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})$ are centers to linearized system of (1.2).

Proof. For $b_{11} + b_{33} = 0$ from (5.2) – (5.4) we get that eigenvalues λ_2, λ_3 are $\mp i\psi$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ when $(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 \geq -4b_{13}b_{31}$ and λ_2, λ_3 are $\mp i\psi$ when $(b_{11} - b_{33})^2 < -4b_{13}b_{31}$. Hence, for $b_{11} = b_{33} = 0$ we obtain

$$TrA_{ij} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$Det A_{ij} = -b_{13}b_{22}b_{31} > 0,$$

i.e. we obtain the assertion.

Remark 5.3. For $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} = 0$ we obtain

$$\lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = \frac{(b_{11} + b_{33})}{2}.$$

Now, consider the equilibria points $E_3(a, 0, b)$.

Condition 5.6. Assume:

- (1) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$;
- (2) $\frac{a_{21}r_3}{r_2 + a_{21}k_3} < a_{31} + \frac{a_{21}d_3}{r_2} + 1$;
- (3) $a_{31} + 1 > \frac{r_3}{k_3}$, $a = \frac{r_2}{a_{21}}$, $b = \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}$, $a_{21} > \frac{r_2}{a}$;
- (4) $c_{11}c_{33} - c_{13}c_{31} > 0$.

Theorem 5.6. Assume the Condition 5.6 hold. Then the point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ is a locally asymptotically stable point for linearized system of (1.2).

Proof. Eigne value of matrices A_3 are find as roots of the equations

$$|A_3 - \lambda| = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} - \lambda & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ 0 & c_{22} - \lambda & 0 \\ c_{31} & 0 & c_{33} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_3 - \lambda| &= (c_{11} - \lambda)(c_{22} - \lambda)(c_{33} - \lambda) - c_{13}c_{31}(c_{22} - \lambda) = \\ &(c_{22} - \lambda)[\lambda^2 - (c_{11} + c_{33})\lambda + c_{11}c_{33} - c_{13}c_{31}] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= -a, \quad c_{12} = -a_{12}a, \quad c_{13} = -a_{13}a, \quad c_{22} = r_2 - a_{21}a, \\ c_{31} &= \left(\frac{k_1 r_3}{(a + k_1)^2} - a_{31} \right) b, \quad c_{33} = \frac{r_3 a}{a + k_3} - a_{31}a - d_3. \end{aligned}$$

From (5.6) we get that $\lambda = \lambda_1 = c_{22}$ is one of eigne value of A_3 and rest eigne values are the root of the equation

$$[\lambda^2 - (c_{11} + c_{33})\lambda + c_{11}c_{33} - c_{13}c_{31}] = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

By solving (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \frac{(c_{11} + c_{33}) + \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 + 4c_{13}c_{31}}}{2}, \\ \lambda_3 &= \frac{(c_{11} + c_{33}) - \sqrt{(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 + 4c_{13}c_{31}}}{2}; \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

The set $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ are eigne values of matrices A_3 , respectively. Hence, by assumption (3) and (2) we get

$$\lambda_1 = r_2 - a_{21}a < 0, \quad c_{11} + c_{33} = -a + \frac{r_3 a}{a + k_3} - a_{31}a - d_3 < 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Then from (5.6) – (5.9) we get that $\lambda_k < 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ when

$$(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 \geq -4c_{13}c_{31}. \quad (5.10)$$

But λ_1 is negative and λ_2, λ_3 are complex numbers with negative real part when

$$(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 < -4c_{13}c_{31}. \quad (5.11)$$

That is, under assumption (1)-(3) the point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ is a locally asymptotically stable point of the system (1.2).

Theorem 5.7. Assume the the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$;
- (2) $\frac{a_{21}r_3}{r_2 + a_{21}k_3} > a_{31} + \frac{a_{21}d_3}{r_2} + 1$, $a_{21} < \frac{r_2}{a}$;

(3) $c_{11}c_{33} - c_{13}c_{31} > 0$.

Then the point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ is a locally unstable point for (1.2)

Proof. Indeed, by assumption (2) roots λ_2, λ_3 of (4.16) are real and

$$\lambda_1 = c_{22} = r_2 - a_{21}a > 0,$$

$$c_{11} + c_{33} = -a + \frac{r_3a}{a + k_3} - a_{31}a - d_3 > 0. \quad (5.12)$$

Then from assumption (3) and from (5.7), (5.8), (4.12) we get that $\lambda_k < 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ when $(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 > -4c_{13}c_{31}$. But if $(c_{11} - c_{33})^2 < -4c_{13}c_{31}$, then $\lambda_1 < 0$ and λ_2, λ_3 are complex numbers with negative real part. That is, under assumption (1)-(3) the points $E(a, 0, b)$ are locally unstable points for (1.2).

Theorem 5.8. Let the assumption (4.2) hold and $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} > 0$. Then, the dimensions of the stable manifold W^+ and unstable manifold W^- are given, respectively, by

$$\text{Dim } W^+(E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})) = 2, \text{ Dim } (W^-E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})) = 2.$$

Proof. Let us solve the the following matrix equation

$$A_{ij}x = \lambda x,$$

i.e. consider the system of homogenous linear equation

$$(b_{11} - \lambda)x_1 + b_{12}x_2 + b_{13}x_3 = 0,$$

$$b_{21}x_1 + (b_{22} - \lambda)x_2 = 0, \quad (5.13)$$

$$b_{31}x_1 + (b_{33} - \lambda)x_3 = 0,$$

where

$$b_{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu\nu}(ij), \mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, i = 1, 2, j = 0, 1, 2.$$

By solving of (5.13) we obtain that the subspaces

$$B(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij}) = \left\{ x = \left(0, -\frac{b_{21}}{b_{22} - \lambda}, -\frac{b_{31}}{b_{33} - \lambda} \right) a \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\} \quad (5.14)$$

are eigen subspaces of matrices A_{ij} , where a is arbitrary number from \mathbb{R} .

Let the assumption (2) of Theorem 5.2 are hold, then we get that

$$B(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij}) = W^+(E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij}));$$

if the assumption (2) of Theorem 5.3 are hold, then we have

$$B(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij}) = W^-(E_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})).$$

In view of (5.14) we obtain the assertion.

In a similar way we obtain

Theorem 5.9. Let the assumption (4.2) hold and $b_{11}b_{33} - b_{13}b_{31} \leq 0$. Then, the dimensions of the hyperbolic saddle manifold W^0 are given and

$$\text{Dim } W^0 (E_{ij} (x_{1i}, x_{2j}, x_{3ij})) = 2.$$

Definition 5.1. A set $A \subset S$ is called a strong attractor with respect to S if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u(t), A) = 0,$$

where $u(t)$ is an orbit such that $u(t_0) - \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k u(t_k) \in S$ and ρ is the Euclidean distance function.

Lemma 5.1. The B is a strong attractor set with respect to R_+^3 .

Proof. The proof is done using standard comparison as in Theorem 3.1.

6. Global stability of equilibria points

In this section, we derive the sufficient conditions for the global stability to system (1.2) on the domain $B \subset R_+^3$ defined by (3.0). Consider the equilibria point $E(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$.

Theorem 6.1. Assume the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3) \in B$ is a local asymptotic stable point of linearized system (1.2);

(2) $a_{13}d_3 > r_3$;

(3) $(a_{31}k_3 + d_3 - r_3)^2 \geq 4d_3a_{31}$.

Then the equilibria solution $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$ is global stable in the sense of Lyapunov.

Proof. Consider the candidate of Lyapunov function $V(x)$ defined by

$$V(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 d_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x}_i)(x_j - \bar{x}_j), \quad d_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } a_{ij} = a_{ji}. \quad (6.1)$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= (x - \bar{x})^T A (x - \bar{x}) = \\ &= [x_1 - \bar{x}_1, x_2 - \bar{x}_2, x_3 - \bar{x}_3] \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \bar{x}_1 \\ x_2 - \bar{x}_2 \\ x_3 - \bar{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^3 d_{kk} (x_k - \bar{x}_k)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 2d_{ij} (x_i - \bar{x}_i)(x_j - \bar{x}_j), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$d_{ij} = d_{ji}, \quad d_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in R^3.$$

It is known that the quadratic forma defined by (5.1) is positive defined, when

$$\begin{aligned} d_{11} > 0, \quad d_{11}d_{22} - d_{12}^2 > 0, \quad \text{Det } A = d_{11}d_{22}d_{33} + 2d_{12}d_{13}d_{23} - \\ (d_{13}^2d_{22} + d_{11}d_{23}^2 + d_{12}^2d_{33}) = d_{33}(d_{11}d_{22} - d_{12}^2) + 2d_{12}d_{13}d_{23} - \\ (d_{13}^2d_{22} + d_{11}d_{23}^2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we assume $d_{11} > 0$, $d_{33} > 0$, $d_{11}d_{22} - d_{12}^2 > 0$ and $d_{13}^2d_{22} + d_{11}d_{23}^2 < 2d_{12}d_{13}d_{23}$ then $V(x) > 0$.

Moreover, the orbital derivative of $V(x)$ with respect to system (1.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_t V = \dot{V}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_k} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = \sum_{k,j=1}^3 2d_{kj}(x_j - \bar{x}_j) \frac{dx_k}{dt} = \\ &- [(2d_{11} + 2d_{12}a_{12})x_1^2 + (2d_{12} + 2d_{22}r_2)x_2^2 + 2d_{13}a_{13}x_3^2] - \\ &2d_{11}[a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_1x_3] - 2d_{12}[x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_2x_3] - 2d_{13}[x_1x_3 + a_{12}x_2x_3] - \\ &[(2d_{12}r_2 + 2d_{22}a_{21})x_1x_2 + 2d_{33}r_2x_2x_3 + 2d_{33}a_{21}x_1x_3] + \quad (6.3) \\ &[2d_{11}(1 + \bar{x}_1) + 2d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{13}\bar{x}_3 + 2d_{12}r_2 + 2a_{21}(d_{12}\bar{x}_1 + d_{22}\bar{x}_2 + d_{23}\bar{x}_3)]x_1 + \\ &[2d_{11}a_{12}\bar{x}_1 + 2d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{12}a_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{12}r_2\bar{x}_1 + 2d_{22}r_2(1 + \bar{x}_2) + 2d_{33}r_2\bar{x}_3]x_2 + \\ &[2d_{11}a_{13}\bar{x}_1 + 2d_{12}a_{13}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{13} + 2d_{13}a_{13}\bar{x}_3]x_3 - \\ &2r_2[d_{12}\bar{x}_1 + d_{22}\bar{x}_2 + d_{33}\bar{x}_3] + 2d_{12}r_2(x_1 + \bar{x}_1x_2) + \\ &-2[d_{11}\bar{x}_1 + d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + d_{13}\bar{x}_3 + r_2(d_{12}\bar{x}_1 + d_{22}\bar{x}_2 + d_{32}\bar{x}_3)] + \\ &[2(d_{31}(x_1 - \bar{x}_1) + d_{32}(x_2 - \bar{x}_2) + d_{33}(x_3 - \bar{x}_3))] \left[\frac{r_3x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{13}x_1 - d_3 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $x \in \Omega_K$,

$$-2d_{11}[a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_1x_3] \leq 0,$$

in view of inequalities

$$2ab \leq a^2 + b^2, \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq \|x\|^2, \quad x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \quad (6.4)$$

(6.3) holds if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_3x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{13}x_1 - d_3 \leq 0, \quad (6.5) \\ - [(2d_{11} + 2d_{12}a_{12})x_1^2 + (2d_{12} + 2d_{22}r_2)x_2^2 + 2d_{13}a_{13}x_3^2] + \\ |d_{12}|(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + |d_{12}|a_{13}(x_2^2 + x_3^2) + |d_{13}|(x_1^2 + x_3^2) + |d_{13}|a_{13}(x_2^2 + x_3^2) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|d_{12}|r_2 + |d_{22}|a_{21})(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + |d_{33}|r_2(x_2^2 + x_3^2) + |d_{33}|a_{21}(x_1^2 + x_3^2) \leq \\
& - [(2d_{11} + 2d_{12}a_{12})x_1^2 + (2d_{12} + 2d_{22}r_2)x_2^2 + 2d_{13}a_{13}x_3^2] + \eta|x|^2 < 0, \quad (6.6)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_1x_1 + \eta_2x_2 + \eta_3x_3 < 0, \quad d_{11}\bar{x}_1 + d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + d_{13}\bar{x}_3 < 0, \quad (6.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta &= \max\{|d_{12}|r_2 + |d_{22}|a_{21}, |d_{33}|, d_{33}r_2\}, \\
\eta_1 &= 2d_{11}(1 + \bar{x}_1) + 2d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{13}\bar{x}_3 + 2d_{12}r_2 + \\
&\quad 2a_{21}(d_{12}\bar{x}_1 + d_{22}\bar{x}_2 + d_{23}\bar{x}_3), \quad (6.8) \\
\eta_2 &= 2d_{11}a_{12}\bar{x}_1 + 2d_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{12}a_{12}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{12}r_2\bar{x}_1 + \\
&\quad 2d_{22}r_2(1 + \bar{x}_2) + 2d_{33}r_2\bar{x}_3, \\
\eta_3 &= 2d_{11}a_{13}\bar{x}_1 + 2d_{12}a_{13}\bar{x}_2 + 2d_{13} + 2d_{13}a_{13}\bar{x}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption (2), (6.5) holds for all $x \in \Omega_K$. The inequality (6.5) satisfied for all $x \in \Omega_K$, when

$$\eta < \min\{(2d_{11} + 2|d_{12}|a_{12}), (2|d_{12}| + 2|d_{22}|r_2), 2|d_{13}|a_{13}\}. \quad (6.9)$$

So, we obtain that $\dot{V}(x) < 0$, when

$$x \in \Omega_0 = \{x \in \Omega_K, \eta_1x_1 + \eta_2x_2 + \eta_3x_3 < 0\}.$$

Hence, $V(x)$ is a Lyapunov function on the domain D_V and the solution $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3)$ of system (1.2) satisfying (6.7) is global stable in the sense of Lyapunov.

7. Attraction sets for biologically feasible equilibria points

In this section we will derive global stability of equilibria points

$$E_1(1, 0, 0), E_2(0, 1, 0), E_3(a, 0, b)$$

and we will find their attraction sets, where

$$a = \frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, b = \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13}a_{21}}.$$

Let

$$\Omega_K = \{x \in R^3: 0 \leq x_i \leq K_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$B_r(\bar{x}) = \{x \in R^3, \|x - \bar{x}\|_{R^3} < r^2\}.$$

Theorem 7.1. Assume the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (1) $r_2 - a_{21} < 0$, $r_2 + 1 \leq a_{12}$;
- (2) $a_{13} > 1$, $r_3 < k_3 a_{31}$;
- (3) $d^2 + \frac{1}{4} \geq 3d + \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}^2}$, where

$$c_{22} = r_2 + a_{21} - 1, \quad d = \frac{a_{12}^2 + c_{22}}{2c_{22}(a_{21} - r_2)};$$

- (4) $\mu = \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}^2} + \left(\frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} - b_{22}\right)r_2 > 0$ and $\frac{d_3}{c_{13}} < \min\{1, \mu\}$.

Then the sytem (1.2) is global stabile at equilibria point $E_1(1, 0, 0)$ and the attraction set of the point $E_1(1, 0, 0)$ belongs to the set $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_K \cap \Omega_1$, where

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega_K: 2x_1 + a_{13}x_3 < \nu x_2\}, \quad \Omega_C = \{x \in R^3: V_1(x) \leq C\},$$

here the positive constant C is defined in bellow and

$$\nu = \left(a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}}r_2\right).$$

Proof. Let A_1 be the linearized matrix with respect to equilibria point $E_1(1, 0, 0)$, i.e.

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 - a_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$c_{13} = \frac{r_3}{1 + k_3} - a_{31} - d_3 < 0.$$

By assumption (2), $c_{13} < 0$. We consider the Lyapunov equation

$$P_1 A_1 + A_1^T P_1 = -I, \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equation (7.1) is reduced to the following linear system of algebraic equation,

$$\begin{aligned} 2b_{11} &= -1, \\ -a_{12}b_{11} + (r_2 - a_{21} - 1)b_{12} &= 0, \\ -(c_{13} + 1)b_{13} &= 0, \\ -a_{12}b_{11} + (r_2 - a_{21} - 1)b_{21} &= 0, \\ -a_{12}(b_{12} + b_{21}) + 2(r_2 - a_{21})b_{22} &= -1, \\ -a_{21}b_{13} + (r_2 - a_{21} - c_{13})b_{23} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(c_{13} - 1) b_{31} &= 0, \\ -a_{12}b_{31} + (r_2 - a_{21} - c_{13}) b_{32} &= 0, \\ -2c_{13}b_{33} &= -1\end{aligned}$$

By solving (7.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}b_{11} &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad b_{12} = b_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}}, \quad b_{13} = 0, \\ b_{22} &= \frac{a_{12}^2 + c_{22}}{2(a_{21} - r_2)c_{22}}, \quad b_{23} = 0, \quad b_{31} = 0, \quad b_{32} = 0, \quad b_{33} = \frac{1}{2c_{13}}, \\ P_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{12} & b_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2c_{13}} \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$|P_1 - \lambda I| = \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2c_{13}} \right) \left[\lambda^2 - \left(b_{22} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \lambda + (b_{22} + b_{12}^2) \right] = 0. \quad (7.3)$$

From the assumption (2) we deduced $b_{22} > 0$. By assumption (3) we have

$$\left(b_{22} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - 4(b_{22} + b_{12}^2) \geq 0.$$

So, (7.3) have positive roots, i.e. the matrix P_1 is positive defined. Hence, the quadratic function

$$\begin{aligned}V_1(x) &= X^T P_1 X = \frac{1}{2} (x_1 - 1)^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} (x_1 - 1) x_2 + \\ &\quad b_{22} x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2c_{13}} x_3^2\end{aligned}$$

is a positive defined Lyapunov function candidate in certain neighborhood of $E_1(1, 0, 0)$. We need now, to determine a domain Ω_1 about the point E_1 , where $\dot{V}_1(x)$ is negative defined and a constant C such that Ω_C is a subset of Ω_1 . By assuming $x_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, we will find the solution set of the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_1(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_k} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = \left[(x_1 - 1) + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} x_2 \right] [(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3] + \\ &\quad \left[\frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} (x_1 - 1) + b_{22}x_2 \right] x_2 [r_2(1 - x_2) - a_{12}x_1] - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c_{13}} x_3 \left[\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 \right] =\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (1 - x_1)^2 - a_{12} (1 - x_1) x_2 + a_{13} (1 - x_1) x_3 - \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} (1 - x_1) x_2 + \\
& - \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} x_2^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} a_{13} x_2 x_3 + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 (1 - x_1) x_2 (1 - x_2) - \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} x_1 (x_1 - 1) x_2 + \\
& b_{22} r_2 x_2^2 (1 - x_2) - b_{22} a_{12} x_1 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{c_{13}} x_3^2 \left[\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} x_1 - d_3 \right] = \\
& - x_1^2 + \left(b_{22} r_2 - \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} - \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 \right) x_2^2 - \frac{d_3}{c_{13}} x_3^2 + \left(a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 + \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} \right) x_1 x_2 - \\
& \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} x_1 x_2 + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} a_{13} x_2 x_3 - \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 x_1 x_2^2 - \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} x_1^2 x_2 - \\
& b_{22} r_2 x_2^3 - b_{22} a_{12} x_1 x_2^2 + \frac{1}{c_{13}} x_3^2 \left(\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} x_1 \right) + \\
& 2x_1 - \left(a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 \right) x_2 + a_{13} x_3 - 1 < 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

Since for $x \in \Omega_K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[\frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 x_1 x_2^2 + \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} x_1^2 x_2 + b_{22} r_2 x_2^3 + b_{22} a_{12} x_1 x_2^2 \right] \leq 0, \\
& - \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} x_1 x_2 \leq 0, \quad -1 < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

in view of inequalities

$$2ab \leq a^2 + b^2, \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq \|x\|^2, \quad x_2^2 + x_3^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \tag{7.5}$$

the inequality (7.4) holds if

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left[x_1^2 + \left(\frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 - b_{22} r_2 \right) x_2^2 + \frac{d_3}{c_{13}} x_3^2 \right] + \\
& \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 + \frac{a_{12}^2}{c_{22}} \right) |x|^2 + \frac{a_{12}}{2c_{22}} a_{13} |x|^2 < 0, \\
& 2x_1 + a_{13} x_3 < \left(a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2 \right) x_2 + 1, \\
& \frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31} x_1 < 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

In view of the assumption (1) we get that the solution set of third inequality of (7.6) is Ω_K . By assumption (4), it is not hard to see that the first inequality (6.6) is satisfied for all $x \in \Omega_K$. The solution set of second inequality in (7.6) is

the set Ω_1 . Hence, \dot{V}_1 is negative defined on the domain. Hence, \dot{V}_1 is negative defined on the domain

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in B_r(\bar{x}), 2x_1 + a_{13}x_3 < \nu x_2\} = B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_a,$$

where

$$\bar{x} = (1, 0, 0), \quad r \leq \sqrt{K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2}, \quad \nu = a_{12} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} + \frac{a_{12}}{c_{22}} r_2,$$

$$\Omega_a = \{x \in \Omega_K, 2x_1 + a_{13}x_3 < \nu x_2\},$$

i.e., the sytem (1.2) is global stabile at $E_1(1, 0, 0)$. Let now find the set $\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x})$, when

$$C < \min_{|x-\bar{x}|=r} V_1(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_1) r^2.$$

$\lambda_{\min}(P_1)$ denotes a minimum eigne value of P_1 , i.e.

$$\lambda_{\min}(P_1) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2c_{13}}, \frac{(b_{22} + \frac{1}{2}) \pm \sqrt{b_{22}^2 + \frac{1}{4} - 3b_{22} - 4b_{12}^2}}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$r \leq \sqrt{K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2}.$$

Moreover, for some $C > 0$ the inclusion $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_a$ means the existence of $C > 0$ so that $x \in \Omega_C$ implies $x \in \Omega_a$, i.e.

$$0 \leq x_i \leq K_i, \quad 2x_1 + a_{13}x_3 < \nu x_2.$$

So,

$$x \in B_{\tilde{r}}(\bar{x}) = \{x \in R^3, |x - \bar{x}| < \tilde{r}\},$$

where

$$\tilde{r} = [\nu^2 \min\{4, a_{13}^2\} + 1] K_2^2.$$

Then we obtain that

$$C < \min_{|x|=r_1} V_1(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_1) \tilde{r}^2,$$

i.e.

$$C < \lambda_{\min}(P_1) r_0^2, \quad r_0 = \min\{r, \tilde{r}\}.$$

Now, we consider the equilibria point $E_2(0, 1, 0)$ and prove the following result

Theorem 7.2. Assume the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (1) $r_3 < k_3 a_{31}$;
- (2) $c_{11} = a_{12} - 1 > 0$;
- (3) $\frac{a_{21}^2}{c_{11}^2} (d+1)^2 + d^2 \geq \frac{a_{21}}{r_2 c_{11}} (d+1)$;

(4) $2b_{12} (r_2 - a_{12} - \frac{1}{2} (1 + a_{13})) < \mu$, where

$$d = \frac{a_{21}}{r_2 (c_{11} + r_2)}, \quad \mu = \min \{2b_{11}, a_{12}, d_3\}.$$

Then the system (1.2) is global stable at equilibria point $E_1 (0, 1, 0)$ and the attraction set of the point $E_2 (0, 1, 0)$ belongs to the set $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_K \cap \Omega_2$, where

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega_K: \left(2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2} + 2b_{12}\right) x_1 + 2b_{12} (1 + a_{12}) x_2 + 2b_{12} a_{13} x_3 \leq 0\}.$$

$$\Omega_C = \{x \in R^3: V_2(x) \leq C\},$$

here $V_2(x)$ and the constant C is defined in bellow.

Proof. Let A_2 be the linearized matrix with respect to equilibria point $E_2 (0, 1, 0)$, i.e.

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ -a_{21} & -r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the Lyapunov equation

$$P_2 A_2 + A_2^T P_2 = -I, \quad (7.7)$$

where

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The equation (7.7) is reduced to the following linear system of algebraic equation

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_{11}b_{11} - 2a_{21}(b_{12} + b_{21}) &= -1, \\ -(c_{11} + r_2)b_{12} - a_{21}b_{22} &= 0, \\ -(c_{11} + d_3)b_{13} - a_{21}b_{23} &= 0, \\ -(c_{11} + r_2)b_{21} - a_{21}b_{22} &= 0, \\ -2r_2b_{22} = -1, \quad -(d_3 + r_2)b_{23} &= 0, \\ -(c_{11} + d_3)b_{31} - a_{21}b_{32} &= 0, \\ -(r_2 + d_3)b_{32} = 0, \quad -2d_3b_{33} &= -1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

By solving (7.8) we obtain

$$b_{13} = 0, \quad b_{12} = b_{21} = \frac{-a_{21}}{2r_2(c_{11} + r_2)}, \quad b_{11} = \frac{a_{21}}{c_{11}} \left[\frac{a_{21}}{r_2(c_{11} + r_2)} + 1 \right],$$

$$b_{22} = \frac{1}{2r_2}, \quad b_{23} = b_{32} = 0, \quad b_{33} = \frac{1}{2d_3}, \quad b_{31} = 0,$$

i.e.

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{12} & \frac{1}{2r_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2d_3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$|P_2 - \lambda I| = \left(\frac{1}{2d_3} - \lambda \right) \left[\lambda^2 - \left(b_{11} + \frac{1}{2r_2} \right) \lambda + \left(\frac{b_{11}}{2r_2} - b_{12}^2 \right) \right] = 0. \quad (7.9)$$

In view of the assumption (1) it is clear to see that $b_{11} > 0$. By assumption (3),

$$\left(b_{11} + \frac{1}{2r_2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(\frac{b_{11}}{2r_2} - b_{12}^2 \right) \geq 0.$$

So, (7.9) have positive roots, i.e. the matrix P_2 is positive defined for all x . Hence, the quadratic function

$$V_2(x) = X^T P_2 X = b_{11}x_1^2 + 2b_{12}x_1(x_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2r_2}(x_2 - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2d_3}x_3^2$$

is a positive defined Lyapunov function candidate in certain neighborhood of $E_2(0, 1, 0)$. We need to determine a domain Ω_2 about the point E_2 , where $\dot{V}_2(x)$ is negative defined and a constant C such that Ω_C is a subset of Ω_2 . By assuming $x_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, we will find the solution set of the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial x_k} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = \\ & [2b_{11}x_1 + 2b_{12}(x_2 - 1)] [(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3] + \\ & \left[2b_{12}x_1 + \frac{1}{r_2}(x_2 - 1) \right] x_2 [r_2(1 - x_2) - a_{12}x_1] + \\ & \frac{1}{d_3}x_3^2 \left[\frac{r_3x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 \right] = - (2b_{11}x_1^2 + a_{12}x_2^2 + d_3x_3^2) - 2b_{12}a_{12}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - \\ & 2b_{11}(a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_1x_3) - 2b_{12}(x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_2x_3) - x_2(x_2 - 1)^2 - \\ & \frac{a_{12}}{r_2}x_1x_2 + \left(2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2} + 2b_{12} \right) x_1 + 2b_{12}(1 + a_{12})x_2 + \\ & 2b_{12}a_{13}x_3 + 2b_{12}r_2(x_1x_2 - x_1x_2^2) + \frac{1}{d_3}x_3^2 \left[\frac{r_3x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 \right] < 0. \quad (7.10) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear to see that for all $x \in \Omega_K$

$$-2b_{11}(a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_1x_3) < 0, \quad -\frac{a_{12}}{r_2}x_1x_2, \quad -2b_{12}r_2x_1x_2^2 < 0. \quad (7.11)$$

Moreover, in view of (7.5) and (7.11) the inequality (7.10) holds if

$$-(2b_{11}x_1^2 + a_{12}x_2^2 + d_3x_3^2) + 2b_{12} \left[r_2 - \left(a_{12} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + a_{13}) \right) \right] \|x\|^2 < 0,$$

$$\left(2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2} + 2b_{12} \right) x_1 + 2b_{12}(1 + a_{12})x_2 + 2b_{12}a_{13}x_3 \leq x_2(x_2 - 1)^2, \quad (7.12)$$

$$\frac{r_3x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 < 0.$$

In view of assumption (1) the solution set of third inequality of (6.13) is Ω_K . By assumption (4), it is not hard to see that the first inequality (7.12) is satisfied for all $x \in \Omega_K$. The solution set of second inequality in (7.12) is the set Ω_2 . Hence, \dot{V}_2 is negative defined on the domain

$$\Omega_0 = B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_2, \quad r \leq K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2,$$

i.e., the sytem (1.2) is global stable at $E_1(0, 1, 0)$. We will find $C > 0$ such that $\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_2$, i.e.

$$\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_2.$$

It is clear to see that $\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x})$, when

$$C < \min_{|x-\bar{x}|=r} V_2(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_2)r^2, \quad \bar{x} = (0, 1, 0),$$

here $\lambda_{\min}(P_2)$ denotes a minimum eigne value of P_2 , i.e.

$$\lambda_{\min}(P_2) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2d_3}, \frac{\left(b_{22} + \frac{1}{2r_2} \right) \pm \sqrt{b_{11}^2 + b_{12}^2 + \frac{1}{4r_2^2} - \frac{b_{11}}{r_2}}}{2} \right\}.$$

Moreover, for some $C > 0$ the inclusion $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_2$ means the existence of $C > 0$ so that $x \in \Omega_C$ implies $x \in \Omega_2$, i.e.

$$x \in \Omega_K, \quad \left(2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2} + 2b_{12} \right) x_1 + 2b_{12}(1 + a_{12})x_2 + 2b_{12}a_{13}x_3 \leq 0. \quad (7.13)$$

(7.13) implies

$$\left(2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2} \right) x_1 \leq -2b_{12}[(1 + a_{12})x_2 + a_{13}x_3],$$

So,

$$x \in B_{\bar{r}}(\bar{x}) = \{x \in R^3, |x - \bar{x}| < \bar{r}\},$$

where

$$\eta_1 = \frac{-2b_{12}(1+a_{12})}{2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2}}, \eta_2 = \frac{-2b_{12}a_{13}}{2b_{11} + \frac{a_{12}}{r_2}}, \bar{r} = [2(1+\eta_1^2)K_2^2 + 2(1+\eta_2^2)K_3^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$C < \min_{|x|=r_0} V_2(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_2) \bar{r}^2,$$

i.e.

$$C < \lambda_{\min}(P_2) \bar{r}^2 \text{ for } r_0 = \min\{r, \bar{r}\}.$$

Remark 7.1. It is clear to see that if $a_{21} \geq \frac{a_{12}-1}{r_2}$, then the assumption (3) is satisfied. Moreover, if $a_{12} + \frac{1}{2}(1+a_{13}) > r_2$, then the assumption (4) holds.

Let A_3 be the linearized matrix with respect to equilibria point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ defined by (4.11), i.e.,

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -a & d_{12} & d_{13} \\ 0 & d_{22} & 0 \\ d_{31} & 0 & d_{33} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$d_{12} = -a_{12}a, d_{13} = -a_{13}a, d_{22} = r_2 - a_{21}a, a = \frac{r_2}{a_{21}}, d_{31} = \left(\frac{k_1 r_3}{(a+k_1)^2} - a_{31} \right) b, d_{33} = \frac{r_3 a}{a+k_3} - a_{31}a - d_3, b = \frac{a_{21} - r_2}{a_{13} a_{21}}.$$

By reasoning as the above we obtain

Theorem 7.3. Assume the Condition 5.6 hold and:

- (1) $a_{31} > \frac{k_1 r_3}{(a+k_1)^2}$, $a_{31} + d_3 > \frac{r_3 a}{(a+k_1)^2}$;
- (2)

$$\frac{a_{31} - \frac{k_1 r_3}{(a+k_1)^2}}{d_3 + a_{31} - \frac{r_3 a}{(a+k_3)}} > \frac{1}{a(a_{12} + a_{13})}.$$

Then the system (1.2) is global stable at equilibria point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ and the attraction set of the point $E_3(a, 0, b)$ belongs to the set $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_K \cap \Omega_3$, where

$$\Omega_3 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega_K :$$

$$\alpha x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 \geq 0, \alpha x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 \geq 0\}, \quad (7.14)$$

$$\Omega_C = \{x \in R^3: V_3(x) \leq C\},$$

here $V_3(x)$ and the constant C is defined in bellow and

$$\alpha = \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12} d_{31})}, \alpha_2 = \frac{2(1 + d_{12} b_{12})}{d_{12} + 2d_{22}}, \alpha_3 = \frac{2(d_{12} + d_{13})}{d_{22} + d_{33}} b_{12},$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{2(d_{12} + d_{13})}{d_{22} + d_{33}} b_{12}, \beta_3 = \frac{1}{d_{33}} (1 + 2d_{13}b_{12}).$$

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov equation

$$P_3 A_3 + A_3^T P_3 = -I, \quad (7.15)$$

where

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}, b_{ij} = b_{ji}.$$

By solving algebraic equation in b_{ij} according to (7.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_{12} = b_{13} &= -\frac{d_{12}}{2(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}, \quad b_{11} = -\frac{\mu b_{12}}{d_{12}}, \quad b_{22} = -\frac{(1 + d_{12}b_{12})}{d_{12} + 2d_{22}}, \\ b_{23} &= -\frac{d_{12} + d_{13}}{d_{22} + d_{33}} b_{12}, \quad b_{33} = -\frac{1}{2d_{33}} (1 + 2d_{13}b_{12}), \\ \mu &= d_{22} - a - \frac{(d_{12} + d_{13})d_{31}}{d_{22} + d_{33}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

Then by using the assumptions (1) and (2) we get that that P_3 have positive eigne values, i.e. the quadratic function

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(x) = X^T P_3 X &= -\frac{\mu}{d_{12}} x_1^2 - \frac{(1 + d_{12}b_{12})}{d_{12} + 2d_{22}} x_2^2 - \frac{1}{2d_{33}} (1 + 2d_{13}b_{12}) x_3^2 - \\ &\quad - \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})} (x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3) - \frac{2(d_{12} + d_{13})}{d_{22} + d_{33}} b_{12} x_2 x_3. \end{aligned}$$

is a positive defined Lyapunov function candidate in neighborhood of $E_3(a, 0, b)$.

By assuming $x_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_3(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial V_3}{\partial x_k} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = \\ &= -\left[\frac{2\mu}{d_{12}} x_1 + \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})} (x_2 + x_3) \right] x_1 [(1 - x_1) - a_{12}x_2 - a_{13}x_3] - \\ &\quad (\alpha x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3) [r_2 (1 - x_2) - a_{12}x_1] - \\ &\quad (\alpha x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3) \left[\frac{r_3 x_1}{x_1 + k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 \right] = \\ &= \frac{2\mu}{d_{12}} [-x_1^2 (1 - x_1) + a_{12}x_1 x_2 + a_{13}x_1 x_3] - \alpha (x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3) (1 - x_1) + \\ &\quad \alpha [a_{12} (x_2^2 + x_2 x_3) + a_{13} (x_3^2 + x_2 x_3)] - \frac{2(1 + d_{12}b_{12})r_2}{d_{12} + 2d_{22}} x_2 (1 - x_2) + \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2(1+d_{12}b_{12})a_{12}}{d_{12}+2d_{22}}x_1x_2 - \alpha r_2x_1(1-x_2) + \frac{d_{12}r_2a_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}x_1^2 + \\
& - \frac{2(d_{12}+d_{13})r_2}{d_{22}+d_{33}}b_{12}x_3(1-x_2) + \frac{2(d_{12}+d_{13})a_{12}}{d_{22}+d_{33}}b_{12}x_1x_3 - \\
& (\alpha x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \beta_2x_2) \left[\frac{r_3x_1}{x_1+k_3} - a_{31}x_1 - d_3 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption (1), (2), $\mu > 0$, $d_{12} < 0$, $d_{13} < 0$. So, for $x \in \Omega_K$ we have

$$\frac{2\mu}{d_{12}}x_1^3 \leq 0, \quad \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3)x_1 \leq 0.$$

In view of (7.14) the estimate (7.17) holds if the following inequality is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2\mu}{d_{12}}[-x_1^2 + a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{13}x_1x_3] - \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3) + \\
& \frac{d_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}[a_{12}(x_2^2 + x_2x_3) + a_{13}(x_3^2 + x_2x_3)] + \frac{2(1+d_{12}b_{12})r_2}{d_{12}+2d_{22}}x_2^2 + \\
& \left[\frac{2(1+d_{12}b_{12})a_{12}}{d_{12}+2d_{22}} + \frac{d_{12}r_2}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})} \right] x_1x_2 + \frac{d_{12}r_2a_{12}}{(\mu a + d_{12}d_{31})}x_1^2 + \\
& \frac{2(d_{12}+d_{13})r_2}{d_{22}+d_{33}}b_{12}x_2x_3 + \frac{2(d_{12}+d_{13})a_{12}}{d_{22}+d_{33}}b_{12}x_1x_3 < 0. \quad (7.18)
\end{aligned}$$

By Condition 5.6 and by assumptions (1), (2) the inequality (7.18) is satisfied for $x \in \Omega_K$. So, (7.17) holds if the inequalities (7.14) and (7.18) are satisfied for $x \in \Omega_K$. Hence, \dot{V}_3 is negative defined on the domain

$$\Omega_0 = B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_3, \quad r \leq K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2,$$

i.e., the system (1.2) is global stable at the point $E_3(a, 1, b)$. We will find $C > 0$ such that $\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_3$, i.e.

$$\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x}) \cap \Omega_3.$$

It is clear to see that $\Omega_C \subset B_r(\bar{x})$, when

$$C < \min_{|x-\bar{x}|=r} V_2(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_2)r^2, \quad \bar{x} = (a, 0, b),$$

here $\lambda_{\min}(P_3)$ denotes a minimum eigen value of P_3 .

Moreover, for some $C > 0$ the inclusion $\Omega_C \subset \Omega_3$ means the existence of $C > 0$ so that $x \in \Omega_C$ implies $x \in \Omega_3$, i.e. (7.17) holds.

So,

$$x \in B_{\bar{r}}(\bar{x}) = \{x \in R^3, |x - \bar{x}| < \bar{r}\},$$

where

$$\mu_2 = \min \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha}, -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha} \right\}, \mu_3 = \left\{ -\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha}, -\frac{\beta_3}{\alpha} \right\},$$
$$\bar{r} = [2(1 + \mu_2^2)K_2^2 + 2(1 + \mu_3^2)K_3^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$C < \min_{|x|=r_0} V_3(x) = \lambda_{\min}(P_3) \bar{r}^2,$$

i.e.

$$C < \lambda_{\min}(P_3) \bar{r}^2 \text{ for } r_0 = \min\{r, \bar{r}\}.$$

Acknowledgements

The author is thanking to Assist. Prof. of department of biophysics, Yeditepe University A. Maharramov, Assoc. Prof. of department of Immunology Yeditepe University Gülderen Yanikkaya Demirel and Prof. Dr. Faculty of Health Sciences of Okan University Aida Sahmurova according to their valuable suggestions in the field of medicine and biology.

References

1. Kuznetsov V. A., Makalkin I. A., Taylor M. A., Perelson A. S., Nonlinear dynamics of immunogenic tumors: parameter estimation and global bifurcation analysis, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 1994(56), 295–321.
2. Adam J. A, Bellomo C., A survey of models for tumor-immune system dynamics, Boston, MA: Birkhauser, 1996.
3. Eftimie R, Bramson J. L., Earn D. J. D., Interactions between the immune system and cancer: a brief review of non-spatial mathematical models, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 2011(73), 2–32.
4. Kirschner D, Panetta J., Modelling immunotherapy of the tumor-immune interaction, *J. Math. Biol.* 1998(37), 235–52.
5. de Pillis L. G., Radunskaya A., The dynamics of an optimally controlled tumor model: a case study, *Math. Comput. Modell* 2003(37),1221–44.
6. Nani F., Freedman H. A., Mathematical model of cancer treatment by immunotherapy, *Math Biosci.* 2000(163), 159–99.
7. Altrock P. M., Lin L. Liu., Michor F., The mathematics of cancer: integrating quantitative models, *Nature Reviews Cancer.* 15 (2015), No.12, 730-745.
8. Itik I. M., Banks S. P., Chaos in a three-dimensional cancer model, *Int J. Bifurcation Chaos* 2010, 2010(20), 71–79.

9. Levine, H. A., Pamuk S., Sleeman B. D., Mathematical modeling of capillary formation and development in tumor angiogenesis, *Penetration into the Stroma bulletin of Mathematical Biology* (2001) 63, 801–863.
10. Starkov, K. E., Krishchenko A. P., On the global dynamics of one cancer tumour growth model, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 19 (2014), 1486–1495.
11. Khalil H. *Nonlinear systems*, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2002.