

Connections between a system of Forward-Backward SDEs and Backward Stochastic PDEs related to the utility maximization problem

M. Mania and R. Tevzadze

Abstract. Connections between a system of Forward-Backward SDEs and Backward Stochastic PDEs related to the utility maximization problem is established. Besides, we derive another version of FBSDE of the same problem and prove an existence of a solution

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 90A09, 60H30, 90C39

Keywords: Utility maximization problem, Backward Stochastic Partial Differential Equation, Forward Backward Stochastic Differential Equation.

1 Introduction

We consider a financial market model, where the dynamics of asset prices is described by the continuous R^d -valued continuous semimartingale S defined on a complete probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with filtration $F = (F_t, t \in [0, T])$ satisfying the usual conditions, where $\mathcal{F} = F_T$ and $T < \infty$. We work with discounted terms, i.e. the bond is assumed to be a constant.

Let $U = U(x) : R \rightarrow R$ be a utility function taking finite values at all points of real line R such that U is continuously differentiable, increasing, strictly concave and satisfies the Inada conditions

$$U'(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} U'(x) = 0, \quad U'(-\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U'(x) = \infty. \quad (1)$$

We also assume that U satisfies the condition of reasonable asymptotic elasticity (see [5] and [13] for a detailed discussion of these conditions), i.e.

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{xU'(x)}{U(x)} < 1, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{xU'(x)}{U(x)} > 1. \quad (2)$$

For the utility function U we denote by \tilde{U} its convex conjugate

$$\tilde{U}(y) = \sup_x (U(x) - xy), \quad y > 0. \quad (3)$$

Denote by \mathcal{M}^e (resp. \mathcal{M}^a) the set of probability measures Q equivalent (resp. absolutely continuous) with respect to P such that S is a local martingale under Q .

Let \mathcal{M}_U^a (resp. \mathcal{M}_U^e) be the convex set of probability measures $Q \in \mathcal{M}^a$ (resp. \mathcal{M}^e) such that

$$E\tilde{U}\left(\frac{dQ_T}{dP_T}\right) < \infty. \quad (4)$$

It follows from proposition 4.1 of [12] that (4) implies $E\tilde{U}\left(y\frac{dQ_T}{dP_T}\right) < \infty$ for any $y > 0$.

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$\mathcal{M}_U^e \neq \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

The wealth process, determined by a self-financing trading strategy π and initial capital x , is defined as a stochastic integral

$$X_t^{x,\pi} = x + \int_0^t \pi_u dS_u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We consider the utility maximization problem with random endowment H , where H is a liability that the agent must deliver at terminal time T . H is an F_T -measurable random variable which for simplicity is assumed to

be bounded (one can use also weaker assumption 1.6 from [10]). The value function $V(x)$ associated to the problem is defined by

$$V(x) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi_x} E \left[U \left(x + \int_0^T \pi_u dS_u + H \right) \right], \quad (6)$$

where Π_x is a class of strategies which (following [13] and [10]) we define as the class of predictable S -integrable processes π such that $U(x + (\pi \cdot S)_T + H) \in L^1(P)$ and $\pi \cdot S$ is a supermartingale under each $Q \in \mathcal{M}_U^a$.

The dual problem to (6) is

$$\tilde{V}(y) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{M}_U^e} E[\tilde{U}(y\rho_T^Q) + y\rho_T^Q], \quad y > 0, \quad (7)$$

where $\rho_t^Q = dQ_t/dP_t$ is the density process of the measure $Q \in \mathcal{M}^e$ relative to the basic measure P .

It was shown in [10] that under assumptions (2) and (5) an optimal strategy $\pi(x)$ in the class Π_x exists. There exists also an optimal martingale measure $Q(y)$ to the problem (7), called the minimax martingale measure and by $\rho^* = (\rho_t(y), t \in [0, T])$ we denote the density process of this measure relative to the measure P .

It follows also from [10] that under assumptions (2) and (5) optimal solutions $\pi(x) \in \Pi$ and $Q(y) \in \mathcal{M}_U^e$ are related as

$$U' \left(x + \int_0^T \pi_u(x) dS_u + H \right) = y\rho_T(y), \quad P - a.s. \quad (8)$$

The continuity of S and the existence of an equivalent martingale measure imply that the structure condition is satisfied, i.e. S admits the decomposition

$$S_t = M_t + \int_0^t d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s, \quad \int_0^t \lambda_s^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s < \infty$$

for all t P -a.s., where M is a continuous local martingale and λ is a predictable process. The sign T here denotes the transposition.

Let us introduce a dynamic value function of the problem (6) defined as

$$V(t, x) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\pi \in \Pi_x} E \left(U \left(x + \int_t^T \pi_u dS_u + H \right) \mid F_t \right). \quad (9)$$

It is well known that for any $x \in R$ the process $(V(t, x), t \in [0, T])$ is a supermartingale admitting an RCLL (right-continuous with left limits) modification.

Therefore, using the Galchouk–Kunita–Watanabe (GKW) decomposition, the value function is represented as

$$V(t, x) = V(0, x) - A(t, x) + \int_0^t \psi(s, x) dM_s + L(t, x),$$

where for any $x \in R$ the process $A(t, x)$ is increasing and $L(t, x)$ is a local martingale orthogonal to M .

Definition 1. We shall say that $(V(t, x), t \in [0, T])$ is a regular family of semimartingales if

a) $V(t, x)$ is two-times continuously differentiable at x P - a.s. for any $t \in [0, T]$,

b) for any $x \in R$ the process $V(t, x)$ is a special semimartingale with bounded variation part absolutely continuous with respect to an increasing predictable process $(K_t, t \in [0, T])$, i.e.

$$A(t, x) = \int_0^t a(s, x) dK_s,$$

for some real-valued function $a(s, x)$ which is predictable and K -integrable for any $x \in R$,

c) for any $x \in R$ the process $V'(t, x)$ is a special semimartingale with the decomposition

$$V'(t, x) = V'(0, x) - \int_0^t a'(s, x) dK_s + \int_0^t \psi'(s, x) dM_s + L'(t, x).$$

where a', ψ' and L' are partial derivatives of a, ψ and L respectively.

If $F(t, x)$ is a family of semimartingales then $\int_0^T F(ds, \xi_s)$ denotes a generalized stochastic integral, or a stochastic line integral (see [6], or [2]). If $F(t, x) = xG_t$, where G_t is a semimartingale then the stochastic line integral coincides with the usual stochastic integral denoted by $\int_0^T \xi_s dG_s$ or $(\xi \cdot G)_T$.

It was shown in [7, 8, 9] (see, e.g., Theorem 3.1 from [9]) that if the value function satisfies conditions a)-c) then it solves the following BSPDE

$$V(t, x) = V(0, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{V''(s, x)} (\varphi'(s, x) + \lambda(s)V'(s, x))^T d\langle M \rangle_s (\varphi'(s, x) + \lambda(s)V'(s, x)) \\
& + \int_0^t \varphi(s, x) dM_s + L(t, x), \quad V(T, x) = U(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and optimal wealth satisfies the SDE

$$X_t(x) = x - \int_0^t \frac{\varphi'(s, X_s(x)) + \lambda(s)V'(s, X_s(x))}{V''(s, X_s(x))} dS_s. \tag{11}$$

Note that the BSPDE (10), (11) is of the same form for utility functions defined on half real line and also for random utility functions $U(\omega, x)$.

In the paper [4] a new approach was developed, where a characterization of optimal strategies to the problem (6) in terms of a system of Forward-Backward Stochastic Differential Equations (FBSDE) in the Brownian framework was given. The key observation was an existence of a stochastic process Y with $Y_T = H$ such that $U'(X_t + Y_t)$ is a martingale. The same approach was used in [11], where these results were generalized in semimartingale setting with continuous filtration rejecting also some technical conditions imposed in [4]. The FBSDE for the pair (X, Y) (where X is the optimal wealth and Y the process mentioned above) is of the form

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \left[\lambda_s^T \frac{U'(X_s + Y_s)}{U''(X_s + Y_s)} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_s^T \frac{U'''(X_s + Y_s)U'(X_s + Y_s)^2}{U''(X_s + Y_s)^3} \right] dS_s \tag{12}$$

$$+ Z_s^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{U'''(X_s + Y_s)}{U''(X_s + Y_s)} d\langle N \rangle_s + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s + N_t, \quad Y_T = H.$$

$$X_t = x - \int_0^t \left(\lambda_s \frac{U'(X_s + Y_s)}{U''(X_s + Y_s)} + Z_s \right) dS_s, \tag{13}$$

where N is a local martingale orthogonal to M .

Note that in ([4]) and ([11]) an existence of a solution of FBSDE (12), (13) is not proved, since not all conditions of corresponding theorems are formulated in terms of basic objects. E.g., in both papers is imposed that $E(U'(X_T^* + H))^2 < \infty$ and it is not clear if an optimal strategy satisfying this condition exists. One our goal is to derive an other version of FBSDE (12), (13) and to prove an existence of a solution which will imply an existence of a solution of the system (12), (13).

The second goal is to establish relations between equations BSPDE (10), (11) and FBSDE (12), (13). Solutions of these equations give constructions of the optimal strategy of one and the same problem, hence they should be related in some way. On the other hand BSPDE (19),(20) can be considered as a generalization of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation to the non Markovian case and FBSDE (12), (13) is linked with the stochastic maximum principle (see [4]), although equation (12)- (13) is not obtained directly from the maximum principle. It is well known that the relation between Bellman's dynamic programming and the Pontriagin's maximum principle in optimal control is of the form $\psi_t = V'(t, X_t)$, where V is the value function, X an optimal solution and ψ is an adjoint process (see, e.g. [1], [14]). Therefore, somewhat similar relation between above mentioned equations should be expected. In particular, it is shown in Theorem 1, that the first components of solutions of of these equations are related by the equality

$$Y_t = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, X_t)) - X_t.$$

In section 3 we derive other version of the FBSDE system (12), (13) with decoupling field $u(t, x) = V'(t, x) - U'(x)$ (see definition 2 below), where the backward component P_t is a process, such that $P_t + U'(X_t)$ is a martingale.

2 Relations between BSPDE (10)-(11) and FBSDE (12)-(13)

To establish relations between equations BSPDE (10), (11) and FBSDE (12), (13) we need the following

Definition 2 ([3]). The function $u(t, x)$ is called a decoupling field of the FBSDE (12), (13) if

$$u(T, x) = H \tag{14}$$

and for any $x \in R, s, \tau \in R_+$ such that $0 \leq s < \tau \leq T$ the FBSDE

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= u(s, x) \tag{15} \\ &+ \int_s^t \left(\lambda_r^T \frac{U'(X_r + Y_r)}{U''(X_r + Y_r)} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_r^T \frac{U'''(X_r + Y_r)U'(X_r + Y_r)^2}{U''(X_r + Y_r)^3} + Z_r^T \right) d\langle M \rangle_r \lambda_r \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \frac{U'''(X_r + Y_r)}{U''(X_r + Y_r)} d\langle N \rangle_r + \int_s^t Z_r dM_r + N_t - N_s, \quad Y_\tau = u(\tau, X_\tau), \end{aligned}$$

$$X_t = x - \int_s^t \left(\lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r + Y_r)}{U''(X_r + Y_r)} + Z_r \right) dS_r, \quad (16)$$

has a solution (Y, Z, N, X) satisfying

$$Y_t = u(t, X_t), \quad t \in [s, \tau]. \quad (17)$$

We shall say that $u(t, x)$ is a regular decoupling field if it is a regular family of semimartingales (in the sense of Definition 1).

If we differentiate equation BSPDE (10) at x (assuming that all derivatives involved exist), we obtain the BSPDE

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t, x) &= V'(0, x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{(\varphi'(s, x) + \lambda_s V'(s, x))^T}{V''(s, x)} d\langle M \rangle_s(\varphi'(s, x) + \lambda_s V'(s, x)) \right)' \\ &+ \int_0^t \varphi'(s, x) dM_s + L'(t, x), \quad V'(T, x) = U'(x + H). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus, we consider the following BSPDE

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t, x) &= V'(0, x) + \int_0^t \left[\frac{(V''(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi''(s, x))^T}{V''(s, x)} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} V'''(s, x) \frac{(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))^T}{V''(s, x)} \right] d\langle M \rangle_s(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x)) \\ &+ \int_0^t \varphi'(s, x) dM_s + L'(t, x), \quad V'(T, x) = U'(x + H), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the optimal wealth satisfies the same SDE

$$X_t(x) = x - \int_0^t \frac{\varphi'(s, X_s(x)) + \lambda(s)V'(s, X_s(x))}{V''(s, X_s(x))} dS_s. \quad (20)$$

The FBSDE (12), (13) is equivalent, in some sense, to BSPDE (19),(20) and the following statement establishes a relation between these equations.

Theorem 1. Let the utility function $U(x)$ be three-times continuously differentiable and let the filtration F be continuous.

a) If $V'(t, x)$ is a regular family of semimartingales and $(V'(t, x), \varphi'(t, x), L'(t, x), X_t)$ is a solution of BSPDE (19),(20), then the quadruple (Y_t, Z_t, N_t, X_t) , where

$$Y_t = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, X_t)) - X_t, \quad (21)$$

$$Z_t = \lambda_t \tilde{U}'(V'(t, X_t)) + \frac{\varphi'(t, X_t) + \lambda_t V'(t, X_t)}{V''(t, X_t)}, \quad (22)$$

$$N_t = - \int_0^t \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s)) d\left(\int_0^s L'(dr, X_r) \right), \quad (23)$$

will satisfy the FBSDE (12), (13). Moreover, the function $u(t, x) = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, x)) - x$ will be the decoupling field of this FBSDE.

b) Let $u(t, x)$ be a regular decoupling field of FBSDE (12), (13) and let $(U'(X_t + Y_t), s \leq t \leq T)$ be a true martingale for every $s \in [0, T]$. Then $(V'(t, x), \varphi'(t, x), L'(t, x), X)$ will be a solution of BSPDE (19), (20) and following relations hold

$$V'(t, x) = U'(x + u(t, x)), \quad \text{hence} \quad V'(t, X_t) = U'(X_t + Y_t), \quad (24)$$

$$\varphi'(t, X_t) = \left(Z_t + \lambda_s \frac{U'(X_t + Y_t)}{U''(X_t + Y_t)} \right) V''(t, X_t) - \lambda_t U'(X_t + Y_t), \quad (25)$$

$$\int_0^t L'(ds, X_s) = \int_0^t U''(X_s + Y_s) dN_s, \quad (26)$$

where $\int_0^t L'(ds, X_s)$ is a stochastic line integral with respect to the family $(L'(t, x), x \in R)$ along the process X .

Proof. a) It follows from BSPDE (19), (20) and from the Itô-Ventzel formula that $V'(t, X_t)$ is a local martingale with the decomposition

$$V'(t, X_t) = V'(0, x) - \int_0^t \lambda_s V'(s, X_s) dM_s + \int_0^t L'(ds, X_s). \quad (27)$$

Let $Y_t = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, X_t)) - X_t$. Since U is three-times differentiable (hence so is \tilde{U} also), Y_t will be a special semimartingale and by GKW decomposition

$$Y_t = Y_0 + A_t + \int_0^t Z_u dM_u + N_t, \quad (28)$$

where A is a predictable process of finite variations and N is a local martingale orthogonal to M .

The definition of the process Y , decompositions (27), (28) and the Itô formula for $\tilde{U}'(V'(t, X_t))$ imply that

$$A_t + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s + N_t = \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)\lambda_s dM_s - \int_0^t \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))d\left(\int_0^s L'(dr, X_r)\right) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)^2 \lambda_s^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))d\left\langle \int_0^\cdot L'(dr, X_r) \right\rangle_s \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{\lambda_s V'(s, X_s) + \varphi'(s, X_s)}{V''(s, X_s)} dM_s + \int_0^t \frac{\lambda_s^T V'(s, X_s) + \varphi'(s, X_s)^T}{V''(s, X_s)} d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s,
\end{aligned}$$

Equalizing the integrands of stochastic integrals with respect to dM in (29) we have that $\mu^{(M)}$ -a.e.

$$Z_s = \frac{\lambda_s V'(s, X_s) + \varphi'(s, X_s)}{V''(s, X_s)} + \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)\lambda_s. \quad (30)$$

Equalizing the orthogonal martingale parts we get P -a.s.

$$N_t = - \int_0^t \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))d\left(\int_0^s L'(dr, X_r)\right). \quad (31)$$

Equalizing the parts of finite variations in (29) we have

$$A_t = \int_0^t \frac{\lambda_s^T V'(s, X_s) + \varphi'(s, X_s)^T}{V''(s, X_s)} d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s \quad (32)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)^2 \lambda_s^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))d\left\langle \int_0^\cdot L'(dr, X_r) \right\rangle_s$$

and by equalities (30), (31) we obtain from (32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_t = \int_0^t \left(Z_s - \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)\lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s)^2 \lambda_s \right)^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{U}'''(V'(s, X_s))}{\tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))^2} d\langle N \rangle_s. \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the duality relations

$$V'(t, X_t) = U'(X_t + Y_t),$$

$$\tilde{U}''(V'(t, X_t)) = -\frac{1}{U''(X_t + Y_t)},$$

$$\tilde{U}'''(V'(t, X_t)) = -\frac{U'''(X_t + Y_t)}{(U''(X_t + Y_t))^3},$$

we obtain from (33) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_t = \int_0^t \left(\lambda_s \frac{U'(X_s + Y_s)}{U''(X_s + Y_s)} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_s \frac{U'''(X_s + Y_s)U'(X_s + Y_s)^2}{U''(X_s + Y_s)^3} + Z_s \right)^T d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{U'''(X_s + Y_s)}{U''(X_s + Y_s)} d\langle N \rangle_s \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Thus, (28) and (34) imply that Y satisfies equation (12).

Since

$$\tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s))V'(s, X_s) = -\frac{1}{U''(X_s + Y_s)},$$

from (20) and (30) we obtain equation (13) for the optimal wealth.

The proof that the function $u(t, x) = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, x)) - x$ is the decoupling field of the FBSDE (12) is similar. One should take integrals from s to t and use the same arguments.

b) Since the quadruple $(Y^{s,x}, Z^{s,x}, N^{s,x}, X^{s,x})$ satisfies the FBSDE (15), (16), it follows from the Itô formula that for any $t \geq s$

$$\begin{aligned} U'(X_t^{s,x} + Y_t^{s,x}) = U'(x + u(s, x)) - \int_s^t \lambda_r U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x}) dM_r \\ + \int_s^t U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x}) dN_r. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Thus $U'(X_t^{s,x} + Y_t^{s,x}), t \geq s$, is a local martingale and a true martingale by assumption. Therefore, it follows from (14) and (17) that

$$U'(X_t^{s,x} + Y_t^{s,x}) = E(U'(X_T^{s,x} + H) | \mathcal{F}_t) = V'(t, X_t^{s,x}), \quad (36)$$

where the last equality is proved similarly to [12]. For $t = s$ we obtain that

$$U'(x + u(s, x)) = V'(s, x), \quad (37)$$

hence

$$u(t, x) = -\tilde{U}'(V'(t, x)) - x. \quad (38)$$

Since $U(x)$ three-times differentiable and $u(t, x)$ is regular decoupling field, equality (37) implies that $V'(t, x)$ will be a regular family of semimartingales.

Therefore, using the Itô-Ventzel formula for $V'(t, X_t^{s,x})$ and equalities (35) , (36) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^t [\varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x}) - V''(r, X_r^{s,x}) (\lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}{U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})} + Z_r^{s,x})] dM_r \quad (39) \\
& \quad + \int_s^t L'(dr, X_r) + \int_s^t a'(r, X_r^{s,x}) dK_r \\
& - \int_s^t (\lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}{U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})} + Z_r^{s,x})^T d\langle M \rangle_r (V''(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi''(r, X_r^{s,x})) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (V'''(r, X_r^{s,x})) (\lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}{U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})} + Z_r^{s,x})^T d\langle M \rangle_r (\lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}{U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})} + Z_r^{s,x}) \\
& = - \int_s^t \lambda_r U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x}) dM_r + \int_s^t U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x}) dN_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Equalizing the integrands of stochastic integrals with respect to dM in (39) we have that μ^K -a.e.

$$Z_r^{s,x} = \frac{\lambda_r V'(r, X_r^{s,x}) + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x})}{V''(r, X_r^{s,x})} - \lambda_r \frac{U'(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}{U''(X_r^{s,x} + Y_r^{s,x})}. \quad (40)$$

Equalizing the parts of finite variations in (39) taking (40) in mind we get that for any $t > s$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^t a'(r, X_r^{s,x}) dK_r = \int_s^t \left[\frac{(V''(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi''(r, X_r^{s,x}))}{V''(r, X_r^{s,x})} \right. \quad (41) \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2} V'''(r, X_r^{s,x}) \frac{(V'(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x}))}{V''(r, X_r^{s,x})^2} \right]^T d\langle M \rangle_r (V'(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x})).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_s(\varepsilon) = \inf\{t \geq s : K_t - K_s \geq \varepsilon\}$. Since $\langle M^i, M^j \rangle \ll \tilde{K}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, where $\tilde{K} = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle M^i \rangle$, taking an increasing process $K + \tilde{K}$ (which we denote again by K), without loss of generality we can assume that $\langle M \rangle \ll K$ and denote by C_t the matrix of Radon-Nicodym derivatives $C_t = \frac{d\langle M \rangle_t}{dK_t}$. Then from (41)

$$\int_s^{\tau_s(\varepsilon)} \left[\frac{(V''(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi''(r, X_r^{s,x}))^T C_r (V'(r, X_r^{s,x}) \lambda_r + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x}))}{V''(r, X_r^{s,x})} \right] \quad (42)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}V'''(r, X_r^{s,x})\frac{(V'(r, X_r^{s,x})\lambda_r + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x}))^T C_r(V'(r, X_r^{s,x})\lambda_r + \varphi'(r, X_r^{s,x}))}{V''(r, X_r^{s,x})^2} - a'(r, X_r^{s,x}) \Big] dK_r = 0.$$

Since for any $x \in R$ the process $X_r^{s,x}$ is continuous function on $\{(r, s), r \geq s\}$ with $X_s^{s,x} = x$ (as a solution of equation (16)) and $V'(t, x)$ is a regular family of semimartingales, dividing equality (42) by ε and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ from [7] (Proposition B1) we obtain that for each x

$$\begin{aligned} a'(s, x) &= \frac{(V''(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi''(s, x))^T C_s(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))}{V''(s, x)} \quad (43) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}V'''(s, x)\frac{(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))^T C_s(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))}{V''(s, x)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))^T C_s(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))}{V''(s, x)} \right)', \quad \mu^K - a.e., \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $V'(t, x)$ satisfies the BSPDE

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t, x) &= V'(0, x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left(\frac{(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))^T C_s(V'(s, x)\lambda_s + \varphi'(s, x))}{V''(s, x)} \right)' dK_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \varphi'(s, x) dM_s + L'(t, x), \quad V'(T, x) = U'(x + H). \quad \square \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. In the proof of the part a) of the theorem we need the condition that $V'(t, x)$ is a regular family of semimartingales only to show equality (27) and to obtain representation (23). Equality (27) one can prove without this assumption (replacing the stochastic line integral by a local martingale orthogonal to M) from the duality relation

$$V'(t, X_t(x)) = \rho_t(y), \quad y = V'(x),$$

where $\rho_t(y)/y$ is the density of the minimax martingale measure (see [13] and [10] for the version with random endowment). Since $\rho_t(y)/y$ is representable in the form $\mathcal{E}(-\lambda \cdot M + D)$, for a local martingale D orthogonal to M , using the Dolean Dade equation we have

$$V'(t, X_t) = \rho_t = y - \int_0^t \lambda_s \rho_s dM_s + \int_0^t \rho_s dD_s =$$

$$= 1 - \int_0^t \lambda_s V'(s, X_s) dM_s + R_t,$$

where $R_t \equiv (Z \cdot D)_t$ is a local martingale orthogonal to M . Further the proof will be the same if we always use a local martingale R_t instead of stochastic line integral $\int_0^t (L'(ds, X_s))$. Hence the representation (23) will be of the form

$$N_t = - \int_0^t \tilde{U}''(V'(s, X_s)) dR_t.$$

Remark 2. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1, that if a regular decoupling field for the FBSDE (12), (13) exists, then the second component of the solution Z is also of the form $Z_t = g(\omega, t, X_t)$ fore some measurable function g and if we assume that any orthogonal to M local martingale L is represented as a stochastic integral with respect to the given continuous local martingale M^\perp , then the third component N of the solution will take the same form $N_t = \int_0^t g^\perp(s, X_s) dM_s^\perp$, for some measurable function g^\perp .

3 Another version of the Forward-Backward system (12)-(13)

In this section we derive other version of the Forward-Backward system (12), (13) and prove an existence of a solution.

Theorem 2. Let utility function U be three-times continuously differentiable and let S be a continuous semimartingale. Assume that conditions (2) and (5) are satisfied. Then there exists a quadruple (P, ψ, L, X) that satisfies the FBSDE

$$X_t = x - \int_0^t \frac{\lambda_s P_s + \lambda_s U'(X_s) + \psi_s}{U''(X_s)} dS_s, \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= P_0 \\ + \int_0^t &\left[\lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} U'''(X_s) \frac{(\lambda_s P_s + \lambda_s U'(X_s) + \psi_s)}{U''(X_s)^2} \right]^T d\langle M \rangle_s (\lambda_s P_s + \lambda_s U'(X_s) + \psi_s) \\ &+ \int_0^t \psi_s dM_s + L_t, \quad (46) \\ P_T &= U'(X_T + H) - U'(X_T). \end{aligned}$$

In addition the optimal strategy is expressed as

$$\pi_t^* = -\frac{\lambda_t P_t + \lambda_t U'(X_t) + \psi_t}{U''(X_t)} \quad (47)$$

and the optimal wealth X^* coincides with X .

Proof. Define the process

$$P_t = E(U'(X_T^* + H)/F_t) - U'(X_t^*). \quad (48)$$

Note that the integrability of $U'(X_T^* + H)$ follows from the duality relation (8). It is evident that $P_T = U'(X_T^* + H) - U'(X_T^*)$.

Since U is three-times differentiable, $U'(X_t^*)$ is a continuous semimartingale and P_t admits the decomposition

$$P_t = P_0 + A_t + \int_0^t \psi_u dM_u + L_t, \quad (49)$$

where A is a predictable process of finite variations and L is a local martingale orthogonal to M .

Since ρ_t^* is the density of a martingale measure, it is of the form $\rho_t^* = \mathcal{E}_t(-\lambda \cdot M + R)$, $R \perp M$. Therefore, (8) and (48) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} E(U'(X_T^* + H)/F_t) &= y \rho_t^* = y - \int_0^t \lambda_s y \rho_s^* dM_s + \tilde{R}_t \\ &= y - \int_0^t (P_s + U'(X_s^*)) \lambda_s dM_s + \tilde{R}_t, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $y = EU'(X_T^* + H)$ and \tilde{R} is a local martingale orthogonal to M .

By definition of the process P_t , using the Itô formula for $U'(X_t^*)$ and taking decompositions (49), (50) in mind, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 + A_t + \int_0^t \psi_s dM_s + L_t &= y - \int_0^t (P_s + U'(X_s^*)) \lambda_s dM_s + \tilde{R}_t - \\ &- U'(x) - \int_0^t U''(X_s^*) \pi_s^{*T} d\langle M \rangle_s \lambda_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t U'''(X_s^*) \pi_s^{*T} d\langle M \rangle_s \pi_s^* \\ &- \int_0^t U''(X_s^*) \pi_s^* dM_s. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Equalizing the integrands of stochastic integrals with respect to dM we have that $\mu^{(M)}$ -a.e.

$$\pi_t^* = -\frac{\lambda_t P_t + \lambda_t U'(X_t^*) + \psi_t}{U''(X_t^*)} \quad (52)$$

Equalizing the parts of finite variations in (51) we get

$$A_t = -\int_0^t (U'''(X_s^*)\lambda_s + \frac{1}{2}U'''(X_s^*)\pi_s^*)^T d\langle M \rangle_s \pi_s^* \quad (53)$$

and from (52), substituting the expression for π^* in (53) we obtain that

$$A_t = \int_0^t \left[\lambda_s - \frac{1}{2}U'''(X_s) \frac{(\lambda_s P_s + \lambda_s U'(X_s) + \psi_s)}{U''(X_s)^2} \right]^T d\langle M \rangle_s (\lambda_s P_s + \lambda_s U'(X_s) + \psi_s) \quad (54)$$

Therefore, (54) and (49) imply that P_t satisfies equation (46). Integrating both parts of equality (52) with respect to dS and adding the initial capital we obtain equation (45) for the optimal wealth. \square

Remark 1. Similarly to Theorem 1b) one can show that $u(t, x) = V'(t, x) - U'(x)$ is the decoupling field of (45),(46).

Remark 2. The generator of equation (46) does not contain the orthogonal martingale part. Therefore it preserves the same form without assumption of the continuity of the filtration (if S is continuous).

Corollary. Let conditions of Theorem 2 be satisfied and assume that the filtration F is continuous. Then there exists a solution of FBSDE (12), (13). In particular, if the pair (X, P) is a solution of (45),(46), then the pair (X, Y) , where

$$Y_t = -\tilde{U}'(P_t + U'(X_t)) - X_t,$$

satisfies the FBSDE (12), (13).

Conversely, if the pair (X, Y) solves the FBSDE (12), (13), then $(X_t, P_t = U'(X_t + Y_t) - U'(X_t))$ satisfies (45),(46).

References

- [1] J. M. Bismut, Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 44, (1973), pp. 384–404.
- [2] R. Chitashvili, Martingale ideology in the theory of controlled stochastic processes, Probability theory and mathematical statistics, Proc. 4th

- USSR-Jap. Symp., Tbilisi, 1982, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin etc, N. 1021, (1983), pp.73–92
- [3] A. Fromm and P. Imkeller, Existence, Uniqueness and Regularity of Decoupling Fields to Multidimensional Fully coupled FBSDEs, arXiv:1310.0499v2 , (2013).
 - [4] U.Horst, Y.Hu, P.Imkeller, A.Reveillac and J.Zhang, Forward-backward systems for expected utility maximization, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124, N. 5, (2014), pp. 1813–1848.
 - [5] D. Kramkov and W. Schachermayer, The asymptotic elasticity of utility functions and optimal investment in incomplete markets. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, Vol 9, No 9, (1999),904-950.
 - [6] H. Kunita, *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations*, Cambridge University Press, (1990)
 - [7] M. Mania and R. Tevzadze, Backward Stochastic PDE and Imperfect Hedging, *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, vol.6, 7,(2003),663-692.
 - [8] M. Mania and R. Tevzadze, Backward stochastic partial differential equations related to utility maximization and hedging, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 153, No. 3, (2008), pp. 292–376.
 - [9] M. Mania and R. Tevzadze, Backward stochastic PDEs related to utility maximization problem, *Georgian Math. Journal*, Vol. 17, No. 4, (2010), pp. 705- 741.
 - [10] M. P. Owen and G. Zitikovich , Optimal Investment with an Unbounded Random Endowment and Utility-based Pricing, *Mathematical Finance*, Volume 19, Issue 1, (2009), pp. 129-159.
 - [11] M. Santacrose and B. Trivellato, Forward backward semimartingale systems for utility maximization, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 52(6), (2014), pp. 3517–3537.
 - [12] W. Schachermayer, Optimal investment in incomplete markets when wealth may be negative, *The Annals of Applied Probability*, Vol. 11, No. 3, (2001), 694-734

- [13] W. Schachermayer, A Super-Martingale Property of the Optimal Portfolio Process. *Finance and Stochastics*, Vol. 7 , No. 4, (2003), pp. 433–456.
- [14] X. Y. Zhou, The Connection between the Maximum Principle and Dynamic Programming in Stochastic Control, *Stochastics and Stochastics Reports*, Vol. 31 , No. 1-4, (1990), pp. 1–15.