Reduction of Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) by Superalkalis

Ambrish Kumar Srivastava

P. G. Department of Physics, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara-802301, Bihar, India

E-mail: ambrishphysics@gmail.com

Abstract

 NO_x are major air pollutants, having negative impact on environment and consequently, human health. We propose here the single-electron reduction of NO_x (x = 1, 2) using superalkalis. We study the interaction of NO_x with FLi₂, OLi₃ and NLi₄ superalkalis using density functional calculations, which lead to stable superalkali-NO_x ionic complexes with negatively charged NO_x . This clearly reveals that the NO_x can successfully be reduced to NO_x^- anion due to electron transfer from superalkalis. It has been also noticed that the size of superalkalis plays a crucial in the single-electron reduction of NO_x .

Keywords: NO_{*x*}-reduction; Air pollutants; superalkalis; charge transfer; DFT calculations.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) are known to be major air pollutants, collectively referred to as NO_x. They contribute to the pollution by forming smog, acid rain as well as tropospheric ozone. These are generally produced by reaction of nitrogen and oxygen during combustion of vehicle fuels [1, 2] as well as by lightening during thunderstorms [3, 4]. Apart from pollution, NO_x gases have significant impact on human health indirectly. For instance, NO_x gases react with certain organic compounds forming smog and destroying ozone, which possesses adverse health effects such as damage to lung tissue and reduction in lung function [5]. For the sake of environmental safety, therefore, it is desirable to lessen the content of NO_x in atmosphere. This can be achieved by using the reductants such as urea or ammonia with or without use of a catalyst. In such reduction processes, NO_x are generally converted into nitrogen molecule (N₂), water (H₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂).

The single-electron reduction of NO_x has been difficult, particularly of NO due to its negligible electron affinity, 0.026 eV [6]. Although few NO₂ salts such as NaNO₂ already exist [7], there is no corresponding salt of NO. However, recent studies [8, 9] suggest that CO₂ can be easily reduced to CO₂⁻ anion by using superalkalis, despite the fact that CO₂ possesses no positive electron affinity [10]. This prompted us to enquire whether superalkalis can be employed for single-electron reduction of NO_x. Superalkalis [11] are species whose ionization energies (IEs) are lower than those of halogen. Such species have been previously employed in the design of supersalts [12-15], superbases [16-19], alkalides [20-22], etc. In general, superalkalis are hypervalent species with the general formula XM_{*k*+1} (where *k* is the valence of electropositive atom M and X is an electronegative ligand) possessing excess electron, which offer them strong reducing capabilities. It is, therefore, instructive to observe whether superalkalis can be employed to transfer an electron to NO_x. In this letter, we report the

interaction of NO_x with superalkalis using density functional theory based method. We noticed that superalkalis can indeed be used to reduce NO_x into NO_x^- anion. The study paves a way to reduce major air pollutants, which might be useful from the perspectives of environmental safety and human health as well.

2. Computational details

All computations were performed using density functional theory with B3LYP [23, 24] hybrid functional and 6-311+G(d) basis set in Gaussian 09 program [25]. Considering the size of system, it is quite easy to employ high level *ab initio* methods such as the second order Møller-Plesset perturbative (MP2) theory [26], the use of B3LYP is due to the fact that it is capable to reproduce the experimental results. In order to confirm this, we have performed test calculations on NO_x and compared the results in Table 1. One can note that our B3LYP computed bond lengths of NO_x reproduce corresponding experimental values [27], unlike MP2 values. Furthermore, B3LYP computed electron affinity of NO₂ is very close to corresponding experimental value measured by photoelectron spectrum [28] (see Table 1). This explains our preference of B3LYP over MP2 in the present study.

The equilibrium geometries have been obtained without any symmetry constraints in the potential energy surface. The vibrational analysis has been performed at the same level of theory to ensure that all frequency values are positive, i.e., the structures belong to true minima in the potential energy surface. The binding energy (BE) of SA-NO_x complexes are calculated by using following equations;

$$BE = E[NO_x] + E[SA] - E[SA-NO_x]$$

where E[..] represents total (electronic + zero-point) energy of respective species. The charge transfer in SA-NO_x complexes has been computed using natural population analysis (NPA) scheme [29] using NBO program [30] as implemented in Gaussian 09.

3. Results

First we analyze the equilibrium structures of NO_x and SA systems as displayed in Fig. 1. We have chosen FLi₂, OLi₃ and NLi₄ superalkalis, which belong to the general formula of XM_{*k*+1} such that k = 1 for F, 2 for O and 3 for N. The equilibrium structures of FLi₂, OLi₃ and NLi₄ are bent, trigonal planar and tetrahedral, respectively. In cationic form, the structure of FLi₂ becomes linear and the bond lengths of OLi₃ and NLi₄ are slightly increased. The IEs of these species are calculated to be 4.20 eV for FLi₂, 3.85 eV for OLi₃ and 3.75 eV for NLi₄, smaller than that of Li (~5 eV) as expected. In case of NO_x, the addition of an extra electron, i.e., single-electron reduction increases the bond length by ~0.1 Å. The bond angle of NO₂⁻ is also reduced to 117° from 134° in NO₂. The negative charge on NO₂⁻ is equally shared by two O atoms. Below we discuss the single-electron reduction of NO_x with respect to SA species.

The equilibrium structures of SA-NO complexes are displayed in Fig. 2 and corresponding parameters are listed in Table 2. For each SA species, we obtain two possible low lying isomers of SA-NO complexes (with the energy difference of merely 0.02 eV) such that either N or both O and N interact with SA. The lowest energy corresponds to the structure in which both O and N atoms interact with two Li atoms of SAs. In all SA-NO complexes, the bond lengths N–Li and O–Li in the lowest energy isomers (a) range 2.037–2.074 Å and 1.857–1.882 Å, respectively. Furthermore, the bond length of NO is increased to ~1.250 Å, which become comparable to that of NO[–] (see Fig. 1). This may indicate that the charge transfer takes place from SA to NO in SA-

NO complexes. The BE of SA-NO complexes are listed in Table 2. For all SA-NO complexes, BE > 0 and therefore, all these complexes are energetically stable. One can also note that the BE of SA-NO complexes decreases, BE(FLi₂-NO) > BE(OLi₃-NO) > BE(NLi₄-NO), with the increase in the size of SAs (FLi₂ < OLi₃ < NLi₄). Thus, the interaction between superalkali and NO becomes slightly weaker with the increase in the size of superalkalis. In order to analyze the charge transfer in SA-NO complexes, we have computed NPA charges (Δq) on NO moiety as listed in Table 2. In SA-NO complexes, the Δq takes value of -0.78*e* for SA = FLi₂, -0.76*e* for OLi₃ and -0.72*e* for NLi₄. These Δq values follow the same trend as those of BE values as expected due to the fact that lower Δq value results in the decrease in the strength of chargetransfer interaction. Thus, the charge transfer to NO is close to unity, which clearly suggests that the SA species are capable to reduce NO to NO⁻ and form stable ionic compounds, namely, (SA)⁺(NO)⁻.

In Fig. 3, we have displayed the equilibrium structures of SA-NO₂ complexes and corresponding parameters are collected in Table 3. We obtain two possible isomers, (a) and (b) of FLi₂-NO₂ in which NO₂ binds with two Li-atoms and one Li-atom, respectively. In case of OLi₃-NO₂ and NLi₄-NO₂, however, we obtain three isomers, (a), (b) and (c) whose relative energies are listed in Table 3. In each case, the lowest energy of SA-NO₂ complexes corresponds to the structure in which both O atoms of NO₂ interact with two Li-atoms of superalkalis as displayed in Fig. 3. The BE of SA-NO₂ complexes are also listed in Table 3. All BE values are positive except that of isomer (c) of NLi₄-NO₂. Furthermore, these BE values (~3-5 eV) are larger than those of corresponding SA-NO complexes (~1-2 eV). This may suggest that the interaction of NO₂ with SA is stronger than that of NO. This can be expected due to larger EA of NO₂ as compared to NO (see Table 1). Like SA-NO complexes, however, the BEs of SA-NO₂

complexes follow the same trend as: $BE(FLi_2-NO_2) > BE(OLi_3-NO_2) > BE(NLi_4-NO_2)$. Nevertheless, the charge transfer to NO₂ (Δq) is increased as compared to NO (see Table 2) in accordance with their BE values, becoming very close to unity. Likewise, SA-NO₂ complexes can also be expressed as (SA)⁺(NO₂)⁻.

4. Discussion

The results described above clearly suggest that the SA species are indeed capable to reduce NO_x to corresponding anions and form stable SA-NO_x ionic complex. However, the electron from SA can be easily transferred to NO_2 than to NO due to larger EA of the former. Consequently, SA-NO₂ complexes are more stable as compared to SA-NO complexes, which is reflected in their BE values. The size of superalkalis (SAs) and their IEs are two important parameters for reduction of NO_x. It should, however, be noticed that the size of superalkalis are more effective than their ionization energy in NO_x -reduction. For example, the size of superalkalis considered are in the order, FLi₂ < OLi₃ < NLi₄ whereas their ionization energies follow a reverse order, $FLi_2 > OLi_3 > NLi_4$. The charge transfer to NO_x is in the order, Δq (FLi₂- NO_x > Δq (OLi₃-NO_x) > Δq (NLi₄-NO_x). This is consistent with the fact that larger superalkalis usually possess more delocalized electron cloud [13]. Therefore, charge transfer from larger superalkali such as NLi₄ is not as much effective as in case of smaller superalkali such as FLi₂. Therefore, FLi₂ should be considered as the most powerful in the single-electron reduction of NO_x. The similar conclusion has already been drawn in case of CO₂-reduction by some novel superalkalis [8].

In order to further explore this fact, we have also carried out similar calculations using ONa_3 superalkali. The IE of ONa_3 is computed to be 3.71 eV, which is smaller than that of OLi_3 (3.85 eV). The equilibrium structures of ONa_3 -NO_x complexes are displayed in Fig. 4. One can see

that unlike OLi₃-NO, the lowest energy of ONa₃-NO corresponds to the structure in which N binds with two Na atoms. Similarly, the isomers of ONa₃-NO₂ are slightly different than the isomers of OLi₃-NO₂. However, the charge transfer to NO_x from ONa₃ superalkali becomes - 0.75e for x = 1 and -0.80e for x = 2. This may further support the fact that the size of superalkalis is more crucial in the reduction of NO_x. Note that the crystal structure of Na₃NO₃ has already been reported by Jansen [31], which was obtained by heating Na₂O and NaNO₂. According to Jansen, Na₃NO₃ is not an orthonitrite but it contains NO₂⁻ anionic group. This may not only support our conclusion that ONa₃ superalkali is capable in reducing NO₂ to NO₂⁻ but also suggest that these species are feasible experimentally, at least in the gas phase.

5. Conclusions

Using density functional B3LYP/6-311+G(d) calculations, we have studied the interaction of NO and NO₂ with typical superalkalis such as FLi₂, OLi₃ and NLi₄. We have obtained the lowest energy structures of resulting superalkali-NO_x (x = 1 and 2) complexes along with possible isomers. These complexes are ionic and stable in which an electron transfer takes place from superalkali to NO_x moieties. Thus, NO_x can be successfully reduced to its anion by using superalkalis. We have also noticed that the single-electron reduction of NO_x is more effective in case of smaller superalkali, similar to the case of CO₂-reduction reported previously. We have also studied the reduction of NO_x using ONa₃ superalkali forming ONa₃-NO and ONa₃-NO₂ complexes. This finding is supported by the fact that ONa₃-NO₂ ionic complex has already been synthesized.

Acknowledgement

A. K. Srivastava acknowledges Prof. N. Misra, Department of Physics, University of Lucknow for providing computational facilities and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] M. R. Beychok, Oil Gas J. 1973 (1973 53-56.
- [2] H. Omidvarborna, A. Kumar, D. -S. Kim, Fuel Process. Technol. 140 (2015) 113-118
- [3] J. S. Levine, T. R. Augustsson, I. C. Andersont, J. M. Hoell Jr., D. A. Brewer, Atmos.

Environ. 18 (1984) 1797–1804.

[4] U. Schumann, H. Huntrieser, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 7 (2007) 3823.

[5] NOx How Nitrogen Oxides Affect The Way We Live And Breathe, Available online at: <u>https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=P10006ZO.txt</u> (Retrieved 20-12-2017).

[6] M. J. Travers, D. C. Cowles, G. B. Ellison, Chem. Phys. Lett. 164 (1989) 449.

[7] N. N. Greenwood, A. Earnshaw, Chemistry of the Elements (2nd ed.), Butterworth-Heinemann (1997).

- [8] T. Zhao, Q. Wang, P. Jena, Nanoscale 9 (2017) 4891-4897.
- [9] H. Park, G. Meloni, Dalton Trans. 6 (2017) 11942-11949.
- [10] A. Knapp, O. Echt, D. Kreisle, T. D. Mark, E.Recknagel, Chem. Phys. Lett. 126 (1986) 225.
- [11] G. L. Gutsev, A. I. Boldyrev, Chem. Phys. Lett. 92 (1982) 262.
- [12] Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-R. Li, Inorg. Chem. 47 (2008) 9773-9778.
- [13] H. Yang, Y. Li, D. Wu, Z.-R. Li, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 112 (2012) 770-778.
- [14] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, Mol. Phys. 112 (2014) 2621-2626.
- [15] Y. -Q. Jing, Z. -R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, B. -Q. Wang, F. L. Gu, Y. Aoki, ChemPhysChem 7(2006) 1759–1763.
- [16] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, New J. Chem. 39 (2015) 6787-6790.
- [17] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 74206-74211.
- [18] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, Chem. Phys. Lett. 648 (2016) 152-155.

- [19] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, Chem. Phys. Lett. 644 (2016) 1-4
- [20] W. Chen, Z.-R. Li, D. Wu, Y. Li, C.-C. Sun, J. Phys. Chem. A 109 (2005) 2920-2924.
- [21] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, Chem. Phys. Lett. 639 (2015) 307-309.
- [22] A. K. Srivastava, N. Misra, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 117 (2017) 208-212.
- [23] A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098.
- [24] C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 37 (1988) 785.
- [25] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, et al. Gaussian 09, Revision C02; Gaussian,
- Inc.: Wallingford, CT (2009).
- [26] C. Møller, M. S. Plesset, Phys. Rev. 46 (1934) 618.
- [27] M. D. Harmony, V. W. Laurie, R. L. Kuczkowski, R. H.Schwendeman, D. A. Ramsay, F. J.
- Lovas, W. J. Lafferty and A. G. Maki, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 8 (1979) 619.
- [28] K. M. Ervin, J. Ho, W. C. Lineberger, J. Phys. Chem. 92 (1988) 5405.
- [29] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 83 (1985) 735.
- [30] E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, F. Weinhold, NBO 3.1
- Program, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 1996.
- [31] M. Jansen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 15 (1976) 376-377.

Parameter		NO			NO ₂		
	MP2	B3LYP	Expt.	MP2	B3LYP	Expt.	
Bond length (Å)	1.135	1.148	1.151 ^a	1.203	1.193	1.193 ^a	
Bond angle (°)	-	-	-	133.9	134.4	134.1 ^a	
Electron affinity (eV)	-0.33	0.36	0.026 ^b	1.88	2.29	2.273 ^c	

Table 1. Comparison of calculated and experimental values for NO_x .

a) Ref. [27] b) Ref. [6]

c) Ref. [28]

Isomer	Symmetry	$\Delta E (eV)$	BE (eV)	$\Delta q\left(e ight)$	
а	C_s	0	1.52	-0.78	
b	C_{2v}	0.02	1.50	-0.78	
a	\mathbf{C}_s	0	1.30	-0.76	
b	C_{2v}	0.02	1.28	-0.76	
а	\mathbf{C}_s	0	1.04	-0.72	
b	$C_{2\nu}$	0.02	1.02	-0.72	
	Isomer a b a b a b	Isomer Symmetry a C_s b $C_{2\nu}$ a C_s b $C_{2\nu}$ a $C_{2\nu}$ b $C_{2\nu}$ b $C_{2\nu}$ b C_s b C_s	Isomer Symmetry ΔE (eV) a C_s 0 b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.02 a C_s 0 b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.02 a C_s 0 b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.02 a C_s 0 b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.02	IsomerSymmetry ΔE (eV)BE (eV)a C_s 01.52b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.50a C_s 01.30b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.28a C_s 01.04b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.02	IsomerSymmetry ΔE (eV)BE (eV) Δq (e)a C_s 01.52-0.78b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.50-0.78a C_s 01.30-0.76b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.28-0.76a C_s 01.04-0.72b $C_{2\nu}$ 0.021.02-0.72

Table 2. B3LYP/6-311+G(d) calculated structures, their relative energy (ΔE), binding energy (BE) and charge located on NO (Δq) of SA-NO complexes.

Complex	Isomer	Symmetry	$\Delta E (eV)$	BE (eV)	$\Delta q~(e)$	
FLi ₂ -NO ₂	а	C_{2v}	0	4.45	-0.84	
	b	C_{2v}	0.83	3.62	-0.83	
OLi ₃ -NO ₂	а	$C_{2\nu}$	0	4.26	-0.81	
	b	\mathbf{C}_s	0.30	3.96	-0.81	
	c	C_1	0.89	3.37	-0.80	
NLi ₄ -NO ₂	а	C_{2v}	0	4.00	-0.78	
	b	C_1	0.23	3.77	-0.78	
	c	C_2	5.21	-1.21	-0.79	

Table 3. B3LYP/6-311+G(d) calculated structures, their relative energy (ΔE), binding energy (BE) and charge located on NO (Δq) of SA-NO₂ complexes.



Fig. 1. Equilibrium structure of NO, NO₂ (upper row) and their anions (lower row). The equilibrium structure of superalkalis (upper row) and their cations (lower row) are also displayed. All bond lengths are given in Å.



Fig. 2. Equilibrium structures of SA-NO complexes and their isomers with bond lengths in Å.



Fig. 3. Equilibrium structures of SA-NO₂ complexes and their isomers with bond lengths in Å.



Fig. 4. Equilibrium structures of ONa_3 -NO and ONa_3 -NO₂ complexes and their isomers with bond lengths in Å. Symmetry and relative energy of isomers are also displayed.