

Analytic signal in many dimensions

Mikhail Tsitsvero *, Pierre Borgnat and Paulo Gonçalves

September 19, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we extend analytic signal method to the functions in many dimensions. First it is shown how to obtain separate phase-shifted components and how combine them to obtain signal's envelope, instantaneous frequencies and phases in many dimensions. Second, we show that phase-shifted components may be obtained by positive frequency restriction of the Fourier transform defined in the algebra of commutative elliptic hypercomplex numbers. Finally we prove that for $d > 2$ there is no corresponding Clifford-Fourier transform that allows to recover phase-shifted components correctly.

1 Introduction

In 1946 Denis Gabor [Gab46] proposed to combine the original signal with its Hilbert transform and called the resulting complex-valued function - "analytic signal". Since 1946 a lot of attention were dedicated to the studies of analytic signals and its applications. In his work [Vak96] Vakman shows that only analytic signal meets suitable physical conditions for amplitude, phase and frequency. During the last few decades big interest emerged towards the studies of analytic signal method in many dimensions. First works were tightly connected to the studies of quaternions, Clifford algebras and Cayley-Dickson construction of hypercomplex numbers.¹ In the following we list just some of the works dedicated to the studies of analytic signal in many dimensions.

To the best of our knowledge, the first works on multidimensional analytic signal method arrived in the early 1990-s including the work of Ell on hypercomplex transforms [Ell92], work of Bülow on the generalization of analytic signal method to many dimensions [BS01] and the work of Felsberg and Sommer on monogenic signals [FS01]. Then there was a huge number of works that study various aspects of analytic signals and their multidimensional generalizations. Studies of Clifford-Fourier transform and transforms based on Caley-Dickson construction applied to the multidimensional analytic signal method include [SLB07], [HS11], [LBSE14], [HS13], [ELBS14], [ELBS14], [LBS08], [BS10], [AGSE07]. Partial Hilbert transforms were studied by [BS01], [YZ⁺08], [Zha14] and others. Recent studies on Clifford-Fourier transform include [BDSS06], [MH06], [DBDSS11], [DB12], [DBDSS11], [ES07], [BHHA08], [FBSC01] and many others. Monogenic signal method were recently studied and reviewed in the works [Ber14], [Bri17] and others. We do not aim to provide the full list of references, however we hope that meticulous reader can find all the relevant references for example in the review works [HS16] and [BBRH13]. For the most recent advances in hypercomplex signal processing we refer the reader to the series of articles [LB17] dedicated to the hypercomplex signal processing.

In this work we mainly aim to answer two related questions: 1) *how to obtain phase-shifted versions of the original real-valued function in many dimensions* and 2) *in which algebra multidimensional analytic signal should live?* In our opinion the answer to the first question leads us to the choice of the convenient hypercomplex algebra with defined Cauchy formula, and corresponding hypercomplex Fourier transform that could be employed to obtain the analytic signal in many dimensions.

Main contributing points of the work are the following. We start by proving the Fourier integral formula for Hilbert transform. Next, based on the proven formula we define phase-shifted

*tsitsvero@gmail.com

¹"Sketching the History of Hypercomplex Numbers"

components that lead to the definition of instantaneous amplitude and phase. Later it is shown that phase-shifted components may be obtained by partial Hilbert transforms as well as given by positive frequency restriction of Fourier transform based on elliptic commutative hypercomplex numbers. Finally we discuss the analyticity properties of the obtained analytic signal as a superdifferentiable analytic function of certain hypercomplex variable.

The paper is organised in the following way. In Section 2, we give general description of analytic signal method and establish Fourier integral formula for the Hilbert transform. In Section 3, we show how to obtain phase shifts in several dimensions and provide the basic definitions of instantaneous amplitude, phase and frequency. Then, in Section 4 we describe general theory of analytic functions of hypercomplex variables and introduce the simplest elliptic commutative hypercomplex algebra - Scheffers algebra. Next, in Section 5, we review the commutative hypercomplex Fourier transform based on Sheffers algebra and show how to obtain phase shifts of the original function by the restriction of the spectrum only to the positive frequencies and conclude by proving the Bedrosian's theorem. In Section 6, the basic facts about hypercomplex Clifford algebras of type $Cl_{0,q}(\mathbb{R})$ are given and later it is proven that positive frequency restriction of the class of hypercomplex Clifford-Fourier transforms *does not* provide correct phase shifts as components of hypercomplex analytic signal.

2 In one dimension

Analytic signal method provides scientists with an easy and convenient technique for analyzing very basic properties of signal like it's envelope and instantaneous frequency. Let us assume that we have some oscillating function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and we want to obtain its envelope function, that "forgets" its local oscillatory behavior and instantaneous frequency, that shows how this oscillatory behavior evolves. In one dimension we obtain analytic signal by combining the original signal with its phase shifted version. The phase-shifted version is given by the Hilbert transform \tilde{f} of the original function f

$$\tilde{f} = (Hf)(x) := \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

The analytic signal $f_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is obtained by combining f with \tilde{f} , i.e. $f_a = f + i\tilde{f}$, where $i^2 = -1$. Analytic signal is the restriction of holomorphic function in the upper half-plane of \mathbb{C} to its boundary $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$, i.e. f and \tilde{f} are harmonic conjugates. Basic theory of Hilbert transform is concisely given in Appendix A.

Definition 2.1. The Fourier transform of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\omega) &= (Ff)(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-i\omega x} dx, \\ (F^{-1}Ff)(x) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (Ff)(\omega)e^{i\omega x} d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.2. The sign function is defined as

$$\text{sign}(x) := \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

It turns out that $(Ff_a)(\omega)$ is supported only on $[0, \infty)$ and therefore spectrum of f_a does not have negative frequency components, that frequently are redundant for applications. This is due to the following relation.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous and continuously differentiable, then

$$(F\tilde{f})(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (Hf)(x)e^{-i\omega x} dx = -i \text{sign}(\omega)(Ff)(\omega). \quad (3)$$

Appropriate combination of the function f itself and its Hilbert transform \tilde{f} provide us with all the necessary information about the envelope function and instantaneous phase and frequency.

Definition 2.4 (Instantaneous amplitude, phase and frequency).

- The absolute value of complex valued analytic signal is called *instantaneous amplitude* or *envelope* $a(x)$

$$a(x) = |f_a(x)| = \sqrt{f^2(x) + \tilde{f}^2(x)}. \quad (4)$$

- The argument of analytic signal is called *instantaneous phase*

$$\phi(x) = \arg(f_a(x)) = \arctan\left(\frac{\tilde{f}(x)}{f(x)}\right) \quad (5)$$

- The *instantaneous frequency* $\phi'(x)$ is defined as derivative of instantaneous phase

$$\phi'(x) = \frac{d\phi}{dx}. \quad (6)$$

Remark 2.5. Frequently analytic signal $f_a(x)$ is defined in terms of its Fourier transform by discarding the negative frequency components

$$\hat{f}_a(\omega) = (1 + \text{sign}(\omega)) \hat{f}(\omega). \quad (7)$$

Quite complementary perspective on the relationship of f and \tilde{f} may be obtained by observing that

$$(H \cos(\omega x' + \phi))(x) = \sin(\omega x + \phi), \quad (8)$$

therefore Hilbert transform phase-shifts the cosine by $\pi/2$ and we obtain sine. This will be the basic requirement that we will like to have for multidimensional case.

Next we give definitions of sine and cosine transforms that will appear handy in defining the phase-shifted components.

Definition 2.6. The Fourier sine transform and Fourier cosine transform are defined by

$$F_c f(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \cos(\omega x) dx, \quad (9)$$

$$F_s f(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \sin(\omega x) dx. \quad (10)$$

The transforms have the following inversion formulas.

Lemma 2.7 (Inversion formulas). If $f(x)$ is continuous and absolutely integrable on its domain, then

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} F_c f(\omega) \cos(\omega x) d\omega, \quad (11)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} F_s f(\omega) \sin(\omega x) d\omega. \quad (12)$$

In the following we will use a lot, the multidimensional analog of the following Fourier integral formula that follows directly from the results of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.8 (Fourier integral formula). Any continuous and absolutely integrable on its domain f , may be represented as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \cos[(x - x')\omega] dx' d\omega. \quad (13)$$

In the following theorem we deduce the Fourier integral formula of the Hilbert transform.

Theorem 2.9. *Let conditions of Lemma 2.7 hold true for $f(x)$ and let $(Hf)(x)$ be the Hilbert transform of $f(x)$. Then we have*

$$\tilde{f}(x) := (Hf)(x) = f_1(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \sin [(x - x')\omega] dx' d\omega. \quad (14)$$

Proof. In the proof we treat the generalized functional $\delta(x)$ as an infinitely localized measure or a Gaussian function in the limit of zero variance. Under this assumption, we can write the following cumulative distribution function

$$H(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \delta(s) ds$$

which is $H(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \text{sign}(\omega))$, the Heaviside step function, and, in particular, we have

$$H(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \delta(s) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \delta(s) ds = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (15)$$

Then we write the Fourier transform of the right hand side of (14) as

$$\begin{aligned} (Ff_1)(\omega') &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \sin [\omega(x - x')] dx' d\omega \right) e^{-i\omega'x} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \left[e^{i\omega(x-x')} - e^{-i\omega(x-x')} \right] e^{-i\omega'x} dx' d\omega dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(\omega) e^{i(\omega-\omega')x} d\omega dx - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(-\omega) e^{i(-\omega-\omega')x} d\omega dx = \\ &= -i \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega') d\omega + i \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(-\omega) \delta(-\omega - \omega') d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In the derivations above we used the relation $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(\omega-\omega')x} dx = 2\pi\delta(\omega - \omega')$. Now we see, by taking into account (15), that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega') d\omega &= H(\omega')(Ff)(\omega'), \\ \int_0^{\infty} (Ff)(-\omega) \delta(-\omega - \omega') d\omega &= H(-\omega')(Ff)(\omega'). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we see from the last line in (16) that

$$(Ff_1)(\omega) = \begin{cases} -i(Ff)(\omega), & \text{if } \omega > 0, \\ -\frac{i}{2}(Ff)(\omega) + \frac{i}{2}(Ff)(-\omega), & \text{if } \omega = 0, \\ i(Ff)(\omega), & \text{if } \omega < 0, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

which is exactly in accordance with (3) and therefore $f_1 = \tilde{f}$. \square

Now by expanding sine of difference in the integrand of (14), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') [\sin(x\omega) \cos(x'\omega) - \cos(x\omega) \sin(x'\omega)] dx' d\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \cos(x'\omega) dx' \right) \sin(x\omega) d\omega \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \sin(x'\omega) dx' \right) \cos(x\omega) d\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For the sake of brevity we introduce the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_0 &= \alpha_0(\omega, x) := \cos(\omega x), \\
\alpha_1 &= \alpha_1(\omega, x) := \sin(\omega x), \\
\alpha^0 &= \alpha^0(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \cos(x'\omega) dx' \\
\alpha^1 &= \alpha^1(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x') \sin(x'\omega) dx', \\
\hat{\alpha}^0 &= \hat{\alpha}^0(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\omega) \cos(\omega x) d\omega, \\
\hat{\alpha}^1 &= \hat{\alpha}^1(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\omega) \sin(\omega x) d\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

For the integration over ω of a pair of functions $a(\omega)$ and $b(x, \omega)$ we will write

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a, b \rangle_+ &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} a(\omega) b(x, \omega) d\omega, \\
\langle a, b \rangle &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\omega) b(x, \omega) d\omega.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Observation 2.10. Equipped with the notation introduced in (19) and (20) and using Fourier integral formula (13) we can write $f_0 = f(x)$ in the following form

$$f_0 = \langle \alpha^0, \alpha_0 \rangle_+ + \langle \alpha^1, \alpha_1 \rangle_+. \tag{21}$$

Similarly using the results of Theorem 2.9 we can write for $f_1 = \tilde{f}$

$$f_1 = \langle \alpha^0, \alpha_1 \rangle_+ - \langle \alpha^1, \alpha_0 \rangle_+. \tag{22}$$

The above observation shows that the original signal $f(x)$ is obtained by first projecting onto sine and cosine harmonics and then reconstructed by using the same harmonics. Hilbert transformed version of $f(x)$, or phase-shifted version of $f(x)$, is obtained differently though. First we project initial signal on sine and cosine harmonics to obtain α^1 and α^0 , however then we reconstruct it using the phase-shifted harmonics, i.e. α_0 and α_1 .

Observation 2.11. The Fourier transform of a function $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $s(x) = s_0(x) + is_1(x)$, in terms of projection on phase-shifted harmonics may be written as

$$(Fs)(\omega) = \alpha^0(\omega) - i\alpha^1(\omega), \tag{23}$$

which follows if we apply famous Euler's formula $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ to the definition (2). The inverse transform may be written as

$$(F^{-1}\hat{s})(x) = \hat{\alpha}^0(x) + i\hat{\alpha}^1(x). \quad (24)$$

The original function may be recovered by

$$s(x) = (F^{-1}Fs)(x) = \langle \alpha^0, \alpha_0 \rangle + \langle \alpha^1, \alpha_1 \rangle + i(\langle \alpha^0, \alpha_1 \rangle - \langle \alpha^1, \alpha_0 \rangle). \quad (25)$$

A variety of approaches for generalization of the analytic signal method to many dimensions has been employed. For example quaternionic-valued generalization of analytic signal has been realized [BS01] and works well in case $d = 2$. Later on in the Example 6.4 we show why and how the quaternionic based approach is consistent with presented theory. As a next step we are going to generalize formulas (21) and (22) to multidimensional setting, first, without relying on any additional hypercomplex structure, while later in Sections 4 and 5 we choose a convenient hypercomplex algebra and Fourier transform.

3 In many dimensions

In many dimensions we are puzzled with the same questions on what are the adequate definitions of envelope and instantaneous phase? Again we start by considering some function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that supposedly has some local and global oscillatory behavior. We start by extending the Fourier integral formula to many dimensions.

For convenience and brevity we introduce the following notation. For a given binary $\{0, 1\}$ -vector $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$ we define the functions $\alpha_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{j}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) := \prod_{l=1}^d \cos\left(\omega_l x_l - j_l \frac{\pi}{2}\right). \quad (26)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Any continuous and absolutely integrable over its domain $f(\mathbf{x})$ may be decomposed as*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_d) \cos[(x_1 - x'_1)\omega_1] \cdot \dots \cdot \cos[(x_d - x'_d)\omega_d] dx'_1 d\omega_1 \dots dx'_d d\omega_d. \quad (27)$$

or shortly, by employing the notation (26),

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\mathbf{x}') \alpha_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x}' d\boldsymbol{\omega} \quad (28)$$

with $\mathbf{0}$ being the all-zeros vector.

Proof. Proof is the same as for 1-dimensional case. □

Similarly to the result of Theorem 2.9 and equation (14), next we define the phase-shifted copies of the original signal.

Definition 3.2. The phase-shifted version $f_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x})$, in the direction $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$, of the function $f(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\mathbf{x}') \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x}' d\boldsymbol{\omega}. \quad (29)$$

Next we give the definition of instantaneous envelope, phase and frequency in many dimensions.

Definition 3.3.

- The square root of sum of all phase-shifted copies of signal is called *instantaneous amplitude* or *envelope* $a(\mathbf{x})$

$$a(\mathbf{x}) := \sqrt{\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \{0,1\}^d} f_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x})^2} \quad (30)$$

- The *instantaneous phase* $\phi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x})$ in the direction $\mathbf{j} \in \{0,1\}^d$ is defined as

$$\phi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) := \arctan\left(\frac{f_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x})}{f(\mathbf{x})}\right) \quad (31)$$

- The *instantaneous frequency* $\phi'(\mathbf{x})$ in the direction $\mathbf{j} \in \{0,1\}^d$ is defined as partial derivatives of the corresponding instantaneous phase in the directions given by \mathbf{j}

$$\phi'_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) = \partial^{\mathbf{j}} \phi_{\mathbf{j}} := \partial_1^{j_1} \circ \partial_2^{j_2} \circ \dots \circ \partial_d^{j_d} (\phi_{\mathbf{j}})(\mathbf{x}), \quad (32)$$

where we used multi-index notation for derivatives, $\partial_i^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and $\partial_i^0 = \text{id}$. Please note, that no Einstein summation convention was employed in (32).

We can generalize the Theorem 2.9 that connects phase-shifts $f_{\mathbf{j}}$ with the corresponding multidimensional Hilbert transform. However first we define Hilbert transform in several dimensions.

Definition 3.4. The Hilbert transform in the direction $\mathbf{j} \in \{0,1\}^d$ is defined by

$$(H_{\mathbf{j}}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\pi^{|\mathbf{j}|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{j}|}} \frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{j}}} d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{j}}, \quad (33)$$

where $|\mathbf{j}|$ gives the number of 1-s in \mathbf{j} , $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^{\mathbf{j}} = \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^{j_i}$ and $d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{j}} = dy_1^{j_1} \dots dy_d^{j_d}$, i.e. integration is performed only over the variables indicated by the vector \mathbf{j} .

Theorem 3.5. For a continuous $f(\mathbf{x})$ that is also absolutely integrable in its domain, we have

$$f_{\mathbf{j}} = H_{\mathbf{j}}f. \quad (34)$$

Proof. proof follows the lines of proof Theorem 2.9. \square

It will be useful to express the phase-shifted in the direction \mathbf{j} function as a combination of harmonics $\alpha_{\mathbf{j}}$ and corresponding projection coefficients $\alpha^{\mathbf{i}}$. We define projection coefficients similarly to (19),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\mathbf{j}} &= \alpha^{\mathbf{j}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x}, \\ \hat{\alpha}^{\mathbf{j}} &= \hat{\alpha}^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}, \\ \hat{\alpha}_+^{\mathbf{j}} &= \hat{\alpha}_+^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_0^{\infty} \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \alpha_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and brackets, similarly to (20), as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle_+ &= \langle a, b \rangle_+(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\pi^d} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\boldsymbol{\omega}) b(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x}' d\boldsymbol{\omega}, \\ \langle a, b \rangle &= \langle a, b \rangle(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(\boldsymbol{\omega}) b(\mathbf{x}', \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\mathbf{x}' d\boldsymbol{\omega}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

for two functions $a(\mathbf{x}) = a(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $b(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = b(x_1, \dots, x_d, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)$. It is useful to observe how phase-shifted functions f_j could be obtained from α^j .

Theorem 3.6. *For a given function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one obtains its phase-shifted in the direction $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$ copy f_j , by*

$$f_j = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \{0, 1\}^d} (-1)^{|\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbf{j} \ominus \mathbf{i}|} \langle \alpha^{\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbf{j}}, \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \rangle_+, \quad (37)$$

where \oplus is a binary exclusive OR operation acting elementwise on its arguments and \ominus is defined as following: $1 \ominus 0 = 1$ and the result is 0 otherwise. To state it simply: we have “-“ sign before the term in the sum when the corresponding upper index inside the bracket is 1 and down index is 0 and “+“ sign in any other case.

Proof. The proof follows immediately after we will expand all the cosines and sines of difference in (29) and make all the substitutions from (26) and (35). \square

4 Analytic functions of hypercomplex variables

The development of hypercomplex systems started with the works of Gauss, Hamilton [Ham44], Clifford [Cli71], Cockle [Coc49] and others. Generally a hypercomplex variable w is given by

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i e_i, \quad (38)$$

with coefficients $w_i \in \mathbb{F}$ with values in some field \mathbb{F} , usually real or complex numbers. Product for the units e_i is defined by the rule

$$e_i e_j = \sum_{s=0}^n \gamma_{ij}^s e_s, \quad (39)$$

where $\gamma_{ij}^s \in \mathbb{F}$ (usually \mathbb{R}) are the structure coefficients. Algebras with unital element or module are usually of interest, i.e. those having element $\epsilon = 1$ such that $\epsilon x = x \epsilon = x$. The unital element have expansion

$$\epsilon = \sum_{i=0}^n \epsilon_i e_i.$$

For simplicity we assume that there is only one unital element $\epsilon = e_0$.

The units e_i , $i \neq 0$, generally may belong to one of three types [CBC+08b].

1. e_i is *elliptic unit* if $e_i^2 = -e_0$
2. e_i is *parabolic unit* if $e_i^2 = 0$
3. e_i is *hyperbolic unit* if $e_i^2 = e_0$.

We can assemble many different types of algebras depending on the application in mind. All of the above unit systems have their own applications. For example, numbers with elliptic unit relate group of rotations and translations of 2-dimensional Euclidean space to the complex numbers along with their central role in harmonic analysis. Algebras with parabolic units may represent Galileo's transformation and finally algebra with hyperbolic units is used to represent Lorentz group in special relativity [CBC+08b]. In this work we mainly focus on the concepts of instantaneous amplitude, phase and frequency of some oscillating process. The elliptic units are of great interest to those studying the oscillating processes due to the famous Euler's formula. We briefly remind how it comes. Taylor series expansion of exponential is given by

$$e^z = 1 + \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

From expansion we have various Euler's formulae. In case when $z = e_i x$, $e_i^2 = -1$ is an elliptic number, we can write from the above Taylor's expansion

$$e^{e_i x} = \cos(x) + e_i \sin(x). \quad (40)$$

When $e_i^2 = 0$ we have

$$e^{e_i x} = 1 + e_i x. \quad (41)$$

And finally when $e_i^2 = 1$ we get

$$e^{e_i x} = \cosh(x) + e_i \sinh(x). \quad (42)$$

It is due to the relation (40) that one relies on the complex exponential for analysis of oscillating processes. One may well expect that for obtaining the amplitude/frequency information, we will need the Fourier transform based on the algebra with some *elliptic* type numbers.

It was a question during last few decades whether the chosen algebra for multidimensional analytic signal should be commutative, anticommutative or neither. In Section 6 we show that commutative algebra not only suffices for our goal but also is essentially a *necessary* condition to define Fourier transform coherently with (37). Based on these general considerations we define the simplest commutative algebra for a set of elliptic units. We will call it *elliptic Scheffers algebra over \mathbb{R}^d* and denote it by \mathbb{S}_d .²

Definition 4.1. The elliptic *Scheffers* algebra $\mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{F})$ over a field \mathbb{F} (usually \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) is an algebra of dimension 2^d with unit $\epsilon = 1$ and elliptic generators $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ satisfying the conditions $e_i^2 = -1$, $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$, $i, j = 1, \dots, d$. The basis of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{F})$ consists of the elements of the form $e_0 = \epsilon = 1$, $e_\beta = e_{\beta_1} e_{\beta_2} \dots e_{\beta_d}$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$, $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_s$, $1 \leq s \leq d$. Each element $w \in \mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{F})$ has the form

$$w = \sum_{\beta} w_{\beta} e_{\beta} = w_0 \epsilon + \sum_{s=1}^d \sum_{\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_s} w_{\beta} e_{\beta}, \quad w_{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}. \quad (43)$$

In the following we will consider mainly algebras over \mathbb{R} . For brevity we will write \mathbb{S}_d instead of $\mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{R})$. The maximal number of independent units in algebra \mathbb{S}_d is $N = 2^d - 1$ therefore we have at most $N + 1$ possibly independent coefficients w_{β} . For our case of analysis in \mathbb{R}^d we have situation that each coefficient is a function of a coordinate in \mathbb{R}^d .

For the point $w \in \mathbb{S}_d$ we define the norm

$$\|w\|^2 = \sum_{\beta} \|w_{\beta}\|^2, \quad (44)$$

\mathbb{S}_d forms the Banach space with respect to this norm. One can check that \mathbb{S}_d is a unital commutative ring. The main difference of the elliptic Scheffers algebra from the algebra of complex numbers is that factor law does not hold in general, i.e. if we have a vanishing product of some non zero $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_d$, it does not necessarily follow that either a or b vanish.

General function of hypercomplex variable $h = h(w)$ is given by the mapping $h : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$. The hypercomplex variable itself depends on the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. $w = w(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ giving us the functional mapping $\mathbb{S}_d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$. Therefore for general case we possibly have representation of a hypercomplex function by a point in $\mathbb{R}^{d \cdot 2^d}$ space.

Observation 4.2 ([Ket28]). The Scheffers algebra \mathbb{S}_d is commutative and associative algebra, therefore it can be decomposed into a direct sum of d unital integral subalgebras \mathbb{S}_1

$$\mathbb{S}_d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{S}_1. \quad (45)$$

Theory of analytic functions of complex variable was extended first to the functions of commutative hypercomplex variables by a number of works including Scheffers in 1893 [Sch93], extended in 1928 by Ketchum [Ket28] and in 1953 by Schwinger [Sch53]. Later in 1984 Vladimirov and Volovich [VV84b], [VV84a] presented general theory of integral and differential calculus for functions in superspace having commuting and anti-commuting elements. We briefly describe the relevant results of [Sch93], [Ket28], [VV84a], [Ber13] and [Khr12]. It is necessary to note that here we use different Cauchy formula from one given by Ketchum and Vladimirov.

²The capital ‘‘S’’ because: 1) we consider Superdifferentiable functions in Symmetric (even) Superspace [VV84b]; 2) surname of G. W. Scheffers [Sch93] starts with ‘‘S’’

Remark 4.3. In the following we assume some natural correspondence of indices of the elements e_β written in the form $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s), \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_s, 1 \leq s \leq d$ and indices of the form $\beta = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ with $N = 2^d - 1$. Therefore we will enjoy both notations.

A function $f : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ is *analytic* at some point $a \in \mathbb{S}_d$ if it can be expanded into a convergent power series

$$f(z) = \sum_k f_k \cdot (z - a)^k.$$

As in complex analysis we have the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations.

Lemma 4.4 ([Sch93],[Ket28],[VV84a]). A function $f : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ having expansion

$$f = \sum_{\beta=0}^N f_\beta e_\beta \quad (46)$$

is analytic in an open region $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{S}_d$ iff for each point $x \in \mathcal{O}$, it satisfies the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial w_k}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N \gamma_{ik}^s \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial w_0}(x), \quad s, k = 0, \dots, N. \quad (47)$$

In our case each coefficient w_β is dependent on the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. $w_\beta = w_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_d)$. This dependence will not change any property of the hypercomplex variable. However if there exist interdependence between various components w_β , then the properties of the variable will change. A hypercomplex variable for which some of its components are related by some functional dependence is called *restricted variable* [Ket28]. Let I be the set of independent components, then there are $p = N + 1 - |I|$ such relations and we can write for the restricted variable v

$$v = \sum_{\beta \in I} v_\beta e_\beta + \sum_{\beta \notin I} h_\beta(v_I) e_\beta, \quad (48)$$

where $v_I = \{v_\beta : \beta \notin I\}$. The simplest example of a restricted variable is given by taking the real-part of a complex variable. It is important that *any property of a general variable which holds for all regions is evidently a property of the restricted variable* [Ket28]. However restricted variable could have additional useful properties. Let us denote by \mathbb{S}_d^r the set of variables restricted to the combinations of generators of \mathbb{S}_d .

The notion of restriction and the Observation 4.2 allows us to think of a hypercomplex function from \mathbb{S}_d in a simpler way. Now we may say that we have a function of d hypercomplex variables

$$f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) = f(x_1 + e_1 y_1, x_2 + e_2 y_2, \dots, x_d + e_d y_d) \quad (49)$$

instead of considering a function of one big variable.

For the functions from the restricted space we have simplified conditions.

Lemma 4.5. A function $f : \mathbb{S}_d^r \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ is analytic in an open region $\mathcal{O}^r \subset \mathbb{S}_d^r$ iff for each point $x \in \mathcal{O}^r$, it satisfies the generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial w_k}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N \gamma_{ik}^s \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial w_0}(x), \quad \begin{array}{l} s = 0, \dots, 2^d - 1; \\ k = 0, \dots, d. \end{array} \quad (50)$$

Next it will be useful to define the Cauchy formula in the space \mathbb{S}_d . The concept of contour integration as well as Cauchy's integral theorem and formula are well defined in the *unital real, commutative and associative* algebras [Ped97]. To introduce the concept of integral it is natural to assume that

$$dw = \sum_{\beta} e_\beta dw_\beta. \quad (51)$$

Therefore we understand the integral $\int f(w) dw$ of \mathbb{S}_d -valued function $f(w)$ of the variable $w \in \mathbb{S}_d$ as integral of differential form $\sum_{\beta} f(w) e_\beta dw_\beta$ along a curve in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . Now let us proceed to the discussion of the Cauchy formula for the functions $f : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ with a hope that it will provide us the appropriate Hilbert transform.

Remark 4.6. In the works [Ket28] and [VV84a], authors define the Cauchy formula in the hypercomplex algebra by the extension to the algebra over the field of complex numbers, i.e. for our case it will be $\mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$. It may be interpreted as attachment of a proper complex plane to each point in \mathbb{S}_d . This results in different Cauchy formula that we are looking for. To avoid confusion we briefly describe in the Appendix B the Cauchy formula given in [Ket28] and [VV84a].

To obtain the formulas that give us the harmonic conjugates on the boundary of the open region by the use of Hilbert transform we first define open polydisk T_j . For $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we define j -polydisk of radius r_0 with the center at $a \in \mathbb{S}_d$ as

$$T_j(a; r_0) = \{x : \|x_\beta - a_\beta\| < r_0, \beta \in S(j)\} \subset \mathbb{S}_d. \quad (52)$$

To extend the definition of Hilbert transform from Appendix A to many dimensions we proceed in a similar way. First if we want to obtain the harmonic conjugate on the boundary of upper half-plane just for one variable z_1 , we can consider all the variables, except z_1 , as being fixed. For this case obviously we get the formula for 1-dimensional Hilbert transform obtained in the upper half-plane of the plane $C_1 = \{z_1 : z_1 = x_1 + e_1 y_1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The Cauchy formula for the plane C_1 and an open region $M_1 \subset C_1$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi e_1} \int_{\partial M_1} \frac{f(\zeta_1)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta_1 = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } z_1 \in M_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } z_1 \in C_1 \setminus \overline{M}. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

Then we have analytic signal on the boundary ∂M_1 with respect to the first variable that has the form $f_{z_1}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + e_1 f_1(\mathbf{x})$. Now to obtain harmonic conjugates of f_{z_1} in C_2 plane (or f in the plane $C_1 \times C_2$), we should find the harmonic conjugate of f_{z_1} with respect to the second variable, that gives us

$$f_{z_1, z_2}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + e_2 H_{x_2}(f(\mathbf{x}) + e_1 f_1(\mathbf{x})) = f(\mathbf{x}) + e_1 f_1(\mathbf{x}) + e_2 f_{z_2}(\mathbf{x}) + e_1 e_2 f_{z_1, z_2}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (54)$$

Continuing by analogy we obtain that harmonic conjugate on the boundary of polydisk $T_j \in C_j := \prod_{j \in S(j)} C_j$ is given by the operator H_j defined in (33).

General Cauchy formula for the simple open region $M_j := \prod_{j \in S(j)} M_j \subset \mathbb{S}_d$ of the space $C_j \subset \mathbb{S}_d$ is thus given by

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{|j|} e_j} \int_{\partial M_j} \frac{f(\zeta_{S(j)})}{(\zeta - \mathbf{z})^j} d\zeta = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } z_j \in M_j, j \in S(j) \\ 0 & \text{if } z_1 \in C_1 \setminus \overline{M}, \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

where $e_j = \prod_{j \in S(j)} e_{\text{ind}(j)}$ and $\text{ind}(j)$ returns the position of element j in the string j .

Observation 4.7. The theorem of Hartogs [Kra01] says that if the function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic at every point with respect to each variable z_k , then it is holomorphic with respect to all variables. It appears that above analyticity conditions for the functions $\mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ are stronger because in this case we require the function of several hypercomplex variables to be holomorphic with respect to all possible subsets of variables.

5 Commutative hypercomplex Fourier transform

In this section we define Fourier transform operator F_d . To outline the setting, we can say that we are working in some Schwartz space [Khr12] \mathcal{S}_d , that is an \mathbb{S}_d -Module of hypercomplex valued functions. Therefore we will work with an operator $F_d : \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d$. The Fourier transform F_d with its inverse is an automorphism on the space \mathcal{S}_d . Our final goal is, following the results of Remark 2.5, to generalize it to multidimensional case. In other words we are looking for the definition of Fourier transform that will allow us to recover the phase-shifted functions f_j . We start by defining the hypercomplex Fourier transform in terms of phase-shifted harmonics.

Definition 5.1. The hypercomplex Fourier transform of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ is defined as

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \alpha^{0\dots 0} - \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \alpha^{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0} + \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j \alpha^{0\dots 1(i,j)\dots 0} - \sum_{i < j < k} e_i e_j e_k \alpha^{0\dots 1(i,j,k)\dots 0} + \dots, \quad (56)$$

where $0 \dots 1(i, j, k) \dots 0$ means that there are 1-s on the i -th, j -th and k -th positions of binary string. The inverse is given by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\alpha}^{0 \dots 0} + \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \hat{\alpha}^{0 \dots 1(i) \dots 0} + \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j \hat{\alpha}^{0 \dots 1(i, j) \dots 0} + \sum_{i < j < k} e_i e_j e_k \hat{\alpha}^{0 \dots 1(i, j, k) \dots 0} + \dots \quad (57)$$

This definition is natural in the sense that it is derived from the usual definition of hypercomplex Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) e^{-e_1 \omega_1 x_1} \dots e^{-e_d \omega_d x_d} dx_1 \dots dx_d, \quad (58)$$

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) e^{e_1 \omega_1 x_1} \dots e^{e_d \omega_d x_d} dx_1 \dots dx_d, \quad (59)$$

by application of the Euler's formula.

The inverse Fourier transform (57) may be rewritten using (36) as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 0} \rangle + \sum_i e_i \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 1(i) \dots 0} \rangle + \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 1(i, j) \dots 0} \rangle + \dots \quad (60)$$

Next we observe that phase-shifted functions f_j are easily recovered from the restriction of Fourier transform to only positive frequencies.

Theorem 5.2. *The hypercomplex valued analytic signal $f_h(\mathbf{x})$ of the original real valued signal $f(\mathbf{x})$ defined as*

$$f_h(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 0} \rangle_+ + \sum_i e_i \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 1(i) \dots 0} \rangle_+ + \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j \langle \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0 \dots 1(i, j) \dots 0} \rangle_+ + \dots \quad (61)$$

has as components the corresponding phase-shifted functions f_j , i.e.

$$f_h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_i e_i f_{0 \dots 1(i) \dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i < j} e_i e_j f_{0 \dots 1(i, j) \dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i < j < k} e_i e_j e_k f_{0 \dots 1(i, j, k) \dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \quad (62)$$

Proof. For a proof we simply put each term from the sum in (56) inside (61) and observe finally that components in (62) are defined by (37). \square

Observation 5.3. The hypercomplex Fourier transform of $f_h(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\hat{f}_h(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + \text{sign}(\omega_i)) \hat{f}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d). \quad (63)$$

In the following we proceed to establish Bedrosian theorem in many dimensions. We rely on the results in the original work [Bed62]. For convenience we will denote by $S(\mathbf{j}) = \{i | j_i = 1\}$ the set of positions of 1-s in the vector $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$.

Lemma 5.4. For a product of hypercomplex exponentials for a given $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in S(\mathbf{j})} e^{e_i \omega_i x_i} \quad (64)$$

we have identity

$$(H_{\mathbf{j}} h)(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in S(\mathbf{j})} e_i \text{sign}(\omega_i) e^{e_i \omega_i x_i} \quad (65)$$

Proof. The result follows directly from 1-dimensional case by successive application of Hilbert transform. \square

Theorem 5.5 (Bedrosian). *Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_d$ and let us given some $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$ and suppose that for each $k \in S(\mathbf{j})$ we have corresponding $a_k > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{f}_k(\omega_k; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_d) e^{-e_k \omega_k x_k} dx_k = 0 \text{ for all } |\omega_k| > a_k$$
(66)

and

$$\hat{g}_k(\omega_k; x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_d) e^{-e_k \omega_k x_k} dx_k = 0 \text{ for all } |\omega_k| < a_k.$$
(67)

Then we have the identity

$$(H_{\mathbf{j}}(f \cdot g)) = f \cdot (H_{\mathbf{j}}g).$$
(68)

Proof. First we give the proof for 1-dimensional case [Bed62] and then just extend it to multiple dimensions. We can write the product of two functions $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ in Fourier domain as

$$a(x)b(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{a}(u)\hat{b}(v)e^{i(u+v)x} du dv$$
(69)

and

$$(H(ab))(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{a}(u)\hat{b}(v)i \operatorname{sign}(u+v)e^{i(u+v)x} du dv.$$
(70)

If we assume that for some $a > 0$ we have $\hat{a}(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| > a$ and $\hat{b}(\omega) = 0$ for $|\omega| < a$, then the product $\hat{a}(\omega)\hat{b}(\omega)$ will be non-vanishing only on two semi-infinite stripes on the plane $\{(u, v) : |u| < a, |v| > a\}$. So we have that for this integration region the value of the integral (70) will not change if we replace

$$\operatorname{sign}(u+v) \rightarrow \operatorname{sign}(v).$$

Then we will have

$$\begin{aligned} (H(ab))(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{a}(u)\hat{b}(v)i \operatorname{sign}(v)e^{i(u+v)x} du dv \\ &= a(x) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{b}(v)i \operatorname{sign}(v)e^{ivx} dv. \end{aligned}$$
(71)

But we know from Lemma 5.4 that

$$(Hb)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{b}(v) \left(H e^{ivx'} \right) (x) dv = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{b}(v)i \operatorname{sign}(v)e^{ivx} dv.$$
(72)

So finally we have

$$(H(ab)) = a(Hb).$$
(73)

To prove the final result (68), we succesively apply 1-dimensional steps taken in (71), (72) and results of Lemma 5.4.

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{\mathbf{j}}(fg))(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2|\mathbf{j}|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{j}|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|\mathbf{j}|}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{u}_{S(\mathbf{j})}; \mathbf{x}_{\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})}) \hat{g}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{v}_{S(\mathbf{j})}; \mathbf{x}_{\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})}) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{i \in S(\mathbf{j})} e_i \operatorname{sign}(u_i + v_i) e^{e_i(u_i + v_i)x_i} d\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{j}} d\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{j}}, \end{aligned}$$
(74)

where we denoted by $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{u}_{S(\mathbf{j})}; \mathbf{x}_{\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})})$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{v}_{S(\mathbf{j})}; \mathbf{x}_{\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})})$ the Fourier transforms of f and g over the directions indicated by \mathbf{j} . Thus $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{u}_{S(\mathbf{j})}; \mathbf{x}_{\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})})$ has as its arguments frequency variables indexed by $S(\mathbf{j})$ and the remaining spatial variables indexed by the complementary set $\bar{S}(\mathbf{j})$. Finally by repeating the steps taken in (71), (72) we see that $(H_{\mathbf{j}}(f \cdot g)) = f \cdot (H_{\mathbf{j}}g)$. \square

Observation 5.6. Suppose we have two real valued functions $A(\mathbf{x})$ and $B(\mathbf{x})$ that satisfy conditions (66) and (67) respectively for $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{1}$. Additionally suppose that $B(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha_0(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_0)$ for some choice of frequencies $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$. Then we can write the hypercomplex “analytic“ signal of initial product function $C(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x}) \cdot B(\mathbf{x})$ in compact form

$$C_h(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x})e^{e_1\omega_1x_1} \dots e^{e_d\omega_dx_d}. \quad (75)$$

Now let us suppose that the function $B(\mathbf{x})$ is of the form

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{l=1}^d \cos(\phi_l(\mathbf{x})) \quad (76)$$

and satisfies condition (67). We can write analytic signal $C_h(\mathbf{x})$ in this case as

$$C_h(\mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x})e^{e_1\phi_1(\mathbf{x})} \dots e^{e_d\phi_d(\mathbf{x})}. \quad (77)$$

For one dimensional complex valued function we have interpretation of the phase of exponential as rotations and scalings of some vector in complex plane. Here we have similar geometric interpretation of narrowband hypercomplex analytic signal having the form (77). First of all, each exponential $e^{e_i\phi_i}$ represent phase rotations in the corresponding hypercomplex subspace. Therefore for (77) we have a combination of d separate rotations, i.e. the overall rotation will correspond to a point on the product of d circles $S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$. The product of circles forms d dimensional torus T^d . The phase of some continuous analytic signal (77) therefore corresponds to some continuous map on torus $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow T^d$. Corresponding amplitude function $A(\mathbf{x})$ is therefore attached to the points on the torus T^d .

6 Why Clifford-Fourier transform doesn't fit for $d > 2$?

There were propositions to apply Clifford-Fourier transform to study instantaneous amplitude, phase and frequency. The aim of this section is to show that *there is no* Clifford-Fourier transform which lead us to the phase-shifted functions f_j as components of Clifford algebra valued analytic signal. It is interesting to note that Bülow and Sommer in the early work [BS01] mention slightly the commutative hypercomplex numbers and associated Fourier transform, however later studies were mainly focused on the non-commutative hypercomplex systems.

First we make a brief introduction to the Clifford algebras. A Clifford algebra is a unital associative algebra that is generated by a vector space V over some field K , where V is equipped with a quadratic form $Q : V \rightarrow K$. If the dimension of V over K is d and $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ is an orthogonal basis of (V, Q) , then $Cl(V, Q)$ is a free vector space with a basis

$$\{e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_k} | 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq d \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq d\}. \quad (78)$$

The element e_0 is defined as the multiplicative identity element. Due to the fact that V is equipped with quadratic form we have an orthogonal basis

$$(e_i, e_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \text{ and } (e_i, e_i) = Q(e_i) \quad (79)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the symmetric bilinear form associated to Q . The fundamental Clifford identity implies that Clifford algebra is anticommutative

$$e_i e_j = -e_j e_i \text{ for } i \neq j, \text{ and } (e_i, e_i) = Q(e_i), \quad (80)$$

which makes multiplication of the elements of Clifford algebra quite simple, we can put element of a Clifford algebra in standard order simply by a number of swaps of neighbouring elements. Every nondegenerate form Q can be written in standard diagonal form:

$$Q(v) = v_1^2 + \dots + v_p^2 - v_{p+1}^2 - \dots - v_{p+q}^2, \quad (81)$$

with $d = p + q$. The pair of integers (p, q) is called the signature of the quadratic form. The corresponding Clifford algebra is then denoted as $Cl_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$. For our purposes of analytic signal

construction we will consider just Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}l_{0,q}(\mathbb{R})$ over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , with the quadratic form

$$Q(e_i) = -1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Each element $x \in \mathcal{C}l_{0,d}(\mathbb{R})$ of Clifford algebra may be thought as a linear combination of its basis elements

$$x = x_0 1 + \sum_i x_i e_i + \sum_{i < j} x_{ij} e_i e_j + \sum_{i < j < k} x_{ijk} e_i e_j e_k + \dots, \quad (82)$$

with real coefficients and for which we also have identities $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ for $i \neq j$, and $e_i^2 = -1$.

First it will be handy to define the set valued function Π that returns permuted products of its arguments. For example for 3 arguments it acts as

$$\Pi(a, b, c) = \{a \cdot b \cdot c, a \cdot c \cdot b, b \cdot a \cdot c, c \cdot a \cdot b, b \cdot c \cdot a, c \cdot b \cdot a\}. \quad (83)$$

For d arguments Π acts analogously.

A particular Clifford-Fourier transform is then defined as

$$\hat{f}^C(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d), e^{-e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{-e_d \omega_d x_d}) dx_1 \dots dx_d, \quad (84)$$

where $\rho(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d), e^{-e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{-e_d \omega_d x_d}) \in \Pi(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d), e^{-e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{-e_d \omega_d x_d})$.

This type of Clifford-Fourier transform has the inverse transform given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho'(f^C(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d), e^{e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{e_d \omega_d x_d}) dx_1 \dots dx_d, \quad (85)$$

for some $\rho'(f^C(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d), e^{e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{e_d \omega_d x_d}) \in \Pi(f^C(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_d), e^{e_1 \omega_1 x_1}, \dots, e^{e_d \omega_d x_d})$.

We can expand the exponentials in (84) and (85) to write down the general Clifford-Fourier transform in terms of functions $\alpha^{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}^{\mathbf{k}}$

$$\hat{f}^C = \rho_0 - \sum_{i=1}^d \rho_1(i) + \sum_{i < j} \rho_2(i, j) - \sum_{i < j < k} \rho_3(i, j, k) + \dots, \quad (86)$$

$$f = \rho'_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \rho'_1(i) + \sum_{i < j} \rho'_2(i, j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \rho'_3(i, j, k) + \dots, \quad (87)$$

for some ρ_k and ρ'_k , where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= \alpha^{0\dots 0}, \\ \rho_1(i) &\in \Pi(e_i, \alpha^{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}), \\ \rho_2(i, j) &\in \Pi(e_i, e_j, \alpha^{0\dots 1(i,j)\dots 0}), \\ \rho_3(i, j, k) &\in \Pi(e_i, e_j, e_k, \alpha^{0\dots 1(i,j,k)\dots 0}), \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'_0 &= \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 0}, \\ \rho'_1(i) &\in \Pi(e_i, \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}), \\ \rho'_2(i, j) &\in \Pi(e_i, e_j, \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 1(i,j)\dots 0}), \\ \rho'_3(i, j, k) &\in \Pi(e_i, e_j, e_k, \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 1(i,j,k)\dots 0}), \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

We consider the definition of Clifford-Fourier “analytic“ signal as summation of only positive frequency terms, like we did in (21), (22) and (61)

$$f_C = \rho'_{+0} + \sum_{i=1}^d \rho'_{+1}(i) + \sum_{i<j} \rho'_{+2}(i, j) + \sum_{i<j<k} \rho'_{+3}(i, j, k) + \dots, \quad (90)$$

with some ρ'_{+i} :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'_{+0} &= \hat{\alpha}_{C_+}^{0\dots 0}, \\ \rho'_{+1}(i) &\in \Pi \left(e_i, \hat{\alpha}_{C_+}^{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0} \right), \\ \rho'_{+2}(i, j) &\in \Pi \left(e_i, e_j, \hat{\alpha}_{C_+}^{0\dots 1(i, j)\dots 0} \right), \\ \rho'_{+3}(i, j, k) &\in \Pi \left(e_i, e_j, e_k, \hat{\alpha}_{C_+}^{0\dots 1(i, j, k)\dots 0} \right), \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_{C_+}^j(\mathbf{x}) = \langle f^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_j(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle_+$.

Theorem 6.1 (Non-existence result). *The Clifford algebra valued “analytic“ signal $f_C(\mathbf{x})$ of the original real valued signal $f(\mathbf{x})$, defined as (90), in general **does not have** as components the corresponding phase-shifted functions f_j , i.e. if we have*

$$f_C(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_i e_i \tilde{f}_{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i<j} e_i e_j \tilde{f}_{0\dots 1(i, j)\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i<j<k} e_i e_j e_k \tilde{f}_{0\dots 1(i, j, k)\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \quad (92)$$

then there exist $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$ such that $|\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}}| \neq |f_{\mathbf{j}}|$ for all $d > 2$. Therefore there is no Clifford-Fourier transform of the form (84) and (85) for $d > 2$ that will lead us to the phase-shifts $f_{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}$ as components of e_i .

Proof. To prove this theorem it will suffice to demonstrate that we are not be able to choose the proper ordering of multiplicative terms in (84) and (85) such that $\tilde{f}_{\mathbf{j}} = f_{\mathbf{j}}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \{0, 1\}^d$.

Next we simply show that already for the elements of degree 1, i.e. in front of corresponding e_i , we already must have $|\tilde{f}_{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}| \neq |f_{0\dots 1(i)\dots 0}|$ for some i . First let us write the Clifford-Fourier transform for elements of degree up to 1

$$\hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \alpha^{0\dots 0}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - e_1 \alpha^{10\dots 0}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - e_2 \alpha^{010\dots 0}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - e_3 \alpha^{0010\dots 0}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \dots - e_d \alpha^{0\dots 01}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \dots \quad (93)$$

For the elements of degree up to 1 we may not care about the order of multiplication because $f(\mathbf{x})$ is real valued. Next we show that no possible ordering of multiplicative terms in the inverse formula (85) is possible to obtain the right signs of phase-shifted components in accordance with (37). For simplicity, but without loss of generality, we consider just the combinations of components corresponding to the first three elements e_1, e_2 and e_3 . In this case potentially we have four candidates for the inverse transform

1. Zero elements are flipped:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + e_1 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{10\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + e_2 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + \\ &\quad + e_3 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

2. One elements is flipped:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{10\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_1 + e_2 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + \\ &\quad + e_3 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

3. Two elements are flipped:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{10\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_1 + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_2 + \\ &\quad + e_3 \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

4. Three elements are flipped:

$$c_0(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\alpha}^{0\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{10\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_1 + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_2 + \langle \hat{f}^C(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \alpha_{0010\dots 0}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \rangle e_3 + \dots \quad (97)$$

Therefore for c_0 serving as inverse we will obtain the component of the degree 2 of the f_C by simple substitution of each term from (93) into That will give us the sums of elements starting by

1. For c_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{j:|j|=2}^0 &= -e_2 e_1 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle - e_1 e_2 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_1 e_3 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_2 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_2 e_3 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

2. For c_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{j:|j|=2}^1 &= -e_2 e_1 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle - e_2 e_1 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_2 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_2 e_3 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

3. For c_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{j:|j|=2}^2 &= -e_1 e_2 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle - e_2 e_1 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_3 e_2 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_3 e_2 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

4. For c_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{j:|j|=2}^3 &= -e_1 e_2 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle - e_2 e_1 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_1 e_3 \langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_3 e_1 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle - \\ &\quad - e_2 e_3 \langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{0010\dots 0} \rangle - e_3 e_2 \langle \alpha^{0010\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

From the rule in (37) we know that with each flipping of 1 in the upper subscript to 0 at the same position in the lower subscript the sign of bracket changes. If we look at $\tilde{f}_{j:|j|=2}^0$, we see that terms that are components of $e_1 e_2$, i.e. $\langle \alpha^{100\dots 0}, \alpha_{010\dots 0} \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha^{010\dots 0}, \alpha_{100\dots 0} \rangle$ sum up with opposite signs. The same is true for the components of $e_1 e_3$ and $e_2 e_3$ – they come all with opposite signs. Therefore case of c_0 -type inverse formula is not in accordance with the rule (37). For the case c_1 we see that the components of $e_1 e_2$ have the same sign and as well as components of $e_1 e_3$, however components of $e_2 e_3$ have opposite signs. Thus c_1 is not in accordance with (37). Checking the rule for c_2 and c_3 we see that we always have different signs for some component. Therefore we are not able to order properly the terms in Clifford-Fourier transform and its inverse to correctly restore all the phase-shifted functions. \square

Corollary 6.2. From the proof of Theorem 6.1 it follows that *essentially only* commutative hypercomplex algebra ($e_i e_j = e_j e_i$) is a good candidate to provide us with the phase shifts (37) by using positive frequency restriction for Fourier transforms of the type (84) and (85). The word “essentially“ above means that algebras with two hypercomplex units that anticommute are still allowed.

Remark 6.3 (Octonions, Sedenions and Cayley-Dickson construction). There were propositions to use Cayley-Dickson algebras to define the Fourier transform (84), (85) and corresponding hypercomplex “analytic“ signal [HS16], however as far as elements $\{e_i\}$ of these algebras are non-commutative we will not get the phase shifts as components of the corresponding hypercomplex analytic signal.

Example 6.4. We may wonder what happens in case $d = 2$. Is there any Clifford-Fourier transform that gives us the correct phase-shifted components? It seems that the answer should be - yes. And indeed the symmetric Clifford-Fourier transform does the job. Let \mathbb{Q} be the ring of quaternions with the set of basis elements $\{1, i, j, k\}$. The ring of quaternions coincides with the Clifford algebra $Cl_{0,2}(\mathbb{R})$ that in turn has the set of basis elements $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_1e_2\}$ where $i = e_1, j = e_2, k = e_1e_2$. The symmetric Quaternionic-Fourier transform is defined as

$$\hat{f}^q(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-e_1\omega_1x_1} f(x_1, x_2) e^{-e_2\omega_2x_2} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (98)$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{e_1\omega_1x_1} \hat{f}^q(\omega_1, \omega_2) e^{e_2\omega_2x_2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2, \quad (99)$$

which we may rewrite by employing the notation (35) as

$$\hat{f}^q(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \alpha^{00} - e_1\alpha^{10} - e_2\alpha^{01} + e_1\alpha^{11}e_2, \quad (100)$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \langle \hat{f}^q, \alpha_{00} \rangle + e_1 \langle \hat{f}^q, \alpha_{10} \rangle + \langle \hat{f}^q, \alpha_{01} \rangle e_2 + e_1 \langle \hat{f}^q, \alpha_{11} \rangle e_2. \quad (101)$$

The quaternionic analytic signal is obtained by restriction of the quaternion Fourier transform to the positive frequencies as we did before

$$\begin{aligned} f_{qa} = & \langle \alpha^{00}, \alpha_{00} \rangle_+ + \langle \alpha^{10}, \alpha_{10} \rangle_+ + \langle \alpha^{01}, \alpha_{01} \rangle_+ + \langle \alpha^{11}, \alpha_{11} \rangle_+ \\ & - e_1 \langle \alpha^{10}, \alpha_{00} \rangle_+ + e_1 \langle \alpha^{00}, \alpha_{10} \rangle_+ - e_1 \langle \alpha^{11}, \alpha_{01} \rangle_+ + e_1 \langle \alpha^{01}, \alpha_{11} \rangle_+ \\ & - \langle \alpha^{01}, \alpha_{00} \rangle_+ e_2 + \langle \alpha^{00}, \alpha_{01} \rangle_+ e_2 - \langle \alpha^{11}, \alpha_{10} \rangle_+ e_2 + \langle \alpha^{10}, \alpha_{11} \rangle_+ e_2 \\ & + e_1 \langle \alpha^{11}, \alpha_{00} \rangle_+ e_2 + e_1 \langle \alpha^{00}, \alpha_{11} \rangle_+ e_2 - e_1 \langle \alpha^{10}, \alpha_{01} \rangle_+ e_2 - e_1 \langle \alpha^{01}, \alpha_{10} \rangle_+ e_2. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

Finally we see that components of quaternionic analytic signal does provide us the correct phase-shifts given by (37).

A Hilbert transform for unit disk and upper half-plane

In this Appendix we briefly describe the essence of the one dimensional Hilbert transform by following the lines of [Kra09]. The usual way to introduce Hilbert transform is by way of the Cauchy formula. If f is holomorphic on $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and continuous on D up to the boundary ∂D , we can get the value of $f(z)$ from the values of f on the boundary ∂D by Cauchy formula

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in D. \quad (103)$$

We can express the Cauchy kernel,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z},$$

by taking $\zeta = e^{i\psi}$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$, as following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{-ie^{-i\psi} \cdot ie^{i\psi} d\psi}{e^{i\psi} - re^{i\theta}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\psi}{(1 - re^{i(\theta-\psi)})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - re^{-i(\theta-\psi)}}{|1 - re^{i(\theta-\psi)}|^2} d\psi \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(1 - r \cos(\theta - \psi))}{|1 - re^{i(\theta-\psi)}|^2} d\psi \right) + i \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{r \sin(\theta - \psi)}{|1 - re^{i(\theta-\psi)}|^2} d\psi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

If we subtract $\frac{1}{4\pi} d\psi$ from the real part of the Cauchy kernel, we get the poisson kernel $P_r(\theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z} \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi} d\psi &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1 - r \cos(\theta - \psi)}{|1 - re^{i(\theta-\psi)}|^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) d\psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}r^2}{1 - 2r \cos(\theta - \psi) + r^2} \right) d\psi =: \frac{1}{2} P_r(\theta - \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Thus the real part is, up to a small correction, the Poisson kernel. The kernel that reproduces harmonic functions is the real part of the kernel that reproduces holomorphic functions.

We remind briefly the Poisson integral formula. If $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ is the open unit disc in \mathbb{C} and ∂D is the boundary of D and there is continuous $g : \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the function $u : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - \tau) g(e^{i\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

will be harmonic on D and has radial limit $r \rightarrow 1^-$ that agrees with g almost everywhere on ∂D .

Suppose now that we are given a real-valued function $f \in L^2(\partial D)$. Then we can use the Poisson integral formula to produce a function u on D such that $u = f$ on ∂D . Then we can find a harmonic conjugate u^\dagger of u , such that $u^\dagger(0) = 0$ and $u + iu^\dagger$ is holomorphic on D . As a final goal we aim to produce a boundary function f^\dagger for u^\dagger and get the linear operator $f \mapsto f^\dagger$.

If we define a function h on D as

$$h(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad z \in D,$$

then h will be holomorphic in D . From (105) we know that the real part of h is up to an additive constant equal to the Poisson integral u of f . Therefore $\Re(h)$ is harmonic on D and $\Im(h)$ is a harmonic conjugate of $\Re(h)$. Thus if h is continuous up to the boundary, then we can take $u^\dagger = \Im(h)$ and $f^\dagger(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} u^\dagger(re^{i\theta})$.

For the imaginary part of the Cauchy kernel (104) under the limit $r \rightarrow 1^-$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{r \sin(\theta - \psi)}{|1 - re^{i(\theta - \psi)}|^2} &\xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(\theta - \psi)}{|1 - e^{i(\theta - \psi)}|^2} = \frac{\sin(\theta - \psi)}{4\pi(1 - \cos(\theta - \psi))} = \frac{2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \psi}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta - \psi}{2}\right)}{8\pi \left(\cos\left(\frac{\theta - \psi}{2}\right)\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \cot\left(\frac{\theta - \psi}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the Hilbert transform $H : f \mapsto f^\dagger$ on the unit disk D as following

$$Hf(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \cot\left(\frac{\theta - t}{2}\right) dt. \quad (106)$$

Taylor series expansion of the kernel in (106) gives us

$$\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} = \frac{1 - \frac{(\theta/2)^2}{2!} + \dots}{\frac{\theta}{2} \left(1 - \frac{(\theta/2)^2}{3!} + \dots\right)} = \frac{2}{\theta} + E(\theta),$$

where $E(\theta) = O(|\theta|)$ is a bounded continuous function. Finally for the Hilbert transform on ∂D we can write

$$Hf(e^{i\theta}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \cot\left(\frac{\theta - t}{2}\right) dt = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \frac{2}{\theta - t} dt + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) E(\theta - t) dt. \quad (107)$$

The first integral above is singular at $t = \theta$ and the second is bounded and easy to estimate. Usually we write for the kernel of Hilbert transform

$$\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \approx \frac{2}{\theta - t},$$

by simply ignoring the trivial error term. Finally in (107) we obtained the Hilbert transform for the boundary of unit disk. Hilbert transform for a unit disk gives us a way to obtain the harmonic conjugate for a periodic function f defined over ∂D . In the followign we briefly outline how to obtain harmonic conjugate for a function defined over $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

The unit disk D may be conformally mapped to the upper half-plane $U = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\zeta) > 0\}$ by the Möbius map [Kra09]

$$\begin{aligned} c : D &\rightarrow U, \\ \zeta &\mapsto i \cdot \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the conformal map of a harmonic function is harmonic, we can carry also Poisson kernel to the upper half-plane [T+48]. The Poisson integral equation for U will be

$$u(x + iy) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(x - t, y) f(t) dt, \quad y > 0,$$

with the kernel

$$P(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

The harmonic conjugate function may be obtained from f by taking convolution with the conjugate kernel

$$Q(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

The Cauchy kernel is related to P and Q by the relation $\frac{i}{\pi z} = P(x, y) + iQ(x, y)$. Finally we are able to construct the harmonic conjugate on the boundary $\partial U = \mathbb{R}$

$$u^\dagger(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{x - t}{(x - t)^2 + y^2} dt \xrightarrow{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{1}{x - t} dt. \quad (108)$$

B Cauchy formula by Ketchum and Vladimirov

We briefly describe the setting and the resulting Cauchy formula given in [Ket28] and [VV84a]. First let us take a bounded region $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with piecewise smooth boundary ∂G . Second let us consider the mapping of G given by $l : w \mapsto a + bw$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_d$ onto the plane $C = \{z : z = a + bw, w \in \mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{C})\} \subset \mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$. G is mapped to L and ∂G is mapped to ∂L correspondingly. Suppose also that the function $f(z)$ is also differentiable [VV84b] in some neighbourhood O of the closure $\bar{L} = L \cup \partial L$ and b is an invertible element of $\mathbb{S}_d(\mathbb{C})$. Then in the plane C we have the following Cauchy formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial L} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } z \in L, \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in C \setminus \bar{L}. \end{cases} \quad (109)$$

As it was outlined in Appendix A the Cauchy formula plays a vital role in the definition of Hilbert transform and one can directly extend the Hilbert transform and define it in the unit open disk (upper half-plane) of C .

Remark B.1 ([VV84a]). The Cauchy formula (109) holds for real Banach algebra \mathbb{S}_d in which there exists an element $i^2 = -\epsilon$, where ϵ is the unit element in \mathbb{S}_d . The plane C consists of the elements

$$C = \{x : x = a + u\epsilon + vi, u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{S}_d. \quad (110)$$

The Cauchy formula for several hypercomplex variables is written similarly [VV84a]. Now we have the region $L = L_1 \times \dots \times L_d$, where $L_j = \{z_j = a_j + b_j w_j\}$ is compact in some open region. By the Hartogs's theorem we have that if the function of several variables $f(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ is analytic with respect to each variable in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$, then it is analytic in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_d$ and we have the general Cauchy formula

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_d) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{\partial L_1} \dots \int_{\partial L_d} \frac{f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d)}{(\zeta_1 - z_1) \times \dots \times (\zeta_d - z_d)} d\zeta_1 \dots d\zeta_d \quad (111)$$

for all $z \in L$.

References

- [AGSE07] Daniel Alfsmann, Heinz G Göckler, Stephen J Sangwine, and Todd A Ell. Hypercomplex algebras in digital signal processing: Benefits and drawbacks. In *Signal Processing Conference, 2007 15th European*, pages 1322–1326. IEEE, 2007.
- [BBRH13] Swanhild Bernstein, Jean-Luc Bouchot, Martin Reinhardt, and Bettina Heise. Generalized analytic signals in image processing: comparison, theory and applications. In *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*, pages 221–246. Springer, 2013.
- [BDSS06] Fred Brackx, Nele De Schepper, and Frank Sommen. The two-dimensional Clifford-Fourier transform. *Journal of mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 26(1-2):5–18, 2006.
- [Bed62] Edward Bedrosian. A product theorem for Hilbert transforms. 1962.
- [Ber13] Feliks Aleksandrovich Berezin. *Introduction to superanalysis*, volume 9. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Ber14] Swanhild Bernstein. The fractional monogenic signal. In *Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications*, pages 75–88. Springer, 2014.
- [BHHA08] Mawardi Bahri, Eckhard SM Hitzer, Akihisa Hayashi, and Ryuichi Ashino. An uncertainty principle for quaternion fourier transform. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 56(9):2398–2410, 2008.
- [Bri17] Christopher P Bridge. Introduction to the monogenic signal. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.09199*, 2017.
- [BS01] Thomas Bülow and Gerald Sommer. Hypercomplex signals—a novel extension of the analytic signal to the multidimensional case. *IEEE Transactions on signal processing*, 49(11):2844–2852, 2001.
- [BS10] Nicolas Le Bihan and Stephen J Sangwine. The hyperanalytic signal. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.4751*, 2010.
- [CBC⁺08a] Francesco Catoni, Dino Boccaletti, Roberto Cannata, Vincenzo Catoni, Enrico Nichelatti, and Paolo Zampetti. *The mathematics of Minkowski space-time: with an introduction to commutative hypercomplex numbers*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [CBC⁺08b] Francesco Catoni, Dino Boccaletti, Roberto Cannata, Vincenzo Catoni, Enrico Nichelatti, and Paolo Zampetti. *The mathematics of Minkowski space-time: with an introduction to commutative hypercomplex numbers*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [Cli71] Clifford. Preliminary sketch of biquaternions. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-4(1):381–395, 1871.
- [Coc49] James Cockle. Iii. on a new imaginary in algebra. *Philosophical Magazine Series 3*, 34(226):37–47, 1849.
- [DB12] Hendrik De Bie. Clifford algebras, Fourier transforms, and quantum mechanics. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 35(18):2198–2228, 2012.
- [DBDSS11] Hendrik De Bie, Nele De Schepper, and Frank Sommen. The class of Clifford-Fourier transforms. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 17(6):1198–1231, 2011.
- [ELBS14] Todd A Ell, Nicolas Le Bihan, and Stephen J Sangwine. *Quaternion Fourier transforms for signal and image processing*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.
- [Ell92] Todd Anthony Ell. Hypercomplex spectral transformations, phd dissertation. 1992.
- [ES07] Todd A Ell and Stephen J Sangwine. Hypercomplex fourier transforms of color images. *IEEE Transactions on image processing*, 16(1):22–35, 2007.

- [FBSC01] Michael Felsberg, Thomas Bülow, Gerald Sommer, and Vladimir M Chernov. Fast algorithms of hypercomplex Fourier transforms. In *Geometric computing with Clifford algebras*, pages 231–254. Springer, 2001.
- [FS01] Michael Felsberg and Gerald Sommer. The monogenic signal. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 49(12):3136–3144, 2001.
- [Gab46] Dennis Gabor. Theory of communication. *Journal of the Institution of Electrical Engineers-Part III: Radio and Communication Engineering*, 93(26):429–441, 1946.
- [Hah07] Stefan L Hahn. The history of applications of analytic signals in electrical and radio engineering. In *EUROCON, 2007. The International Conference on "Computer as a Tool"*, pages 2627–2631. IEEE, 2007.
- [Ham44] William Rowan Hamilton. Ii. on quaternions; or on a new system of imaginaries in algebra. *Philosophical Magazine Series 3*, 25(163):10–13, 1844.
- [HS11] S Hahn and K Snopek. The unified theory of n-dimensional complex and hypercomplex analytic signals. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences*, 59(2):167–181, 2011.
- [HS13] SL Hahn and KM Snopek. Quasi-analytic multidimensional signals. *Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences: Technical Sciences*, 61(4):1017–1024, 2013.
- [HS16] Stefan L Hahn and Kajetana M Snopek. *Complex and Hypercomplex Analytic Signals: Theory and Applications*. Artech House, 2016.
- [Ket28] PW Ketchum. Analytic functions of hypercomplex variables. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 30(4):641–667, 1928.
- [Khr12] Andrei Y Khrennikov. *Superanalysis*, volume 470. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [Kra01] Steven George Krantz. *Function theory of several complex variables*, volume 340. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [Kra09] Steven G Krantz. *Explorations in harmonic analysis: with applications to complex function theory and the Heisenberg group*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [LB17] Nicolas Le Bihan. Foreword to the special issue “Hypercomplex Signal Processing”. *Signal Processing*, 136:1–106, 2017.
- [LBS08] Nicolas Le Bihan and Stephen Sangwine. The H-analytic signal. In *16th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO-2008)*, pages P8–1, 2008.
- [LBSE14] Nicolas Le Bihan, Stephen J Sangwine, and Todd A Ell. Instantaneous frequency and amplitude of orthocomplex modulated signals based on quaternion fourier transform. *Signal Processing*, 94:308–318, 2014.
- [MH06] Bahri Mawardi and Eckhard MS Hitzer. Clifford-Fourier transformation and uncertainty principle for the Clifford geometric algebra $Cl_{3,0}$. *Advances in applied Clifford algebras*, 16(1):41–61, 2006.
- [Ped97] Paul S Pedersen. Cauchy’s integral theorem on a finitely generated, real, commutative, and associative algebra. *Advances in mathematics*, 131(2):344–356, 1997.
- [Sch93] MG Scheffers. Sur la généralisation des fonctions analytiques. *CR Acad. Sc*, 116:1114, 1893.
- [Sch53] Julian Schwinger. CXXIV. A note on the quantum dynamical principle. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 44(357):1171–1179, 1953.
- [SLB07] Stephen J Sangwine and Nicolas Le Bihan. Hypercomplex analytic signals: extension of the analytic signal concept to complex signals. In *Signal Processing Conference, 2007 15th European*, pages 621–624. IEEE, 2007.

- [T⁺48] Edward Charles Titchmarsh et al. *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, volume 2. Clarendon Press Oxford, 1948.
- [Vak96] David Vakman. On the analytic signal, the Teager-Kaiser energy algorithm, and other methods for defining amplitude and frequency. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 44(4):791–797, 1996.
- [VV84a] Vasilii Sergeevich Vladimirov and Igor Vasil’evich Volovich. Superanalysis. ii. integral calculus. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 60(2):743–765, 1984.
- [VV84b] VS Vladimirov and IV Volovich. Superanalysis. *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 59(1):317–335, 1984.
- [YZ⁺08] Bo Yu, Haizhang Zhang, et al. The Bedrosian identity and homogeneous semi-convolution equations. *J. Integral Equations Appl*, 20(4):527–568, 2008.
- [Zha14] Haizhang Zhang. Multidimensional analytic signals and the Bedrosian identity. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 78(3):301–321, 2014.