# Exceedance as a measure of sparsity

Peter McCullagh Deopartment of Statistics University of Chicago\*

Nicholas G. Polson Booth School of Business University of Chicago

December 2017

#### Abstract

Sparsity is defined as a limiting property of a sequence of probability distributions. It is characterized by a rate parameter and an exceedance measure, which may be finite or infinite. Many sparse integrals, including the signal-plus-noise convolution, are shown to depend on the signal distribution only through its rate parameter and exceedance measure. For statistical purposes, two sparse families having the same, or proportional, exceedance measures are equivalent to first order. Relative to the standard Gaussian distribution, the signal-plus-noise convolution is subject to tail inflation, the nature and extent of which is also determined by the exceedance measure and the sparsity rate. The relationship between the tail-inflation factor and the exceedance measure is given explicitly for the inverse-power measures by the convolution-mixture theorem, which expresses the signal-noise convolution as a specific two-component mixture.

**Keywords:** Convolution; Exceedance measure; Goldilocks activity; Infinite divisibility; Lévy measure; Mixture; Signal activity; Sparsity; Tail inflation

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Chicago, 5747 S. Ellis Ave, Chicago, Il 60637, U.S.A. E-mail: pmcc@galton.uchicago.edu. Nick Polson is at ChicagoBooth, University of Chicago. E-mail: ngp@chicagobooth.edu.

## 1 Introduction

We study a concept of sparsity, not as a property of a prior probability distribution for rare signals, but as a limit property of a *sequence* of probability distributions. Sparsity of a sequence is characterized by its exceedance measure, which is the chief determinant of a certain restricted class of integrals, probabilities, and expected values. These are the integrals that arise in probabilistic assessments of signal activity, however it is defined.

One reason for defining sparsity as a limit is that it enables us to develop asymptotic approximations for inferential purposes in large-scale statistical problems of the type considered by Johnstone and Silverman (2004) and Efron (2009, 2010). In addition, two families may have the same or proportional exceedance measures, which defines an equivalence relation on sparse families. To first order in sparsity, essentially all prior and posterior integrals are shown to depend only on the exceedance measure, which means that exceedance-equivalent families automatically produce first-order equivalent inferences. Consequently, many apparently unrelated models for signal sparsity that have been advocated in the literature are seen to be first-order equivalent near the sparse limit.

Section 2 is concerned with details of the definition, and section 3 with its consequences for inference. Section 3.5 introduces a modified concept of signal activity that is applicable to situations in which the signal is non-zero with probability one, in which case false discovery in the literal sense is mathematically impossible. The modified activity probability meshes reasonably well with the more traditional notion of local positive rate or local false discovery.

Section 3.6 examines the inverse-square exceedance measure, an equivalence class that includes the sparse Cauchy model, the horseshoe model (Carvalho, Polson and Scott, 2010), and all other scale families having similar tail behaviour. Within this class, there exists a particular family of probability distributions, called the  $\psi$ -scale family, that has a highly unusual but extremely useful property. Every Gaussian- $\psi$  convolution is expressible exactly as a binary Gaussian- $\psi$  mixture. This is a closure or self-conjugacy property, which means that the observation distribution belongs to the same family as the signal.

Section 4 illustrates the application of parametric maximum likelihood to estimate the sparsity rate parameter for subsequent inferential use. It also illustrates the mathematical interpretation of signal activity assessments as the conditional probability of a certain event given the data.

## 2 Sparsity as a limit

## 2.1 Exceedance measure

The sparsity limit involves an exceedance measure, which is defined as follows.

**Definition 1.** A non-negative measure H on the real line is termed an exceedance measure if the function  $\min(x^2, 1)$  has a finite integral:  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \min(x^2, 1) H(dx) < \infty$ .

Although the motivation for this definition is unconnected with convolution, every exceedance measure is the Lévy measure of an infinitely divisible distribution on the real line, and vice-versa. The chief consequence for sparsity is that for every open interval containing zero, the exceedance event  $A_{\epsilon} = \overline{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$  has finite measure, i.e.,  $H(A_{\epsilon}) < \infty$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . No constraint is imposed on the total measure, which may be finite or infinite, nor on the atom at zero, if any. In all subsequent exceedance integrals, the atom at zero plays no role, so it is immaterial whether the atom is taken as finite, infinite or zero.

Every finite measure, including the zero measure, is an exceedance measure. Other examples include the non-finite measures  $|x|^{-p}e^{-|x|} dx$  for p < 3 and the inverse powers  $dx/|x|^p$  for 1 . The harmonic measure <math>dx/|x| is locally finite at all points other than zero, but it is not an exceedance measure.

**Definition 2.** The integrability condition implies  $\int (1 - e^{-x^2/2}) H(dx) < \infty$ ; an exceedance measure is said to be expressed in standard form if this integral is one.

Every non-zero exceedance measure can be expressed in standard form such that  $(1 - e^{-x^2/2}) H(dx)$  is a probability distribution. For example, the inverse-power measures

$$H(du) = \frac{d(2-d)}{2^{2-d/2}\Gamma(2-d/2)} \frac{du}{|u|^{d+1}}$$
(1)

for 0 < d < 2 are expressed in standard form. The inverse-square measure is  $du/(\sqrt{2\pi}u^2)$ .

## 2.2 Sparse limit

**Definition 3.** A sequence of probability distributions  $\{P_{\nu}\}$  indexed by  $\nu > 0$  is said to have a sparse limit if there exists a positive rate parameter  $\rho = \rho(\nu)$  such that  $\rho \to 0$  as  $\nu \to 0$ , and an exceedance measure H such that

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0} \rho^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) P_{\nu}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) H(dx)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

for every bounded continuous function satisfying w(0) = 0. The condition w(0) = 0 eliminates from the integral any atom that either  $P_{\nu}$  or H may have at the origin, but it is not sufficient to guarantee that the limiting integral be finite.

The motivation for the definition comes from extreme-value theory, which focuses on exceedance rates over a certain class of thresholds (Davison and Smith 1990). Unlike extreme-value theory, the thresholds for sparse signals are not set exclusively at a high level, so high-frequency low-activity signals are not ignored. Formally setting  $w(\cdot)$  equal to the indicator function for the event  $\epsilon^+ = (\epsilon, \infty)$  or  $[\epsilon, \infty)$  in the integrals (2) gives the motivating condition—that the sparsity rate is the rarity of exceedances

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0} \rho_{\nu}^{-1} P_{\nu}(\epsilon^{+}) = H(\epsilon^{+}) < \infty$$

There is a similar limit for negative exceedances and other subsets that do not include zero in their closure. In all of our examples, H is atom-free with a density h, in which case the exceedance-rate definition is equivalent to (2).

Since the definition involves only the limit as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , it is always possible to reparameterize by the rate function, so that  $\rho = \nu$ . This standard parameterization is assumed where it is convenient. The rate parameter is defined up to an arbitrary positive scalar multiple, and the same applies to the exceedance measure, so two families having proportional exceedance measures are equivalent for present purposes.

**Definition 4.** Sparse-limit equivalence: *Regardless of their parameterization, two sparse families having proportional exceedance measures are said to be equivalent in the sparse limit.* 

Let  $\{P_{\nu}\}$  and  $\{Q_{\nu}\}$  be two families having the same exceedance measure H, both taken in the standard parameterization with rate parameter  $\rho = \nu$ . In effect, the rate parametrization matches each distribution in one family with a sparsity-matching distribution in the other, so the families are in 1–1 correspondence, at least in the approach to the limit. For any continuous function w such that w(0) = 0 and  $\int w(x) H(dx) < \infty$ , the limit integrals

$$\lim_{\nu \to 0} \nu^{-1} \int w(x) P_{\nu}(dx) = \lim_{\nu \to 0} \nu^{-1} \int w(x) Q_{\nu}(dx) = \int w(x) H(dx)$$

are finite and equal. Consequently, near the sparse limit, both integrals may be approximated by

$$\int w(x) P_{\nu}(dx) \simeq \int w(x) Q_{\nu}(dx) = \nu \int w(x) H(dx) + o(\nu).$$

This analysis implies that all probability calculations using  $P_{\nu}$  as the prior distribution for sparse signals are effectively the same as probability calculations using  $Q_{\nu}$  at the corresponding sparsity level.

**Definition 5.** Sparse scale family: A scale family of distributions with density  $\sigma^{-1}p(x/\sigma)$  is called a sparse scale family if it is sparse in the small-scale limit  $\sigma \to 0$ . The rate function  $\rho(\sigma)$  need not coincide with the scale parameter.

If the scale family  $\{P_{\sigma}\}$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho(\sigma)$ , then

$$P_{\sigma}(dx) = p(x/\sigma) dx/\sigma \simeq \rho(\sigma) h(x) dx$$

for small  $\sigma$ . Setting x = 1 and  $u = 1/\sigma$  gives  $p(u) \simeq h(1)\rho(u^{-1})/u$  as  $u \to \infty$ . Conversely,  $h(x) = x^{-1}\rho(\sigma/x)/\rho(\sigma)$  for x > 0, implying that  $\rho(\sigma) = \sigma^d$  for some power d > 0. If p is not symmetric, the power index for x < 0 may be a different number. It follows that the exceedance density of a sparse scale family is an inverse power function  $h(x) \propto 1/x^{d+1}$ , the same as the tail behaviour of p(x) as  $x \to \infty$ .

The Student-*t* scale family on 0 < d < 2 degrees of freedom is a sparse scale family with rate function  $\rho(\sigma) = \sigma^d$  and exceedance density  $dx/|x|^{d+1}$ .

Given a sparse scale family  $\{P_{\sigma}\}$ , it is straightforward to construct weighted families having the same exceedance measure *H* and the same rate function. Let *w* be a bounded non-negative *H*-integrable function that is monotone increasing in |x| with integral *K*. Then  $\sigma^{-1}w(x/\sigma)h(x/\sigma)/K$  is the density of a sparse scale family with the same exceedance measure and rate function.

### 2.3 Exceedance classes

For an arbitrary positive threshold  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $A_{\epsilon}$  be the threshold-exceedance event, i.e., the complement of the open interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Assuming that the limit measure in (2) exists, there are only three essentially different classes of sparse families  $\{P_{\nu}\}$ . Some of the classes may be further sub-divided, but for the moment we consider only the most fundamental classification, depending on the limiting behaviour of the exceedance measure  $H_{\epsilon} = H(A_{\epsilon})$  for low thresholds.

The zero-activity class consists of sparse families for which  $H_{\epsilon} = 0$  for every threshold. Apart from the Dirac measure, it includes the Gaussian and double-Laplace scale families, and all scale families having exponential tails.

The finite-activity class consists of families for which  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} H_{\epsilon}$  is finite. It includes all atom-and-slab families  $(1 - \nu)\delta_0 + \nu F$  indexed by the mixture parameter. It also includes non-atomic spike-and-slab families such as the mixture  $(1 - \nu)N(0, \nu) + \nu F$ .

The infinite-activity class satisfies  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} H_{\epsilon} = \infty$ . A family of distributions in this class may have an atom or spike at zero, but any atom is irrelevant and has no bearing on sparse-limit approximations.

Mixtures involving the zero-activity class occur in the literature as a way of generating non-atomic spike-and-slab priors (Rockova, 2017). Let  $\{P_{\nu}\}$  be sparse with rate  $\nu$ and exceedance measure H, and let  $\{Q_{\nu}\}$  be any family in the zero-activity class. For any  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the mixture family

$$(1-\alpha)Q_{\nu} + \alpha P_{\nu/\alpha}$$

is also sparse with rate  $\nu$  and exceedance measure *H*. Likewise for the family with  $\alpha = \nu^{1/2}$ . From the standpoint of this paper, all families having the same exceedance measure are equivalent.

## 2.4 Infinite divisibility

Let *F* be an infinitely divisible probability distribution on the real line, and let  $\{F_{\nu}\}$  be the Lévy family indexed by the convolution parameter, i.e.,  $F_{\nu} \star F_{\nu'} = F_{\nu+\nu'}$  with  $F_1 = F$ . The Lévy process is sparse with rate  $\nu$ , and the exceedance measure is the Lévy measure (Barndorff-Nielsen and Hubalek, 2008).

To each exceedance measure there corresponds an infinite equivalence class of sparse sequences, most of which are not closed under convolution. This result tells us that each equivalence class contains exactly one Lévy process; the zero equivalence class contains the Gaussian family, i.e., the Brownian motion process. Despite this characterization, exceedance measures and Lévy processes have not played a prominent part in either Bayesian or non-Bayesian work on sparsity. The Cauchy scale family is one instance of a Lévy process where the scale parameter coincides with the convolution parameter.

A typical spike-and-slab distribution is not infinitely divisible. However, there are exceptions. For each positive pair  $(\lambda, \tau)$ , the atom-and-slab lasso distribution

$$e^{-\lambda}\delta_0(x) + (1 - e^{-\lambda})\tau e^{-\tau|x|}/2$$

is infinitely divisible with non-finite Lévy measure

$$H_{\lambda,\tau}(dx) = \left(e^{-\tau |x|} - e^{-\tau e^{\lambda/2} |x|}\right) |x|^{-1} dx.$$

For each  $(\lambda, \tau)$ , the family  $\{F_{\nu}\}$  exists, but the distributions are not easily exhibited.

The atom-and-slab lasso family with  $\rho = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$  as the sparsity rate parameter is not to be confused with the Lévy family  $\{F_{\nu}\}$  in which  $\lambda$  is held fixed. The former has finite exceedance measure, the latter non-finite.

## 2.5 Sparsity expansion

Weak convergence of the sequence  $\{P_{\nu}\}$  to the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  is concerned with the behaviour of  $P_{\nu}$ -integrals for a suitable class of continuous functions  $w \in W^{\sharp}$ . For general continuous w, sparseness implies a linear expansion for small  $\nu$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) P_{\nu}(dx) = w(0) + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w(x) - w(0)) H(dx) + o(\nu).$$

The exceedance measure is directional derivative or linear operator governing convergence:

$$P_{\nu}(w) - \delta_0(w) = \nu H(w) + o(\nu)$$

in the sense of  $\mathcal{W}^{\sharp}$ -integrals. Here,  $\mathcal{W}^{\sharp}$  is the class of bounded continuous functions that are twice differentiable at the origin with w(0) = w'(0) = 0.

Evidently, convergence for the zero-activity class is qualitatively faster than for nonzero classes.

#### 2.6 Examples

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , the symbol  $A_{\epsilon}$  denotes the  $\epsilon$ -exceedance event or the complement of the open interval  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

Example 1: The Laplace scale family with density  $\sigma^{-1}e^{-|x|/\sigma}/2$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho(\sigma) = \sigma$  in the small-scale limit  $\sigma \to 0$ . The  $\epsilon$ -exceedance probability is  $e^{-\epsilon/\sigma}$ , which, for every positive threshold, has a limit  $H(A_{\epsilon}) = 0$  as  $\sigma \to 0$ . The Gaussian scale family, and other scale families having exponential tails, have the same property. So far as this paper is concerned all sparse families in this class are trivial and equivalent.

Example 2: Let *F* be a probability distribution on the real line. The spike and slab family  $P_{\nu} = (1 - \nu)\delta_0 + \nu F$  indexed by  $0 < \nu \leq 1$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho = \nu$ . The exceedance measure is H(A) = F(A), which is finite.

The exceedance measure in standard form is F/K, where  $K = \int (1 - e^{-x^2/2}) F(dx)$ , and the exceedance rate is  $\rho = K\nu$ , so the product is invariant. The activity-reduction factors for the standard Laplace, Cauchy and Gaussian distributions are 0.34, 0.48 and 0.29 respectively.

The mixture-indexed spike and *F*-slab family with a fixed scale parameter belongs to the finite class; it is not to be confused with the atom-free *F*-scale family or intermediate combinations.

Example 3a: The family of double gamma distributions with density

$$p_{\nu}(x) = \frac{|x|^{\nu-1} e^{-|x|}}{2 \nu^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu)}$$

is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho = \nu$  and exceedance density

$$h(x) = |x|^{-1}e^{-|x|}/2.$$

The total mass  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} H(A_{\epsilon})$  is infinite but there is no atom at zero.

Example 3b: For fixed  $\sigma$ , the re-scaled double gamma family with density  $\sigma^{-1}p_{\nu}(x/\sigma)$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho = \nu$  and exceedance density

$$h(x) = |x|^{-1} e^{-|x|/\sigma}/2$$

which depends on  $\sigma$ . Each of the sub-families for different  $\sigma > 0$  has its own exceedance measure. These are not equivalent because they are not proportional.

For a fixed index  $\nu$ , the double gamma scale family is also sparse as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ . But the limiting exceedance measure is zero, so the scale family belongs to the zero-activity class.

Example 4: The Cauchy family  $C(\sigma)$  with probable error  $\sigma > 0$  and density

$$P_{\sigma}(dx) = \frac{\sigma \, dx}{\pi(\sigma^2 + x^2)}$$

is sparse with rate parameter  $\nu = \sigma$  and inverse-square exceedance density

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x^2}.$$

The scale families

$$C(\sigma), \quad \frac{1}{2} (\delta_0 + C(2\sigma)), \quad 0.75 N(0, \sigma^2) + 0.25 C(4\sigma),$$

have the same rate parameter and exceedance measure. Similar remarks apply to a large number of families that have been proposed as prior distributions in the literature, including the scale family generated by various Gaussian mixtures such as the horseshoe distribution with density  $\log(1 + 1/x^2)/(2\pi)$ .

Example 5a: Consider the distribution with density

$$p(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-x^2/2}}{x^2 \sqrt{2\pi}}$$

and let  $p_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma^{-1}p(x/\sigma)$  be the density of the re-scaled distribution. Then the family  $\{P_{\sigma}\}$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\nu = \sigma$  and exceedance density  $h(x) \propto 1/x^2$ .

Example 5b: For d > 1/2, let  $w(x) = x^{2d}/(1+x^2)^d$ , and let

$$p_{\sigma}(x) \propto \frac{\sigma w(x/\sigma)}{x^2}.$$

This scale family is sparse with the same rate function and inverse-square exceedance measure as the previous two. The weight function has no role in the limit provided that the integral is finite,  $w(x) \sim x^2$  for small x, and  $w(x) \to 1$  as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

## 3 Zeta function and zeta measure

## 3.1 Definitions

It is assumed henceforth that every sparse family is symmetric, and the exceedance measure is expressed in standard form, so that  $\int (1 - e^{-x^2/2})H(dx) = 1$ .

To each exceedance measure *H* there corresponds a zeta function

$$\zeta(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\cosh(tu) - 1\right) e^{-u^2/2} H(du),\tag{3}$$

which is positive and finite, symmetric and convex, satisfying  $\zeta(0) = 0$ . By construction, the zeta function is the cumulant function of the infinitely divisible distribution with down-weighted Lévy measure  $e^{-u^2/2}H(du)$ . The zeta function is analytic at the origin, so this Lévy process has finite moments of all orders.

The Lévy process discussed in section 2.4, which characterizes each sparse equivalence class, has exceedance measure H(du). The Lévy process with down-weighted exceedance measure is entirely different. The latter process has finite moments; the former may not.

To each real number  $\theta$  there corresponds a *zeta measure* 

$$\zeta(dx;\theta) = (\cosh(\theta x) - 1)e^{-x^2/2} H(dx), \tag{4}$$

which is symmetric with finite total mass  $\zeta(\theta)$ . The Laplace transform is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{tx} \zeta(dx;\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(t+\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(t-\theta) - \zeta(t).$$

$d \setminus x$	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.0
0.5	1.9	2.7	3.9	5.8	8.8	13.9	22.9	39.4	70.9	133.7	264.3
1.0	3.1	4.2	5.8	8.1	11.6	17.2	26.5	42.7	72.5	129.6	244.2
1.5	3.8	4.8	6.2	8.1	10.7	14.4	20.2	29.5	45.5	74.4	129.8

Table 1: Zeta function  $\zeta_d(x)$  for three inverse-power exceedance measures.

## 3.2 Tail inflation factor

The zeta function is an integral transformation much like a Laplace transform, i.e., formally *H* is a measure on the observation space and  $\zeta$  is a function on the dual space. However, if  $\phi(x)$  is the standard normal density, the product  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)\zeta(x)$  is also a probability density with characteristic function

$$\int e^{itx}\psi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-u^2/2} H(du) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x) \left( e^{x(u+it)}/2 + e^{-x(u-it)}/2 - e^{itx} \right) dx,$$
  
$$= e^{-t^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \cos(tu) - e^{-u^2/2} \right) H(du),$$
  
$$= e^{-t^2/2} - e^{-t^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( 1 - \cos(tu) \right) H(du).$$
(5)

Provided that *H* is in standard form, the value at t = 0 is one.

Section 3.4 shows that  $\psi$  is the tail-inflation component of the marginal distribution of the observations. The left panel of Figure 1 shows the density function for the inverse-square exceedance measure. All inverse-power exceedance measures have similar bimodal distributions differing chiefly in modal height and tail behaviour. There is a certain qualitative similarity with a pair of distributions depicted in Figure 1 of Johnson and Rossell (2009), and recommended there as priors for testing a Gaussian mean.

The convex combination  $\alpha H + (1 - \alpha)H'$  of two exceedance measures in standard form is also an exceedance measure in standard form. Since the mappings  $H \mapsto \zeta \mapsto \psi$  are both linear, convex combinations are preserved.

For typical exceedance measures having on-exponential tails, the characteristic function of  $\psi$  is not analytic at the origin, in which case the distribution does not have finite moments. For example, if *H* is the inverse-power exceedance (1) for some 0 < d < 2, the characteristic function (5) reduces to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} \psi(x) \, dx = e^{-t^2/2} (1 - |t|^d / K_d), \tag{6}$$

where  $K_d = 2^{d/2} \Gamma(1/2 + d/2)/\sqrt{\pi}$ . By contrast, if  $H(du) = Ke^{-\alpha |u|} du/|u|$  has exponential tails, the integral in (5) is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( 1 - \cos(tu) \right) H(du) = K \log(1 + t^2/\alpha^2).$$

which is analytic at the origin. A derivation is sketched near the end of section 3.7.

## 3.3 Sparsity integrals

To see how the zeta function arises in sparsity calculations, consider first the integral of the weighted distribution

$$\int e^{-x^2/2} P_{\nu}(dx) = 1 - \int (1 - e^{-x^2/2}) P_{\nu}(dx),$$
  
= 1 - \nu \int (1 - e^{-x^2/2}) H(dx) + o(\nu),  
= 1 - \nu + o(\nu).

Second, for any sparse family, the Laplace transform is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{tx} e^{-x^2/2} P_{\nu}(dx) = 1 - \nu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{tx} - 1) e^{-x^2/2} P_{\nu}(dx)$$
  
=  $1 - \nu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\cosh(tx) - 1) e^{-x^2/2} P_{\nu}(dx),$   
=  $1 - \nu + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\cosh(tx) - 1) e^{-x^2/2} H(dx) + o(\nu),$   
=  $1 - \nu + \nu \zeta(t) + o(\nu).$ 

The normalized family  $(1 - \nu)^{-1} e^{-x^2/2} P_{\nu}$  is sparse with rate  $\nu' = \nu/(1 - \nu)$ , exceedance measure  $e^{-x^2/2} H(dx)$ , and Laplace transform  $1 + \nu' \zeta(t) + o(\nu)$ .

## 3.4 Marginal and conditional integrals

Suppose that the observation *Y* is a sum of two independent unobserved random variables  $Y = \mu + \epsilon$ , where  $\mu \sim P_{\nu}$  is sparse, and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$  is a standard Gaussian variable. Then the joint density of  $(Y, \mu)$  at (y, u) is  $\phi(y - u) p_{\nu}(u)$ , while the marginal density of the observation is a Gaussian- $\psi$  mixture:

$$m_{\nu}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y-u) P_{\nu}(du) = \phi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{yu-u^{2}/2} P_{\nu}(du) = \phi(y) (1-\nu+\nu\zeta(y)) + o(\nu) = (1-\nu)\phi(y) + \nu\psi(y) + o(\nu).$$
(7)

For any family with exceedance measure taken in standard form, the sparsity parameter satisfies  $1 - \nu = m_{\nu}(0)/\phi(0)$ , which is the basis of a recipe for consistent estimation. Alternatively, if H,  $\zeta$  or  $\psi$  are given, the asymptotic mixture model can be fitted directly by maximum likelihood: see section 4.2.

The same argument shows that the moment generating function of the conditional



Figure 1: Left panel: tail inflation densities  $\psi(x)$  for the inverse power exceedance measures. Middle panel: Bayes estimate of the signal using the inverse-square exceedance measure; Right panel: conditional activity probability as a function of y for a range of sparsity values  $4^{-k}$ ,  $0 \le k \le 6$ .

distribution given Y = y is

$$\frac{\phi(y)}{m_{\nu}(y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(y+t)u - u^2/2} P_{\nu}(du) = \frac{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta(y+t)}{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta(y)}$$

depending, to first order in  $\nu$ , only on the zeta function of the exceedance measure. In particular, the *r*th conditional moment of  $\mu$  is  $\nu \zeta^{(r)}(y)/(1 - \nu + \nu \zeta(y))$ , which is the asymptotic version of Tweedie's formula (Efron, 2011). Tweedie's formula implies differential shrinkage towards the origin. For the inverse-square exceedance, the function is depicted in the middle panel of Figure 1 for a range of sparsity values.

The conditional distribution given Y = 0 is symmetric with sparse-limit distribution

$$(1-\nu)^{-1}e^{-u^2/2}P_{\nu}(du) + o(\nu).$$

The conditional expected value of  $w(\mu)$  given Y = 0 satisfies

$$(1-\nu)^{-1}\int w(u)e^{-u^2/2}P_{\nu}(du) = w(0) + \nu \int (w(u) - w(0))e^{-u^2/2}H(du) + o(\nu).$$

and the moment generating function is  $e^{\nu\zeta(t)} + o(\nu)$ . Since  $\zeta(t)$  is the cumulant function of the Lévy process with tail-reduced exceedance measure  $e^{-u^2/2}H(du)$ , the sparse-limit conditional distribution of  $\mu$  given Y = 0 is essentially the same as the unconditional distribution except that the probability of very large values is appreciably reduced. Asymptotically, both distributions have mass  $1 - \nu$  at the origin.

## 3.5 Signal activity

The zeta function and the zeta measure also arise in conditional probability calculations connected with 'signal activity',  $W = w(\mu)$ , where  $w \ge 0$  is the activity function:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(u) e^{yu} e^{-u^2/2} P_{\nu}(du) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(u) e^{-u^2/2} P_{\nu}(du) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(u) (\cosh(yu) - 1) e^{-u^2/2} P_{\nu}(du) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(u) e^{-u^2/2} P_{\nu}(du) + \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(u) \zeta(du; y)) + o(\nu). \end{split}$$

It is essential in this derivation that w be symmetric, so positive and negative signals are equal in activity. The statistical interpretation is that the sparse-limit conditional expected value or Bayes estimate of W, is a convex combination of two averages

$$E_{\nu}(W \mid Y = y) = \frac{(1 - \nu)E_{\nu}(W \mid Y = 0) + \nu \int w(u)\,\zeta(du; y)}{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta(y)} + o(\nu). \tag{8}$$

Let *w* be the indicator function for an activity subset  $A_{\epsilon} = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  for some suitably small threshold. Then the preceding integral may be interpreted as a conditional activity probability

$$P_{\nu}(\text{activity} \mid Y = y) = \frac{(1 - \nu)P_{\nu}(A_{\epsilon} \mid Y = 0) + \nu\zeta(A_{\epsilon}; y)}{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta(y)}$$
$$\simeq \frac{\nu\zeta(y)}{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta(y)}.$$
(9)

The activity threshold is not critical provided that it lies in the region separating asymptotically the support of the two distributions; it must be sufficiently large that the central component  $P_{\nu}(A_{\epsilon} \mid Y = 0)$  is negligible, and sufficiently small that  $\nu\zeta(\overline{A_{\epsilon}}; y)$  is also negligible. This 'Goldilocks interval' is non-empty only if |y| is sufficiently large: see Fig. 3. In practice,  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $|y| \ge 2$  is adequate as a guideline. Mathematically, the interval  $\sqrt{-\log \nu} \le \epsilon \le |y| - \sqrt{-\log \nu}$  suffices, and is non-empty if  $|y| \ge 2\sqrt{-\log \nu}$ , which implies  $|y| \ge 2.0$  at 2% sparsity rate.

The 'Goldilocks activity' probability (9) is depicted as a function of y for the inversesquare exceedance measure in the right panel of Figure 1 for a range of sparsity levels. Provided that the expression is not taken too literally, it may be helpful to interpret (9) as the local true positive rate, and its complement as the local false positive rate.

#### 3.6 Inverse power exceedances

The remainder of this section summarizes, without proofs, the role of the zeta function (3) for sparse scale families whose exceedance densities in standard form for 0 < d < 2 are shown in (1). It has the following properties.

1. The zeta function is expressible as a power series

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{d(2-d)}{\Gamma(2-d/2)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{r-2} \Gamma(r-d/2) x^{2r}}{(2r)!},$$
(10)

with strictly positive factorially-decaying coefficients, for 0 < d < 2 only. Numerical values for  $2 \le x \le 4$  are shown in Table 1.

- 2. The characteristic function of  $\psi$  is  $e^{-t^2/2}(1-|t|^d/K_d)$ , where  $K_d$  is given in (6).
- 3. The scale family  $\sigma^{-1}\psi(y/\sigma)$  is sparse with rate parameter  $\rho = \sigma^d$  and the same exceedance density (1).
- 4. Let  $\eta \sim \psi$  and  $\epsilon \sim \phi$  be independent. To each pair of coefficients with  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  there corresponds a number  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  such that the linear combination  $a\epsilon + b\eta$  is distributed as the mixture  $(1 \alpha)\phi + \alpha\psi$ .
- 5. The marginal distribution of *Y* is a mixture  $m_{\nu}(y) = (1 \nu)\phi(y) + \nu\psi(y)$ .
- 6. To first order in sparsity, the conditional distribution of the signal given Y = y is a binary mixture having an atom with weight proportional to  $1 \nu$  at the origin. The remaining component is the folded zeta distribution with weight proportional to  $\nu \zeta_d(y)$ .

$$P_{\nu}(du \mid Y = y) = \frac{(1 - \nu)\delta_0(du) + \nu\zeta_d(du; y) \, 2I_{uy>0}}{1 - \nu + \nu\zeta_d(y)} + o(\nu)$$

The statement in 5 is a little imprecise because the signal distribution is not mentioned. Modulo the scale factor  $\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}$  that occurs implicitly in 4, the statement is exact if the signal is distributed as  $\sigma^{-1}\psi(\cdot/\sigma)$  for arbitrary  $\sigma$ , which determines the mixture weight  $\nu$ . It is also correct, modulo a similar scale factor, if the signal is distributed according to the mixture itself. More importantly, it is correct for every signal distribution in this inverse-power equivalence class, but with error  $o(\nu)$  in the approach to the sparse limit. Likewise, for the statement in 6, which is asymptotic in sparsity, but uniform in y.

## 3.7 The convolution-mixture theorem

The convolution-mixture theorem applies to inverse-power exceedance measures (1), with density proportional to  $du/|u|^{d+1}$  for some 0 < d < 2. With  $\zeta$  defined by its series expansion (10), the probability distribution with density

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x)\zeta(x)$$

has a remarkable property that makes it singularly well adapted for statistical applications related to sparse signals contaminated with additive Gaussian error. Not only is the  $\psi$ -scale family sparse with inverse-power exceedance measure, but each Gaussian- $\psi$ convolution is also expressible as a binary Gaussian- $\psi$  mixture as follows. **Theorem 6.** Convolution-mixture: For arbitrary scalars a, b, let

$$Y = a\epsilon + b\eta,$$

where  $\epsilon \sim N(0,1)$  and  $\eta \sim \psi$  are independent random variables. Also, let Y' be the mixture

$$Y' = \begin{cases} \eta \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \text{ with probability } |b|^d / (a^2 + b^2)^{d/2} \\ \epsilon \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Y and Y' have the same distribution.

The theorem states that every linear combination with norm  $\sigma = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$  is equal in distribution to an equi-norm Gaussian- $\psi$  mixture. An arbitrary binary mixture is not expressible as a convolution unless the two scale parameters are equal.

*Proof.* The result is a direct consequence of the characteristic function (6). One derivation proceeds from (5) by analytic continuation of the gamma integral:

$$\int_0^\infty u^{\alpha - 1} e^{-su} (2 - e^{-itu} - e^{itu}) \, du = \Gamma(\alpha) \left( \frac{2}{s^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(s + it)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{(s - it)^\alpha} \right)$$

which is convergent for s > 0 and  $\alpha > -2$ . For  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ , the limit as  $s \to 0$  is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1 - \cos(tu)) \, du}{|u|^{d+1}} = \frac{2\cos(d\pi/2)\Gamma(2 - d)}{d(1 - d)} |t|^d,$$

where  $d = -\alpha$ . The limit as  $d \to 1$  is  $\pi |t|$ .

There is an extension to any exceedance measure that is a finite inverse-power mixture.

## 4 Two illustrations

## 4.1 Berger's example

In his paper on attitudes towards the testing of hypotheses, Berger (2003) discusses the following example. Suppose n = 10, the data,  $X_1, ..., X_{10}$ , are independent and identically distributed  $N(\theta, 1)$ , and that it is desired to test  $H_0: \theta = 0$  versus  $H_1: \theta \neq 0$ . If  $Z = \sqrt{n}\overline{X} = 2.3$ , Fisher would report a tail *p*-value of 0.021, Jeffreys would report a posterior probability  $p(H_0 \mid Z) = 0.28$ , Neyman would reject at level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,...

What conclusions does sparsity and the exceedance approach lead to?

First, the conclusions are explicitly in the form of a conditional distribution given the data, albeit a sparse-limit asymptotic distribution. Second, no overt assumption of sparsity occurs in this problem, so there is little reason to expect that a theory based specifically on sparse limits should have much to offer. Nonetheless, the exceedance approach requires a sparse family to be specified in such a way that the signal exceedance

probability is

$$P_{\nu}(|\theta| > \epsilon) = \frac{2\nu}{\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}} + o(\nu),$$

using the inverse-square exceedance for illustration. Provided that  $\nu$  is small, the precise distribution does not matter, but we may use the scale family  $\nu^{-1}\psi(\theta/\nu)$  for illustrative purposes. Each observation is a linear combination  $X_i = \nu\eta + \epsilon_i$ , where  $\eta \sim \psi$  and  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$  are independent, so that the test statistic is

$$Z = \sqrt{n}\bar{X} = \sqrt{n}\nu\eta + \epsilon = \nu'\eta + \epsilon.$$

The marginal distribution of *Z* is a Gaussian- $\psi$  mixture with scale parameter  $\sqrt{1 + n\nu^2}$  and weight  $\sqrt{n\nu}/\sqrt{1 + n\nu^2}$  on  $\psi$ . For small  $\nu$  (or  $\nu'$ ), the asymptotic conditional distribution (9) has an inactivity atom with weight

$$\frac{1-\nu'}{1-\nu'+\nu'\zeta(z)}$$

depending only on the product  $\nu' = \nu \sqrt{n}$ . For  $\nu' = 0.35$ , the atom at the origin is 0.275 for Z = 2.3, and 0.117 for Z = 2.9. These are essentially the same as the values attributed by Berger to Jeffreys. Both computations require at least one arbitrary external input: the value  $\nu' = 0.35$  happens to match Jeffreys' calculations.

Numerical values of  $\zeta(z)$  in the range  $2 \le z \le 4$  are shown in Table 1 for three inverse-power measures. The three intermediate values used in this exercise are 3.2, 4.9 and 5.5 for z = 2.3, and 11.0, 14.0, and 12.4 for z = 2.9. Note that the behaviour as a function of the power index is not monotone.

For  $\nu' = 0.9$ , the atom at the origin is 0.022 for Z = 2.3 and 0.0078 for Z = 2.9. Although the comparison of a tail *p*-value with a posterior probability seems odd, the *p*-values attributed by Berger to Fisher for comparison are 0.021 and 0.0037.

Neither  $\nu$ -value is comfortably small in the spirit of this paper.

### 4.2 Efron's example

The left panel of Figure 2 shows a histogram of the absolute values of 5000 independent responses generated by Efron's (2011) version of the sparse signal plus Gaussian noise model

$$Y_i = \mu_i + \epsilon_i$$

where the  $\epsilon$ s are independent N(0,1) random variables, and the signals are

$$\mu_i = \pm \log((i - 1/2)/500)$$

for  $i \leq 500$  and  $\mu_i = 0$  otherwise. The absolute non-zero signals are approximately exponentially distributed, and the nominal activity rate is 10%. But a substantial fraction of the signals are small, and consequently undetectable in the presence of additive



Figure 2: Asymptotic conditional density of the signal for various *y*-values.

standard Gaussian noise, so the effective activity rate is presumably closer to

$$\nu = 0.1 \times \frac{1}{2} \int (1 - e^{-x^2/2}) e^{-|x|} dx = 0.0344.$$

The inverse-square exceedance model  $(1 - \nu)\phi(\cdot) + \nu\psi(\cdot)$  was fitted to the data by maximum likelihood, giving  $\hat{\nu} = 0.0296$ . A 3% non-Gaussian component in the mixture may seem almost negligible, but it is statistically highly significant, producing a log likelihood ratio statistic  $2l(\hat{\nu}) - 2l(0) = 224.08$  relative to the standard Gaussian distribution.

The asymptotic conditional distribution of the signal given Y = y is shown in Fig. 2 for a range of *y*-values. For  $\hat{\nu} = 0.0296$ , the atom at the origin dominates the conditional distribution for all *y*-values less than 2.5–3.0. The third panel in Figure 1 shows how the mass on the continuous component of the conditional distribution varies with *y*: this particular  $\nu$ -value is approximately  $4^{-2.5}$ , which is mid-way between the third and fourth curve.

The convolution-mixture theorem points to a slight increase in the scale parameter, so a re-scaled mixture model was also fitted, giving  $\hat{\sigma} = 1.04$  and  $\hat{\nu} = 0.0194$  with a further increase of  $2 \times 4.28$  in log likelihood. Although it is not large, the fitted scale parameter is considerably in excess of the factor  $\sqrt{1 + \nu^2}$  that is predicted by the inverse-square asymptotic theory. Since the signals were not generated by an inverse-square model, this discrepancy should not be a surprise.

Even with the additional scale parameter, the inverse-square exceedance model anticipates a greater number of more extreme signals and observations than are present in these data. The exceedance probability for large thresholds is

$$\int_{|u|>\epsilon} \psi(u) \, du \simeq \epsilon^{-1} \sqrt{2/\pi},$$



Figure 3: Conditional density of the signal for various *y*-values.

so the expected number of observations above 5 in absolute value is approximately

$$N\hat{\nu}\sqrt{2/\pi}/5 = 23.6,$$

ignoring the Gaussian contribution, which is negligible. The actual number in this sample was 6!

Maximization of the log likelihood over the inverse-power family with density  $du/|u|^{d+1}$  gives

$$\hat{d} = 1.5; \quad \hat{\nu} = 0.0570,$$

for an additional increment  $2l(\hat{d}, \hat{\nu}) - 2l(1, \hat{\nu}_1) = 22.5$ . The posterior signal distributions for these parameters are shown in Fig. 3. Apart from the singularity near the origin, which has asymptotically negligible probability content, they are not appreciably different from the conclusions based on the inverse-square model. But an observation y = 2.5, which is associated with an activity probability 0.17 under the inverse-square model, is upgraded to activity probability 0.29 under d = 1.5.

The expected number of observations above 5 in absolute value is reduced to 11.8, which is still noticeably above the observed count, thought not excessively so. It is unclear empirically, whether this discrepancy is a practical deficiency in the inverse-power model or whether it is due to an unrealistically short-tailed signal-generation scheme. But a more flexible model constrained only by convexity (McCullagh and Polson, 2017) is capable of producing a better fit in such circumstances.

## 5 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Jiyi Liu for pointing out that the convolution-mixture property in section 3.7 implies a certain form for the characteristic function, and ultimately for supplying a proof that the characteristic function of  $\psi$  has this form.

## **6** References

- Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E. and Hubalek, F. (2008) Probability measures, Lévy measures and analyticity in time. *Bernoulli* 14, 764–790.
- Berger, J.O. (2003). Could Fisher, Jeffreys and Neyman have agreed on Testing? *Statist. Sci.*, 18(1), 1–32.
- Carvalho, C.M., Polson, N.G. and Scott, J.G. (2010) The horseshoe estimator for sparse signals. *Biometrika* 97, 465–480.
- Davison, A.C. and Smith, R.L. (1990) Models for exceedances over high thresholds. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc.* B 52, 393–442.
- Efron, B. (2009). Empirical Bayes estimates for large-scale prediction problems. *Journal of the American Statistical Associations*, 104, 1015–1028.
- Efron, B. (2010) Large-Scale Inference: Empirical Bayes Methods for Estimation, Testing and *Prediction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Efron, B. (2011). Tweedie's formula and selection bias. *Journal of the American Statistical Assoication*, 106, 1602–1614.
- Jeffreys, H. (1961). Theory of Probability (3rd Edition). Oxford University Press.
- Johnstone, I. and B. W. Silverman (2004). Needles and straw in haystacks: Empirical-Bayes estimates of possibly sparse sequences. *The Annals of Statistics*, 32(4), 1594–1649.
- Johnson, V.E. and Rossell, D. (2010) On the use of non-local prior densities in Bayesian hypothesis tests. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc.* B 72, 143–170.

McCullagh, P. and Polson, N. (2017) Gaussian tail inflation.