

The AJ-conjecture for the Teichmüller TQFT

Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen and Alessandro Malusà *

Abstract

We formulate the AJ-conjecture for the Teichmüller TQFT and we prove it in the case of the figure-eight knot complement and the 5_2 -knot complement. This states that the level- N Andersen-Kashaev invariant, $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$, is annihilated by the non-homogeneous \hat{A} -polynomial, evaluated at appropriate q -commutative operators. These are obtained via geometric quantisation on the moduli space of flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections on a genus-1 surface. The construction depends on a parameter σ in the Teichmüller space in a way measured by the Hitchin-Witten connection, and results in Hitchin-Witten covariantly constant quantum operators for the holonomy functions m and ℓ along the meridian and longitude. Their action on $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$ is then defined via a trivialisation of the Hitchin-Witten connection and the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform.

Contents

1	Overview and summary of the results	1
2	Introduction	5
2.1	\mathbb{A}_N and the level- N quantum dilogarithm	5
2.2	Garoufalidis's original AJ-conjecture	7
2.3	The Andersen-Kashaev theory	8
3	Basic definitions and setting	8
4	Operators from geometric quantisation on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$	11
4.1	The quantum operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{(t)}$	11
4.2	Trivialisation of the Hitchin-Witten connection	15
4.3	The Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform	17
5	Operators annihilating the Andersen-Kashaev invariant	19
5.1	The figure-eight knot 4_1	20
5.2	The knot 5_2	24

1 Overview and summary of the results

In this paper we consider the level- N Andersen-Kashaev invariant $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$, which is minor transform of the Teichmüller TQFT partition function for a knot K

*Supported in part by the center of excellence grant "Centre for quantum geometry of Moduli Spaces" DNRF95, from the Danish National Research Foundation.

sitting inside a closed, oriented 3-manifold M , where b is a unitary complex quantum parameter. The level $N = 1$ Teichmüller TQFT was introduced by Andersen and Kashaev in [AK14a], and then extended to arbitrary (odd) N by Andersen and Kashaev in [AK14b] and further detailed in [AM16b]. This is a combinatorial approach to quantum $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Chern-Simons theory, whose version for $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ has been widely studied and understood via several, different approaches over the past three decades. The theory was first considered in Witten's original paper [Wit89], where he used path-integral arguments to interpret the coloured Jones polynomial as its partition function. This was then formalised by Reshetikhin and Turaev [RT90, RT91], who incorporated the invariant in a TQFT defined by means of combinatorics. Another approach to the theory uses geometric quantisation on moduli spaces of flat connections [ADPW91, Hit90], whose result depends on a Teichmüller parameter to an extent measured by the projectively flat Hitchin connection. This viewpoint was later proved to be equivalent to the Reshetikhin-Turaev theory via a chain of isomorphisms [Las98, AU07a, AU07b, AU12, AU15] involving also conformal field theory. As for the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ case, a formulation in terms of geometric quantisation was first proposed by Witten in [Wit91] by means of a Teichmüller space dependent real polarisation. Again, this results in a bundle of quantum Hilbert spaces, equipped with the Hitchin-Witten projectively flat connection, further studied from a purely mathematical viewpoint in a more general setting in [AG14]. Little is known, as of now, about the relation to the combinatorial viewpoint on the Teichmüller TQFT, and it would be of great interest to have an identification of these two approaches just as in the case of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. In the specific situation of a genus 1 surface, however, an identification between the vector spaces coming from the two different approaches is provided by the so called Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform.

The invariant $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$ consists of a complex-valued function on $\mathbb{A}_N := \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ having a natural meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Its definition is based on a multiple integral involving the level- N quantum dilogarithm [AK14b], an extension of Faddeev's function to \mathbb{A}_N satisfying an adaptation of the same difference equation. In [AK14a, AM16b], the invariant was conjectured to enjoy certain properties analogue to those expected for the coloured Jones polynomial, thus making it into an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ analogue of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ invariant. The statement was checked for the first two hyperbolic knots by explicit computation of the invariant, using the properties of the quantum dilogarithm. The final expression was found to agree for $N = 1$ with the partition function of the quantum $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Chern-Simons theory derived in the literature [Hik01, Hik07, Dim13, DGLZ09, DFM11]. In some of the cited works, Faddeev's equation for the quantum dilogarithm is used for showing that said partition function is annihilated by some version of the quantum \hat{A} -polynomial from Garoufalidis's original AJ-conjecture [Gar04].

In the present paper we address the problem of the quantisation of the observables of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Chern-Simons theory in genus one, with a specific interest for the A -polynomial of a knot. In analogy with [Gar04], we search for q -commutative quantum operators $\hat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ on functions on \mathbb{A}_N , associated to the holonomy functions m and ℓ on the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety of the surface. To this end, we fix a level $t = N + iS$ and run geometric quantisation on (a double cover of) the moduli space of flat connections, using a real polarisation

as in Witten's work [Wit91]. For every value of the Teichmüller parameter $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, we find that the pre-quantum operators associated to the *logarithmic* holonomy coordinates U and V preserve the polarisation. Therefore, they can be promoted to quantum operators \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ , which moreover turn out to be normal, thus admitting well-defined exponentials \widehat{m}_σ and $\widehat{\ell}_\sigma$. Although the resulting operators depend explicitly on σ , we establish the following result.

Theorem 1. *The quantum operators \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ , and hence \widehat{m}_σ and $\widehat{\ell}_\sigma$, are covariantly constant with respect to the Hitchin-Witten connection.*

In the case of genus one, the Hitchin-Witten connection admits an explicit trivialisation, first proposed in Witten's original work. Combining this with the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform, we obtain σ -independent operators \widehat{m}_x and $\widehat{\ell}_x$ acting on functions on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $t = N + iS$ be fixed, and set*

$$b = -ie^{2rN}, \quad \text{where} \quad e^{4rN} = -\frac{\bar{t}}{t}.$$

Then the operators \widehat{m} and $\widehat{\ell}$ as above act on functions on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ via the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{m}_x: f(x, n) &\mapsto e^{-2\pi \frac{bx}{\sqrt{N}}} e^{2\pi i \frac{nx}{N}} f(x, n), \\ \widehat{\ell}_x: f(x, n) &\mapsto f\left(x - \frac{ib}{\sqrt{N}}, n + 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the operators form a q -commutative pair, i.e.

$$\widehat{\ell}_x \widehat{m}_x = q \widehat{m}_x \widehat{\ell}_x,$$

with

$$q = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{b^2 + 1}{N}\right) = e^{4\pi i/t}.$$

We then consider the algebra \mathcal{A} generated by these operators, and sitting inside this the left ideal $\mathcal{I}(J_{M,K}^{(b,N)})$ annihilating the invariant. Following the lines of [Gar04], we define the $\widehat{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -polynomial as a preferred element of this ideal. Using the explicit expression of the invariant for 4_1 and 5_2 inside S^3 , we compute the $\widehat{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -polynomial for these knots, and check the following conjecture for these two cases.

Conjecture 1. *Let $K \subseteq M$ be a knot inside a closed, oriented 3-manifold, with hyperbolic complement. Then the non-commutative polynomial $\widehat{A}_K^{\mathbb{C}}$ agrees with \widehat{A}_K up to a right factor, linear in \widehat{m}_x , and it reproduces the classical A -polynomial in the sense of the original AJ-conjecture.*

Besides its interest in relation to the original AJ-conjecture, we also find in this statement a further indication on the role of the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform in connecting geometric quantisation and Teichmüller TQFT in genus one.

Theorem 3. *Conjecture 1 holds true for the figure-eight knot 4_1 , and 5_2 .*

We shall re-formulate the statements more precisely later in the discussion; the proof of the result goes as follows. For either knot, the Andersen-Kashaev invariant is a function on \mathbb{A}_N defined as an integral in \mathbf{dy} on the same space of an appropriate function of two variables \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} . The integrand is obtained as a combination of quantum dilogarithms and Gaussian functions, and it has a natural meromorphic extension to the whole $(\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}})^2$. In order to understand the ideal, we study first the polynomials in $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}$ annihilating the integrand. We start by considering the convergence properties of the integral, so as to ensure that it can be extended to the whole $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$. For parameters a and ε in a suitable domain, we find open regions $R_{a,\varepsilon}$ on which the meromorphic extension of the invariant is given by integration along appropriate contours $\Gamma_{a,\varepsilon}$. These regions exhaust the whole $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ as the parameters range in their domain, thus giving explicit expressions for the invariant at every given point. Next, we use Lemma 5 to express the action of $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}$ on the integrand in terms of $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}$, thus giving two operators with the desired property. With the help of a computer, we run reduction in $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}$, and since the action of $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}$ inside an integral is equivalent to a shift in the integration contour we can evaluate the resulting polynomial at $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} = 1$. Using some care, one can move the operator outside the integral and finally find an element of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(J_{M,K}^{(b,N)})$, which we then check to be the desired generator $\widehat{A}_{t,K}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

This paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we overview the background material we refer to throughout the the rest of the work. This includes generalities on geometric quantisation and the Hitchin-Witten connection, the level- N quantum dilogarithm and the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform, the Teichmüller TQFT, and the original AJ-conjecture. In section 3 we define the precise structure to which we are going to apply geometric quantisation, and argue that it provides a model for (a double cover of) the moduli space relevant for genus one Chern-Simons theory. In section 4 we actually run the geometric quantisation machinery to obtain the desired operators. First, we use the standard definition of the pre-quantum operators to quantise the logarithmic holonomy functions corresponding to the meridian and longitude on the torus. Next, we check that the operators are compatible with the chosen polarisation, thus descending to *quantum* operators which are Hitchin-Witten covariantly constant. We then show that the operators can be consistently exponentiated, whence we define quantum operators for the exponential holonomy eigenvalues, which in fact generate the algebra of regular functions on the character variety. Finally, we use the explicit trivialisation of the Hitchin-Witten connection to make the operators independent on the Teichmüller parameter. We conclude the section by determining the action of the operators on functions on \mathbb{A}_N via the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform. In section 5, we explicitly carry out the procedure described above to find the $\widehat{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -polynomial for the first two hyperbolic knots.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Tudor Dimofte for profitable insight on the techniques used for computing the polynomial annihilating $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$, and Simone Marzioni for frequent discussion on aspects of his work on the Teichmüller TQFT. We also owe an acknowledgement to the developers of Singular [DGPS], which we have used along the process of computing the polynomials.

2 Introduction

2.1 \mathbb{A}_N and the level- N quantum dilogarithm

Definition 1. For every positive integer N , let \mathbb{A}_N be the locally compact Abelian group $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ endowed with the normalised Haar measure $d(x, n)$ defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_N} f(x, n) d(x, n) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, n) dx. \quad (1)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C})$ the space of Schwartz class functions on \mathbb{A}_N , i.e. functions $f(x, n)$ on \mathbb{A}_N which restrict to Schwartz class functions on \mathbb{R} for every n . We shall denote $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Of course $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C})$ sits inside the space $L^2(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C})$ of square-summable functions, as a dense subspace. We will often use the notation $\mathbf{x} = (x, n)$; moreover, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we write $\mathbf{x} + \lambda$ as a short-hand for $(x + \lambda, n)$.

As in [AK14b], we use the following notations for Fourier Kernels and Gaussians on \mathbb{A}_N :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x, n), (y, m) \rangle &= e^{2\pi i x y} e^{-2\pi i n m / N}, \\ \langle (x, n) \rangle &= e^{\pi i x^2} e^{-\pi i n(n+N)/N}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix now b , a complex unitary parameter with $\operatorname{Re}(b) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(b) \geq 0$, and introduce constants c_b and q defined by

$$c_b := \frac{i(b + b^{-1})}{2} = i \operatorname{Re}(b), \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} := -e^{\pi i \frac{b^2+1}{N}} = \left\langle \left(\frac{ib}{\sqrt{N}}, -1 \right) \right\rangle^{-1}.$$

We summarise here the fundamental properties of the level N quantum dilogarithm which are relevant for this work. For the precise definition and further details see for example [AK14b, AM16b]. For N a positive *odd* integer, the quantum dilogarithm D_b at level N and quantum parameter b is a meromorphic function defined on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$, which moreover solves the Faddeev difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} D_b\left(x \pm \frac{ib}{\sqrt{N}}, n \pm 1\right) &= \left(1 - e^{\pm \frac{b^2+1}{N}} e^{2\pi \frac{b}{\sqrt{N}} x} e^{2\pi i \frac{n}{N}}\right)^{\mp 1} D_b(x, n), \\ D_b\left(x \pm \frac{i\bar{b}}{\sqrt{N}}, n \mp 1\right) &= \left(1 - e^{\pm \frac{\bar{b}^2+1}{N}} e^{2\pi \frac{\bar{b}}{\sqrt{N}} x} e^{-2\pi i \frac{n}{N}}\right)^{\mp 1} D_b(x, n). \end{aligned}$$

It also satisfies the inversion relation

$$D_b(\mathbf{x}) D_b(-\mathbf{x}) = \zeta_{N, \text{inv}}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle, \quad \zeta_{N, \text{inv}} = e^{\pi i (N + 2c_b^2 N^{-1}) / 6}.$$

Lemma 4. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ fixed, the quantum dilogarithm has the following asymptotic behaviour for $x \rightarrow \infty$:

$$D_b(x, n) \approx \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } |\arg(x)| > \frac{\pi}{2} + \arg(b), \\ \zeta_{N, \text{inv}}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle & \text{on } |\arg(x)| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(b). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the dilogarithm enjoys the following unitarity property

$$\overline{D_b(x, n)} D_b(\bar{x}, n) = 1.$$

It is convenient for the following discussion to change the notation according to [AM16b]. We shall call

$$\varphi_b(x, n) := D_b(x, -n).$$

The zeroes and poles of φ_b occur at the points $\mathbf{p}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $-\mathbf{p}_{\alpha, \beta}$ respectively, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where

$$\mathbf{p}_{\alpha, \beta} := \left(-\frac{c_b + i\alpha b + i\beta \bar{b}}{\sqrt{N}}, \alpha - \beta \right)$$

We shall often use T to refer to the infinite closed triangle

$$T = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C} : x \text{ lies below } -\frac{c_b}{\sqrt{N}} + i\mathbb{R}b \text{ and } -\frac{c_b}{\sqrt{N}} + i\mathbb{R}\bar{b} \right\}.$$

In particular, the zeroes and poles of $\varphi_b(x, n)$ for n fixed occur only for $x \in T$ and $x \in -T$ respectively. Lemma 4 holds unchanged for φ_b in place of D_b .

Definition 2. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} : (\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}})^k \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear function, denote by $\widehat{m}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ the operator acting on complex-valued functions on $(\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}})^k$ as

$$\widehat{m}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} f := \left\langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \left(\frac{ib}{\sqrt{N}}, -1 \right) \right\rangle f.$$

Moreover, call $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ the operator acting on \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$(\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} f)(x, n) := f\left(x - \frac{ib}{\sqrt{N}}, n + 1\right).$$

The condition for b to have positive real part implies that ib is never real, so strictly speaking $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is only defined for functions on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$. However, every analytic function $f : \mathbb{A}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with infinite radius of convergence has a unique holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$. One can then make sense of the action of $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ by applying the shift to the extended function and then restricting back to \mathbb{A}_N . The set of square-summable such functions is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{A}_N)$, so $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a densely defined operator on this space.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definitions and Faddeev's difference equation.

Lemma 5. The operator $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ acts on the Gaussian and the quantum dilogarithm as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle, \\ \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi_b(\mathbf{x}) &= \left(1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \right) \varphi_b(\mathbf{x}), \\ \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \varphi_b(\mathbf{x}) &= \left(1 + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \varphi_b(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ undergo the commutation relation

$$\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} = q \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

2.2 Garoufalidis's original AJ-conjecture

Following the notation of [Gar04], we consider the q -commutative algebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] \langle Q, E \rangle / (EQ - qQE),$$

One can also make sense of inverting polynomials in Q , and embed the above algebra into

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}} := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^l a_k(q, Q) E^k : l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a_k \in \mathbb{Q}(q, Q) \right\} \quad (2)$$

with product given by

$$(a(q, Q)E^k) \cdot (b(q, Q)E^h) := a(q, Q)b(q, q^k Q)E^{k+h}.$$

In the work mentioned above, Garoufalidis considers an action of these algebras on the space containing the coloured Jones polynomial of a knot, and studies the left ideals annihilating it

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(K) = \{ p(Q, E) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}} : p(Q, E)J_K = 0 \}, \quad \mathcal{I}(K) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(K) \cap \mathcal{A}.$$

Since every ideal in \mathcal{A}_{loc} is principal, there exists a unique generator $\widehat{A}_{q,K}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(K)$ of minimal degree in E and co-prime coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q, Q]$. The AJ conjecture of [Gar04] states that, up to a left factor, $\widehat{A}_{q,K}(Q, E)$ returns the classical A -polynomial of K when evaluated at $q = 1$, $Q = m^2$ and $E = \ell$.

The problem also has a weaker version, which defines a non-homogeneous polynomial $\widehat{A}_{q,K}^{\text{nh}}$ transforming J into an object of a certain, prescribed form. This problem is addressed in [GS10] for the class of twist knots K_p , which includes the figure-eight knot 4_1 and 5_2 for $p = -1$ and $p = 2$, and their non-homogeneous polynomials are shown to be

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_{q,4_1}^{\text{nh}} &= q^2 Q^2 (q^2 Q - 1) (q Q^2 - 1) E^2 \\ &\quad - (q Q - 1) (q^4 Q^4 - q^3 Q^3 - q(q^2 + 1) Q^2 - q Q + 1) E \\ &\quad + q^2 Q^2 (Q - 1) (q^3 Q^2 - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}_{q,5_2}^{\text{nh}} &= (q^3 Q - 1) (q Q^2 - 1) (q^2 Q^2 - 1) E^3 \\ &\quad + q (q^2 Q - 1) (q Q^2 - 1) (q^4 Q^2 - 1) \\ &\quad \cdot (q^9 Q^5 - q^7 Q^4 - q^4 (q^3 - q^2 - q + 1) Q^3 + q^2 (q^3 + 1) Q^2 + 2q^2 Q - 1) E^2 \\ &\quad - q^5 Q^2 (q Q - 1) (q^2 Q^2 - 1) (q^5 Q^2 - 1) \\ &\quad \cdot (q^6 Q^5 - 2q^5 Q^4 - q^2 (q^3 + 1) Q^3 + q (q^3 - q^2 - q + 1) Q^2 + q Q - 1) E \\ &\quad + q^9 Q^7 (Q - 1) (q^4 Q^2 - 1) (q^5 Q^2 - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The A -polynomials of these knots are known to be irreducible [HS04] and read

$$A_{4_1}(m, \ell) = m^4 \ell^2 - (m^8 - m^6 - 2m^4 - m^2 + 1) \ell + m^4, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{5_2}(m, \ell) &= \ell^3 + (m^{10} - m^8 + 2m^4 + 2m^2 - 1) \ell^2 \\ &\quad - m^4 (m^{10} - 2m^8 - 2m^6 + m^2 - 1) \ell + m^{14}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

2.3 The Andersen-Kashaev theory

The Andersen-Kashaev theory defines an infinite-rank TQFT \mathcal{Z} from quantum Teichmüller theory. In particular, it defines an invariant $\mathcal{Z}(M, K)$ for every hyperbolic knot K inside a closed, oriented 3-manifold M coming with a suitably decorated triangulation. However, it is conjectured [AK14a, AM16b] that a two-parameter (b, N) family of smooth functions $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x})$ on $\mathbb{A}_N = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ should exist, such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_b^{(N)}(X) = e^{ic_b^2 \phi} \int_{\mathbb{A}_N} J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\lambda c_b x} d\mathbf{x},$$

where λ and ϕ carry the information relative to the decorated triangulation, while $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x})$ depends on the pair (M, K) alone. In addition, this function is also conjectured to enjoy certain asymptotic conditions analogous to those expected from the coloured Jones polynomial. In the same works, the conjecture is proven for the knots 4_1 and 5_2 in S^3 , in which cases $J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$ is found to be

$$J_{S^3, 4_1}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{4\pi i \frac{c_b x}{\sqrt{N}}} \chi_{4_1}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \chi_{4_1}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_N} \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} d\mathbf{y},$$

$$J_{S^3, 5_2}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{2\pi i \frac{c_b x}{\sqrt{N}}} \chi_{5_2}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \chi_{5_2}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_N} \frac{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-1}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}) \varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \varphi_b(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{y}.$$

In the case of level $N = 1$, these expressions agree with those found in the literature for the partition functions of Chern-Simons theory, obtained via formal, perturbative methods [Hik01, Hik07, Dim13, DGLZ09, DFM11]. Said function is expected to be annihilated by some version of the \hat{A} -polynomial; for instance, in [Dim13] this is argued to be the case for the trefoil and figure-eight knot in S^3 .

3 Basic definitions and setting

Throughout this paper, we will write \mathbb{T}^2 to denote the real torus $S^1 \times S^1$, and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ for the 2-dimensional complex torus $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ containing it. We shall use coordinates $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 and $U, V \in \mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, with

$$(u, v) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i u}, e^{2\pi i v}) \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad (U, V) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i U}, e^{2\pi i V}) \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2.$$

We refer to these as the logarithmic coordinates, as opposed to the exponential coordinates on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$

$$m = e^{2\pi i U}, \quad \ell = e^{2\pi i V}.$$

Using $u = \operatorname{Re}(U)$, $v = \operatorname{Im}(U)$, $\operatorname{Im}(U)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(V)$ as real coordinates, it makes sense to regard $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ as vector field on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ as well as on \mathbb{T}^2 .

On these spaces we consider symplectic 2-forms (complex in the case of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$)

$$\omega = -2\pi du \wedge dv; \quad \omega_{\mathbb{C}} = -2\pi dU \wedge dV.$$

We now fix N a positive integer and S any real number, calling $t = N + iS$ the level of the theory, and define the level- t real symplectic structure on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ as

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(t\omega_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

We stress that this restricts to the form $N\omega$ on \mathbb{T}^2 . A pre-quantum line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{(t)}$ on $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \omega_t)$ is defined by the quasi-periodicity conditions

$$\psi(U+1, V) = e^{-\pi i \operatorname{Re}(tV)} \psi(U, V), \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(U, V+1) = e^{\pi i \operatorname{Re}(tU)} \psi(U, V),$$

and connection $\nabla^{(t)} = d - i\theta^{(t)}$, where

$$\theta_{(U,V)}^{(t)} = \pi \operatorname{Re} \left(t(V dU - U dV) \right).$$

This bundle restricts to one on $(\mathbb{T}^2, N\omega)$, which we call \mathcal{L}^N . Explicitly, the quasi-periodicity conditions and the connection form for this bundle are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(u+1, v) &= e^{-N\pi i v} \psi(u, v) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(u, v+1) = e^{N\pi i u} \psi(u, v), \\ \theta_{(u,v)}^N &= N\pi(v du - u dv). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to these as the Chern-Simons line bundles over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and \mathbb{T}^2 at the level t respectively, and we shall often omit the superscript in the connection.

The family of complex structures on \mathbb{T}^2 . Denote by \mathcal{T} the complex upper half-plane

$$\mathcal{T} = \{ \sigma \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(\sigma) > 0 \}.$$

To every point of \mathcal{T} one can associate an almost complex structure on \mathbb{T}^2 represented in the logarithmic coordinates by the constant matrix

$$J := \frac{i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \begin{pmatrix} -(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) & 2\sigma\bar{\sigma} \\ -2 & \sigma + \bar{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

It is easily checked that this defines complex structures on \mathbb{T}^2 and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, and holomorphic and anti-holomorphic vector fields on \mathbb{T}^2 can be found as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} &:= \frac{\mathbf{1} + iJ}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left(\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w} &:= \frac{\mathbf{1} - iJ}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = -\frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left(\bar{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

to which correspond coordinates

$$w = u - \sigma v, \quad \bar{w} = u - \bar{\sigma} v.$$

For later convenience, we remark that ω is determined in these coordinates by

$$\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - iJ \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + iJ \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) = -\frac{2\pi}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}},$$

which implies

$$[\nabla_w, \nabla_{\bar{w}}] = \frac{2N\pi i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}. \quad (9)$$

Together with ω , J defines a Kähler structure on \mathbb{T}^2 with metric

$$g = \frac{2\pi i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) \\ -(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) & 2\sigma\bar{\sigma} \end{pmatrix},$$

whose inverse is

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{i}{2\pi(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})} \begin{pmatrix} 2\sigma\bar{\sigma} & \sigma + \bar{\sigma} \\ \sigma + \bar{\sigma} & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Laplace operator Δ , which acts on sections of \mathcal{L}^N by differentiating twice and then contracting both indices with \tilde{g} , can be written in complex coordinates as

$$\Delta = -i \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{2\pi} \left(\nabla_w \nabla_{\bar{w}} + \nabla_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w \right),$$

by noticing that the metric is determined by

$$g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \right) = -i\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \right) = \frac{2\pi i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}.$$

While σ enters the definition of Δ through both \tilde{g} and the Levi-Civita connection, the latter is in fact the trivial one for all values of the parameter, since the metric g has constant coefficients. The variation of \tilde{g} is

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{i}{\pi(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})^2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}^2 & \bar{\sigma} \\ \bar{\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} = -\frac{i}{\pi(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \sigma \\ \sigma & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Having constant coefficients on \mathbb{T}^2 , these two tensors are parallel, and up to constant coefficients one can recognise them as $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$. In particular, this makes J holomorphic and rigid. The variation of Δ is then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \Delta = -\frac{i}{\pi} \nabla_w \nabla_w, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} \Delta = \frac{i}{\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.$$

Polarisations on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and geometric quantisation Using the natural complex structure of the tangent spaces of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, the right-most expressions in (8) define vector fields on the complex torus, also denoted as $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$. They span integrable distributions in $T\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ which are Lagrangian for $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, thus for ω_t for every t , i.e. polarisations. We set

$$P = P_{\sigma} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \right\rangle.$$

Because each leaf of P intersects $\mathbb{T}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ at exactly one point, and transversely, this subspace may be identified with the reduction $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2/P$. One can identify the space smooth polarised sections of $\mathcal{L}^{(t)}$ over $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with that of *all* smooth sections of \mathcal{L}^N over \mathbb{T}^2 , the latter supporting an L^2 -product via the volume form ω . In other words, one can identify the level- t Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{(t)}$ arising from geometric quantisation on $(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \omega_t)$ with pre-quantum line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{(t)}$ and polarisation P_{σ} with $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^N)$. Although σ does manifestly not enter the definition of this last space, the dependence on this parameter should be measured via the Hitchin-Witten connection [Wit91, AG14] on the trivial bundle

$$\mathcal{H}^t := \mathcal{T} \times L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}.$$

Due to the flatness of g , the definition of the connection simplifies to

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{i}{\pi} \nabla_w \nabla_w, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} - \frac{i}{\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.$$

Although the proof of projective flatness in [AG14] does not apply, \mathbb{T}^2 having non-trivial first holomorphic vector fields and first cohomology, Witten proposes the following statement.

Proposition 6 (Witten). *The Hitchin-Witten connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ for $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ has a trivialisation*

$$\tilde{\nabla} = \exp(-r\Delta)\nabla^{\text{Tr}} \exp(r\Delta)$$

for r a complex parameter such that

$$e^{4kr} = -\frac{\bar{t}}{t}.$$

The result was obtained by Witten [Wit91] and further detailed in [AM18].

Motivating example: the moduli spaces of flat connections on a genus-one surface The definitions introduced in this section are motivated by the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Chern-Simons theory on a genus-one smooth, oriented surface Σ . If G denotes either $\text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of flat G -connections on Σ can be realised as a product of two copies of a maximal torus in G , modulo the action of the Weyl group $W \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The moduli spaces, which we denote as \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$, can then be described as \mathbb{T}^2/W and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2/W$ respectively, where W acts on each space by simultaneously inverting both entries. One can use the coordinates above on the moduli spaces, on which are defined the respective Atiyah-Bott forms ω^{AB} and $\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{AB}}$, which pull back to 2ω and $2\omega_{\mathbb{C}}$. For every positive integer k and real number s , Chern-Simons theory defines pre-quantum line bundles \mathcal{L}^k and $\mathcal{L}^{(k+is)}$ for $k\omega^{\text{AB}}$ and $\omega_{k+is}^{\text{AB}} = \text{Re}((k+is)\omega_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{AB}})$. It follows from the definitions that these bundles lift to \mathcal{L}^{2k} and $\mathcal{L}^{(2k+2is)}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.

If (x, y) are 1-periodic coordinates on the surface, every $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ defines a Riemann surface structure on Σ with holomorphic coordinate $z = x + \sigma^{-1}y$ (for the *reversed* orientation). This correspondence gives a biholomorphism between \mathcal{T} and the Teichmüller space of Σ . The Hodge $*$ -operator, which defines the Kähler structure on \mathcal{M} for the given Riemann surface structure, is represented in these coordinates by the matrix J of (7). Vectors on the moduli spaces are identified with Lie-algebra valued forms on Σ : if T denotes a generator of a Cartan sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, to $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ correspond $T dx$ and $T dy$. In order to run geometric quantisation, Witten defines a polarisation on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ spanned by the forms of type $(1, 0)$; since $T dz$ represents $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$ up to rescaling, this lifts to P on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Therefore, the quantum Hilbert space thus obtained for the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -Chern-Simons theory at the level $k + is$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{(t)}$ for $t = 2(k + is)$, as the sub-space of W -invariant sections. As σ varies, these spaces form a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{H}^{(t)}$, identified with $\mathcal{T} \times \text{L}^2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}^k)$, which is preserved by $\tilde{\nabla}$. The restriction is in fact the connection introduced by Witten in [Wit89].

4 Operators from geometric quantisation on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$

4.1 The quantum operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{(t)}$

We now study the level- t pre-quantum operators associated to the logarithmic coordinates on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Notice that, since U and V are multi-valued functions, these operators are not well defined in principle, unless a fixed branch is specified.

We now fix t and $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$, and introduce coordinates on $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ adapted to the polarisation. We consider on the leaf through each point $(u, v) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ the complex coordinate $\xi + i\eta$ induced by its description as $(u, v) + \mathbb{C} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \rangle$. The coordinates

on \mathbb{T}^2 , restricted to $0 \leq u, v < 1$, can be uniquely extended to real functions u_σ and v_σ on $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}^2$ which are constant along P_σ . Although these functions are non-continuous, their differential is well defined on the whole $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}^2$, and hence so is their Hamiltonian vector field. Together with ξ and η these form global real coordinates on the complex torus, and it follows from the definitions that one may write U and V as

$$U = u_\sigma + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}(\xi + i\eta), \quad V = v_\sigma + \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}(\xi + i\eta).$$

A straightforward check shows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

In these coordinates, the complex symplectic form may be written as

$$\omega_\mathbb{C} = -2\pi du_\sigma \wedge dv_\sigma - \frac{2\pi}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} d(u_\sigma + \sigma v_\sigma) \wedge d(\xi + i\eta).$$

It is a general fact that the pre-quantum operator associated with a function f preserves the space of polarised sections if and only if the Poisson bracket with it preserves that of polarised functions. This condition is stable under linear combinations (with constant coefficients), and it is easily verified for the coordinates u_σ , v_σ , ξ and η . Therefore, the same conclusion follows for U and V , whose pre-quantum operators descend then to the *quantum* Hilbert space.

Theorem 7. *The quantum operators \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ act on the smooth sections of $\mathcal{L}^{(N)}$ over \mathbb{T}^2 as*

$$\widehat{U}_\sigma = u - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t} \nabla_w, \quad \widehat{V}_\sigma = v - \frac{i}{\pi t} \nabla_w.$$

Proof. By linearity, \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ are determined once the quantum operators associated to the coordinates u_σ , v_σ , ξ and η are known.

Recall that the pre-quantum operator of a smooth, real function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}^2)$ is defined on sections of $\mathcal{L}^{(t)}$ as

$$\widehat{f} := f - i\nabla_{H_f^{(t)}},$$

where $H_f^{(t)}$, the Hamiltonian vector field of f relative to ω_t , is characterised by

$$X[f] = \omega_t(X, H_f^{(t)}) \quad \text{for every } X \in T\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}^2. \quad (10)$$

When acting on polarised sections, the operator is unchanged if $H_f^{(t)}$ is replaced with any \tilde{H} satisfying the condition only for vectors in P , as their difference is orthogonal, and hence tangent, to the polarisation. Since u_σ and v_σ are constant along P , their Hamiltonian vector fields are tangent to the polarisation. As a consequence, the first-order part of their quantum operators vanish, leading to

$$\widehat{u}_\sigma = u_\sigma, \quad \widehat{v}_\sigma = v_\sigma.$$

For each the coordinates ξ and η , we proceed by determining linear combinations of $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ satisfying the weakened version of condition (10). For real functions α and β , one can compute

$$\omega_t \left((\xi + i\eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}, \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) = 2\pi \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(t \frac{\alpha - \sigma\beta}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \right) \xi - \operatorname{Im} \left(t \frac{\alpha - \sigma\beta}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \right) \eta \right).$$

In order to determine $\widehat{\xi}_\sigma$, we set the right-hand side to ξ and find the condition

$$2\pi t \frac{\alpha - \sigma\beta}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} = 1.$$

A simple algebraic manipulation leads to

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{t} + \frac{\sigma}{\bar{t}} \right), \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{\bar{t}} \right).$$

Therefore we have that

$$\widehat{\xi}_\sigma = \xi + \frac{i}{2\pi t} (\bar{\sigma} \nabla_u + \nabla_v) + \frac{i}{2\pi \bar{t}} (\sigma \nabla_u + \nabla_v) = \xi - \frac{i(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}{2\pi t} \nabla_w + \frac{i(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}{2\pi \bar{t}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.$$

The operator $\widehat{\eta}_\sigma$ is obtained in the same way: we look for α and β so that

$$2\pi t \frac{\alpha - \sigma\beta}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} = -i,$$

which gives

$$\alpha = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{t} - \frac{\sigma}{\bar{t}} \right) \quad \beta = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{\bar{t}} \right).$$

From this we find that

$$\widehat{\eta}_\sigma = \eta + \frac{1}{2\pi t} (\bar{\sigma} \nabla_u + \nabla_v) - \frac{1}{2\pi \bar{t}} (\sigma \nabla_u + \nabla_v) = \eta - \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{2\pi t} \nabla_w - \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{2\pi \bar{t}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.$$

Putting everything together, the quantum operators \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ act on polarised sections as

$$\widehat{U}_\sigma = U - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t} \nabla_w, \quad \widehat{V}_\sigma = V - \frac{i}{\pi t} \nabla_w.$$

Under the restriction to \mathbb{T}^2 , U and V are replaced by their real parts, which concludes the proof. \square

We now wish to define quantum operators for the exponential coordinates m and ℓ , to which end we rely on the spectral theorem for normal operators, see e.g. [Con94]. A densely defined operator N on a separable Hilbert space is called normal if it is closed and $NN^\dagger = N^\dagger N$, where N^\dagger is the adjoint of N and the identity includes the equality of the domains. The spectral theorem ensures that any such operator is diagonalisable in an appropriate sense, and one consequence of this is that its exponential series is strongly convergent on a suitable dense subspace.

Lemma 8. *The quantum operators $\widehat{U}_\sigma, \widehat{V}_\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(t)}$ are normal.*

Proof. It follows from general, standard arguments that covariant derivatives are operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^{(N)})$ defined on the same dense domains as their adjoints. On the other hand, in our convention the functions u and v range through $[0, 1)$, so their multiplication operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^{(N)})$ are everywhere defined and bounded. From this it follows that \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ are also closed, and have the same dense domain as ∇_w . Moreover, it is immediate to check that \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ also have the same image as their adjoints, so

$$\text{dom}(\widehat{U}_\sigma \widehat{U}_\sigma^\dagger) = \text{dom}(\widehat{U}_\sigma^\dagger \widehat{U}_\sigma), \quad \text{dom}(\widehat{V}_\sigma \widehat{V}_\sigma^\dagger) = \text{dom}(\widehat{V}_\sigma^\dagger \widehat{V}_\sigma).$$

We now proceed to checking the commutation relation for \widehat{U}_σ and $\widehat{U}_\sigma^\dagger$ by direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{U}_\sigma, \widehat{U}_\sigma^\dagger] &= \left[u - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t} \nabla_w, u - \frac{i\bar{\sigma}}{\pi \bar{t}} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \right] = \\ &= \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} + \frac{i\bar{\sigma}}{\pi \bar{t}} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} - \frac{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}{\pi^2 t \bar{t}} \cdot \frac{2N\pi i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{V}_\sigma, \widehat{V}_\sigma^\dagger] &= \left[v - \frac{i}{\pi t} \nabla_w, v - \frac{i}{\pi \bar{t}} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \right] = \\ &= \frac{i}{\pi t} \cdot \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} + \frac{i}{\pi \bar{t}} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} - \frac{1}{\pi^2 t \bar{t}} \cdot \frac{2N\pi i}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and every normal operator N on a Hilbert space λN is also normal, the lemma ensures then that the following is well posed.

Definition 3. We define quantum operators associated to m and ℓ on $\mathcal{H}_\sigma^{(t)}$ as

$$\widehat{m}_\sigma = \exp(2\pi i \widehat{U}_\sigma), \quad \widehat{\ell}_\sigma = \exp(2\pi i \widehat{V}_\sigma).$$

The next result shows that the quantum operators found above are compatible with the identification of the various Hilbert space via parallel transport.

Proof of Theorem 1. We need to show that \widehat{U}_σ and \widehat{V}_σ are covariantly constant with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^{\text{End}}$ induced on $\text{End}(\mathcal{H}^{(t)})$ by $\tilde{\nabla}$. The covariant derivative of an endomorphism E of \mathcal{H} along a direction V on \mathcal{T} is given by its commutator with $\tilde{\nabla}_V$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_V^{\text{End}}(E) = [\tilde{\nabla}_V, E].$$

We start with the Hitchin-Witten derivatives of the multiplication by u

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}} u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} u + \frac{i}{2\pi t} [\nabla_w \nabla_w, u] = -\frac{i\bar{\sigma}}{\pi t(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})} \nabla_w, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}} u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} u + \frac{i}{2\pi \bar{t}} [\nabla_{\bar{w}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}, u] = \frac{i\sigma}{\pi \bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})} \nabla_{\bar{w}}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously for v

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}} v &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} v + \frac{i}{2\pi t} [\nabla_w \nabla_w, v] = -\frac{i}{\pi t(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})} \nabla_w, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}} v &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} v + \frac{i}{2\pi \bar{t}} [\nabla_{\bar{w}} \nabla_{\bar{w}}, v] = \frac{i}{\pi \bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})} \nabla_{\bar{w}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider next the variation of ∇_w in σ

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}}(\nabla_w) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}(\nabla_w) + \frac{i}{2\pi t}[\nabla_w\nabla_w, \nabla_w] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}\nabla_u + \nabla_v}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}\right) = \\ &= \frac{\bar{\sigma}\nabla_u + \nabla_v}{(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}\nabla_w.\end{aligned}$$

Using (9), the variation in $\bar{\sigma}$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}}(\nabla_w) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\sigma}}(\nabla_w) + \frac{i}{2\pi\bar{t}}[\nabla_{\bar{w}}\nabla_{\bar{w}}, \nabla_w] = \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\sigma}}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}\nabla_u + \nabla_v}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}\right) + \frac{i}{\pi\bar{t}}[\nabla_{\bar{w}}, \nabla_w]\nabla_{\bar{w}} = \\ &= -\frac{\bar{\sigma}\nabla_u + \nabla_v}{(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}\nabla_u + \frac{2N}{\bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}\nabla_{\bar{w}} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}\left(\bar{t}\frac{\bar{\sigma}\nabla_u + \nabla_v}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} - 2N\nabla_{\bar{w}}\right) = \frac{t}{\bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}\nabla_{\bar{w}}.\end{aligned}$$

Altogether, this shows the covariant derivative of \widehat{U}_σ with respect to σ is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}}(\widehat{U}_\sigma) &= \tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}}\left(u - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t}\nabla_w\right) = \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}}(u) - \frac{i}{\pi t}\nabla_w - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t}\tilde{\nabla}_\sigma^{\text{End}}(\nabla_w) = \\ &= -\frac{i}{\pi t}\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} + 1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}\right)\nabla_w = 0.\end{aligned}$$

In $\bar{\sigma}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}}(\widehat{U}_\sigma) &= \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}}(u) - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t}\tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{\text{End}}(\nabla_w) = \\ &= \frac{i\sigma}{\pi\bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}\nabla_{\bar{w}} - \frac{i\sigma}{\pi t}\frac{t}{\bar{t}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})}\nabla_{\bar{w}} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The derivatives of \widehat{V}_σ are completely analogous. \square

4.2 Trivialisation of the Hitchin-Witten connection and σ -independent operators

Our next goal is to remove the dependence on σ explicitly, which can be done via the trivialisation of $\tilde{\nabla}$ given in Proposition 6.

Definition 4. We define the σ -independent quantum operators associated to U , V , m and ℓ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{U} &= \exp(r\Delta)\widehat{U}_\sigma(-r\Delta), & \widehat{V} &= \exp(r\Delta)\widehat{V}_\sigma(-r\Delta), \\ \widehat{m} &= \exp(r\Delta)\widehat{m}_\sigma(-r\Delta), & \widehat{\ell} &= \exp(r\Delta)\widehat{\ell}_\sigma(-r\Delta).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9. *The σ -independent operators are expressed by*

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{U} &= u - i \frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{2N\pi} \nabla_v & \widehat{V} &= v + i \frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{2N\pi} \nabla_u, \\ \widehat{m} &= \exp(2\pi i \widehat{U}) = e^{2\pi i u} \exp\left(\frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{N} \nabla_v\right), \\ \widehat{\ell} &= \exp(2\pi i \widehat{V}) = e^{2\pi i v} \exp\left(-\frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{N} \nabla_u\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall make use of the following formula:

$$\text{Ad}_{\exp(r\Delta)} = \exp(r \text{ad}_\Delta).$$

According to this, in order to conjugate the operators by $\exp(r\Delta)$ it is enough to understand their commutator with Δ . First of all we find

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta, u] &= -i \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{\pi} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_w - \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \right) = -\frac{i}{\pi} (\sigma \nabla_w - \bar{\sigma} \nabla_{\bar{w}}), \\ [\Delta, v] &= -i \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_w - \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_{\bar{w}} \right) = -\frac{i}{\pi} (\nabla_w - \nabla_{\bar{w}}).\end{aligned}$$

The action of ad_Δ^n on u and v is then determined once that on ∇_w and $\nabla_{\bar{w}}$ is known. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta, \nabla_w] &= -\frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{\pi} \frac{2N\pi}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_w = -2N \nabla_w, \\ [\Delta, \nabla_{\bar{w}}] &= \frac{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}}{\pi} \frac{2N\pi}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = 2N \nabla_{\bar{w}}.\end{aligned}$$

Given this, we can move on to computing the conjugation of the operators. For the multiplication by u we find

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(r\Delta)u \exp(-r\Delta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} \text{ad}_\Delta^n(u) = \\ &= u - \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} \text{ad}_\Delta^n(\sigma \nabla_w - \bar{\sigma} \nabla_{\bar{w}}) = \\ &= u + \frac{i\sigma(e^{-2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i\bar{\sigma}(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the multiplication by v becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(r\Delta)v \exp(-r\Delta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} \text{ad}_\Delta^n(v) = \\ &= v - \frac{i}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n!} \text{ad}_\Delta^n(\nabla_w - \nabla_{\bar{w}}) = \\ &= v + \frac{i(e^{-2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since ad_Δ acts on ∇_w as the multiplication by $(-2N)$, we simply have

$$\exp(r\Delta) \nabla_w \exp(-r\Delta) = e^{-2rN} \nabla_w.$$

Putting the pieces together we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{U} &= u + \frac{i\sigma(e^{-2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i\bar{\sigma}(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} - \frac{i\sigma e^{-2rN}}{\pi t} \nabla_w = \\
&= u - \frac{i\sigma(\bar{t}e^{-2rN} + t)}{2Nt\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i\bar{\sigma}(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = \\
&= u + \frac{i\sigma(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i\bar{\sigma}(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = u - i \frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{2N\pi} \nabla_v.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the relation defining r , and that

$$\sigma \nabla_w + \bar{\sigma} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left(-\sigma \bar{\sigma} \nabla_u - \sigma \nabla_v + \sigma \bar{\sigma} \nabla_u + \bar{\sigma} \nabla_v \right) = -\nabla_v.$$

In complete analogy one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{V} &= v + \frac{i(e^{-2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} - \frac{ie^{-2rN}}{\pi t} \nabla_w = \\
&= v - \frac{i(\bar{t}e^{-2rN} + t)}{2Nt\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = \\
&= v + \frac{i(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_w + \frac{i(e^{2rN} - 1)}{2N\pi} \nabla_{\bar{w}} = v + i \frac{e^{2rN} - 1}{2N\pi} \nabla_u.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used that

$$\nabla_w + \nabla_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left(-\bar{\sigma} \nabla_u - \nabla_v + \sigma \nabla_u + \nabla_v \right) = \nabla_u.$$

The relation for \widehat{m} and $\widehat{\ell}$ follow from the fact that taking the exponential of an operator commutes with conjugating it by a unitary map. The splitting is a consequence of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, which can be applied here since u commutes with ∇_v , and v with ∇_u . \square

4.3 The Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform

Lemma 10. *The spaces $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^N)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C})$ are isometric via the transformation $W^{(N)} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2, \mathcal{L}^N)$ expressed by*

$$f(x, n) \mapsto s(u, v) = e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv}.$$

Since this is an isometry, it extends in a natural way to the L^2 -completions of the two spaces.

The above map is called the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform, and it transforms the quantum operators on $\mathcal{H}^{(t)}$ according to the following statement.

Lemma 11. *The following relations hold for every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{C})$*

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_u W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= W^{(N)}(\sqrt{N}f'(\mathbf{x})), \\
\nabla_v W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= W^{(N)}\left(2\pi i \sqrt{N}x f(\mathbf{x})\right), \\
e^{2\pi iu} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= W^{(N)}\left(e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{\sqrt{N}}} e^{2\pi i \frac{n}{N}} f(\mathbf{x})\right), \\
e^{2\pi iv} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= W^{(N)}\left(f\left(x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, n + 1\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows directly from computations, by applying the definitions. Notice that the derivatives commute with the infinite sum due to the properties of Schwartz class functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_u W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) - i\pi Nv W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \\
&= e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv} + \\
&\quad + i\pi Nv W^{(N)}(f) - i\pi Nv W^{(N)}(f) = \\
&= e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{N} f'\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second computation is similar

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_v W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) + N\pi iu W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \\
&= N\pi iu e^{N\pi iuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv} + \\
&\quad 2m\pi i e^{N\pi iuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv} + \\
&\quad + N\pi iu W^{(N)}(f(x, n)) = \\
&= 2\pi i\sqrt{N} e^{N\pi iuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}\right) f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv}.
\end{aligned}$$

The crucial passage in the other two computations is a change of variable

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\pi iu} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi iu} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv} = \\
&= e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i(u + \frac{m}{N})} e^{-2\pi i\frac{m}{N}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi imv}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last relation we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{2\pi iv} W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= e^{i\pi Nuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m}{\sqrt{N}}, -m\right) e^{2\pi i(m+1)v} = \\
&= e^{N\pi iuv} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\sqrt{N}u + \frac{m-1}{\sqrt{N}}, -m+1\right) e^{-2\pi imv}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We need to check that, for the given values of the quantum parameters, the Weil-Gel'fand-Zak transform induced the correspondence

$$\widehat{m} \mapsto \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \widehat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

We proceed again by direct computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{m}W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= e^{2\pi i u} \exp\left(\frac{e^{2Nr} - 1}{N} \nabla_v\right) W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \\
&= e^{2\pi i u} W^{(N)}\left(\exp\left(2\pi i \frac{e^{2Nr} - 1}{\sqrt{N}} x\right) f(\mathbf{x})\right) = \\
&= W^{(N)}\left(\exp\left(2\pi i \frac{e^{2Nr}}{\sqrt{N}} x\right) e^{2\pi i \frac{u}{N}} f(\mathbf{x})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We recall the fact that, if λ is a complex parameter, the exponential of $\lambda \frac{d}{dx}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is the shift by λ , in the sense discussed immediately after Definition 2. Using this, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\ell}W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) &= e^{2\pi i v} \exp\left(-\frac{e^{2Nr} - 1}{N} \nabla_u\right) W^{(N)}(f(\mathbf{x})) = \\
&= e^{2\pi i v} W^{(N)}\left(f\left(x - \frac{e^{2Nr} - 1}{\sqrt{N}}, n\right)\right) = \\
&= W^{(N)}\left(f\left(x - \frac{e^{2Nr}}{\sqrt{N}}, n + 1\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As for the q -commutativity, the following relation can easily be checked directly from the expression of the operators, or deduced from Dirac's relation

$$[\widehat{U}, \widehat{V}] = -i\{U, V\} = -i \cdot \frac{-1}{\pi t} = \frac{i}{\pi t}.$$

The result follows from this and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. \square

5 Operators annihilating the Andersen-Kashaev invariant

Throughout this section we will always assume that N is an *odd* positive integer.

For a fixed level $t = N + iS$ and b and q as above there is an action of the algebra \mathcal{A}_{loc} from (2) on the space of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$Q \mapsto \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad E \mapsto \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

As before, if f is a meromorphic function it makes sense to consider its annihilating left ideals $\mathcal{I}(f)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(f)$ in \mathcal{A}_{loc} and \mathcal{A} , respectively:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(f) = \left\{ p \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}} : p(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}})f = 0 \right\}, \quad \mathcal{I}(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(f) \cap \mathcal{A}.$$

Notice moreover that, if p_1 is a polynomial in $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ alone and j a non-negative integer, then

$$p_1 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}^j p_2 \in \mathcal{I}(f) \implies p_2 \in \mathcal{I}(f). \quad (11)$$

We extend the notation to the case of a function f on \mathbb{A}_N having a unique meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 5. Suppose that $f = J_{M,K}^{(b,N)}$, the invariant for a hyperbolic knot K in a closed, oriented compact 3-manifold M from the Teichmüller TQFT, enjoying the properties conjectured in [AK14a, AM16b]. We define the non-commutative polynomial $\widehat{A}_{t,(M,K)}^{\mathbb{C}}$ as the unique element of $\mathcal{I}_{loc}(J_{M,K}^{(b,N)})$ of lowest degree in $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ with integral and co-prime coefficients.

We are now ready to rephrase Theorem 3 more precisely.

Theorem 12. For $K \subseteq S^3$ the figure-eight knot 4_1 or 5_2 , we have

$$\widehat{A}_{t,K}^{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} - 1) = \widehat{A}_{q,K}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}).$$

In the semi-classical limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$(m^4 - 1) \cdot \widehat{A}_{\infty,K}^{\mathbb{C}}(m^2, \ell) = A_K(m, \ell).$$

We shall dedicate the rest of the paper to the proof of this statement.

5.1 The figure-eight knot 4_1

5.1.1 The invariant and its holomorphic extension

Recall the formula for $\chi_{4_1}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{A}_N \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [AM16b])

$$\chi_{4_1}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{A}_N} \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} d\mathbf{y}. \quad (12)$$

We shall often omit the superscript (b, N) . It follows from lemma 4 that, for \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} real, the integrand has constant absolute value 1, so the integral is not absolutely convergent. However, suppose $y = \eta + i\varepsilon \frac{b}{\sqrt{N}}$ for real η and ε . One may write

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle| &= e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im}(y^2)} = e^{\varepsilon^2 \frac{\operatorname{Im}(b^2)}{N}} e^{-2\pi\eta\varepsilon \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} \\ |\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle| &= e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im}((x-y)^2)} = e^{\varepsilon^2 \frac{\operatorname{Im}(b^2)}{N}} e^{-2\pi(\eta-x)\varepsilon \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} \end{aligned}$$

Then the same lemma shows that, for appropriate smooth functions C_- and C_+ of \mathbf{x} and ε alone, one has

$$\left| \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} \right| \approx \begin{cases} \left| \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-1}}{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} \right| = C_-(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) e^{-2\pi\eta\varepsilon \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \left| \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-1}} \right| = C_+(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) e^{4\pi\eta\varepsilon \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the integrand decays exponentially in η for every fixed $\varepsilon < 0$, and the integral converges absolutely on $\mathbb{A}_N + i\varepsilon b/\sqrt{N}$, provided that this contour does not cross any poles.

Recall that the zeroes of $\varphi_b(\mathbf{y})$ only occur for y in the lower infinite triangle T , and similarly the poles of $\varphi_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ have $y - x \in T$, or equivalently $y \in T + x$. Therefore, since $c_b = i \operatorname{Re}(b)$, the integral over the contour $\mathbb{A}_N + i\varepsilon b/\sqrt{N}$ is absolutely convergent for $-1 < \varepsilon < 0$. Moreover, given the exponential decay




Figure 1: The distribution of the poles of the integrand and the contour are illustrated for $N = 1$. The situation is analogous for higher N , up to rescaling c_b by \sqrt{N} and replicating the picture N times.

of the integrand at infinity, the residue theorem and dominated convergence together show that, in the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, one recovers the improper integral over \mathbb{A}_N . In fact, the same value is obtained for each \mathbf{x} if the contour is pushed up within a compact region of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, one may use *any* contour Γ which goes along $\mathbb{A}_N + i\varepsilon b/\sqrt{N}$ near ∞ , provided that

- $\varepsilon < 0$,
- all the poles of the integrand lie below Γ .

In order to study the action of the operators on χ_{4_1} , and in particular the shift, we need to understand its holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. To this end, we shall fix a contour Γ as above and determine for which values of \mathbf{x} the integral converges absolutely. If $x = \xi + i\lambda b/\sqrt{N}$ and $y = \eta + i\varepsilon/\sqrt{N}$ for $\xi, \eta, \varepsilon, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then one has

$$|\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle| = e^{-\pi \operatorname{Im}((x-y)^2)} = e^{(\lambda-\varepsilon)^2 \operatorname{Im}(b^2)} e^{-2\pi(\eta-\xi)(\varepsilon-\lambda) \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}}.$$

The behaviour of the integrand at infinity is then given by

$$\left| \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} \right| \approx \begin{cases} \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-1}}{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} = C_-(x, \varepsilon) e^{-2\pi\eta(\varepsilon+\lambda) \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \frac{\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-1}} = C_+(x, \varepsilon) e^{2\pi\eta(\varepsilon-2\lambda) \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b)}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the convergence condition near ∞ is equivalent to

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \lambda < -\varepsilon.$$

Fix now $\varepsilon < 0$ and $a \in -2c_b/\sqrt{N} - T$, and consider the contour $\gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$ in \mathbb{C} which deviates from $\mathbb{R} + i\varepsilon b/\sqrt{N}$ along $a + i\mathbb{R}\bar{b}$ and $a + i\mathbb{R}b$. The condition on a ensures that the tip of T , and hence the whole triangle, lies below this contour. Similarly, $\gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$ avoids $T + x$ if and only if it stays above its tip $x - N^{1/2}c_b$, which is the case if e.g. $x \in T + a$. If Γ is chosen to be $\gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$ in each component of

$\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, the integral converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function at least on the region $R_{\varepsilon,a} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, where

$$R_{\varepsilon,a} := \left\{ x = \xi + i\lambda \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\sqrt{N}} \in T + a, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \lambda < -\varepsilon \right\}.$$

The resulting function agrees with the one defined by the improper integral over \mathbb{A}_N on $R_{\varepsilon,a} \cap \mathbb{R}$, which is non-empty since it always contains a neighbourhood of 0. Also, as ε and a vary on the allowed domains, these regions cover the whole complex plane, thus giving the full holomorphic extension of χ_{4_1} to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

5.1.2 Operators annihilating the integrand

We now approach the problem of studying the operators annihilating the integrand of (12). Following the plan illustrated above, we use Lemma 5 to see how $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}$ acts on it

$$\left(1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}\right) q \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-2} \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}.$$

A simple manipulation translates this into

$$\left(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} + \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}\right) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} = 0.$$

Similarly, the action of $\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}$ gives

$$\left(1 + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-2} \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}},$$

hence

$$\left(\left(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}\right) \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} + 1\right) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}\right) \frac{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} = 0.$$

We can then start elimination in $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}$ on

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} - q \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2, \\ g_2 &= \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 + q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} - q\right) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} + q \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We run the operation on a computer, and find

$$q^{\frac{5}{2}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \widehat{A} = qa_1 g_1 - a_2 g_2,$$

where a_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \left((q \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - 1) (q^3 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + q \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} - 1) \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} + q^2 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} (q^3 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \right) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ & + q^{\frac{1}{2}} (q \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - 1) \\ & \cdot \left(q^5 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^4 + q^3 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^3 + q \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}}^2 \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - q^2 (q+1) \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - (q+1) \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} + 1 \right) \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ & + q^{\frac{5}{2}} \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} (\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}} - q) (q^3 \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{y}} \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and a_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \left(q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - 1 \right) \widehat{\ell}_x^2 + q^3\widehat{\ell}_y \left(q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1 \right) \widehat{m}_x^2 \widehat{\ell}_x \right) \widehat{m}_y \\
& - q^{\frac{5}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_y (q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_x^2 \widehat{\ell}_x^2 \\
& - q^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(q^4(q+1)\widehat{\ell}_y^2\widehat{m}_x^4 + q^2\widehat{\ell}_y^2\widehat{m}_x^3 - q(q^2+q+1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x + 1 \right) \widehat{m}_x\widehat{\ell}_x \\
& - q^{\frac{7}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_y (q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_x^3
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A} &= q^3\widehat{\ell}_y^2 (q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_x^2 \widehat{\ell}_x^2 \\
& - (q^2\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \left(q^4\widehat{\ell}_y^2\widehat{m}_x^4 - q^3\widehat{\ell}_y^2\widehat{m}_x^3 - q(q^2+1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x + 1 \right) \widehat{\ell}_x \\
& + q\widehat{\ell}_y (q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \widehat{m}_x^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

It is apparent from this, (3) and (5) that this non-commutative polynomial, evaluated at $\widehat{\ell}_y = 1$, verifies the statement of Theorem 12 up to rescaling $\widehat{\ell}_x$ by q , and an overall factor q .

Theorem 13. *The complex non-commutative $\widehat{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -polynomial for the figure-eight knot is given by the evaluation*

$$\widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{m}_x, \widehat{\ell}_x) = q^{-1} \widehat{A}(\widehat{m}_x, q^{-1}\widehat{\ell}_x, 1).$$

Proof. Since $q^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^2\widehat{A}$ is a left combination of g_1 and g_2 , it annihilates the integrand defining χ_{4_1} , hence so does \widehat{A} itself. For ε and a as usual, $\rho < \varepsilon$ a non-negative integer, call

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon,a}^{(\rho)} := \Gamma_{\varepsilon,a} - i\rho b/\sqrt{N} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon-\rho, a-i\frac{\rho b}{\sqrt{N}}}.$$

Of course \widehat{m}_x and $\widehat{\ell}_x$ commute with taking integrals in $d\mathbf{y}$, so for any λ, μ and every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ one may write

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,a}} \widehat{\ell}_y^\rho \widehat{m}_x^\mu \widehat{\ell}_x^\lambda \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} d\mathbf{y} = \widehat{m}_x^\mu \widehat{\ell}_x^\lambda \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,a}^{(\rho)}} \frac{\varphi_b(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}}{\varphi_b(\mathbf{y}) \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^{-2}} d\mathbf{y}.$$

The right-hand side gives $\widehat{m}_x^\mu \widehat{\ell}_x^\lambda \chi_{4_1}(\mathbf{x})$ for

$$\mathbf{x} \in R_{\varepsilon-\rho, a-i\frac{\rho b}{\sqrt{N}}} + \left(i \frac{\lambda b}{\sqrt{N}}, -1 \right).$$

If ε and $|a|$ are big enough, the intersection of these domains as $0 \leq \rho \leq 3$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$ is non-empty, and in fact these sets cover all $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathbb{C}}$ for ε and a going to ∞ . Applying this point-wise in \mathbf{x} and monomial by monomial in \widehat{A} , this shows that this polynomial, evaluated at $\widehat{\ell}_y = 1$, annihilates χ_{4_1} .

On the other hand, recall that

$$J_{S^3,4_1}^{(b,N)}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{4\pi i \frac{c_b \mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{N}}} \chi_{4_1}(\mathbf{x}).$$

As is easily checked, this implies that $\widehat{A}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, q^{-1}\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}, 1)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(4_1)$, so there exists $p \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}$ such that

$$\widehat{A}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, q^{-1}\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}, 1) = p(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot \widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, \widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}).$$

However, for $q = 1$ the left-hand side gives $(m^4 - 1)A_{4_1}$, so the expression on the right-hand side gives a factorisation of this polynomial. On the other hand, the classical A -polynomial is irreducible, which implies that either p or $\widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a polynomial in $\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}$ alone. If this were the case for $\widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}$, this would mean that $\chi_{4_1} = 0$, which is not the case as, for instance, this would contradict its known asymptotic properties. This means that $q^{-1}\widehat{A}(\widehat{m}_{\mathbf{x}}, q^{-1}\widehat{\ell}_{\mathbf{x}}, 1)$ is proportional to $\widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and since its coefficients satisfy the required conditions the conclusion follows. \square

This completes the argument for the figure-eight knot.

5.2 The knot 5_2

For the knot 5_2 , the function $\chi_{5_2}^{(N)} = \chi_{5_2}$ on \mathbb{A}_N is given by the integral

$$\chi_{5_2}(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{A}_N} \frac{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-1}}{\varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}) \varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}) \varphi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}. \quad (14)$$

As in the case of the figure-eight knot, we need first of all to discuss the convergence of the integral. Calling $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ the integrand, for \mathbf{x} fixed and \mathbf{y} of the usual form we have the following asymptotic behaviour:

$$|\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \approx \begin{cases} |\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-1}| = C_-(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) e^{-2\pi\eta\varepsilon \frac{\text{Re}(\mathbf{b})}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow -\infty, \\ \left| \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^2 \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle^2} \right| = C_+(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) e^{4\pi\eta\varepsilon \frac{\text{Re}(\mathbf{b})}{\sqrt{N}}} & \eta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Independently on \mathbf{x} , the integrand decays exponentially as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ as long as ε is positive. Moreover, for fixed \mathbf{x} the poles of Φ lie inside of the region

$$T \cup (T + \mathbf{x}) \cup (T - \mathbf{x}).$$

Using $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$ as above, the integral is absolutely convergent if e.g. both \mathbf{x} and $-\mathbf{x}$ lie below the contour, which is to say that \mathbf{x} lies below $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$ and above $-\Gamma_{\varepsilon, a}$. This defines a holomorphic function in the region R_a delimited by the four lines $\pm a + i\mathbb{R}\mathbf{b}$ and $\pm a + i\overline{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{b}}$, or explicitly

$$R_a := \left\{ (x, n) : x \in \left(a + \frac{c_{\mathbf{b}}}{\sqrt{N}} + T \right) \cap \left(-a - \frac{c_{\mathbf{b}}}{\sqrt{N}} - T \right) \right\}.$$

Again, by a combination of the residue theorem and dominated convergence, the result is independent on the choice of the path, and the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $a = 0$ gives the improper integral along \mathbb{A}_N for \mathbf{x} real. This proves that χ_{5_2} can be extended to a holomorphic function over the whole $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. We want to stress that, since R_a depends on a alone, the specific choice of $\varepsilon < 0$ has no influence on the region of convergence of the integral.

5.2.1 Operators annihilating the integrand

Following the lines of the case of the figure-eight knot, we use Lemma 5 to determine the action of $\widehat{\ell}_x$ and $\widehat{\ell}_y$ on the integrand. The first operator acts as

$$q^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_x(1+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^{-1}\widehat{m}_y^{-1})^{-1}(1+q^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_x\widehat{m}_y^{-1}),$$

while the second gives

$$q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_y^{-1}(1+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^{-1}\widehat{m}_y^{-1})^{-1}(1+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_y^{-1})^{-1}(1+q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_y^{-1}\widehat{m}_x)^{-1}.$$

From this we get the operators

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\widehat{m}_x\widehat{\ell}_x - q^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^2)\widehat{m}_y + \widehat{\ell}_x - q^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^3, \\ g_2 &= \widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x\widehat{m}_y^3 + q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + \widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - q^2\widehat{m}_x + \widehat{\ell}_y)\widehat{m}_y^2 \\ &\quad + q\widehat{\ell}_y(\widehat{m}_x^2 + \widehat{m}_x + 1)\widehat{m}_y + q^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x. \end{aligned}$$

Again, running elimination in \widehat{m}_y returns

$$\widehat{A} = a_1g_1 + \widehat{m}_xg_2,$$

where a_1 is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x^2 + q^2(q+1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x\right. \\ &\quad \left.- q^{\frac{7}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^3(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\right)\widehat{m}_y^2 \\ &\quad + \left(-q\widehat{m}_x(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x - 1)(q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x + \widehat{\ell}_y - q^2)\widehat{\ell}_x^2\right. \\ &\quad + q^{\frac{5}{2}}\widehat{m}_x(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^3\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + (q+1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - q^2(q+1)\widehat{m}_x + \widehat{\ell}_y)\widehat{\ell}_x \\ &\quad \left.- q^8\widehat{m}_x^2(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - q^2\widehat{m}_x + \widehat{\ell}_y)\right)\widehat{m}_y \\ &\quad - q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^4\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + 1)\widehat{\ell}_x^2 - q(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(q^6\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^4 - q^5\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^3 - q^5\widehat{m}_x^3 - q^2(q^2+1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 - q^2\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - q^2\widehat{m}_x + \widehat{\ell}_y\right)\widehat{\ell}_x \\ &\quad - q^{\frac{9}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^2(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 + \widehat{\ell}_y)(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and a_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} &(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x^3 - q^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{m}_x(q^2 + q + 1)(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x^2 \\ &\quad + q^3\widehat{m}_x^2(q^2 + q + 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x \\ &\quad - q^{\frac{9}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^3(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A} = & -q^{\frac{1}{2}}(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\widehat{\ell}_x^3 \\
& + q(q\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\left(q^9\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^5 - q^7\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^4 - q^4(q^3 + 1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^3\right. \\
& + q^5(q + 1)\widehat{m}_x^3 + q^2(q^3 + 1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + q^2(\widehat{\ell}_y + 1)\widehat{m}_x - \widehat{\ell}_y\left.\right)\widehat{\ell}_x^2 \\
& + q^{\frac{3}{2}}\widehat{m}_x^2(q^2\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)\left(q^6\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^5 - q^5(\widehat{\ell}_y + 1)\widehat{m}_x^4\right. \\
& - q^2(q^3 + 1)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^3 + q(q^3\widehat{\ell}_y - q^2 - q + \widehat{\ell}_y)\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x^2 + q\widehat{\ell}_y\widehat{m}_x - \widehat{\ell}_y\left.\right)\widehat{\ell}_x \\
& + q^8\widehat{m}_x^7(q^4\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1)(q^5\widehat{m}_x^2 - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

As for the figure-eight knot, the evaluation at $\widehat{\ell}_y = 1$ yields a non-commutative polynomial in \widehat{m}_x and $\widehat{\ell}_x$ which, up to a global factor in q and a rescaling of $\widehat{\ell}_x$ by $-q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, verifies Theorem 12.

Theorem 14. *The $\widehat{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ -polynomial for the knot 5_2 is given by the evaluation*

$$\widehat{A}_{t,4_1}^{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{m}_x, \widehat{\ell}_x) = q^{-1}\widehat{A}(\widehat{m}_x, -q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\widehat{\ell}_x, 1).$$

References

- [And05] J. E. Andersen. Deformation Quantization and Geometric Quantization of Abelian Moduli Spaces. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 255(3):727–745 (Feb. 2005). doi:10.1007/s00220-004-1244-y.
- [And06] J. E. Andersen. Asymptotic faithfulness of the quantum $SU(n)$ representations of the mapping class groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 163(1):347–368 (2006). doi:10.4007/annals.2006.163.347.
- [And10] J. E. Andersen. Asymptotics of the Hilbert–Schmidt Norm of Curve Operators in TQFT. *Lett Math Phys*, 91(3):205–214 (Jan. 2010). doi:10.1007/s11005-009-0368-6.
- [And12] J. E. Andersen. Hitchin’s connection, Toeplitz operators and symmetry invariant deformation quantization. *Quantum topology*, 3:293–325 (2012). doi:10.4171/QT/30.
- [AG11] J. E. Andersen and N. L. Gammelgaard. Hitchin’s projectively flat connection, Toeplitz operators and the asymptotic expansion of TQFT curve operators. In *Grassmannians, Moduli Spaces and Vector Bundles*, vol. 14 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 1–24. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2011).
- [AG14] J. E. Andersen and N. L. Gammelgaard. The Hitchin–Witten Connection and Complex Quantum Chern–Simons Theory. *arXiv:1409.1035* (Sep. 2014).
- [AGL12] J. E. Andersen, N. L. Gammelgaard, and M. R. Lauridsen. Hitchin’s connection in metaplectic quantization. *Quantum topology*, 3:327–357 (2012). doi:10.4171/QT/31.

- [AK14a] J. E. Andersen and R. Kashaev. A TQFT from Quantum Teichmüller Theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 330(3):887–934 (Jun. 2014). doi:10.1007/s00220-014-2073-2.
- [AK14b] J. E. Andersen and R. Kashaev. Complex Quantum Chern-Simons. *arXiv:1409.1208 [math]* (Sep. 2014).
- [AK14c] J. E. Andersen and R. Kashaev. Quantum Teichmüller theory and TQFT. In *XVIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pages 684–692. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2014).
- [AM18] J. E. Andersen and A. Malusà. Asymptotic properties of the Hitchin-Witten connection. *arXiv:1805.04868 [math]* (May 2018).
- [AM16a] J. E. Andersen and S. Marzioni. The genus one Complex Quantum Chern-Simons representation of the Mapping Class Group. *arXiv:1608.06872 [math]* (Aug. 2016).
- [AM16b] J. E. Andersen and S. Marzioni. Level N Teichmüller TQFT and Complex Chern-Simons Theory. *Travaux mathématiques*, 25:97–146 (Dec. 2016).
- [AN16] J. E. Andersen and J.-J. K. Nissen. Asymptotic aspects of the Teichmüller TQFT. *arXiv:1612.06982 [math]* (Dec. 2016).
- [AU07a] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno. Geometric construction of modular functors from conformal field theory. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 16(02):127–202 (Feb. 2007). doi:10.1142/S0218216507005233.
- [AU07b] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno. Abelian conformal field theory and determinant bundles. *Int. J. Math.*, 18(08):919–993 (9 2007). doi:10.1142/S0129167X07004369.
- [AU12] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno. Modular functors are determined by their genus zero data. *Quantum Topol.*, 3(3):255–291 (2012). doi:10.4171/QT/29.
- [AU15] J. E. Andersen and K. Ueno. Construction of the Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev TQFT from conformal field theory. *Invent. math.*, 201(2):519–559 (Aug. 2015). doi:10.1007/s00222-014-0555-7.
- [ADPW91] S. Axelrod, S. Dalla Pietra, and E. Witten. Geometric quantization of Chern-Simons gauge theory. *J. Differential Geometry*, 33(3):787–902 (1991).
- [BB04] S. Baseilhac and R. Benedetti. Quantum hyperbolic invariants of 3-manifolds with $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -characters. *Topology*, 43(6):1373–1423 (Nov. 2004). doi:10.1016/j.top.2004.02.001.
- [BB07] S. Baseilhac and R. Benedetti. Quantum hyperbolic geometry. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 7(2):845–917 (Jun. 2007). doi:10.2140/agt.2007.7.845.
- [CS74] S.-S. Chern and J. Simons. Characteristic Forms and Geometric Invariants. *Annals of Mathematics*, 99(1):48–69 (Jan. 1974).

- [Con94] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media (Jan. 1994). ISBN 978-0-387-97245-9.
- [dW99] A. C. da Silva and A. Weinstein. *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*, vol. 10 of *Berkley Mathematics Lecture Notes*. American Mathematical Society (1999). ISBN 978-0-8218-0952-5.
- [DGPS] Decker, W.; Greuel, G.-M.; Pfister, G.; Schönemann, H.: SINGULAR 4-1-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2016).
- [DFM11] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Fuji, and M. Manabe. The volume conjecture, perturbative knot invariants, and recursion relations for topological strings. *Nuclear Physics B*, 849(1):166–211 (Aug. 2011). doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.03.014.
- [Dim13] T. Dimofte. Quantum Riemann surfaces in Chern-Simons theory. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 17(3):479–599 (2013).
- [DGLZ09] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, J. Lenells, and D. Zagier. Exact results for perturbative Chern–Simons theory with complex gauge group. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 3(2):363–443 (Jun. 2009). doi:10.4310/CNTP.2009.v3.n2.a4.
- [Fre95] D. S. Freed. Classical Chern-Simons theory. I. *Adv. Math.*, 113(2):237–303 (1995). doi:10.1006/aima.1995.1039.
- [Gar04] S. Garoufalidis. On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot. In *Proceedings of the Casson Fest*, vol. 7 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 291–309 (electronic). Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry (2004). doi:10.2140/gtm.2004.7.291.
- [GL16] S. Garoufalidis and T. T. Q. Le. A survey of q-holonomic functions. *arXiv:1601.07487 [hep-th]* (Jan. 2016).
- [GS10] S. Garoufalidis and X. Sun. The non-commutative A-polynomial of twist knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 19(12):1571–1595 (2010).
- [Guk05] S. Gukov. Three-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, Chern-Simons Theory, and the A-Polynomial. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 255(3):577–627 (Apr. 2005). doi:10.1007/s00220-005-1312-y.
- [Hik01] K. Hikami. Hyperbolicity of partition function and quantum gravity. *Nuclear Physics B*, 616(3):537–548 (Nov. 2001). doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00464-3.
- [Hik07] K. Hikami. Generalized volume conjecture and the A-polynomials: The Neumann–Zagier potential function as a classical limit of the partition function. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 57(9):1895–1940 (Aug. 2007). doi:10.1016/j.geomphys.2007.03.008.
- [Hit90] N. J. Hitchin. Flat connections and geometric quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 131(2):347–380 (1990). doi:10.1007/BF02161419.

- [HS04] J. Hoste and P. D. Shanahan. A formula for the a-polynomial of twist knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 13(02):193–209 (Mar. 2004). doi:10.1142/S0218216504003081.
- [KN63] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol. 1. Interscience Publishers (1963).
- [Las98] Y. Laszlo. Hitchin’s and WZW connections are the same. *J. Differential Geom.*, 49(3):547–576 (1998). doi:10.4310/jdg/1214461110.
- [Lau10] M. R. Lauridsen. *Aspects of Quantum Mechanics: Hitchin Connections and AJ Conjectures*. Ph.D. thesis, Aarhus University (Jul. 2010).
- [LT15] T. T. Q. Le and A. T. Tran. On the AJ conjecture for knots. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 64(4):1103–1151 (2015).
- [Mal18] A. Malusà. *Geometric Quantisation, the Hitchin-Witten Connection, and Quantum Operators in Complex Chern-Simons Theory*. PhD thesis, Aarhus University - QGM (2018).
- [Mar16] S. Marzioni. *Complex Chern–Simons Theory: Knot Invariants and Mapping Class Group Representations*. Ph.D. thesis, Aarhus University - QGM (Oct. 2016).
- [RT91] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 103(3):547–598 (1991).
- [RT90] N. Y. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 127(1):1–26 (1990).
- [Rol03] D. Rolfsen. *Knots and Links*. AMS Chelsea Press (2003).
- [Tur10] V. G. Turaev. *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*. No. 18 in de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. De Gruyter, 2nd edition (2010). ISBN 978-3-11-022183-1.
- [Wit89] E. Witten. Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 121(3):351–399 (1989). doi:10.1007/BF01217730.
- [Wit91] E. Witten. Quantization of Chern Simons Gauge Theory with Complex Gauge Group. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 66:29–66 (1991).
- [Woo92] N. M. J. Woodhouse. *Geometric Quantization*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edn. (1992). ISBN 0-19-853673-9. Oxford Science Publications.