

On Landau pole in the minimal 3-3-1 model

Edison T. Franco*

Universidade Federal do Tocantins - Campus Universitário de Araguaína

Av. Paraguai (esquina com Urixamas), Cimba

Araguaína - TO, 77824-838, Brazil

V. Pleitez†

Instituto de Física Teórica–Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP)

R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, Barra Funda

São Paulo - SP, 01140-070, Brazil

(Dated: 12/09/2017)

Abstract

We show that in 3-3-1 models the existence of a Landau-like pole in the coupling constant related to the $U(1)_X$ factor, g_X , in a certain value of $\sin^2\theta_W$, arises only assuming that the condition to match the gauge coupling constants of the standard model, g_{2L} , with that of the 3-3-1 model, g_{3L} , is valid for all energies. However, if we impose that this matching condition is valid only at a given energy, say $\mu = M_Z$, the pole arises when $\sin^2\theta_X(\mu_{LP}) = 1$, which is the only weak mixing angle in the models. The value of μ_{LP} depends on the energy scales, μ_m and μ_{331} , in which the matching and the 3-3-1 symmetry is fully realized, respectively. We also show that g_{2L} and g_{3L} have different running with energy. Therefore, differently from what is usually assumed in the literature, these couplings can not be considered equal for all energies. As a consequence, the fermion couplings with neutral vector bosons are different if we write them in terms of $\sin\theta_X$ instead of $\sin\theta_W$.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Fr 12.15.-y

* edisonfranco@uft.edu.br

† vicente@ift.unesp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Currently there is no doubt that models with $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry (3-3-1 for short) are interesting candidates for physics beyond the standard model (SM). As in the SM, 3-3-1 models have three couplings: g_s related to $SU(3)_C$, g_{3L} related with $SU(3)_L$, and g_X corresponding to the $U(1)_X$ factor, and we can define an angle as $\tan \theta_X = g_X/g_{3L}$. Since the early nineties, it has been known that all these models have a Landau pole in the coupling g_X which occurs at an energy that depends on the representation content of the model. In the case of the minimal 3-3-1 model (hereafter m331 for short) [1, 2], which is called minimal in the sense that only the known leptons are in triplets of $SU(3)$, $(\nu_l, l, (l^c))_L$; $l = e, \mu, \tau$, the relation

$$t^2 \equiv \tan^2 \theta_X = \frac{g_X^2}{g^2} = \frac{s_W^2}{1 - 4s_W^2}, \quad (1)$$

($s_W^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta_W$) implies that the pole occurs when $s_W^2(\mu_{LP}) = 1/4$, where μ_{LP} is the energy scale at which the coupling g_X goes to infinity, and the model becomes non-perturbative. Eq. (1) is also valid in the 3-3-1 model with heavy leptons (331HL for short) in which the lepton sector includes heavy charged partners $(\nu_l, l, E^+)_L$; $l = e, \mu, \tau$ [3].

The existence of a Landau-like pole at a given energy μ_{LP} is more restrictive in the models of Refs. [1–3], since it has been shown that $s_W^2(\mu_{LP}) = 1/4$ [4] at energies of a few TeVs, i.e., $\mu_{LP} \approx 4$ TeV [5]. However, such a pole does exist but not at a given value of s_W^2 but in the angle that appears in the model, $s_X^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta_X$.

Here we will show that the existence of the Landau pole in g_X when $s_W^2 = 1/4$, is due to the imposition of the matching conditions $g_{3L} = g_{2L}$ and that in Eq. (1) are valid for all energies. On the other hand, we will assume that these conditions are valid only at a given energy, say $\mu = \mu_{matching} \equiv \mu_m$. In this energy scale, we change the symmetry of SM to symmetry 3-3-1, but only considering the degrees of freedom that are common to SM. The Landau pole in g_X occurs when $s_X^2 = 1$ at greater energies than it has been thought before.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we review the origin of the Landau pole in the coupling g_X in the context of the m331 model. In Sec. III we calculate the running of s_X^2 using the renormalization group equations given in the Appendix A. In Sec. IV we calculate the lepton neutral couplings with Z_1 and Z_2 . The Sec. V is devoted to our conclusions.

II. THE ORIGIN OF THE LANDAU POLE

Let us first review the origin of the Landau pole in the 3-3-1 models considered here. In the m331 it was shown that the electric charge e is related with the coupling g_{3L} of $SU(3)_L$ and g_X of $U(1)_X$ as follows [1]

$$e = g_{3L} \frac{\sin \theta_X}{\sqrt{1 + 3 \sin^2 \theta_X}} \quad (a), \quad e = g_X \frac{\cos \theta_X}{\sqrt{1 + 3 \sin^2 \theta_X}} \quad (b). \quad (2)$$

The relations in Eq. (2) substitute $e = g_L s_W$ and $e = g_Y c_W$ in the SM, respectively, but notice that there is no θ_W angle in the model, only θ_X .

Assuming that $g_{3L}(\mu_m) = g_{2L}(\mu_m)$, and the condition

$$\left. \frac{\sin^2 \theta_X(\mu)}{1 + 3 \sin^2 \theta_X(\mu)} \right|_{\mu=\mu_m} = \sin^2 \theta_W(\mu_m), \quad (3)$$

we obtain $s_X^2 = s_W^2 / (1 - 3s_W^3)$ and $c_X^2 = (1 - 4s_W^2) / (1 - 3s_W^2)$, then Eq. (1) follows which implies the existence of a Landau-like pole in g_X when $s_W^2 = 1/4$. If we use $\mu_m = M_Z$, from Eq. (3) we get the value $\sin^2 \theta_X(M_Z) \approx 0.7555$ [$\theta_X(M_Z) \approx 60^\circ$], where we have used the $s_W^2 = 0.23129$ [6], choosing the central values.

The pole also arises as follows: using the relation $1/e^2 = 4/g_{3L}^2 + 1/g_X^2$ among the electric charge and the coupling constants g_{3L} and g_X , the matching condition with the SM is now introduced by defining $1/g_Y^2 = 3/g_{3L}^2 + 1/g_X^2$ and assuming $g_{3L} = g_{2L} \equiv g$, and using $\tan \theta_W = g_Y/g$ we obtain the relation in Eq. (1) [4].

However, in the context of the m331 model only the matching conditions with the electric charge in Eq. (2) does exist, and we can rewritten them as follows:

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{3L}} = \frac{s_X^2}{1 + 3s_X^2}, \quad \frac{\alpha_X}{\alpha} = \frac{1 + 3s_X^2}{c_X^2}. \quad (4)$$

Notice from these equations that, when $s_X^2 \rightarrow 1$ then $c_X \rightarrow 0$ i.e., $\alpha_X \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the Landau-like pole arises now in the angle θ_X , which is in fact the only electroweak angle in this model.

III. THE RUNNING OF s_X^2

Firstly, let us show that in general $\alpha_{3L}(\mu) \neq \alpha_{2L}(\mu)$, if $\mu \neq \mu_m$. This is expected because both models have different degrees of freedom embedded into different gauge symmetries. The running of the inverse of coupling constants are obtained using the renormalization group equations in

Eq. (A2), where μ is in the interval $\mu \in [M_Z, 10 \text{ TeV}]$. Recall that the μ_m is the energy at which $\alpha_{2L}^{-1}(\mu_m) = \alpha_{3L}^{-1}(\mu_m)$ and the SM symmetry changes to 3-3-1 symmetry but with the degrees of freedom that are common to the SM. We introduce the energy scale μ_{331} at which not only the symmetry is the 3-3-1 but all the degrees of freedom of the m331 model are used. Although these scales are in general different, in order to see how α_{2L}^{-1} runs different than α_{3L}^{-1} we assume that $\mu_m = \mu_{331}$ and test several values for $\mu_m = M_Z, 1.0, 4.0 \text{ TeV}$.

In order to obtain α_{2L}^{-1} we use the b_i coefficients given in Eq. (A3) i.e., considering only the SM symmetry and its respective degrees of freedom. In Fig. 1 the running of α_{2L}^{-1} beginning at $\mu = M_Z$ is shown by the continuous (black) line. When $\mu > \mu_m$ the gauge symmetry is changed to 3-3-1. For α_{3L}^{-1} we start from $\mu = \mu_m$ using the coefficients in Eq. (A6) and the initial condition $\alpha_{2L}^{-1}(\mu_m) = \alpha_{3L}^{-1}(\mu_m)$.

We have considered three different values of μ_m and shown the results in Fig. 1: (i) $\mu_m = M_Z$, and the running of α_{3L}^{-1} is shown by the (green) long-dashed line; (ii) $\mu_m = 1.0 \text{ TeV}$, this results in the α_{3L}^{-1} given by the (blue) dot-dashed line; (iii) $\mu_m = 4.0 \text{ TeV}$, and the running of α_{3L}^{-1} is shown by the (red) dotted line. We see from Fig. 1 that in general $\alpha_{2L} \neq \alpha_{3L}$.

Next, we calculate the energy at which the Landau pole arises when $s_X^2(\mu_{LP}) = 1$ and using the initial value $s_X^2(M_Z) = 0.7555$. As discussed above, μ_m is the energy at which $\alpha_{2L}^{-1} = \alpha_{3L}^{-1}$ and the condition in Eq. (3) is valid. In Figs. 2 we have chosen $\mu_m = M_Z$. This is justifiable once the m331 model, as any other extension of the SM, must satisfy the predictions of the SM in some but not in all the energies. Since the most accurate measurements confirming the predictions of the SM are made at the Z pole, we consider this is the scale in which the two models coincide in their predictions. Hence, $\mu_m \neq \mu_{331}$ and, as above, μ_{331} denotes the energy at which the full 331 symmetry and all the degrees of freedom of the model are taken into account.

Firstly, considering only the SM-like degrees of freedom and symmetry and using $s_W^2(\mu) = 1/(1 + \alpha_2/\alpha_Y)$ and the matching in Eq. (3), we obtain:

$$s_X^2(\mu) = \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha_{2L}}{\alpha_Y} - 2}. \quad (5)$$

The running of s_X^2 given by Eq. (5), using the coefficients in Eq. (A3), is shown by the thick continuous (black) curve in Fig. 2. Notice that in this case the Landau pole arises at an energy of $\mu_{LP} \approx 3.6 \text{ TeV}$. This value is near the value obtained in Ref. [5].

Secondly, when the symmetry is the 3-3-1 we use

$$s_X^2(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\alpha_{3L}}{\alpha_X}}, \quad (6)$$

and proceed as follows. When $\mu_m \leq \mu \leq \mu_{331}$ we use the b_i coefficients given by Eq. (A4), when only the triplet η is considered, and the coefficients given by Eq. (A5), when both triplets η and ρ are taken into account. In these cases, which we consider as an intermediate stage, the running of s_X^2 in Eq. (6) is shown by the lower thin (black) curves in Figs. 2a and 2b, using Eqs. (A4) and (A5), respectively. In the first case the Landau pole would arise at 333.4 TeV, and in the second case at 124.6 TeV. These lower thin (black) curves will be used for comparing with the subsequent cases: when $\mu > \mu_{331}$ we will consider the full 3-3-1 symmetry and all its degrees of freedom, given by Eq. (A1) and the coefficients given by Eq. (A6).

The latter cases are shown in Fig. 2 for several values of μ_{331} (the numbers inside parenthesis refer to Fig. 2b). We consider $\mu_{331} = M_Z$, shown by the dashed (green) curve with $\mu_{LP} = 7.7$ TeV in both cases. When $\mu_{331} = 0.3$ TeV it is shown by the dot-dashed (blue) curve and $\mu_{LP} = 13.3(12.2)$ TeV, when $\mu_{331} = 1.0$ TeV it is shown by dotted (red) curve with $\mu_{LP} = 23.2(19.4)$ TeV, and finally with $\mu_{331} = 4.0$ TeV, appearing in the dot-dot-dashed (pink) curve with $\mu_P = 43.8(33.1)$ TeV. We have also calculated, without showing in the Fig. 2, for $\mu_{331} = 14$ TeV we obtain $\mu_{LP} = 77.8(53.7)$ TeV; and for $\mu_{331} = 20.0$ TeV, $\mu_{LP} \simeq 91.6(61.6)$ TeV is obtained.

Since, in general, the energy scale of the full 3-3-1 symmetry is different from the gauge couplings matching scale, we have verified that if μ_m is larger (smaller) than M_Z the pole occurs at lower (higher) energies than those shown in Figs. 2.

It is interesting to note that in the m331 model, at least in the lepton sector which involves only the known leptons, the 3-3-1 symmetry could completely replace the SM symmetry. In this case, the Landau pole is reached at energies greater than those obtained here. On the other hand, the energy scale μ_{331} should be near the mass of Z_2 . See below.

IV. NEUTRAL CURRENTS

In the previous section we have shown that there is no *a priori* a fixed energy scale at which the Landau-like pole arises. It depends on the energy at which the new degrees of freedom of the model are excited.

If the weak mixing angle in the model is θ_X it is s_X^2 , and not s_W^2 , which must appear in the

neutral current couplings, $\tilde{g}_{V,A}^i$. For instance, let us consider the neutrino and charged leptons couplings with $Z_1 \approx Z$ and $Z_2 \approx Z'$ which in general are parametrized as

$$\mathcal{L}_{331}^{NC} = -\frac{g_{3L}}{2} \sum_i \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma^\mu [(\tilde{g}_V^i - \gamma_5 \tilde{g}_A^i) Z_{1\mu} + (f_V^i - \gamma_5 f_A^i) Z_{2\mu}] \Psi_i, \quad (7)$$

with $\Psi_i = l_i, \nu_i, u_i, d_i$ and the couplings $\tilde{g}_{V,A}^i$ and $f_{V,A}^i$ being usually written in terms of the weak mixing angle s_W^2 .

The full analytical couplings are given, without introducing s_W , by

$$\begin{aligned} g_V^v &= g_A^v = -\frac{1}{3} N_1 [1 - 6A(1+B) - 3\bar{v}_\rho^2 + 4\bar{v}_W^2], \\ g_V^l &= -N_1(1 - \bar{v}_\rho^2), \quad g_A^l = \frac{1}{3} N_1 [1 - 6A(1+B) - 3\bar{v}_W^2], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for the lepton couplings with Z_1 , and

$$\begin{aligned} f_V^v &= f_A^v = -\frac{1}{3} N_2 [1 - 6A(1-B) - 3\bar{v}_\rho^2 + 4\bar{v}_W^2], \\ f_V^l &= -N_2(1 - \bar{v}_\rho^2), \quad f_A^l = \frac{1}{3} N_2 [1 - 6A(1-B) - 3\bar{v}_W^2 + 4\bar{v}_\rho^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for the lepton couplings with Z_2 . Where $\bar{v}_x^2 = v_x^2/v_\chi^2$ with $v_x^2 = v_\rho^2, v_W^2$, and $v_W^2 = v_\eta^2 + v_\rho^2 + v_S^2$, being $v_W^2 = (246 \text{ GeV})^2$, and v_η, v_ρ, v_χ are the vacuum expectation values for the triplets and v_S for the sextet that are present in the model. Here we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} N_1^{-2} &= 3 \left[2(A(1+B) + \bar{v}_\rho^2 - \frac{4}{3}\bar{v}_W^2 - \frac{1}{3}) \right]^2 + (\bar{v}_\rho^2 - 1)^2 \frac{1 + 3s_X^2}{c_X^2}, \\ N_2^{-2} &= 3 \left[2A(1-B) + \bar{v}_\rho^2 - \frac{4}{3}\bar{v}_W^2 - \frac{1}{3} \right]^2 + (\bar{v}_\rho^2 - 1)^2 \frac{1 + 3s_X^2}{c_X^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 3A &= 1 + \bar{v}_W^2 + 3 \left(1 + \bar{v}_\rho^2 \right) \frac{s_X^2}{c_X^2}, \\ 3A^2 B^2 &= 3A^2 - \left[(1 + \bar{v}_\rho^2) \bar{v}_W^2 - \bar{v}_\rho^4 \right] \cdot \frac{1 + 3s_X^2}{c_X^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with $B > 0$. Notice that in the m331 model in the lepton sector there is no flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs) mediated by the vectors $Z_{1,2}$. This is not the case in the quark sector. However, in the lepton and quark sectors, there are FCNCs mediated by neutral scalars.

In Fig. 3 we show, as functions of v_χ and for several values of v_ρ , the lepton neutral couplings defined in Eq. (8). Since in the Lagrangian in Eq. (7) does not appear c_W , and $\tilde{g}_{V,A}$ are functions that are not equal to the SM function, in Fig. 3a we plot $\tilde{g}_{V,A}^v c_W \equiv G_{V,A}^v$, and $\tilde{g}_A^l c_W \equiv G_V^l$ and

$\tilde{g}_{V,A}^l c_W \equiv G_A^l$ in Figs. 3b and 3c, respectively, which are the couplings that have to be compared at the Z-pole. From Fig. 3 it is clear that for values of v_ρ near 54 GeV give the values of these parameters are compatible with their measured values, even at tree level. This result was predicted in Ref. [7]. In this case, the radiative corrections in the context of the m331 model must be small since the values of these parameters are already consistent with the experimental measurements at the Z-pole. Other values of v_ρ are also allowed but in those cases, as in the SM, radiative corrections are necessary to fit the experimental values. In Fig. 4 we show the neutral lepton couplings with Z_2 : $f_{V,A}^V c_W \equiv F_{V,A}^V$, and $f_A^l c_W \equiv F_V^l$ and $f_V^l c_W \equiv F_A^l$, in Figs. 4a,4b and 4c, respectively.

At the Z-pole $\tilde{g}_{V,A}^i$ and $f_{V,A}^i$ written in terms of s_X^2 as in Eqs. (8) and (9) or written in terms of s_W^2 as in Ref. [7] have the same values. However, when we consider the running of these couplings with energy it is possible to notice differences when we use s_X^2 instead of s_W^2 . This is shown in Figs. 5. The neutral couplings in Ref. [7] are indicated in that figure as “closing” while those in Eqs. (8) and (9) are calculated for the same values of μ_{331} as in Fig. 2a i.e., considering only one scalar triplet, η . The curves in Figs. 5a and 5b actually end at the upper end, which is the energy at which the Landau pole is reached. That is, for larger energies the neutral couplings, $G_{V,A}^i$, remain constant when the energy μ is larger than μ_{LP} , at least from the perturbative point of view. For example, the curve ”closing” in Fig. 5a indicates that the couplings $G_{V,A}^V$ has no meaning for energies larger than 3.6 TeV, at least perturbatively, and if $\mu_{331} = 4.0$ TeV, the dot-dot-dashe (pink) curve $G_{V,A}^V$ has no meaning only after 43.8 TeV.

Next, let us consider the neutral vector boson masses, here denoted as Z_1 and Z_2 . They are given by [7]

$$M_{Z_1}^2 = \frac{g^2 v_\chi^2}{2} A(1-B), \quad M_{Z_2}^2 = \frac{g^2 v_\chi^2}{2} A(1+B). \quad (12)$$

In Figs. 6a and 6b we show M_{Z_1} and M_{Z_2} , respectively, as a function of v_χ . Notice from Fig. 6a that M_{Z_1} strongly depends on the values of v_ρ and that near 54 GeV, this mass is, for all practical purposes, equal to M_Z of the SM, independently of v_χ . On the other hand, from Fig. 6b we see that M_{Z_2} is almost independent of v_ρ , but depends strongly on v_χ . This is interesting because as is shown in Figs. 3, in the m331 model, if $v_\rho \approx 54$ GeV, the lepton neutral couplings to Z_1 are, at tree level, compatible with the observed values. It means that in the model radiative corrections should be cancel out at least at lower order for values of $v_\rho \approx 54$ GeV. From the figure we obtain for $v_\chi = 1.0$ TeV, $M_{Z_2} = 1.2$ TeV, for $v_\chi = 14$ TeV, $M_{Z_2} = 16.9$ TeV. In general, $M_{Z_2} \approx 1.2 v_\chi$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of this paper is that, *if* the gauge couplings matching scale is equal to M_Z , then the Landau pole may be in the range $3.6 \leq \mu_{LP} \leq 333.4$ TeV. Thus, the Landau pole energy obtained in [5] was in fact a lower limit for such an energy. The study may be improved by considering the different particle thresholds below and above the M_Z scale. The crucial point is when the use of the full 3-3-1 symmetry is considered. In the limit in which the m331 (in which the leptons are the same as the SM) replaces completely the SM at low energies, there is no matching condition, both models coincide numerically only at the Z-pole.

The phenomenology of the neutral currents in the m331 has been considered in literature. For instance see Refs. [7–11]. However until now t^2 , as defined in Eq. (1), has been used in such a way that neutral current couplings, \tilde{g} 's and f' in Eq. (7), depend only on s_W^2 since the matching in Eq. (3) is supposed to be valid at any energy. Far from this energy, the running of $s_X^2(\mu)$ has to be taken into account. The complete set of neutral current couplings and the phenomenological consequences in this and other 3-3-1 models will be given elsewhere.

The existence of Landau pole just indicate that the theory is non perturbative for energies larger than of the pole and, for these energies, new degrees of freedom has to be taken into account. In the present case they could be new stable exotic resonances as those considered in Ref. [12]. Another possibility, in fact much more interesting, is that before reaching the Landau pole the symmetry is enlarger and new gauge bosons arise preventing the arrival at the pole. For example $[SU(3)]^3$ in which $U(1)_X \rightarrow SU(3)_X$; or we can add new scalars or fermions in the adjunt representation to the minimal representation content of the models.

Finally, we stress that, as can be see from Figs. 3a – 3c, if $v_\rho \simeq 54$ GeV and v_χ is larger than 1.6 TeV, the m331 model at tree level, could never had been distinguished from the SM at LEP.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ETF thanks to IFT-UNESP for its kind hospitality, VP thanks to CNPq for partial financial support. Both authors are thankful for the support of FAPESP funding Grant No. 2014/19164-6.

Appendix A: Particle content and beta coefficients

In this appendix we show the representation content of the m331 model and the β -coefficients for the particle content relevant for our analysis.

The representation content of the m331 is as follows: three left-handed lepton triplets, ψ_i , two left-handed quark anti-triplets Q_a , one left-handed quark triplet Q_3 , six singlets, three right-handed u -type and three right-handed d -type, plus three right-handed quarks j_a and J_R . Three scalar triplets η, ρ , and χ , and a scalar sextet S . The gauge bosons are $W^\pm, V^\pm, U^{\pm\pm}, A, Z, Z'$, and gluons. Under the 3-3-1 symmetry fermions and scalar transform as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i &\sim (1, 3, 0), \quad Q_a \sim (3, 3^*, -1/3), \quad Q_3 \sim (3, 3, 2/3), \quad u_{iR} \sim (3, 1, 2/3), \quad d_{iR} \sim (3, 1, -1/3), \\ j_{aR} &\sim (3, 1, -4/3), \quad J_R \sim (3, 1, +5/3), \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad a = 1, 2, \\ \eta &\sim (1, 3, 0), \quad \rho \sim (1, 3, +1), \quad \chi \sim (1, 3, -1), \quad S \sim (1, 6, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

The running of α_i^{-1} coupling constants at one loop level is given by

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_i^{-1}(\mu)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} b_i. \quad (\text{A2})$$

For the SM symmetry ($i = U(1)_Y, SU(2)_L, SU(3)_C$) and for the full SM model content: 3 quarks doublets Q_L , six right-handed singlets u and d ; gauge bosons W^\pm, A, Z and gluons; one scalar doublet, say η . Hence, we have as usual

$$b_i^{SM} = \left(\frac{41}{6}, -\frac{19}{6}, -7 \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

From $\mu_m \leq \mu \leq \mu_{331}$ we consider an intermediate situation in which the complete 331 symmetry is used (but not all the m331 degrees of freedom): three lepton triplets $\psi_i \sim (1, 3, 0)$; two quarks (anti)triplets $Q_a \sim (3, 3^*, -1/3)$; one quark triplet $Q_3 \sim (3, 3, 2/3)$; three singlets $u_{iR} \sim (3, 1, 2/3)$ and three singlets $d_{iR} \sim (3, 1, -1/3)$; the scalar triplets $\eta \sim (1, 3, 0), \rho \sim (1, 3, +1)$ plus only the SM gauge bosons. The behavior of s_X^2 according Eq. (5) is shown by the lower continuous curve in Figs. 2. In fig. 2a we consider only the triplet η and in Fig. 2b we consider both triplets, η and ρ . Considering only the η , we have ($i = U(1)_X, SU(3)_L, SU(3)_C$):

$$b_i^{331(\text{reduced}-\eta)} = \left(\frac{22}{3}, -\frac{19}{6}, -6 \right). \quad (\text{A4})$$

and with both triplets,

$$b_i^{331(\text{reduced}-\eta\rho)} = \left(\frac{25}{3}, -3, -6 \right). \quad (\text{A5})$$

For the full particle content of m331 model embedded in the full 331 symmetry, we have

$$b_i^{331} = \left(\frac{122}{9}, -\frac{17}{3}, -6 \right). \quad (\text{A6})$$

-
- [1] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ model for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 410 (1992); [hep-ph/9206242];
- [2] P. H. Frampton, Chiral dilepton model and the flavor question, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889 (1992); R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Lepton masses in an $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ gauge model, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4158 (1993); [hep-ph/9207264];
- [3] V. Pleitez and M. D. Tonasse, Heavy charged leptons in an $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ model, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2353 (1993); [hep-ph/9301232].
- [4] D. Ng, The Electroweak theory of $SU(3) \times U(1)$, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4805 (1994)
- [5] A. G. Dias, R. Martinez and V. Pleitez, Concerning the Landau pole in 3-3-1 models, Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 101 (2005) [hep-ph/0407141].
- [6] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, Chin. Phys. C **40**, no. 10, 100001 (2016).
- [7] A. G. Dias, J. C. Montero and V. Pleitez, Closing the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ symmetry at electroweak scale, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 113004 (2006); [hep-ph/0605051].
- [8] J. C. Montero, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Neutral currents and GIM mechanism in $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_N$ models for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2918 (1993); [hep-ph/9212271].
- [9] C. Promberger, S. Schatt and F. Schwab, Flavor Changing Neutral Current Effects and CP Violation in the Minimal 3-3-1 Model, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 115007 (2007); [hep-ph/0702169 [HEP-PH]].
- [10] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, R. Martinez and F. Ochoa, Z and Z' decays with and without FCNC in 331 models, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 035007 (2006); [hep-ph/0510421].
- [11] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio and J. Girrbach-Noe, Z-Z' mixing and Z-mediated FCNCs in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ models, JHEP **1408**, 039 (2014). [arXiv:1405.3850 [hep-ph]].
- [12] G. De Conto and V. Pleitez, Hadronic resonances with exotic electric charge, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 7, 075028 (2017); [arXiv:1708.06285 [hep-ph]].




FIG. 1. The running of α_{2L}^{-1} and α_{3L}^{-1} using $\mu_m = \mu_{331}$ and three values: $\mu_{331} = M_Z, 1.0$ TeV and 4.0 TeV.







FIG. 2. The Landau pole arising when $s_X^2 = 1$. In all cases we are using $\mu_m = M_Z$ and we are considering the full 3-3-1 symmetry when $\mu > \mu_{331}$. In Fig. 2a we include only the triplet η and in Fig. 2b the η and ρ triplets.



a)



b)



c)

FIG. 3. Lepton neutral current couplings with Z_1 as a function of v_{χ} and v_{ρ} at Z-pole as follows: (a) the neutrino coupling, $G_{V,A}^{\nu}$; (b) the charged lepton axial couplings, G_A^l ; (c) the charged leptons vector coupling, G_V^l . We have define $\tilde{g}_{V,A}^i c_W \equiv G_{V,A}^i$. In all case we have shown the measurements from LEP with experimental error bands.




FIG. 4. Predictions of the lepton neutral current couplings with Z_2 as a function of ν_χ and ν_ρ at Z-pole. (a) neutrino couplings, $F_{V,A}^\nu$; (b) the charged lepton axial couplings, F_A^l ; (c) the charged leptons vector coupling, F_V^l . We have defined $f_{V,A}^i c_W \equiv F_{V,A}^i$.




FIG. 5. Lepton neutral current couplings with Z_1 as a function of energy for fixed $v_\chi = 2.0 \text{ TeV}$ and $v_\rho = 54 \text{ GeV}$: (a) the neutrino couplings; (b) the charged lepton axial couplings; (c) the charged leptons vector couplings.




FIG. 6. Neutral vector boson masses as function of v_χ and v_ρ at Z-pole: (a) curve of M_{Z_1} , with the $3\text{-}\sigma$ experimental band of M_Z ; (b) curve of M_{Z_2} .